

# Properties of high- $T_C$ copper oxides from the nearly-free electron model.

T. Jarlborg

*DPMC, University of Geneva, 24 Quai Ernest-Ansermet, CH-1211 Geneva 4, Switzerland*

The generic band structure of high- $T_C$  copper oxides is simulated by the nearly free-electron model (NFE) in two dimensions (2-D) with parameters from band calculations. Interaction between phonons and spin waves will cause potential modulations and pseudogaps, and the strength of the modulations, the wave lengths and the doping, are all related. A Fermi-surface "arc" is found for dynamic spin/phonon waves. The confinement of superconductivity between two limiting dopings can be a result of competition with the pseudogap at low doping and weak coupling at high doping.

PACS numbers: 74.25.Jb, 74.20.-z, 74.20.Mn, 74.72.-h

An important structural feature of high- $T_C$  materials is the stacking of almost 2-dimensional (2-D) CuO planes. All high- $T_C$  cuprates have at least one of these layers in the unit cell, and they make the band structure fairly simple with one or more  $M$  centered Fermi surface (FS) cylinders. Band calculations and photoemission agree essentially on this fact, except for details and for undoped materials, which often are antiferromagnetic (AFM) insulators [1]. The density of states (DOS) at the Fermi energy ( $E_F$ ) is mainly of Cu-d character with some O-p admixture. The views on the mechanism for superconductivity and normal state properties diverge. Extensive experimental works show that not only the high  $T_C$ , but also many normal state properties, are unusual. These include pseudogaps and magnetic fluctuations, both with rich dependencies as function of doping,  $x$ , and temperature,  $T$ . The importance of phonons is evidenced by isotope effects on  $T_C$  and pseudogaps [2, 3], and magnetic fluctuations are detected by neutron scattering [4].

Here is presented a NFE model for high- $T_C$  properties with use of parameters coming from ab-initio band calculations for pure and hole doped high- $T_C$  materials [5, 6]. These band calculations show important coupling between phonon and spin waves. The difficulty with ab-initio calculations is that a unit cell with interesting phonon displacements and/or spin waves needs to be very large. In addition, the bands are not obtained within the normal Brillouin Zone (BZ), but within the down-folded zone. Such calculations are so far limited to spin waves and phonons along one direction only, while in reality one can expect modulations along  $\hat{x}$  and  $\hat{y}$  (checker board modulations rather than stripes). The qualitative results from the one-dimensional (1-D) NFE model and ab-initio calculations are the same, and it is worthwhile to extend the NFE-model to 2-D.

A periodic potential perturbation,  $V(\vec{x}) = V_Q \exp(-i\vec{Q} \cdot \vec{x})$ , will open a gap of size  $2V_Q$  at the zone boundary,  $\vec{k} = \vec{Q}/2$ , in the 1-D NFE bands [7, 8]. This well-known NFE result can be applied to the AFM spin arrangement on neighboring Cu along  $[1,0,0]$  (with wave vector  $Q$ ) in undoped insulating cuprates. An additional modulation, with wave vector  $\vec{q} < \vec{Q}$ , modifies the potential,  $V(\vec{x}) = V_Q \exp(-i\vec{Q} \cdot \vec{x}) \exp(i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{x})$ . The gap moves away from  $\vec{Q}/2$  to  $(\vec{Q} - \vec{q})/2$ , as for ab-initio




FIG. 1: Calculated 2-D NFE Fermi surface for a strong dynamic spin-phonon fluctuation with  $\vec{q}=(0.85,0.90)$ .

bands in supercells of different lengths. The combined potential modulation leads to stripe like phonon or spin-wave patterns, with short wave lengths (periodicity, with wave vector  $\vec{q}$ ) at high doping and long ones when  $x \rightarrow 0$ , as shown in previous band calculations [6].

Simultaneous modulations along  $\hat{x}$  and  $\hat{y}$  are yet too difficult for an ab-initio band approach, at least for realistic wave lengths. An extension of the NFE model for potential modulations along  $\hat{x}$  and  $\hat{y}$  leads to a 3x3 eigenvalue problem of the form  $E - k_x^2 - k_y^2$ ,  $E - (k_x - Q_x)^2 - k_y^2$ , and  $E - k_x^2 - (k_y - Q_y)^2$  in the diagonal, and  $V_Q$  as non-diagonal terms. The G-vectors  $0$ ,  $\vec{Q}_x = \vec{Q} - \vec{q}_x$  and  $\vec{Q}_y = \vec{Q} - \vec{q}_y$  are considered in the basis with  $\vec{q}_x$  and  $\vec{q}_y$  along  $\hat{x}$  and  $\hat{y}$ . The bands are represented in the reduced zone as for the normal unit cell. The band width from the  $\Gamma$ -point up to  $\vec{k}_F$  is about 0.125 Ry when  $V_Q, q_x, q_y$  and  $x$  are all zero and the effective mass is 2.5. The position of the van Hove singularity (when the bands touch  $X$  and  $Y$ ) corresponds to  $x \approx 0.2$ . Potential modulations will open gaps near  $X$  and  $Y$ , while not much happens between  $\Gamma$  and  $M$  [6].

Superconductivity and pseudogaps appear typically at

100K, and we will estimate the  $V_Q$ -parameters for this temperature. The mean value of atomic displacements  $u = \sqrt{(3k_B T/K)}$ , where the force constant ( $K$ ) for O vibrations is of the order  $25 \text{ eV}/\text{\AA}^2$  for  $\hbar\omega \approx 50 \text{ meV}$ , is close to  $0.01a_0$ , where  $a_0$  is the lattice constant. Zero-point motion is just a bit larger, but it is not selective to one phonon [9]. The mean value of magnetic moment fluctuations,  $m_0$ , is obtained through  $k_B T = \frac{1}{2} F m^2$ , where  $F = d^2 E/dm^2$  and  $E$  is the total energy. For a short spin-phonon wave in  $\text{HgBa}_2\text{CuO}_4$  [5],  $V_Q^{sf}$  is about 6 mRy for  $m_0 \sim 0.09\mu_B$  per Cu.

The origin of  $V_Q$  is two-fold. Structural distortions of phononic origin contribute equally to the two spin components of  $V(\vec{x})$ , while for a spin wave there is an opposite phase of the two spin potentials. A static spin-polarized modulation of the potential determines AFM order in the undoped case. Phonons, and probably also long-wave spin-modulations, are dynamic. From the self-consistent band calculations for 'half breathing' phonons along  $[1,0,0]$  it is estimated that  $V_Q^{ph}$  varies from 5 to 11 mRy when the wave length varies from  $4a_0$  to  $16a_0$ . This is when the atomic displacement is of the order  $0.01a_0$ , as when  $T \approx 100\text{K}$  [6]. The phonon amplifies a spin wave, which has twice a long wave length as the phonon, but the two types of waves enforce a common gap at the same energy [10].

The self-consistent convergence of long-wave spin configurations is very slow in band calculations. In order to estimate the spin-polarized part  $V_Q^{sf}$  we rely on the band results for a short spin wave and do NFE-scaling for longer waves. Band calculations on undoped  $\text{Hg}_2\text{Ba}_4\text{Cu}_2\text{O}_8$  show that an AFM, zero-gap state can be stabilized with a spin-splitting of 23 mRy on Cu [5]. (Larger gaps are possible when using a different density functional in band calculations for  $\text{La}_2\text{CuO}_4$  [11]). This determines the  $V_Q^{sf}$  for the basic AFM spin arrangement between nearest Cu neighbors. A well-known feature of

TABLE I: Spin density coefficients (A  $\cos^2(\pi x/2)$  and B  $\sin^2(\pi x/2)$ ) for the NFE state below the gap at the zone boundary along  $q_x$ . The exchange splitting for spin fluctuations,  $V_Q^{sf}$ , is estimated from the scaling procedure as described in the text. The last column shows the potential parameter for phonons,  $V_Q^{ph}$ , which are interpolated from band results for  $Q \leq 0.9$ . A saturation is assumed for  $Q \geq 0.9$ .

| $Q_x$ | $Q_y$ | (A-B) | $V_Q^{sf}$ (mRy) | $V_Q^{ph}$ (mRy) |
|-------|-------|-------|------------------|------------------|
| 0.99  | 0.99  | 0.98  | 32               | 12               |
| 0.95  | 0.95  | 0.70  | 22               | 12               |
| 0.90  | 0.90  | 0.47  | 15               | 11               |
| 0.83  | 0.83  | 0.33  | 11               | 9                |
| 0.75  | 0.75  | 0.25  | 8                | 9                |
| 0.50  | 0.50  | 0.18  | 6                | 7                |
| 0.75  | 0.85  | 0.25  | 7                | 9                |
| 0.75  | 0.95  | 0.25  | 6                | 9                |




FIG. 2: Calculated DOS for  $x=0.02$  (thin line),  $x=0.16$  (dotted) and  $x=0.26$  (heavy line). The latter case is for non-equivalent modulations along  $\hat{x}$  and  $\hat{y}$ , and a secondary dip below  $E_F$  is seen.

the 1-D NFE model is the spacial separation of the states below the gap ( $\Psi_-^2(\hat{x}) = \sin^2(Gx/2)$ ) and above the gap ( $\Psi_+^2(\hat{x}) = \cos^2(Gx/2)$ ) [7]. Let the state below (above) the gap coincide with the Cu with the attractive (repulsive) potential for one spin. The spin density of the first (second) spin is given by the  $\sin^2$ - ( $\cos^2$ )-term, and the phase on the nearest Cu neighbor differ by  $\pi$ . The densities feed the exchange splitting of the potentials. If they diminish it leads to a smaller exchange, which leads to smaller densities and so on, in a self-consistent manner. Thus, the spin density is a driving force behind the near neighbor AFM configuration, and it depends on the optimal occupation of the two states.

An additional modulation will reduce the spacial separation of the two states at  $G/2$ . Table I shows how the lower state is mixed as function of  $\vec{Q}_x$ . As  $V_Q^{sf}$  depends on the near-neighbor interaction one can expect a reduction of  $V_Q^{sf}$  by the coefficients given in Table I. This does not include self-consistent feedback, or the closing of the pseudogap at  $T^*$  (see later), effects which both should decrease  $V_Q^{sf}$ . Usually  $T^*$  is well above the 100K at which the parameters are estimated. But when  $T^*$  goes to zero at large doping, it will reduce  $V_Q^{sf}$  more (the two last lines in Table I include rather arbitrary reduction factors of 0.9 and 0.8). On the other hand, the coefficients  $V_Q^{sf}$  should increase because of coupling to phonons for all  $\vec{q} > 0$ . This effect is estimated to increase the moments by at least 30 percent for a short phonon wave with appropriate value of  $u$  [14], and the values in Table I include a factor of 1.3. It can be recalled that  $V_Q^{sf}$  at  $q_x = q_y = 0.5$  from the simple rescaling, 6 mRy, agree with the independent estimate from the best converged band calculation for that spin wave ( $4a_0$ ) in doped  $\text{HgBa}_2\text{CuO}_4$  [5].

An example of the FS is shown in figure 1, which is a sum of several calculations with  $V_Q$  ranging from 10 to 30 mRy in order to simulate dynamical waves. The position




FIG. 3: The relation between doping  $x$  and q-vectors of the modulation. The q-vectors along  $\hat{x}$  and  $\hat{y}$  are equal for doping below  $\sim 0.18$ . The modulation along one direction remains fixed ( $8 a_0$  for the spin part) for larger doping, with a weaker modulation of longer periodicity in the perpendicular direction.




FIG. 4: The DOS at  $E_F$  and  $T^*$  as function of doping  $x$ . The DOS is at the minimum of the pseudogap and  $T^*$  is  $1/4$ th of  $V_Q^{sf}$ .

of  $E_F$  is chosen at the minimum of the sum of the partial DOS functions, and the plot is finally symmetrized along the  $\Gamma$ - $M$  line. The FS remains as a sharp "arc" on the diagonal direction, while it is washed out near the limits of the zone because of the fluctuations of  $V_Q$ . The result is compatible with the observation of a small section of a FS-arc at low  $T$  [12]. The arc widens for larger  $T$ , when most of the potential modulations and the pseudogap are gone. Static potential modulations will bend the outer sections of the FS towards the  $X, Y - M$  lines [6], which

in a repeated zone looks like a second "ghostband".

Calculations of the DOS (fig. 2) and the relation between doping and q-vectors (fig. 3) are made using  $V_Q = V_Q^{sf} + V_Q^{ph}$  from Table I as input. The Q-vectors are allowed to vary from 1.0 to 0.75 in  $\hat{x}$  and  $\hat{y}$  directions, and the doping is optimal when  $E_F$  coincides with the energy at the DOS minimum, at the pseudogap. Three examples of doping are shown in figure 2. At low doping ( $x \leq 0.18$ ) there is a nearly linear relation between  $x$  and  $q$  (see fig. 3), and the Q-vectors along  $\hat{x}$  and  $\hat{y}$  have equal lengths down to the minimum at 0.75 of the zone boundary limit. There is no possibility to obtain a minimum in the DOS for larger doping with equal  $|\vec{Q}_x|$  and  $|\vec{Q}_y|$ , the values of  $V_Q$  are too small. However, if one of the Q-vectors remains fixed at the value 0.75 (assumed to correspond to the shortest possible magnetic modulation [4]) while the other one increases, it is possible to follow the pseudogap further towards large  $x$ , with  $V_Q$  coming from Table I. (The larger of the two Q-vectors makes a dip in the DOS, but it is weak and below  $E_F$ , see fig. 2, so its modulation should be harder to detect.) The result in Fig. 3 is qualitatively similar to the doping dependence observed in  $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$  by Yamada et. al. [13], although saturation of the periodicity occurs near  $x \sim 0.18$  compared to about 0.12 as observed. Other combinations of Q-vectors and  $V_Q$  can give larger  $x$ , but with weaker gaps or unrealistic values of  $V_Q$ .

The unperturbed NFE band is perfectly isotropic, but the real band structure may have different dispersion in different directions. A FS which extends more towards the diagonal than towards  $X$  and  $Y$ , can be modelled by an anisotropic effective mass. Here, through multiplication of the mass with  $(|k_x| + |k_y|)/(|k_x + k_y|)^{1/2}$  we test a  $\sim 10$  percent anisotropy. The result is that the scale of the doping in figs. 3 and 4 becomes compressed, and the breaking point in fig. 3 is at  $x = 0.13$  instead of at 0.18, which would fit better to the results by Yamada et. al. [13]. The values of  $N(E_F)$  will go down slightly, especially for small  $x$ . This shows that details of the real band structure can be important for the quantitative results. Ab-initio bands in undoped  $\text{HgBa}_2\text{CuO}_4$  [8] suggest that the FS retracts towards the diagonal.

The relation between doping and wavelength from the (1-D) band calculations for long supercells appears to be very exact. For instance, a doubling of a cell will result precisely to a factor 2 in the doping. This is because practically all  $E_k$  (near  $E_F$ ) in the compressed 1-D BZ become gapped. Many states in the interior of the zone in the 2-D NFE model are not concerned by gaps. All states contribute to the DOS and the energies of local gaps do not correspond to the pseudogap in the total DOS. One could return to the more 'exact' relation if the 2-D sheet of the NFE band behaved very rigidly with similar gaps everywhere.

The T-dependent Fermi-Dirac occupation leads to a quenching of the spin wave by the feedback of the spin density on to the potential. The quenching temperature defines  $T^*$ , which in a 1-D model is about  $\frac{1}{4}\Delta/k_B$ , where

$\Delta \approx V_Q^{sf}$  is the gap at  $T=0$  [6]. Figure 4 shows  $T^*$  and  $N(E_F)$  as function of the doping from the model.

The change of  $\vec{q}$  as function of coupling strength was not foreseen in the 1-D band calculations. If this fact is true, then one can expect longer wave length for weaker coupling, near  $T^*$  for instance. This implies that the gap moves away from  $E_F$ , which is less favorable for the stability of the wave, and the process of quenching at  $T^*$  may accelerate. The same mechanism predicts isotope shifts on the q-vectors of magnetic fluctuations, since a heavier mass is expected to decrease  $V_Q^{sf}$  through smaller  $u$  [6].

The 1-D band calculations show that a phonon and a spin wave, which along  $[1,0,0]$  differ by a factor of two in wave length, tend to open a gap at the same energy. However, the spacial shape of the potential perturbation caused by a phonon and a spin wave is different, (this is less clear from the NFE-model) and regarding superconductivity it is not expected that the  $V_Q$ 's of the two waves work together. The spin wave, and equal-spin pairing (ESP), is probably most important for superconductivity, since  $V_Q^{sf}$  can become very large, as when a favorable phonon displacement is assisting. These arguments suggest ESP as a mechanism for superconductivity, but it has to compete with the pseudogap which removes states and DOS near  $E_F$ , more so at low doping, see figure 4. This scenario is corroborated by recent femtosecond spectroscopic measurements on cuprates showing competing order from something like a pseudogap within the superconducting gap [15]. The coupling for spin-fluctuations decreases towards the over-doped side, as is reflected by the decreasing  $V_Q^{sf}$ -values in Table I. Also the coupling to phonons disappears at too short wave lengths, since

no spin wave shorter than  $4a_0$  can co-exist with a "half-breathing" phonon. The coupling parameter  $\lambda \sim N \cdot V^2$  and  $T_C$  will therefore vanish at the extreme dopings, as can be deduced from figure 4 by the low DOS for  $x \rightarrow 0$  and the small  $T^*$  (which is proportional to  $V_Q^{sf}$ ) at large doping. The present results are not sufficiently complete for an evaluation of  $T_C$  through a BCS-like formula, but qualitatively it is expected that the limits of a " $T_C$ -dome" are shaped by the lines for  $T^*$  and  $N(E_F)$ , as in figure 4. In order to increase  $T_C$  on the under-doped side one should increase  $N(E_F)$ . One possibility is to make the 2-D sheet of the band less rigid in order to restrict the gaps to the exterior of the BZ, perhaps by pressure.

Many typical high- $T_C$  features, such as FS-arcs, pseudogaps,  $T^*$ , and the  $q(x)$ -dependence, can be described qualitatively by the NFE simulations. The total  $V_Q$  determines the size of the pseudogap, but  $V_Q^{sf}$  disappears above  $T^*$  and the same gap cannot be supported by  $V_Q^{ph}$  alone. The smaller  $V_Q^{ph}$  makes a weak dip in the DOS at lower energy, away from  $E_F$  and away from optimal doping. It is suggested that superconductivity is caused by ESP, and  $V_Q^{sf}$ , which leads to confinement of  $T_C$  between two limiting dopings. The parameters are based on previous band calculations for phonons and spin waves in doped systems, and the values in Table I are the most probable  $V_Q$  to use in the 2D-NFE model. Still, it is unavoidable that some of the estimations are very uncertain. But finally, the extreme simplicity of 2-D NFE model makes it a toy model, where other solutions of the parameter space can be tested.

I am grateful to B. Barbiellini and C. Berthod for various discussions.

- 
- [1] A. Damascelli, Z.-X. Shen and Z. Hussain, Rev. Mod. Phys. **75**, 473, (2003) and references therein.
  - [2] R. Khasanov, A. Shengelaya, E. Morenzoni, K. Conder, I.M. Savic and H. Keller, J. Phys.: Cond. Matter, **16**, S4439, (2004).
  - [3] G.-H. Gweon, T. Sasagawa, S.Y. Zhou, J. Graf, H. Takagi, D.-H. Lee and A. Lanzara, Nature **430**, 187, (2004).
  - [4] J.M. Tranquada cond-matt/0512115 (2005) and references therein.
  - [5] T. Jarlborg, J. Phys.: Cond. Matter, **16**, L173, (2004).
  - [6] T. Jarlborg, Physica C **454**, 5, (2007).
  - [7] J.M. Ziman, *Principles of the Theory of Solids* (Cambridge University Press, New York, (1971).
  - [8] T. Jarlborg, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 060507(R) (2001).
  - [9] G. Grimvall, "Thermophysical Properties of Materials", Ed.: E.P. Wohlfarth, North-Holland (1986).
  - [10] T. Jarlborg, Phys. Lett A **295**, 154 (2002).
  - [11] J.K. Perry, J. Tahil-Kheli and W.A. Goddard III, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 144501, (2002).
  - [12] M.R. Norman, H. Ding, M. Randeria, J.C. Campuzano, T. Yokoya, T. Takeuchi, T. Takahashi, T. Mochiku, K. Kadowaki, P. Guptasarma and D.G. Hinks, Nature **392**, 157, (1998).
  - [13] K. Yamada, C.H. Lee, K. Kurahashi, J. Wada, S. Wakimoto, S. Ueki, H. Kimura, Y. Endoh, S. Hosoya, G. Shirane, R. J. Birgenau, M. Greven, M.A. Kastner, Y.J. Kim, Phys. Rev. B **57**, 6165, (1998).
  - [14] T. Jarlborg, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 172501 (2003).
  - [15] E. Chia, J.-X. Zhu, J. Talbayev, R.D. Averitt, K.-H. Oh, I.-S. Jo, S.-I. Lee and A.J. Taylor, arXiv:0705.1724 (2007).