

Three comments on the Fermi gas at unitarity in a harmonic trap

D. T. Son

Institute for Nuclear Theory, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA

(Dated: June 2007)

In this note we consider three issues related to the unitary Fermi gas in a harmonic trap. We present a short proof of a virial theorem, which states that the average energy of a particle system at unitarity in a harmonic trap is twice larger than the average potential energy. The theorem is valid for all systems with no intrinsic scale, at zero or finite temperature. We discuss the odd-even splitting in a unitarity Fermi gas in a harmonic trap. We show that at large number of particles N the odd-even splitting is proportional to $N^{1/9}\hbar\omega$, with an undetermined numerical constant. We also show that for odd N the lowest excitation energies are of order $N^{-1/3}\hbar\omega$.

Recently Fermi gas at unitarity has been realized and studied experimentally. In most experimental setups the system is confined in a harmonic trap, hence of great interest are the properties of such a system in such traps. In this note we explore several aspects of this system: the virial theorem, the odd-even splitting, and the excited energy levels of a system with an odd number of particles.

1. Reference [1] contains a proof of a virial theorem for a unitary Fermi gas in a harmonic trap. This theorem states that the total energy is exactly twice the average potential energy due to the trap, $\langle H \rangle = 2\langle V \rangle$. The proof is based on the force balance in a harmonic trap within the local density approximation. There is, however, a simpler proof based on the Hellmann-Feynman theorem. It is similar to, but arguably simpler, than the a proof due to Chevy quoted in Ref. [2].

Consider the spherical trap first. The Hamiltonian of the system can be written as

$$H(\omega) = \sum_{a=1}^N \left(\frac{\mathbf{p}_a^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega^2 \mathbf{r}_a^2}{2} \right) + H_{2\text{-body}}. \quad (1)$$

where $H_{2\text{-body}}$ includes all two-body interactions. We have highlighted the fact that the Hamiltonian depends on the trap frequency ω .

Since in the unitarity limit the system lacks an intrinsic scale, the ground state energy has to be proportional to $\hbar\omega$, which is the only energy scale available. Denote the ground state of N particles as $|\omega, N\rangle$, we have

$$\langle \omega, N | H(\omega) | \omega, N \rangle = c_N \hbar\omega, \quad (2)$$

where c_N is a constant dependent on N .

The Hellmann-Feynman theorem states that

$$\omega \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \langle \omega, N | H(\omega) | \omega, N \rangle = \langle \omega, N | \omega \frac{\partial H(\omega)}{\partial \omega} | \omega, N \rangle. \quad (3)$$

We see from Eq. (2) that the left-hand side is equal to the ground state energy, and from Eq. (1) that the right-hand side is equal to twice the average potential energy. One obtains the virial theorem: $\langle H \rangle = 2\langle V \rangle$.

It is easy to extend the theorem to the case of an anisotropic trap. In this case the Hamiltonian is

$$H = \sum_{a=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\frac{\mathbf{p}_{ai}^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega_i^2 \mathbf{r}_{ai}^2}{2} \right) + H_{\text{pair}}, \quad (4)$$

and instead of Eq. (2) we have

$$\langle \omega_i, N | H(\omega_i) | \omega_i, N \rangle = E_N(\omega_i). \quad (5)$$

Consider a generalization of Eq. (3):

$$\sum_i \omega_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_i} \langle \omega_i, N | H(\omega_i) | \omega_i, N \rangle = \langle \omega_i, N | \sum_i \omega_i \frac{\partial H(\omega_i)}{\partial \omega_i} | \omega_i, N \rangle. \quad (6)$$

Basic dimensionality analysis tells us that $E_N(\omega_i)$ is equal to a common frequency, e.g., the geometric mean $(\omega_1 \omega_2 \omega_3)^{1/3}$, times a dimensionless function of the ratios between frequencies. Such a function is homogeneous function of first order of its arguments, i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \omega_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_i} E_N(\omega_i) = E_N(\omega_i). \quad (7)$$

while the Hamiltonian itself is a homogeneous function of second order with respect to ω_i . From Eq. (6) we obtain the virial theorem in an anharmonic trap.

The proof can be extended to finite temperature T as well. For simplicity consider the harmonic trap. The free energy has to scale as

$$F_N(\omega, T) = c_N \left(\frac{T}{\omega} \right) \hbar \omega. \quad (8)$$

Now c_N can depend on the dimensionless ratio T/ω . We now apply the finite-temperature version of the Hellmann-Feynman formula,

$$\omega \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} F_N(\omega, T) = \langle \omega \frac{\partial H}{\partial \omega} \rangle. \quad (9)$$

The right hand side is again $2\langle V \rangle$. The left hand side, from Eq. (8), can be transformed to

$$\omega \frac{\partial F_N}{\partial \omega} = F_N - T \frac{\partial F_N}{\partial T} = F + TS, \quad (10)$$

which, according to a thermodynamic relation, the average energy $\langle H \rangle$ in the canonical ensemble. The extension to anharmonic traps and grand canonical ensemble is straightforward.

We note that the proof does not rely on the local density approximation. Compared to Chevy's proof (quoted in Ref. [2]), here we rescale the potential while in Chevy's proof the

wavefunction is rescaled. The theorem holds for systems with spin imbalanced, independent of their phase structure.

Finally we note that the virial theorem should be valid for other systems with no intrinsic scales, for example for the system of anyons in two-dimensional harmonic traps.

2. The quantum Monte-Carlo calculation of Ref. [3] shows a clear even-odd effect, reminiscent of the behavior of the nuclear binding energy. If one denotes by E_N the ground energy of N particles in an isotropic harmonic trap ($E_N = c_N \hbar \omega$) then the quantity

$$\Delta_N = E_N - \frac{1}{2}(E_{N-1} + E_{N+1}), \quad (11)$$

for odd N , is positive and approximately $0.6 - 0.7 \hbar \omega$ for the range of particle number N studied (3 to 21). Recall that the ground state for even N has equal numbers of spin-up and spin-down fermions, while for odd N the numbers differ by one.

The effect is clearly related to pairing between particles, but a question arises: will the odd-even effect remains constant when one goes to large N ? Here we argue that in the limit of large N , the even-odd splitting has to grow as a small power of N :

$$\Delta_N = E_N - \frac{1}{2}(E_{N-1} + E_{N+1}) \sim N^{1/9} \omega, \quad N \text{ large and odd} \quad (12)$$

To see how does this dependence arise, first recall that in the local density approximation (LDA) the system, with an even number of particles, can be thought of as a Fermi gas with a spatially dependent chemical potential:

$$\mu(\mathbf{r}) = \mu_0 - V(\mathbf{r}) = \mu_0 - \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 \mathbf{r}^2 \quad (13)$$

(we consider an isotropic trap). Assume we have a system with even N , and discuss the process of introducing an extra particle into it. For $N \gg 1$, the extra particle can be thought of as an extra fermionic quasiparticle carrying definite spin. If $N \gg 1$ the cloud is large, and the quasiparticle can be approximately localized at a position $\mathbf{r}$. The energy cost of doing so is the chemical potential, plus the local energy gap $\Delta(\mathbf{r})$ (due to superfluidity), which is proportional to μ :

$$\Delta(\mathbf{r}) = C \mu(\mathbf{r}) \quad (14)$$

($C \approx 1.2$ according to a Monte-Carlo simulation [5]). Therefore the extra particle will be localized where the gap is smallest, which is near the edge of the cloud, $r = R$. Unfortunately, the LDA breaks down there.

Since the quasiparticle is localized on the thin shell, the curvature of the shell can be completely ignored. As the result, the problem is mapped onto the problem of inserting a quasiparticle in a symmetric system at $\mu = 0$ in the linear potential

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{E} z \quad (15)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E} = m \omega^2 R. \quad (16)$$




FIG. 1: Localization of an extra unpaired quasiparticle on the surface of the cloud. The solid line is the effective chemical potential, and the dashed line is the effective potential experienced by an extra unpaired quasiparticle. The picture is not quantitatively accurate near the edge of the cloud.

The choice of the notation reflects the fact that $\mathcal{E}$ can be interpreted as an electric field.

In the potential (15) the symmetric system, in the local density approximation, fills the $z < 0$ half of space; the other half $z > 0$ is empty. Beyond LDA there is a boundary layer around $z = 0$. The density tends to infinity as $z \rightarrow -\infty$ but it is fine for a scale-free system as the unitary Fermi gas. Assuming $\mathcal{E} > 0$, the quasiparticle cannot stray toward negative z , because the gap there is large, and it cannot stray toward positive z because of the potential. Therefore it has to be localized at the boundary $z = 0$ (Fig. 1). At unitarity the ground state energy of this quasiparticle can be estimated by dimensional analysis by constructing the unique combination of $\hbar$, m , and $\mathcal{E}$ with the dimension of energy,

$$E_{\text{extra}} = \chi \frac{(\hbar\mathcal{E})^{2/3}}{m^{1/3}}. \quad (17)$$

Here χ is a universal dimensionless constant.

Recall that the size of the cloud R is related to the particle number N by

$$R = \xi^{1/4} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{m\omega}} (24N)^{1/6} \quad (18)$$

where ξ is an universal number (defined as the ratio of energy of an unitary Fermi gas and the energy of a free Fermi gas with the same density), we find, by combining Eqs. (16), (17), and (18),

$$\Delta_N = \chi \xi^{1/6} (24N)^{1/9} \hbar\omega \quad (19)$$

which is the behavior advertised in Eq. (12). Thus we conclude that the odd-even splitting grows as a small power of N at large N .

While ξ has been evaluated by many methods (which typically give $\xi \approx 0.4$ [4]), we have no previous evaluation of χ . Ideally, one would like to use quantum Monte-Carlo method to find it, but it should be also possible to estimate χ by using the ϵ expansion technique [6]. One could use the Bogoliubov-de Gennes equation to find a rough estimate of χ . This is, however, beyond the scope of this note.

We can also discuss the odd-even splitting in an anharmonic trap. We take the limit of large N , fixed aspect ratios of the trap. The extra particle is still located near the edge of the cloud, but instead of spreading out over the whole ellipsoidal edge it will be attracted to the areas where the potential gradient (the effective electric field $\mathcal{E}$) is smallest. This corresponds the locations farthest from the center. Repeating the calculations we shall find

$$\Delta_N = \chi \xi^{1/6} (24N)^{1/9} \hbar \bar{\omega}^{1/3} \omega_{\min}^{2/3} \quad (20)$$

where $\bar{\omega} = (\omega_1 \omega_2 \omega_3)^{1/3}$ and $\omega_{\min}$ is the minimal among ω_1 , ω_2 , and ω_3 .

3. Let us now discuss the excited energy levels of a system in a harmonic trap. For a system with even N and equal numbers of spin-up and spin-down fermions, the lowest-energy excitation can be thought of as a coherent excitation of the superfluid, and the lowest energy excitations have energies of order $\hbar\omega$ [7].

For an odd system, another type of excitations exist. Recall that we now have a extra quasiparticle moving on the surface of the cloud. We assume that this quasiparticle has the dispersion relation $\epsilon = k^2/2m^*$ at small momentum k along the surface, where m^* is an effective mass. In the ground state the extra particle has momentum zero. One can give this quasiparticle a nonzero orbital momentum, as the result one get a series of excitation level whose energy depends on the orbital momentum ℓ as

$$E_\ell = \frac{\hbar^2 \ell(\ell+1)}{2m^* R^2} = \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2\xi^{1/2}} \frac{1}{(24N)^{1/3}} \frac{m}{m^*} \hbar\omega \quad (21)$$

What is interesting is that the splitting between lowest energy levels is not given by the scale $\hbar\omega$ as in even systems, but by a much smaller scale $N^{-1/3} \hbar\omega$.

It is also interesting to discuss the excitations of an odd system in an anharmonic trap. Again we consider the limit of large N at fixed trap aspect ratios. If the smallest frequency is unique (e.g., if $\omega_1 < \omega_2$ and $\omega_1 < \omega_3$) then the extra particle is attracted to two opposite points on the longest axis of the ellipsoidal cloud. When the cloud is large the particle can jump between the two points only by quantum tunneling. Thus for odd number of particles N the ground state is almost degenerate with the first excited state, which has an opposite parity. This “parity doubling” does not hold when the trap is an oblate spheroid (e.g., if $\omega_1 = \omega_2 < \omega_3$), since now the extra particle is concentrated to a circular ring around the cloud edge.

I am indebted to G. Bertsch, A. Bulgac, S. Y. Chang, J. E. Drut, M. Forbes, A. Kryjevski, and S. Tan for discussions leading to this note, and to J. Thomas for comments on the manuscript. This work is supported, in part, by DOE Grant No. DE-FG02-00ER41132.

[1] J. E. Thomas, J. Kinast, and A. Turlapov, “Virial theorem and universality of a unitary Fermi gas,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 120402 (2005) [arXiv:cond-mat/0503620].

- [2] F. Werner and Y. Castin, “Unitary gas in an isotropic harmonic trap: symmetry properties and applications,” *Phys. Rev. A* **74**, 053604 (2006) [cond-mat/0607821].
- [3] S. Y. Chang and G. F. Bertsch, “Unitarity Fermi gas in a harmonic trap,” physics/0703190.
- [4] See, e.g., a summary in P. Arnold, J. E. Drut, and D. T. Son, “Next-to-next-to-leading order ϵ expansion for a Fermi gas at infinite scattering length,” *Phys. Rev. A* **75**, 043505 (2007) [arXiv:cond-mat/0608477].
- [5] J. Carlson and S. Reddy, “Asymmetric two-component fermion systems in strong coupling,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 060401 (2005) [arXiv:cond-mat/0503256].
- [6] Y. Nishida and D. T. Son, “ ϵ expansion for a Fermi gas at infinite scattering length,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 050403 (2006) [arXiv:cond-mat/0604500].
- [7] M. A. Baranov and D. S. Petrov, “Low-energy collective excitations in a superfluid trapped Fermi gas,” *Phys. Rev. A* **62**, 041601 (2000) [arXiv:cond-mat/9901108].