TDDFT and Strongly Correlated Systems: Insight From Numerical Studies

Claudio Verdozzi

Mathematical Physics, Lund Institute of Technology, SE-22100 Lund, Sweden

(Dated: September 7, 2021)

We illustrate the scope of Time Dependent Density Functional Theory (TDDFT) for strongly correlated (lattice) models out of equilibrium. Using the exact many body time evolution, we reverse engineer the exact exchange correlation (xc) potential v_{xc} for small Hubbard chains exposed to time-dependent fields. We introduce an adiabatic local density approximation (ALDA) to v_{xc} for the 1D Hubbard model and compare it to exact results, to gain insight about approximate xc potentials. Finally, we provide some remarks on the v-representability for the 1D Hubbard model.

PACS numbers: 31.15.Ew, 71.27.+a , 31.70.Hq, 71.10.Fd

Density Functional Theory (DFT) [1] enables accurate investigations of realistic systems of considerable complexity. However, strongly correlated systems (SCS) have until now remained elusive to DFT. And, so far, most theories of SCS focus on equilibrium or non-equilibrium steady state regimes, to understand the long time response to external fields. Nanoscale systems pose new challenges to the theory of strong correlations, since the latter are usually enhanced by spatial confinement. Virtually every future (nano) technology will use devices which interact with a time dependent (TD) environment. This increases the demand for *ab initio* methods to describe realistic SCS acted upon by fast TD external fields.

In the last decade, TDDFT [2] has emerged as an effective ab initio treatment of TD phenomena [3, 4, 5]. DFT and TDDFT functionals, although related, are different entities [6]: progress within TDDFT comes with progress with non equilibrium functionals. Constructing TDDFT functionals is an active area of research, with much work done, for example, in terms of the so-called Optimised Effective Method and extensions [7, 8]. A systematic route is given by a variational approach to Many Body Perturbation Theory (MBPT) [9], with a controlled improvement of the functionals [10]. We also mention recent work [11] to include corrections to the ALDA [12]. Current TDDFT functionals are quite successful for weakly interacting systems or in the linear response regime[3, 4, 5]. To date, no studies are available of TDDFT applied to SCS (for DFT, see [13, 14]). At this early stage, model systems can be of aid, to provide guidelines for *ab initio* approaches. An assessment of TDDFT for model SCS under TD fields is thus highly desirable.

Here we study finite Hubbard chains in the presence of TD external fields, and use the results from exact time evolution to assess the potential of TDDFT for SCS. We also introduce an ALDA to v_{xc} , based on an LDA-Bethe-Ansatz approach to the ground state of the inhomogeneous 1D Hubbard model [14]. Our main results are i) in the range of parameters we investigated, TDDFT is a practically viable route to describe SCS far away from equilibrium and in the TD regime; this is our central re-

sult; ii) an exact analytic treatment for a two-site chain and an exact inequality for general 1D chains are consistent with the numerical results; iii) for not too large external fields, the exact xc potential, v_{xc} , obtained numerically by reverse engineering, is regular and well behaved within the time span of our simulations. However, in some cases, v_{xc} shows sharp structures in its temporal profile; iv) strong electron-electron interactions reduce memory effects; yet, non-adiabatic and non-local effects are in general necessary ingredients for a TDDFT of SCS. *TDDFT time evolution for the many body problem.* We study open-ended Hubbard chains, with Hamiltonian

$$H = V \sum_{\langle RR' \rangle \sigma} a^+_{R\sigma} a_{R'\sigma} + U \sum_R n_{R+} n_{R-} + h(t) \sum_{\sigma} n_{1\sigma} \quad (1)$$

with $n_{R\sigma} = a_{R\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{R\sigma}, \ \sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow \text{ and } \langle R, R' \rangle$ denoting nearest neighbour sites. The hopping parameter is V(V = -1), U is the interaction strength, and h(t) is the strength of a spin independent, local external field. Uand h(t) are given in units of |V|. For simplicity, h(t)is localised at the leftmost site (R = 1), but we examined other couplings, not discussed here. We consider L = 4 to 12 sites and $N_e = L$ or 3L/2 electrons (halfand three-quarter filling densities); we take spin up and down electrons equal in number; this holds during the time evolution, since H has no spin-flip terms. To evolve in time the exact many-body $|\Psi(t)\rangle$ we use the Lanczos's algorithm [16] in the mid-point approximation (in all calculations the timestep $\Delta = 0.02|V|^{-1}$; numerical convergence was checked by halving Δ). By a fitting procedure, we find an exact Kohn-Sham (KS) Hamiltonian,
$$\begin{split} H^{KS} &= V \sum_{\langle RR' \rangle \sigma} a^+_{R\sigma} a_{R'\sigma} + h(t) \sum_{\sigma} n_{1\sigma} + \sum_{R\sigma} v_{eff}(R,t) n_{R\sigma}, \\ \text{where } v_{eff}(R,t) &= v_H(R,t) + v_{xc}(R,t). \text{ Since we consider} \end{split}$$
nonmagnetic regimes, $v_{eff}(R, t)$ is spin-independent. We require the exact and the KS electron densities to be the same at each time and cluster site. In practice, $v_{xc}(R,t)$ is determined by minimising $\sum_{R\sigma} [\langle n_{R\sigma} \rangle_t^{KS} - \langle n_{R\sigma} \rangle_t]^2$. Our procedure extends to TDDFT a method introduced long ago in DFT [17]. In [18], it was shown quite generally how to map from TD densities to potentials. Such mapping was recently used for a He model atom [19].



FIG. 1: (Color online) $L = 4, N_e = 4$. Top left : the external fields $h(t) = h_{a,b}(t)$. Mid and bottom rows: n(R,t) and $v_{xc}(R,t)$ for different (U,h) cases: black solid, orange solid (grey in b&w) and black dashed curves refer to sites R = 1, 2, 4, respectively (R=3 results can be obtained via $\sum_{R} v_{xc}(R,t) = 0, \sum_{R} n(R,t) = 2$). Top right: v_{xc}/U at R = 1 for $U = 3, h_b$ (thin black curve), $U = 15, h_a$, (orange/grey curve), $U = 15, h_b$ (thick black curve). All panels have the same time intervals/units.

Half filled chains: Exact Results and TDDFT. Small clusters prevent local excitations from propagating away, introducing time oscillations in the density; as a way to mitigate size effects, we consider chains of different lengths. We start with a four-site chain at half-filling (Fig. 1). In the initial, ground state, $n(R, t = 0) \equiv$ $\langle q | n_{B\sigma} | q \rangle = 0.5$ at any site. We choose U = 3 and 15, as examples of two interaction regimes. The results for v_{xc} are shown in the bottom panels: when v_{xc} is reused in the KS equations, it reproduces $n(R, t) \equiv \langle n_{R\sigma} \rangle_t$ (middle panels) with an accuracy of 10^{-5} or better (this applies to all figures). Since v_{xc} is defined up to an arbitrary site independent function C(t), we display the potentials differences, e.g. $\delta v_{xc}(R,t) = v_{xc}(R,t) - v_{xc}^{av}(t)$, where $v_{xc}^{av}(t) = (1/L) \sum_{i} v_{xc}(R_i, t)$. This also applies to v_H and v_{eff} (for the Hartree term, $v_H^{av}(t) = UN_e/2L$). For simplicity, the prefix δ will be omitted. Also, we find useful to rescale v_{xc} (and v_{eff}) by U when comparing results for different U's. In Fig. 1 we consider two external perturbations, switched-on/off at a faster (h_a) or slower (h_b) rate. For U = 15 and $h = h_a(t)$, the densities exhibit fast oscillations superimposed on a smoother, average change. For U = 15 and $h_b(t)$, the oscillations are considerably suppressed, due to a more gradual change of the overlap between the initial, ground state and the excited ones during the onset of $h_h(t)$. The degree of charge redistribution is determined by U: for example, for $h_b(t)$, the (small) charge imbalance at U = 15 is fully absorbed by the second, R = 2, site; at U = 3, all sites are involved. From a TDDFT perspective, this is a consequence of how v_{xc} depends on U. In the bottom panels, we can see that v_{xc} and n(R,t) behave rather similarly.



FIG. 2: (Color online) Half-filling results. Top left four panels: exact density (thick black) and v_{xc}/U (thin black) curves at site R = 1 when U = 1 and L=6 to 12. The field $\mathfrak{h}(t)$ is also shown (dashed curve). Right six panels: L = 8. Dashed (solid) curves refer to $h_1(t) = \mathfrak{h}(t)$ ($h_2(t) = 2\mathfrak{h}(t)$). Left bottom graphs: ground state LDOS at R = 1 for L = 8, with a site energy shift $\epsilon_{R=1} = 0, 1, 2$. Darker (lighter) patterns refer to the hole (electron) LDOS. A Lorentzian broadening was introduced.

On the other hand, results in the top right panel of Fig. 1 show that the range variation of the rescaled quantities, i.e. v_{xc}/U , is comparable in all cases. Also, for large U, v_{xc}/U is very much in phase with the perturbation. For U = 3, out-of-phase effects are evident. For example, the largest oscillations in v_{xc}/U occur when $h_b(t)$ has already returned to 0 (this suggest that large U values tend to reduce memory effects). This is a rather generic behavior, that we noted also for other fields [15].

Larger chains at half filling. In Fig. 2, top left panel, we show results for L=6- to 12-site clusters, with U = 1 and $h(t) = \mathfrak{h}(t)$ the same for all L's. Chains with different L behave rather similarly, the obvious differences being due to the fine details of the excited states. In Fig. 2, in the six panels on the right, we compare results for U = 1 and 3 and two perturbations $h_1(t) = \mathfrak{h}(t)$ and $h_2(t) = 2\mathfrak{h}(t)$, when L = 8. To get an idea of the strength of $h_{1,2}(t)$, we can look at the equilibrium one-particle interacting local density of states, LDOS (Fig. 2, bottom left panels), when a static shift $\epsilon_{R=1} = 1, 2$ is introduced. The shift corresponds to the maximum value $h_{1,2}^{max}$ achieved by $h_{1,2}(t)$ during the time evolution (the unshifted LDOS is also shown) and induces significant (larger for smaller U) spectral changes. The maxima $h_{1,2}^{max} = 1, 2$ are large enough to induce transitions from occupied to empty levels (cfr. with the energy gap in the unshifted LDOS). Results for the TD density at U = 1 and U = 3 in the six right panels are consistent with the LDOS features and with results from Fig. 1. For example, charge variations are affected both by U and h(t): a larger U (a smaller field) induces a weaker response. Also, at U = 3, the TD density imbalance is localised near the site R = 1; for U=1, it redistributes across all sites. Finally, in a broad parameter range, TDDFT reproduces the exact density. Adiabaticity vs locality and TDDFT. We now introduce an ALDA to TDDFT for the Hubbard model, and apply it to a chain with L = 8 and $N_e = 12$ (thus we also show how TDDFT performs away from half filling). To disentangle adiabatic from locality effects in v_{xc} , we use two approximations (A1 and A2) for the density. In A1, we calculate at every timestep the ground state oneparticle density of the instantaneous many body Hamiltonian, Eq.(1) (this implies no approximations based on local potentials). In A2, we introduce an ALDA to v_{xc} ; our ALDA uses a a Local Density Approximation (LDA) to v_{xc} for the ground state of the 1D inhomogenous Hubbard model [14], based on the Bethe-Ansatz (BA). We employ an analytical interpolation to $v_{xc}^{BA-LDA}(n)$ [14]:

$$v_{xc}^{BALDA}(n) = \mu \left[2\cos(\pi z/\beta) - 2\cos(\pi z/2) + Uz/2 \right]$$
(2)

where $n = n_{+} + n_{-}$, z = 1 - |n - 1|, $\mu = sgn(n - 1)$ and $\beta \equiv \beta(U)$, which is independent of n, is obtained from the BA solution at half filling [14]. From Eq.(2), v_{xc} has a jump at n = 1, $\Delta_{xc} = 4\cos(\pi/\beta(U)) + U$. In our novel ALDA scheme, v_{xc}^{BALDA} becomes a function of the instantaneous densities along the KS trajectories, $v_{xc}^{A2} \equiv v_{xc}^{BALDA}(n^{KS}(R,t))$. In Fig. 3, we compare exact and approximate results for slow and fast perturbations. All results are for site R = 1. We begin with the non-adiabatic case (panels in the top four rows) where $U = 3, 6, h_1(t) = \mathfrak{h}(t)$ and $h_2(t) = 2\mathfrak{h}(t)$, with $\mathfrak{h}(t)$ the same as in Fig. 2. An exact TDDFT description (black solid curves) is possible also away from half filling n > 1. As when n = 1, but to a lesser extent, larger U values reduce the changes in the density due to h(t). For $h_2(t)$ and U = 3, the exact v_{xc} exhibits sharp resonances for t > 90, when $h_2(t)$ has returned to zero. At the same points, the density behaves smoothly. We observed such peaks for other kinds of perturbations and other parameters values; their intensity increases at larger perturbation strengths. Such structures might be a challenge in constructing approximate potentials. Turning to A1, we note that a non-local but fully adiabatic description (dashed curves) gives correctly the average profile of the density. With the exact wavefunction replaced by the instantaneous (exact) ground state counterpart, there is no contribution from the excited states. This removes memory effects, and the density closely follows the temporal profile of h(t): for example, the oscillations in the exact densities around t = 90 are completely missed by A1. For A2, (orange curves, grey in b&w) the agreement with the exact densities is better, the maximum discrepancy being within a few percent (this level of discrepancy we also found for BALDA ground state densities). When $h_{1,2}(t) = 0$, A2 reproduces many aspects of the exact results. However, a significant time-delay of certain traits suggests that memory effects are not being properly

taken into account (this especially manifests for $t \ge 90$, when $h_{1,2}(t) = 0$. The agreement between exact and A2 densities looks better for $h_2(t)$ than for $h_1(t)$. To elaborate on this point, we compare exact and A2 results for v_{xc} . For $h_1(t)$ and both U values, there is a small discrepancy between v_{xc} and v_{xc}^{A2} . This accounts for part of the difference between the corresponding densities (the other part being due to v_H). For $h_2(t)$, when $2n^{KS}(R,t) = 1$, v_{xc}^{A2} shows discontinuities (see Eq.(2)) which are absent in $v_{xc}(t)$. This suggests that, for $h_2(t)$, the agreement between A2 and exact results is somewhat accidental, whenever h(t) drives n across the half-filling value. To corroborate this point, we show (Fig. 3, bottom row) results for two slow perturbations $h_1^a(t) = \mathfrak{h}_{ad}(t)$ (dashed curves) and $h_2^a(t) = 2\mathfrak{h}_{ad.}(t)$ (solid curves), with $\mathfrak{h}_{ad.}(t)$ a smoothened version of $\mathfrak{h}(t)$ of Fig. 2. The exact (black curves) and A1 densities are identical (A1 densities are fully underneath the exact ones), i.e. the exact time evolution is fully adiabatic; A2 performs well (orange curves, grey in b&w) whenever $n^{KS}(t)$ does not cross the half filling point. If the crossing occurs $(U = 3, h_2^a(t))$, we see noise-like features in n^{KS} , due to the jump in v_{xc}^{A2} . To summarize this section, TDDFT reproduces the exact density with a reasonable-looking v_{xc} which can be, however, rather different from the ALDA one. And, in general, non-adiabatic and non-local effects (the jump is a non local feature) are both needed in v_{xc} for a TDDFT of SCS. We finally note that for SCS with nearly filled bands the T-matrix approximation [20], TMA, is quite successful [21]. As a mention of work in progress, a study of v_{xc} in the TD TMA is under way.

Remarks about v-representability. It was recently pointed out [22] that, for lattice models, there is an issue concerning the mapping of TD densities to potentials. The analysis in [22] was for a one-particle, two site system. To discuss the Kohn-Sham v-representability (KSVR) in a two-site system with $N_e = 1$ electrons, we write, for any time t, $\psi_{KS}(t) = e^{i\chi}(n^{1/2}, e^{i\phi}(1-n)^{1/2});$ one can show that $|\dot{n}| \leq 2|V|(n(1-n))^{1/2}$, a necessary and sufficient condition for KSVR. For the trial density in [22], there are time intervals where such inequality is not fulfilled. Similar conclusions for L = 2 were independently reached in [23], where the $L > 2, N_e = 1$ was also studied. In [23], the inequality for $|\dot{n}|$ was related to the real vs complex nature of the effective potential. For $L > 2, N_e = 1$ the condition for a real potential is [23] $|S_k| \leq 2|V|\sqrt{n_k n_{k+1}}|$, where $S_k = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i$. However, for the present work, we need to consider the many-particle, interacting case. Starting with a Hubbard dimer (HD), i.e. with Eq.(1) for L = 2, we performed simulations for different pairs (U, h(t)) and verified that $|\dot{n}| \leq 2|V|(n(1-n))^{1/2}$ is always obeyed. This offers strong evidence of the KSVR of a HD. To complete a formal proof, one needs to show that such inequality always holds for an HD. This is indeed the case [24]. The many-particle L > 2 case is consider-



FIG. 3: (Color online) L=8 at 3/4 filling. Top four rows: fast fields. Black solid, black dashed, and orange (grey in b&w) curves denote exact, A1 and A2 results, respectively. The time unit is the same for all panels; panels in the same row share the same vertical scale. The curves in each row (i.e. $n_1, v_{xc}/U$) are specified on the left, while the fields (i.e. h_1 or h_2) are specified on the right. Bottom row: slow fields (note the horizontal axis). Solid (dashed) curves refer to h_1^a (h_2^a) fields. Black (orange/grey) curves denote exact (A2) results.

ably more complicated, and here we limit our discussion to a simple but necessary condition for KSVR. For the KS system, the total density (per spin channel) at the k-th site is $n_k^{tot} = \sum_{\lambda} n_k^{\lambda}$, where λ labels the KS one particle states. As a generalisation of the result in [23], we define $S_k^{tot} = \sum_{\lambda} S_k^{\lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^k \dot{n}_i^{tot}$. We get $|S_k^{tot}| \leq \sum_{\lambda} 2|V|(n_k^{\lambda}n_{k+1}^{\lambda})^{1/2}$ and, using the Schwarz inequality, $S_k^{tot} \leq 2|V|(n_k^{tot}n_{k+1}^{tot})^{1/2}$. For the interacting many body system, we start with $\langle \dot{n}_{ks} \rangle = i\langle [H, n_{ks}] \rangle = iV\langle [a_{k+1,s}^{\dagger}a_{k,s} - a_{k,s}^{\dagger}a_{k-1,s} - h.c.] \rangle$. We then get $S_k^{tot} = \sum_{i=1}^k \langle \dot{n}_{ks} \rangle = iV\langle [a_{k+1,s}^{\dagger}a_{k,s} - h.c.] \rangle$. By the same manipulations as in the HD, $|S_k^{tot}| \leq 2|V|(n_k^{tot}n_{k+1}^{tot})^{1/2}$. Thus, for $L > 2, N_e > 1$, the inequality holds for the KS and the interacting 1D systems, which is consistent with the numerical results for L > 2.

In conclusion, we provided a characterisation of TDDFT for strongly correlated systems. We compared exact vs. approximate results from the time evolution of model finite systems, in a broad range of model parameters. The exact v_{xc} gave us insight into some of the properties approximate xc functionals should satisfy. The v-representability problem was discussed, and an adiabatic approximation was introduced [25]. Our results illustrate the scope of TDDFT for non equilibrium phenomena in the presence of strong, time varying external fields in SCS, and encourage further investigations, some of which currently under way. We acknowledge many profitable discussions with C-O. Almbladh and U. von Barth. We also thank K. Capelle and K. Burke for useful conversations. This work was supported by the EU 6th framework Network of Excellence NANOQUANTA (NMP4-CT-2004-500198).

- P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. **136**, B 864 (1964); W. Kohn and L.J. Sham, Phys. Rev. **140**, A 1133 (1965).
- [2] E. Runge and E. K. U. Gross, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 997 (1984).
- [3] Time-Dependent Density Functional Theory, edited by M.A.L. Marques, C. A. Ullrich, F. Nogueira, A. Rubio, K. Burke, E.K.U. Gross (Springer Verlag, 2006)
- [4] S. Botti *et al.*, Rep. Prog. Phys **70** 357(2007)
- [5] K. Burke, J. Werschnik, E.K. U. Gross J. Chem. Phys. 123, 062206 (2005)
- [6] N. T. Maitra, K. Burke and C. Woodward, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 023002 (2002)
- [7] C. A. Ullrich, U. J. Gossmann, E.K.U. Gross, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 872 (1995)
- [8] A. Gorling, Phys. Rev. A 55, 2630 (1997)
- [9] C.-O. Almbladh, U. von Barth and R. van Leeuwen, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 13, 535 (1999)
- [10] U. von Barth et al., Phys. Rev. B 72, 235109 (2005)
- [11] E. Orestes et al., J. Chem. Phys. 127, 124101 (2007)
- [12] A. Zangwill and P. Soven, Phys. Rev. A 21, 1561 (1980)
- [13] K. Schönhammer, O. Gunnarsson, R.M. Noack, Phys. Rev. B52, 2504 (1995)
- [14] N. A. Lima et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 146402 (2003)
- [15] For additional results, see C. Verdozzi, arXiv:0707.2317
- [16] T. J. Park and J. C. Light, J. Chem. Phys. 85, 10, 5870 (1986)
- [17] C. O. Almbladh and A. C. Pedroza, Phys.Rev.A 29 2322 (1984); U. von Barth, in *Many Body Phenomena at Surfaces*, D. Langreth and H. Suhl eds., Academic Press (1984)
- [18] R. vanLeeuwen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 3863 (1999)
- [19] M. Lein and S. Kummel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 143003 (2005)
- [20] V. Galitzkii, Soviet Phys. JETP 7, 104 (1958)
- [21] See, e.g., C. Verdozzi, R. W. Godby, S. Holloway, Phy. Rev. Lett. **74**, 2327 (1995); M. Cini and C. Verdozzi, Solid State Comm. **57**, 657(1986)
- [22] R. Baer, J. Chem. Phys. 128, 044103 (2008)
- [23] Y. Li and C. A. Ullrich, J. Chem. Phys. 129, 044105 (2008)
- [24] For a HD with two electrons with opposite spins, the inequality was proven by C.-O.Almbladh: one has $(V = -1) \dot{n}_s = i[\hat{H}, \hat{n}_s] = i(a_{2s}^{\dagger}a_{1s} a_{1s}^{\dagger}a_{2s})$, where *s* labels the spin channel ($\langle \hat{n}_s \rangle = \langle \hat{n}_{-s} \rangle = n$). Hence, $|\langle \hat{n}_s \rangle| = |\langle a_{2s}^{\dagger}a_{1s} \rangle \langle a_{1s}^{\dagger}a_{2s} \rangle| \leq 2|\langle a_{1s}^{\dagger}a_{2s} \rangle|$. Using the Schwarz inequality, $2|\langle a_{1s}^{\dagger}a_{2s} \rangle| \leq 2|\langle a_{1s}^{\dagger}a_{1s} \rangle|^{1/2}|\langle a_{2s}^{\dagger}a_{2s} \rangle|^{1/2} = 2(n(1-n))^{1/2}$, where $n_1 = n$ and $n_2 = 1 n$.
- [25] Very recently, the ALDA introduced here (see also arXiv:0707.2317) has been used by W. Li, G. Xianlong, C. Kollath and M. Polini, arXiv:0805.4743