

Evaluation of effective resistances in pseudo-distance-regular resistor networks

M. A. Jafarizadeh^{a,b,c}*, R. Sufiani^{a,b}†, S. Jafarizadeh^d

^aDepartment of Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics, University of Tabriz, Tabriz 51664, Iran.

^bInstitute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics, Tehran 19395-1795, Iran.

^cResearch Institute for Fundamental Sciences, Tabriz 51664, Iran.

^dDepartment of Electrical and computer engineering, University of Tabriz, Tabriz 51664, Iran.

November 20, 2018

*E-mail:jafarizadeh@tabrizu.ac.ir

†E-mail:sofiani@tabrizu.ac.ir

Abstract

In Refs. [1] and [2], calculation of effective resistances (two-point resistances) on distance-regular networks was investigated, where in the first paper, the calculation was based on the stratification of the network and Stieltjes function associated with the network, whereas in the latter one a recursive formula for effective resistances was given based on the Christoffel-Darboux identity. In this paper, evaluation of effective resistances on more general networks called pseudo-distance-regular networks [3] or QD type networks [4] is investigated, where we use the stratification of these networks and show that the effective resistances between a given node such as α and all of the nodes β belonging to the same stratum with respect to α ($R_{\alpha\beta(m)}$, β belonging to the m -th stratum with respect to the α) are the same. Then, based on the spectral techniques, an analytical formula for effective resistances $R_{\alpha\beta(m)}$ such that $L_{\alpha\alpha}^{-1} = L_{\beta\beta}^{-1}$ (those nodes α, β of the network such that the network is symmetric with respect to them) is given in terms of the first and second orthogonal polynomials associated with the network, where L^{-1} is the pseudo-inverse of the Laplacian of the network. From the fact that in distance-regular networks, $L_{\alpha\alpha}^{-1} = L_{\beta\beta}^{-1}$ is satisfied for all nodes α, β of the network, the effective resistances $R_{\alpha\beta(m)}$ for $m = 1, 2, \dots, d$ (d is diameter of the network which is the same as the number of strata) are calculated directly, by using the given formula.

Keywords: effective resistance, pseudo-distance-regular networks, stratification, spectral distribution

PACs Index: 01.55.+b, 02.10.Yn

1 Introduction

The study of electric networks was formulated by Kirchhoff [5] more than 150 years ago as an instance of a linear analysis. Our starting point is along the same line by considering the Laplacian matrix associated with a network. The Laplacian is a matrix whose off-diagonal entries are the conductances connecting pairs of nodes. Just as in graph theory where everything about a graph is described by its adjacency matrix (whose elements is 1 if two vertices are connected and 0 otherwise), everything about an electric network is described by its Laplacian. Recently, the authors have given a method for calculation of the effective resistance on distance-regular networks [1], where the calculation is based on stratification introduced in [6] and Stieltjes transform of the spectral distribution (Stieltjes function) associated with the network. Also, in Ref. [1] it has been shown that the resistances between a node α and all nodes β belonging to the same stratum with respect to the α ($R_{\alpha\beta(i)}$, β belonging to the i -th stratum with respect to the α) are the same and the analytical formulas have been given for two-point resistances $R_{\alpha\beta(i)}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ in terms of the size of the network and corresponding intersection array without any need to know the spectrum of the pseudo inverse L^{-1} . In the next work [2], the authors have used the algebraic structure of distance-regular networks (Bose-Mesner algebra) such as stratification and spectral techniques specially the well known Christoffel-Darboux identity [8] from the theory of orthogonal polynomials to give a recursive formula for calculation of all of the resistance distances $R_{\alpha\beta(i)}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$ (d is diameter of the network which is the same as the number of strata) on the network without any need to calculating the spectrum of the pseudo inverse of the Laplacian of the network denoted by L^{-1} . In this way they have shown that, the effective resistance strictly increases by increasing the shortest path distance defined on the network, i.e., $R_{\alpha\beta(m+1)} - R_{\alpha\beta(m)} > 0$ for all $m = 1, 2, \dots, d - 1$. Here in this work, evaluation of effective resistances on more general networks called pseudo-distance-regular networks [3] or QD type networks [4] is investigated, where we use the stratification of

these networks and show that the effective resistances between a given node such as α and all of the nodes β belonging to the same stratum with respect to α are the same. Then, based on the spectral techniques, an analytical formula for effective resistances $R_{\alpha\beta(m)}$ such that $L_{\alpha\alpha}^{-1} = L_{\beta\beta}^{-1}$ (those nodes α, β of the network such that the network is symmetric with respect to them) is given in terms of the first and second orthogonal polynomials associated with the network. Particularly, due to the fact that, in distance-regular networks we have $L_{\alpha\alpha}^{-1} = L_{\beta\beta}^{-1}$ for all nodes α, β of the network, the effective resistances $R_{\alpha\beta(m)}$ for $m = 1, 2, \dots, d$ are calculated directly, by using the given formula.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In section 2, we give some preliminaries such as definitions related to graphs, their adjacency matrices, stratification of the graphs, pseudo-distance-regular graphs and spectral distribution associated with the graphs. In section 3, an analytical formula for calculating the effective resistances in pseudo-distance-regular graphs as resistor networks is given in terms of the orthogonal polynomials of the first kind and second kind associated with the networks. The paper is ended with a brief conclusion and an appendix.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we give some preliminaries such as definitions related to graphs, corresponding stratification, pseudo-distance-regular graphs and spectral distribution techniques.

2.1 Graph and its adjacency matrix

In this section, we review the stratification of the graphs and the notion of pseudo-distance-regularity.

A graph is a pair $\Gamma = (V, E)$, where V is a non-empty set and E is a subset of $\{(x, y) : x, y \in V, x \neq y\}$. Elements of V and of E are called a vertex and an edge, respectively. Two vertices $x, y \in V$ are called adjacent if $(x, y) \in E$, and in that case we write $x \sim y$. For a

graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$, the adjacency matrix A is defined as

$$(A)_{\alpha, \beta} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha \sim \beta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}. \quad (2-1)$$

Conversely, for a non-empty set V , a graph structure is uniquely determined by such a matrix indexed by V .

The degree or valency of a vertex $x \in V$ is defined by

$$\kappa(x) = |\{y \in V : y \sim x\}| \quad (2-2)$$

where, $|\cdot|$ denotes the cardinality. The graph is called regular if the degree of all of the vertices be the same. In this paper, we will assume that graphs under discussion are regular. A finite sequence $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \in V$ is called a walk of length n (or of n steps) if $x_{i-1} \sim x_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let $l^2(V)$ denote the Hilbert space of C -valued square-summable functions on V . With each $\beta \in V$ we associate a vector $|\beta\rangle$ such that the β -th entry of it is 1 and all of the other entries of it are zero. Then $\{|\beta\rangle : \beta \in V\}$ becomes a complete orthonormal basis of $l^2(V)$. The adjacency matrix is considered as an operator acting in $l^2(V)$ in such a way that

$$A|\beta\rangle = \sum_{\alpha \sim \beta} |\alpha\rangle. \quad (2-3)$$

2.2 stratification

For $x \neq y$ let $\partial(x, y)$ be the length of the shortest walk connecting x and y . By definition $\partial(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in V$. The graph becomes a metric space with the distance function ∂ . Note that $\partial(x, y) = 1$ if and only if $x \sim y$. We fix a point $o \in V$ as an origin of the graph, called reference vertex. Then, the graph Γ is stratified into a disjoint union of strata:

$$V = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \Gamma_i(o), \quad \Gamma_i(o) := \{\alpha \in V : \partial(\alpha, o) = i\} \quad (2-4)$$

Note that $\Gamma_i(o) = \emptyset$ may occur for some $i \geq 1$. In that case we have $\Gamma_i(o) = \Gamma_{i+1}(o) = \dots = \emptyset$.

With each stratum $\Gamma_i(o)$ we associate a unit vector in $l^2(V)$ defined by

$$|\phi_i\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa_i}} \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_i(o)} |\alpha\rangle, \quad (2-5)$$

where, $\kappa_i = |\Gamma_i(o)|$ is called the i -th valency of the graph ($\kappa_i := |\{\gamma : \partial(o, \gamma) = i\}| = |\Gamma_i(o)|$). The closed subspace of $l^2(V)$ spanned by $\{|\phi_i\rangle\}$ is denoted by $\Lambda(\Gamma)$. Since $\{|\phi_i\rangle\}$ becomes a complete orthonormal basis of $\Lambda(\Gamma)$, we often write

$$\Lambda(\Gamma) = \sum_i \oplus \mathbf{C}|\phi_i\rangle. \quad (2-6)$$

2.3 Pseudo-distance-regular graphs

Given a vertex $\alpha \in V$ of a graph Γ , consider the stratification (2-4) with respect to α such that $\Gamma_i(\alpha) = \emptyset$ for $i > d$. Then, we say that Γ is pseudo-distance-regular around vertex α whenever for any $\beta \in \Gamma_k(\alpha)$ and $0 \leq k \leq d$, the numbers

$$c_k(\beta) := \frac{1}{\kappa(\beta)} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_1(\beta) \cap \Gamma_{k-1}(\alpha)} \kappa(\gamma), \quad a_k(\beta) := \frac{1}{\kappa(\beta)} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_1(\beta) \cap \Gamma_k(\alpha)} \kappa(\gamma), \quad b_k(\beta) := \frac{1}{\kappa(\beta)} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_1(\beta) \cap \Gamma_{k+1}(\alpha)} \kappa(\gamma), \quad (2-7)$$

do not depend on the considered vertex $\beta \in \Gamma_k(\alpha)$, but only on the value of k . In such a case, we denote them by c_k , a_k and b_k respectively. Then, the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_1 & \dots & c_{d-1} & c_d \\ a_0 & a_1 & \dots & a_{d-1} & a_d \\ b_0 & b_1 & \dots & b_{d-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2-8)$$

is called the (pseudo-)intersection array around vertex α of Γ . It is shown in Ref. [3] that this is a generalization of the concept of distance-regularity around a vertex (which in turn is a generalization of distance-regularity). It should be noticed that for regular graphs Γ ($\kappa(\beta) = \kappa$ for all $\beta \in V$), the numbers c_k , a_k and b_k read as

$$c_k = |\Gamma_1(\beta) \cap \Gamma_{k-1}(\alpha)|, \quad a_k = |\Gamma_1(\beta) \cap \Gamma_k(\alpha)|, \quad b_k = |\Gamma_1(\beta) \cap \Gamma_{k+1}(\alpha)|, \quad (2-9)$$

where we tacitly understand that $\Gamma_{-1}(\alpha) = \emptyset$ (see Fig. 1). The intersection numbers (2-9) and the valencies $\kappa_i = |\Gamma_i(\alpha)|$ satisfy the following obvious conditions

$$a_i + b_i + c_i = \kappa, \quad \kappa_{i-1}b_{i-1} = \kappa_i c_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, d,$$

$$\kappa_0 = c_1 = 1, \quad b_0 = \kappa_1 = \kappa, \quad (c_0 = b_d = 0). \quad (2-10)$$

One should notice that, the definition of pseudo-distance regular graphs together with the Eq.(2-10), imply that in general, the valencies κ_i (the size of the i -th stratum) for $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$ do not depend on the considered vertex $\beta \in \Gamma_k(\alpha)$, but only on the value of k .

The notion of pseudo-distance regularity has a close relation with the concept of QD type graphs introduced by Obata [4], such that for the adjacency matrices of this type of graphs, one can obtain a quantum decomposition associated with the stratification (2-4) as

$$A = A^+ + A^- + A^0, \quad (2-11)$$

where, the matrices A^+ , A^- and A^0 are defined as follows: for $\beta \in \Gamma_k(\alpha)$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} (A^+)_{\beta\delta} &= \begin{cases} A_{\beta\delta} & \text{if } \delta \in \Gamma_{k+1}(\alpha) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \\ (A^-)_{\beta\delta} &= \begin{cases} A_{\beta\delta} & \text{if } \delta \in \Gamma_{k-1}(\alpha) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \\ (A^0)_{\beta\delta} &= \begin{cases} A_{\beta\delta} & \text{if } \delta \in \Gamma_k(\alpha) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \end{aligned}$$

Or equivalently, for $|k, \beta\rangle$,

$$A^+|k, \beta\rangle = \sum_{\delta \in \Gamma_{k+1}(\alpha), \delta \sim \beta} |k+1, \delta\rangle, \quad A^-|k, \beta\rangle = \sum_{\delta \in \Gamma_{k-1}(\alpha), \delta \sim \beta} |k-1, \delta\rangle, \quad A^0|k, \beta\rangle = \sum_{\delta \in \Gamma_k(\alpha), \delta \sim \beta} |k, \delta\rangle, \quad (2-12)$$

Since $\beta \in \Gamma_k(\alpha)$ and $\beta \sim \delta$ then $\delta \in \Gamma_{k-1}(\alpha) \cup \Gamma_k(\alpha) \cup \Gamma_{k+1}(\alpha)$.

It has been shown in Ref. [4] that, if $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ is invariant under the quantum components A^+, A^- and A^0 , then there exist two sequences (called Szegő- Jacobi sequences) $\{\omega_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$ and $\{\alpha_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$ derived from A such that

$$A^+|\phi_l\rangle = \sqrt{\omega_{l+1}}|\phi_{l+1}\rangle, \quad l \geq 0, \quad ,$$

$$A^-|\phi_0\rangle = 0, \quad A^-|\phi_l\rangle = \sqrt{\omega_l}|\phi_{l-1}\rangle, \quad l \geq 1,$$

$$A^0|\phi_l\rangle = \alpha_l|\phi_l\rangle, l \geq 0, \quad (2-13)$$

where $\omega_{l+1} = \frac{\kappa_{l+1}}{\kappa_l} \kappa_-^2(j)$, $\kappa_-(j) = |\{i \in \Gamma_l(\alpha) : i \sim j\}|$ for $j \in \Gamma_{l+1}(\alpha)$ and $\alpha_l = \kappa_0(j)$, such that $\kappa_0(j) = |\{i \in V_l; i \sim j\}|$ for $j \in \Gamma_l(\alpha)$, for $l \geq 0$. One can easily check that the coefficients α_i and ω_i are given by

$$\alpha_k \equiv a_k = \kappa - b_k - c_k, \quad \omega_k \equiv \beta_k^2 = b_{k-1}c_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, d. \quad (2-14)$$

By using (2-11) and (2-13), one can obtain

$$A|\phi_l\rangle = \beta_{l+1}|\phi_{l+1}\rangle + \alpha_l|\phi_l\rangle + \beta_l|\phi_{l-1}\rangle, \quad l \geq 0, \quad (2-15)$$

with $\beta_l := \sqrt{\omega_l}$. Then, by using (2-15), one can deduce that

$$|\phi_l\rangle = P_l(A)|\phi_0\rangle, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, d, \quad (2-16)$$

where, $P_l(A) = a_0 + a_1A + a_2A^2 + \dots + a_lA^l$ is a polynomial of degree l in indeterminate A and conversely A^l can be written as a linear combination of $P_0(A), P_1(A), \dots, P_d(A)$. In fact, it can be shown that [9], the unit vectors $|\phi_l\rangle$ for $l = 0, 1, \dots, d$ are the orthonormal basis produced by application of the orthonormalization process (Lanczos algorithm) to the so called Krylov basis $\{|\phi_0\rangle, A|\phi_0\rangle, A^2|\phi_0\rangle, \dots, A^d|\phi_0\rangle\}$ (for more details see for example [6], [9]).

2.4 Spectral distribution associated with the network

In this subsection we recall some facts about the spectral distribution associated with the adjacency matrix of the network. In fact, the spectral analysis of operators is an important issue in quantum mechanics, operator theory and mathematical physics [10, 11]. Since the advent of random matrix theory (RMT), there has been considerable interest in the statistical analysis of spectra [12, 13, 14]. Also, the two-point resistance has a probabilistic interpretation based on classical random walker walking on the network. Indeed, the connection between random walks and electric networks has been recognized for some time (see e.g. [15, 16, 17]

), where one can establish a connection between the electrical concepts of current and voltage and corresponding descriptive quantities of random walks regarded as finite state Markov chains (for more details see [18]). Also, by adapting the random-walk dynamics and mean-field theory it has been studied that [19], how the growth of a conducting network, such as electrical or electronic circuits, interferes with the current flow through the underlying evolving graphs. In Ref. [1], it has been shown that, there is also connection between the mathematical techniques introduced in previous subsections and this subsection such as Hilbert space of the stratification and spectral techniques (which have been employed in [6, 7, 9, 20, 21] for investigating continuous time quantum walk on graphs), and electrical concept of resistance between two arbitrary nodes of regular networks, and so the same techniques can be used for calculating the resistance. Note that, although we take the spectral approach to define the effective resistance in terms of orthogonal polynomials (which are orthogonal with respect to the spectral distribution μ associated with the network) with three term recursion relations, in practice as it will be seen in section 3, the effective resistances will be calculated without any need to evaluate the spectral distribution μ .

It is well known that, for any pair $(A, |\phi_0\rangle)$ of a matrix A and a vector $|\phi_0\rangle$, it can be assigned a measure μ as follows

$$\mu(x) = \langle \phi_0 | E(x) | \phi_0 \rangle, \quad (2-17)$$

where $E(x) = \sum_i |u_i\rangle \langle u_i|$ is the operator of projection onto the eigenspace of A corresponding to eigenvalue x , i.e.,

$$A = \int x E(x) dx. \quad (2-18)$$

It is easy to see that, for any polynomial $P(A)$ we have

$$P(A) = \int P(x) E(x) dx, \quad (2-19)$$

where for discrete spectrum the above integrals are replaced by summation. Therefore, using the relations (2-17) and (2-19), the expectation value of powers of adjacency matrix A over

starting site $|\phi_0\rangle$ can be written as

$$\langle\phi_0|A^m|\phi_0\rangle = \int_R x^m \mu(dx), \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (2-20)$$

The existence of a spectral distribution satisfying (2-20) is a consequence of Hamburgers theorem, see e.g., Shohat and Tamarkin [[22], Theorem 1.2].

Obviously relation (2-20) implies an isomorphism from the Hilbert space of the stratification onto the closed linear span of the orthogonal polynomials with respect to the measure μ . More clearly, the orthonormality of the unit vectors $|\phi_i\rangle$ implies that

$$\delta_{ij} = \langle\phi_i|\phi_j\rangle = \int_R P_i(x)P_j(x)\mu(dx), \quad (2-21)$$

where, we have used the Eq. (2-16) to write $|\phi_i\rangle = P_i(A)|\phi_0\rangle$. Now, by substituting (2-16) in (2-15), we get three term recursion relations between polynomials $P_j(A)$, which leads to the following three term recursion relations between polynomials $P_j(x)$

$$xP_k(x) = \beta_{k+1}P_{k+1}(x) + \alpha_k P_k(x) + \beta_k P_{k-1}(x) \quad (2-22)$$

for $k = 0, \dots, d-1$, with $P_0(x) = 1$. Multiplying two sides of (2-22) by $\beta_1 \dots \beta_k$ we obtain

$$\beta_1 \dots \beta_k xP_k(x) = \beta_1 \dots \beta_{k+1}P_{k+1}(x) + \alpha_k \beta_1 \dots \beta_k P_k(x) + \beta_k^2 \beta_1 \dots \beta_{k-1}P_{k-1}(x). \quad (2-23)$$

By rescaling P_k as $Q_k = \beta_1 \dots \beta_k P_k$, the spectral distribution μ under question is characterized by the property of orthonormal polynomials $\{Q_k\}$ defined recurrently by

$$Q_0(x) = 1, \quad Q_1(x) = x,$$

$$xQ_k(x) = Q_{k+1}(x) + \alpha_k Q_k(x) + \beta_k^2 Q_{k-1}(x), \quad k \geq 1 \quad (2-24)$$

(for more details see Refs.[8, 22, 23, 24]).

It should be noticed that, the starting values of the recurrence (2-24) are $Q_{-1} = 0$, $Q_0 = 1$. If one starts from $q_{-1} = -1$, $q_0 = 0$ and uses the same recurrence (with $\omega_0 = 1$)

$$xq_n(x) = q_{n+1}(x) + \alpha_n q_n(x) + \omega_n q_{n-1}(x), \quad (2-25)$$

then q_n is of degree $n-1$, and by Favard's theorem the different q_n 's are orthogonal with respect to some measure. The q_n 's are called orthogonal polynomials of the second kind (sometimes for Q_n we say that they are of the first kind). They can also be written in the form

$$q_n(z) = \int_R \frac{Q_n(z) - Q_n(x)}{z - x} d\mu(x), \quad (2-26)$$

(for more details see for example [25]).

3 Two-point resistances in regular resistor networks

A classic problem in electric circuit theory studied by numerous authors over many years, is the computation of the resistance between two nodes in a resistor network (see, e.g., [26]). The results obtained in this section show that, there is a close connection between the techniques introduced in section 2 such as Hilbert space of the stratification and the orthogonal polynomials of the first and second kind associated with the networks and electrical concept of resistance between two arbitrary nodes of the networks.

For a given regular network Γ with v vertices and adjacency matrix A , let $r_{ij} = r_{ji}$ be the resistance of the resistor connecting vertices i and j . Hence, the conductance is $c_{ij} = r_{ij}^{-1} = c_{ji}$ so that $c_{ij} = 0$ if there is no resistor connecting i and j . Denote the electric potential at the i -th vertex by V_i and the net current flowing into the network at the i -th vertex by I_i (which is zero if the i -th vertex is not connected to the external world). Since there exist no sinks or sources of current including the external world, we have the constraint $\sum_{i=1}^v I_i = 0$. The Kirchhoff law states

$$\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^v c_{ij}(V_i - V_j) = I_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, v. \quad (3-27)$$

Explicitly, Eq.(3-27) reads

$$L\vec{V} = \vec{I}, \quad (3-28)$$

where, \vec{V} and \vec{I} are v -vectors whose components are V_i and I_i , respectively and

$$L = \sum_i c_i |i\rangle\langle i| - \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} |i\rangle\langle j| \quad (3-29)$$

is the Laplacian of the graph Γ with

$$c_i \equiv \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^v c_{ij}, \quad (3-30)$$

for each vertex α . Hereafter, we will assume that all nonzero resistances are equal to 1, then the off-diagonal elements of $-L$ are precisely those of A , i.e.,

$$L = \kappa I - A, \quad (3-31)$$

with $\kappa \equiv \kappa_1 = \deg(\alpha)$, for each vertex α . It should be noticed that, L has eigenvector $(1, 1, \dots, 1)^t$ with eigenvalue 0. Therefore, L is not invertible and so we define the pseudo-inverse of L as

$$L^{-1} = \sum_{i, \lambda_i \neq 0} \lambda_i^{-1} E_i, \quad (3-32)$$

where, E_i is the operator of projection onto the eigenspace of L^{-1} corresponding to eigenvalue λ_i . It has been shown that, the two-point resistances $R_{\alpha\beta}$ are given by

$$R_{\alpha\beta} = \langle \alpha | L^{-1} | \alpha \rangle + \langle \beta | L^{-1} | \beta \rangle - \langle \alpha | L^{-1} | \beta \rangle - \langle \beta | L^{-1} | \alpha \rangle. \quad (3-33)$$

This formula may be formally derived using Kirchoff's laws, and seems to have been long known in the electrical engineering literature, with it appearing in several texts, such as Ref. [27].

Now, consider two nodes $\alpha, \beta \in V$ such that $L_{\alpha\alpha}^{-1} = L_{\beta\beta}^{-1}$ (as we will see in subsection 4.1, for distance-regular graphs as resistor networks, the diagonal entries of L^{-1} are independent of the vertex, i.e., for all $\alpha, \beta \in V$, we have $L_{\alpha\alpha}^{-1} = L_{\beta\beta}^{-1}$). Then, from the relation (3-33) and the fact that L^{-1} is a real matrix, we can obtain the two-point resistance between the nodes α and β as follows

$$R_{\alpha\beta} = 2(L_{\alpha\alpha}^{-1} - L_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}). \quad (3-34)$$

It should be noticed that, due to the stratification of the network, all of the nodes belonging to the same stratum with respect to the reference node (a node which stratification is done with respect to it), possess the same effective resistance with respect to the reference node (the proof is given in the appendix). More clearly, in order to evaluate the effective resistance between two nodes α and β of a network, we consider one of the nodes, say α , as reference node and stratify the network with respect to α . Then, β will belong to one of the strata with respect to α , say the m -th stratum $\Gamma_m(\alpha)$. Now, for all $\beta \in \Gamma_m(\alpha)$, one can write

$$L_{\alpha\beta(m)}^{-1} = \langle \alpha | L^{-1} | \beta \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa_m}} \langle \alpha | L^{-1} | \phi_m \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa_m}} \langle \alpha | P_m(A) L^{-1} | \alpha \rangle. \quad (3-35)$$

where, we have used the equations (2-5) and (2-16) and the lemma given in the appendix. Then, by using (3-34), we obtain for all $\beta \in \Gamma_m(\alpha)$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\alpha\beta(m)} &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\kappa_m}} \{ \sqrt{\kappa_m} L_{\alpha\alpha}^{-1} - (P_m(A) L^{-1})_{\alpha\alpha} \} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\kappa_m \omega_1 \dots \omega_m}} \langle \alpha | \frac{\sqrt{\kappa_m \omega_1 \dots \omega_m} 1 - Q_m(A)}{\kappa 1 - A} | \alpha \rangle = \\ &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\kappa_m \omega_1 \dots \omega_m}} \int_{R-\{\kappa\}} \frac{Q_m(\kappa) - Q_m(x)}{\kappa - x} d\mu(x). \end{aligned} \quad (3-36)$$

where, the upper index m in $L_{\alpha\beta(m)}^{-1}$ and $R_{\alpha\beta(m)}$ indicate that β belongs to the m -th stratum with respect to α . Note that, we have substituted $P_m(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_1 \dots \omega_m}} Q_m(x)$ and used the equality $Q_m(\kappa) = \sqrt{\kappa_m \omega_1 \dots \omega_m}$ which can be verified easily. It should be noticed that, the result (3-36) can be written as

$$R_{\alpha\beta(m)} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\kappa_m \omega_1 \dots \omega_m}} \left\{ \int_R \frac{Q_m(\kappa) - Q_m(x)}{\kappa - x} d\mu(x) - \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} Q_m(x) \right) \Big|_{x=\kappa} \right\}. \quad (3-37)$$

Now, by using (2-26) and (3-37), we obtain the main result of the paper as follows

$$R_{\alpha\beta(m)} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\kappa_m \omega_1 \dots \omega_m}} \{ q_m(\kappa) - \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} Q_m(x) \right) \Big|_{x=\kappa} \}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, d. \quad (3-38)$$

We recall that, the result (3-38) can be used only for evaluating the effective resistance between nodes such that the network is symmetric with respect to them in the sense that, stratification of the network with respect to these nodes produces the same strata. Then for such nodes α and β , we will have $L_{\alpha\alpha}^{-1} = L_{\beta\beta}^{-1}$.

4 Examples

4.1 Evaluation of effective resistances on distance-regular networks

First, we recall the definition of special kind of pseudo-distance-regular networks called distance-regular networks:

Definition. A pseudo-distance regular network $\Gamma = (V, E)$ is called distance-regular with diameter d if for all $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$, and $\alpha, \beta \in V$ with $\beta \in \Gamma_k(\alpha)$, the numbers $c_k(\beta)$, $a_k(\beta)$ and $b_k(\beta)$ defined in (2-7) depend only on k but do not depend on the choice of α and β .

It should be noticed that, in distance-regular networks, the i -th adjacency matrix of the network $\Gamma = (V, R)$ is defined by

$$(A_i)_{\alpha, \beta} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \partial(\alpha, \beta) = i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}. \quad (4-39)$$

Then, from the definition of A_i , for the reference state $|\phi_0\rangle$ ($|\phi_0\rangle = |o\rangle$, with $o \in V$ as reference vertex), we have

$$A_i |\phi_0\rangle = \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma_i(o)} |\beta\rangle. \quad (4-40)$$

Then by using (2-5) and (4-40), we have

$$A_i |\phi_0\rangle = \sqrt{\kappa_i} |\phi_i\rangle. \quad (4-41)$$

Also, it can be shown that, for adjacency matrices of a distance regular graph, we have

$$A_1 A_i = b_{i-1} A_{i-1} + a_i A_i + c_{i+1} A_{i+1}, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, d-1,$$

$$A_1 A_d = b_{d-1} A_{d-1} + a_d A_d. \quad (4-42)$$

Using the recursion relations (4-42), one can show that A_i is a polynomial in A_1 of degree i , i.e., we have

$$A_i = P_i(A_1), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, d, \quad (4-43)$$

and conversely A_1^i can be written as a linear combination of I, A_1, \dots, A_d (for more details see for example [6]).

Now, it should be noticed that, stratification of distance-regular networks will be independent of the choice of the reference node (the node which stratification is done with respect to it). Then, clearly we will have $L_{\alpha\alpha}^{-1} = L_{\beta\beta}^{-1}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in V$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$ and consequently, the result (3-38) can be used for evaluation of the effective resistance between any two arbitrary nodes α, β ; It is sufficient to choose one of these nodes, say α , as reference node and stratify the network with respect to it. Then, β will be contained in one of the strata, say m -th stratum, with respect to α and so the effective resistance between $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma_m(\alpha)$ can be evaluated via Eq.(3-38).

1. Cycle network C_v

A well known example of distance-regular networks, is the cycle network C_v with $\kappa = 2$. The network C_v for $v = 2k$ or $v = 2k + 1$ consists of $k + 1$ strata, where the intersection arrays for even and odd number of vertices are given by

$$\{b_0, \dots, b_{k-1}; c_1, \dots, c_k\} = \{2, 1, \dots, 1, 1; 1, \dots, 1, 2\} \quad (4-44)$$

$$\text{and } \{b_0, \dots, b_{k-1}; c_1, \dots, c_k\} = \{2, 1, \dots, 1; 1, \dots, 1, 1\}, \quad (4-45)$$

respectively. Then, by using (2-14), for even $v = 2k$ the QD parameters are given by

$$\alpha_i = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, k; \quad \omega_1 = \omega_k = 2, \quad \omega_i = 1, \quad i = 2, \dots, k-1, \quad (4-46)$$

where, for odd $v = 2k + 1$, we obtain

$$\alpha_i = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1, \quad \alpha_k = 1; \quad \omega_1 = 2, \quad \omega_i = 1, \quad i = 2, \dots, k. \quad (4-47)$$

We consider $v = 2k$ (the case $v = 2k + 1$ can be considered similarly). Then, by using (2-24) and (4-46), one can obtain

$$Q_0 = 1, \quad Q_1 = x, \quad Q_i(x) = 2T_i(x/2), \quad i > 1; \quad q_i(x) = Q_{i-1}(x), \quad i \geq 1, \quad (4-48)$$

where, T_i 's are Chebyshev polynomials in one variable, which are recursively defined by

$$T_0 = 1, \quad T_1 = x, \quad T_n(x) = 2xT_{n-1} - T_{n-2}, \quad n > 1. \quad (4-49)$$

Then, by using (3-38) and (4-48), the effective resistance between any two nodes $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma_m(\alpha)$, is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\alpha\beta(1)} &= q_1(2) - \frac{1}{2k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} Q_1(x)|_{x=2} = 1 - \frac{1}{2k}, \\ R_{\alpha\beta(m)} &= 2T_{m-1}(1) - \frac{1}{2k} T'_m(1), \quad \text{for } m > 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4-50)$$

2. (d+1)-Simplex fractals

(d+1)-simplex fractal is a generalization of a two dimensional Sierpinski gasket to d -dimensions such that its subfractals are $(d+1)$ -simplices or d -dimensional polyhedra with $S(d+1)$ -symmetry. In order to obtain a fractal with decimation number 2, we choose a $(d+1)$ -simplex and divide all the links (that is the lines connecting sites) into 2 parts and then draw all possible d -dimensional hyperplanes through the links parallel to the transverse d -simplices. Next, having omitted every other innerpolyhedra, we repeat this process for the remaining simplices or for the subfractals of next higher generation. This way through $(d+1)$ -simplex fractals are constructed. We label subfractals of generation $(n+1)$ in terms of partition of 1 into $(d+1)$ positive integers $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{d+1}$. Each partition represents a subfractal of generation n , and λ shows the distance of the corresponding subfractal from d -dimensional hyperplanes which construct the $(d+1)$ -simplex. On the other hand, each vertex denoted by partition of 2 into $(d+1)$ non-negative integers $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_{d+1}$ and obviously the i -th vertex of subfractal $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{d+1})$ is denoted by $\eta_j = \lambda_j + \delta_{i,j}$, where $j = 1, 2, \dots, d+1$ (for more details see [28],[29]).

Now, we consider the $(d+1)$ -simplex fractal with decimation number $b = 2$ such that all of the $d+1$ vertices $\underbrace{(20\dots 0)}_{d+1}, \underbrace{(020\dots 0)}_{d+1}, \dots, \underbrace{(0\dots 02)}_{d+1}$ are connected via a resistor to each other (see Fig. 2 (a) and Fig. 2 (b) for $d = 2$ and $d = 4$, respectively). Then, the number of vertices of $(d+1)$ -simplex fractal is $N = C_1^{d+1} + C_2^{d+1} = \frac{(d+1)(d+2)}{2}$ such that the degree of each vertex is $\kappa = 2d$. Also, it can be easily shown that the network has 3 strata with respect to the

reference node $(200\dots 0)$, where the unit vectors $|\phi_i\rangle$, $i = 0, 1, 2$ are given as follows

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_0\rangle &= \underbrace{|200\dots 0\rangle}_{d+1}, \\ |\phi_1\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2d}} \sum_{i=2}^{d+1} (|00\dots 0 \underbrace{2}_i 0\dots 0\rangle + |10\dots 0 \underbrace{1}_i 0\dots 0\rangle), \\ |\phi_2\rangle &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{d(d-1)}} \sum_{i,j=2,\dots,d+1;i<j} |0\dots 0 \underbrace{1}_i 0\dots 0 \underbrace{1}_j 0\dots 0\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4-51)$$

Then, one can show that

$$\begin{aligned} A|\phi_0\rangle &= \sqrt{2d}|\phi_1\rangle, \\ A|\phi_1\rangle &= \sqrt{2d}|\phi_0\rangle + d|\phi_1\rangle + 2\sqrt{d-1}|\phi_2\rangle, \\ A|\phi_2\rangle &= 2\sqrt{d-1}|\phi_1\rangle + 2(d-2)|\phi_2\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4-52)$$

Also, by using the recursion relations (4-52) one can easily show that the adjacency matrices $A \equiv A_1$, $A_2 = J - A - I$ (where, J is all one matrix) satisfy the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} A^2 &= 2d \cdot \frac{I_{(d+1)(d+2)}}{2} + d \cdot A + 4A_2, \\ AA_2 &= (d-1)A + 2(d-2)A_2. \end{aligned} \quad (4-53)$$

It should be noticed that if we stratify the network with respect to another reference node such as $(1100\dots 0)$, the unit vectors will be obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_0\rangle &= \underbrace{|1100\dots 0\rangle}_{d+1}, \\ |\phi_1\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2d}} \left\{ \sum_{i=3,\dots,d+1} (|10\dots 0 \underbrace{1}_i 0\dots 0\rangle + |010\dots 0 \underbrace{1}_i 0\dots 0\rangle) + |200\dots 0\rangle + |020\dots 0\rangle \right\}, \\ |\phi_2\rangle &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{d(d-1)}} \left\{ \sum_{i=3,\dots,d+1} |00\dots 0 \underbrace{2}_i 0\dots 0\rangle + \sum_{i,j=3,\dots,d+1;i<j} |00\dots 0 \underbrace{1}_i 0\dots 0 \underbrace{1}_j 0\dots 0\rangle \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4-54)$$

Then, one can show that, the same recursion relations as in (4-52) and (4-53) are satisfied for this stratification, i.e., stratification of the network gives three-term recursion relations independent of the choice of the reference node and so the network is distance-regular.

Now, by using the equations (2-15) and (4-52), one can obtain

$$\alpha_0 = 0, \quad \alpha_1 = d, \quad \alpha_2 = 2(d-2) \quad ; \quad \omega_1 = 2d, \quad \omega_2 = 4(d-1). \quad (4-55)$$

Then, by using the recursion relations (2-24) and (2-25), one can see that

$$Q_1(x) = x, \quad Q_2(x) = x^2 - dx - 2d \quad ; \quad q_1(x) = 1, \quad q_2(x) = x - d. \quad (4-56)$$

It should be noticed that, the intersection array of the $(d+1)$ -simplex fractal with decimation number $b = 2$, can be evaluated by using (2-14) and (4-55) as: $\{b_0, b_1; c_1, c_2\} = \{2d, d-1; 1, 4\}$ which implies that $\kappa = b_0 = 2d, \kappa_2 = \frac{\kappa b_1}{c_2} = \frac{d(d-1)}{2}$. Now, by using (3-38) and (4-56), the effective resistance between any node $\alpha \in V$ and $\beta \in \Gamma_m(\alpha)$ for $m = 1, 2$ is given by

$$R_{\alpha, \beta^{(1)}} = \frac{2}{\kappa} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right) = \frac{1}{d} \left(1 - \frac{2}{(d+1)(d+2)}\right) = \frac{d+3}{(d+1)(d+2)},$$

$$R_{\alpha, \beta^{(2)}} = \frac{1}{d(d-1)} \{q_2(2d) - \frac{1}{N}(2x-d)|_{x=2d}\} = \frac{1}{d(d-1)} \left\{d - \frac{2}{(d+1)(d+2)} \cdot 3d\right\} = \frac{d+4}{(d+1)(d+2)}. \quad (4-57)$$

3. Hexagon network

Consider the hexagon network with its diameters shown in Fig. 3. As the figure shows, this network has 6 nodes with intersection array $\{b_0, b_1; c_1, c_2\} = \{3, 2; 1, 3\}$. Then by using (2-10) and (2-14), one can obtain

$$\kappa = 3, \quad \kappa_2 = 2; \quad \alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0, \quad \omega_1 = 3, \quad \omega_2 = 6. \quad (4-58)$$

Then, by using (2-24) and (4-58), we obtain

$$Q_0 = 1, \quad Q_1 = x, \quad Q_2(x) = x^2 - 3 \quad ; \quad q_1(x) = 1, \quad q_2(x) = x. \quad (4-59)$$

Now, by using (3-38) and (4-59), the effective resistance between any node $\alpha \in V$ and $\beta \in \Gamma_m(\alpha)$ for $m = 1, 2$ is given by

$$R_{\alpha, \beta^{(1)}} = \frac{2}{3} \left(1 - \frac{1}{6}\right) = \frac{5}{9}, \quad R_{\alpha, \beta^{(2)}} = \frac{1}{3} \left\{q_2(3) - \frac{1}{6}(2x)|_{x=3}\right\} = \frac{2}{3}. \quad (4-60)$$

4. A bipartite distance-regular network with $2n$ nodes

Consider a distance-regular network with $2n$ nodes and adjacency matrices as

$$A_1 = \sigma_x \otimes (J_n - I_n), \quad A_2 = I_2 \otimes (J_n - I_n), \quad A_3 = \sigma_x \otimes I_n, \quad (4-61)$$

where, J_n is an $n \times n$ matrix such that all of its entries are one. Then, one can show that

$$A_1^2 = (n-1)I_{2n} + (n-2)A_2, \quad A_1A_2 = (n-2)A_1 + (n-1)A_3, \quad A_1A_3 = A_2, \quad (4-62)$$

By using (4-42) and (4-62), the intersection array of the network is given by

$$\{b_0, b_1, b_2; c_1, c_2, c_3\} = \{n-1, n-2, 1; 1, n-2, n-1\}.$$

Then, by using (2-10) and (2-14), one can obtain

$$\kappa = n-1, \quad \kappa_2 = n-1, \quad \kappa_3 = 1,$$

$$\alpha_i = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \quad ; \quad \omega_1 = n-1, \quad \omega_2 = (n-2)^2, \quad \omega_3 = n-1. \quad (4-63)$$

Now, by using (2-24) and (4-63), we obtain

$$Q_0 = 1, \quad Q_1 = x, \quad Q_2(x) = x^2 - n + 1, \quad Q_3(x) = x^3 - (n^2 - 3n + 3)x;$$

$$q_1(x) = 1, \quad q_2(x) = x, \quad q_3(x) = x^2 - (n-2)^2. \quad (4-64)$$

So, by using (3-38) and (4-64), the effective resistance between any node $\alpha \in V$ and $\beta \in \Gamma_m(\alpha)$

for $m = 1, 2, 3$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\alpha, \beta^{(1)}} &= \frac{2}{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2n}\right) = \frac{2n-1}{n(n-1)}, \\ R_{\alpha, \beta^{(2)}} &= \frac{2}{(n-1)(n-2)} \left\{ q_2(n-1) - \frac{1}{2n} (2x)|_{x=n-1} \right\} = \frac{2(n-1)}{n(n-2)}, \\ R_{\alpha, \beta^{(3)}} &= \frac{2}{(n-1)(n-2)} \left\{ q_3(n-1) - \frac{1}{2n} [3x^2 - (n^2 - 3n + 3)]|_{x=n-1} \right\} = \frac{2n-3}{(n-1)(n-2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4-65)$$

4.2 Evaluation of effective resistance in examples of pseudo-distance-regular networks

1. Pseudo-distance-regular network derived from Hadamard network with 16 nodes

Consider the pseudo-distance-regular network shown in Fig. 4. This network is obtained from the Hadamard network with intersection array $\{4, 3, 2, 1; 1, 2, 3, 4\}$. As Fig. 4 shows, the network is symmetric with respect to the initial and final (horizontal) nodes 1 and $16 \in \Gamma_4(1)$ and also with respect to the initial and final (vertical) nodes 6 and $11 \in \Gamma_4(6)$. One should notice that stratification of the network with respect to the nodes 1 and 16 produces the same strata. For stratification with respect to the node 1 or 16 , we have

$$\kappa = 4, \quad \kappa_2 = 6, \quad \kappa_3 = 4, \quad \kappa_4 = 1,$$

$$\alpha_i = 0, \quad i = 0, \dots, 3; \quad \omega_1 = 4, \quad \omega_2 = 6, \quad \omega_3 = 6, \quad \omega_4 = 4.$$

(see Eq. (2-13)). Then, by using the recursion relations (2-24) and (2-25), one can obtain

$$Q_4(x) = x^4 - 16x^2 + 24, \quad q_4(x) = x^3 - 12x. \quad (4-66)$$

Now, from Eq. (3-38), the effective resistance between nodes 1 and $16 \in \Gamma_4(1)$ is given by

$$R_{1,16} = \frac{1}{12} \{q_4(4) - \frac{1}{16}(4x^3 - 32x)|_{x=4}\} = \frac{2}{3}. \quad (4-67)$$

In order to evaluate the effective resistance between vertical nodes 6 and 11 , one must consider the stratification of the network with respect to the node 6 or 11 . For this stratification, we obtain

$$\kappa = 4, \quad \kappa_2 = 4, \quad \kappa_3 = 4, \quad \kappa_4 = 3.$$

$$\alpha_i = 0, \quad i = 0, \dots, 3; \quad \omega_1 = 4, \quad \omega_2 = 4, \quad \omega_3 = 1, \quad \omega_4 = 12.$$

Then, by using the recursion relations (2-24) and (2-25), one can obtain

$$Q_4(x) = x^4 - 9x^2 + 4, \quad q_4(x) = x^3 - 5x. \quad (4-68)$$

Therefore, from Eq. (3-38), the effective resistance between nodes 6 and $11 \in \Gamma_4(6)$ is given by

$$R_{6,11} = \frac{1}{12} \{q_4(4) - \frac{1}{16}(4x^3 - 18x)|_{x=4}\} = \frac{65}{24}. \quad (4-69)$$

2. Pseudo-distance-regular network derived from Desargues

This network has 20 nodes with

$$\{b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5\} = \{3, 2, 2, 1, 1; 1, 1, 2, 2, 3\}.$$

Then, by using (2-10) and (2-14), one can obtain

$$\kappa = 3, \quad \kappa_2 = 6, \quad \kappa_3 = 6, \quad \kappa_4 = 3, \quad \kappa_5 = 1$$

$$\alpha_i = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, 5; \quad \omega_1 = 3, \quad \omega_2 = 2, \quad \omega_3 = 4, \quad \omega_4 = 2, \quad \omega_5 = 3.$$

The stratification with respect to the initial node 1 and the final node 20 produces the same strata. Therefore, the effective resistance between the nodes 1 and 20 can be evaluated by using the Eq. (3-38). By using the recursion relations (2-24) and (2-25), we obtain

$$Q_5(x) = x^5 - 11x^3 + 22x, \quad q_5(x) = x^4 - 8x^2 + 4. \quad (4-70)$$

Then, from Eq. (3-38), the effective resistance between nodes 1 and $20 \in \Gamma_5(1)$ is given by

$$R_{1,20} = \frac{1}{6} \{q_5(3) - \frac{1}{20}(5x^4 - 33x^2 + 22)|_{x=3}\} = \frac{13}{12}. \quad (4-71)$$

3. Pseudo-distance-regular network derived from Hadamard network with 32 nodes

Consider the pseudo-distance-regular network obtained from the Hadamard network with intersection array $\{8, 7, 4, 1; 1, 4, 7, 8\}$ such that, the network is symmetric with respect to the initial and final (horizontal) nodes 1 and $32 \in \Gamma_4(1)$ and also with respect to the initial and final (vertical) nodes 10 and $23 \in \Gamma_4(10)$. One should notice that stratification of the network

with respect to the nodes 1 and 32 produces the same strata. For stratification with respect to the node 1 or 32, we have

$$\kappa = 8, \quad \kappa_2 = 14, \quad \kappa_3 = 8, \quad \kappa_4 = 1,$$

$$\alpha_i = 0, \quad i = 0, \dots, 4; \quad \omega_1 = 8, \quad \omega_2 = 28, \quad \omega_3 = 28, \quad \omega_4 = 8.$$

(see Eq. (2-13)). Then, by using the recursion relations (2-24) and (2-25), one can obtain

$$Q_4(x) = x^4 - 64x^2 + 224, \quad q_4(x) = x^3 - 56x. \quad (4-72)$$

Now, from Eq. (3-38), the effective resistance between nodes 1 and $32 \in \Gamma_4(1)$ is given by

$$R_{1,32} = \frac{1}{112} \{q_4(8) - \frac{1}{32}(4x^3 - 128x)|_{x=8}\} = \frac{2}{7}. \quad (4-73)$$

In order to evaluate the effective resistance between vertical nodes 10 and $23 \in \Gamma_4(10)$, one must consider the stratification of the network with respect to the node 10 or 23 and evaluate $R_{10,23}$ as before.

5. A network with 9 nodes

Consider the network given in Fig. 5 with 9 nodes and the following intersection array

$$\{b_0, b_1; c_1, c_2\} = \{4, 2; 1, 2\}.$$

Then by using (2-10) and (2-14), one can obtain

$$\kappa = 4, \quad \kappa_2 = 4, \quad ; \quad \alpha_0 = 0, \quad \alpha_1 = 1, \quad \alpha_2 = 2, \quad \omega_1 = \omega_2 = 4.$$

As it can be seen from Fig. 7, the stratification with respect to the nodes 1 and $9 \in \Gamma_2(1)$ produces the same strata. Then, by using the recursion relations (2-24) and (2-25), one can obtain

$$Q_2(x) = x^2 - x - 4, \quad q_2(x) = x - 1. \quad (4-74)$$

Now, from Eq. (3-38), the effective resistance between nodes 1 and $9 \in \Gamma_2(1)$ is given by

$$R_{1,9} = \frac{1}{4} \{q_2(4) - \frac{1}{9}(2x - 1)|_{x=4}\} = \frac{5}{9}. \quad (4-75)$$

6. A network with 16 nodes

Consider the network given in Fig. 6 with 16 nodes and the following intersection array

$$\{b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\} = \{4, 3, 2, 1; 1, 2, 3, 4\}.$$

Then by using (2-10) and (2-14), one can obtain

$$\kappa = 4, \quad \kappa_2 = 6, \quad \kappa_3 = 4, \quad \kappa_4 = 1; \quad \alpha_i = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, 4, \quad \omega_1 = 4, \quad \omega_2 = \omega_3 = 6, \quad \omega_4 = 4.$$

As it can be seen from Fig. 8, the stratification with respect to the nodes 1 and $16 \in \Gamma_2(1)$ produces the same strata. Then, by using the recursion relations (2-24) and (2-25), one can obtain

$$Q_2(x) = x^2 - 4, \quad q_2(x) = x. \quad (4-76)$$

Now, from Eq. (3-38), the effective resistance between nodes 1 and $16 \in \Gamma_2(1)$ is given by

$$R_{1,16} = \frac{1}{6} \{q_2(4) - \frac{1}{16}(2x)|_{x=4}\} = \frac{7}{12}. \quad (4-77)$$

7. Generalized $G_2(m)$ type network

Consider the network with $2(2^{m+1} - 1)$ nodes and the following intersection array

$$\{b_0, b_1, \dots, b_m; c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m, c_{m+1}\} = \{3, 2, \dots, 2; 1, 1, \dots, 1, 3\}.$$

Then by using (2-10) and (2-14), one can obtain

$$\kappa = 3, \quad \kappa_i = 3 \cdot 2^{i-1}, \quad i = 2, \dots, m, \quad \kappa_{m+1} = 2^m,$$

$$\alpha_i = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m+1; \quad \omega_1 = 3, \quad \omega_2 = \dots = \omega_m = 2, \quad \omega_{m+1} = 6.$$

Then, the stratification with respect to the initial node 1 and the final node $2^{m+2} - 2 \in \Gamma_1(1)$ produces the same strata. Therefore, from the fact that $Q_1(x) = x$ and $q_1(x) = 1$, the effective resistance between nodes 1 and $2^{m+2} - 2 \in \Gamma_1(1)$ is obtained as

$$R_{1,2^{m+2}-2} = \frac{2}{3} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2(2^{m+1} - 1)}\right) = \frac{2^{m+2} - 3}{3(2^{m+1} - 1)}. \quad (4-78)$$

5 Conclusion

Based on the stratification of the pseudo-distance-regular networks and using spectral techniques, the evaluation of the effective resistances on these networks was discussed. It was shown that, in these types of networks, the effective resistances between a node α and all nodes β belonging to the same stratum with respect to the α are the same. Then, an explicit analytical formula for the effective resistance between two nodes α, β of a pseudo-distance-regular resistor network such that $L_{\alpha\alpha}^{-1} = L_{\beta\beta}^{-1}$ (L^{-1} is the pseudo-inverse of the Laplacian matrix of the network) was given in terms of the first and second orthogonal polynomials associated with the network. It was deduced that, the obtained result can be used for evaluation of the effective resistance between any two arbitrary nodes α, β in distance-regular networks, where we have $L_{\alpha\alpha}^{-1} = L_{\beta\beta}^{-1}$ for all nodes α, β .

Appendix

In this appendix we prove the following lemma in connection with the equality of effective resistance between the reference node and all of the nodes belonging to the same stratum with respect to the reference node.

Lemma. Let $R_{\alpha\beta}$ denote the effective resistance between nodes $\alpha, \beta \in V$. Then for pseudo-distance-regular networks, by choosing one of the nodes, say α as reference node, the effective resistance $R_{\alpha\beta}$ is the same for all nodes $\beta \in \Gamma_m(\alpha)$, where $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$.

proof.

In order to prove the above lemma, we prove that in general for any pseudo-distance-regular network with diameter d , we have $\langle \phi_0 | f(A) | \beta \rangle$ ($|\phi_0\rangle \equiv |\alpha\rangle$) is the same for all $\beta \in \Gamma_l(\alpha)$, i.e.,

$$\langle \phi_0 | f(A) | \beta \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa_l}} \langle \phi_0 | f(A) | \phi_l \rangle, \quad (\text{A-i})$$

where, $f(A)$ is any function of the adjacency matrix A of the network such that $f(A) = \sum_{k=0}^d a_k A^k$ and

$$|\phi_l\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa_l}} \sum_{j \in \Gamma_l(\alpha)} |j\rangle, \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, d. \quad (\text{A-ii})$$

To this aim, we take the Fourier transform of the unit vectors $|\phi_l\rangle$ for $l = 0, 1, \dots, d$ as follows

$$|\phi_{l,k}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa_l}} \sum_{j \in \Gamma_l(\alpha)} e^{2\pi i j k / \kappa_l} |j\rangle, \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, d; \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, \kappa_l - 1. \quad (\text{A-iii})$$

Now, we show that

$$\langle \phi_0 | f(A) | \phi_{l,0} \rangle \neq 0,$$

$$\langle \phi_0 | f(A) | \phi_{l,k} \rangle = 0, \quad \forall \quad k = 1, \dots, \kappa_l - 1. \quad (\text{A-iv})$$

To do so, we use the fact that there is a correspondence between the basis I, A, \dots, A^{d-1} and the orthogonal polynomials $P_i(A)$ defined by Eq.(4-43). In fact, as it was regarded previously (see arguments about the Eq.(4-43)), A^l for $l = 0, 1, \dots, d$ can be written as a linear combination of $P_0(A), P_1(A), \dots, P_d(A)$.

It should be noticed that, in Krylov subspace projection methods, approximations to the desired eigenpairs of an $n \times n$ matrix A are extracted from a d -dimensional Krylov subspace

$$K_d(|\phi_0\rangle, A) = \text{span}\{|\phi_0\rangle, A|\phi_0\rangle, \dots, A^{d-1}|\phi_0\rangle\}, \quad (\text{A-v})$$

where, $|\phi_0\rangle$ is often a randomly chosen starting vector called reference state and $d \ll n$, i.e., the vectors $|\phi_0\rangle, A|\phi_0\rangle, \dots, A^{d-1}|\phi_0\rangle$ constitute a basis for the Krylov subspace $K_d(|\phi_0\rangle, A)$. Then, the application of the orthonormalization process (the Lanczos algorithm which is a modified version of the classical Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process) to the Krylov basis $\{A^k|\phi_0\rangle\}_{k=0}^{d-1}$ is equivalent to the construction of a sequence of orthonormal basis $|\phi_j\rangle = P_j(A)|\phi_0\rangle$, where $P_j(A) = a_0 + a_1A + \dots + a_jA^j$ is a polynomial of degree j in indeterminate A .

As regards the above arguments, any function $f(A)$ can be expanded as a linear combination of the polynomials $P_j(A)$, i.e.,

$$f(A) = \sum_{j=0}^d b_j P_j(A). \quad (\text{A-vi})$$

Then, we have

$$\langle \phi_0 | f(A) | \phi_{l,k} \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^d b_j \langle \phi_0 | P_j(A) | \phi_{l,k} \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^d b_j \underbrace{\langle \phi_{j,0} | \phi_{l,k} \rangle}_{\delta_{jl}\delta_{k0}} = 0, \quad \forall \quad k = 1, \dots, \kappa_l - 1. \quad (\text{A-vii})$$

Now, let we denote $\langle \phi_0 | f(A) | j \rangle$ by x_j for $j \in \Gamma_l(\alpha)$. Then, from (A-vii) we have

$$F \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_{\kappa_l} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \phi_0 | f(A) | \phi_{l,0} \rangle \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A-viii})$$

where, F is the $\kappa_l \times \kappa_l$ discrete Fourier transformation matrix(DFT) defined as $F_{jk} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa_l}} e^{2\pi i j k / \kappa_l}$.

Therefore, by inverting F in (A-viii), we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_{\kappa_l} \end{pmatrix} = F^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} \langle \phi_0 | f(A) | \phi_{l,0} \rangle \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa_l}} \begin{pmatrix} \langle \phi_0 | f(A) | \phi_{l,0} \rangle \\ \langle \phi_0 | f(A) | \phi_{l,0} \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle \phi_0 | f(A) | \phi_{l,0} \rangle \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A-ix})$$

That is, we obtain $x_j = \langle \phi_0 | f(A) | j \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa_l}} \langle \phi_0 | f(A) | \phi_{l,0} \rangle$ for all $j \in \Gamma_l(\alpha)$.

References

- [1] M. A. Jafarizadeh, R. Sufiani and S. Jafarizadeh, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 40 (2007) 4949-4972.
- [2] M. A. Jafarizadeh, R. Sufiani and S. Jafarizadeh, arXiv: 0705.2480 (2007).
- [3] M.A. Fiol, E. Garriga, and J.L.A. Yebra, Locally pseudo-distance-regular graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 68 (1996) 179-205.
- [4] N. Obata, Quantum Probabilistic Approach to Spectral Analysis of Star Graphs, Interdisciplinary Information Sciences, Vol. 10 (2004) 41-52.
- [5] G. Kirchhoff, Phys. Chem. 72 (1847) 497-508.
- [6] M. A. Jafarizadeh and S. Salimi, J. Phys. A 39 (2006) 1-29 .
- [7] M. A. Jafarizadeh and S. Salimi, Annals of physics 322 (2007) 1005-1033.
- [8] T. S. Chihara , *An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials*, Gordon and Breach, Science Publishers Inc (1978).
- [9] M. A. Jafarizadeh, S. Salimi and R. Sufiani, arXiv: quan-ph/0606241.
- [10] H. Cycon, R. Forese, W.Kirsch and B.Simon *Schrodinger operators* (Springer-Verlag, 1987).
- [11] P. D. Hislop and I. M. Sigal, *Introduction to spectral theory: With applications to schrodinger operators* (1995).

- [12] C. E. Porter, *Statistical theories of spectra: fluctuations* (Academic Press, New York, 1965).
- [13] M. L. Mehta, *Random matrices*, 2nd ed. (Academic Press, New York, 1991).
- [14] T. Guhr, A. Muller-Groeling, and H. A. Weidenmüller, Phys. Rep. 299 (1998) 190.
- [15] S. Kakutani, Proc. Jap. Acad., 21:227 (1945).
- [16] J. G. Kemeny, J. L. Snell, and A.W. Knapp, *Denumerable Markov Chains* (1966).
- [17] F. Kelly, *Reversibility and Stochastic Networks* (1979).
- [18] P. G. Doyle and J. L. Snell, Random Walks and Electric Networks (The Carus Mathematical Monograph series 22) (Washington, DC: The Mathematical Association of America) pp 83-149 (Preprint math.PR/0001057)(1984).
- [19] B. Tadic and V. Priezzhev, arXiv: cond-mat/0207100.
- [20] M. A. Jafarizadeh and R. Sufiani, Physica A 381 (2007) 116-142.
- [21] M. A. Jafarizadeh and R. Sufiani, arXiv: quant-ph/0704.2602 to be published in International Journal of Quantum Information.
- [22] J. A. Shohat, and J. D. Tamarkin, *The Problem of Moments*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (1943).
- [23] A. Hora, and N. Obata, Fundamental Problems in Quantum Physics, World Scientific **284** (2003).
- [24] A. Hora, and N. Obata, Quantum Information V, World Scientific, Singapore (2002).
- [25] V. Totik, Surveys in Approximation Theory, Vol. 1 (2005) 70-125.
- [26] J. Cserti, Am. J. Phys. 68 (2000) 896 (Preprint cond-mat/9909120).

- [27] S. Seshu and M. B. Reed, *Linear Graphs and Electrical Networks*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, (1961).
- [28] M. A. Jafarizadeh, Physica A 287 (2000) 1-25.
- [29] M. A. Jafarizadeha, European Physical journal B, vol. 4 (1998) 103.

Figure Captions

Figure-1: Shows edges through α and β in a pseudo-distance-regular graph.

Figure-2: (a) Shows 3-simplex fractal with decimation number $b = 2$ (b)Shows 5-simplex fractal with decimation number $b = 2$.

Figure-3: Shows the Hexagon network.

Figure-4: Shows a pseudo-distance-regular network with 16 nodes derived from Hadamard graph.

Figure-5: Shows a pseudo-distance-regular network with 9 nodes.

Figure-6: Shows a pseudo-distance-regular network with 16 nodes.




Fig. 1




Fig. 2 (a)




Fig. 2 (b)




Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig. 5




Fig. 6