Hydrodynamic Fluctuations in Relativistic Superfluids

Manuel A. Valle*

Departamento de Física Teórica, Universidad del País Vasco, Apartado 644, E-48080 Bilbao, Spain (Dated: May 29, 2018)

Abstract

The Hamiltonian formulation of superfluids based on noncanonical Poisson brackets is studied in detail. The assumption that the momentum density is proportional to the flow of the conserved energy is shown to lead to the covariant relativistic theory previously suggested by Khalatnikov, Lebedev and Carter, and some potentials in this theory are given explicitly. We discuss hydrodynamic fluctuations in the presence of dissipative effects and we derive the corresponding set of hydrodynamic correlation functions. Kubo relations for the transport coefficients are obtained.

^{*}Electronic address: manuel.valle@ehu.es

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently there has been considerable interest in the possible occurrence of superfluidity in relativistic systems such as neutron stars or quark matter at very high densities [1]. A common distinctive feature of these systems is the spontaneous breaking of (at least) a U(1)symmetry associated with particle number.

At nonzero temperature, the dynamic description of a given system at large distance and time scales is based on hydrodynamic equations for the hydrodynamic modes. Generically these consist of quantities whose long-wavelenght fluctuations have a large lifetime which becomes infinite as $k \to 0$. The hydrodynamic variables include densities of conserved quantities and Goldstone modes of broken symmetries.

A relativistic extension of superfluid hydrodynamics of ⁴He [2, 12] was previously suggested by Khalatnikov, Lebedev and Carter in Refs. [3, 4, 5]. More recently, Son outlined the construction of the nondissipative hydrodynamics of relativistic systems with broken symmetries using the Poisson bracket method in the case of broken U(1) symmetry [6] and in the case of nuclear matter [7], where the chiral symmetry $SU(N_f)_L \times SU(N_f)_R$ is approximately broken down to $SU(N_f)_{L+R}$.

In this article we will pursue the scarce research on this subject. In particular, in Sec. II we derive in detail the equations of motion of superfluids using the Poisson bracket method, and we show that the assumption that the momentum density is proportional to the flow of the conserved energy leads to relativistic hydrodynamics of Khalatnikov and Lebedev. In this framework, with the alternative assumption that the momentum density is proportional to the flow of the flow of the U(1) conserved charge one obtains the two-fluid model of non-relativistic superfluidity. In Sec. III we quickly review the memory function formalism to study the hydrodynamic fluctuations and examine some sum rules, following closely the treatment given in Ref. [14]. We use this information, together the information about the forces and the memory matrix, to deduce the correlation functions, the Kubo relations and the linearized constitutive relations in Sec. IV. As well, some Ward identities are checked.

Although perhaps none of the statements made below could go beyond what is known about the equations of motion of relativistic superfluids, it can be of some interest to present the discussion of the main results from the point of view of the theory of hydrodynamic fluctuations and correlation functions, in order to show how this general framework organizes the physical description in the low-energy limit.

II. POISSON BRACKET AND HYDRODYNAMIC EQUATIONS

We first consider the low energy, nondissipative dynamics of a system without long-range interactions with broken U(1) symmetry at a temperature well below criticality. In such a system, the hydrodynamic variables are five conserved densities, namely, the entropy per unit volume s, the density n of the U(1) charge and the momentum densities g^i , plus a Goldstone mode φ . The dynamics is governed by the Hamiltonian functional $H[s, n, g^i, \partial_j \varphi]$ whose specific form can be computed or guessed from the underlying microscopic model. Note that the invariance of H under U(1) transformations, $\delta \varphi = \alpha$, prevents the dependence upon φ . The energy functional is an extensive quantity

$$H = \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \,\varepsilon,\tag{2.1}$$

where $\varepsilon(s, n, g^i, \partial_j \varphi)$ is the energy density from which one can compute thermodynamic equilibrium properties. As the following discussion concerns the hydrodynamic behaviour for large wavelength and low frequency, it will be enough to consider the dependence of ε up to first derivatives of φ . In order to obtain all the equations of motion, we must specify a Poisson bracket structure $[F, G]_{\rm PB}$ between functionals of the hydrodynamic variables. Then, the time derivative of a functional \mathcal{V} of $\{s, n, g^i, \varphi\}$ can be derived from

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial t} = \left[\mathcal{V}, H\right]_{\rm PB}.\tag{2.2}$$

The noncanonical Poisson bracket is taken to be

$$[F,G]_{\rm PB} = -\int d^{3}\mathbf{x} \left[g^{i} \left(\frac{\delta F}{\delta g^{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}} \frac{\delta G}{\delta g^{i}} - \frac{\delta G}{\delta g^{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta g^{i}} \right) + n \left(\frac{\delta F}{\delta g^{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}} \frac{\delta G}{\delta n} - \frac{\delta G}{\delta g^{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta n} \right) + s \left(\frac{\delta F}{\delta g^{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}} \frac{\delta G}{\delta s} - \frac{\delta G}{\delta g^{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta s} \right) - \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^{j}} \left(\frac{\delta F}{\delta g^{j}} \frac{\delta G}{\delta \varphi} - \frac{\delta G}{\delta g^{j}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \varphi} \right) - \left(\frac{\delta F}{\delta n} \frac{\delta G}{\delta \varphi} - \frac{\delta G}{\delta n} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \varphi} \right) \right].$$
(2.3)

The form of the bracket in the first three terms follows from the conservation of the linear momentum, the U(1)-charge and the entropy [9]. The remainder terms reflect the transformation property of the φ -field under infinitesimal spatial translations and the fact that the charge density and the Goldstone mode are canonically conjugated [6]

$$[n(\mathbf{x}), \varphi(\mathbf{y})]_{\rm PB} = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}). \tag{2.4}$$

With this normalization the Goldstone mode φ is dimensionless.

In terms of the quantities conjugate to the hydrodynamic variables, the temperature T, the chemical potential μ , the fluid velocity \mathbf{v} and the vectorial quantity $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \partial \varepsilon / \partial (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \varphi)$, the energy density ε satisfies the thermodynamic relation

$$d\varepsilon = Tds + \mu dn + \mathbf{v} \cdot d\mathbf{g} + \boldsymbol{\lambda} \cdot d(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\varphi).$$
(2.5)

A second thermodynamic identity to be used below is the Gibbs-Duhem relation for the pressure, defined by

$$p = -\varepsilon + Ts + \mu n + \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{g}. \tag{2.6}$$

The non occurrence of $\lambda \cdot \nabla \varphi$ in this expression can be understood by noting that the hydrodynamic Goldstone mode, which is not a conserved density, cannot contribute to the total (integrated) entropy S since it corresponds to a single coherent mode [12]. Thus, the entropy is a homogeneous function of all extensive variables: the volume V and the conserved quantities, energy, linear momentum and U(1) charge. Accordingly,

$$S = \frac{\partial S}{\partial V}V + \frac{\partial S}{\partial E}E + \sum_{i} \frac{\partial S}{\partial P^{i}}P^{i} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial Q}Q,$$
(2.7)

which, after multiplication by V^{-1} , yields the Gibbs-Duhem relation. Notice, however, that the thermodynamic identity for the pressure has the form

$$dp = sdT + nd\mu + \mathbf{g} \cdot d\mathbf{v} - \boldsymbol{\lambda} \cdot d(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\varphi).$$
(2.8)

From these formulas and the Poisson bracket it is easy to derive the equations of fluid dynamics without dissipation. Let us list them. The conservation laws adopt the form

$$\partial_t s(\mathbf{x}, t) + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (s\mathbf{v}) = 0, \qquad (2.9)$$

$$\partial_t n(\mathbf{x}, t) + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (n\mathbf{v} + \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = 0, \qquad (2.10)$$

$$\partial_t g^i(\mathbf{x}, t) + \partial_k t^{\kappa_i} = 0, \qquad (2.11)$$

where the reactive part of the stress tensor is given by

$$t^{ki} = p\,\delta^{ki} + v^k g^i + \lambda^k \partial_i \varphi, \qquad (2.12)$$

and the equation of motion for φ is

$$\partial_t \varphi(\mathbf{x}, t) = -\mu - \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \varphi. \tag{2.13}$$

As a consequence of these equations of motion and the Gibbs-Duhem relations (2.6) and (2.8), we find the flow of the conserved energy

$$\partial_t \varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, t) + \partial_i j^i_{\varepsilon} = 0, \qquad (2.14)$$

$$j_{\varepsilon}^{i} = (\mu + \mathbf{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}\varphi)\lambda^{i} + (\varepsilon + p)v^{i}.$$
(2.15)

At this point, we can separate the momentum density \mathbf{g} and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ into two pieces proportional to \mathbf{v} and $\nabla \varphi$ by¹

$$\mathbf{g} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{v} + \alpha_2 \nabla \varphi, \qquad (2.16)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \alpha_3 \mathbf{v} + \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\varphi}, \qquad (2.17)$$

where the α_j, ξ are considered as functions of $(s, n, v^i, \partial_j \phi)$. The quantity ξ has dimension mass² and, as we shall see below, characterizes the long wave limit of the equal-time correlator of φ . The symmetry of t^{ki} requires that $\alpha_3 = -\alpha_2$.

Further progress can be made if we enforce Lorentz invariance of the hydrodynamic equations. This can be made by assuming that the momentum density is the same as the energy flow, $j_{\epsilon}^{i} = g^{i}$. Consequently, from Eqs. (2.15) and (2.16), we find

$$\alpha_1 = \varepsilon + p - \xi \left(\mu + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \varphi\right)^2, \qquad (2.18)$$

$$\alpha_2 = \xi \left(\mu + \mathbf{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \varphi \right), \tag{2.19}$$

which lead to the constitutive relations

$$t^{ij} = p \,\delta^{ij} + \left[\varepsilon + p - \xi \left(\mu + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \varphi\right)^2\right] v^i v^j + \xi \partial_i \varphi \partial_j \varphi, \qquad (2.20)$$

$$j_{\varepsilon}^{i} = \left[\varepsilon + p - \xi \left(\mu + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \varphi\right)^{2}\right] v^{i} + \xi \left(\mu + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \varphi\right) \partial_{i} \varphi, \qquad (2.21)$$

$$\lambda^{i} = -\xi \left(\mu + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \varphi\right) v^{i} + \xi \partial_{i} \varphi.$$
(2.22)

It is important to emphasize that the energy flow is itself conserved because of the assumption of relativistic invariance. This fact will have implications on the form in which the dissipative terms must be included in the full constitutive relations.

¹ Because of rotational invariance, this decomposition is completely general and does not amount to a loss of generality.

With the introduction of the four-vectors

$$\partial_{\mu}\varphi \equiv (-\mu - \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla\varphi, \nabla\varphi), \qquad (2.23)$$

$$w_{\mu} \equiv (T + \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}, -\mathbf{w}), \qquad (2.24)$$

$$J^{\mu} \equiv (n, n\mathbf{v} + \boldsymbol{\lambda}), \qquad (2.25)$$

$$s^{\mu} \equiv (s, s\mathbf{v}), \tag{2.26}$$

where $\mathbf{w} \equiv s^{-1}(\mathbf{g} - n\boldsymbol{\nabla}\varphi)$, it turns out that the differential Gibbs-Duhem identity can be written in a covariant form as $dp = -J^{\mu}d(\partial_{\mu}\varphi) + s^{\mu}dw_{\mu}$, and the energy-momentum densities and the stress tensor, $\varepsilon = T^{00}, j_{\varepsilon}^{i} = T^{0i}, t^{ij} = T^{ij}$, are obtainable from the symmetric fourtensor

$$T^{\mu\nu} = -J^{\mu}\partial^{\nu}\varphi + s^{\mu}w^{\nu} - p\eta^{\mu\nu}.$$
(2.27)

Correspondingly, the conservation equations can be written as

$$\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = s^{\mu}(\partial_{\mu}w^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu}w_{\mu}) = 0, \qquad (2.28)$$

$$\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu} = 0. \tag{2.29}$$

These are in precise agreement with the relativistic formulation of Lebedev, Khalatnikov and Carter [3, 4, 5] provided that w^{μ} has the explicit form²

$$\mathbf{w} = \frac{1}{s} \left[\varepsilon + p - \xi \left(\mu + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \varphi \right)^2 \right] \mathbf{v} - \frac{1}{s} \left[n - \xi \left(\mu + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \varphi \right) \right] \nabla \varphi .$$
 (2.30)

In this approach, it is very easy as well to derive the equations of the nonrelativistic two-fluid model. It suffices to require the proportionality between the momentum density g^i and the flow of the U(1) conserved charge, $g^i = m(nv^i + \lambda^i)$, where m is the mass of the only species of particle in the fluid. The consequences of this assumption in the context of effective Lagrangians for superconductors have been analyzed in Ref. [13]. By introducing the superfluid density $n_s = m\xi$ and the superfluid velocity $v_s^i = m^{-1}\partial_i\varphi$, one finds the same constitutive relations as those in Ref. [12]:

$$t^{ij} = p \,\delta^{ij} + m(n - n_s)v^i v^j + m n_s v^i_s v^j_s, \qquad (2.31)$$

$$j_{\varepsilon}^{i} = n_{s}(\mu + m\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{s})(v_{s}^{i} - v^{i}) + (\varepsilon + p)v^{i}, \qquad (2.32)$$

$$\lambda^i = n_s (v_s^i - v^i). \tag{2.33}$$

Note that in this case, the particle current flow j^i is itself conserved.

III. SUM RULES AND HYDRODYNAMIC FLUCTUATIONS

Here we will focus on the dynamics of fluctuations around the equilibrium state as seen from the rest frame of the superfluid. The velocity $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t)$ and $\nabla \varphi$ will be non-zero only due to a departure from the equilibrium state. We apply the memory function formalism, quickly reviewed below, by following the treatment of Forster [14] for ⁴He. The linearized

² I thank Dam T. Son for pointing out to me the overlap of these results with his unpublished work on the Poisson bracket approach to hydrodynamics [8].

hydrodynamic equations including dissipative effects will be derived as a partial check of the previous results.

The fundamental quantity in this discussion is the matrix of complex response functions

$$\chi_{AB}(\mathbf{k}, z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\pi} \frac{\rho_{AB}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)}{\omega - z},$$
(3.1)

which is analytic for $\text{Im } z \neq 0$. For z in the upper half-plane, $\chi_{AB}(\mathbf{k}, \omega + i\epsilon)$ is the Fourier transform of the equilibrium expectation value of the retarded commutator $i\theta(t)\langle [A(\mathbf{x},t), B(\mathbf{0},0)] \rangle$, and the spectral function $\rho_{AB}(\mathbf{k},\omega)$ is the Fourier transform of $\langle [A(\mathbf{x},t), B(\mathbf{0},0)] \rangle/2$. This quantity is either real and symmetric in $A \leftrightarrow B$, or imaginary and antisymmetric. If the operators A and B have the same (opposite) signature under time reversal, $\rho_{AB}(\mathbf{k},\omega)$ is odd (even) in ω . Such a signature is +1 for the energy and charge densities and -1 for the momentum densities and the Goldstone mode.

When the system is perturbed by turning on a time-dependent Hamiltonian depending on some set of small external forces coupled to the operators $\{A(\mathbf{x}, t)\}$

$$\delta H^{\text{ex}}(t) = -\sum_{A} \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \, A(\mathbf{x}, t) \delta F_A(\mathbf{x}, t) \,, \qquad (3.2)$$

the standard techniques of first-order perturbation theory produce the induced changes $\delta \langle A(\mathbf{x}, t) \rangle$. In order to follow the relaxation of the induced quantities it is convenient to apply external fields that are held constant for negative times and are suddenly switch off for positive times

$$\delta F_A(\mathbf{x}, t) = \delta F_A(\mathbf{x}) e^{\epsilon t} \theta(-t) , \qquad (3.3)$$

where ϵ is a positive infinitesimal number. After elimination of the external fields in favour of the static susceptibilities, $\chi_{AB}(\mathbf{k}) = \chi_{AB}(\mathbf{k}, z = i\epsilon)$, through

$$\delta \langle A(\mathbf{k}, t=0) \rangle = \sum_{B} \chi_{AB}(\mathbf{k}) \, \delta F_B(\mathbf{k}) \,, \qquad (3.4)$$

the Laplace-Fourier transform of the induced changes $\delta \langle A(\mathbf{x}, t) \rangle$ becomes [14]

$$\delta \langle A(\mathbf{k}, z) \rangle = \sum_{BC} \frac{1}{iz} \left(\chi_{AB}(\mathbf{k}, z) \chi_{BC}^{-1}(\mathbf{k}) - \delta_{AC} \right) \delta \langle C(\mathbf{k}, t = 0) \rangle$$

$$\equiv \beta \sum_{BC} C_{AB}(\mathbf{k}, z) \chi_{BC}^{-1}(\mathbf{k}) \delta \langle C(\mathbf{k}, t = 0) \rangle .$$
(3.5)

This a fundamental result that solves the initial value problem in terms of response functions.

General arguments based on the memory function formalism make it possible to write the matrix C in the form

$$C_{AB}(\mathbf{k}, z) = \beta^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\pi i} \frac{\rho_{AB}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)}{\omega(\omega - z)}$$

= $\frac{i\beta^{-1}}{z - \omega(\mathbf{k})\chi^{-1}(\mathbf{k}) + i\sigma(\mathbf{k}, z)\chi^{-1}(\mathbf{k})} \chi(\mathbf{k})$, (3.6)

where the matrices ω and σ are given by

$$\omega_{AB}(\mathbf{k}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\pi} \rho_{AB}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) , \qquad (3.7)$$

$$\sigma_{AB}(\mathbf{k}, z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} \frac{\gamma_{AB}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)}{\omega - z} , \qquad (3.8)$$

and they encode reactive and relaxations properties of the system, respectively. The spectral density $\gamma_{AB}(\mathbf{k},\omega)$ has the same symmetry properties as those of the matrix $\omega \rho_{AB}(\mathbf{k},\omega)$ and must define a positive quadratic form [14] from the requirement of positive entropy production. For z = 0, $\sigma_{AB}(\mathbf{k}, z)$ corresponds to a matrix of transport coefficients. Thus, in this framework, the input of $\chi_{AB}(\mathbf{k})$, $\sigma_{AB}(\mathbf{k}, z = 0)$ and $\omega_{AB}(\mathbf{k})$ for small k is all that is required in order to extract hydrodynamic correlation functions. With these definitions, the linearized hydrodynamic equations adopt the form

$$z\delta\langle A(\mathbf{k},z)\rangle - \sum_{B} \left[\omega_{AB}(\mathbf{k}) - i\sigma_{AB}(\mathbf{k},0)\right]\delta F_{B}(\mathbf{k},z) = i\delta\langle A(\mathbf{k},t=0)\rangle , \qquad (3.9)$$

where $\{\delta F(\mathbf{k}, z)\}$ is the set of internal forces expressing the departures of the thermodynamic quantities from its equilibrium values. By analogy with Eq. (3.4) they are defined for t > 0 by

$$\delta F_A(\mathbf{k}, t) = \sum_B \chi_{AB}^{-1}(\mathbf{k}) \delta \langle B(\mathbf{k}, t) \rangle.$$
(3.10)

To proceed further, we need some sum rules determining the appropriate $\chi(\mathbf{k})$ and $\omega(\mathbf{k})$. These have been derived in Ref. [10] and, essentially, they do not differ from the corresponding ones in nonrelativistic superfluids [14]. We present the list of the required equations.

The split of the momentum density \mathbf{g} into irrotational \mathbf{g}_{L} and solenoidal \mathbf{g}_{T} parts leads to the separation of the momentum density response function $\chi_{gg}^{ij}(\mathbf{k},\omega) = \chi_{\mathrm{L}}(k,\omega)\hat{k}^{i}\hat{k}^{i} + \chi_{\mathrm{T}}(k,\omega)(\delta^{ij}-\hat{k}^{i}\hat{k}^{i})$ into longitudinal and transverse pieces. For a normal relativistic fluid, the momentum density is given by $\mathbf{g} = h\mathbf{v}$ and the momentum susceptibility is $\partial g^{i}/\partial v^{j} = \delta^{ij}h$ where h is the enthalpy density. In the superfluid phase the momentum density acquires an extra irrotational contribution $\mathbf{g}_{s} \propto \nabla \varphi$

$$\mathbf{g} = (h - h_s)\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{g}_s,\tag{3.11}$$

where h_s is the superfluid enthalpy density. The superfluid momentum density arises from long-range order due to the Goldstone mode whose correlation function behaves as

$$\chi_{\varphi\varphi}(k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\pi} \frac{\rho_{\varphi\varphi}(k,\omega)}{\omega} = \frac{1}{\xi k^2} \quad \text{as } k \to 0,$$
(3.12)

where the constant ξ is positive. Thus the momentum susceptibility has a normal (isotropic) contribution $(h-h_s)\delta^{ij}$ and a superfluid contribution $h_s\hat{k}^i\hat{k}^i$ as $k \to 0$. From the arrangement $(h-h_s)\delta^{ij} + h_s\hat{k}^i\hat{k}^j = h\hat{k}^i\hat{k}^j + (h-h_s)(\delta^{ij} - \hat{k}^i\hat{k}^j)$ we can write the following sum rules

$$\lim_{k \to 0} \chi_{\rm L}(k) = \lim_{k \to 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\pi} \frac{\rho_{\rm L}(k,\omega)}{\omega} = h , \qquad (3.13)$$

$$\lim_{k \to 0} \chi_{\mathrm{T}}(k) = \lim_{k \to 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\pi} \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{T}}(k,\omega)}{\omega} = h - h_s .$$
(3.14)

Other sum rules involving φ are

$$\omega_{\varepsilon\varphi}(k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\pi} \rho_{\varepsilon\varphi}(k,\omega) = i\mu , \qquad (3.15)$$

$$\chi^{i}_{g\varphi}(\mathbf{k}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\pi} \frac{\rho^{j}_{g\varphi}(\mathbf{k},\omega)}{\omega} = \frac{i\mu k^{j}}{k^{2}}, \qquad (3.16)$$

where Eq. (3.15) is a consequence of the averaged Heisenberg equation of motion

$$-i\partial_t \langle \varphi(\mathbf{x},t) \rangle = \langle [H,\varphi(\mathbf{x},t)] \rangle = i\mu, \qquad (3.17)$$

and $\chi^i_{g\varphi}(\mathbf{k})$ follows from energy conservation. The derivation of Eq. (3.15) can be made more rigorous by directly averaging on a restricted η -ensemble [12] appropriate to superfluids³. These results together with Eq. (3.12) lead to the proportionality constant between \mathbf{g}_s and $\nabla \varphi$. As $\chi^{ij}_{g_sg_s} = \chi^{ij}_{gg_s} = h_s \hat{k}^i \hat{k}^j$, consistency requires that

$$\mathbf{g}_s = \xi \mu \boldsymbol{\nabla} \varphi, \quad h_s = \xi \mu^2, \tag{3.18}$$

in precise agreement with the linealized expression of the momentum density in Eq. (2.21). Note that the quantity $\mathbf{v}_s = \mu^{-1} \nabla \varphi$ plays the role of the conventional superfluid velocity.

On the other hand, Eq. (3.13) and energy conservation yield

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\pi} \rho_{\varepsilon g}^{\ j}(\mathbf{k},\omega) = hk^{j} \tag{3.19}$$

omitting terms of higher order in k. Also, the equal time commutators

$$[n(\mathbf{x},t),\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{y},t)] = i n(\mathbf{y},t) \nabla_y \delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}), \qquad (3.20)$$

$$[n(\mathbf{x},t),\varphi(\mathbf{y},t)] = i\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}), \qquad (3.21)$$

produce

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\pi} \rho_{ng}^{\ j}(\mathbf{k},\omega) = nk^j , \qquad (3.22)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\pi} \rho_{n\varphi}(k,\omega) = i. \qquad (3.23)$$

All these sum rules are valid irrespective of whether the system with broken symmetry is relativistic or not. In the nonrelativistic case where the mass density is not included in the energy density, the right side of Eq. (3.15) must be replaced by $i\mu_{\rm NR}$, according to the usual definition $\mu = m + \mu_{\rm NR}$. Note, however, that in Eq. (3.16) the chemical potential must be replaced by its leading part m. This gives the correct values appropriate to the nonrelativistic superfluid, $h_s = mn_s$, h = mn and $\xi = n_s/m$, where n_s is the superfluid particle density.

³ Details of a similar computation can be found in p. 237 of Ref. [14].

IV. CORRELATION FUNCTIONS AND KUBO RELATIONS

With these results in hand, and the definition $g_{\rm L}(\mathbf{k},t) = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{k},t) \cdot \mathbf{\hat{k}}$, we can write the matrices χ and ω corresponding to the four hydrodynamic variables $\{\varepsilon, g_{\rm L}, n, \varphi\}$ but the subsequent formulae will be considerably simplified if in place of the particle density n we introduce a new variable q defined by [11]

$$dq = Tnd\left(\frac{s}{n}\right) = d\varepsilon - \frac{h}{n}dn.$$
(4.1)

In the linearized theory this quantity corresponds to the combination $\varepsilon(\mathbf{k}, t) - (h/n)_{eq}n(\mathbf{k}, t)$ representing the density of heat energy. The simplification arises because the term $\omega_{qg_{\rm L}}$ becomes zero, $\omega_{\varepsilon g_{\rm L}} - h/n\omega_{ng_{\rm L}} = 0$, and the only non zero ω -terms involving q are $\omega_{q\varphi} = -\omega_{\varphi q} = -isT/n$ as follows from the Gibbs-Duhem identity. Therefore, in terms of the hydrodynamic variables

$$\{O_A(\mathbf{k},t)\} = \{\varepsilon, g_{\mathrm{L}}, q, \varphi\}(\mathbf{k},t), \qquad (4.2)$$

and two spectral functions

$$\rho_{\varepsilon g_{\rm L}}(k,\omega) \equiv \rho_{\varepsilon g}^{\ j}(\mathbf{k},\omega)\hat{k}^{j}, \qquad (4.3)$$

$$\rho_{g_{\rm L}\varphi}(k,\omega) \equiv \rho_{g\varphi}^j(\mathbf{k},\omega)\hat{k}^j, \qquad (4.4)$$

real and symmetric, imaginary and antisymmetric respectively, the required matrices χ and ω are given by

$$\chi_{AB}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{\varepsilon\varepsilon} & 0 & \chi_{\varepsilon q} & 0 \\ 0 & h & 0 & \frac{i\mu}{k} \\ \chi_{\varepsilon q} & 0 & \chi_{qq} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{i\mu}{k} & 0 & \frac{1}{\xi k^2} \end{pmatrix},$$
(4.5)

and

$$\omega_{AB}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & hk & 0 & i\mu \\ hk & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i\frac{Ts}{n} \\ -i\mu & 0 & i\frac{Ts}{n} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(4.6)

where the remainder vanishing matrix elements are due to time reversal symmetry. The susceptibilities may be obtained from the thermodynamic potential $\Omega = -Vp(T,\mu)$ by differentiation. These matrices are completed with the results for the transverse momentum density,

$$\chi^{ij}_{g_{T}g_{T}} = (h - h_s)(\delta^{ij} - \hat{k}^i \hat{k}^j), \qquad (4.7)$$

$$\omega_{g_{\mathrm{T}}g_{\mathrm{T}}}^{ij} = 0. \tag{4.8}$$

From the thermodynamical derivatives

$$\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \varepsilon}\right)_{s/n} = \frac{h\chi_{qq}}{\chi_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}\chi_{qq} - \chi_{\varepsilon q}^2},\tag{4.9}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial q}\right)_{\varepsilon} = \frac{-h\chi_{\varepsilon q}}{\chi_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}\chi_{qq} - \chi_{\varepsilon q}^2},\tag{4.10}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial(\mu/T)}{\partial\varepsilon}\right)_{s/n} = \frac{h}{nT} \frac{\chi_{\varepsilon q}}{\chi_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}\chi_{qq} - \chi_{\varepsilon q}^2},\tag{4.11}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial(\mu/T)}{\partial q}\right)_{\varepsilon} = -\frac{h}{nT}\frac{\chi_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}{\chi_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}\chi_{qq} - \chi_{\varepsilon q}^2},\tag{4.12}$$

and Eqs. (3.11), (3.18) and (4.5) we obtain the internal forces easily

$$\delta F_{\varepsilon} = \frac{\delta p}{h},\tag{4.13}$$

$$\delta \mathbf{F}_g = \mathbf{v}, \tag{4.14}$$

$$\delta F_q = -\frac{nT}{h} \delta\left(\frac{\mu}{T}\right),\tag{4.15}$$

$$\delta \mathbf{F}_{\varphi} = \xi (-\nabla^2 \varphi + \mu \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{v}). \tag{4.16}$$

Note that these last results depend on the choice of the linearized hydrodynamical variables. So, in terms of $\{q, g_L, n, \varphi\}$ the internal forces conjugate to energy density and particle density are $\delta F_{\varepsilon} = T^{-1}\delta T$ and $\delta F_n = T\delta(\mu/T)$.

Now, we look at the memory matrix $\sigma_{AB}(\mathbf{k}, 0)$ to lowest order in k. The transverse memory function reads

$$\sigma_{g_{\mathrm{T}}g_{\mathrm{T}}}^{ij}(\mathbf{k},0) = \eta k^2 (\delta^{ij} - \hat{k}^i \hat{k}^j), \qquad (4.17)$$

where $\eta > 0$ is the shear viscosity. With the previous notation, the remainder elements can be parametrized by

$$\sigma_{AB}(\mathbf{k},0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (\zeta_2 + \frac{4}{3}\eta) k^2 & 0 & i\zeta_1 k \\ 0 & 0 & \kappa T k^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -i\zeta_1 k & 0 & \zeta_3 \end{pmatrix},$$
(4.18)

in terms of the thermal conductivity κ and three non negative longitudinal viscosities ζ_1 , ζ_2 , ζ_3 with the constraint

$$\zeta_2 \zeta_3 \ge \zeta_1^2, \tag{4.19}$$

from the positivity of σ . The σ -matrix has been chosen with the requirement that all elements involving the energy density vanish at leading order in k. This is the main difference with the corresponding matrix for the nonrelativistic superfluid in terms of variables $\{q, g_{\rm L}, n, \varphi\}$

$$\sigma_{AB}^{\rm NR}(\mathbf{k},0) = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa T k^2 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \left(\zeta_2 + \frac{4}{3}\eta\right) k^2 & 0 & i\zeta_1 k\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & -i\zeta_1 k & 0 & \zeta_3 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.20}$$

where the vanishing elements are those involving the particle density n. The reason for these choices lies in the fact that when a current flow is itself a conserved quantity, the dissipative lowest order contribution to the constitutive relation vanishes [14]. It is worthwhile to remark that the absence of transport coefficients for the energy current flow, $j_{\varepsilon}^{i} = g^{i}$, is only consistent with the usual relativistic dissipative fluid theory of Landau and Lifshitz and not with that of Eckart.

All the hydrodynamic correlation functions $\chi_{AB}(\mathbf{k},\omega)$ can be now derived from Eq. (3.6) with similar results to those reported in Ref. [12] for the nonrelativistic case. They have poles at the lower half-plane when their denominator

$$\Delta(k,\omega) = (\omega^2 - c_1^2 k^2 + ik^2 D_1 \omega)(\omega^2 - c_2^2 k^2 + ik^2 D_2 \omega)$$
(4.21)

vahishes, except for the transverse correlation function with a diffusive pole at $\omega = -i\eta k^2/(h-h_s)$. In terms of the square of the velocity of adiabatic sound waves

$$c^{2} = \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \varepsilon}\right)_{s/n} = \frac{h\chi_{qq}}{\chi_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}\chi_{qq} - \chi_{\varepsilon q}^{2}},\tag{4.22}$$

and the normal enthalpy density $h_n = h - \xi \mu^2$, the speeds of propagation of first and second sound are given by

$$c_1^2 + c_2^2 = c^2 \left(1 + \frac{\xi T^2 s^2 \chi_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}{n^2 h_n \chi_{qq}} \right), \qquad (4.23)$$

$$c_1^2 c_2^2 = \frac{c^2 \xi h T^2 s^2}{n^2 h_n \chi_{qq}}, \qquad (4.24)$$

and the attenuation constants can be written in the form

$$D_{1} + D_{2} = \frac{1}{h_{n}} \left(\zeta_{2} + \frac{4}{3} \eta \right) + \frac{\xi (h\zeta_{3} - 2\mu\zeta_{1})}{h_{n}} + \frac{c^{2}T\chi_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}\kappa}{h\chi_{qq}}, \qquad (4.25)$$

$$c_{1}^{2}D_{2} + c_{2}^{2}D_{1} = \frac{c^{2}\xi (n^{2}\mu^{2}\chi_{qq} + 2nsT\mu\chi_{\varepsilon q} + s^{2}T^{2}\chi_{\varepsilon\varepsilon})}{n^{2}hh_{n}\chi_{qq}} \left(\zeta_{2} + \frac{4}{3} \eta \right)$$

$$+ \frac{c^{2}\xi h\zeta_{3}}{h_{n}} - \frac{2c^{2}\xi (n\mu\chi_{qq} + sT\chi_{\varepsilon q})\zeta_{1}}{nh_{n}\chi_{qq}}$$

$$+ \frac{c^{2}T\kappa}{\chi_{qq}}. \qquad (4.26)$$

Some Ward identities can be directly checked. We list them

$$\omega\chi_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}(k,\omega) = k\chi_{g_{\mathrm{L}}\varepsilon}(k,\omega), \qquad (4.27)$$

$$\omega \chi_{\varepsilon g_{\rm L}}(k,\omega) = k \chi_{\rm L}(k,\omega) - hk, \qquad (4.28)$$

$$\omega\chi_{\varepsilon n}(k,\omega) = k\chi_{g_{L}n}(k,\omega), \qquad (4.29)$$

$$\omega\chi_{\varepsilon\varphi}(k,\omega) = k\chi_{g_{\mathbf{L}}\varphi}(k,\omega) - i\mu.$$
(4.30)

However, Ward identities for correlation functions involving currents such as t^{kl} or j^i cannot be checked within this approach because these flows are not hydrodynamical modes.

The usual catalog of Kubo relations giving the transport coefficients can be obtained from the following limits

$$\eta = \lim_{\omega \to 0} \lim_{k \to 0} \frac{\omega}{k^2} \operatorname{Im} \chi_{\mathrm{T}}(k, \omega), \qquad (4.31)$$

$$\zeta_2 + \frac{4}{3}\eta = \lim_{\omega \to 0} \lim_{k \to 0} \frac{\omega}{k^2} \operatorname{Im} \chi_{\mathrm{L}}(k, \omega), \qquad (4.32)$$

$$\kappa T\left(\frac{n}{h}\right)^2 = \lim_{\omega \to 0} \lim_{k \to 0} \frac{\omega}{k^2} \operatorname{Im} \chi_{nn}(k, \omega), \qquad (4.33)$$

$$\zeta_1 = -\lim_{\omega \to 0} \lim_{k \to 0} \frac{\omega}{k} \operatorname{Re} \chi_{g_{\mathrm{L}}\varphi}(k,\omega), \qquad (4.34)$$

$$\zeta_3 = \lim_{\omega \to 0} \lim_{k \to 0} \omega \operatorname{Im} \chi_{\varphi \varphi}(k, \omega), \qquad (4.35)$$

where for the thermal conductivity we have replaced χ_{qq} by $(h/n)^2 \chi_{nn}$ since

$$\lim_{\omega \to 0} \lim_{k \to 0} \frac{\omega}{k^2} \operatorname{Im} \chi_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}(k,\omega) = \lim_{\omega \to 0} \lim_{k \to 0} \frac{\omega}{k^2} \operatorname{Im} \chi_{\varepsilon q}(k,\omega) = 0.$$
(4.36)

The shear and bulk viscosities η and ζ_2 of the superfluid quark matter in the color-flavor locked phase have been computed in Refs. [15, 16], and all the bulk viscosities in neutron stars have been computed in Ref. [17].

Finally, the constitutive relations from Eq. (3.9) read

$$\delta \langle \mathbf{j}_{\varepsilon} \rangle = (h - \xi \mu^2) \mathbf{v} + \xi \mu \nabla \varphi, \qquad (4.37)$$

$$\delta\langle \mathbf{j}_n \rangle = (n - \xi \mu) \mathbf{v} + \xi \nabla \varphi - \kappa \left(\frac{nT}{h}\right)^2 \nabla \left(\frac{\mu}{T}\right), \qquad (4.38)$$

$$\delta\mu^{\text{total}}(\mathbf{x},t) = \delta\mu(\mathbf{x},t) - \zeta_1 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} - \xi \zeta_3 \left(\nabla^2 \varphi - \mu \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}\right), \tag{4.39}$$

$$\delta \langle t^{ij} \rangle = \delta p(\mathbf{x}, t) \delta^{ij} - \eta \left(\nabla_i v_j + \nabla_j v_i - \frac{2}{3} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \, \delta^{ij} \right) -\delta^{ij} \left(\zeta_2 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \xi \zeta_1 (\nabla^2 \varphi - \mu \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \right).$$
(4.40)

The reactive parts of these equations agree to linear order with those found in Sec. II.

Summarizing, we have presented the distinctive features of relativistic superfluids (in comparison with those in the nonrelativistic regime) accounting for their hydrodynamics and the correlation functions have been derived. The crucial assumption is that the momentum density coincides with the flow of the conserved energy. As a consequence, there are no dissipative contribution to the energy current and the thermal conductivity appears in the current of the conserved particle number, according to the fluid theory of Landau and Lifshitz.

Acknowledgments

I thank Iñigo Egusquiza, Juan L. Mañes and Christian Romelsberger for useful discussions. This work has been supported in part by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Technology under grant FPA2005-04823.

^[1] M. G. Alford, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 51, 131 (2001).

- [2] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Fluid Mechanics*, Second Edition, (Pergamon, New York, 1987), §139.
- [3] I. M. Khalatnikov and V. V. Lebedev, Phys. Lett. A **91**, 70 (1982).
- [4] V. V. Lebedev and I. M. Khalatnikov, Yad. Fiz. 83, 1623 (1982) [Sov. Phys. JETP 56, 923 (1982)].
- [5] B. Carter and I. M. Khalatnikov, Phys. Rev. D 45, 4536 (1992).
- [6] D. T. Son, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **16S1C**, 1284 (2001).
- [7] D. T. Son, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 3771 (2000).
- [8] D. T. Son, unpublished and private communication.
- [9] P. J. Morrison, Rev. Mod. Phys. **70**, 467 (1998).
- [10] K. Iida and G. Baym, Phys. Rev. D 65, 014022 (2001).
- [11] L. P. Kadanoff and P. C. Martin, Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) 24, 419 (1963).
- [12] P. C. Hohenberg and P. C. Martin, Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) 34, 291 (1965).
- [13] M. Greiter, F. Wilczek and E. Witten, Mod. Phys. Lett. B 3, 903 (1989).
- [14] D. Forster, Hydrodynamic Fluctuations, Broken Symmetry, and Correlation Functions, (Benjamin, Reading, MA, 1975).
- [15] C. Manuel, A. Dobado and F. J. Llanes-Estrada, J. High Phys. 0509 (2005) 076.
- [16] C. Manuel and F. J. Llanes-Estrada, hep-ph/0705.3909.
- [17] M. E. Gusakov, astro-ph/0704.1071.