arXiv:0707.3459v1 [cond-mat.supr-con] 23 Jul 2007

Gutzwiller density functional theory for correlated electron systems

K. M. Ho, J. Schmalian, and C. Z. Wang

Ames Laboratory-U.S. DOE and Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University Ames, IA 50011, USA

(Dated: October 24, 2018)

We develop a new density functional theory (DFT) and formalism for correlated electron systems by taking as reference an interacting electron system that has a ground state wavefunction which obeys exactly the Gutzwiller approximation for all one particle operators. The solution of the many electron problem is mapped onto the self-consistent solution of a set of single particle Schrödinger equations analogous to standard DFT-LDA calculations.

PACS numbers:

Over the last several decades, first principles total energy calculations using density functional theory based on the local density approximation (LDA) or generalized gradient approximation (GGA) have been well developed into a theoretical tool with strong predictive capability for a large number of materials [1, 2, 3, 4]. However, there are important classes of materials involving strongly correlated electrons ranging from high Tc superconducting compounds and various other transition metal oxide materials to f-electron elements bearing materials where the current LDA/GGA approaches fail in fundamental ways. There have been intensive studies on new approaches to remedy the situation such as LDA+U[5], LDA-dynamical mean field theory (DMFT)[6, 7, 8, 9], selfinteraction correction-local spin density (SIC-LSD)[10], and hybrid functionals[11, 12]. Although these approaches partially address the issues related to the strongly correlated electron systems, a comprehensive and generally accepted predictive theory with the quality of LDA for normal metal, allovs, and compounds is still lacking for materials containing strongly correlated electrons. To address this problem, we propose in this paper a new density functional theory that goes beyond LDA through a self-consistent solution of the manybody ground state using the Gutzwiller approximation[13] for interacting electron systems within a first-principles framework.

The Hohenberg-Kohn theorem states that the ground state energy of an electron system is a functional of the electron density[1]. Kohn and Sham[2] took this a step further by expressing the energy of a real system in terms of the energy of a fictitious system of non-interacting electrons that has the same density and same kinetic energy as the real system. This led to a system of non-interacting electrons moving in an effective potential that can be solved through the iterative solution of a set of one-electron Schrödinger equations within the LDA for the exchange-correlation energy. The current firstprinciples density functional calculations are based on this set of effective one-electron Kohn-Sham equations. It should be noted that the Hohenberg-Kohn density functional theorem is true for any electron system including strongly correlated electron systems. The failure of the Kohn-Sham approach for the strongly correlated electron systems, in our view, is largely due to the choice of non-interacting electron as the reference system. By carefully choosing a reference system which includes the most essential strong correlations, yet still can be cast into a set of one-electron Schrödinger equations through variational principles, a new density-functional formalism for treating strongly correlated electron systems can be derived and implemented following the spirit of Kohn and Sham[2].

In the new approach we propose here, instead of defining the kinetic energy functional to be the kinetic energy of a non-interacting electron gas with the same density, we will define the kinetic energy functional to have a simple analytical form corresponding to the frequently used Gutzwiller approximation for a system of electrons with on-site-only correlations[13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. The expectation of any one particle operator (e.g. the electron kinetic energy and the electron density) under the Gutzwiller approximation can be expressed in terms of a non-interacting one-particle density matrix with renormalized weight due to adjustments from strong correlation effects[13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. We will show that. within the Gutzwiller approximation, the interacting many-electron problem can be mapped onto a noninteracting system with an effective potential. The exact Coulomb interactions can be included for a pre-determined set of localized configurations while the local density approximation is used for all the remaining exchange-correlation contributions.

The choice of including electron correlations using the Gutzwiller approximation is motivated by previous work where it has been shown to interpolate well between the two regimes of strong electron correlation (large U limit) and small electron correlation (small U limit). Another importance of the Gutzwiller approach is the correct description of highly correlated states near the Fermi level. LDA can be view as an extension of Hartree-Fock theory into density functional theory, we view our present scheme as an extension of quantum chemical couple-cluster calculations into density functional theory. In our scheme, the variational parameters are the single particle electron wavefunctions for the localized and delocalized electrons and the occupancy of the various localized configurations at each atom in the unit cell. Like LDA, the formulation is from first principles with all Coulomb and exchange interactions determined self-consistently. There are no adjustable parameters.

According to the Hohenberg-Kohn density functional theorem, the ground state energy of a multi-electron system is a functional of the electron density ρ

$$\left\langle \Psi \left| \widehat{H} \right| \Psi \right\rangle = E\left[\rho \right]. \tag{1}$$

Instead of taking as reference a non-interacting electron gas with the same density as the exact many-electron system, the density functional in our present theory is determined by taking as reference an *interacting* electron system:

$$\left\langle \Psi \left| \widehat{H} \right| \Psi \right\rangle = \left\langle \Psi_G \left| \widehat{T} + \widehat{V}_{\text{ion}} \right| \Psi_G \right\rangle + E_{xc} \left[\rho \right]. \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int \rho \left(\mathbf{r} \right) v \left(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}' \right) \rho \left(\mathbf{r}' \right) d^3 r d^3 r' \quad (2)$$

The reference system is chosen to have the same electron density $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ as the ground state of the exact multi-electron system and to have a ground state wavefunction $|\Psi_G\rangle$ which obeys exactly the Gutzwiller approximation for all one particle operators

$$\widehat{O} = \sum_{m=1}^{N} \widehat{O}_m \tag{3}$$

In the Gutzwiller approximation, for each one-particle operator acting on $|\Psi_G\rangle$, we can define a corresponding renormalized operator \hat{O}_G , acting on the underlying Hartree-like wavefunction $|\Psi_0\rangle$ used in generating $|\Psi_G\rangle$ in the Gutzwiller approach such that:

$$\left\langle \Psi_{G} \left| \widehat{O} \right| \Psi_{G} \right\rangle = \left\langle \Psi_{0} \left| \widehat{O}_{G} \right| \Psi_{0} \right\rangle,$$
 (4)

where

$$\left\langle \phi_{i\alpha} \left| \widehat{O}_G \right| \phi_{j\beta} \right\rangle = z_{i\alpha} O_{i\alpha,j\beta} z_{j\beta}$$
 (5)

if $(i, \alpha) \neq (j, \beta)$ while

$$\left\langle \phi_{i\alpha} \left| \widehat{O}_G \right| \phi_{i\alpha} \right\rangle = O_{i\alpha,i\alpha}.$$
 (6)

Therefore we have

$$\left\langle \Psi_{G} \left| \widehat{O} \right| \Psi_{G} \right\rangle = \sum_{i\alpha,j\beta}^{\prime} z_{i\alpha} z_{j\beta} O_{i\alpha,j\beta} \left\langle \Psi_{0} \left| c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{j\beta} \right| \Psi_{0} \right\rangle$$

$$+ \sum_{i\alpha}^{\prime} O_{i\alpha,i\alpha} \left\langle \Psi_{0} \left| c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{i\alpha} \right| \Psi_{0} \right\rangle$$

$$(7)$$

where $\{\phi_{i\alpha}\}\$ is a local orbital basis for the system, a subset L of which represents localized electrons in the system and $\sum_{i\alpha,j\beta}'$ indicates summation with the self term $(i,\alpha) = (j,\beta)$ omitted. $|\Psi_0\rangle$ is the uncorrelated Hartree-like wavefunction corresponding to $|\Psi_G\rangle$. The z-factors are renormalization weights for the localized part of the one-particle density matrix

$$z_{i\alpha} = \frac{\sum_{\Gamma_i, \Gamma'_i} \sqrt{p^{\alpha}_{\Gamma_i, \Gamma'_i}}}{\sqrt{n_{i\alpha} \left(1 - n_{i\alpha}\right)}} \tag{8}$$

where $p^{\alpha}_{\Gamma,\Gamma'} = p_{i,\Gamma}p_{i,\Gamma'} |\langle \Gamma' | c_{i\alpha} | \Gamma \rangle|^2$ is the probability for a transition between two atomic configurations Γ_i and Γ'_i that results in the increase of the occupation of the single particle state α at site *i* by one. The summation is over all configurations on site *i*[16, 18]. For non-localized orbitals $z_{i\alpha} = 1$. In our present notation, α includes both the orbital and spin indices and

$$n_{i\alpha} = \left\langle \Psi_0 \left| c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{i\alpha} \right| \Psi_0 \right\rangle.$$
(9)

The $z_{i\alpha}$ are therefore functions of the orbital occupation $\{n_{i\alpha}\}$ and the probabilities $p^{\alpha}_{\Gamma,\Gamma'}$. The $p^{\alpha}_{\Gamma,\Gamma'}$ can be expressed in terms of the probabilities $p_i (\Gamma)$ for a local configuration $\Gamma[16, 18]$. The set of local orbitals in L and the set of local configurations $\{\Gamma_i\}$ with non-zero probabilities are specified for the system.

Under the Gutzwiller approximation, the electron density is defined as

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i\alpha,j\beta}' z_{i\alpha} z_{j\beta} \phi_{i\alpha}^{*}(\mathbf{r}) \phi_{j\beta}(\mathbf{r}) \left\langle \Psi_{0} \left| c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{j\beta} \right| \Psi_{0} \right\rangle \\ + \sum_{i\alpha} \left| \phi_{i\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) \right|^{2} \left\langle \Psi_{0} \left| c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{i\alpha} \right| \Psi_{0} \right\rangle$$
(10)

We can also define the localized electron density $\rho_l(\mathbf{r})$ by a similar expression, except that the summation is restricted to α and β in *L*.

We will choose $E_{xc}[\rho]$ to be of the form:

$$E_{xc}\left[\rho\right] = \sum_{i\Gamma} p_{i}\left(\Gamma\right) U_{\Gamma} + \int d^{3}r\left(\rho - \rho_{l}\right) \varepsilon_{xc}\left(\rho\right) -\frac{1}{2} \int \int \rho_{l}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) v\left(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'\right) \rho_{l}\left(\mathbf{r}'\right) d^{3}r d^{3}r' \quad (11)$$

We require our system to be the same as the regular LDA system in the limit when there are no localized electrons. This can be achieved if we choose $\varepsilon_{xc}(\rho)$ to be the same as in LDA. In the limit when all electrons are localized our system becomes a multiband Hubbard Hamiltonian. U_{Γ} is a sum of Slater integrals representing the Coulomb repulsion between localized orbitals on the same site in the configuration Γ .

The variational degrees of freedom in our system are $\{p_i(\Gamma)\}$ and $|\Psi_0\rangle$. Since $|\Psi_0\rangle$ can be expressed as a simple product of one particle wavefunctions $\{\psi_{n\mathbf{k}}\}$, it follows that

$$\left\langle \Psi_{0} \left| c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{j\beta} \right| \Psi_{0} \right\rangle = \sum_{n,\mathbf{k}} f_{n,\mathbf{k}} \left\langle \psi_{n\mathbf{k}} \right| \phi_{i\alpha} \right\rangle \left\langle \phi_{j\beta} \left| \psi_{n\mathbf{k}} \right\rangle$$
(12)

where m, \mathbf{k} are the usual band indices and $f_{n,\mathbf{k}}$ is 1 for occupied states and 0 for empty states. The variational parameters in our calculations are $\{p_i(\Gamma)\}$ and $\{\psi_{n\mathbf{k}}\}$ with the constraints that $\{\psi_{n\mathbf{k}}\}$ are normalized to 1.

A set of single electron equations can be derived using the variational principle by taking the derivatives of the new energy functional Eq.2 with respect to $\{\psi_{n\mathbf{k}}\}\$ and $\{p_i(\Gamma)\}\$, keeping in mind that the density $\rho(\mathbf{r})$, the localized density $\rho_l(\mathbf{r})$, the exchange-correlation functional $\varepsilon_{xc}(\rho)$ and the parameters $z_{i\alpha}$ are defined above. This set of equations can be solved self-consistently to give the band structures and total energies of the correlated electron system.

By taking the derivatives with respect to $\{\psi_{n\mathbf{k}}\}$ we have

$$\hat{H}_{\rm eff}\psi_{n\mathbf{k}} = \lambda_{n\mathbf{k}}\psi_{n\mathbf{k}} \tag{13}$$

with effective Hamiltonian

$$\widehat{H}_{\text{eff}} = \widehat{H}_{G}^{l} + \sum_{i\alpha\beta} 2e_{i\beta}\frac{\partial \ln z_{i\beta}}{\partial n_{i\alpha}}\widehat{P}_{i\alpha}$$
(14)

where the first term \hat{H}_G^l is the Gutzwiller-renormalized operator of \hat{H}^l where

$$\widehat{H}^{l} = \widehat{T} + \widehat{V}_{ion} + \widehat{V}_{H} + \mu_{xc} - \widehat{P}_{l} \left(\widehat{V}_{H}^{l} + \varepsilon_{xc} \right) \widehat{P}_{l} \quad (15)$$

is the effective mean-field potential with the localizedlocalized electron-interaction contributions subtracted out. \hat{V}_H and \hat{V}_H^l are the mean field Coulomb potential (Hartree potential) due to the total and localized charge respectively.

$$\mu_{\rm xc} = \frac{\partial \left(\rho - \rho_l\right) \varepsilon_{xc}\left(\rho\right)}{\partial \rho} \tag{16}$$

while \hat{P}_l is the projection operator on the localized subspace L, i.e. $\hat{P}_l \phi_{i\alpha} = \phi_{i\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in L$ (localized electron orbitals) and $\hat{P}_l \phi_{i\alpha} = 0$ otherwise.

The second term in Eq.14 adds back the localized-localized electron contribution to the effective potential (subtracted from the first term) according to the Gutzwiller approximation. In the second term, $\hat{P}_{i\alpha}$ is the projection operator on $\phi_{i\alpha}$ and

$$e_{i\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n\mathbf{k}} f_{n\mathbf{k}} \left\langle \psi_{n\mathbf{k}} \left| \widehat{P}_{i\alpha} \widehat{H}_{G}^{l} + \widehat{H}_{G}^{l} \widehat{P}_{i\alpha} \right| \psi_{n\mathbf{k}} \right\rangle - \widehat{H}_{i\alpha,i\alpha}^{l} n_{i\alpha}$$
(17)

The derivatives over the local configuration probabilities $\{p_i(\Gamma)\}$ yield

$$0 = U_{\Gamma} + 2\sum_{i\alpha} e_{i\alpha} \frac{\partial \ln z_{i\alpha}}{\partial p_i(\Gamma)}$$
(18)

This is a set of self-consistency criteria to be satisfied by $\{p_i(\Gamma)\}$.

The set of equations in (13) and (18) can be solved iteratively to obtain a self-consistent solution for $\{p_i(\Gamma)\}$ and $\{\psi_{n\mathbf{k}}\}$ and the total energy of the system evaluated according to equations (1) to (12).

The specific derivation of our density functional approach is based on the Gutzwiller method. It is, however, possible to formally generalize the approach and set up a density functional for an arbitrary solvable interacting many-electron reference system, independent of the specific aspects of the Gutzwiller formalism. To put it in a more general context, we discuss these aspects of our approach. A crucial ingredient of the density functional formulation by Kohn and Sham is to choose the kinetic energy functional $T[\rho]$ to be the kinetic energy of a system of independent electrons in a potential \hat{V}_s that yields the ground state density $\rho(\mathbf{r})$. Our approach differs from this key starting point by Kohn and Sham by defining $T[\rho]$ as the kinetic energy of a system of *interacting* electrons. We then assume that one can again formulate an effective many body problem with Hamiltonian

$$\widehat{H}_{\rm s} = \widehat{T} + \widehat{V}_{\rm s} + \widehat{U}_{\rm s} \tag{19}$$

that yields the ground state density $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ through an appropriate choice of the single particle potential \hat{V}_{s} . \hat{U}_{s} still contains explicit interactions among the electrons. We then use the wave function $|\Psi_{s}\rangle$ of this correlated reference system to define the kinetic energy functional

$$T\left[\rho\right] = \left\langle \Psi_{\rm s} \left| \widehat{T} \right| \Psi_{\rm s} \right\rangle. \tag{20}$$

This necessitates a new, modified functional for $E_{xc} [\rho]$ which is no longer the only term where correlation effects enter. A self-consistent set of density functional equations emerges for any choice of $|\Psi_s\rangle$ that allows for an evaluation of the functional derivative $\delta T [\rho] / \delta \rho$ (**r**). The Gutzwiller wave function $|\Psi_s\rangle = |\Psi_G\rangle$ discussed above or other Jastrow type wave functions are examples. The close formal connection to a noninteracting electron system (see Eq.4) makes the analysis of the kinetic energy feasible and leads to the mapping of the many-particle problem onto a set of effective single particle Schrödinger equations.

We can make further progress in our analysis of $E_{xc}[\rho]$ by using the coupling constant integration approach of Ref.[19]. We first make a specific choice $\hat{U}_s = \hat{P}\hat{U}\hat{P}$ for the interaction term, where \hat{P} projects onto the configuration space of the strongly interacting electrons e.g. those among local 3d, 4for 5f electrons. We then introduce the Hamiltonian

$$\widehat{H}_{\lambda} = \widehat{T} + \widehat{U}_{s} + \lambda \left(\widehat{U} - \widehat{U}_{s}\right) + \widehat{V}\left(\lambda\right).$$
(21)

with varying coupling constant λ , where the potential $\widehat{V}(\lambda)$ equals the nuclear potential \widehat{V}_0 for $\lambda = 1$ and is assumed to yield a density $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ independent of λ for $\lambda < 1$. With the help of the Hellmann-Feynman theorem we obtain an explicit expression for the exchange correlation functional:

$$E_{xc}\left[\rho\right] = \left\langle \Psi_{s} \left| \widehat{U}_{s} \right| \Psi_{s} \right\rangle + \int d^{3}r \left(\rho \varepsilon_{xc}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) - \rho_{l} \varepsilon_{xc,l}\left(\mathbf{r}\right)\right) \\ - \frac{1}{2} \int \int \rho_{l}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) v\left(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'\right) \rho_{l}\left(\mathbf{r}'\right) d^{3}r d^{3}r', \quad (22)$$

that is independent of the specifics of the above Gutzwiller approach. The exchange correlation potential $\varepsilon_{xc,l}(\rho)$ of the localized orbitals is found to be

$$\varepsilon_{xc,l}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \int d^{3}r' \rho_{l}\left(\mathbf{r}'\right) v\left(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'\right) \left(g_{l}\left(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'\right)-1\right), \quad (23)$$

where $g_l(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \int_0^1 d\lambda \frac{\sum_{\sigma\sigma'} \langle \hat{P} b_{\sigma\sigma'}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}) b_{\sigma\sigma'}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}) \hat{P} \rangle_{\lambda}}{\rho_l(\mathbf{r})\rho_l(\mathbf{r}')}$ is the two particle correlation function of localized states with $b_{\sigma\sigma'}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}) = \psi_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{r}') \psi_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r})$. Comparing this result with Eq.11 we identify $\sum_{i\Gamma} p_i(\Gamma) U_{\Gamma} = \langle \Psi_s | \hat{U}_s | \Psi_s \rangle$ and find that we made the approximate choice $\varepsilon_{xc,l}(\rho) \simeq$ $\varepsilon_{xc}(\rho)$. Then, the second term in Eq.22 becomes simply $\int d^3r (\rho - \rho_l) \varepsilon_{xc}(\rho)$. This simple choice reproduces correctly the limit of the ordinary density functional theory of Kohn and Sham in the case without localized orbitals. A similar form was also shown to be very successful in describing the non-linear exchange-correlation interactions between core and valence charge densities[20].

An important physical consequence of our new approach is its ability to combine two, seemingly distinct, mechanisms for screening the Coulomb interaction between electrons. Usually, screening is understood as a response of the particle density in the vicinity of a charged object and many aspects of it are appropriately incorporated in the usual Kohn-Sham density functional formalism. On the other hand, in case of strong electron-electron correlations, the Coulomb interaction can also be screened via a reorganization of the many body state, for example in the form of strong band renormalizations, amounting to a drastic change in the kinetic energy of the electrons. These effects are at best poorly described in the usual density functional formalism. In our approach, through the self-consistent solution for $\{\psi_{n\mathbf{k}}\}\$ and $\{p_i(\Gamma)\}\$, the system can respond to the addition of extra terms in the Hamiltonian (both in the external potential as well as in U_{Γ}). The approach has the advantage of combining both aspects of screening in a self consistent way without resorting to model parameters or model Hamiltonians. This opens a new perspective for the first principles description of strong electron correlations in complex materials.

In summary, we have developed a new density functional theory incorporating strongly correlated electronic effects into the kinetic energy functional within the Gutzwiller approximation. We show that a set of single particle equations can be obtained from functional derivatives of the energy with respect to the orbitals included in the non-interacting one-particle density matrix with renormalized kinetic and potential operators. This set of equations can be solved selfconsistently in a way similar to regular LDA calculations. In our scheme, the variational parameters are the single particle electron wavefunctions for the localized and delocalized electrons and the occupancy of the various localized configurations at each atom in the unit cell. Like LDA, and unlike the many other correlated electron calculations on the market, the formulation is from first principles with all Coulomb and exchange-correlation interactions determined self-consistently. There are no adjustable parameters. We believe developments along this approach will be fruitful in extending the successful applications of density functional calculations to new systems with important electron correlations.

We are grateful to V. Antropov, B. N. Harmon, W. Weber and J. Buenemann for useful discussions. Ames Laboratory is operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Iowa State University under Contract No. DE-AC02-07CH11358. This work was supported by the Director for Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences.

- [1] P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. 136 B864 (1964).
- [2] W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, Phys. Rev. 140, A1133 (1965).
- [3] Quantum Theory of Real Materials, Eds. J. R. Chelikowsky and S. G. Louie, (Kluwer Press, 1996).
- [4] VASP (Vienna ab-initio simulation program), G. Kresse and J. Hafner, Phys. Rev. B 47, 558 (1993); ibid. 49, 14 251 (1994);
 G. Kresse and J. Furthmuller, Comput. Mat. Sci. 6, 15 (1996);
 G. Kresse and J. Furthmuller, Phys. Rev. B 54, 11 169 (1996).
- [5] V. I. Anisimov, and O. Gunnarsson, Phys. Rev. B 43, 7570 (1991);
 V. I. Anisimov, J. Zaanen, and O. K. Anderson, Phys. Rev. B 44, 943 (1991);
 V. I. Anisimov, I. V. Solovyev, and M. A. Korotin, Phys. Rev. B 48, 16929 (1993).
- [6] G. Kotliar and D. Vollhardt, Physics Today, March 2004, p. 53 (2004).
- [7] G. Kotliar, S. Y. Savrasov, K. Haule, V.S. Oudovenko, O. Parcollet, and C. A. Marianetti, Rev. Mod. Phys. 78, 865-951 (2006).
- [8] K. McMahan, Phys. Rev. B 72, 115125 (2005).
- [9] S. Y. Savrasov, G. Kotliar, and E. Abrahams, Nature 410, 793 (2001).
- [10] L. Petit, A. Svane, Z. Szotek, and W. M. Temmerman, Science 301, 498 (2003); Eur. Phys. J. B 25, 139–146 (2002).
- [11] N. M. Kudin, G. E. Scuseria, and R. L. Martin, Phys. Rev. Lett 89, 266402 (2003).
- [12] I. D. Prodan, G. E. Scuseria, and R. L. Martin, Chem. Phys. 3, 225 (2005).
- [13] M. Gutzwiller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 10, 159 (1963); Phys. Rev. 137, A1726 (1965).
- [14] D. Vollhardt, Rev. Mod. Phys. 56, 99 (1984).
- [15] G. Kotliar and A. E. Ruckenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, 1362 (1986).
- [16] V. Dorin and P. Schlottmann, Phys. Rev. B 47, 5095 (1993).
- [17] J. P. Julien and J. Bouchet, Physica B Conden. Matter, 359, 783 (2005).
- [18] J. Bünemann, F. Gebhard, and W. Weber, Foundations of Physics, 30, 2011 (2000); J. Bünemann, W. Weber, and F. Gebhard, Phy Rev. B 57, 6896 (1998).
- [19] J. Harris, and R. Jones, J. Phys. F 4, 1170 (1974).
- [20] S. G. Louie, S. Froyen and M. L. Cohen, Phys. Rev. B 26, 1738 (1982).