Absence of a structural glass phase in a monoatomic model liquid predicted to undergo an ideal glass transition

Charlotte Gils, Helmut G. Katzgraber, and Matthias Troyer

Theoretische Physik, ETH Zürich, CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland

(Dated: November 1, 2018)

We study numerically a two-dimensional monodisperse model of interacting classical particles predicted to exhibit a static liquid-glass transition. Using a dynamical Monte Carlo method we show that the model does not freeze into a glassy phase at low temperatures. Instead, depending on the choice of the hard-core radius for the particles, the system either collapses trivially or a polycrystalline hexagonal structure emerges.

PACS numbers: 64.70.Pf, 61.43.Fs, 61.43.Bn

I. INTRODUCTION

There has been considerable progress in the physics of structural glasses in the last few years.^{1,2} While experimentally as well as numerically new insights have been gained, theoretical studies of *simple* glass-forming models lag behind. A structural glass is a solid state characterized by 'random' particle positions such that it is not possible to identify symmetries, i.e., no long-range order exists. The nature of the transition from a liquid to such a disordered phase is still an open question (see for example Refs. 3 and 4). A popular microscopic approach is that of mode-coupling theory which predicts a purely dynamical transition, i.e., a change in the dynamics from ergodic to non-ergodic behavior (see for example Ref. 5). In contrast, a static glass transition arises from an equilibrium classical statistical mechanics approach using a replica formulation,^{6,7} based on the assumption of an entropy crisis scenario.⁸ It is important to note that this approach assumes that the system is in a uniform phase, thereby ignoring a crystal state from the very outset. A static glass transition may therefore only arise in systems where a crystal state does not dominate the low-temperature phase, e.g., by being separated from the glass phase by a large energy barrier.

The replica technique has been applied to a variety of systems, such as identical hard spheres,⁹ a Lennard-Jones binary mixture¹⁰ and to a monoatomic model with attractive two-body interactions.¹¹ The last study has the advantage over conventional glass-forming models in that *exact* replica calculations can be performed thus potentially being able to deliver new insights into this complex field. The authors of Ref. 11 consider a system of N classical particles in D dimensions which interact by a two-body potential which is nonzero for a given distance R between the particles and zero otherwise (see Fig. 1).

Analytical^{12,13} and numerical studies¹⁴ report the absence of a static glass phase in monodisperse hard spheres in two and three dimensions, respectively.¹⁵ Therefore it would be of interest to test if the model proposed in Ref. 11 has a static glass transition even though the model is intrinsically monodisperse [see Eq. (2) below]. In this work we study the model proposed by Dotsenko and



FIG. 1: Schematic plot of the interaction potential U(r)[Eq. (2)] between two particles with relative distance $r = |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|$. For $r \in [R - r_0, R + r_0]$ the particles feel an attraction, otherwise the potential is zero. Note that the model is defined in the limit $1 \ll r_0 \ll R$. (a) Interaction potential as introduced in Ref. 11 with a hardcore radius h = 1. (b) Modified interaction potential in order to avoid a trivial particle collapse with a hardcore radius R/4 < h < R/2.

Blatter¹¹ numerically in two space dimensions (D = 2) and find that for various combinations of the model parameters the system crystallizes immediately. We thus conclude that some amount of disorder must be introduced in order to stabilize the glass phase.

II. MODEL

We study the monoatomic glass former proposed in Ref. 11. The model describes N particles in D space dimensions with a two-body interaction potential

$$U = \sum_{i < j} U(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j), \qquad (1)$$

where

$$U(\mathbf{r}_{i}, \mathbf{r}_{j}) = \frac{1}{r_{0}^{2}} \left(|\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j}| - R \right)^{2} - 1$$
(2)

for $R_{-} < |\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j}| < R_{+}$. Here $R_{\pm} = R \pm r_{0}$, where R is the 'interaction radius' of the potential and r_{0} the width of the parabolic potential well [see Fig. 1 for details]. The particle size is set to unity, which is equivalent to a hard-core radius of h = 1. In these units the results obtained in a mean-field approximation are valid in the parameter



FIG. 2: Configuration of particles after $2 \cdot 10^8$ Monte Carlo steps for $r_0 = 10$, R = 100, h = 30 (i.e., $T_c \approx 0.33$), T = 0.1, N = 120 and an average particle spacing $\rho^{-1/2} \approx 88.3$.

regimes $1 \ll r_0 \ll R$ and for an average particle distance which equals approximately those of the crystal and the liquid phase, i.e. $\rho^{-1/D} \approx R$ (ρ denotes the density). The liquid and glass phases are predicted to be separated¹¹ by a critical temperature

$$T_{\rm c} \sim 1/\ln(DR/r_0)$$
. (3)

The disordered nature of the phase for temperatures below $T_{\rm c}$ is identified by means of a replica correlator which quantifies the correlations between particles in two or more replicas.

III. NUMERICAL METHOD AND RESULTS

We use a Metropolis Monte Carlo (MC) method (see for example Ref. 16 for details). Without loss of generality we study particles in two space dimensions with periodic boundary conditions in a box of size $L_x \times L_y$. A MC step consists of choosing a new configuration of particle positions and accepting this move with probability $p = \min[1, \exp(-\Delta U/T)]$, where ΔU is the difference in the potential energy between the old and new configuration of particles. Two types of local moves are applied: a random particle and a random direction are chosen, and the particle is moved into this direction by a distance uniformly drawn from either the interval $[0, r_0]$, or the interval $[R_{-}, R_{+}]$. The resulting local dynamics serves as a model for the realistic dynamics of the system in renormalized physical time. In the absence of ergodicity breaking, the method also allows one to study the thermodynamic phase of the system: after a sufficient number of MC steps configurations are distributed according to the equilibrium distribution $\exp(-U/T)$. Clearly, if no disordered phase is found after relatively few MC



FIG. 3: Configuration snapshot after $3 \cdot 10^9$ Monte Carlo steps for $r_0 = 10$, R = 100, h = 30, (i.e., $T_c \approx 0.33$), T = 0.1, N = 1200 and an average particle distance $\rho^{-1/2} \approx 108$ (i.e., a smaller density than in Fig. 2). A polycrystalline structure with randomly-oriented patches of hexagonal crystal is found.

steps, the use of optimized nonlocal MC updates which allow the system to assume low-energy configurations in a much faster manner will certainly not lead to a disordered phase either. The simulation is started with a random configuration of particles. The temperature T for the simulation is chosen such that $T < T_c$, where T_c is given by Eq. (3). Since the system starts from a quenched configuration, the cooling rate is thus infinitely high, as required in Ref. 11.

For the glass phase to be stable Dotsenko and Blatter¹¹ give clear restrictions on the parameters of the model glass former, i.e., $1 \ll r_0 \ll R$. Simulating the system with the standard hard-core radius h = 1 and for temperatures $T < T_c$ yields a trivial collapse where all particles approach each other up to a minimum distance of 2h. We have tested this scenario for various choices of all system parameters. The same trivial collapse is found for any hard-core radius which satisfies h < R/4. To avoid this behavior, we increase the size of the hardcore radius to values R/2 > h > R/4. Note that the size of the hard-code radius h is irrelevant in the analytic calculations of Ref. 11.¹⁷

For the modified interaction potential [Fig. 1(b)] a hexagonal crystal structure emerges: If L_x , L_y and N are chosen appropriately, a perfect crystal develops as shown in Fig. 2. If the density is lower than the density of the hexagonal crystal, the particles organize in a polycrystalline structure: small hexagonal crystal patches with random orientations form, as can be seen in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4(d). In Figs. 4(a) – 4(d) we show how the crystalline structure forms as a function of time measured in Monte Carlo steps, starting from a low-density random configuration [panel (a)]. We have also measured the replica



FIG. 4: Particle configurations for N = 1000 particles ($r_0 = 10$, R = 100, h = 30, $T = 0.05 \ll T_c$) in a system with average particle distance $\rho^{-1/2} \approx 167$. (a) Initial random configuration, (b) configuration snapshot after $2 \cdot 10^7$ MC steps, (c) configuration snapshot after $2 \cdot 10^8$ MC steps, and (d) after $5 \cdot 10^9$ MC steps. As in Fig. 3, a polycrystalline structure emerges.

correlator [Eq. (62) in Ref. 11] whose finite value would be indicative of a glassy state. However, in our simulations, it takes a large value close to the linear system size squared, which means that particles in two replicas are completely uncorrelated (not shown). For large systems and various densities, ranging from those of the crystal state [Fig. 2] to much more dilute systems [Figs. 3 and 4], our results are in stark contrast to the predictions of Ref. 11: While a glassy "network-like" structure with an average number of nearest-neighbors which is significantly smaller than in a crystalline state is predicted, our results are locally crystal-like and show a high degree of order.^{18,19} We have varied the system parameters, in particular increasing the ratio of R and r_0 , but the results have been qualitatively similar to the ones shown in the Figures. Therefore, if a glass phase exists in the model, it cannot be observed since crystallization takes place very quickly.

tion. This is unfortunate since the proposed monoatomic model is analytically solvable and thus holds the promise to deliver new insights to the physics of glass formers. It might be of interest to verify if the model is still analytically solvable if, for example, many-body interactions between the particles²⁰ are added to the Hamiltonian or Gaussian randomness is introduced into the distance Rto suppress the crystal state and favor a glassy phase. Assuming the crystal structure is better suppressed in higher space dimensions, it might be conceivable to find a glass structure for D > 2 and thus possibly determine a lower critical dimension of the model.

body interactions, such as the recent proposal¹¹ studied

here in detail, cannot form a glassy structure at low tem-

peratures. Instead, the system forms a polycrystalline

structure and does not show an ideal liquid-glass transi-

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, our results from Monte Carlo simulations are in agreement with previous predictions^{12,13} that monoatomic systems in two space dimensions with twoWhile the simulations are performed for finite systems, we believe the number of particles studied shall not influence the conclusions found. In particular, we have scanned the vast parameter space in detail and have performed simulations for many different particle numbers and densities always yielding similar results.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank G. Blatter, V. S. Dotsenko and W. Krauth for helpful discussions. The simulations were performed on the hreidar cluster at ETH Zürich. H.G.K. acknowledges support from the Swiss National Science Foundation under grant No. PP002-114713.

- ¹ C. A. Angell, Formation of Glasses from Liquids and Biopolymers, Science **267**, 1924 (1995).
- ² R. Richert and C. A. Angell, Dynamics of glass-forming liquids. V. On the link between molecular dynamics and configurational entropy, J. Chem. Phys **108**, 9016 (1998).
- ³ W. Kob, (arXiv:cond-mat/0212344) (2002), Lecture Notes for "Les Houches 2002 Summer School".
- ⁴ R. Schilling, Theories of the Structural Glass Transition, in Collective Dynamics of Nonlinear and Disordered Systems, edited by S. Radons, W. Just, and P. Häussler (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2005).
- ⁵ W. Götze and L. Sjögren, Relaxation processes in supercooled liquids, Rep. Prog. Phys. 55, 241 (1992).
- ⁶ M. Mézard and G. Parisi, *Thermodynamics of Glasses: A First Principles Computation*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 747 (1999).
- ⁷ M. Mézard and G. Parisi, A first-principle computation of the thermodynamics of glasses, J. Chem. Phys. **111**, 1076 (1999).
- ⁸ J. H. Gibbs and E. A. Di Marzio, *Nature of the Glass Transition and the Glassy State*, J. Chem. Phys. **28**, 373 (1957).
- ⁹ G. Parisi and F. Zamponi, *The ideal glass transition of hard spheres*, J. Chem. Phys **123**, 144501 (2005).
- ¹⁰ B. Coluzzi, G. Parisi, and P. Verrocchio, *Thermodynamical Liquid-Glass Transition in a Lennard-Jones Binary Mixture*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 306 (2000).
- ¹¹ V. S. Dotsenko and G. Blatter, *Mean-field glass transition in a model liquid*, Phys. Rev. E **72**, 021502 (2005).
- ¹² M. A. Moore and J. Yeo, *The Thermodynamic Glass Tran*sition in Finite Dimensions, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 095701 (2005).
- 13 M. Tarzia, On the absence of the glass transition in two

dimensional hard disks, J. Stat. Mech. P01010 (2007).

- ¹⁴ M. D. Rintoul and S. Torquato, *Metastability and Crystallization in Hard-Sphere Systems*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 4198 (1996).
- ¹⁵ Note that for polydisperse systems the existence and nature of the glass phase is still controversial (see Refs. 21 and 22).
- ¹⁶ W. Krauth, Introduction To Monte Carlo Algorithms, in Advances in Computer Simulation, edited by J. Kertesz and I. Kondor (Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, 1998).
- ¹⁷ V. S. Dotsenko and G. Blatter, private communication (2007).
- ¹⁸ We have also measured the structure factor of the system which suggests an underlying crystalline structure (peaks) and does not show the typical ring-like feature found for a disordered system such as a glass or liquid.
- ¹⁹ The probability of creating a crystal germ to overcome the surface energy cost grows linearly with system size. This means that for a large enough system a germ will form which eventually will spread over a macroscopic volume of the system (depending on the particle density it can be the whole system volume). Quoting Werner Krauth: "Schwupp-di-wupp the whole box is a crystal".
- ²⁰ R. di Leonardo, L. Angelani, G. Parisi, and G. Ruocco, Off-Equilibrium Effective Temperature in Monatomic Lennard-Jones Glass, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 6054 (2000).
- ²¹ L. Santen and W. Krauth, Absence of thermodynamic phase transition in a model glass former, Nature 405, 550 (2000).
- ²² Y. Brumer and D. R. Reichman, Numerical investigation of the entropy crisis in model glass formers, J. Chem. Phys. 108, 6832 (2004).