# arXiv:0707.4383v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 30 Jul 2007

# Quantum rotor description of the Mott-insulator transition in the Bose-Hubbard model

T. P. Polak and T. K. Kopeć

Institute for Low Temperatures and Structure Research, Polish Academy of Sciences, POB 1410, 50-950 Wroclaw 2, Poland

We present the novel approach to the Bose-Hubbard model using the U(1) quantum rotor description. The effective action formalism allows us to formulate a problem in the phase only action and obtain an analytical formulas for the critical lines. We show that the nontrivial U(1) phase field configurations have an impact on the phase diagrams. The topological character of the quantum field is governed by terms of the integer charges - winding numbers. The comparison presented results to recently obtained quantum Monte Carlo numerical calculations suggests that the competition between quantum effects in strongly interacting boson systems is correctly captured by our model.

PACS numbers: 05.30.Jp, 03.75.Lm, 03.75.Nt

### I. INTRODUCTION

The physics of the Bose-Hubbard (BH) model was the subject of intensive study for a number of years.<sup>1,2</sup> More recently it has been realized that the Bose-Hubbard model can also be applied to bosons trapped in so-called optical lattices,<sup>3,4</sup> and coarse graining<sup>5</sup>, strong-coupling expansion,<sup>7,8</sup> mean-field theories<sup>6</sup> have been successfully applied to these systems in one-,<sup>9,10</sup> two-<sup>11</sup> and threedimensional lattices.<sup>12</sup> Another essentially equivalent formulation is based on the Gutzwiller wavefunction.<sup>13,14</sup> Also extension, based on a systematic strong-coupling approach of the Bose-Hubbard model beyond meanfield has been tried<sup>15</sup> and experimentally confirmed.<sup>16</sup> The progress comes from better computer resources and more efficient algorithm allows to use the Quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) method for studies of the BH systems.<sup>17,18,19</sup>

An optical lattices offer remarkably clean access to a particular Hamiltonian and thereby serve as a model system for testing fundamental theoretical concepts and providing exemplar of quantum many-body effects.<sup>20</sup>. It is well known that the ground state of a system of repulsively interacting bosons in a periodic potential can be either in a superfluid state (SF) or in a Mott-insulting state (MI), characterized by integer boson densities. Because the phase of the order parameter and the particle number as conjugate variables are subject to the uncertainty principle  $\Delta \phi \Delta n \sim \hbar^{21}$  and the bosons can either be in the eigenstate of particle number or phase. The eigenstate of phase is a superfluid and that of particle number is a localized Mott insulator. Therefore the quantum MI-SF phase transition takes place as the particle density is shifted thus facilitating emergence of the superfluid from the Mott insulating state.

The aim of this paper is to extend the mean-field approach for the Bose-Hubbard model in a way to include particle number fluctuations effects and make the qualitative phase diagrams in two and three dimensions more quantitative. Our method also improve the strongcoupling expansion that works well only for sufficiently large insulating gap.<sup>19</sup> The key point of presented approach is to consider the representation of strongly interacting bosons as particles with attached "flux tubes". In a consequence a boson is the composite object. This introduces a conjugate U(1) phase variable, which acquires dynamic significance from the boson-boson interaction. To facilitate this task we employ the functional integral formulation of the theory that enables us to perform the functional integration over fields defined on different topologically equivalent classes of the U(1) group, i.e., with different winding numbers. An inclusion of the winding numbers is unavoidable in order to obtain a proper phase diagram. A similar method that is based on quantum rotor formulation was recently employed by one of us in the fermionic Hubbard model.<sup>22</sup> The nice feature of our approach is that all the expressions and handling are analytic. Finally, we compare our results for systems at zero temperature with the outcome of the numerical simulations and found a very good agreement for the quantitative results regarding the behavior as we go from the superfluid phase to the Mott insulating phase. In the framework of the introduced theory we are able to calculate the phase diagrams with high accuracy along whole critical line that separates Mott insulator - superfluid phases. Moreover, our approach gives a clear insight into the Bose-Hubbard model from a quantum field theory and emphasizes the impact of the topology of the phase variable on phase transitions. We show that the Coulomb interaction (as a main energy scale) is governed the phase transitions in the Bose-Hubbard model.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Sec. II we introduce the model Hamiltonian and in Sec. III we derive an effective U(1) action in the quantum rotor representation. The aim of Sec. IV is the presentation of the resulting phase diagrams for two- and three-dimensional Bose-Hubbard systems. Finally, Section V summarizes our results and sets the outlook.

### II. MODEL HAMILTONIAN

We investigate the generic model for the Mottinsulator transition the Bose-Hubbard model

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{U}{2} \sum_{i} n_i \left( n_i - 1 \right) - \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} t_{ij} a_i^{\dagger} a_j - \mu \sum_{i} n_i, \quad (1)$$

where  $a_i^{\dagger}$  and  $a_j$  stands for the bosonic creation and annihilation operators that obey the canonical commutation relations  $\left[a_i, a_j^{\dagger}\right] = \delta_{ij}, n_i = a_i^{\dagger}a_i$  is the boson number operator on the site i, U > 0 is the on-site repulsion and  $\mu$  is the chemical potential which controls the number of bosons. Here,  $\langle i, j \rangle$  identifies summation over the nearest-neighbor sites. Furthermore,  $t_{ij}$  is the hopping matrix element with the dispersion for the bipartite lattice

$$t\left(\mathbf{k}\right) = 2t \sum_{l=1}^{d} \cos k_l.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

in d dimensions. In this paper we investigate the phase transitions in simple cubic and square lattice. For our purpose we rewrite Eq. (1) to more suitable form

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{U}{2} \sum_{i} n_i^2 - \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} t_{ij} a_i^{\dagger} a_j - \bar{\mu} \sum_{i} n_i, \qquad (3)$$

where  $\bar{\mu}/U = \mu/U + 1/2$  is the shifted reduced chemical potential.

### III. METHOD

### A. Decoupling of the Coulomb interaction

We will adopt the method of the quantum rotor model, developed by one of us,<sup>22</sup> to the BH Hamiltonian. The partition function of the system could be written in the form

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \left[ \mathcal{D}\bar{a}\mathcal{D}a \right] e^{-\mathcal{S}\left[\bar{a},a\right]} \tag{4}$$

and the bosonic path-integral is taken over the complex fields  $a_i(\tau)$  with the action S given by

$$S = S_B[\bar{a}, a] + \int_0^\beta d\tau \mathcal{H}(\tau), \qquad (5)$$

where

$$\mathcal{S}_{B}\left[\bar{a},a\right] = \sum_{i} \int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau \bar{a}_{i}\left(\tau\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} a_{i}\left(\tau\right).$$
(6)

Unfortunately Hamiltonian is not quadratic in  $a_i$  and we have to decouple first - the Coulomb term in Eq. (3) by a

Gaussian integration over the auxiliary fields  $V_i(\tau)$ . The transformed partition function becomes

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \left[\mathcal{D}\bar{a}\mathcal{D}a\right] e^{-\mathcal{S}_1[\bar{a},a]} \int \left[\frac{dV}{2\pi}\right] e^{-\mathcal{S}_2[n,V]},\qquad(7)$$

where

$$S_{1}[\bar{a},a] = \int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau \left[ \sum_{i} \bar{a}_{i}(\tau) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} a_{i}(\tau) - \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} t_{ij} \bar{a}_{i}(\tau) a_{j}(\tau) \right], \qquad (8)$$

 $\operatorname{and}$ 

$$S_{2}[n,V] = \sum_{i} \int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau \left\{ \frac{1}{2U} V_{i}^{2}(\tau) - [iV_{i}(\tau) - \bar{\mu}] n_{i}(\tau) \right\}.$$
(9)

After changing variables  $V_i(\tau) = V_i^T(\tau) + \frac{1}{i}\bar{\mu}$  the second part of the action takes form

$$S_{2}[n, V^{T}] = \sum_{i} \int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau \left\{ \frac{1}{2U} \left[ V_{i}^{T}(\tau) \right]^{2} + \frac{\bar{\mu}}{iU} V_{i}^{T}(\tau) - \frac{\bar{\mu}^{2}}{2U} - i V_{i}^{T}(\tau) n_{i}(\tau) \right\}.$$
 (10)

The field  $V_i^T(\tau)$  could be represent as a sum of the static  $V_i^S(\tau)$  and periodic function  $V_i^P(\tau)$ :

$$V_{i}^{T}(\tau) = V_{i}^{S}(\tau) + V_{i}^{P}(\tau),$$
  

$$V_{i}^{P}(\tau) = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \left[ V_{i}^{P}(\omega) e^{i\omega_{m}\tau} + c.c. \right],$$
  

$$V_{i}^{S} = \frac{1}{\beta} V_{i}^{T}(\omega_{m=0}).$$
(11)

where  $\omega_m = 2\pi m/\beta$   $(m = \pm 1, \pm 2...)$  are the Bose-Matsubara frequencies. Furthermore, we introduce the scalar potential field which couples to the local particle number through the Josephson-like relation

$$\dot{\phi}_i\left(\tau\right) = V_i^P\left(\tau\right),\tag{12}$$

where the phase field satisfies the periodicity condition  $\phi_i(\beta) = \phi_i(0)$  as a consequence of the periodic properties of the  $V_i^P(\tau)$  field. We can eliminate the periodic parts of the fluctuating electrochemical potential  $V_i^P(\tau)$  from the action replacing them by the phase field  $\dot{\phi}_i(\tau)$ :

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int [\mathcal{D}\bar{a}\mathcal{D}a] e^{-\mathcal{S}_{1}[\bar{a},a]} \\ \times \int \left[\frac{dV^{S}}{2\pi}\right] e^{-\mathcal{S}_{2}[n,V^{S}]} \int [\mathcal{D}\phi] e^{-\mathcal{S}_{3}[n,\dot{\phi}]}, \quad (13)$$

where

$$\mathcal{S}_{2}\left[n, V^{S}\right] = \beta \sum_{i} \left[\frac{1}{2U} \left(V_{i}^{S}\right)^{2} + \frac{\bar{\mu}}{iU} V_{i}^{S}\right]$$

$$-\frac{iV_i^S}{\beta} \int_0^\beta d\tau n_i(\tau) - \frac{\bar{\mu}^2}{2U} \bigg], \qquad (14)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_3\left[n, \dot{\phi}\right] = \sum_i \int_0^\beta d\tau \left[\frac{1}{2U} \dot{\phi}_i^2(\tau) + \frac{\bar{\mu}}{iU} \dot{\phi}_i(\tau) - i\dot{\phi}_i(\tau) n_i(\tau)\right]. \qquad (15)$$

The factor with  $-i \int_0^\beta d\tau \dot{\phi}_i(\tau) n_i(\tau)$  can be removed from the last equation by performing the local gauge transformation to the new bosonic variables as we show in the next subsection.

### B. Gauge transformation

We perform the local gauge transformation to the new bosonic variables

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_i(\tau) \\ \bar{a}_i(\tau) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\phi_i(\tau)} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\phi_i(\tau)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_i(\tau) \\ \bar{b}_i(\tau) \end{bmatrix}.$$
(16)

The U(1) group governing the phase field is compact, i.e.  $\phi(\tau)$  has the topology of a circle  $S_1$ , so that instanton effects can arise due to non-homotopic mappings of the configuration space onto the gauge group U(1). Therefore, we concentrate on closed paths in the imaginary time  $(0, 1/k_{\rm B}T)$  which fall into distinct, disconnected (homotopy) classes labelled by the integer winding numbers  $n_i$ .<sup>24</sup> The chief merit of the transformation in Eq. (16) is that we have managed to cast the strongly correlated bosonic problem into a system of weakly interacting bosons, submerged in the bath of strongly fluctuating U(1) gauge potentials (on the high energy scale set by U). Now the action contains three parts:

$$S_{1}\left[\bar{b}, b, \phi\right] = \int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau \left\{ \sum_{i} \bar{b}_{i}\left(\tau\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} b_{i}\left(\tau\right) - \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} t_{ij} \bar{b}_{i}\left(\tau\right) b_{j}\left(\tau\right) e^{-i\phi_{ij}\left(\tau\right)} \right\}, \quad (17)$$

$$S_{2}\left[V^{S}\right] = \beta \sum_{i} \left[\frac{1}{2U}\left(V_{i}^{S}\right)^{2} + \frac{\bar{\mu}}{iU}V_{i}^{S} - \frac{iV_{i}^{S}}{\beta} \int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau \bar{b}_{i}\left(\tau\right) b_{i}\left(\tau\right) - \frac{\bar{\mu}^{2}}{2U}\right], \quad (18)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_3\left[\dot{\phi}\right] = \sum_i \int_0^\beta d\tau \left\{ \frac{1}{2U} \dot{\phi}_i^2\left(\tau\right) + \frac{1}{i} \frac{\mu}{U} \dot{\phi}_i \right\}, (19)$$

where  $\phi_{ij}(\tau) = \phi_i(\tau) - \phi_j(\tau)$  and still we have terms with  $V_i^S$  that will be calculated in the next subsection. Furthermore, the path-integral includes a summation over winding numbers

$$\int [\mathcal{D}\phi] \dots \equiv \sum_{\{n_i\}} \int_0^{2\pi} \prod_i d\phi_i(0) \int_{\phi_i(0)}^{\phi(\tau)_i + 2\pi n_i} \prod_i d\phi_i(\tau) \dots$$
(20)

and should be performed taking phase configurations that satisfy boundary condition  $\phi_i(\beta) - \phi_i(0) = 2\pi n_i$  where  $n_i$  is integer.

### C. Saddle point equation

The expectation value of the static part of the fluctuating electrochemical potential

$$\left\langle V^{S} \right\rangle = \frac{\int \left[ \mathcal{D}V^{S} \right] V^{S} e^{-\mathcal{S}_{2} \left[ V^{S} \right]}}{\int \left[ \mathcal{D}V^{S} \right] e^{-\mathcal{S}_{2} \left[ V^{S} \right]}} \tag{21}$$

introduced in Eq. (11) we calculate using the saddle point approximation and for U > 0 obtain:

$$V^{S} = i \left[ \bar{\mu} + U \left\langle \bar{b}_{i} \left( \tau \right) b_{i} \left( \tau \right) \right\rangle \right].$$
(22)

Now making substitution in the second part of the action  $S_2[V^S]$  for the  $V_i^S$  an unique global value obtained from Eq. (22) we get finally

$$S_{2}\left[\bar{b},b\right] = \beta \sum_{i} \frac{U}{2} \left\langle \bar{b}_{i}\left(\tau\right) b_{i}\left(\tau\right) \right\rangle^{2} + \bar{\mu} \sum_{i} \int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau \bar{b}_{i}\left(\tau\right) b_{i}\left(\tau\right).$$
(23)

The effective action is now quadratic in the bosonic variables and can be integrated out without any difficulty remembering that the first term in Eq. (23) is simply a number. Therefore, the applied steepest descent method used to approximate integral Eq. (21) allowed us to remove an after effects of the auxiliary fields  $V_i(\tau)$  introduced in order to decouple the non-quadratic terms (in  $a_i$ ) in Hamiltonian Eq. (3).

## D. The partition function expressed in the phase fields variables

The partition function can be expressed in form of the effective propagator  $\hat{G}$ :

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \left[ \mathcal{D}\phi \right] e^{\left[ -\sum_{i} \int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau \left( \frac{1}{2U} \dot{\phi}_{i}^{2}(\tau) + \frac{1}{i} \frac{\ddot{\mu}}{U} \dot{\phi}_{i} \right) + \operatorname{Tr} \ln \hat{G}^{-1} \right]},$$
(24)

where  $\exp\left(-\operatorname{Tr}\ln\hat{G}^{-1}\right) \equiv \det\hat{G}$  and determinant takes form

$$\det \hat{G} = \int \left[ \mathcal{D}\bar{b}\mathcal{D}b \right] \exp \left\{ -\sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau \\ \times \bar{b}_{i} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + \bar{\mu} \right) \delta_{ij} - t_{ij} e^{-i\phi_{ij}(\tau)} \right] b_{i} \right\}. (25)$$

We parametrize the boson fields

$$b_i(\tau) = b_0 + b_i(\tau)$$
 (26)

and restrict our calculations to the phase fluctuations dropping the amplitude dependence. In result the inverse of the propagator becomes

$$\hat{G}^{-1} = \hat{G}_0^{-1} - T = \hat{G}_0^{-1} \left( 1 - T\hat{G}_0 \right).$$
 (27)

The explicit value  $b_0$  can be obtained from minimalization of the Hamiltonian  $\partial \mathcal{H}(b_0) / \partial b_0 = 0$  where we introduced the parametrization Eq. (26). Therefore, we write

$$\hat{G}_0 = b_0^2 \equiv \frac{\sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} t_{ij} + \bar{\mu}}{U}, \qquad (28)$$

$$T = t_{ij} e^{-i\phi_{ij}(\tau)}.$$
 (29)

Kampf and Zimanyi<sup>5</sup> considered similar parametrization in the path-integral formulation of the coarse-graining procedure to the BH model. However, to obtain a critical line, authors used a mean-field approach that is not expected to be reliable at T = 0 and be capable to handle spatial and quantum fluctuation effects properly, especially in two dimensions. Moreover, as we will see in the next sections, our results strongly depend on the dimension of the system giving qualitative changing of the phase diagrams.

Expanding the trace of the logarithm we have

$$\operatorname{Tr} \ln \hat{G}^{-1} = -\operatorname{Tr} \left( \ln \hat{G}_0 \right) - \operatorname{Tr} \left( T \hat{G}_0 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \left( T \hat{G}_0 \right)^2 \right] + \dots$$
(30)

with  $\hat{G}_0$  and T given by Eq. (28) and (29). Trace over first term of the expansion gives us constant contribution to the action. From the trace over second part

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(T\hat{G}_{0}\right) = \sum_{\langle i,j\rangle} J_{ij} \int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau \cos\left[\phi_{i}\left(\tau\right) - \phi_{j}\left(\tau\right)\right] \quad (31)$$

we get the explicit form of the coefficient:

$$J_{ij} = b_0^2 t_{ij} = \frac{\sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} t_{ij} + \bar{\mu}}{U} t_{ij}.$$
 (32)

Finally a partition function Eq. (24) becomes

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int [\mathcal{D}\phi] e^{-\mathcal{S}_{\rm ph}[\phi]}$$
(33)

with an effective action expressed only in the phase fields variable

$$S_{\rm ph}\left[\phi\right] = \int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau \left\{ \sum_{i} \left[ \frac{1}{2U} \dot{\phi}_{i}^{2}\left(\tau\right) + \frac{1}{i} \frac{\bar{\mu}}{U} \dot{\phi}_{i}\left(\tau\right) \right] -J \sum_{i,j} e^{\phi_{i}(\tau)} \mathcal{I}_{ij} e^{\phi_{j}(\tau)} \right\}, \qquad (34)$$

where  $\mathcal{I}_{ij} = 1$  if i, j are the nearest neighbors and equals zero otherwise.

To proceed we replace the phase degrees of freedom by the complex field  $\psi_i$  which satisfies the quantum periodic boundary condition  $\psi_i(\beta) = \psi_i(0)$ . This can be conveniently done using the Fadeev-Popov method with Dirac delta functional representation in a way used by Kopeć<sup>23</sup>:

$$1 = \int \left[ \mathcal{D}\psi_i \mathcal{D}\psi_i^* \right] \delta\left( \sum_i \left| \psi(\tau) \right|^2 - N \right) \\ \times \delta\left( \psi_i - e^{i\phi_i(\tau)} \right) \delta\left( \psi_i^* - e^{-i\phi_i(\tau)} \right).$$
(35)

The main idea of this approach is to attempt to generate an effective partition function from the original one with cosine interaction, which incorporates the constrained nature of the original variables. Thus we take  $\psi_i$ as continuous variable but constrained (on the average) to have the unit length:

$$\delta\left(\sum_{i} |\psi_{i}(\tau)|^{2} - N\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} d\lambda$$
$$\times e^{\int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau \lambda \left(\sum_{i} |\psi_{i}(\tau)|^{2} - N\right)} . (36)$$

In Eq. (36) we introduced the Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda$  which adds the quadratic terms (in the  $\psi_i$  fields) to the action Eq. (34). Using such description is justified by the definition of the order parameter

$$\Psi_B = \left\langle e^{i\phi_i(\tau)} \right\rangle \tag{37}$$

which non-vanishing value signals a macroscopic quantum phase coherence (in our case we identify it as the SF state). The partition function can be written in form

$$\mathcal{Z} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} d\lambda \int \left[ \mathcal{D}\psi_i \mathcal{D}\psi_i^* \right] e^{-\mathcal{S}_{\text{eff}}}, \qquad (38)$$

where effective action  $S_{\text{eff}}$  is given by:

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{i,j} \int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau d\tau' \left[ \left( J \mathcal{I}_{ij} + \lambda \delta_{ij} \right) \delta \left( \tau - \tau' \right) - \gamma_{ij} \left( \tau, \tau' \right) \right] \psi_{i} \psi_{j}^{*} - N \lambda \delta \left( \tau - \tau' \right).$$
(39)

Here

$$\gamma_{ij}(\tau,\tau') = \left\langle e^{-i\left[\phi_i(\tau) - \phi_j(\tau')\right]} \right\rangle \tag{40}$$

is the two-point phase correlator associated with the order parameter field. Summarizing this part, we formulated a problem introducing an appropriate constrained complex order parameter field. In the next section we show that the presence of the nontrivial topology possessed by the phase variable will contribute to propagator.

# E. Topological contribution in the correlation function

The existence of the topological features of the charge states affects the correlation function. Because the values of the phases  $\phi_i$  which differ by  $2\pi$  are equivalent thus we decompose the phase field in terms of a periodic field and term linear in  $\tau$ :

$$\phi_i(\tau) = \varphi_i(\tau) + \frac{2\pi}{\beta} n_i \tau \tag{41}$$

with  $\phi_i(\beta) = \phi_i(0)$ . As a result the phase correlator factorizes as the product of a topological term  $\gamma_i^T(\tau, \tau')$ depending on the integers  $n_i$  and non-topological one  $\gamma_{ii}^N(\tau, \tau')$ :

$$\gamma_{ij}\left(\tau,\tau'\right) = \gamma_{i}^{T}\left(\tau,\tau'\right)\gamma_{ij}^{N}\left(\tau,\tau'\right) \tag{42}$$

where

$$\gamma_i^T(\tau,\tau') = \frac{\sum_{[n_i]} e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{\beta} (\tau-\tau') n_i} e^{-\frac{2\pi}{\beta} \sum_i \left[\frac{\pi}{U} n_i^2 + \frac{\beta}{i} \frac{\overline{\mu}}{U} n_i\right]}}{\sum_{[n_i]} e^{-\frac{2\pi}{\beta} \sum_i \left[\frac{\pi}{U} n_i^2 + \frac{\beta}{i} \frac{\overline{\mu}}{U} n_i\right]}}$$
(43)

and

$$\gamma_{ij}^{N}(\tau,\tau') = \frac{\int \left[\mathcal{D}\varphi_{i}\right] e^{-i\left[\varphi_{i}(\tau)-\varphi_{j}\left(\tau'\right)\right]} e^{-\sum_{i}\frac{1}{2U}\int_{0}^{\beta}d\tau\dot{\varphi}_{i}^{2}(\tau)}}{\int \left[\mathcal{D}\varphi_{i}\right] e^{-\sum_{i}\frac{1}{2U}\int_{0}^{\beta}d\tau\dot{\varphi}_{i}^{2}(\tau)}}.$$
(44)

Performing the Poisson re-summation formula

$$\left|\sqrt{\det \mathbf{G}}\right| \sum_{[n_i]} e^{-\pi (n-a)_i \mathbf{G}_{ij} (n-a)_j} = \sum_{[n_i]} e^{-\pi m_i \left(\mathbf{G}^{-1}\right)_{ij} m_j}$$
(45)

in  $\gamma_i^T(\tau, \tau')$  and the functional integration over the phase variables in  $\gamma_{ii}^N(\tau, \tau')$  the final form of the correlator

$$\gamma_{ij}(\tau,\tau') = \delta_{ij} e^{\frac{U}{2}\left|\tau-\tau'\right|} \\ \times \frac{\sum_{[n_i]} e^{-\frac{U\beta}{2}\left(n_i+\frac{\mu}{U}\right)^2} e^{-U\left(n_i+\frac{\mu}{U}\right)\left(\tau-\tau'\right)}}{\sum_{[n_i]} e^{-\frac{U\beta}{2}\left(n_i+\frac{\mu}{U}\right)^2}} (46)$$

after Fourier transform can be written as:

$$\gamma(\omega_m) = \frac{1}{Z_0} \frac{4}{U} \sum_{[n_i]} \frac{e^{-\frac{U\beta}{2}\sum_i \left(n_i + \frac{\bar{\mu}}{U}\right)^2}}{1 - 4\left[\sum_i n_i + \frac{\bar{\mu}}{U} - i\frac{\omega_m}{U}\right]^2}, \quad (47)$$

where

$$\mathcal{Z}_0 = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{\sum_{[n_i]} e^{-\frac{U\beta}{2} \sum_i \left(n_i + \frac{\tilde{\mu}}{U}\right)^2}} \tag{48}$$

is the partition function for the set of quantum rotors. The form of Eq. (47) assures the periodicity in the imaginary time. We want to point out that another important property possessed by correlator Eq. (47) comes out. Namely, the propagator is periodic with respect to  $\mu/U+1/2$  which emphasizes the special role of its integer values.



Figure 1: (Color online) Phase boundary between the Mottinsulating (MI) and superfluid (SF) phases for square (darker lobes) and cubic lattice in the space of the parameters  $t/U - \mu/U$ .

### IV. MOTT INSULATOR - SUPERFLUID PHASE TRANSITION

The action included propagator with calculated the topological contribution after Fourier transform we write as

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{N\beta} \sum_{\mathbf{k},m} \psi_{\mathbf{k},m}^* \Gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}(\omega_m) \,\psi_{\mathbf{k},m},\tag{49}$$

where

$$\Gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}(\omega_m) = \lambda - J(\mathbf{k}) + \gamma^{-1}(\omega_m)$$
 (50)

is the inverse of the propagator. Within the phase coherent superfluid state the order parameter is given by

$$1 - \Psi_B^2 = \frac{1}{N\beta} \sum_{\mathbf{k},m} \frac{1}{\lambda - J(\mathbf{k}) + \gamma^{-1}(\omega_m)},$$
 (51)

where for bipartite lattices we have:

$$J(\mathbf{k}) = b_0^2 t(\mathbf{k}) = \left(2z\frac{t}{U} + \frac{\bar{\mu}}{U}\right) t(\mathbf{k})$$
(52)

with the dispersion  $t(\mathbf{k})$  given by Eq. (2) and z is the lattice coordination number. The phase boundary is determined by Eq. (51) from the upper limit of the eigenvalue spectrum max  $[t(\mathbf{k})]$  associated with the onset of phase transition. The Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda$  "sticks" at criticality to the value  $\lambda_0$  and stays constant in the whole low temperature ordered phase. The emergence of the critical point is signaled by the condition

$$\lambda_0 - J \left( \mathbf{k} = 0 \right) + \gamma^{-1} \left( \omega_{m=0} \right) = 0$$
 (53)

and by that very fact holds a converge in the constraint Eq. (51). After summation over Matsubara frequency



Figure 2: (Color online) The comparison between our results (dashed line) and quantum Monte Carlo (black boxes). Difference between critical values  $(t/U)_{\rm crit}$  from our theory and QMC is within the range of error bars of the numerical calculations. The darker area shows the difference between the critical line obtained from Eq. (56) and phase boundary from QMC. Grey and white areas mean the Mott-insulator and superfluid state respectively.

the superfluid state order parameter in the limit  $\beta \to \infty$  becomes

$$1 - \Psi_B^2 = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{J(\mathbf{k}=0) - J(\mathbf{k})}{U} + v^2\left(\frac{\mu}{U}\right)}}$$
(54)

with

$$v\left(\frac{\mu}{U}\right) = \operatorname{frac}\left(\frac{\mu}{U}\right) - \frac{1}{2},\tag{55}$$

where frac (x) = x - [x] is the fractional part of the number and [x] is the floor function which gives the greatest integer less then or equal to x. Introducing the density of states  $\rho(\xi) = N^{-1} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \delta[\xi - t(\mathbf{k})]$  we obtain the critical line equation:

$$1 - \Psi_B^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\rho\left(\xi\right) d\xi}{\sqrt{2\bar{\xi} \left(2z\frac{t}{U} + \frac{\mu}{U} + \frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{t}{U} + \upsilon^2\left(\frac{\mu}{U}\right)}},\tag{56}$$

where  $\xi$  is dimensionless parameter,  $\bar{\xi} \equiv \xi_{\rm max} - \xi$  and  $\xi_{\rm max}$ stands for the maximum value of the dispersion spectrum t (**k**). The zero temperature phase diagram of the model calculated from Eq. (56) is given in Fig. 1. We recognize the particle-hole asymmetric - as a result of the model Hamiltonian Eq. (1) - Mott-insulating lobes similar to what was found in the literature.<sup>5,7,8</sup> In the MI phase bosons are incompressible  $\partial n_B / \partial \mu = 0$  and localized which means that the total energy is minimized when each site is filled with the same number of atoms. Increasing fluctuations in the phase system reduces fluctuations in the boson number on each site according to Heisenberg uncertainty relation  $\Delta n_B \Delta \phi \geq 1/2$ . Crossing the boundary line bosons can move from one lattice site to the next. The order parameter  $\Psi_B$  has a non-vanishing value and system exhibits the long-range phase coherence. This is opposite case to the Mott-insulator where phase coherence is lost.

We found that our results are in great accordance with the recently published quantum Monte-Carlo calculations<sup>19</sup> (see Fig. 2) and also improve predictions based on the third-order expansion in t/U that become inaccurate quite far from the tip.<sup>7</sup> Furthermore, comparison with the strong coupling method<sup>15</sup> indicates that it underestimates the critical values of t/U. For example, in three dimension it gives t/U = 0.029 at the tip of the n = 1 lobe, which is slightly lower that the value that results form our calculation and the Monte Carlo method. The phase boundary is periodic with respect to  $\mu/U$  with fixed integer filling depending on the value of the chemical potential  $\mu$ . The vicinity of the lobe tip  $(t/U)_{crit}$ , corresponding to the MI-SF transition in the commensurate system is shifting from value 0.4 to 0.5 when we change a dimension of the lattice from three- (3D) to twodimensional (2D). Moreover, we see that the qualitative shape of the lobes is not the same for 2D and 3D cases and steeper for the two-dimensional system. Analysis of the one-dimensional systems is not possible in presented approach because for dimensions d < 2 it does not exhibit the phase transition at finite temperatures T > 0, in agreement with the Mermin-Wagner theorem.<sup>25</sup>

Finally a comment regarding the critical behavior of the model in our quantum rotor approach is in order. To extract the near-critical form of the propagator it is this sufficient to perform an expansion in terms of the momentum **k** and frequency  $\omega_m$  in Eq. (50). In the  $T \to 0$  limit, with the help of Eq. (47), after proper re-scaling of the fields  $\psi_{\mathbf{k},\omega_m}$  we find

$$\Gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}(\omega_m) = r + \mathbf{k}^2 + \omega_m + i\omega_m + \upsilon\left(\frac{\mu}{U}\right)$$
(57)

Here,  $r \sim J(\mathbf{k} = 0) - \lambda$  is the critical "mass" parameter that vanishes at the phase transition boundary,  $\mathbf{k}^2 = k \cdot k$ while  $\upsilon\left(\frac{\mu}{U}\right)$  is given by Eq. (55). Due to the quantum nature of the problem, the scaling of the spatial degrees of freedom  $\mathbf{k} \to \mathbf{k}' = s\mathbf{k}$  implies the scaling for frequencies in a form  $\omega_m \to \omega'_m = s^z \omega_m$  with the dynamical critical exponent z. At the tips of the lobes in the t/U- $\mu/U$  phase diagram in Fig. 2 one has  $\upsilon(\mu/U) = 0$ , so that  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}(\omega_m) \sim k^2 + \omega_m^2$  with space-time isotropy giving z = 1. However, the other points on the critical line with non-vanishing  $\upsilon(\mu/U)$  reflect the absence of the particle– hole symmetry due to the imaginary term involving  $i\omega_m$ . In this case the higher order term  $\omega_m^2 |\psi_{\mathbf{k},\omega_m}|^2$  becomes irrelevant and can be ignored, while the critical form of the propagator (57) reads  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}(\omega_m) \sim k^2 + i\upsilon(\mu/U) \omega_m$ . Now, the scaling requires z = 2 as a result of the momentum–frequency anisotropy.



Figure 3: (Color online) Boson occupation number  $n_B$  at T = 0 for three-dimensional simple cubic lattice in the space of parameters - chemical potential  $\mu/U$  and hopping t/U. The Mott insulator is found within each lobe of integer boson density. Inside the first lob on the left the occupation number  $n_B$  is equal one, two and three in second and third step respectively.

### A. Boson occupation number

The effects of the fixed boson number  $n_B$  in the system defined by

$$n_B = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \left\langle \bar{a}_i\left(\tau\right) a_i\left(\tau\right) \right\rangle \tag{58}$$

are included in our theory because of the source term containing chemical potential  $\bar{\mu} \sum_i \int_0^\beta d\tau \bar{b}_i(\tau) b_i(\tau)$  in action Eq. (23). By differentiating the partition function Eq. (13) (after carrying out a gauge transformation and change the variable in the action) we obtain

$$\left\langle \bar{a}_{i}\left(\tau\right)a_{i}\left(\tau\right)\right\rangle =\frac{\partial\ln\mathcal{Z}}{\partial\bar{\mu}}=\frac{1}{iU}\left[\left\langle V_{i}^{S}\right\rangle +\left\langle \dot{\phi}_{i}\right\rangle -i\bar{\mu}\right].$$
(59)

Inserting in above a static part of the electrochemical potential Eq. (22) we find the boson density

$$n_B = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \left[ \left\langle \bar{b}_i(\tau) \, b_i(\tau) \right\rangle + \frac{1}{iU} \left\langle \dot{\phi}_i \right\rangle \right]. \tag{60}$$

When the phase stiffness vanishes J = 0 the bosonic contribution to the free energy is given by

$$\mathcal{F}(\bar{\mu}) = -\frac{1}{\beta N} \ln \int \left[ \mathcal{D}\phi_i \right] e^{-\int_0^\beta d\tau \sum_i \left[ \frac{1}{2U} \dot{\phi}_i^2(\tau) + \frac{1}{i} \frac{\bar{\mu}}{U} \dot{\phi}_i(\tau) \right]}$$
(61)

which is simply the contribution from the "free" rotor action. Now, we again decompose the phase field in terms of a periodic field and term linear in  $\tau$ . Calculating integral Eq. (61) we get in the limit  $T \to 0$  an analytical solution

$$n_B(\mu)|_{J=0} = \left. \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}(\bar{\mu})}{\partial \bar{\mu}} \right|_{J=0} = \frac{\mu}{U} + \frac{1}{2} - \upsilon\left(\frac{\mu}{U}\right) \quad (62)$$

from which we recognize a steps of fixed integer filling of bosons (set t/U = 0 in the Fig. 3).

The calculations of a phase diagram for interaction problem  $t/U \neq 0$  are more complicated since spatial correlations have to be included, as well. However our model is expressed in terms of the complex field Eq. (35) which is now very helpful. The result for the boson density  $n_B$  within the region of superfuidity is given by the expression

$$n_B = \frac{\mu}{U} + \frac{1}{2} - 2\Psi_B^2 \upsilon\left(\frac{\mu}{U}\right), \qquad (63)$$

where non-vanishing value of the order parameter  $\Psi_B$  is calculated from Eq. (56). We see in the Fig. 3 that the competition between kinetic and interaction energy is the foundations of the quantum phase transitions in the BH model. Increasing the value of the hopping term (reducing the interaction energy) leads to delocalization of the bosons thus the sharp steps of the MI state become indistinct and in consequence system is superfluid. In Fig. 3 we observe the appearance of the Mott-insulating lobes corresponding to curves from Fig. 1. The MI has a gap to density excitations and is an incompressible (density plateaus in Fig. 3) thus the chemical potential can be changed within a gap without changing the density. At the tip of the lobe at fixed integer density the transition is driven by the change of the t/U ratio in a system composed of a fixed number of bosons. Such a transition in a *d*-dimensional BH model lies in the universality class of the (d+1)-dimensional XY spin model. Remain possibilities that the system can cross the superfluid -Mott insulator phase boundary are called generic (when we add/subtract a small number of particles) and do not belong with the universality class of the XY spin model, so are characterized by different critical exponents.

The possibility to describe both the Mott and SF phases in two dimensions can be also done using the strong-coupling expansion method.<sup>15</sup> The obtained results are qualitatively comparable to our phase diagrams and show that both extensions of the Bose-Hubbard model beyond mean-field succeed in catching strongly interacting systems. Besides, authors calculated the excitation energies and spectral weight and provided analytical formulas which expanded can be useful to determine the expected second order term in the momentum distribution.<sup>16</sup> Furthermore it seems that presented approaches can be in principle applied to more complicated situations.

### V. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

In this paper we have presented a study of the Mottinsulator transition of the Bose-Hubbard model. To analyze a quantum phase transitions beyond mean-field theory we employed a U(1) quantum rotor approach and a path-integral formulation of quantum mechanics including a summation over a topological charge, explicitly tailored for the BH Hamiltonian. The effective action formalism allows us to formulate a problem in the phase only action and obtain an analytical formulas for the critical lines. We have compared obtained results to existing numerical calculations and found them in a very good agreement. The formalism adopted here can be extended and applied to the other systems systemat-

- <sup>1</sup> M. P. A. Fisher, P. B. Weichman, G. Grinstein, and D. S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B **40**, 546 (1989).
- <sup>2</sup> S. Sachdev, *Quantum Phase Transitions*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999).
- <sup>3</sup> D. Jaksch, C. Bruder, J. I. Cirac, C. W. Gardiner, and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 3108 (1998).
- <sup>4</sup> M. Greiner, O. Mandel, T. Esslinger, T. W. Hansch, and I. Bloch, Nature **415**, 39 (2002).
- <sup>5</sup> A. P. Kampf, G. T. Zimanyi, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 279 (1993).
- <sup>6</sup> K. Sheshadri, H. R. Krishnamurthy, R. Pandit, and T. V. Ramakrishnan, Europhysics Letters **22**, 257 (1993).
- <sup>7</sup> J. K. Freericks and H. Monien, Phys. Rev. B **53**, 2691 (1996).
- <sup>8</sup> N. Elstner and H. Monien, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 12184 (1999).
- <sup>9</sup> S. R. Clark and D. Jaksch, Phys. Rev. A **70**, 043612 (2004).
- <sup>10</sup> B. Damski and J. Zakrzewski, Phys. Rev. A 74, 043609 (2006).
- <sup>11</sup> M. Niemeyer, J. K. Freericks, and H. Monien, Phys. Rev. B **60**, 2357 (1999).
- <sup>12</sup> A. Sewer, X. Zotos, and H. Beck, Phys. Rev. B 66, 140504(R) (2002).
- <sup>13</sup> D. S. Rokhsar and B. G. Kotliar, Phys. Rev. B 44 ,10328 (1991).

ically. Especially the effect of the competition between quantum effects in finite temperatures focuses our attention. Considerations different geometries of the lattices are possible in the frame of our approach, as well. These topics will be considered in future publications.

### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dr. Barbara Capogrosso for an access to the numerical data and valuable discussions. One of us (T.K.K) acknowledges the support by the Ministry of Education and Science MEN under Grant No. 1 P03B 103 30 in the years 2006-2008.

- <sup>14</sup> W. Krauth, M. Caffarel, and J.-P. Bouchaud, Phys. Rev. B 45, 3137 (1992).
- <sup>15</sup> K. Sengupta, N. Dupuis, Phys. Rev. A **71**, 033629 (2005).
- <sup>16</sup> I. B. Spielman, W. D. Phillips, and J. V. Porto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 080404 (2007).
- <sup>17</sup> N.V. Prokof'ev, B.V. Svistunov, and I.S. Tupitsyn, Phys. Lett. A **238**, 253 (1998); JETP **87**, 310 (1998).
- <sup>18</sup> F. Alet, S. Wessel, and M. Troyer, Phys. Rev. E **71**, 036706 (2005).
- <sup>19</sup> B. Capogrosso-Sansone, N. V. Prokof'ev, B. V. Svistunov, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 134302 (2007).
- <sup>20</sup> I. Bloch, Nature Physics 1, 23, (2005).
- <sup>21</sup> W. J. Elion, M. Matters, U. Geigenmuller and J. E. Mooij, Nature **371**, 594 (2002).
- <sup>22</sup> T. K. Kopeć, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 054518 (2004).
- <sup>23</sup> T. K. Kopeć, J. V. José, Phys. Rev. B **60**, 7473 (1999).
- <sup>24</sup> R. J. Rivers, Path Integrals methods in quantum field theory, (The Press Syndicate of the University of Cambridge, Cambridge, 1987).
- <sup>25</sup> N. D. Mermin and H. Wagner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **17**, 1133 (1966).