

Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_4\text{O}_8$

A. F. Bangura¹, J. D. Fletcher¹, A. Carrington¹, J. Levallois², M. Nardone², B. Vignolle², P. J. Heard¹, N. Doiron-Leyraud³, D. LeBoeuf³, L. Taillefer³, S. Adachi⁴, C. Proust² and N. E. Hussey¹

¹*H. H. Wills Physics Laboratory, University of Bristol, Tyndall Avenue, BS8 1TL, United Kingdom.*

²*Laboratoire National des Champs Magnétiques Pulsés,*

UMR CNRS-UPS-INSA 5147, Toulouse, France.

³*Département de physique and RQMP, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, J1K 2R1, Canada. and*

⁴*Superconducting Research Laboratory, International Superconductivity Center, Shinonome 1-10-13, Tokyo 135, Japan.*

(Dated: October 18, 2021)

We report the observation of Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations in the underdoped cuprate superconductor $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_4\text{O}_8$ (Y124). For field aligned along the c -axis, the frequency of the oscillations is 660 ± 30 T, which corresponds to $\sim 2.4\%$ of the total area of the first Brillouin zone. The effective mass of the quasiparticles on this orbit is measured to be 2.7 ± 0.3 times the free electron mass. Both the frequency and mass are comparable to those recently observed for ortho-II $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.5}$ (Y123-II). We show that although small Fermi surface pockets may be expected from band structure calculations in Y123-II, no such pockets are predicted for Y124. Our results therefore imply that these small pockets are a generic feature of the copper oxide plane in underdoped cuprates.

Understanding the doping evolution of the electronic ground state within the superconducting CuO_2 planes is central to the high- T_c problem, yet many outstanding issues remain. Heavily overdoped cuprates, for example, behave in many ways like conventional, highly anisotropic metals [1, 2, 3], yet quantum oscillations, a characteristic signature of a Fermi-liquid ground state [4], have never been observed. Moreover, it is usually assumed that as hole doping is decreased and the materials evolve towards the antiferromagnetic insulating state, the properties depart substantially from those of a conventional Fermi-liquid. The recent observation [5] of the Shubnikov de Haas effect (SdH) in underdoped $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.5}$, with $T_c/T_c^{\text{max}} \simeq 0.6$, was therefore a surprising and highly significant discovery.

The frequency of the SdH oscillations in oxygen ordered ortho-II $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.5}$ (Y123-II) corresponds to a Fermi surface pocket with a cross-sectional area (for $\mathbf{H} \parallel c$) that is only a small fraction ($\sim 2\%$) of the total Brillouin zone area. The angle dependence of the frequency of this oscillation suggests that this pocket is quasi-two dimensional [6]. Density functional theory (DFT) band-structure calculations [7, 8] suggest that these pockets may be formed from a flat band, originating from the CuO chain and BaO layers, which lies very close to the Fermi level E_F . However, there are a number of alternative possibilities such as the formation of pockets near the nodal points of the DFT CuO_2 plane Fermi surface, where the d -wave superconducting gap is minimal, and where the most intense spectral weight is observed by angle resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) [9]. These pockets or ‘Fermi arcs’ may occur due to promixity to the Mott insulating state [10] or because of reconstruction of the original Fermi surface resulting from the formation of an ordered phase with broken symmetry [11, 12, 13]. Clearly, it is imperative to determine whether the SdH signals are unique to Y123-II or

are an intrinsic feature of the electronic structure of the cuprates.

In this Letter we report the observation of SdH oscillations in another underdoped cuprate superconductor $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_4\text{O}_8$ (Y124), which has a higher T_c (~ 80 K) and a higher hole density per planar Cu ($p \sim 0.14$) than Y123-II ($p \sim 0.1$), in pulsed high magnetic fields up to 61 Tesla. The frequency of the oscillations is comparable with those in Y123-II. This similarity, coupled with the marked differences in the calculated band-structure of the two materials, strongly points to an origin of the oscillations which is generic to the underdoped cuprates.

In contrast to the Y123 family, which has a single CuO chain with variable oxygen content, Y124 contains alternating stacks of CuO_2 bilayers and *double* CuO chains that are stable and fully loaded. Single crystalline samples (typical dimensions $400 \times 80 \times 30 \mu\text{m}^3$) were flux grown in Y_2O_3 crucibles in a partial oxygen pressure of 400 bar [14]. Magnetoresistance (MR) measurements were performed in the LNCMP pulsed field facility in Toulouse. Although several samples were measured, clear SdH signals were seen in only two samples with the highest T_c values ($> 80\text{K}$) and the largest MR response. Four-point resistance measurements were taken at a frequency of 40-80kHz with the current (10-20mA) applied $\mathbf{I} \parallel a$ (sample #1, $T_c \sim 81\text{K}$) and $\mathbf{I} \parallel b$ (sample #2, $T_c \sim 82\text{K}$). The contact geometry for each crystal is shown in the insets of Fig. 1. The voltage across the samples was recorded using an analogue to digital converter allowing the data to be post-processed. The samples were cooled with either a dilution fridge (#1) or a pumped ^4He cryostat (#2) housed in two different magnet coils. In both cases, the samples were in direct contact with the cryogenic liquid at all temperatures. The magnet coils had peak fields of 58T and 61T respectively and in the field range of interest here (45-61T), the maximum field rise/fall rates were ~ 2000 T/s. Data for up and




FIG. 1: (Color online) Longitudinal and Hall data (ρ_{xx} , ρ_{yy} and ρ_{xy}) for two Y124 samples. The insets show the contact configuration for each sample.

down sweeps showed negligible hysteresis.

The contact configuration of sample #2 ($\mathbf{I} \parallel b$) allowed us to measure both the longitudinal (ρ_{yy}) and Hall (ρ_{xy}^H) resistivities by reversing the direction of the applied field, whereas for sample #1 ($\mathbf{I} \parallel a$), ρ_{xx} was measured directly. In order to improve the signal to noise ratio, data from several (typically 2-3) pulses were averaged. Resistivity versus field data for both samples are shown in Fig. 1. The resistivities rise rapidly above the irreversibility field H_{irr} (which is slightly different in the two samples) then increase more slowly. Above H_{irr} , the resistivity is highly anisotropic within the plane due to the presence of the highly conducting double-chain unit, as found in zero-field above T_c [15]. As in Y123-II [5], ρ_{xy}^H is negative and varies linearly with H , extrapolating to the origin. This linear field dependence suggests that the negative ρ_{xy} is a normal state effect, perhaps resulting from a Fermi surface reconstruction [16] as discussed below, rather than a mixed state effect. For both samples and in both the longitudinal *and* transverse components, small period (in inverse field) SdH oscillations are visible which are a few percent of the total signal at maximum field.

In Fig. 2 we show the oscillatory component of the MR signal for both crystals at various temperatures. Due to small differences in noise level for the two field directions, our study of the T -dependence of the oscillations in sample #2 was carried out with the field in one direction only. The resistivity ρ shown in Fig. 2 for #2 is therefore a mixture of ρ_{yy} and ρ_{xy}^H .




FIG. 2: (Color online). The oscillatory component of the magnetoresistance $[\Delta\rho/\rho(H)]$ for both samples at various temperatures. For #1, $\rho = \rho_{xx}(H)$ whilst for #2, ρ is a mixture of $\rho_{yy}(H)$ and $\rho_{xy}^H(H)$. In each case, a linear background has been subtracted and the data offset for clarity. The solid lines are fits to Eq. (1) giving $m^* = 2.7 \pm 0.3m_e$.

We analyzed the data using the standard theory for SdH oscillations in a three-dimensional metal [17], which is essentially the same as the Lifshitz-Kosevich model for the de Haas-van Alphen oscillations in the magnetisation [4]

$$\frac{\Delta\rho}{\rho} = AH^{\frac{1}{2}}R_T R_D \sin\left(\frac{2\pi F}{B} + \phi\right) \quad (1)$$

where A is a constant. The temperature damping factor $R_T = X/(\sinh X)$ with $X = (2\pi^2 k_B / \hbar e)(m^* T / B)$ and m^* is the quasi-particle effective mass. The Dingle factor $R_D = \exp(-\pi/\omega_c \tau) = \exp[-(\pi \hbar k_F)/(eBl)]$ describes the increase in damping as the orbitally averaged mean-free-path ℓ decreases or as the average Fermi wavevector (k_F) of the orbit grows.

A fit of this equation to the data in Fig. 2 gives a single SdH frequency F of 660 ± 30 T for both samples. Using the Onsager relation, $F = (\hbar/2\pi e)\mathcal{A}$, we find that \mathcal{A} , the cross-sectional area of this orbit, corresponds to 2.4% of the average cross-sectional area of the first Brillouin zone (27.9kT for $\mathbf{H} \parallel c$). Assuming that the Fermi surface or pocket corresponding to this orbit is two-dimensional, and that there are n such pockets per CuO_2 sheet, Luttinger's theorem gives $p = nab\mathcal{A}/4\pi^2 = 0.024(2)n$ (where a, b are the lattice constants). More importantly, \mathcal{A} is




FIG. 3: (Color online). SdH signal amplitude versus temperature for sample #2. The solid line is a fit to the standard expression for the temperature damping factor, R_T , yielding an effective mass of $2.5 \pm 0.3m_e$. The faint dashed lines give the approximate range of masses consistent with the present data. Inset: Fast Fourier transform of $\rho(1/B, T)$.

found to be 1.25 ± 0.07 larger than that observed in Y123-II for which $p \sim 0.10$. Although it is difficult to pinpoint precisely the actual number of doped holes p per CuO_2 plane in Y124, taking the average of p from three independent estimates (by comparing T_c [18] and the pseudogap temperature T^* [15, 19, 20] with Y123 and the Seebeck coefficient [21, 22]), one obtains $p \sim 0.14$. Thus \mathcal{A} is found to scale approximately linearly with the nominal hole densities of Y123-II and Y124.

As a first approach for determining m^* , we neglect the field dependence of R_T and fix $\omega_c\tau/B$, F and ϕ to the values found at the lowest temperature so that changes in the values of A represent R_T at an effective field $B_e = 55 T$. A fit of R_T to $A(T)$ is shown in Fig. 3 and gives $m^* = 2.5 \pm 0.3m_e$, where m_e is the free electron mass. This approach slightly underestimates the mass, and a better approach is to fit all the data at all temperatures to Eq. (1) simultaneously. These fits, shown by solid lines in Fig. 2, give $m^* = 2.7 \pm 0.3m_e$. This is a heavier mass than that observed in Y123-II by a factor 1.4 ± 0.2 .

Fast Fourier transforms of $\rho(1/B, T)$ are shown in the inset to Fig. 3. Both the frequency of the main peak and the mass derived from the T -dependent amplitude are consistent with the direct fitting described above, although the former technique is more accurate when so few oscillations are present.

From our fits in Fig. 2, we obtain a Dingle factor ($e^{-\alpha/B}$, $\alpha = 340 \pm 100 \text{ T}^{-1}$) for sample #2 which for cylindrical pockets gives an average SdH mean-free-path $\ell_{\text{SdH}} \simeq 90 \pm 30 \text{ \AA}$. Unfortunately, we cannot obtain an independent estimate of ℓ_{tr} , the transport mean-free-path of the in-plane carriers, as we do not yet know how many pockets are present. For sample #1, the magnitude of the zero-field residual in-plane resistivity $\rho_{a0} \simeq 6 \pm 2 \mu\Omega\text{cm}$,

estimated by fitting the high-field data to the expression $\rho_a(H) = \rho_{a0} + AH^2/(1+BH^2)$ that describes the form of the MR beyond the weak-field limit [23]. Assuming there are n pockets per CuO_2 plane (and $2n$ in total), this would give $\ell_{\text{tr}} = hc/2nk_F e^2 \rho_{a0} = 2000/n \text{ \AA}$ ($\pm 30\%$). Here, $c = 13.6 \text{ \AA}$ is the c -axis lattice spacing and $k_F = 1.4 \text{ nm}^{-1}$, as determined from the SdH frequency.

The above analysis implies that there is either a large number of Fermi pockets in Y124, or that the Dingle factor grossly underestimates the transport lifetime [24]. The latter could be attributed either to the stronger effect of small-angle scattering on the dephasing of quantum oscillations, as seen in simple metals [17], or to additional damping in the superconducting mixed state. Quantum oscillations have been observed in the superconducting state of a number of different materials (see for example, Refs. [25, 26]). Whilst the frequencies and effective masses are found to be the same as in the normal state, an additional damping is observed which is related to the magnitude of the field dependent energy gap. We note however, that if the orbits observed here originated from the nodal regions of the Fermi surface, as suggested by ARPES [9], then it may be expected that this additional damping is small because of the d -wave symmetry of the superconducting gap function.

As mentioned above, conventional DFT band-structure calculations [7, 8] for Y123-II show that with small rigid shifts of bands ($\Delta E \sim \pm 20 \text{ meV}$), a flat band arising from the CuO chain layer and apical oxygen (BaO layer) can break through E_F giving rise to small pockets, with frequencies rising up to $\sim 500 \text{ T}$ and bare masses $\sim 1 - 3 m_e$. To investigate the possibility of similar pockets arising in Y124 we calculated the band-structure of Y124 using the Wien2K package [27], which is an implementation of a full-potential, augmented plane wave plus local orbital scheme. The crystal structure from Ref. [28], and 10^4 k -points in the full Brillouin zone were used for the consistency cycle.

The band-structure and Fermi surface are shown in Fig. 4 and are essentially the same as those reported previously [29, 30]. The Fermi surface consists of two large hole-like quasi-2D cylinders centered on the zone corner (J) with mostly plane character and two warped quasi-1D sheets with mostly chain character. Crucially, the position of the above mentioned CuO/BaO band is now $\sim 400 \text{ meV}$ below E_F (at the J point), and so a very large shift of the energy of this band would be needed to produce Fermi surface pockets of similar origin to those calculated for Y123-II. In fact, E_F needs to be shifted down by $\sim 80 \text{ meV}$ before *any* new closed orbits are formed for $\mathbf{H} \parallel c$. The orbit formed is centered around the zone center (Γ) (see Fig. 4d) and has a high frequency ($\sim 3500 \text{ T}$ for $\Delta E_F = -100 \text{ meV}$) so cannot feasibly be responsible for our observations. Given the similarity of our SdH results for Y124 and those for Y123-II, it therefore seems unlikely that the oscillations in either material can be




FIG. 4: (Color online). a) Band-structure of Y124 along symmetry directions in the basal plane. The color scale reflects the band character: C=CuO chain character (including apical oxygen) P=CuO₂ plane character. b) Brillouin zone of the Ammm space group of Y124, showing the symmetry points labelled in a). c) Fermi surface of Y124 viewed along the *c*-axis. The shaded region is the first Brillouin zone. d) As for c) but with E_F shifted down by 100 meV.

explained by conventional band-structure theory.

As proposed for Y123-II [5], an alternative to the conventional band-structure approach is to associate our SdH orbits with Fermi surface reconstruction, due, e.g. to spin-density-wave [11, 13] or *d*-density-wave [12] formation. Unfortunately, it is not possible at this stage to have a complete picture of the (reconstructed) Fermi surface until we know precisely how many SdH orbits are present in Y124. In this regard, measurements to higher fields should prove very informative.

In summary, we have observed Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations in the underdoped cuprate Y124. The frequency of the oscillations is comparable with those observed in Y123-II, scaling approximately by the ratio of hole doping levels in the two compounds. It is likely that these oscillations are a generic feature of underdoped cuprates perhaps resulting from a reconstruction of the CuO₂ plane Fermi surface, although as both materials in which SdH oscillations have been observed so far (Y123-II and Y124) contain quasi-1D CuO chain structures, an alternative origin cannot be completely ruled out at this time. Nevertheless our results provide precise information on how the pocket area and effective masses vary as a function of doping, providing a strong constraint on theories which seek to explain their electronic structure.

We thank E. A. Yelland and J.R. Cooper for showing us their data prior to publication [31], and G. G. Lonzarich, J.W. Loram, and S. M. Hayden for useful discussions. We also thank EAY for help with the band-structure calculations. This work was supported by the

EPSRC (U.K.), NSERC (Canada), CIAR (Canada) and by Euromagnet (EU contract 506239).

-
- [1] C. Proust, E. Boaknin, R. W. Hill, L. Taillefer and A. P. Mackenzie, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 147003 (2002).
 - [2] S. Nakamae, *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **68**, 100502(R) (2003).
 - [3] N. E. Hussey, *et al.*, *Nature* **425**, 814 (2003).
 - [4] D. Shoenberg, *Magnetic Oscillations in Metals* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984).
 - [5] N. Doiron-Leyraud, *et al.*, *Nature* **447**, 565 (2007).
 - [6] C. Jaudet, *et al.*, arXiv:0711.3559 (unpublished).
 - [7] A. Carrington and E. A. Yelland, *Phys. Rev. B* **76**, 140508(R) (2007).
 - [8] I. S. Elfimov, G. A. Sawatzky and A. Damascelli, arXiv:0706.4418 (unpublished).
 - [9] A. Damascelli, Z. Hussain and Z. X. Shen, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **75**, 473 (2003).
 - [10] B. Kyung, *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **73**, 165114 (2006).
 - [11] J. Lin and A. J. Millis, *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 214506 (2005).
 - [12] S. Chakravarty, R. B. Laughlin, D. K. Morr and C. Nayak, *Phys. Rev. B* **63**, 094503 (2001).
 - [13] W. Q. Chen, K. Y. Yang, T. M. Rice and F. C. Zhang, arXiv:0706.4418 (unpublished).
 - [14] S. Adachi, *et al.*, *Physica C* **301**, 123 (1998).
 - [15] N. E. Hussey, K. Nozawa, H. Takagi, S. Adachi and K. Tanabe, *Phys. Rev. B* **56**, R11423 (1997).
 - [16] D. LeBoeuf, *et al.*, *Nature* **450**, 533 (2007).
 - [17] F. E. Richards, *Phys. Rev. B* **8**, 2552 (1973).
 - [18] R. X. Liang, D. A. Bonn and W. N. Hardy, *Phys. Rev. B* **73**, 180505(R) (2006).
 - [19] K. Takenaka, K. Mizuhashi, H. Takagi and S. Uchida, *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 6534 (1994).
 - [20] K. Segawa and Y. Ando, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 4907 (2001).
 - [21] J. L. Tallon, C. Bernhard, H. Shaked, R. L. Hitterman and J. D. Jorgensen, *Phys. Rev. B* **51**, 12911 (1995).
 - [22] J. S. Zhou, J. B. Goodenough and B. Dabrowski, *Phys. Rev. B* **58**, R2956 (1998).
 - [23] A. W. Tyler, *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **57**, R728 (1998).
 - [24] We note that for carriers within the chains, ℓ_{tr} can be estimated indirectly from ρ_b since the Drude formula for conductivity in a quasi-1D metal is independent of the number of carriers (see e.g., A. Narduzzo, *et al.*, *New. J. Phys.* **8**, 172 (2006)). Extrapolating from high fields (Fig. 1), or from high temperatures [15], we obtain a lower bound of ℓ_{tr} within the chains of $\geq 1000\text{\AA}$.
 - [25] T. J. B. M. Janssen, *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **57**, 11698 (1998).
 - [26] K. Yasui and T. Kita, *Phys. Rev. B* **66**, 184516 (2002).
 - [27] P. Blaha, *et al.*, *WIEN2K, An Augmented Plane Wave + Local Orbitals Program for Calculating Crystal Properties* (Karlheinz Schwarz, Techn. Universität Wien, Austria, 2001), ISBN 3-9501031-1-2.
 - [28] P. Lightfoot, *et al.*, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C-Cryst. Struct. Commun.* **47**, 1143 (1991).
 - [29] J. J. Yu, K. T. Park and A. J. Freeman, *Physica C* **172**, 467 (1991).
 - [30] C. Ambrosch-Draxl, P. Blaha and K. Schwarz, *Phys. Rev. B* **44**, 5141 (1991).
 - [31] Yelland *et al.* recently reported (E. A. Yelland, *et al.*, arXiv:0707.0057 (unpublished)) another study of quan-

tum oscillations in Y124 using a high frequency susceptibility technique. Their data show oscillations with $\langle F \rangle = 660 \pm 15\text{T}$ and $m^* = 3.0 \pm 0.3m_e$, which are the same as the values reported here within the quoted error.