# Ashkin-Teller universality in a quantum double model of Ising anyons

Charlotte Gils

Theoretische Physik, ETH Zurich, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland

(Dated: October 24, 2018)

We study a quantum double model whose degrees of freedom are Ising anyons. The terms of the Hamiltonian of this system give rise to a competition between single and double topologies. By studying the energy spectra of the Hamiltonian at different values of the coupling constants, we find extended gapless regions which include a large number of critical points described by conformal field theories with central charge c = 1. These theories are part of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  orbifold of the bosonic theory compactified on a circle. We observe that the Hilbert space of our anyonic model can be associated with extended Dynkin diagrams of affine Lie algebras which yields exact solutions at some critical points. In certain special regimes, our model corresponds to the Hamiltonian limit of the Ashkin-Teller model, and hence integrability over a wide range of coupling parameters is established.

PACS numbers: 05.30.Pr, 11.25.Hf, 05.50.+q, 03.65.Vf, 64.60.De, 64.60.F-, 64.70.Tg,

## I. INTRODUCTION

There has been considerable interest in emergent particles with fractional statistics, so-called anyons<sup>1,2</sup>. Most prominently, anyons appear as quasiparticle excitations of the ground state in the fractional quantum Hall (FQH) liquids<sup>3,4</sup>. Anyons are also realized in quantum spin models in two spatial dimensions, such as the toric code model<sup>5</sup>, the quantum dimer model on non-bipartite lattices<sup>6</sup>, and Kitaev's honeycomb model<sup>7</sup>. The toric code model is a special case of a whole set of timereversal and parity invariant lattice models that realize doubled topological quantum field theories in (2+1) dimensions<sup>8,9,10</sup> ('quantum double models'). The implementation of such models in terms of lattice spin Hamiltonians<sup>12,13,14?</sup>, or Josephson junction arrays<sup>15</sup> is under active investigation.

In this work, we are interested in the physics of a quantum double model whose microscopic degrees of freedom are non-abelian anyons. More specifically, we investigate a quantum double model whose degrees of freedom are Ising anyons. A simple example of a quantum double model appeared  $in^{8,9}$  where the degrees of freedom are Fibonacci anyons<sup>16</sup> located on the links of a honeycomb lattice. The Hamiltonian penalizes Fibonacci anyon fluxes through the plaquettes of the lattice, and it is exactly solvable. This model has recently been considered on a ladder basis, where a competing term, which penalizes Fibonacci anyons on the rungs of the ladder basis, was added to the Hamiltonian<sup>17</sup>, see Fig. 1. In fact, the system studied in<sup>17</sup> is an example of a quantum double model of non-abelian anyons with both a 'string-net kinetic energy (plaquette fluxes) and a 'string-net tension' (rung fluxes), as envisioned, but not studied,  $in^8$ . It was found that the competition between the rung and plaquette fluxes can be translated into the competition between two extreme topologies, each of them associated with a gapped phase. At equal magnitude of the coupling constants of rung and plaquette term, a critical point separating the gapped phases was observed. This

critical point, and a second critical phase, are described by certain conformal field theories. The Hilbert space of the model is associated with a  $D_6$  Dynkin diagram which yields exact solutions at two critical points.

In this paper, we focus on degrees of freedom corresponding to Ising anyons. Ising anyons are currently the most promising class of non-abelian anyons in the experimental context. A fractional quasiparticle charge of one quarter of the electron charge (as expected for the Ising anyon) has been measured<sup>18</sup> which raises hopes that the quasiparticles in the fractional quantum Hall state with filling fraction  $\nu = 5/2$  are indeed Ising anyons, as predicted in<sup>19</sup>. Further systems with emergent Ising anyons are p + ip superconductors (or superfluids)<sup>19,20</sup>. and a quantum spin lattice model<sup>7</sup>. The Ising theory possesses an additional anyon species as compared to the Fibonacci theory. There are two types of particle species (the Ising anyon  $\sigma$ , and the fermion  $\psi$ ). Hence our model has two coupling parameters that can be tuned: One of the coupling parameters varies the relative strength of rung and plaquette fluxes, while the other coupling parameter varies the relative strength of the Ising anyon and the fermion (rung and plaquette) fluxes. We study the phase diagram as a function of the two coupling constants using exact diagonalization and analytical methods. We observe extended gapped and gapless phases, where the latter includes a number of critical theories which are described by two-dimensional rational conformal field theories (rCFT) with central charges c = 1. These critical points are part of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  orbifold of the bosonic theory compactified on a circle of radius  $R = \sqrt{2p}$ (where each integer p > 0 gives rise to a separate rCFT). The Hilbert space of our model is associated with the extended Dynkin diagrams  $\hat{D}_4$  and  $\hat{D}_6$  which yields exact solutions a certain critical points. Further results are established by identifying certain regimes of our model with the quantum Ashkin-Teller model.

The organization of this paper is as follows. After a brief review of some essential properties of Ising anyons in section II A, we introduce our model in sections II B and



FIG. 1: The topology associated with our model of Ising anyons is a high-genus surface. The ladder skeleton inside the sphere is a possible basis choice. In this basis, the two terms in the Hamiltonian project onto the 'flux' [1 (no flux),  $\sigma$ , or  $\psi$ ] through the plaquettes (i.e., the holes of the highgenus surface) and the 'flux' on the rungs of the ladder basis, respectively, as indicated by the red arrows.

II C. We then present the results of an exact diagonalization study of the Hamiltonian in section III. Thereafter, we present exact solutions of our model at certain critical points, based on the association of the Hilbert space with certain extended Dynkin diagrams (section IV).

### II. MODEL

### A. Ising anyons

In the following, we recapitulate some essential properties of the degrees of freedom of our model, so-called Ising anyons<sup>21</sup>. There are three different particle 'species' in the Ising theory, the trivial particle **1**, the Ising anyon  $\sigma$ , and the fermion  $\psi$ .

The coupling of two Ising anyons is determined by the fusion rules, which are the analogs of Clebsch-Gordon rules for ordinary angular momenta. The fusion rules of the Ising theory are given by

$$\sigma \times \sigma = \mathbf{1} + \psi, \quad \sigma \times \psi = \sigma, \quad \psi \times \psi = \mathbf{1}, \quad \mathbf{1} \times \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}.$$
 (1)

These fusion rules can be written in terms of the fusion matrices  $N_j$  whose entries  $(N_j)_{j_2}^{j_1}$  equal to one iff the fusion of anyons of types  $j_1$  and  $j_2$  into j is possible. The fusion rules are related to the quantum dimensions  $d_j$ ,  $j = \mathbf{1}, \sigma, \psi$ , by  $N_j \mathbf{d} = d_j \mathbf{d}$ , where  $\mathbf{d}$  is the eigenvector corresponding to the largest positive eigenvalue of the matrix  $N_j$ . The quantum dimensions of the Ising theory are  $d_1 = 1$ ,  $d_{\sigma} = \sqrt{2}$  and  $d_{\psi} = 1$ , and the total quantum dimension is  $\mathcal{D} = \sqrt{d_1^2 + d_{\sigma}^2 + d_{\psi}^2} = 2$ .

In analogy to the 6j-symbols for ordinary SU(2) spins, there exists a basis transformation F that relates the two differents ways three anyons a, b, and c can fuse to



FIG. 2: Labeling of the basis (the fusion diagram). Periodic boundary conditions are applied, i.e.,  $a_1 = a_{2L+1}$ ,  $b_1 = b_{2L+1}$ , and  $c_2 = c_{2L+2}$ .

a fourth anyon d,

Here, labels a, b,..., take values  $\mathbf{1}, \sigma$ , and  $\psi$ , and the diagrams represent the quantum states of the 'four anyon system' where anyons fuse in the specified order. The non-trivial elements  $(F^d_{abc})^f_e$  (i.e.,  $(F^d_{abc})^f_e \neq 1$ ) of the Ising theory are  $(F^{\psi}_{\sigma\psi\sigma})^{\sigma}_{\sigma} = -1$ , and

$$F^{\sigma}_{\sigma\sigma\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} (F^{\sigma}_{\sigma\sigma\sigma})^{\mathbf{1}}_{\mathbf{1}} & (F^{\sigma}_{\sigma\sigma\sigma})^{\psi}_{\mathbf{1}} \\ (F^{\sigma}_{\sigma\sigma\sigma})^{\mathbf{1}}_{\psi} & (F^{\sigma}_{\sigma\sigma\sigma})^{\psi}_{\psi} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} . \quad (3)$$

The modular S-matrix is a basis transformation which relates the anyon 'flux' of species b through an anyon loop of species a to the case without anyon loop by

$$a \underbrace{ \stackrel{b}{\longrightarrow}}_{} = \frac{S_a^b}{S_1^b} \Big|^b, \qquad (4)$$

and is of form

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} S_{1}^{1} & S_{1}^{\sigma} & S_{1}^{\psi} \\ S_{\sigma}^{1} & S_{\sigma}^{\sigma} & S_{\sigma}^{\psi} \\ S_{\psi}^{1} & S_{\psi}^{\sigma} & S_{\psi}^{\psi} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{2} & 1 \\ \sqrt{2} & 0 & -\sqrt{2} \\ 1 & -\sqrt{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} , \quad (5)$$

for the case of Ising anyons.

### B. Hilbert space

Anyonic degrees of freedom are non-local, i.e., the Hilbert space of a multi-anyon system is not the tensor product space of Hilbert spaces associated with local degrees of freedom, as is the case for ordinary spins. The Hilbert space of a multi-anyon system can be represented in terms of a fusion diagram which is a trivalent graph with each line segment symbolizing a certain anyon species, and the fusion rules being obeyed at the vertices (see Fig. 2). Each distinct occupation of the fusion diagram represents a basis state, and the inner product of two identical states is one, while the inner product of two different states is zero. By means of



FIG. 3: A different decomposition of the high-genus surface into three-puncture spheres (as compared to the one shown in Fig. 1), yields a different choice of basis (the black graph), also shown in Fig. 2.

(for example) F-transformations, different basis choices of the same system can be related. It is the topology (here, the high-genus surface of Figs. 1 and 3) that defines the Hilbert space. Different basis choices correspond to different decompositions of the high-genus surface into three-punctured spheres, as can be seen by comparing Figs. 1 and 3. We formulate our Hamiltonian in the basis choice which is shown in Figs. 2 and 3.

In the terminology of Fig. 2, the occupations of elements  $c_i$  are either  $c_i = \sigma$  (i = 2, 4, ..., 2L), or  $c_i \in \{1, \psi\}$  (i = 2, 4, ..., 2L). This means that there are two independent sectors of the Hilbert space of our model:

- IS (Integer sector):  $c_i \in \{1, \psi\}$  (i = 2, 4, ..., 2L),  $(a_i, b_i) \in \{(1, 1), (\sigma, \sigma), (\psi, \psi), (\psi, 1), (1, \psi)\}$  (i = 1, 3, ..., 2L - 1).
- HIS (Half-integer sector):  $c_i = \sigma$   $(i = 2, 4, ..., 2L), (a_i, b_i) \in \{(\mathbf{1}, \sigma), (\sigma, \mathbf{1}), (\psi, \sigma), (\sigma, \psi)\}$ (i = 1, 3, ..., 2L - 1).

Using the fusion matrices  $N_j$ , it is straightforward to evaluate the number of basis states, B, as a function of the number of plaquettes, L. We apply periodic boundary conditions, i.e.,  $a_1 = a_{2L+1}$ ,  $b_1 = b_{2L+1}$ ,  $c_2 = c_{2L+2}$ . The number of basis states is given by

$$B = \sum_{\{a_i, b_i, c_i\}} (N_{c_2})_{a_1}^{b_1} (N_{c_2})_{b_3}^{a_3} (N_{c_4})_{a_3}^{b_3} \dots (N_{c_{2L}})_{b_1}^{a_1}$$
(6)  
$$= \sum_{\{c_i\}} \prod_{i=1}^{L} \operatorname{Tr}(N_{c_{2i}} N_{c_{2i+2}})$$
  
$$= \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^{L} \operatorname{Tr}(N_{\sigma} N_{\sigma}) = 4^L & \text{HIS} \\ \sum_{\{c_i \in \{1, \psi\}\}} \prod_{i=1}^{L} \operatorname{Tr}(N_{c_{2i}} N_{c_{2i+2}}) = 4^L + 2^L & \text{IS}, \end{cases}$$

where the summation  $\sum_{\{a_i,b_i,c_i\}}$  runs over all possible labelings of the basis.

$$\sum_{b_{i-1}}^{a_{i-1}} \sum_{b_{i+1}}^{a_{i+1}} = \sum_{s} (F_{b_{i-1}a_{i-1}a_{i+1}}^{b_{i+1}})_{c_i}^s \quad \boxed{\frac{a_{i-1}}{s}}_{b_{i-1}b_{i+1}}$$

FIG. 4: *F*-transformation of a local element of the basis of Fig. 2 to the ladder basis.

### C. Hamiltonian

The Hamiltonian contains two non-commuting terms which act in alternating manner on even and odd labels i, i = 1, 2, ..., 2L (terminology as in Fig. 2). The plaquette operator  $P_i^{(s)}$  projects onto anyon flux s (where  $s \in \{\mathbf{1}, \sigma, \psi\}$ ) through a plaquette indexed by an odd integer i. The rung operator  $R_i^{(s)}$  (i even) projects onto the anyon occupation of a rung (i.e., it is diagonal in the ladder basis of Fig. 1, but not in the basis used [Fig. 2]).

In the most general form, the Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = -J_p \sum_{i=1}^{L} \left( J_1 P_{2i-1}^{(1)} + J_\sigma P_{2i-1}^{(\sigma)} + J_\psi P_{2i-1}^{(\psi)} \right) -J_r \sum_{i=1}^{L} \left( J_1 R_{2i}^{(1)} + J_\sigma R_i^{(\sigma)} + J_\psi R_{2i}^{(\psi)} \right).$$

We set  $J_p = \cos(\theta)$ ,  $J_r = \sin(\theta)$ ,  $J_1 = \cos(\phi)$ ,  $J_{\psi} = \sin(\phi)$  and rewrite the Hamiltonian as (note that  $P_i^{(1)} + P_i^{(\sigma)} + P_i^{(\psi)} = 1$ , and  $R_i^{(1)} + R_i^{(\sigma)} + R_i^{(\psi)} = 1$ ),

$$H = -\cos(\theta) \sum_{i=1}^{L} P_{2i-1} - \sin(\theta) \sum_{i=1}^{L} R_{2i}$$
(7)  
$$P_{i} = \cos(\phi) P_{i}^{(1)} + \sin(\phi) P_{i}^{(\psi)}$$
  
$$R_{i} = \cos(\phi) R_{i}^{(1)} + \sin(\phi) R_{i}^{(\psi)}$$

The parameter  $\theta$  controls the dimerization of the model. If  $J_r = J_p$ , i.e.,  $\theta = \pi/4$  or  $\theta = 5\pi/4$ , the dimerization is zero, i.e., the local terms  $H_i$  (where  $H_i = P_i$  if *i* odd, and  $H_i = R_i$  if *i* even) have identical coupling strengths at each 'site' *i*.

The exact form of the terms  $P_i^{(s)}$  and  $R_i^{(s)}$  was discussed in<sup>17</sup> for the case of Fibonacci anyon degrees of freedom. However, we shall repeat the derivation of this non-standard Hamiltonian for the case of Ising anyons. We begin with the local plaquette term  $P_i^{(s)}$ . We insert an additional anyon loop of type  $t \in \{1, \sigma, \psi\}$  into the center of the plaquette composed by variables  $(a_i, b_i)$ , and project onto the flux through this additional loop (and hence the flux through the plaquette) using the *S*matrix Eq. (4),

$$P_i^{(s)} \left| \underbrace{\overset{c_{i-1}}{\bigcirc} \overset{a_i}{\underset{b_i}{}} \overset{c_{i+1}}{\searrow}}_{b_i} \right\rangle = \sum_{t=1,\sigma,\psi} S_1^s S_t^s \left| \underbrace{\overset{c_{i-1}}{\textcircled{}} \overset{a_i}{\underset{b_i}{}} \overset{c_{i+1}}{\underset{b_i}{}} \right\rangle .$$
(8)

We proceed further as follows,

$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{c_{i-1}}{b_i} & \frac{a_i}{b_i} \\ \frac{c_{i-1}}{b_i} & \frac{c_{i+1}}{b_i} \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{a'_i} (F^t_{a_i a_i t})^{a'_i} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{a_i}{b_i} & \frac{a_i}{b_i} \\ \frac{c_{i-1}}{b_i} & \frac{a_i}{b_i} \\ \frac{c_{i-1}}{b_i} & \frac{a_i}{b_i} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{a'_i, b'_i, m} (F^t_{a_i a_i t})^{a'_i}_1 (F^{a'_i}_{c_{i+1} b_i t})^{b'_i}_{a_i} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{c_{i-1}}{b_i} & \frac{a_i}{b_i} \\ \frac{c_{i-1}}{b_i} & \frac{a_i}{b_i} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{a'_i, b'_i, m} (F^t_{a_i a_i t})^{a'_i}_1 (F^{a'_i}_{c_{i+1} b_i t})^{b'_i}_{a_i} (F^{b'_i}_{c_{i-1} a_i t})^{b'_i}_{b_i} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{c_{i-1}}{b_i} & \frac{a_i}{b_i} \\ \frac{c_{i-1}}{b_i} & \frac{a_i}{b_i} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{a'_i, b'_i} (F^{a'_i}_{c_{i+1} b_i t})^{b'_i}_{a_i} (F^{b'_i}_{c_{i-1} a_i t})^{a'_i}_{b_i} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{c_{i-1}}{b'_i} \\ \frac{c_{i-1}}{b'_i} & \frac{c_{i+1}}{b_i} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$(9)$$

where we used the identity

$$t \bigoplus_{a'_i}^{m} a_i = (F_{tta_i}^{a_i})_{\mathbf{1}}^{a'_i} \Big|_{, (10)}^{a'_i}$$

and the orthogonality relation  $\sum_{e} (F_{abc}^{d})_{f}^{e} (F_{dab}^{c})_{e}^{k} = \delta_{e,k}$ .

By using an F-transformation, it is possible to transform between the basis of Fig. 2 and the ladder basis, as shown for a local element in Fig. 4. Using such a transformation, the projector onto a rung with occupation s is given by

$$R_{i}^{(s)}|c_{i}\rangle = \sum_{c_{i}'} (F_{b_{i-1}a_{i-1}a_{i+1}}^{b_{i+1}})_{c_{i}}^{s} (F_{b_{i-1}a_{i-1}a_{i+1}}^{b_{i+1}})_{c_{i}'}^{s}|c_{i}'\rangle.$$

$$(11)$$

It is straightforward to construct a matrix representation of the Hamiltonian (7). In the half-integer sector (HIS), the variables at even sites *i* are fixed, i.e.,  $c_i = \sigma$ . We associate the local 'site' variables  $(a_i, b_i) \in$  $\{(\mathbf{1}, \sigma), (\sigma, \mathbf{1}), (\psi, \sigma), (\sigma, \psi)\}$  (*i* odd) with the four unit vectors in four dimensions, respectively, and define  $n^{(\mathbf{1},\sigma)} = \text{Diag}(1,0,0,0), n^{(\sigma,\mathbf{1})} = \text{Diag}(0,1,0,0),$  $n^{(\psi,\sigma)} = \text{Diag}(0,0,1,0),$  and  $n^{(\sigma,\psi)} = \text{Diag}(0,0,0,1)$ . Evaluating Eqs. (9) and (11) using the *F*- and *S*-matrix elements (see section II A) yields a  $4 \times 4$  representation of the Hamiltonian in the HI sector,

$$H^{\text{HIS}} = -\cos(\theta) \sum_{i \text{ odd}} \{\cos(\phi)B_{i}^{1} + \sin(\phi)B_{i}^{\psi}\} - \sin(\theta)\cos(\phi) \sum_{i \text{ even}} \{n_{i-1}^{(1,\sigma)}n_{i+1}^{(1,\sigma)} + n_{i-1}^{(\sigma,1)}n_{i+1}^{(\sigma,1)} + n_{i-1}^{(\sigma,\psi)}n_{i+1}^{(\phi,\phi)} + n_{i-1}^{(\sigma,\psi)}n_{i+1}^{(\sigma,\psi)}\} - \sin(\theta)\sin(\phi) \sum_{i \text{ even}} \{n_{i-1}^{(1,\sigma)}n_{i+1}^{(\phi,\sigma)} + n_{i-1}^{(\sigma,1)}n_{i+1}^{(\sigma,\psi)} + n_{i-1}^{(\phi,\phi)}n_{i+1}^{(1,\sigma)} + n_{i-1}^{(\sigma,\psi)}n_{i+1}^{(\sigma,1)}\},$$

$$(12)$$

where

The Hamiltonian (12) is invariant under variable exchanges  $(\mathbf{1}, \sigma) \leftrightarrow (\psi, \sigma)$  and  $(\sigma, \mathbf{1}) \leftrightarrow (\sigma, \psi)$  (independently and simultaneously). It is also invariant under simultaneous exchange of variables  $(\mathbf{1}, \sigma) \leftrightarrow (\sigma, \mathbf{1})$  and  $(\psi, \sigma) \leftrightarrow (\sigma, \psi)$ .

In a similar manner as for the half-integer sector, it is possible to construct a  $7 \times 7$  matrix representation of the Hamiltonian (7) in the integer sector. Let the variables  $\mathbf{1}, (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}), (\mathbf{1}, \psi), (\sigma, \sigma), (\psi, \mathbf{1}), (\psi, \psi), \psi$  be associated with the seven unit vectors in seven dimensions (in this order) and let  $m^1 = \text{Diag}(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), m^{(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})} = \text{Diag}(0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ , and so on. In this notation, the Hamiltonian (7) in the integer sector takes the form

$$H^{\rm IS} = -\cos(\theta) \sum_{i \text{ odd}} \{\cos(\phi)[m_{i-1}^{\mathbf{1}}M_{i}^{\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1}}m_{i+1}^{\mathbf{1}} + m_{i-1}^{\psi}M_{i}^{\psi,\mathbf{1}}m_{i+1}^{\psi}] + \sin(\phi)[m_{i-1}^{\mathbf{1}}M_{i}^{\mathbf{1},\psi}m_{i+1}^{\mathbf{1}} + m_{i-1}^{\psi,\psi}M_{i}^{\psi,\psi}m_{i+1}^{\psi}] \} - \sin(\theta)\cos(\phi) \sum_{i \text{ even}} \{m_{i-1}^{(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})}m_{i+1}^{(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})} + m_{i-1}^{(\psi,\psi)}m_{i+1}^{(\psi,\psi)} + m_{i-1}^{(\sigma,\sigma)}M_{i}^{\sigma}m_{i+1}^{(\sigma,\sigma)}\} - \sin(\theta)\sin(\phi) \sum_{i \text{ even}} \{m_{i-1}^{(\mathbf{1},\psi)}m_{i+1}^{(\psi,\mathbf{1})} + m_{i-1}^{(\psi,\mathbf{1})}m_{i+1}^{(\mathbf{1},\psi)} + m_{i-1}^{(\psi,\psi)}m_{i+1}^{(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})}\},$$
(13)

where

$$M^{\mathbf{1},\psi} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -\sqrt{2} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{2} & 0 & 2 & 0 & -\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -\sqrt{2} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hamiltonian (13) is invariant under variables exchanges (i)  $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) \leftrightarrow (\psi, \psi)$  and (ii)  $(\mathbf{1}, \psi) \leftrightarrow (\psi, \mathbf{1})$  (independently and simultaneously). It is also invariant under simultaneous exchange of  $\mathbf{1} \leftrightarrow \psi$ ,  $(\psi, \mathbf{1}) \leftrightarrow (\psi, \psi)$  and  $(\mathbf{1}, \psi) \leftrightarrow (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})$  (where exchanges (i) and (ii) are applicable, too).

## D. The half-integer sector and the quantum Ashkin-Teller model

The half-integer sector of the high-genus ladder of Ising anyons studied in this paper is equivalent to the quantum Ashkin-Teller model. The quantum Ashkin-Teller model, which can also be mapped onto the staggered XXZ chain, is the Hamiltonian limit of the classical Ashkin-Teller model, and it was first studied in<sup>22</sup>.

The correspondence of our model in the half-integer sector and the quantum Ashkin-Teller model becomes immediately apparent when relabeling the indices of Hamiltonian Eq. (12) according to  $i-1 \rightarrow n$ ,  $i+1 \rightarrow n+1$ , and comparing this Hamiltonian with the Hamiltonian given in<sup>22</sup> (see also<sup>23</sup>). Relating the coupling constants  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  to the ones in<sup>22</sup> allows us to confirm the results of sections III D and IV A, and add further details to the phase diagram Fig. 5. We believe that the numerical results discussed section III D are of interest despite prior studies of the quantum Ashkin-Teller model, and we note that the derivation of the exact solution in section IV A is a consequence of the unique structure of the Hilbert space of our anyonic model.

# E. Numerical method

We diagonalize the Hamiltonian matrix using the Lanczos algorithm<sup>24</sup>. By employing periodic boundary conditions, we obtain the energy eigenvalues as a function of momenta  $k_x = 2\pi n/L$ , n = 1, 2, ..., L, as well as  $k_y = 0, \pi$  (invariance of the Hamiltonian under exchange of the  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  variables, this symmetry corresponds to simultaneous variable exchanges  $(\mathbf{1}, \sigma) \leftrightarrow (\sigma, \mathbf{1})$  and  $(\psi, \sigma) \leftrightarrow (\phi, \mathbf{1})$  in the half-integer sector, and exchange  $(\mathbf{1}, \psi) \leftrightarrow (\psi, \mathbf{1})$  in the integer sector). We employ an implementation of the Lanczos algorithm in the ALPS library<sup>25</sup>.

## III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

We first outline the topological feature of our model that determines its criticality at equal magnitude of rung and plaquette term. We recapitulate the identification of a conformal field theory based on the energy spectrum in a system of finite size and review the operator content of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  orbifold of the compactified bosonic theory. Then, we present the results of the exact diagonalization of the Hamiltonian matrix.

## A. Competing topologies

The competition between the rung and plaquette terms correspond to a competition between single and double topologies<sup>17</sup>. This can be understood by switching to the ladder basis of Fig. 1. In the ladder basis, the plaquette term projects onto the flux s through the plaquette, and the rung term projects onto the flux s on the rung of the ladder. We consider the Hamiltonian at the points  $J_p = 1, J_r = 0, J_{\psi} = 0 \ (\theta = 0, \phi = 0), \text{ and } J_r = 1, J_p = 0, J_{\psi} = 0 \ (\theta = \pi/2, \phi = 0), \text{ respectively. For the}$ former choice of coupling constants, the rung term is zero, and the Hamiltonian favors the absence of  $\sigma$ - and  $\psi$ -fluxes through the plaquettes. However, if there are no fluxes through the holes of the high-genus surface, the holes can be closed, and we are left with a single cylinder (a torus for the case of peridic boundary conditions). In contrast, at the latter choice of coupling parameters, the plaquette term is zero, the Hamiltonian favors the absence of  $\sigma$  and  $\psi$  particles on the rungs. Hence, the rungs can be 'cut off', and the resulting surface is that of two independent cylinders (two tori for periodic boundaries). In this work, we mainly consider the points of equal magnitude of rung and plaquette term where the competition between single and double topologies renders the system critical over a large range of coupling parameters.

### B. Identification of conformal field theories

The spectrum of a conformal field theory (CFT) in a system of finite size L and periodic boundary conditions has the following energy eigenvalues<sup>26</sup>

$$E = E_1 L + \frac{2\pi v}{L} \left( -\frac{c}{12} + h + \bar{h} \right), \qquad (14)$$

p	36	16	9	6	4	3	2	1
_				scft	Potts	para	$\operatorname{Ising}^2$	$\mathbf{KT}$
$h_0 + h_0$								
$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{1}{8}$
$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{1}{8}$
$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{9}{8}$
$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	$\frac{9}{8}$
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
$\frac{1}{2p}$	$\frac{1}{72}$	$\frac{1}{32}$	$\frac{1}{18}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	-
$\frac{4}{2p}$	$\frac{1}{18}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{2}{9}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	-	-
$\frac{9}{2p}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{9}{32}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	-	-	-
$\frac{16}{2p}$	$\frac{2}{9}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{8}{9}$	$\frac{4}{3}$	-	-	-	-
$\frac{25}{2p}$	$\frac{25}{72}$	$\frac{25}{32}$	$\frac{25}{18}$	$\frac{25}{12}$	-	-	-	-
$\frac{36}{2p}$	$\frac{1}{36}$	$\frac{9}{8}$	2	-	-	-	-	
$\frac{49}{2p}$	$\frac{49}{72}$	$\frac{49}{32}$	$\frac{49}{18}$	-	-	-	-	-
$\frac{64}{2p}$	$\frac{8}{9}$	2	$\frac{32}{9}$	-	-	-	-	-
$\frac{p}{2}$	18	8	$\frac{9}{2}$	3	2	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$
$\frac{p}{2}$	18	8	$\frac{9}{2}$	3	2	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$

TABLE I: Scaling dimensions  $h_0 + \bar{h}_0$  (aside from the ground state with  $h = \bar{h} = 0$ ) of the operators of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  orbifold of the boson compactified on a circle of radius  $R = \sqrt{2p}$  for some theories (i.e., some integer p > 0). The scaling dimensions which depend on p are given by  $h + \bar{h}_0 = \frac{n^2}{2p}$  where n =1, ..., p-1, and by p/2. The following abbreviations are used: scft = superconformal CFT with c = 1, Potts = 4-state Potts theory, para = parafermion CFT with c = 1, Ising<sup>2</sup> = square of the Ising CFT, KT = Kosterlitz-Thouless transition.

where c is the central charge of the CFT, and the velocity v is an overall scale factor. The scaling dimensions  $h + \bar{h}$  take the form  $h = h^0 + n$ ,  $\bar{h} = \bar{h}^0 + \bar{n}$ , where n and  $\bar{n}$  are non-negative integers, and  $h^0$  and  $\bar{h}^0$  are the holomorphic and antiholomorphic conformal weights of primary fields of a given CFT of central charge c. Energies with h and  $\bar{h}$  such that n and  $\bar{n}$  zero are associated with primary fields while energies with n and/or  $\bar{n}$  non-zero correspond to descendant fields. There are some constraints on the momenta  $k_x$  (in units  $2\pi/L$ ):  $k_x = h - \bar{h}$  or  $k_x = h - \bar{h} + L/2$ . The system size L corresponds to the number of plaquettes of the basis, also denoted by L in the previous and upcoming discussion. By rescaling the eigenenergies obtained from exact diagonalization according to Eq. (14), we are able to identify a number of conformal field theories.

# C. $\mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold of the boson compactified on a circle of radius $R = \sqrt{2p}$

As was mentioned in the introduction, we identify a number of conformal field theories with central charges



FIG. 5: Half-integer sector (HIS): Phase diagram at angles  $\theta = \pi/4$ , and  $\phi \in [0, 2\pi)^{32}$ . The coupling constants are  $J_1 = \cos(\phi)$  and  $J_{\psi} = \sin(\phi)$ . The positions of some of the c = 1 theories (boson orbifold compatified on a circle of radius  $R = \sqrt{2p}$ ) are indicated, see table I for details on the operator content. For example, p = 4 stands for the 4-state Potts CFT.

c=1. We observe that these theories are part of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold the the bosonic theory compactified on a circle of radius  $R = \sqrt{2p}$ , with each integer parameter  $p \ge 1$  defining a rational CFT<sup>27,28</sup>. Aside from the ground state  $(h = \bar{h} = 0)$ , there are two fields with scaling dimension  $h_0 + \bar{h}_0 = 1/8$ , two fields with scaling dimension 9/8(these four operators are the so-called twist operators), one field with scaling dimension 2, two fields with scaling dimension p/2, and p-1 fields with scaling dimensions  $n^2/2p, n = 1, 2, ..., p - 1$  (see Table I). The more prominent of the critical theories of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  boson orbifold are the Kosterlitz-Thouless theory (p = 1), the theory of two decoupled Ising models (p = 2), the c = 1 parafermion CFT (p = 3), the 4-state Potts model (p = 4), and the c = 1 superconformal CFT (p = 6). A number of the orbifold theories (those with  $p \leq 4$ ) are observed in a critical line of the Ashkin-Teller model<sup>29</sup> which is a twodimensional classical lattice model of two decoupled Ising models which are coupled by a four-spin interaction.

There exists a relation between the c = 1 orbifold theories and the extended Dynkin diagrams  $\hat{D}_n$  of the simply-laced affine Lie-algebras of type D: for  $p = m^2$ , m = 1, 2, ..., the corresponding  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  orbifold theory is associated with the extended Dynkin diagram  $\hat{D}_{\sqrt{p}+2}^{27}$ . The extended Dynkin diagrams  $\hat{D}_n$  define so-called restrictedsolid-on-solid (RSOS) models which are 2D statistical lattice models whose degrees of freedom are integer-valued



FIG. 6: HIS: Rescaled energy spectrum (from exact diagonalization at system size L = 10) at  $\theta = \pi/4$ ,  $\phi = \pi/2$ , and the CFT assignments of the 4-state Potts model. There are three fields with scaling dimension 2 (see Table I), at momentum  $k_x = 0$ , however, the finite-size effects are rather strong.

heights on the nodes of the lattice with the constraint that heights on nearest-neighbouring lattice sites are adjacent nodes in the defining Dynkin diagram. The partition function of these RSOS models is a discrete version of the partition function of the rCFTs associated with the respective Dynkin diagram<sup>30,31</sup>.

# D. Numerical results in the half-integer sector (HIS): $|J_r| = |J_p|$

In this section we discuss the results of the exact diagonalization of the Hamiltonian (12) for equal magnitude of plaquette and rung terms, i.e.,  $|J_p| = |J_r|$ . In the following, we refer to the case  $\theta = \pi/4$ , however, all other cases of equal strength of rung and plaquette coupling ( $\theta = 3\pi/4$ ,  $\theta = 5\pi/4$ ,  $\theta = 7\pi/4$ ) yield the identical results<sup>32</sup>.

# 1. Gapless phases

At angles  $\phi = 0$  and  $\phi = \pi/2$ , the model is critical and described by the 4-state Potts model, as shown in Fig. 6, which is confirmed by an exact solution (see section IV). In fact, for all angles  $\phi \in [-\pi/2, 0]$  and  $\phi \in [\pi/2, \pi]$  the system is gapless with continuously varying critical exponents. We are able to match the energy spectra at different angles  $\phi$  to several of the orbifold theories, as indicated in the phase diagram Fig. 5 (see also a figure of the c = 1 parafermion CFT Fig. 7). The two gapless phases in the phase diagram (Fig. 5) differ by the  $k_x$ -momentum



FIG. 7: HIS: Rescaled energy spectrum (from exact diagonalization) at  $\theta = \pi/4$ ,  $\phi = 0.55\pi$ , and the CFT assignments of the parafermion CFT with c = 1.

quantum numbers of the twist operators. In one of the gapless phases, the four twist operators with scaling dimensions 1/8, 1/8, 9/8, 9/8 have momentum quantum numbers  $(k_x, k_y) = (0, 0), (0, \pi), (0, 0), (0, \pi)$ , while in the other gapless phase the momenta are  $(k_x, k_y) = (\pi, 0), (\pi, \pi), (\pi, 0), (\pi, \pi)$ . The eigenenergies associated with the remaining operators always appear in momentum sector  $k_x = 0$ . The fields with scaling dimensions  $n^2/2p, n = 1, ..., p - 1$ , have momentum quantum numbers  $k_y = 0$  if n is even, and  $k_y = \pi$  if n is odd. The numerical results indicate that fields with scaling dimensions p/2 are both in momentum sector  $k_y = 0$  for p even, while for p odd, these two fields have momentum numbers  $k_y = 0$  and  $k_y = \pi$ , respectively. The marginal operator is in momentum sector  $(k_x, k_y) = (0, 0)$ . We located the critical theories at angles  $\phi = 0$  and  $\phi = 0.5\pi$  $(p = 4), \phi \approx 0.55\pi$  and  $\phi \approx 1.95\pi$   $(p = 3), \phi \approx 0.75\pi$ and  $\phi \approx 1.75\pi$  (p = 2), and  $\phi \approx 0.95\pi$  and  $\phi \approx 1.55\pi$ (p = 1). The exact locations of these orbifold theories can be determined by comparison with<sup>22</sup>. The Hamiltonian (12) is integrable along the orbifold line, i.e., between the Kosterlitz-Thouless point and the 4-state Potts point (see<sup>22</sup> and references therein).

The region between the Kosterlitz-Thouless transition (p = 1) and the points  $\phi = \pi$  and  $\phi = 3\pi/2$ , respectively, is gapless with continuously varying critical exponents. This region is denoted as 'critical fan' in<sup>22</sup>. The transition points  $\phi = \pi$  and  $\phi = 3\pi/2$  are gapless, and exhibit a three-fold degenerate ground state [momenta  $(k_x, k_y) = (0, 0), (\pi, 0), (\pi, \pi)$  at  $\phi = \pi$ , and  $(k_x, k_y) = (0, 0), (0, \pi), (\pi, \pi)$  at  $\phi = 3\pi/2$ ]. They mark a first order transition between the critical phases and gapped phase I, as indicated by a jump of the ground state energies (as a function of  $\phi$ ) at these angles (not

shown here). These two critical points are in the universality class of the potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KDP) model<sup>33</sup>.

## 2. Gapped phases

We briefly discuss the two gapped phases in the phase diagram Fig. 5. In gapped phase I  $[\phi \in (\pi, 3\pi/2)]$ , the ground state is two-fold degenerate. Above the ground state, a flat quasiparticle band is observed. At angle  $\phi = 5\pi/4$ , the Hamiltonian is of form  $H = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i} P_{2i-1}^{(\sigma)}$  $\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i} R_{2i}^{(\sigma)}$ . At this point, the energy is minimized if all rungs have occupation  $\sigma$ . This is realized for any configuration of form [we omit the indices  $c_i = \sigma$ , i.e.,  $|\Psi\rangle =$  $|(a_1, b_1), (a_3, b_3), ...\rangle| |\Psi_I\rangle = |(a_1, \sigma), (\sigma, b_3), (a_5, \sigma), ...\rangle,$ where  $a_1, b_3, a_5, \dots \in \{\mathbf{1}, \psi\}$ . All states of this form, and hence also the ground states, appear only in momentum sectors  $(k_x, k_y) = (0, 0)$  and  $(k_x, k_y) = (\pi, \pi)$ . The ground states are the product states of local states of form  $|(a_i, b_i)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|(\mathbf{1}, \sigma)\rangle - |(\psi, \sigma)\rangle) \ (i = 1, 5, ... 2L - 3),$ and  $|(a_i, b_i)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|(\sigma, \mathbf{1})\rangle - |(\sigma, \psi)\rangle) \ (i = 3, 7, ..., 2L - 1),$ and they are hence a superposition of all states of form  $|\Psi_I\rangle$ , where the magnitude of the weights depends on the multiplicities of the states according to the symmetries. The numerical results confirm that this is indeed the correct construction for any point in the gapped phase I. The symmetry and the exact form of the ground states is indicative of a system of two independent sublattices. Gapped phase I corresponds to the 'antiferromagnetic frozen phase'  $in^{22}$ .

In gapped phase II, the ground state is also two-fold degenerate, and the quasiparticle dispersion has a leading cosine shape. At coupling parameter  $\phi = \pi/4$ , the Hamiltonian is of form  $H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} P_{2i-1}^{(\sigma)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} R_{2i}^{(\sigma)}$ . At this point, the energy is minimized if all rungs (in the ladder basis) have occupation **1** or  $\psi$ . This is realized for any configuration of form  $|\Psi_{II}\rangle = |(a_1, \sigma), (a_3, \sigma), (a_5, \sigma), ...\rangle$  where  $a_1, a_3, a_5, ... \in \{\mathbf{1}, \psi\}$ . All states of this form, and hence also the ground states, appear only in momentum sectors  $(k_x, k_y) = (0, 0)$  and  $(k_x, k_y) = (0, \pi)$ . The ground states are the product states of local states of form  $|(a_i, b_i)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|(\mathbf{1}, \sigma)\rangle + |(\psi, \sigma)\rangle), i = 1, 3, ..., 2L-1$  and thus are a superposition of all states of form  $|\Psi_{II}\rangle$  where the magnitude of the weights depends on the multiplicities of the states according to the symmetries. This gapped phase corresponds to a partially ordered phase (ordered in one of the two Ising spins) in<sup>22</sup>.

# E. Numerical results in the integer sector (IS): $|J_r| = |J_p|$

We discuss the results of the exact diagonalization of the Hamiltonian (13) for equal magnitude of plaquette and rung term. In the following we refer to the case  $\theta = \pi/4^{32}$ .



FIG. 8: Integer sector (IS): Phase diagram at angles  $\theta = \pi/4$ , and  $\phi \in [0, 2\pi)^{32}$ . The coupling constants are  $J_1 = \cos(\phi)$ and  $J_{\psi} = \sin(\phi)$ . The positions of some of the c = 1 theories (boson orbifold compatified on a circle of radius  $R = \sqrt{2p}$ ) are indicated, see table I for details on the operator content. There exist exact solutions (ex. sol.) at several points:  $\phi = 0$ ,  $\phi = \pi/2$  (p = 16 boson orbifold, section IV B),  $\phi = \pi/4$ ,  $\phi = 5\pi/4$  (section III E 2).

## 1. Gapless phases

The phase diagram in the integer sector (IS) at equal strength of rung and plaquette term is similar to the one of the half-integer sector, with two extended gapped and two extended gapless phases, as illustrated in Fig. 8. However, a much larger number of the rational c = 1critical theories appears. At angles  $\phi = 0$  and  $\phi = \pi/2$ (where we found the p = 4 theory in the half-integer sector), we identify the p = 16 orbifold theory, as can be seen in Fig. 9. This numerical result is confirmed by the exact solution given in section IVB. We identify the c = 1 orbifold theories for p = 1, 2, ..., 16 in the two gapless phases. One example of the observed theories is the c = 1 superconformal CFT (p = 6) which is shown in Fig. 10. As was the case for the half-integer sector, the two gapless phases differ by the  $k_x$  momentum quantum numbers of the twist operators. The momentum assignments of the twist operators in the integer sector are identical to the ones in the half-integer sector. In contrast to the half-integer sector, all remaining fields have momentum quantum numbers  $(k_x, k_y) = (0, 0)$ .

The angles at which some of the critical theories are located are the following:  $\phi = 0$  and  $\phi = 0.5\pi$  (p = 16) p = 16,  $\phi \approx 0.85\pi$  and  $\phi \approx 1.65\pi$  (p = 6),  $\phi \approx 0.95\pi$  and  $\phi \approx 1.55\pi$  (p = 4),  $\phi \approx 0.97\pi$  and  $\phi \approx 1.53\pi$  (p = 3),



FIG. 9: IS: Rescaled energy spectrum (from exact diagonalization) at  $\theta = \pi/4$ ,  $\phi = \pi/2$ , and the CFT assignments of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  orbifold of the compactified bosonic theory at radius  $R = \sqrt{2p}$  with p = 16.

 $\phi \approx 0.985\pi$  and  $\phi \approx 1.515\pi$  (p = 2), and  $\phi \approx 0.995\pi$  and  $\phi \approx 1.505\pi$  (p = 1).

It is difficult to determine the exact position of the transition between either of the gapless phases and the gapped phase I (dashed lines in Fig. 8). However, the energy eigenvalue associated with the field with scaling dimension 9/2p does not become degenerate with the eigenvalue associated with the twist fields of scaling dimension 1/8 when approaching the gapped phase I (from either side). This means that the orbifold theory with p = 36does not appear, and thus the orbifold theory with pmust be one of the theories with  $16 \leq p < 36$ . From the analytical results applicable to the half-integer sector (section IID) it is known that the transition between gapped phase I and the critical phases is located at  $\phi = 0$ and  $\phi = \pi/2$ , respectively. It therefore seems likely that the corresponding transition in the integer sector is also located at these angles.

## 2. Gapped phases

We very briefly remark on the gapped phases of phase diagram Fig. 8. In gapped phase I, a flat quasiparticle band is observed above a highly degenerate ground state. These degenerate ground states are superpositions of states where local variables  $(a_i, b_i) = (\sigma, \sigma)$  are followed by variables  $(a_{i+2}, b_{i+2}) = (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}), (a_{i+2}, b_{i+2}) = (\psi, \psi), (a_{i+2}, b_{i+2}) = (\psi, \mathbf{1})$  or  $(a_{i+2}, b_{i+2}) = (\mathbf{1}, \psi)$ , and vice versa. In gapped phase II, the ground state is non-degenerate, and the quasiparticle band exhibits a leading *cosine* shape.



FIG. 10: IS: Rescaled energy spectrum (from exact diagonalization) at  $\theta = \pi/4$ ,  $\phi = 0.85\pi$ , and the CFT assignments of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  orbifold of the compactified bosonic theory at radius  $R = \sqrt{2p}$  with p = 6 (c = 1 superconformal CFT).

### **F.** Phase diagram for $|J_r| \neq |J_p|$

The equivalence of the half-integer sector model (12) with the Ashkin-Teller model yields the phase diagram of the half-integer sector (for details of the various phases in spin language see<sup>22</sup>). We numerically verified the existence of a critical point which is described by the Ising CFT with central charge c = 1/2 for coupling parameters  $\theta = 0.32\pi$  and  $\phi = 0.352\pi$ , which is in agreement with prior results on the quantum Ashkin-Teller model<sup>22,23</sup>. Each of the three primary fields of the Ising theory appears twice, where momentum symmetry sectors are  $(k_x, k_y) = (0, 0), (0, \pi)$  for operators with scaling dimensions 0 and 1, and  $(k_x, k_y) = (\pi, 0), (\pi, \pi)$  for operators with scaling dimension 1/8.

In the integer sector, the exact diagonalization of Hamiltonian (13) at coupling parameters  $\theta = 0.32\pi$ ,  $\phi = 0.352\pi$  yields that the corresponding phase is of Ising universality, too. However, the field with scaling dimension 1/8 appears with triple degeneracy [momenta  $(k_x, k_y = (0, 0), (\pi, 0), (\pi, \pi)]$ , while the fields with scaling dimensions 0 and 1 appear only once [both at momentum  $(k_x, k_y) = (0, 0)$ ]. Further details of the phase diagram in the integer sector away from  $|J_r| = |J_p|$  remain to be studied.

## IV. EXACT SOLUTIONS AT CRITICAL POINTS: DYNKIN DIAGRAMS

In this section, we identify the Hilbert space of our model with certain extended Dynkin diagrams. We observe that the Hamiltonian, at certain coupling con-



FIG. 11:  $\hat{D}_4$  Dynkin diagram associated with the Hilbert space of the half integer sector (HIS). The symmetries of the Hamiltonian (12) under exchange of variables are indicated by green arrows.

stants, corresponds to the restricted-solid-on-solid models which are associated with these extended Dynkin diagrams.

#### A. Half-integer sector (HIS)

We associate a label  $c_i = \sigma$  (terminology of Fig. 2) with the even numbered 'sites' *i*. With the odd-numbered 'sites' *i* we associate a variable consisting of a pair of labels,  $(a_i, b_i)$ , which can assume four values, i.e.,  $(a_i, b_i) = (\mathbf{1}, \sigma)$ ,  $(a_i, b_i) = (\sigma, \mathbf{1})$ ,  $(a_i, b_i) = (\psi, \sigma)$ , and  $(a_i, b_i) = (\sigma, \psi)$ . If variables  $(a_i, b_i)$  and  $c_{i\pm 1}$  are allowed to meet at the vertices (as a consequence of the fusion rules) they are adjacent nodes on the Dynkin diagram of the extended  $\hat{D}_4$  Lie algebra, as illustrated in Fig. 11. Any local label  $(a_i, b_i)$  at an odd-numbered site *i* allows for label  $c_{i-1} = \sigma$  at the neighboring even-numbered sites, which is reflected in the fact that label  $\sigma$  is connected by a line all four possible labels  $(a_i, b_i)$  in the Dynkin diagram Fig. 11.

The adjancency matrix<sup>34</sup> of the  $\hat{D}_4$  Dynkin diagram of Fig. 11 is given by

$$A_{\hat{D}_4} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(15)

where the matrix indices are associated with the five different variables in the following order:  $(\sigma, \psi), (\psi, \sigma), \sigma, (\sigma, \mathbf{1}), (\mathbf{1}, \sigma)$ . The largest eigenvalue of  $A_{\hat{D}_4}$  is 2, and the corresponding eigenvector is given by

$$v = (v_{(\sigma,\psi)}, v_{(\psi,\sigma)}, v_{\sigma}, v_{(\sigma,\mathbf{1})}, v_{(\mathbf{1},\sigma)}) = (1, 1, 2, 1, 1).$$
(16)

The operators

$$e_{i}|x_{1},...,x_{i-1},x_{i},x_{i+1},...x_{2L}\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{x'_{i}} [(e_{i})^{x_{i+1}}_{x_{i-1}}]^{x'_{i}}_{x_{i}}|x_{1},...,x_{i-1},x'_{i},x_{i+1},...,x_{2L}\rangle,$$

$$[(e_{i})^{x_{i+1}}_{x_{i-1}}]^{x'_{i}}_{x_{i}} = \delta_{x_{i-1},x_{i+1}}\sqrt{\frac{v_{x_{i}}v_{x'_{i}}}{v_{x_{i-1}}v_{x_{i+1}}}},$$

$$(17)$$

FIG. 12:  $\hat{D}_6$  Dynkin diagram associated with the Hilbert space of the integer sector (IS). The symmetries of the Hamiltonian (13) under exchange of labels are indicated by green arrows.

form a representation of the Temperley-Lieb algebra<sup>35</sup>,

$$e_i^2 = \mathcal{D}e_i, \qquad (18)$$

$$e_i e_{i\pm 1} e_i = e_i, \qquad (18)$$

$$[e_i, e_j] = 0 \text{ for } |i-j| \ge 2,$$

where  $\mathcal{D} = 2$ . At coupling constants  $\theta = \pi/4$ ,  $\phi = 0^{32}$ , the local terms of our Hamiltonian Eq. (7) (in the halfinteger sector)  $H_i = P_i^{(1)}$  (*i* odd) and  $H_i = R_i^{(1)}$  (*i* even) equal to  $H_i = -\frac{1}{2}e_i$  which can be seen by evaluating the operators  $e_i$ . It can be shown that the Hamiltonian (at these coupling constants) defines the two-row transfer matrix of the RSOS model that is associated with the  $\hat{D}_4$  Dynkin diagram<sup>36</sup>. Consequently, our model in the HI sector at angles  $\theta = \pi/4$ ,  $\phi = 0^{32}$  is described by the 4-state Potts CFT<sup>27,30</sup>.

### B. Integer sector (IS)

In analogy to the discussion of section IV A, we associate a label  $c_i = 1$  or  $c_i = \psi$  with the even numbered sites, while the odd-numbered sites are associated with variable consisting of a pair of labels,  $(a_i, b_i)$  which can assume five values, i.e.,  $(a_i, b_i) = (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}), (a_i, b_i) = (\sigma, \sigma),$  $(a_i, b_i) = (\psi, \psi), (a_i, b_i) = (\psi, \mathbf{1}) \text{ and } (a_i, b_i) = (\mathbf{1}, \psi).$ Variables  $(a_i, b_i)$  and  $c_{i\pm 1}$  that may fuse at the vertices [according to the fusion rules Eq. (1)] are adjacent nodes on the Dynkin diagram of the extended  $D_6$  Lie algebra, as illustrated in Fig. 12. For example, a local label  $(a_i, b_i) = (\sigma, \sigma)$  at an odd-numbered site *i* allows for labels  $c_{i\pm 1} = 1$  and  $c_{i\pm 1} = \psi$  at the neighboring evennumbered sites, which is reflected in the fact that label  $(\sigma, \sigma)$  is connected by a line to both labels **1** and  $\psi$  in the Dynkin diagram. The components  $v_{x_i}$  of the eigenvector associated with the largest eigenvalue of the adjancency matrix of the  $D_6$  diagram define a representation Eq. (17) of the Temperley-Lieb algebra associated with the  $\hat{D}_6$  diagram. Again, it is straightforward to verify that the Hamiltonian in the integer sector at parameters  $\theta = \pi/4$ ,  $\phi = 0^{32}$  is of form  $H = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i} e_{i}$ . This means that the Hamiltonian (at these coupling parameters) is that of the RSOS model defined by the  $\hat{D}_6$  Dynkin diagram, and hence the critical theory is the  $p = 16 \mathbb{Z}_2$ boson orbifold theory<sup>27</sup>.

### V. CONCLUSIONS

We study a quantum double model whose degrees of freedom are Ising anyons, and whose Hamiltonian implements a competition between single and double topologies. We observe a series of quantum critical points described by conformal field theories with central charge c = 1. These critical theories are part of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  orbifold of the bosonic theory compactified on a circle. By associating the Hilbert space of our model with certain extended Dynkin diagrams, we find exact solutions of our model at some critical points. In one of its Hilbert space

- <sup>1</sup> J. M. Leinaas and J. Myrheim, *Il Nuovo Cimenti* **37**, 1 (1977).
- <sup>2</sup> J. Fröhlich and F. Gabbiani, *Rev. Math. Phys.* 2, 251 (1990).
- <sup>3</sup> R. B. Laughlin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50**, 1395 (1983).
- <sup>4</sup> A review of the physics of (non-abelian) anyons and the relevant physical systems can be found in: C. Nayak, et. al., *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80**, 1083 (2008).
- <sup>5</sup> A. Yu. Kitaev, Ann. Phys. **303**, 2 (2003).
- <sup>6</sup> R. Moessner and S. L. Sondhi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 86, 1881 (2001).
- <sup>7</sup> A. Yu. Kitaev, Ann. Phys. **321**, 2 (2006).
- <sup>8</sup> M. Levin and X.-G. Wen, *Phys. Rev. B* **71**, 045110 (2005).
- <sup>9</sup> P. Fendley and E. Fradkin, *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 024412 (2005).
- <sup>10</sup> M. H. Freedman, et. al., Ann. Phys. **310**, 428 (2004).
- <sup>11</sup> G. K. Brennen, M. Aguado and J. I. Cirac, New J. Phys. 11, 053009 (2009).
- <sup>12</sup> R. König, arXiv:0901.1333 [quant-ph].
- <sup>13</sup> F. A. Bais and J. C. Romers, Ann. Phys. **324**, 1168 (2009).
- <sup>14</sup> L. Jiang, et. al., Nature Physics 4, 482-488 (2008).
- <sup>15</sup> B. Doucot, L. B. Ioffe and J. Vidal, *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 214501 (2001). B. Doucot, *et. al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **71**, 024505 (2005). B. Doucot and L. B. Ioffe, *New J. Phys.* **7**, 187 (2005). L. B. Ioffe, *et. al.*, *Nature* **415**, 503-506 (2002).
- <sup>16</sup> The Fibonacci theory is a simple class of non-abelian anyons, which contains only one anyon species, the Fibonacci anyon  $\tau$ . For more details, see, for example,<sup>4,40</sup>.
- <sup>17</sup> C. Gils, *et. al.*, to be published in *Nature Physics*, arXiv:0906.1579[cond-mat].
- <sup>18</sup> M. Dolev, et. al., Nature Physics **452**, 829-835 (2008). I. P. Radu, et. al., Science **320**, 899-902 (2008).
- <sup>19</sup> G. Moore, and N. Read, Nucl. Phys. B **360**, 362-396 (1991).
- <sup>20</sup> N. Read and D. Green, *Phys. Rev. B* **61**, 10267 (2000).
- $^{21}\,$  For a review of the theory of Ising anyons see, for example, Refs.  $^{4,7}.$

This work demonstrates the exciting physics of quantum double models which are of great interest in the context of topologically ordered phases of matter and topological quantum computation. It contributes further to the understanding of models of interacting non-abelian anyons<sup>17,37,38,39,40</sup>.

The author thanks the anonymous referee for useful comments and E. Ardonne, D. Huse, A. Kitaev, A. Ludwig, S. Trebst, M. Troyer and Z. Wang for enjoyable collaboration on related work.

- <sup>22</sup> M. Kohmoto, M. den Nijs and L. P. Kadanoff, *Phys. Rev.* B 24, 5229 (1981).
- <sup>23</sup> G. von Gehlen and V. Rittenberg, J. Phys. A 20, 227 (1987).
- <sup>24</sup> C. Lanczos, J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand. 45, 255-282 (1950).
- <sup>25</sup> http://alps.com-phys.org.
- <sup>26</sup> J. L. Cardy, J. Phys. A **17**, L385 (1984); Nucl. Phys. B **270**, 186 (1986).
- <sup>27</sup> P. Ginsparg, Nucl. Phys. B **295**, 153 (1988).
- <sup>28</sup> R. Dijkgraaf, C. Vafa, E. Verlinde, H. Verlinde, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **123**, 485 (1989).
- <sup>29</sup> J. Ashkin and E. Teller, *Phys. Rev.* **64**, 178 (1943). See also Baxter, R. J. *Exactly solved models in statistical mechanics*. Academic Press, London, 1982, and references therein.
- <sup>30</sup> V. Pasquier, Nucl. Phys. B 285, 162 (1987); J. Phys. A 20, L221 (1987); J. Phys. A 20, L1229 (1987).
- <sup>31</sup> A. Kuniba and T. Yajima, J. Stat. Phys. **52**, 829 (1987).
- <sup>32</sup> The choice  $\theta = \pi/4$  and  $\phi \in [0, 2\pi)$  is equivalent to the choices  $\theta = 3\pi/4$  and  $\phi \leftarrow \pi \phi$ ,  $\theta = 5\pi/4$  and  $\phi \leftarrow \pi + \phi$ , as well as  $\theta = 7\pi/4$  and  $\phi \leftarrow 2\pi \phi$ .
- <sup>33</sup> E. H. Lieb, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **18**, 692, 1046 (1967); *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **19**, 108 (1967).
- <sup>34</sup> The adjacency matrix A is the matrix whose only non-zero matrix elements are  $A_{\alpha,\alpha'} = 1$  when  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  are nearest neighbors on the Dynkin diagram.
- <sup>35</sup> N. Temperley and E. Lieb, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **322**, 251 (1971).
- <sup>36</sup> We do not present this calculation here. However, it proceeds analogously to the one given in<sup>37</sup>.
- <sup>37</sup> A. Feiguin, *et. al. Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 160409 (2007).
- <sup>38</sup> S. Trebst, et. al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 050401 (2008).
- <sup>39</sup> C. Gils, *et. al.*, to be published in *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, arXiv:0810.2277[cond-mat].
- <sup>40</sup> S. Trebst, M. Troyer, Z. Wang, A.W.W. Ludwig, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Supp.* **176**, 384 (2008).