

Creep motions of flux lines in type II superconductors with point-like defects

Meng-Bo Luo^{†,‡}, Xiao Hu^{†,*} and Valerii Vinokur^{*}

[†] WPI Center for Materials Nanoarchitectonics, National Institute for Materials Science, Tsukuba 305-0047, Japan

[‡] Department of Physics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China

^{*} Materials Science Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Illinois 60439, USA

(Dated: November 8, 2018)

We simulated the creep motions of flux lines subject to randomly distributed point-like pinning centers. It is found that at low temperatures, the pinning barrier U defined in the Arrhenius-type $v - F$ characteristics increases with decreasing force $U(F) \propto F^{-\mu}$, as predicted by previous theories. The exponent μ is evaluated as 0.28 ± 0.02 for the vortex glass and $\mu \simeq 0.5 \pm 0.02$ for the Bragg glass (BrG). The latter is in good agreement with the prediction by the scaling theory and the functional-renormalization-group theory on creep, while the former is a new estimate. Within BrG, we find that the pinning barrier is suppressed when temperature is lifted to approximately half of the melting temperature. Characterizations of this new transition at equilibrium are also presented, indicative of a phase transition associated with the replica-symmetry breaking.

PACS numbers: 74.25.Qt; 74.25.Dw

Introduction – An elastic medium immersed into random environment is a generic system modeling a wealth of physical situations. In spite of the considerable efforts expended and impressive progress, many crucial issues of the underlying physics remain unresolved, and understanding the statics and dynamics of such systems is one of the major challenges of condensed matter physics.

Intensive activities have taken place in vortex states of type II superconductors since the discovery of high- T_c superconductivity in cuprates [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. Initiated by the collective pinning theory [8], theoretical understanding has been advanced [2, 4, 9, 10, 11, 12]. The competition between the elastic force and the random force builds a complex potential landscape governing vortex dynamics. An important notion has been established, namely the typical energy barrier felt by the system becomes divergently large $U(F) \sim F^{-\mu}$ at small driving forces [2, 4, 11, 12], which results in an extremely small velocity of the system following the Arrhenius law.

The degree of divergence μ is governed by the large-scale elastic properties of the system and properties of disorder [4]. The value of exponent μ is however not easy to evaluate accurately, since the static and dynamic properties of the system are intervened in a very complex way. The situation is even more severe when the randomness is large and thus the order is destroyed fully. In most theory restoring forces assume elastic behaviors of the system involved, which is not the case in strongly disordered systems, where plastic deformations become important (See discussions in Ref.[3]).

We tackle this problem by computer simulations on three-dimensional (3D) flux lines subject to randomly distributed point-like pinning centers (for a parallel work on 1D domain wall in 2D space see Refs. [13, 14]). Tuning the strength of random pinning force, we can reach the Bragg glass (BrG) [12, 15] at weak pinning and vortex glass (VG) [16] at relatively strong pinning, at equilibrium. Langevin dynamics then permits us to explore the

dynamics of the system subject to driving force at various temperatures without any uncontrollable approximation.

The main results are as follows: The creep law of flux lines predicted by previous theories is clearly reproduced. The exponent is estimated as $\mu = 0.5 \pm 0.02$ for BrG and $\mu = 0.28 \pm 0.02$ for VG, both universal for the respective class. While the former one is very close to the expected value, the latter one is a new estimation. For weak pinning where BrG is stable below the melting temperature T_m , we find an unpinning state at $T_g < T < T_m$ in addition to the pinned one at $T < T_g$, indicating a replica-symmetry breaking transition at $T_g \simeq T_m/2$.

Model and simulation details – The model system is a stack of superconducting planes of thickness d with period s of the layer structure, with the magnetic field perpendicular to the layers. Each plane contains N_v vortices and N_p quenched pins. The overdamped equation of motion of the i th vortex at position \mathbf{r}_i is [17, 18]

$$\eta \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i = - \sum_{j \neq i} \nabla_i U^{VV}(\mathbf{r}_{ij}) - \sum_p \nabla_i U^{VP}(\mathbf{r}_{ip}) + \mathbf{F} + \mathbf{F}_{th}. \quad (1)$$

Here η is the viscosity coefficient. The intraplane vortex repulsion is given by the modified Bessel function $U^{VV}(\rho_{ij}, z_{ij} = 0) = d\epsilon_0 K_0(\rho_{ij}/\lambda_{ab})$, and the interplane vortex attraction is $U^{VP}(\rho_{ij}, z_{ij} = s) = (s\epsilon_0/\pi)[1 + \ln(\lambda_{ab}/s)][(\rho_{ij}/2r_g)^2 - 1]$ for $\rho_{ij} \leq 2r_g$ and $U^{VV}(\rho_{ij}, z_{ij} = s) = (s\epsilon_0/\pi)[1 + \ln(\lambda_{ab}/s)][\rho_{ij}/r_g - 2]$ otherwise, between two vortices belonging to the same flux line and sitting on adjacent planes, where $\epsilon_0 = \phi_0^2/2\pi\mu_0\lambda_{ab}^2$ with λ_{ab} the magnetic penetration depth of the superconducting layer, $r_g = \gamma s$ with γ the anisotropy parameter. The pinning potential is $U^{VP}(\rho_{ip}) = -\alpha A_p \exp[-(\rho_{ip}/R_p)^2]$, where $A_p = (\epsilon_0 d/4) \ln[1 + (R_p^2/2\xi_{ab}^2)]$ with ξ_{ab} the in-plane coherence length and α the dimensionless pinning strength. Finally, \mathbf{F} is the uniform Lorentz force, and \mathbf{F}_{th} is the thermal noise force with zero mean and a correla-




FIG. 1: Velocity-force ($v-F$) characteristics at different temperatures for $\alpha = 0.2$. The solid lines are fitting curves based on Eq. (2) as described in text. The system size is $L_x \times L_y \times N_z = 30 \times 30 \times 20$ with the lateral directions measured by λ_{ab} . Errors and finite-size effects are smaller than the size of symbols.

tor $\langle F_{th}^p(z, t) F_{th}^q(z', t') \rangle = 2\eta T \delta^{pq} \delta(z - z') \delta(t - t')$ with $p, q = x, y$. The units of time is $\tau_0 = \eta \lambda_{ab}^2 / d \epsilon_0 \simeq 0.03 ns$ for $\lambda_{ab} = 2000 \text{ \AA}$ and $\eta/d \simeq 10^{-8} Pa \cdot s$ typically for BSCCO [19]. Conventions including the units of physical quantities are taken same as Ref. [18].

Creep motions – We start with the case of $\alpha = 0.2$, for which an amorphous VG is realized at low temperature [18]. Figure 1 presents the average velocity at small driving forces at several typical temperatures. The driving forces are limited to $F < F_{c0}/2$ with $F_{c0} = 0.232$ the zero-temperature depinning force [18], where the creep feature of vortex motion is profound. At even lower temperature, the dynamics is to be governed by the fixed point at F_{c0} , and thus omitted in Fig. 1 (see Refs. [18, 20]). The velocity $v = 0.01$ corresponds approximately to $60 m/s$.

The $v-F$ characteristics in Fig. 1 are described well by the Arrhenius-type functions at respective temperatures

$$v = v_0 \exp \left[-\frac{U}{T} \left(\frac{F_{c0}}{F} \right)^\mu \right]. \quad (2)$$

From the least-squares fitting, we find $U = 0.15 \pm 0.02$ and $\mu = 0.28 \pm 0.02$, with $F_{c0} = 0.232$ given in the previous work [18]. The prefactor v_0 depends on temperature roughly in a power-law way. For a stronger pinning $\alpha = 0.4$, it is found $U = 0.30 \pm 0.03$ and $\mu = 0.28 \pm 0.02$ (raw data not shown here). Therefore, the exponent μ is universal for VG.

We then turn to the weaker pinning force $\alpha = 0.1$ where BrG is stable at low temperatures $T \leq T_m \simeq 0.075$. The $v-F$ characteristics are displayed in Fig. 2. Carrying on the same analysis mentioned above, we




FIG. 2: $v-F$ characteristics same as Fig. 1 except for $\alpha = 0.1$.

obtain $U = 0.034 \pm 0.006$ and $\mu = 0.50 \pm 0.02$. For $\alpha = 0.05$, for which the equilibrium state is also a BrG at low temperatures, we obtain $U = 0.018 \pm 0.003$ and $\mu = 0.50 \pm 0.05$. The above results indicate that the exponent μ for $\alpha \leq 0.1$ falls into another class, universal for weak pinning strengths for which BrG is realized at low temperatures.

Our estimate on the exponent μ is in good agreement with the theoretical prediction [4, 11]

$$\mu = \frac{D - 2 + 2\zeta_{eq}}{2 - \zeta_{eq}} = \frac{1}{2} \quad (3)$$

with $\zeta_{eq} = 0$ the roughness exponent for BrG.

As pointed out in Ref. [3], previous theories on vortex creep started from the hypothesis of elastic energy, which is established only for elastic deformations, and thus work at best for BrG. The exponent μ should be reformulated for strong pinnings associated with VG where deformations are plastic [3]. It was not clear yet that whether μ is larger in BrG or in VG [3, 21]. The present simulation results suggest a stronger divergence of energy barrier in BrG.

New phase boundary in BrG – So far, we have concentrated on low temperatures, where the thermal energy only activates flux lines from pinning centers, resulting in an Arrhenius-type motion with a well defined energy barrier which depends on the driving force. As temperature is lifted, thermal fluctuations become more important, which makes the competition between the randomness and the intervortex forces very subtle. Here we focus on the weak pinning case $\alpha = 0.05$, for which the ground state is a BrG with $T_m \simeq 0.075$.

Figure 3 presents the $v-F$ characteristics at several typical temperatures. At low temperatures, the $v-F$ curves are nonlinear at low forces, similar to Figs. 1 and 2. However, above $T_g \simeq 0.035$, the $v-F$ characteristics is linear down to the small force limit. This indicates that




FIG. 3: $v - F$ characteristics for $\alpha = 0.05$ at different temperatures. A transition temperature $T_g \simeq 0.035$ is defined which separates the nonlinear and linear $v - F$ curves. The solid lines are for eye-guide. Inset: a schematic phase diagram of vortex states.

the potential barrier sensed by BrG is smeared to zero in an intermediate temperature regime $T_g < T < T_m$, where the crystalline order is still preserved. A similar change is observed for relative strong pinning where VG is realized at low temperatures, for which the details will be reported elsewhere.

We have found that even at equilibrium, i.e. for zero driving force, the system behaves in qualitatively different ways for temperatures below and above T_g . In Fig. 4, we display two-dimensional vortex trajectories and the corresponding structure factors. At $T = 0.03 (< T_g)$ (Fig. 4(a)), vortices are trapped in cages formed by the random pinning potential and the intervortex repulsions (even for time much longer than that shown in Fig. 4); a profound BrG order is clearly seen. At $T = 0.09 (> T_m)$ (Fig. 4(c)), the system is in a liquid state where vortices diffuse freely and randomly. At $T = 0.06$, the behaviors of the vortices are different as evidenced in Fig. 4(b): Over an intermediate time scale, vortices are trapped at local positions in a way such that the BrG order is established. Vortices then move quickly to another set of localized positions, which also establishes the BrG order, and stay in the new positions over another intermediate time duration. The intermittent trapped-and-moving motions continue, and eventually vortices can travel over distances larger than the vortex lattice constant a_0 .

In order to capture the vortex motions better, we have monitored the number $N(t)$ of vortices that move over distances smaller than a_0 after time t . As shown in Fig. 4(d), $N(t)$ decreases exponentially with t in a large time scale at $T = 0.06$ since vortices move over distances larger than the lattice constant. The exponential decay occurs even in a small time scale at $T = 0.09$ as the system is random. At $T = 0.03$, $N(t)$ remains unity during the time evolution because all the vortices are caged.

We have also studied how vortices change their near-




FIG. 4: Trajectories of vortices on a typical layer and structure factors averaged on all layers at three typical temperatures: (a): $T = 0.03$, (b): $T = 0.06$, and (c): $T = 0.09$. Only a part ($L_x \times L_y = 14 \times 14$) of the totally simulated system is displayed. The time interval is $100\tau_0$ and the total time shown here is $50,000\tau_0$. (d): temporal evolution of the number of vortices which are trapped in the initial cages.

est neighbors (NNs). At $t = 0$, we identify the NNs of each vortex in terms of the Delaunay triangulation method. Due to random motions, some vortices defined as NNs at $t = 0$ diffuse away. The quantity $C_{NN}(t)$ is defined as the number of NNs identified at $t = 0$ remaining as NNs up to time t , with a trivial normalization to unity at $t = 0$. The quantity C_{NN} varies with time




FIG. 5: Temporal evolution of number of nearest neighbors C_{NN} kept from the initial state at $t = 0$ (see the definition in text) for $\alpha = 0.05$ at three typical temperatures.




FIG. 6: Fluctuations of the structure factors at the first reciprocal lattice vector $S(Q)$ (a) and fraction of sixfold-coordinated vortices P_6 (b) for $\alpha = 0.05$ at three typical temperatures. Green, blue and red are for $T = 0.03$, 0.06 , and 0.09 , respectively.

in qualitatively different ways in the three temperature regimes as presented in Fig. 5: At $T = 0.03$, C_{NN} remains unity as time evolves where vortices are trapped in the initial cages and thus NN's remain the same. At $T = 0.09$, C_{NN} decreases to zero exponentially fast because the system is totally random. At $T = 0.06$, C_{NN} decreases slowly with time. Checking the trajectories of vortices, we find that, in the intermediate temperature regime $T_g < T < T_m$, diffusions of vortices take place in terms of swapping nearest neighbors. Due to the mobility of vortices at $T_g < T < T_m$ at equilibrium, the $v - F$ characteristics should be linear even at infinitesimal driving force as revealed in Fig. 3.

Along with the random motions and neighbor swaps of vortices, we also monitor the variation of crystalline order of the whole vortex system. In Fig. 6, the structure factor $S(Q)$ at the first reciprocal lattice vector of the triangular lattice and the fraction of sixfold-coordinated vortices P_6 are displayed for the same time duration of Fig. 5. For $T = 0.06$, the value of $S(Q)$ remains constantly ~ 0.3 and Bragg peaks can be clearly observed (see Fig. 4(b)). Therefore, the system always keeps the ordered triangular structure with most vortices sixfold coordinated, even though the individual vortices are mo-

bile in a stochastic way. Compared with thermal fluctuations, vortex-vortex interactions are strong while pinning is weak, resulting in an unpinned BrG. The pinned BrG at $T = 0.03$ is well ordered as captured by large values of $S(Q)$ and P_6 , while they are both small at $T = 0.09$ corresponding to the vortex liquid.

Recently an experimental finding of a second-order phase boundary within the solid phase of the vortex system in BSCCO was reported [22]; the transition line $B_g(T)$ dividing the BrG phase domain into two parts. This transition was discussed as a transition between the two different types of glasses, the high-temperature *pre-glass* or marginal glass phase, with the nearly linear response behavior, and the low-temperature true glass domain. The transition between the two phases manifests itself in a replica-symmetry breaking within the replica description of disordered vortex system [23]. It is tempting to associate our observation of the change in dynamic vortex behavior with this transition. Indeed, at low temperatures $T < T_g$, vortices remain indefinitely near their equilibrium positions since on top of the elastic forces keeping them there, vortices are additionally immobilized by disorder. At intermediate temperatures, $T_g < T < T_m$, pinning of single vortices is not efficient any more and they may switch between their equilibrium positions due to thermal diffusion. However, putting this conclusion on a firm quantitative basis requires a more detailed study of the processes of vortex relaxation in both phases and will be a subject of a forthcoming publication.

Acknowledgements – The authors thank M. Tachiki, T. Nattermann, E. H. Brandt, T. Giamarchi, B. Rosenstein, H. Beidenkopf, and A. Tanaka for useful discussions. Simulations were performed on HITACHI SR1100 at NIMS. This work has been supported by WPI Initiative on Materials Nanoarchitectonics, MEXT of Japan, CREST-JST of Japan, U.S. DoE Office of Science (DE-AC02-06CH11357), and partially by ITS-NEM of CAS.

* Corresponding author: Hu.Xiao@nims.go.jp

-
- [1] G. Blatter *et al.*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **66**, 1125 (1994).
 - [2] L. B. Ioffe and V. M. Vinokur, J. Phys. C **20**, 6149 (1987).
 - [3] J. Kierfeld, H. Nordborg, and V. M. Vinokur, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 4948 (2000).
 - [4] P. Chauve, T. Giamarchi, and P. Le Doussal, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 6241 (2000).
 - [5] T. Nattermann and S. Scheidl, Adv. Phys. **49**, 607 (2000).
 - [6] T. Giamarchi and S. Bhattacharya, *High Magnetic Fields: Applications in Condensed Matter Physics, Spectroscopy* (Springer, New York, 2002), p.314.
 - [7] S. Brazovskii and T. Nattermann, Adv. Phys. **53**, 177 (2004).
 - [8] A. I. Larkin and Yu. N. Ovchinnikov, J. Low Temp. Phys. **34**, 409 (1979).
 - [9] T. Nattermann, Europhys. Lett. **4**, 1241 (1987).
 - [10] M. P. A. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 1415 (1989).
 - [11] M. V. Feigel'man *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 2303 (1989).
 - [12] T. Nattermann, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 2454 (1990).
 - [13] S. Lemerle *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 849 (1998).
 - [14] A. B. Kolton, A. Rosso, and T. Giamarchi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 047002 (2005).
 - [15] T. Giamarchi and P. Le Doussal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 1530 (1994); Phys. Rev. B **52**, 1242 (1995).
 - [16] D. S. Fisher, M. P. A. Fisher, and D. A. Huse, Phys. Rev. B **43**, 130 (1991).
 - [17] E. H. Brandt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 1599 (1983); *ibid* J. Low Temp. Phys. **53**, 41 (1983); S. Ryu *et al.*, Phys. Rev.

- Lett. **68**, 710 (1992); C. Reichhardt, C. J. Olson, and F. Nori, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 2648 (1997); A. van Otterlo, R. T. Scalettar, and G. T. Zimányi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 1497 (1998); E. Olive *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 037005 (2003).
- [18] M. B. Luo and X. Hu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 267002 (2007).
- [19] L. N. Bulaevskii *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 3507 (1994).
- [20] G. Blatter, V. B. Geshkenbein, and J. A. G. Koopmann, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 067009 (2004).
- [21] T. Giamarchi and P. Le Doussal, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 6577 (1997).
- [22] H. Beidenkopf *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 257004 (2005).
- [23] D. Li, B. Rosenstein, and V. Vinokur, J. Supercond. Nov. Magn. **19**, 369 (2006).