# Computing in Picard groups of projective curves over finite fields 


#### Abstract

We give algorithms for computing with divisors on projective curves over finite fields, and with their Jacobians, using the algorithmic representation of projective curves developed by Khuri-Makdisi. We show that various desirable operations can be performed efficiently in this setting: decomposing divisors into prime divisors; computing pull-backs and push-forwards of divisors under finite morphisms, and hence Picard and Albanese maps on Jacobians; generating uniformly random divisors and points on Jacobians; computing Frobenius maps and Kummer maps; and finding a basis for the $l$-torsion of the Picard group, where $l$ is a prime number different from the characteristic of the base field.


## Introduction

In [12] and [13], K. Khuri-Makdisi developed efficient algorithms for computing with divisors on projective curves over arbitrary fields. The goal of this article is to show that for curves over finite fields in Khuri-Makdisi's algorithmic representation, one can compute Frobenius morphisms and Frey-Rück pairings, pick uniformly random rational points on curves and their Jacobians (given the zeta function of the curve), perform various other operations specific to curves over finite fields, and compute Picard and Albanese maps induced by certain finite morphisms between curves.

The curves we consider are complete, smooth and geometrically connected curves over a field $k$. For now we assume $k$ is an arbitrary field; later we assume it to be finite. The basic idea is to describe such a curve $X$ using a projective embedding via a very ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}$. The curve is then represented by means of the finite $k$-algebra obtained by taking the quotient of the homogeneous coordinate ring of $X$ by the ideal generated by homogeneous elements of sufficiently large degree. Divisors on $X$ are represented as subspaces of the $k$-vector spaces of global sections of suitable powers of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$. Using this representation of the curve and divisors on it, Khuri-Makdisi [12] has given algorithms for computing with divisors and elements of the Picard group. Taking advantage of some improvements to this basic idea, described in [13], his algorithms are currently the fastest known algorithms for general curves, asymptotically as the genus increases and measured in operations in $k$.

The algorithms presented in this paper are relevant for computations with curves of large genus over finite fields. The author's interest in these was raised by algorithms for explicitly computing coefficients of modular forms. In [9] and the forthcoming book [8], Couveignes, Edixhoven and others describe an algorithm for computing coefficients of modular forms for the group $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbf{Z})$. In the author's forthcoming thesis [3], their methods are generalised to modular forms for groups of the form $\Gamma_{1}(n)$. The method used in each case is to compute two-dimensional modular Galois representations over finite fields. The basic problem is to find explicit realisations of group schemes over $\mathbf{Q}$ of the form $J[\mathfrak{m}]$, where $J$ is the Jacobian of a modular curve and $\mathfrak{m}$ is a maximal ideal of the corresponding Hecke algebra. The approach taken is to approximate the coefficients of certain polynomials defining such group schemes, either over the complex numbers or modulo sufficiently many small prime numbers. The complex method has already been used by Bosman [2] for actual computations. The alternative method using finite fields was described by Couveignes in [9] for the modular curves $\mathrm{X}_{1}(5 l)$, where $l$ is a prime number. The computations in this case can be done using (singular) plane models for these curves. For a more general modular curve $X$, it seems natural to take an embedding of $X$ as a smooth curve in a higher-dimensional projective space, using the line bundle of modular forms of weight 2 . Using the technique of modular symbols [18], one can compute $q$-expansions of these modular forms, as well as the zeta function of $X$. This immediately gives a representation of $X$ that can be used for Khuri-Makdisi's algorithms, without having to write down equations.

The paper is organised as follows. In the preliminary Section 1 we consider some computational problems related to finite algebras over a field; these are needed in the other two sections. In Section 2 we recall Khuri-Makdisi's algorithms for projective curves over arbitrary base fields, and we describe a number of extensions. Some of our algorithms require that we are able to efficiently compute primary decompositions of finite $k$-algebras. This condition is fulfilled, for example, if $k$ is a finite field or a number field. We give algorithms for the following computational problems:
(1) finding the decomposition of a divisor as a linear combination of prime divisors;
(2) computing pull-backs and push-forwards of divisors under finite morphisms;
(3) computing Picard and Albanese maps induced by finite morphisms of curves.

We also consider some more technical problems that are needed for the rest of the paper. In Section 3 we describe the rest of our algorithms, which are specific to curves over finite fields. These are the following:
(1) computing the Frobenius map on points of the curve, and of its Jacobian, that are defined over finite extensions of the base field;
(2) generating uniformly random effective divisors of a given degree, and uniformly random points of the Jacobian, if the zeta function of the curve is known;
(3) computing Frey-Rück pairings on the Jacobian.

By combining the above methods, we also show that the methods of Couveignes [4] for computing Kummer maps of order $l$ and for finding a basis for the $l$-torsion of the Picard group, where $l$ is a prime number different from the characteristic of the base field, can be extended to our situation, again under the assumption that we know the zeta function of the curve.

Remarks. (1) When the field $k$ is finite, measuring the running time in field operations is essentially the same as measuring it in bit operations. However, if $k$ is a number field, it is impossible to avoid numerical explosion of the data describing the divisors during computations, so that the running time in bit operations is much worse than that counted in bit operations. Using lattice reduction algorithms to reduce the size of the data between operations should not be expected to solve this problem; see Khuri-Makdisi [13, page 2214].
(2) Many of the algorithms we describe are probabilistic. All of these are of the Las Vegas type, meaning that the running time depends on certain random data generated during the execution of the algorithm, but that the outcome is guaranteed to be correct. The epithet Las Vegas distinguishes such algorithms from those of the Monte Carlo type, where the randomness influences the correctness of the outcome instead of the running time.
(3) The algorithms mentioned in this paper have a running time that is bounded by some polynomial in various quantities that are indicated in each case. Obtaining more detailed estimates should not be difficult, but has at the time of writing not yet been done.
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## 1. Algorithms for computing with finite algebras

In this section we describe techniques for solving two computational problems about finite algebras over a field. The first is how to find the primary decomposition of such an algebra; the second is how to reconstruct such an algebra from a certain kind of bilinear map beteen modules over it.

The algebras to which we are going to apply these techniques in the next section are of the form $\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right)$, where $E$ is an effective divisor on a smooth curve over $k$. In this section, however, we place ourselves in the more general setting of arbitrary finite commutative $k$-algebras.

### 1.1. Primary decomposition and radicals

Let $k$ be a field with the following two properties:
(1) $k$ is perfect;
(2) we have a (probabilistic) algorithm to factor polynomials $f \in k[x]$ that takes an (expected) number of operations in $k$ that is bounded by a polynomial in the degree of $f$.

For such a field $k$ there exist (probabilistic) algorithms to find the primary decomposition of a finite commutative $k$-algebra $A$ that finish in an (expected) number of operations in $k$ that is bounded by a polynomial in $[A: k]$. Such algorithms have been known for some time, but do not seem to be easily available in published form; see Khuri-Makdisi's preprint [13, draft version 2, §7]. For an algorithm to find the primary decomposition of arbitrary (not necessarily commutative) finite algebras over finite fields, see Eberly and Giesbrecht [7].

### 1.2. Reconstructing an algebra from a perfect bilinear map

Let $A$ be a commutative ring. If $M, N$ and $O$ are free $A$-modules of rank one and

$$
\mu: M \times N \rightarrow O
$$

is an $A$-bilinear map, we say that $\mu$ is perfect if it induces an isomorphism

$$
M \otimes_{A} N \xrightarrow{\sim} O
$$

of free $A$-modules of rank 1 .
Now let $k$ be a field, and let a finite commutative $k$-algebra $A$ be specified implicitly in the following way. We are given $k$-vector spaces $M$ and $N$ of the same finite dimension, together with a $k$-bilinear map

$$
\mu: M \times N \rightarrow O
$$

We assume there exists a commutative $k$-algebra $A$ such that $M, N$ and $O$ are free $A$-modules of rank 1 and $\mu$ is a perfect $A$-bilinear map. The following observation implies that $A$ is the unique $k$ algebra with this property, and also shows how to compute $A$ as a subalgebra of $\operatorname{End}_{k} M$, provided we are able to find a generator of $N$ as an $A$-module. As could be expected, the roles of $M$ and $N$ can be interchanged.

Lemma 1.1. In the above situation, let $g$ be a generator of the $A$-module $N$. The ring homomorphism $A \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{k} M$ sending $a$ to multiplication by $a$ is, as an $A$-linear map, the composition of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \xrightarrow{\sim} N \\
& a \longmapsto a g
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
N & \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}_{k} M \\
n & \longmapsto \mu(, g)^{-1} \circ \mu(, n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, the image of $A$ in $\operatorname{End}_{k} M$ equals the image of the second map.
Proof. This is a straightforward verification.

In the case where $k$ is a finite field, a way to find a generator for $N$ as an $A$-module is simply to pick random elements $g \in N$ until we find one that generates $N$. Since $\mu$ is perfect, checking whether $g$ generates $N$ comes down to checking whether $\mu(, g): M \rightarrow O$ is an isomorphism. In particular, we can do this without knowing $A$.

To get a reasonable expected running time for this approach, we need to ensure that $N$ contains sufficiently many elements that generate it as an $A$-module. Since $N$ is free of rank 1 , the number of generators equals the number of units in $A$. Let us therefore estimate under what conditions a random element of $A$ is a unit with probability at least $1 / 2$. Write $d$ for the degree of $A$ over $k$. Decomposing $A$ into a product of finite local $k$-algebras, and noting that the proportion of units in a finite local $k$-algebra is equal to the proportion of units in its residue class field, we see that

$$
\frac{\# A^{\times}}{\# A} \geq \frac{\left(\# k^{\times}\right)^{d}}{\# k^{d}}=\left(1-\frac{1}{\# k}\right)^{d}
$$

equality occurs if and only if $A$ is a product of $d$ copies of $k$. Now it is not hard to show that

$$
\# k \geq 2 d \Longrightarrow\left(1-\frac{1}{\# k}\right)^{d} \geq \frac{1}{2}
$$

Taking a finite extension $k^{\prime}$ of $k$ of cardinality at least $2 d$, we therefore see that a random element of $A_{k^{\prime}}$ is a unit with probability at least $1 / 2$. There are well-known algorithms to generate such an extension, such as that of Rabin [15], which runs in probabilistic polynomial time and simply tries random polynomials until it finds one that is irreducible, and the deterministic algorithm of Adleman and Lenstra [1], which is only known to run in polynomial time under the generalised Riemann hypothesis.
Algorithm 1.2 (Reconstruct an algebra from a bilinear map). Let $k$ be a finite field, let $A$ be a finite $k$-algebra, and let

$$
\mu: M \times N \rightarrow O
$$

be a perfect $A$-bilinear map between free $A$-modules of rank 1 . Given the coefficients of $\mu$ with respect to some $k$-bases of $M, N$ and $O$, this algorithm outputs a $k$-basis for the image of $A$ in $\operatorname{End}_{k} M$, consisting of matrices with respect to the given basis of $M$.

1. Choose an extension $k^{\prime}$ of $k$ of degree $\left\lceil\frac{\log \max \{2[A: k], q\}}{\log q}\right\rceil$. Let $M^{\prime}, N^{\prime}, O^{\prime}$ and $\mu^{\prime}$ denote the base extensions of $M, N, O$ and $\mu$ to $k^{\prime}$.
2. Choose a uniformly random element $g \in N^{\prime}$.
3. Check whether $\mu^{\prime}(, g): M^{\prime} \rightarrow O^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism; if not, go to step 2 .
4. For $n$ ranging over a $k^{\prime}$-basis of $N^{\prime}$, compute the endomorphism

$$
a_{n}=\mu^{\prime}(, g)^{-1} \circ \mu^{\prime}(, n) \in \operatorname{End}_{k^{\prime}} M^{\prime}
$$

Let $A^{\prime} \subseteq \operatorname{End}_{k^{\prime}} M^{\prime}$ denote the $k^{\prime}$-span of the $a_{n}$.
5. Output a basis for the $k$-vector space $\operatorname{End}_{k} M \cap A^{\prime}$.

Analysis. It follows from Lemma 1.1 that $A^{\prime}$ equals the image of $k^{\prime} \otimes_{k} A$ in $\operatorname{End}_{k^{\prime}} M$. This implies that the basis returned by the algorithm is indeed a $k$-basis for the image of $A$ in $\operatorname{End}_{k} M$. Because of the choice of $k^{\prime}$, steps 2 and 3 are executed at most twice on average. It is therefore clear that the expected running time of the algorithm is polynomial in $[A: k]$ and $\log \# k$.

If $k$ is infinite (or finite and sufficiently large), we have the following variant. Let $\Sigma$ be a finite subset of $k$, and let $V$ be a $k$-vector space of dimension $d$ with a given basis $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d}$. Consider the set

$$
V_{\Sigma}=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{d} \sigma_{i} v_{i} \mid \sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{d} \in \Sigma\right\}
$$

of $\Sigma$-linear combinations of $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$. Choosing the $\sigma_{i}$ uniformly randomly in $\Sigma$, we get the uniform distribution on $V_{\Sigma}$. If $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{l}$ are proper linear subspaces of $V$, then a uniformly random element of $V_{\Sigma}$ lies in at least one of the $H_{i}$ with probability at most $l / \# \Sigma$. Now if $A$ is a finite commutative $k$-algebra, it contains at most $[A: k]$ maximal ideals. This implies that if $\Sigma$ is a finite subset of $k$ with $\# \Sigma \geq 2[A: k]$, then a $\Sigma$-linear combination of any $k$-basis of $A$ is a unit with probability at least $1 / 2$. This leads to the following variant of Algorithm 1.2.

Algorithm 1.3 (Reconstruct an algebra from a bilinear map). Let $k$ be a field, let $A$ be a finite $k$-algebra, and let

$$
\mu: M \times N \rightarrow O
$$

be a perfect $A$-bilinear map between free $A$-modules of rank 1 . Suppose that we can pick uniformly random elements of some subset $\Sigma$ of $k$ with $\# \Sigma \geq 2[A: k]$. Given the coefficients of $\mu$ with respect to some $k$-bases of $M, N$ and $O$, this algorithm outputs a $k$-basis for the image of $A$ in $\operatorname{End}_{k} M$, consisting of matrices with respect to the given basis of $M$.

1. Choose a uniformly random $\Sigma$-linear combination $g$ of the given basis of $N$.
2. Check whether $\mu(, g): M \rightarrow O$ is an isomorphism; if not, go to step 2.
3. For $n$ ranging over a $k$-basis of $N$, compute the endomorphism

$$
a_{n}=\mu(, g)^{-1} \circ \mu(, n) \in \operatorname{End}_{k} M
$$

and output the $a_{n}$.
Analysis. This works for the same reason as Algorithm 1.2.
Let us sketch how to solve the problem if $k$ is an arbitrary field. Let $p$ be the characteristic of $k$. If $p=0$ or $p \geq 2[A: d]$, we can apply Algorithm 1.3 with $\Sigma=\{0,1, \ldots, 2[A: d]-1\}$. Otherwise, we consider the subfield $k_{0}$ of $k$ generated by the coefficients of the multiplication table of $A$ over $k$. Then $A$ is obtained by base extension to $k$ of the finite $k_{0}$-algebra $A_{0}$ defined by the same multiplication table. We can check whether $k_{0}$ is a finite field with $\# k_{0}<2 d$ by checking whether each coefficient of the multiplication table satisfies a polynomial of small degree. If this is the case, then we compute an $\mathbf{F}_{p}$-basis and multiplication table for $k_{0}$ and apply Algorithm 1.2 to $A_{0}$ over $k_{0}$. Otherwise we obtain at some point a finite subset $\Sigma$ of $k$, with $\# \Sigma \geq 2 d$, consisting of polynomials in the coefficients of the multiplication table. We then apply Algorithm 1.3 to $A$ over $k$ with this $\Sigma$.

## 2. Computing with divisors on a curve

In this section we describe a collection of algorithms, developed by Khuri-Makdisi in [12] and [13], that allow us to compute efficiently with divisors on a curve over a field. In particular, we will describe algorithms for computing in the Picard group of a curve. Many of the results of this section can be found in [12] and [13]; however, $\S \S 2.6,2.9$ and 2.11 seem to be new.

### 2.1. Representing the curve

Let $X$ be a complete, smooth, geometrically connected curve over a field $k$. We fix a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $X$ such that

$$
\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L} \geq 2 g+1
$$

Then $\mathcal{L}$ is very ample (see for example Hartshorne [11, IV, Corollary $3.2(\mathrm{~b})$ ]), so it gives rise to a closed immersion

$$
i_{\mathcal{L}}: X \rightarrow \mathbf{P} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})
$$

into a projective space of dimension $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}-g$. (We write $\mathbf{P} V$ for the projective space of hyperplanes in a $k$-vector space $V$.) The assumption that $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L} \geq 2 g+1$ implies moreover that the multiplication maps

$$
\mu_{i, j}: \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}\right) \otimes_{k} \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes j}\right) \longrightarrow \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(i+j)}\right)
$$

are surjective for all $i, j \geq 0$, or equivalently that the embedding $i_{\mathcal{L}}$ is projectively normal. This is a classical theorem of Castelnuovo, Mattuck and Mumford; see for example Lazarsfeld [14, § 1.1].

We write $S_{X}$ for the homogeneous coordinate ring of $X$ with respect to the embedding $i_{\mathcal{L}}$. By the fact that $i_{\mathcal{L}}$ is projectively normal, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$
S_{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}\right)
$$

of graded $k$-algebras; see Hartshorne [11, Chapter II, Exercise 5.14]. It turns out that to be able to compute with divisors on $X$ we do not need to know the complete structure of this graded algebra. For all $h \geq 0$ we define the finite graded $k$-algebra $S_{X}^{(h)}$ as $S_{X}$ modulo the ideal generated by homogeneous elements of degree greater than $h$. The above isomorphism shows that specifying $S_{X}^{(h)}$ is equivalent to giving the $k$-vector spaces $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq h$ together with the multiplication maps $\mu_{i, j}$ between them for $i+j \leq h$.

When we speak of a projective curve $X$ in the remainder of this section, we will assume without further mention that $X$ is a complete, smooth and geometrically connected curve of genus $g \geq 0$, and that a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ of degree at least $2 g+1$ has been chosen. We will often write $\mathcal{L}_{X}$ for this line bundle and $g_{X}$ for the genus of $X$ to emphasise that they are part of the data.

In the algorithms in this section, the curve $X$ is part of the input in the guise of the graded $k$-algebra $S_{X}^{(h)}$ for some sufficiently large $h$. A lower bound for $h$ is specified in each case. One way to specify the multiplication in $S_{X}^{(h)}$ is to fix a basis for each of the spaces $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}\right)$, and to give the matrices for multiplication with each basis element. However, as Khuri-Makdisi explains in [13], a more efficient representation is to choose a trivialisation of $\mathcal{L}$ (and hence of its powers) over an effective divisor of sufficiently large degree or, even better, at sufficiently many distinct rational points of $X$, so that the multiplication maps can be computed pointwise.

Remarks. (1) The integers $g$ and $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}$ can of course be stored as part of the data describing $X$. However, they can also be extracted from the dimensions of the $k$-vector spaces $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ and $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\right)$, and hence from $S_{X}^{(2)}$; this follows easily from the Riemann-Roch formula.
(2) If the degree of $\mathcal{L}$ is at least $2 g+2$, then the homogeneous ideal defining the embedding $i_{\mathcal{L}}$ is generated by homogeneous elements of degree 2, according to a theorem of Fujita and Saint-Donat; see Lazarsfeld $[14, \S 1.1]$. This makes it possible to deduce equations for $X$ from the $k$-algebra $S_{X}^{(2)}$. However, we will not need to do this.
(3) The representation of curves described by Khuri-Makdisi in [12] and [13] is especially suited for modular curves. Namely, we can represent a modular curve $X$ using the projective embedding given by a line bundle of modular forms, and computing the $k$-algebra $S_{X}^{(h)}$ for a given $h$ comes down to computing $q$-expansions of modular forms of a suitable weight to sufficient precision. This can be done using modular symbols; see Stein [18]. If the modular curve has at least 3 cusps (which is the case, for example, for $\mathrm{X}_{1}(n)$ for all $n \geq 5$ ), then we can restrict ourselves to modular forms of weight 2 , for which the formalism of modular symbols is particularly simple [18, Chapter 3].

### 2.2. Representing divisors

Let $X$ be a projective curve of genus $g$ in the sense of $\S 2.1$, and let $\mathcal{L}$ be the line bundle giving the projective embedding of $X$. To represent divisors on $X$, it is enough to consider effective divisors, since an arbitrary divisor can be represented by a formal difference of two effective divisors.

Let $D$ be an effective divisor on $X$ such that $\mathcal{L}(-D)$ is generated by global sections. In terms of the projective embedding, this means that $D$ is the intersection of $X$ and a linear subvariety of $\mathbf{P} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$, or equivalently that $D$ is defined by a system of linear equations. Such a divisor can be represented as the subspace $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}(-D))$ of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ consisting of sections vanishing on $D$. The codimension of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}(-D))$ in $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ is equal to the degree of $D$.

A sufficient condition for the line bundle $\mathcal{L}(-D)$ to be generated by global sections is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg} D \leq \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}-2 g \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

see for example Hartshorne [11, IV, Corollary 3.2(a)]. However, we note that in general not every subspace of codimension at most $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}-2 g$ is of the form $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}(-D))$ for an effective divisor $D$ of the same degree.

Remark. This way of representing divisors comes down (at least for divisors of degree $d \leq \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}-$ $2 g$ ) to embedding the $d$-th symmetric power of $X$ into the Grassmannian variety parametrising subspaces of codimension $d$ in $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ and viewing divisors of degree $d$ as points on this Grassmannian variety.

It will often be necessary to consider divisors $D$ of degree larger than the bound $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}-2 g$ of (2.1). In such cases we can represent $D$ as a subspace of $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}\right)$ for $i$ sufficiently large such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg} D \leq i \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}-2 g \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided of course that we know $S_{X}^{(h)}$ for some $h \geq i$.
Khuri-Makdisi's algorithms rest on the following two results. The first is a generalisation of the theorem of Castelnuovo, Mattuck and Mumford mentioned above. It says in effect that to compute the space of global sections of the tensor product of two line bundles of sufficiently large degree, it is enough to multiply global sections of those line bundles.
Lemma 2.1 (Khuri-Makdisi [12, Lemma 2.2]). Let $X$ be a complete, smooth, geometrically connected curve of genus $g$ over a field $k$, and let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be line bundles on $X$ whose degrees are at least $2 g+1$. Then the canonical $k$-linear map

$$
\Gamma(X, \mathcal{M}) \otimes_{k} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{N}) \longrightarrow \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} \mathcal{N}\right)
$$

is surjective.
The second result shows how to find the space of global sections of a line bundle that vanish on a given effective divisor, where this divisor is represented as a subspace of global sections of a second line bundle.

Lemma 2.2 (Khuri-Makdisi [12, Lemma 2.3]). Let $X$ be a complete, smooth, geometrically connected curve of genus $g$ over a field $k$, let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be line bundles on $X$ such that $\mathcal{N}$ is generated by global sections, and let $D$ be any effective divisor on $X$. Then the inclusion

$$
\Gamma(X, \mathcal{M}(-D)) \subseteq\{s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{M}) \mid s \Gamma(X, \mathcal{N}) \subseteq \Gamma(X, \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}(-D))\}
$$

is an equality.
Thanks to these two lemmata, one can give algorithms to do basic operations on divisors; see Khuri-Makdisi [12, §3]. For example, we can add, subtract and intersect divisors of sufficiently small degree, and we can test whether a given subspace of $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}\right)$ is of the form $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}(-D)\right)$ for some effective divisor $D$. See also Algorithm 2.11 below for an example where Lemmata 2.1 and 2.2 are used.

### 2.3. Deflation and inflation

An ingredient that Khuri-Makdisi uses in [13] to speed up the algorithms is deflation of subspaces. Suppose we want to compute the space $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{M}(-D))$ using Lemma 2.2 in the case where $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}$ and $\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{L}^{\otimes j}(-E)$ with $i$ and $j$ positive integers and where $D$ and $E$ are effective divisors satisfying (2.2). On the right-hand side of the equality given by Lemma 2.2 , we may replace $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{N})$ by any basepoint-free subspace; this is clear from the proof of [12, Lemma 2.3]. It turns out that there always exists such a subspace of dimension $O(\log (\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{N}))$, and a subspace of dimension 2 exists if the base field is either infinite or finite of sufficiently large cardinality. Moreover, one can efficiently find such a subspace by random trial; see Khuri-Makdisi [13, Proposition/Algorithm 3.7].

Suppose we are given a basepoint-free subspace $W$ of $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}(-D)\right)$ for some $i$ and $D$ such that $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}(-D)\right)$ is basepoint-free. Then we can reconstruct the complete space $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}(-D)\right)$ from $W$. This procedure is called inflation. To describe how this can be done, we first state the following slight generalisation of a result of Khuri-Makdisi [13, Theorem 3.5(2)].
Lemma 2.3. Let $X$ be a complete, smooth, geometrically curve of genus $g$ over a field $k$, and let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be line bundles on $X$. Let $V$ be a non-zero subspace of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{M})$, and let $D$ be the common divisor of the elements of $V$. If the inequality

$$
-\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{M}+\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{N}+\operatorname{deg} D \geq 2 g-1
$$

is satisfied, the canonical $k$-linear map

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \otimes_{k} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{N}) \longrightarrow \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} \mathcal{N}(-D)\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is surjective.
Proof. We note that $\mathcal{M}(-D)$ is basepoint-free by definition, since we can view $V$ as a subspace of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{M}(-D))$ and the elements of $V$ have common divisor 0 as sections of $\mathcal{M}(-D)$. We also note that $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{M} \geq \operatorname{deg} D$. Therefore the assumption on the degrees of $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$ and $D$ implies the inequalities

$$
\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{N} \geq 2 g-1
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{deg}(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}(-D)) \geq 2 g-1
$$

After extending the field $k$, we may assume it is infinite. Then there exist elements $s, t \in V$ with common divisor $D$; see Khuri-Makdisi [13, Lemma 4.1]. The space

$$
s \Gamma(X, \mathcal{N})+t \Gamma(X, \mathcal{N})
$$

lies in the image of (2.3), so it suffices to show that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{k}(s \Gamma(X, \mathcal{N})+t \Gamma(X, \mathcal{N}))=\operatorname{dim}_{k} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}(-D))
$$

Write

$$
\operatorname{div} s=D+E \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{div} s=D+F
$$

where $E$ and $F$ are disjoint effective divisors. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim}_{k}(s \Gamma(X, \mathcal{N})+t \Gamma(X, \mathcal{N}))= & 2 \operatorname{dim}_{k} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{N})-\operatorname{dim}_{k}(s \Gamma(X, \mathcal{N}) \cap t \Gamma(X, \mathcal{N})) \\
= & 2 \operatorname{dim}_{k} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{N}) \\
& \quad-\operatorname{dim}_{k} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}(-D-E-F)) \\
= & 2 \operatorname{dim}_{k} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{N})-\operatorname{dim}_{k} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}(D))
\end{aligned}
$$

The last equality follows from the fact that multiplication by st induces an isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{M}^{\vee}(D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}(-D-E-F)
$$

Using the fact that the various line bundles have degrees at least $2 g-1$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim}_{k}(s \Gamma(X, \mathcal{N})+t \Gamma(X, \mathcal{N})) & =2(1-g+\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{N})-\left(1-g+\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{M}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{N}(D)\right) \\
& =1-g+\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{M}+\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{N}-\operatorname{deg} D \\
& =\operatorname{dim}_{k} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}(-D))
\end{aligned}
$$

This finishes the proof.
We can now describe how to inflate a basepoint-free subspace $W$ of $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}(-D)\right)$. Namely, we choose a positive integer $j$ such that

$$
(j-i) \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}+\operatorname{deg} D \geq 2 g-1
$$

By Lemma 2.3 we can then compute $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(i+j)}(-D)\right)$ as the image of the bilinear map

$$
W \otimes_{k} \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes j}\right) \longrightarrow \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(i+j)}\right)
$$

Then we compute

$$
\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}(-D)\right)=\left\{s \in \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}\right) \mid s \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes j}\right) \subseteq \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(i+j)}(-D)\right)\right\}
$$

using Lemma 2.2. We note that for this last step we can use a small basepoint-free subspace of $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes j}\right)$ computed in advance.

### 2.4. Decomposing divisors into prime divisors

Let $X$ be a complete, smooth, geometrically connected curve of genus $g$ over a field $k$, with a projective embedding via a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ as in $\S 2.1$. The problem we are now going to study is how to find the decomposition of a given divisor on $X$ as a linear combination of prime divisors. We will see below that this can be done if we are given the algebra $S_{X}^{(h)}$ for sufficiently large $h$ and if we are able to compute the primary decomposition of a finite commutative $k$-algebra. We have seen in $\S 1.1$ that this is possible in the case where $k$ is perfect and we have an algorithm for factoring polynomials in one variable over $k$.

Let $i$ be a positive integer, and let $D$ be an effective divisor such that

$$
\operatorname{deg} D \leq i \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}-2 g+1
$$

We view $D$ as a closed subscheme of $X$ via the canonical closed immersion

$$
j_{D}: D \rightarrow X
$$

For every line bundle $\mathcal{M}$ on $X$, the $k$-vector space $\Gamma\left(D, j_{D}^{*} \mathcal{M}\right)$ is in a natural way a free module of rank one over $\Gamma\left(D, \mathcal{O}_{D}\right)$. The multiplication map

$$
\mu_{i, i}: \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}\right) \times \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}\right) \longrightarrow \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2 i}\right)
$$

descends to a bilinear map

$$
\mu_{i, i}^{D}: \Gamma\left(D, j_{D}^{*} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}\right) \times \Gamma\left(D, j_{D}^{*} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}\right) \longrightarrow \Gamma\left(D, j_{D}^{*} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2 i}\right)
$$

of free modules of rank 1 over $\Gamma\left(D, \mathcal{O}_{D}\right)$. This map is perfect in the sense of $\S 1.2$.
We now assume that the graded $k$-algebra $S_{X}^{(h)}$ as in $\S 2.1$ is given for some integer $h \geq 2$. From the subspace $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}(-D)\right)$ of $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}\right)$ we can then determine $\Gamma\left(D, j_{D}^{*} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}\right)$ as a $k$-vector space by means of the short exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}(-D)\right) \longrightarrow \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}\right) \longrightarrow \Gamma\left(D, j_{D}^{*} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Note that exactness on the right follows from the assumption that $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}(-D) \geq 2 g-1$.) Similarly, we can compute $\Gamma\left(D, j_{D}^{*} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2 i}\right)$ from $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2 i}(-D)\right)$ using the same sequence with $i$ replaced by $2 i$. We can then determine the bilinear map $\mu_{i, i}^{D}$ induced by $\mu_{i, i}$ by standard methods from linear algebra.

We then the method described in $\S 1.2$ to compute the $k$-algebra $\Gamma\left(D, \mathcal{O}_{D}\right)$ together with its action on $\Gamma\left(D, j_{D}^{*} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}\right)$. Next we determine the primary decomposition of $\Gamma\left(D, \mathcal{O}_{D}\right)$, say

$$
\Gamma\left(D, \mathcal{O}_{D}\right) \cong A_{1} \times A_{2} \times \cdots \times A_{r}
$$

where each factor $A_{i}$ is a finite local $k$-algebra with maximal ideal $P_{i}$; we assume the field $k$ is such that we can do this (see §1.1). Such a prime ideal $P_{i}$ corresponds to a prime divisor in the support of $D$, and the corresponding multiplicity equals

$$
m_{i}=\frac{\left[A_{i}: k\right]}{\left[A_{i} / P_{i}: k\right]}
$$

Algorithm 2.4 (Decomposition of a divisor). Let $X$ be a projective curve over a field $k$. Let $i$ be a positive integer, and let $D$ be an effective divisor such that

$$
\operatorname{deg} D \leq i \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}-2 g_{X}+1
$$

Suppose that we have a (probabilistic) algorithm to compute the primary decomposition of a finite commutative $k$-algebra $A$ with (expected) running time polynomial in $[A: k]$, measured in operations in $k$. Given the $k$-algebra $S_{X}^{(2 i)}$ and the subspaces $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}(-D)\right)$ of $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}\right)$
and $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2 i}(-D)\right)$ of $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2 i}\right)$, this algorithm outputs the decomposition of $D$ as a linear combination of prime divisors as a list of pairs $\left(P, m_{P}\right)$, where $P$ is a prime divisor and $m_{P}$ is the multiplicity of $P$ in $D$.

1. Compute the spaces $\Gamma\left(D, j_{D}^{*} \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}\right)$ and $\Gamma\left(D, j_{D}^{*} \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2 i}\right)$ using (2.4) and the analogous short exact sequence with $2 i$ in place of $i$.
2. Compute the $k$-bilinear map $\mu_{i, i}^{D}$ from $\mu_{i, i}$.
3. Compute a $k$-basis for $\Gamma\left(D, \mathcal{O}_{D}\right)$ as a linear subspace of $\operatorname{End}_{k} \Gamma\left(D, j_{D}^{*} \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}\right)$, where elements of the latter $k$-algebra are expressed as matrices with respect to some fixed basis of $\Gamma\left(D, j_{D}^{*} \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}\right)$, as described in $\S 1.2$.
4. Compute the multiplication table of $\Gamma\left(D, \mathcal{O}_{D}\right)$ on the $k$-basis of $\Gamma\left(D, \mathcal{O}_{D}\right)$ found in the previous step.
5. Find the primary decomposition of $\Gamma\left(D, \mathcal{O}_{D}\right)$.
6. For each local factor $A$ computed in the previous step, let $P_{A}$ denote the maximal ideal of $A$, output the inverse image of $P_{A} \cdot \Gamma\left(D, j_{D}^{*} \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}\right)$ in $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}\right)$ and the integer $[A: k] /\left[A / P_{A}: k\right]$.

Analysis. It follows from the above discussion that the algorithm returns the correct result. It is straightforward to check that the running time is polynomial in $i$ and $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}$, measured in operations in $k$.

A special case of this algorithm is when $D$ is the intersection of $X$ with a hypersurface of degree $i-1$. Let $s$ be a non-zero section of $\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes(i-1)}$ defining this hypersurface. The subspaces that are used in this algorithm can then be computed as

$$
\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}(-D)\right)=s \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}\right)
$$

and

$$
\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2 i}(-D)\right)=s \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes(i+1)}\right)
$$

### 2.5. Finite morphisms between curves

Let us now look at finite morphisms between curves. A finite morphism

$$
f: X \rightarrow Y
$$

of complete, smooth, geometrically connected curves induces two functors

$$
f^{*}:\{\text { line bundles on } Y\} \rightarrow\{\text { line bundles on } X\}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{N}_{f}:\{\text { line bundles on } X\} \rightarrow\{\text { line bundles on } Y\} .
$$

Here $f^{*} \mathcal{N}$ denotes the usual inverse image of the line bundle $\mathcal{N}$ on $Y$, and $\mathrm{N}_{f} \mathcal{M}$ is the norm of the line bundle $\mathcal{M}$ on $X$ under the morphism $f$.

Let us briefly explain the notion of the norm of a line bundle. The norm functor is a special case (that of $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$-torsors) of the trace of a torsor under a finite locally free morphism; see Deligne [17, exposé XVII, n $\left.{ }^{\text {os }} 6.3 .20-6.3 .26\right]$. We formulate the basic results for arbitrary finite locally free morphisms of schemes

$$
f: X \rightarrow Y
$$

In this situation there exists a functor

$$
\mathrm{N}_{f}:\{\text { line bundles on } X\} \rightarrow\{\text { line bundles on } Y\}
$$

together with a collection of homomorphisms

$$
\mathrm{N}_{f}^{\mathcal{L}}: f_{*} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}_{E / k} \mathcal{L}
$$

of sheaves of sets, for all line bundles $\mathcal{L}$ on $X$, functorial under isomorphisms of line bundles on $X$, sending local generating sections on $X$ to local generating sections on $Y$ and such that the equality

$$
\mathrm{N}_{f}^{\mathcal{L}}(x l)=\mathrm{N}_{f}(x) \cdot \mathrm{N}_{f}^{\mathcal{L}}(l)
$$

holds for all local sections $x$ of $f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}$ and $l$ of $f_{*} \mathcal{L}$. Here $\mathrm{N}_{f}: f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y}$ denotes the usual norm map for a finite locally free morphism. Moreover, the functor $\mathrm{N}_{f}$ together with the collection of the $\mathrm{N}_{f}^{\mathcal{L}}$ is unique up to unique isomorphism. Instead of $\mathrm{N}_{f}$ we also write $\mathrm{N}_{X / Y}$ if the morphism $f$ is clear from the context.

The basic properties of the norm functor are the following [17, exposé XVII, $\left.\mathrm{n}^{\circ} 6.3 .26\right]$ :
(1) the functor $\mathrm{N}_{f}$ is compatible with any base change $Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$;
(2) if $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ are two line bundles on $X$, there is a natural isomorphism

$$
\mathrm{N}_{f}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} \mathcal{L}_{2}\right) \cong \mathrm{N}_{f} \mathcal{L}_{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}} \mathrm{~N}_{f} \mathcal{L}_{2} ;
$$

(3) if $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ are finite locally free morphisms, there is a natural isomorphism

$$
\mathrm{N}_{g \circ f} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{~N}_{g} \circ \mathrm{~N}_{f} .
$$

Furthermore, there is a functorial isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{f} \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}}\left(\operatorname{det}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}, \operatorname{det}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}} f_{*} \mathcal{L}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

see Deligne [17, exposé XVIII, n ${ }^{\circ}$ 1.3.17], and compare Hartshorne [11, IV, Exercise 2.6].
We now consider projective curves $X$ and $Y$ as defined in $\S 2.1$. Suppose we have a finite morphism

$$
f: X \rightarrow Y
$$

with the property that $f$ is induced by a graded homomorphism

$$
f^{\#}: S_{Y} \rightarrow S_{X}
$$

between the homogeneous coordinate rings of $Y$ and $X$, or equivalently by a morphism of the corresponding affine cones over $X$ and $Y$. Then $f^{\#}$ induces an isomorphism

$$
f^{*} \mathcal{L}_{Y} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}_{X}
$$

of line bundles on $X$; see Hartshorne [11, Chapter II, Proposition 5.12(c)]. In particular, this implies

$$
\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}=\operatorname{deg} f \cdot \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{Y}
$$

We represent a finite morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ by the $k$-algebras $S_{X}^{(h)}$ and $S_{Y}^{(h)}$ for some $h \geq 2$, together with the $k$-algebra homomorphism

$$
f^{\#}: S_{Y}^{(h)} \rightarrow S_{X}^{(h)}
$$

induced by $f^{\#}: S_{Y} \rightarrow S_{X}$, given as a collection of linear maps $\Gamma\left(Y, \mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\otimes i}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}\right)$ compatible with the multiplication maps on both sides.

In the following, when we mention a finite morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between projective curves, we assume that the $k$-algebras $S_{X}^{(h)}$ and $S_{Y}^{(h)}$ and the homomorphism $f^{\#}: S_{Y}^{(h)} \rightarrow S_{X}^{(h)}$ are given for some $h \geq 2$. A lower bound for $h$ will be specified in each of the algorithms that we describe.
Remark. The homomorphism $f^{\#}$ gives rise to an injective $k$-linear map

$$
\Gamma\left(Y, \mathcal{L}_{Y}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}\right)
$$

Given this map, we can reconstruct $S(Y)$ as a subalgebra of $S(X)$ by noting that $S(Y)$ is generated as a $k$-algebra by $\Gamma\left(Y, \mathcal{L}_{Y}\right)$.

### 2.6. Images, pull-backs and push-forwards of divisors

Let us consider a finite morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between complete, smooth, geometrically connected curves over a field $k$. Such a morphism $f$ induces various maps between the groups of divisors on $X$ and on $Y$.

First, for an effective divisor $D$ on $X$, we write $f(D)$ for the schematic image of $D$ under $f$. The definition implies that the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{Y}(-f(D))$ is the inverse image of $f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}(-D)$ under the natural map $\mathcal{O}_{Y} \rightarrow f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}$.

Second, for any divisor $D$ on $X$, we have the "push-forward" $f_{*} D$ of $D$ by $f$; see Hartshorne [11, IV, Exercise 2.6]. If $P$ is a prime divisor on $X$, then its image $f(P)$ under $f$ is a prime divisor on $Y$, the residue field $k(P)$ is a finite extension of $k(f(P))$, and $f_{*} P$ is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{*} P=[k(P): k(f(P))] \cdot f(P) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The residue field extension degree at $P$ can simply be computed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[k(P): k(f(P))] } & =\frac{[k(P): k]}{[k(f(P)): k]} \\
& =\frac{\operatorname{deg} P}{\operatorname{deg} f(P)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Third, for any divisor $E$ on $Y$, we have the "pull-back" $f^{*} E$ of $E$ by $f$; see for example Hartshorne [11, page 137]. If $Q$ is a prime divisor on $Y$, then $f^{*} Q$ is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{*} Q=\sum_{P: f(P)=Q} e(P) \cdot P \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P$ runs over the prime divisors of $X$ mapping to $Q$ and $e(P)$ denotes the ramification index of $f$ at $P$.

Both $f_{*}$ and $f^{*}$ are extended to arbitrary divisors on $X$ and $Y$ by linearity. Note that (2.6) and (2.7) imply the well-known formula

$$
f_{*} f^{*} E=(\operatorname{deg} f) E
$$

for any divisor $E$ on $Y$. Furthermore, if $E$ is an effective divisor on $Y$, we have an equality

$$
f^{*} E=E \times_{Y} X
$$

of closed subschemes of $X$, and if $\mathcal{I}_{E}$ denotes the ideal sheaf of $E$, then its inverse image $f^{-1} \mathcal{I}_{E}$ is the ideal sheaf of $f^{*} E$.
Remark. The map $D \mapsto f(D)$ is not in general linear in $D$. We do not extend it to the divisor group on $X$, and in fact will only need schematic images of prime divisors on $X$ in what follows. In contrast, the maps $f_{*}$ and $f^{*}$ are linear by definition.

Now assume $f$ is a finite morphism between projective curves, in the sense of $\S 2.5$. In particular, we have a homomorphism $f^{\#}: S_{Y} \rightarrow S_{X}$ of graded $k$-algebras. We will give algorithms to compute the image and the push-forward of a divisor on $X$ as well as the pull-back of a divisor on $Y$.

The schematic image $f(D)$ of an effective divisor $D$ on $X$ can be computed using the following obvious algorithm.

Algorithm 2.5 (Image of a divisor under a finite morphism). Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite morphism between projective curves, let $i$ be a positive integer, and let $D$ be an effective divisor on $X$. Given the $k$-algebras $S_{X}^{(i)}$ and $S_{Y}^{(i)}$, the homomorphism $f^{\#}: S_{Y}^{(i)} \rightarrow S_{X}^{(i)}$ and the subspace $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}(-D)\right)$ of $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}\right)$, this algorithm outputs the subspace $\Gamma\left(Y, \mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\otimes i}(-f(D))\right)$ of $\Gamma\left(Y, \mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\otimes i}\right)$.

1. Output the inverse image of the subspace $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}(-D)\right)$ of $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}\right)$ under the linear map $\Gamma\left(Y, \mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\otimes i}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}\right)$.

Analysis. The definition of $f(D)$ implies that $\mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\otimes i}(-f(D))$ equals the inverse image of $f_{*} \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}(-D)$ under the natural map $\mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\otimes i} \rightarrow f_{*} \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}$. Taking global sections, we see that $\Gamma\left(Y, \mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\otimes i}(-f(D))\right)$ is the inverse image of $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}(-D)\right)$ under the natural map $\Gamma\left(Y, \mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\otimes i}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}\right)$. It is clear that the algorithm needs a number of operations in $k$ that is polynomial in $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}$ and $i$.

Remark. In the above algorithm, there are no restrictions on the degrees of $D$ and $f(D)$. However, $f(D)$ is not uniquely determined by $\Gamma\left(Y, \mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\otimes i}(-f(D))\right)$ if its degree is too large.

The algorithm to compute pull-backs that we will now give is based on the fact that the pullback of an effective divisor $E$ is simply the fibred product $E \times_{Y} X$, viewed as a closed subscheme of $X$. In particular, the algorithm does not have to compute the ramification indices, so instead we can use it to compute ramification indices. Namely, if $P$ is a prime divisor on $X$, we see from (2.7) that the ramification index at $P$ equals the multiplicity with which $P$ occurs in the divisor $f^{*}(f(P))$.
Algorithm 2.6 (Pull-back of a divisor under a finite morphism). Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite morphism between projective curves. Let $i$ and $j$ be positive integers, and let $E$ be an effective divisor on $Y$ such that

$$
\operatorname{deg} f \cdot \operatorname{deg} E \leq i \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}-2 g_{X}, \quad \operatorname{deg} E \leq i \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{Y}-2 g_{Y}
$$

and

$$
(j-i) \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}+\operatorname{deg} f \cdot \operatorname{deg} E \geq 2 g_{X}-1
$$

(If we take $j \geq i+1$, the last equality does not pose an extra restriction on $E$.) Given the $k$ algebras $S_{X}^{(i+j)}$ and $S_{Y}^{(i+j)}$, the $k$-algebra homomorphism $f^{\#}: S_{Y}^{(i+j)} \rightarrow S_{X}^{(i+j)}$ and the subspace $\Gamma\left(Y, \mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\otimes i}(-E)\right)$ of $\Gamma\left(Y, \mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\otimes i}\right)$, this algorithm outputs the subspace $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}\left(-f^{*} E\right)\right)$ of $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}\right)$.

1. Compute the image $W$ of $\Gamma\left(Y, \mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\otimes i}(-E)\right)$ under the linear map

$$
f^{\#}: \Gamma\left(Y, \mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\otimes i}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}\right)
$$

2. Compute the space $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i+j}\left(-f^{*} E\right)\right)$ as the product of $W$ and $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes j}\right)$ (see Lemma 2.3).
3. Compute $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}\left(-f^{*} E\right)\right)$ using Lemma 2.2, and output the result.

Analysis. The ideal in $S_{Y}$ defining $E$ is generated by the linear forms vanishing on $E$, and the ideal of $S_{X}$ defining $f^{*} E$ is generated by the pull-backs of these forms. This shows that $f^{*} E$ is defined by the forms in $W$. In the second and third step, we compute the space of all forms vanishing on $f^{*} E$ is computed, i.e. the inflation of $W$. That the method described is correct was proved in $\S 2.3$. The running time is clearly polynomial in $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}, i$ and $j$.
Algorithm 2.7 (Push-forward of a divisor under a finite morphism). Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite morphism between projective curves over a field $k$, let $i$ be a positive integer, and let $D$ be an effective divisor on $X$ such that

$$
\operatorname{deg} D \leq i \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}-2 g_{X}-1 \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{deg} D \leq i \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{Y}-2 g_{Y}
$$

Suppose that we have a (probabilistic) algorithm to compute the primary decomposition of a finite commutative $k$-algebra $A$ with (expected) running time polynomial in $[A: k]$, measured in operations in $k$. Given the $k$-algebras $S_{X}^{(2 i)}$ and $S_{Y}^{(2 i)}$, the homomorphism $f^{\#}: S_{Y}^{(2 i)} \rightarrow S_{X}^{(2 i)}$ and the subspace $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}(-D)\right)$ of $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}\right)$, this algorithm outputs the subspace $\Gamma\left(Y, \mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\otimes i}\left(-f_{*} D\right)\right)$ of $\Gamma\left(Y, \mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\otimes i}\right)$.

1. Compute $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2 i}(-D)\right)$ as the product of $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}\right)$ and $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}(-D)\right)$ (see Lemma 2.1).
2. Find the decomposition of $D$ as a linear combination $\sum_{P} n_{P} P$ of prime divisors using Algorithm 2.4.
3. For each prime divisor $P$ in the support of $D$, compute the space $\Gamma\left(Y, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}(-f(P))\right)$ using Algorithm 2.5, and compute $[k(P): k(f(P))]$.
4. Compute the space $\Gamma\left(Y, \mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\otimes i}\left(-f_{*} D\right)\right)$, where

$$
f_{*} D=\sum_{P} n_{P}[k(P): k(f(P))] f(P)
$$

and output the result.
Analysis. The correctness of the algorithm follows from the definition of $f_{*}$. It runs in (probabilistic) polynomial time in $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}$ and $i$, measured in field operations in $k$.

We include here another algorithm that computes the push-forward of an effective divisor under a non-constant rational function $X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ in a slightly different setting than before. We only assume $X$ to be given as a projective curve, and we represent effective divisors on $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ as zero loci of homogeneous polynomials. For simplicity, we only consider divisors of degree at most $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}$.
Algorithm 2.8 (Push-forward of an effective divisor by a rational function). Let $X$ be a projective curve over a field $k$, let $i$ be a positive integer, let $\psi$ be a non-constant rational function on $X$ given as the quotient of two sections $s, t \in \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}\right)$ without common zeroes, and let $D$ be an effective divisor on $X$ of degree $d \leq \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}$. Suppose that we have a (probabilistic) algorithm to compute the primary decomposition of a finite commutative $k$-algebra $A$ with (expected) running time polynomial in $[A: k]$, measured in operations in $k$. Given the $k$-algebra $S_{X}^{(\max \{4, i\})}$ and the subspace $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}(-D)\right)$, this algorithm outputs the homogeneous polynomial of degree $d$ defining the closed subscheme $\psi_{*} D$ of $\mathbf{P}_{k}^{1}$. (This polynomial is unique up to multiplication by elements of $k^{\times}$)

1. Compute the space $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 4}(-D)\right)$, and use Algorithm 2.4 to compute the decomposition of $D$ as a linear combination $D=\sum_{Q} n_{Q} Q$ of prime divisors.
2. For each prime divisor $Q$ occurring in the decomposition of $D$ :
3. Compute the base change $X_{k(Q)}$, where $k(Q)$ is the residue field of $Q$. Compute the primary decomposition of $Q_{k(Q)}$ and pick a rational point $Q^{\prime}$ in it.
4. Compute $\Gamma\left(X_{k(Q)}, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}\left(-Q^{\prime}\right)\right)$, then compute the (one-dimensional) intersection of this space with $k \cdot s+k \cdot t$, and express some generator of this intersection as $b_{Q} s-a_{Q} t$ with $a_{Q}, b_{Q} \in k(Q)$. The element $\psi\left(Q^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbf{P}^{1}(k(Q))$ now has homogeneous coordinates $\left(a_{Q}: b_{Q}\right)$.
5. Compute the homogeneous polynomial

$$
f_{\psi_{*} Q}=\mathrm{N}_{k(Q) / k}\left(b_{Q} u-a_{Q} v\right) \in k[u, v]
$$

defining $\psi_{*} Q$.
6. Output the homogeneous polynomial

$$
f_{\psi_{*} D}=\prod_{Q} f_{\psi_{* Q}}^{n_{Q}} \in k[u, v]
$$

of degree $d$ defining $\psi_{*} D$.
Analysis. It is straightforward to check that the algorithm is correct and has expected running time polynomial in $i$ and $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}$, counted in operations in $k$.

### 2.7. The norm functor for effective divisors

Let $X$ be a proper, smooth, geometrically connected curve over a field $k$, and let $E$ be an effective divisor on $X$. We view $E$ as a closed subscheme of $X$, finite over $k$, and we write

$$
j_{E}: E \rightarrow X
$$

for the closed immersion of $E$ into $X$. For the purposes of $\S 3.6$ below, we will need an explicit description of the norm functor $\mathrm{N}_{E / k}$ (for the canonical morphism $E \rightarrow$ Spec $k$ ) that we saw in § 2.5. We view $\mathrm{N}_{E / k}$ as a functor from free $\mathcal{O}_{E}$-modules of rank 1 to $k$-vector spaces of dimension 1.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a line bundle on $X$. We abbreviate

$$
\Gamma(E, \mathcal{M})=\Gamma\left(E, j_{E}^{*} \mathcal{M}\right)
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{N}_{E / k} \mathcal{M}=\mathrm{N}_{E / k}\left(j_{E}^{*} \mathcal{M}\right) .
$$

Suppose we have two line bundles $\mathcal{M}^{+}$and $\mathcal{M}^{-}$, both of degree at least $\operatorname{deg} E+2 g-1$, together with an isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{M}^{-}, \mathcal{M}^{+}\right) .
$$

Then we can compute $\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{M}^{-}\right)$and $\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{M}^{+}\right)$using the short exact sequences

$$
0 \longrightarrow \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{M}^{ \pm}(-E)\right) \longrightarrow \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{M}^{ \pm}\right) \longrightarrow \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{M}^{ \pm}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

and we can express $\mathrm{N}_{E / k}$ via the isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{E / k} \mathcal{M} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{k}\left(\operatorname{det}_{k} \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{M}^{-}\right), \operatorname{det}_{k} \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{M}^{+}\right)\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

deduced from (2.5). We fix $k$-bases of $\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{M}^{-}\right)$and $\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{M}^{+}\right)$. From the induced trivialisations of $\operatorname{det}_{k} \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{M}^{ \pm}\right)$we then obtain a trivialisation of $\mathrm{N}_{E / k} \mathcal{M}$.

Now consider three line bundles $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{P}$, together with an isomorphism

$$
\mu: \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} \mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P} .
$$

By the linearity of the norm functor, $\mu$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{E / k} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{k} \mathrm{~N}_{E / k} \mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{~N}_{E / k} \mathcal{P} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

As above, we choose isomorphisms

$$
\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{M}^{-}, \mathcal{M}^{+}\right), \quad \mathcal{N} \cong \mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{N}^{-}, \mathcal{N}^{+}\right), \quad \mathcal{P} \cong \mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{P}^{-}, \mathcal{P}^{+}\right)
$$

on $X$, where $\mathcal{M}^{ \pm}, \mathcal{N}^{ \pm}$and $\mathcal{P}^{ \pm}$are line bundles of degree at least $\operatorname{deg} E+2 g+1$. We fix bases of the six $k$-vector spaces

$$
\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{M}^{ \pm}\right), \quad \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{N}^{ \pm}\right), \quad \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{P}^{ \pm}\right)
$$

Then (2.8) gives trivialisations of $\mathrm{N}_{E / k} \mathcal{M}, \mathrm{~N}_{E / k} \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{E / k} \mathcal{P}$. Under these trivialisations, the isomorphism (2.9) equals multiplication by some element $\lambda \in k^{\times}$.

To find an expression for $\lambda$, we choose generators $\alpha_{\mathcal{M}}^{ \pm}$and $\alpha_{\mathcal{N}}^{ \pm}$of the $\mathcal{O}_{E}$-modules $\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{M}^{ \pm}\right)$ and $\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{N}^{ \pm}\right)$. To these we associate the isomorphisms

$$
\alpha_{\mathcal{M}}: \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{M}^{-}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{M}^{+}\right)
$$

and

$$
\alpha_{\mathcal{N}}: \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{N}^{-}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{N}^{-}\right)
$$

sending $\alpha_{\mathcal{M}}^{-}$to $\alpha_{\mathcal{M}}^{+}$and $\alpha_{\mathcal{N}}^{-}$to $\alpha_{\mathcal{N}}^{+}$, respectively. Viewing $\alpha_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\alpha_{\mathcal{N}}$ as generators of $\Gamma(E, \mathcal{M})$ and $\Gamma(E, \mathcal{N})$ and applying the isomorphism

$$
\mu: \Gamma(E, \mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right)} \Gamma(E, \mathcal{N}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(E, \mathcal{P})
$$

to $\alpha_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \alpha_{\mathcal{N}}$ we obtain a generator of $\Gamma(E, \mathcal{P})$, which we can identify with an isomorphism

$$
\alpha_{\mathcal{P}}: \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{P}^{-}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{P}^{+}\right)
$$

We define $\delta_{\mathcal{M}}$ as the determinant of the matrix of $\alpha_{\mathcal{M}}$ with respect to the chosen bases. Under the given trivialisations of $\mathrm{N}_{E / k} \mathcal{M}$, the element $\mathrm{N}_{E / k}^{\mathcal{M}} \alpha_{\mathcal{M}}$ corresponds to $\delta_{\mathcal{M}}$. The same goes for $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{P}$. On the other hand, the isomorphism (2.9) maps $\mathrm{N}_{E / k}^{\mathcal{M}} \alpha_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \mathrm{N}_{E / k}^{\mathcal{N}} \alpha_{\mathcal{N}}$ to $\mathrm{N}_{E / k}^{\mathcal{P}} \alpha_{\mathcal{P}}$. We conclude that we can express $\lambda$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{\delta_{\mathcal{P}}}{\delta_{\mathcal{M}} \delta_{\mathcal{N}}} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us turn the above discussion into an algorithm. Let $X$ be a projective curve over $k$, embedded via a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$, and let $E$ be an effective divisor on $X$. For simplicity, we restrict to the case where

$$
\operatorname{deg} E \leq \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}
$$

We consider line bundles

$$
\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}\left(-D_{1}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{N}=\mathcal{L}^{\otimes j}\left(-D_{2}\right)
$$

where $i$ and $j$ are non-negative integers and $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are effective divisors such that

$$
\operatorname{deg} D_{1}=i \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{deg} D_{2}=j \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}
$$

We take

$$
\mathcal{M}^{-}=\mathcal{N}^{-}=\mathcal{P}^{-}=\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{M}^{+}=\mathcal{L}^{\otimes(i+2)}\left(-D_{1}\right), \quad \mathcal{N}^{+}=\mathcal{L}^{\otimes(j+2)}\left(-D_{2}\right) \\
\mathcal{P}^{+}=\mathcal{L}^{\otimes(i+j+2)}\left(-D_{1}-D_{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Algorithm 2.9 (Linearity of the norm functor). Let $X$ be a projective curve over a field $k$, and let $E, D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ be effective divisors on $X$ such that

$$
\operatorname{deg} E=\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}, \quad \operatorname{deg} D_{1} \leq i \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}, \quad \operatorname{deg} D_{2} \leq j \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}
$$

Fix bases of the four $k$-vector spaces

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\right), & \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(i+2)}\left(-D_{1}\right)\right) \\
\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(j+2)}\left(-D_{2}\right)\right), & \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(i+j+2)}\left(-D_{1}-D_{2}\right)\right)
\end{array}
$$

and consider the corresponding trivialisations

$$
\begin{gathered}
t_{1}: k \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{~N}_{E / k} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}\left(-D_{1}\right), \quad t_{2}: k \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{~N}_{E / k} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes j}\left(-D_{2}\right), \\
t_{3}: k \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{~N}_{E / k} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i+j}\left(-D_{1}-D_{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

defined by (2.8). Given the $k$-algebra $S_{X}^{(i+j+4)}$, bases for the $k$-vector spaces

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\right), \quad \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(i+2)}\right) \\
\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(j+2)}\left(-D_{2}\right)\right), \quad \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(i+j+2)}\left(-D_{1}-D_{2}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and the quotient maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\right) & \longrightarrow \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\right), \\
\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(i+2)}\left(-D_{1}\right)\right) & \longrightarrow \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i+2}\left(-D_{1}\right)\right), \\
\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(j+2)}\left(-D_{2}\right)\right) & \longrightarrow \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes j+2}\left(-D_{2}\right)\right), \\
\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(i+j+2)}\left(-D_{1}-D_{2}\right)\right) & \longrightarrow \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i+2}\left(-D_{1}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

as matrices with respect to the given bases, this algorithm outputs the element $\lambda \in k^{\times}$such that the diagram

$$
\begin{gathered}
k \xrightarrow[\sim]{t_{1} \otimes t_{2}} \mathrm{~N}_{E / k} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}\left(-D_{1}\right) \otimes_{k} \mathrm{~N}_{E / k} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes j}\left(-D_{2}\right) \\
\lambda \downarrow \sim \\
\sim \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\
k \xrightarrow[\sim]{t_{3}}
\end{gathered} \mathrm{~N}_{E / k} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(i+j)}\left(-D_{1}-D_{2}\right)
$$

is commutative.

1. Compute the spaces

$$
\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(i+4)}\left(-D_{1}\right)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(i+j+4)}\left(-D_{1}-D_{2}\right)\right)
$$

and the multiplication maps

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\right) \times \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(i+2)}\left(-D_{1}\right)\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(i+4)}\left(-D_{1}\right)\right), \\
\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(i+2)}\left(-D_{1}\right)\right) \times \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(j+2)}\left(-D_{2}\right)\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(i+j+4)}\left(-D_{1}-D_{2}\right)\right), \\
\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\right) \times \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(i+j+2)}\left(-D_{1}-D_{2}\right)\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(i+j+4)}\left(-D_{1}-D_{2}\right)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

2. Apply the probabilistic method described in $\S 1.2$ to the bilinear maps just computed to find generators $\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ of the free $\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}\right)$-modules $\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\right), \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(i+2)}\left(-D_{1}\right)\right)$ and $\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(j+2)}\left(-D_{2}\right)\right)$ of rank 1 .
(Note that we do not need the $k$-algebra structure on $\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\right)$. If $k$ is small, we may have to extend the base field, but it is easy to see that this is not a problem.)
3. Compute the matrix (with respect to the given bases) of the isomorphism $\alpha_{1}$ defined by the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\right) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\alpha_{1}} \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(i+2)}\left(-D_{1}\right)\right) \\
\sim \\
\sim \downarrow \cdot \beta_{0} \\
\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\right) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\sim} \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(i+4)}\left(-D_{1}\right)\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

of the isomorphism $\alpha_{2}$ defined by the similar diagram for $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes j}\left(-D_{2}\right)$ instead of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}\left(-D_{1}\right)$ and of the isomorphism $\alpha_{3}$ defined by the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\right) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{3}} \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(i+j+2)}\left(-D_{1}-D_{2}\right)\right) \\
\alpha_{1} \downarrow \sim \\
\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(i+2)}\left(-D_{1}\right)\right) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\sim} \stackrel{\beta_{2}}{\sim} \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(i+j+4)}\left(-D_{1}-D_{2}\right)\right) .
\end{array}
$$

4. Compute the elements $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}$ and $\delta_{3}$ of $k^{\times}$as the determinants of the matrices of $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{3}$ computed in the previous step.
5. Output the element $\frac{\delta_{3}}{\delta_{1} \delta_{2}} \in k^{\times}$.

Analysis. We note that $\beta_{0}$ plays the role of $\alpha_{\mathcal{M}}^{-}, \alpha_{\mathcal{N}}^{-}$and $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}}^{-}$in the notation of the discussion preceding the algorithm, and that $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ and $\beta_{1} \beta_{2} / \beta_{0}$ play the roles of $\alpha_{\mathcal{M}}^{+}, \alpha_{\mathcal{N}}^{+}$and $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}}^{+}$. This means that $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{3}$ are equal to $\alpha_{\mathcal{M}}, \alpha_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}}$. It now follows from (2.10) that the output of the algorithm is indeed equal to $\lambda$. It is clear that the algorithm runs in (probabilistic) polynomial time in $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}, i$ and $j$, measured in field operations in $k$.

### 2.8. Computing in the Picard group of a curve

We now explain how to compute with elements in the Picard group of a curve $X$, using the operations on divisors described in the first part of this section. We only consider the group $\operatorname{Pic}^{0} X$ of isomorphism classes of line bundles of degree 0 . This group can be identified in a canonical way with a subgroup of rational points of the Jacobian variety of $X$. If $X$ has a rational point, then this subgroup consists of all the rational points of the Jacobian.

We will only describe Khuri-Makdisi's medium model of $\operatorname{Pic}^{0} X$ relative to a fixed line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ of degree

$$
\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L} \geq 2 g+1
$$

but at the same time

$$
\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L} \leq c(g+1)
$$

for some constant $c \geq 1$, as described in Khuri-Makdisi [12, § 5].
Remark. Khuri-Makdisi starts with a divisor $D_{0}$ whose degree satisfies the above inequalities and takes $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(D_{0}\right)$. This is of course only a matter of language. Another difference in notation is that Khuri-Makdisi writes $\mathcal{L}_{0}$ for $\mathcal{L}$ and uses the notation $\mathcal{L}$ for $\mathcal{L}_{0}^{\otimes 2}$ (in the medium model) or $\mathcal{L}_{0}^{\otimes 3}$ (in the large and small models, which we do not describe here).

We represent elements of $\operatorname{Pic}^{0} X$ by effective divisors of degree $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}$ as follows: the isomorphism class of a line bundle $\mathcal{M}$ of degree 0 is represented by the divisor of some global section of the line bundle $\mathcal{H o m}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L})$ of degree $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}$, i.e. by any effective divisor $D$ such that

$$
\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{L}(-D)
$$

It follows from the inequality $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L} \geq 2 g$ that we can represent any effective divisor $D$ of degree $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}$ by the subspace $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}(-D)\right)$ of codimension $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}$ in $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\right)$.

There are a few basic operations:

- membership test: given a subspace of codimension $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}$ in $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\right)$, decide whether it represents an element of $\operatorname{Pic}^{0} X$, i.e. whether it is of the form $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}(-D)\right)$ for an effective divisor $D$ of degree $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}$.
- zero test: given a subspace of codimension $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}$ in $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\right)$, decide whether it represents the zero element of $\mathrm{Pic}^{0} X$.
- zero element: output a subspace of codimension $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}$ in $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ representing the element $0 \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0} X$.
- addflip: given two subspaces of $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ representing elements $x, y \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0} X$, compute a subspace of $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ representing the element $-x-y$.
From the "addflip" operation, one immediately gets negation $(-x=-x-0)$, addition $(x+y=$ $-(-x-y))$ and subtraction $(x-y=-(-x)-y)$. Clearly, one can test whether two elements $x$ and $y$ are equal by computing $x-y$ and testing whether the result equals zero.
Remark. With regard to actual implementations of the above algorithms, we note that some of the operations can be implemented in a more efficient way than by composing the basic operations just described. We refer to [13] for details.

By Khuri-Makdisi's results in [13], the above operations can be implemented using randomised algorithms with expected running time of $O\left(g^{3+\epsilon}\right)$ for any $\epsilon>0$, measured in operations in the field $k$. This can be improved to $O\left(g^{2.376}\right)$ by means of fast linear algebra algorithms. (The exponent 2.376 is an upper bound for the complexity of matrix multiplication.)

Multiplication by an integer $n$ can be done efficiently by means of an addition chain for $n$. This is a sequence of positive integers $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)$ with $a_{1}=1$ and $a_{m}=n$ such that for each $l>1$ there exist $i(l)$ and $j(l)$ in $\{1,2, \ldots, l-1\}$ such that $a_{l}=a_{i(l)}+a_{j(l)}$. We consider the indices $i(l)$ and $j(l)$ as given together with the addition chain. The integer $m$ is called the length of the addition chain. A more general and often slightly more efficient method of multiplying by $n$ is to use an addition-subtraction chain, where $a_{l}$ is allowed to be either $a_{i(l)}+a_{j(l)}$ or $a_{i(l)}-a_{j(l)}$. However, since the "addflip" operation in our set-up takes less time than the addition or subtraction algorithms, the most worthwhile option is to use an anti-addition chain, which is a sequence of (not necessarily positive) integers $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)$ such that

$$
a_{l}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } l=0 \\ 1 & \text { if } l=1 \\ -a_{i(l)}-a_{j(l)} & \text { if } 2 \leq l \leq m\end{cases}
$$

and $a_{m}=n$; the $i(l)$ and $j(l)$ are given elements of $\{0,1, \ldots, l-1\}$ for $2 \leq l \leq m$.
It is well known that for every positive integer $n$ there exists an addition chain whose length is bounded by a constant times $\log n$. Moreover, there are algorithms (such as the binary method used for repeated squaring) to find such an addition chain in time $O\left((\log n)^{2}\right)$. We leave it to the reader to write down a similar algorithm for finding an anti-addition chain.

For later use, we give versions of the "zero test" and "addflip" algorithms that are identical to those given by Khuri-Makdisi, except that some extra information computed in the course of the algorithm is part of the output.
Algorithm 2.10 (Zero test). Let $X$ be a projective curve over a field $k$, and let $x$ be an element of $\operatorname{Pic}^{0} X$. Given the $k$-algebra $S_{X}^{(2)}$ and a subspace $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}(-D)\right)$ of $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ representing $x$, this
algorithm outputs false if $x \neq 0$ (i.e. if the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{X}(-D)$ is non-trivial). If $\mathcal{L}_{X}(-D)$ is trivial, the algorithm outputs a pair (true, $s$ ), where $s$ is a global section of $\mathcal{L}_{X}$ with divisor $D$.

1. Compute the space

$$
\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}(-D)\right)=\left\{s \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}\right) \mid s \Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}\right) \subseteq \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}(-D)\right)\right\}
$$

(The truth of this equality follows from Lemma 2.2.)
2. If $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}(-D)\right)=0$, output false. Otherwise, output (true, $s$ ), where $s$ is any non-zero element of the one-dimensional $k$-vector space $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}(-D)\right)$.

Algorithm 2.11 (Addfip). Let $X$ be a projective curve over a field $k$, and let $x$ and $y$ be elements of $\mathrm{Pic}^{0} X$. Given the $k$-algebra $S_{X}^{(5)}$ and subspaces $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}(-D)\right)$ and $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}(-E)\right)$ of $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ representing $x$ and $y$, this algorithm outputs a subspace $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}(-F)\right)$ representing $-x-y$, as well as a global section $s$ of $\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 3}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{div} s=D+E+F
$$

1. Compute $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 4}(-D-E)\right)$ as the product of $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}(-D)\right)$ and $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}(-E)\right)$ (see Lemma 2.1).
2. Compute the space

$$
\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 3}(-D-E)\right)=\left\{s \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 3}\right) \mid s \Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}\right) \subseteq \Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 4}(-D-E)\right)\right\}
$$

(see Lemma 2.2).
3. Choose any non-zero $s \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 3}(-D-E)\right)$. Let $F$ denote the divisor of $s$ as a global section of $\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 3}(-D-E)$.
4. Compute the space

$$
\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 5}(-D-E-F)\right)=s \Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}\right)
$$

5. Compute the space

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}(-F)\right)=\{ & t \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}\right) \mid \\
& \left.t \Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 3}(-D-E)\right) \subseteq \Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 5}(-D-E-F)\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

(see again Lemma 2.2).
6. Output the space $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}(-F)\right)$ and the section $s \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 3}\right)$.

### 2.9. Normalised representatives of elements of the Picard group

Let $X$ be a projective curve over a field $k$, and let $O$ be a $k$-rational point of $X$. Let $x$ be an element of $\operatorname{Pic}^{0} X$, and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a line bundle representing $x$. Let $r_{x}^{\mathcal{L}_{X}, O}$ be the greatest integer $r$ such that

$$
\Gamma\left(\mathcal{H o m}\left(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L}_{X}(-r O)\right)\right) \neq 0
$$

Then $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L}_{X}\left(-r_{x}^{\mathcal{L}_{X}, O} O\right)\right)\right)$ is one-dimensional, so there exists a unique effective divisor $R$ such that

$$
\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{L}_{X}\left(-R-r_{x}^{\mathcal{L}_{X}, O} O\right)
$$

We define the $\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}, O\right)$-normalised representative of $x$ as the effective divisor

$$
R_{x}^{\mathcal{L}_{X}, \mathcal{O}}=R+r_{x}^{\mathcal{L}_{X}, O} O
$$

of degree $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}$; it is a canonically defined divisor (depending on $O$ ) with the property that $x$ is represented by $\mathcal{L}_{X}\left(-R_{x}^{\mathcal{L}_{X}, O}\right)$.

Remark. Since for any line bundle $\mathcal{N}$ we have

$$
\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{N} \geq g \Longrightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \neq 0
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{N}<0 \Longrightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{N})=0
$$

the integer $r_{x}^{\mathcal{L}_{X}, O}$ satisfies

$$
\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}-g_{X} \leq r_{x}^{\mathcal{L}_{X}, O} \leq \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}
$$

Algorithm 2.12 (Normalised representative). Let $X$ be a projective curve over a field $k$, and let $O$ be a $k$-rational point of $X$. Let $x$ be an element of $\operatorname{Pic}^{0} X$, and let $R_{x}^{\mathcal{L}_{X}, O}$ be the $\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}, O\right)$-normalised representative of $x$. Given the $k$-algebra $S_{X}^{(4)}$, the space $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}(-O)\right)$ and a subspace of $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ representing $x$, this algorithm outputs the integer $r_{x}^{\mathcal{L}_{X}, O}$ and the subspace $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}\left(-R_{x}^{\mathcal{L}_{X}, O}\right)\right)$ of $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}\right)$.

1. Using the negation algorithm, find a subspace $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}(-D)\right)$ of $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ representing $-x$. Put $r=\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}$.
2. Compute $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}(-r O)\right)$, then compute $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 4}(-D-r O)\right)$ as the product of $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}(-D)\right)$ and $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}(-r O)\right)$, and then compute

$$
\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}(-D-r O)\right)=\left\{t \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}\right) \mid t \Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}\right) \subseteq \Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 4}(-D-r O)\right)\right\}
$$

3. If $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}(-D-r O)\right)=0$, decrease $r$ by 1 and go to step 2 .
4. Let $s$ be a non-zero element of $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}(-D-r O)\right)$. Compute

$$
\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 4}\left(-D-R_{x}^{\mathcal{L}_{X}, O}\right)\right)=s \Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}\right)
$$

and then compute

$$
\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}\left(-R_{x}^{\mathcal{L}_{X}, O}\right)\right)=\left\{t \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}\right) \mid t \Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}(-D)\right) \subseteq \Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 4}\left(-D-R_{x}^{\mathcal{L}_{X}, O}\right)\right)\right\}
$$

5. Output $r_{x}^{\mathcal{L}_{X}, O}=r$ and $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}\left(-R_{x}^{\mathcal{L}_{X}, O}\right)\right)$.

Analysis. It follows from the definition of $R_{x}^{\mathcal{L}_{X}}, O$ that this algorithm is correct. It is straightforward to check that its running time, measured in operations in $k$, is polynomial in $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}$.

### 2.10. Descent of elements of the Picard group

Now let $k^{\prime}$ be a finite extension of $k$, and write

$$
X^{\prime}=X \times_{\operatorname{Spec} k} \operatorname{Spec} k^{\prime}
$$

Consider the natural inclusion map

$$
i: \operatorname{Pic}^{0} X \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^{0} X^{\prime}
$$

Let $x^{\prime}$ be an element of $\operatorname{Pic}^{0} X^{\prime}$. We can use normalised representatives to decide whether $x^{\prime}$ lies in the image of $i$, and if so, to find the unique element $x \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0} X$ such that $x^{\prime}=i(x)$.

Algorithm 2.13 (Descent). Let $X$ be a projective curve over a field $k$, and let $O$ be a $k$-rational point of $X$. Let $k^{\prime}$ be a finite extension of $k$, write

$$
X^{\prime}=X \times_{\operatorname{Spec} k} \operatorname{Spec} k^{\prime},
$$

and let $\mathcal{L}_{X^{\prime}}$ denote the pull-back of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{X}$ to $X^{\prime}$. Let $x^{\prime}$ be an element of $\mathrm{Pic}^{0} X^{\prime}$. Given the $k$-algebra $S_{X}^{(4)}$, the spaces

$$
\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}(-r O)\right) \quad \text { for } \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}-g_{X} \leq d \leq \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}
$$

and a subspace of $\Gamma\left(X^{\prime}, \mathcal{L}_{X^{\prime}}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ representing $x^{\prime}$, this algorithm outputs false if $x^{\prime}$ is in not the image of the canonical map

$$
i: \operatorname{Pic}^{0} X \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^{0} X^{\prime}
$$

Otherwise, the algorithm outputs (true, $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}(-D)\right)$, where $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}(-D)\right)$ represents the unique element $x \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0} X$ such that $i(x)=x^{\prime}$.

1. Compute the $\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}, O\right)$-normalised representative $R_{x^{\prime}}^{\mathcal{L}_{X}, O}$ of $x^{\prime}$.
2. Compute the $k$-vector space

$$
V=\Gamma\left(X^{\prime}, \mathcal{L}_{X^{\prime}}^{\otimes 2}\left(-R_{x}\right)\right) \cap \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}\right)
$$

3. If the codimension of $V$ in $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ is less that $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}$, output false; otherwise, output (true, $V$ ).
Analysis. In step 3, we check whether $R_{x}^{\mathcal{L}_{X}, O}$ is defined over $k$ or, equivalently, whether $x$ is defined over $k$. If this is the case, the space $V$ equals $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}\left(-R_{x}\right)\right)$, where $x$ is the unique element of $\operatorname{Pic}^{0} X$ such that $i(x)=x^{\prime}$. This shows that the algorithm is correct; its running time, measured in operations in $k$ and $k^{\prime}$, is clearly polynomial in $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}$.

### 2.11. Picard and Albanese maps

A finite morphism

$$
f: X \rightarrow Y
$$

between complete, smooth, geometrically connected curves over a field $k$ induces two group homomorphisms

$$
\operatorname{Pic} f: \operatorname{Pic}^{0} Y \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^{0} X
$$

and

$$
\text { Alb } f: \operatorname{Pic}^{0} X \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^{0} Y
$$

called the Picard and Albanese maps, respectively. In terms of line bundles, they can be described as follows. The Picard map sends the class of a line bundle $\mathcal{N}$ on $Y$ to the class of the line bundle $f^{*} \mathcal{N}$ on $X$, and the Albanese map sends the class of a line bundle $\mathcal{M}$ on $X$ to the class of the line bundle $\mathrm{N}_{f} \mathcal{M}$ on $Y$.

Alternatively, these maps can be described in terms of divisor classes as follows. The group homomorphisms

$$
f_{*}: \operatorname{Div}^{0} X \rightarrow \operatorname{Div}^{0} Y \quad \text { and } \quad f^{*}: \operatorname{Div}^{0} Y \rightarrow \operatorname{Div}^{0} X
$$

between the groups of divisors of degree 0 on $X$ and $Y$ respect the relation of linear equivalence on both sides. The Picard map sends the class of a divisor $E$ on $Y$ to the class of the divisor $f^{*} E$ on $X$, and the Albanese map sends the class of a divisor $D$ on $X$ to the class of the divisor $f_{*} D$ on $Y$.

Let us now assume that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a finite morphism of projective curves in the sense of $\S 2.5$. The following algorithms can be used to compute the maps $\operatorname{Pic} f$ and $\operatorname{Alb} f$. The algorithm for the Albanese map is mostly a wax nose, since we only reduce the problem to a different one, namely that of computing traces in Picard groups with respect to finite extensions of the base field. However, this is a problem that can be solved at least for finite fields, as we will see in §3.4.

Algorithm 2.14 (Picard map). Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite morphism of projective curves, and let $y$ be an element of $\operatorname{Pic}^{0} Y$. Given the $k$-algebras $S_{X}^{(4)}$ and $S_{Y}^{(4)}$, the homomorphism $f{ }^{\#}: S_{Y}^{(4)} \rightarrow S_{X}^{(4)}$ and a subspace $\Gamma\left(Y, \mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\otimes 2}(-E)\right)$ of $\Gamma\left(Y, \mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ representing $y$, this algorithm outputs a subspace of $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ representing $(\operatorname{Pic} f)(y) \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0} X$.

1. Compute the subspace $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}(-D)\right)$ for the divisor $D=f^{*} E$ using Algorithm 2.6 (taking $i=j=2$ in the notation of that algorithm), and output the result.

Analysis. Since $(\operatorname{Pic} f)(y)$ is represented by the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{X}\left(-f^{*} D\right)$, the correctness of this algorithm follows from that of Algorithm 2.6. Furthermore, the running time of Algorithm 2.6, measured in operations in $k$, is polynomial in $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}$ for fixed $i$ and $j$; therefore, the running time of this algorithm is also polynomial in $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}$.

Algorithm 2.15 (Albanese map). Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite morphism of projective curves over a field $k$. Let $x$ be an element of $\operatorname{Pic}^{0} X$, and let $O$ be a $k$-rational point of $Y$. Suppose that we have a (probabilistic) algorithm to compute the primary decomposition of a finite commutative $k$-algebra $A$ with (expected) running time polynomial in $[A: k]$, measured in operations in $k$. Suppose furthermore that we can compute the trace of an element $y \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(Y_{k^{\prime}}\right)$ over $k$ for a finite extension $k^{\prime}$ of $k$ in time polynomial in $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{Y}$ and $\left[k^{\prime}: k\right]$, measured in operations in $k$. Given the $k$-algebras $S_{X}^{(6)}$ and $S_{Y}^{(6)}$, the homomorphism $f^{\#}: S_{Y}^{(6)} \rightarrow S_{X}^{(6)}$, the space $\Gamma\left(Y, \mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\otimes 2}(-O)\right)$ and a subspace $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}(-D)\right)$ of $\Gamma\left(Y, \mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ representing $x$, this algorithm outputs a subspace of $\Gamma\left(Y, \mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ representing $(\operatorname{Alb} f)(x) \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0} Y$.

1. Compute $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 4}(-D)\right)$ as the product of $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ and $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}(-D)\right)$.
2. Find the decomposition of $D$ as a linear combination $\sum_{P} n_{P} P$ of prime divisors using Algorithm 2.4.
3. For each $P$ occurring in the support of $D$ :
4. Compute the base changes $X_{k(P)}$ and $Y_{k(P)}$.
5. Find the primary decomposition of the divisor $P_{k(P)}$ on $X_{k(P)}$, and pick a rational point $P^{\prime}$ in it.
6. Compute the space $\Gamma\left(Y_{k(P)}, \mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\otimes 2}\left(-f\left(P^{\prime}\right)-\left(\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{Y}-1\right) O\right)\right)$; this represents an element $y_{P^{\prime}} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(Y_{k(P)}\right)$.
7. Compute the element $y_{P}=\operatorname{tr}_{k(P) / k} y_{P^{\prime}}$ of $\operatorname{Pic}^{0} Y_{k(P)}$. Apply Algorithm 2.13 to get a representation for $y_{P}$ as an element of $\operatorname{Pic}^{0} Y$.
8. Compute the element $y=\sum_{P} n_{P} y_{P}$ of $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(Y)$.
9. Output the element $y-(\operatorname{deg} f)\left(\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{Y}-1\right) y_{0}$ of $\operatorname{Pic}^{0} Y$, where $y_{0}$ is the element of $\operatorname{Pic}^{0} Y$ represented by $\Gamma\left(Y, \mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\otimes 2}\left(-\left(\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{Y}\right) O\right)\right)$.
Analysis. The definition of $y_{P, i}$ implies that

$$
y_{P^{\prime}}=\left[\mathcal{L}_{Y}\left(-f\left(P^{\prime}\right)-\left(\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{Y}-1\right) O\right)\right]
$$

the definition of $y_{P}$ implies that

$$
y_{P}=\left[\mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\otimes[k(P): k]}\left(-f_{*} P-[k(P): k]\left(\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{Y}-1\right) O\right)\right]
$$

and the definition of $y$ implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
y & =\left[\mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\otimes \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}}\left(-f_{*} D-\left(\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}\right)\left(\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{Y}-1\right) O\right)\right] \\
& =\left[\mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\operatorname{deg} f}\left(-f_{*} D\right)\right]+(\operatorname{deg} f)\left(\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{Y}-1\right)\left[\mathcal{L}_{Y}\left(-\left(\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{Y}\right) O\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Together with the definition of $y_{0}$, this shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
y-(\operatorname{deg} f)\left(\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{Y}-1\right) y_{0} & =\left[\mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\operatorname{deg} D}\left(-f_{*} D\right)\right] \\
& =\mathrm{N}_{f} \mathcal{L}_{X}(-D)
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore that the output of the algorithm is indeed (Alb $f)(x)$. Our computational assumptions imply that the running time is polynomial in $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}$, measured in field operations in $k$. $\diamond$

Finally we consider correspondences, i.e. diagrams of the form

where $X, Y$ and $Z$ are proper, smooth, geometrically connected curves over a field $k$. Such a correspondence induces group homomorphisms

$$
\text { Alb } g \circ \operatorname{Pic} f: \operatorname{Pic}^{0} Y \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^{0} Z
$$

and

$$
\text { Alb } f \circ \operatorname{Pic} g: \operatorname{Pic}^{0} Z \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^{0} Y
$$

Clearly, these can be computed by composing the two algorithms described above.

## 3. Curves over finite fields

In this section we give algorithms for computing with divisors on a curve over a finite field. After some preliminaries, we show how to compute the Frobenius map on divisors and how to choose uniformly random divisors of a given degree. Then we show how to do various operations in the Picard group of a curve over a finite field, such as choosing random elements, computing the FreyRück pairing and finding a basis of the $l$-torsion for a prime number $l$. Many of the results in this section, especially those in $\S 3.7, \S 3.8$ and $\S 3.9$, are variants of work of Couveignes [4].

From now on, we switch from measuring the running time of algorithms in field operations to measuring it in bit operations. The usual field operations in a finite field $k$ can be done in time polynomial in $\log \# k$.

Let $k$ be a finite field of cardinality $q$, and let $X$ be a complete, smooth, geometrically connected curve of genus $g$ over $k$. The zeta function of $X$ is the power series in $\mathbf{Z}[[t]]$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Z}_{X}=\sum_{D \in \operatorname{Eff} X} t^{\operatorname{deg} D}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\# \mathrm{Eff}^{n} X\right) t^{n} \\
& \| \\
& \prod_{P \in \operatorname{PDiv} X} \frac{1}{1-t^{\operatorname{deg} P}}=\prod_{d=1}^{\infty}\left(1-t^{d}\right)^{-\# \text { PDiv }^{d} X .}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here Eff $X$ and PDiv $X$ are the sets of effective divisors and prime divisors on $X$, respectively; a superscript denotes the subset of divisors of the indicated degree. The following properties of the zeta function are well known.
(1) The power series $\mathrm{Z}_{X}$ can be written as a rational function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{X}=\frac{L_{X}}{(1-t)(1-q t)} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{X} \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$ is a polynomial of the form

$$
L_{X}=1+a_{1} t+\cdots+a_{2 g-1} t^{2 g-1}+q^{g} t^{2 g}
$$

(2) The factorisation of $L_{X}$ over the complex numbers has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{X}=\prod_{i=1}^{2 g}\left(1-\alpha_{i} t\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each $\alpha_{i}$ has absolute value $\sqrt{q}$.
(3) The polynomial $L_{X}$ satisfies the functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{g} t^{2 g} L_{X}(1 / q t)=L_{X}(t) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the definition of $Z_{X}$ and from (3.1) it is clear how one can compute the number of effective divisors of a given degree on $X$ starting from the polynomial $L_{X}$. We now show how to extract the number of prime divisors of a given degree from $L_{X}$. Taking logarithmic derivatives in the definition of $Z_{X}$ and the expression (3.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{Z}_{X}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{Z}_{X}}=\frac{1}{t} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{d \mid n} d \cdot \# \mathrm{PDiv}^{d} X\right) t^{n}=\frac{L_{X}^{\prime}}{L_{X}}+\frac{1}{1-t}+\frac{q}{1-q t} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our knowledge of $L_{X}$ enables us to compute the coefficients of this power series. We can then compute \# PDiv ${ }^{d} X$ using the Möbius inversion formula. More explicitly, taking logarithmic derivatives in the factorisation (3.2), we obtain Newton's identity

$$
L_{X}^{\prime} / L_{X}=-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_{n+1} t^{n}
$$

where the $s_{n}$ are the power sums

$$
s_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{2 g} \alpha_{i}^{n} \in \mathbf{Z} \quad(n \in \mathbf{Z}) .
$$

Expanding the right-hand side of (3.4) in a power series and comparing coefficients, we get

$$
\sum_{d \mid n} d \# \operatorname{PDiv}^{d} X=1+q^{n}-s_{n}
$$

or equivalently, by the Möbius inversion formula,

$$
n \# \operatorname{PDiv}^{n} X=\sum_{d \mid n} \mu(n / d)\left(1+q^{d}-s_{d}\right),
$$

where $\mu$ is the usual Möbius function. Note that this simplifies to

$$
\text { \# } \operatorname{PDiv}^{n} X= \begin{cases}1+q-s_{1} & \text { if } n=1 ;  \tag{3.5}\\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d \mid n} \mu(n / d)\left(q^{d}-s_{d}\right) & \text { if } n \geq 2 .\end{cases}
$$

Let $J=\operatorname{Pic}_{X / k}^{0}$ denote the Jacobian variety of $X$. From the fact that the Brauer group of $k$ vanishes it follows that the canonical inclusion

$$
\operatorname{Pic}^{0} X \rightarrow J(k)
$$

is an equality. In other words, every rational point of $J$ can be identified with a linear equivalence class of $k$-rational divisors of degree 0 .

We note that from the functional equation (3.3) one can deduce that

$$
\text { \# } \operatorname{Eff}^{n} X=\frac{q^{1-g+n}-1}{q-1} L_{X}(1) \quad \text { for } n \geq 2 g
$$

which in turn is equivalent to "class number formula"

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# J(k)=\# \operatorname{Pic}^{0} X=L_{X}(1) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.1. The Frobenius map

Let $k$ be a finite field of cardinality $q$, and let $X$ be a projective curve over $k$ in the sense of $\S 2.1$. We write $d=\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}$. Let $\operatorname{Sym}^{d} X$ denote the $d$-th symmetric power of $X$ over $k$, and let $\operatorname{Gr}^{d} \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ denote the Grassmann variety of linear subspaces of codimension $d$ in the $k$-vector space $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}\right)$. Then we have a commutative diagram

of varieties over $k$, where the vertical arrows are the $q$-power Frobenius morphisms. Now let $k^{\prime}$ be a finite extension of $k$, write

$$
X^{\prime}=X \times_{\text {Spec } k} \operatorname{Spec} k^{\prime},
$$

and let $D$ be an effective divisor on $X^{\prime}$. The commutativity of the above diagram shows that the divisor $\mathrm{F}_{q}(D)$ on $X^{\prime}$ can be computed using the following algorithm.

Algorithm 3.1 (Frobenius map on divisors). Let $X$ be a projective curve over a finite field $k$ of $q$ elements, and let $\mathrm{F}_{q}$ be the Frobenius map on the set of divisors on $X$. Let $k^{\prime}$ be a finite extension of $k$. Let $X^{\prime}=X \times_{\operatorname{Spec} k} \operatorname{Spec} k^{\prime}$, and let $\mathcal{L}_{X^{\prime}}$ be the pull-back of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{X}$ to $X^{\prime}$. Let $i$ be a positive integer, and let $D$ be an effective divisor on $X^{\prime}$. Given the matrix $M$ of the inclusion map

$$
\Gamma\left(X^{\prime}, \mathcal{L}_{X^{\prime}}^{\otimes i}(-D)\right) \longrightarrow \Gamma\left(X^{\prime}, \mathcal{L}_{X^{\prime}}^{\otimes i}\right)
$$

with respect to any $k^{\prime}$-basis of the left-hand side and the $k^{\prime}$-basis induced from any $k$-basis of $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}\right)$ on the right-hand side, this algorithm outputs the analogous matrix for the inclusion map

$$
\Gamma\left(X^{\prime}, \mathcal{L}_{X^{\prime}}^{\otimes i}\left(-\mathrm{F}_{q}(D)\right)\right) \longrightarrow \Gamma\left(X^{\prime}, \mathcal{L}_{X^{\prime}}^{\otimes 2}\right)
$$

1. Apply the Frobenius automorphism of $k^{\prime}$ over $k$ to the coefficients of the matrix $M$, and output the result.

Analysis. It follows from the discussion preceding the algorithm that the output is indeed equal to $\Gamma\left(X^{\prime}, \mathcal{L}_{X^{\prime}}^{\otimes i}\left(-\mathrm{F}_{q}(D)\right)\right)$. The algorithm takes $O\left(\left(\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}\right)^{2}\right)$ computations of a $q$-th power of an element in $k^{\prime}$.

### 3.2. Choosing random prime divisors

Let $X$ be a projective curve (in the sense of $\S 2.1$ ) over a finite field. Our next goal is to generate random effective divisors of given degree on $X$. We start with an algorithm to generate random prime divisors. For this we do not yet need to know the zeta function of $X$, although we use its properties in the analysis of the running time of the algorithm.

Algorithm 3.2 (Random prime divisor). Let $X$ be a projective curve over a finite field $k$. Let $d$ and $i$ be positive integers such that

$$
d \leq i \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}-2 g_{X}
$$

Given $d, i$ and the $k$-algebra $S_{X}^{(2 i+2)}$, this algorithm outputs a uniformly distributed prime divisor $P$ of degree $d$ on $X$, represented as the subspace $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}(-P)\right)$ of $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}\right)$, provided PDiv ${ }^{d} X$ is nonempty. (If $\mathrm{PDiv}^{d} X=\emptyset$, the algorithm does not terminate.)

1. Choose a non-zero element $s \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}\right)$ uniformly randomly, and let $D$ denote the divisor of $s$. (In other words, choose a random hypersurface section of degree $i$ of $X$.)
2. Compute the set $\operatorname{Irr}^{d} D$ of (reduced) irreducible components of $D$ of degree $d$ over $k$ using Algorithm 2.4.
3. With probability $\frac{\# \operatorname{Irr}^{d} D}{\left[\left(i \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}\right) / d\right\rfloor}$, output a uniformly random element $P \in \operatorname{Irr}^{d} D$ and stop.
4. Go to step 1.

Analysis. Let $q$ denote the cardinality of $k$, and let $H$ denote the set of divisors $D$ that are divisors of non-zero global sections of $\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}$. By the Riemann-Roch formula, the cardinality of $H$ is

$$
\# H=\frac{q^{1-g+i \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}}-1}{q-1}
$$

When the algorithm finishes, the probability $p(D, P)$ that a specific pair $(D, P)$ has been chosen is

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(D, P) & =\frac{1}{\# H} \frac{\# \operatorname{Irr}^{d} D}{\lfloor(i \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}) / d\rfloor} \frac{1}{\# \operatorname{Irr} D} \\
& =\frac{q-1}{q^{1-g+i \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}-1}} \frac{1}{\lfloor(i \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}) / d\rfloor}
\end{aligned}
$$

For all prime divisors $P$ of degree $d$, the number of $D \in H$ for which $P$ is in the support of $D$ is equal to

$$
\#\{D \mid P \in \operatorname{supp} D\}=\frac{q^{1-g+i \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}-d}-1}{q-1}
$$

so the probability $p(P)$ that a given $P$ is chosen equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(P) & =\#\{D \mid P \in \operatorname{supp} D\} \cdot p(D, P) \\
& =\frac{q^{1-g+i \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}-d}-1}{q^{1-g+i \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}}-1} \frac{1}{\lfloor(i \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}) / d\rfloor}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is independent of $P$ and therefore shows that when the algorithm finishes, the chosen element $P \in \mathrm{PDiv}^{d} X$ is uniformly distributed. Furthermore, the probability $p$ that the algorithm finishes in a given iteration is

$$
\begin{aligned}
p & =\# \operatorname{PDiv}^{d} X \cdot \frac{q^{1-g+i \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}-d}-1}{q^{1-g+i \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}}-1} \frac{1}{\lfloor(i \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}) / d\rfloor} \\
& =\frac{\# \operatorname{PDiv}^{d} X}{q^{d}} \frac{q^{1-g+i \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}}-q^{d}}{q^{1-g+i \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}}-1} \frac{1}{\lfloor(i \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}) / d\rfloor} \\
& \geq \frac{\# \operatorname{PDiv}^{d} X}{q^{d}}\left(1-q^{-1-g_{X}}\right) \frac{d}{i \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We claim that the expected running time is polynomial in $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}, i$ and $\log q$, under the assumption that $\# \mathrm{PDiv}^{d} X \neq \emptyset$. we distinguish two cases:

$$
q^{d / 2}<2 \sigma^{0}(d)\left(2 g_{X}+1\right) \quad \text { and } \quad q^{d / 2} \geq 2 \sigma^{0}(d)\left(2 g_{X}+1\right)
$$

where $\sigma^{0}(d)$ denotes the number of positive divisors of $d$. In the first case, we see that

$$
p>\left(2 \sigma^{0}(d)\left(2 g_{X}+1\right)\right)^{2}\left(1-q^{-1-g_{X}}\right) \frac{d}{i \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}}
$$

which shows that $1 / p$ is bounded by a polynomial in $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}$ and $i$, In the second case, we deduce from (3.5) the following estimate for $\# \mathrm{PDiv}^{d} X$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|d \# \mathrm{PDiv}^{d} X-q^{d}\right| & \leq \sum_{\substack{e \mid d \\
e \neq d}} q^{e}+\sum_{e \mid d}\left|s_{e}\right| \\
& \leq\left(\sigma^{0}(d)-1\right) q^{d / 2}+\sigma^{0}(d) \cdot 2 g_{X} q^{d / 2} \\
& <\sigma^{0}(2)\left(2 g_{X}+1\right) q^{d / 2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} q^{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that \# $\mathrm{PDiv}^{d} X>q^{d} /(2 d)$, and hence

$$
p>\frac{1-q^{-1-g_{X}}}{2 i \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}}
$$

In both cases we conclude that the expected running time is bounded by a polynomial in $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}, i$ and $\log q$.

### 3.3. Choosing random divisors

As before, let $X$ be a projective curve over a finite field $k$. From now on we assume that we know the zeta function of $X$, or equivalently the polynomial $L_{X}$.

Below we will give an algorithm for generating uniformly random effective divisors of a given degree on the curve $X$. These divisors will be built up from prime divisors, so it will be useful to speak of the decomposition type of an effective divisor $D$. This is the sequence of integers $\left(l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots\right)$, where $l_{d}$ is the number of prime divisors of degree $d$ (counted with multiplicities) occurring in $D$.

One of the ingredients is the concept of m-smooth divisors and decomposition types. An $m$-smooth divisor is a linear combination of prime divisors whose degrees are at most $m$, and an
$m$-smooth decomposition type of degree $n$ is an $m$-tuple $\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{m}\right)$ such that $\sum_{d=1}^{m} l_{d} d=n$. For every $m$-smooth effective divisor $D$ of degree $n$, we may view the decomposition type of $D$ as an $m$-smooth decomposition type, since only its first $m$ coeffients are non-zero.

The algorithm that we will describe takes as input the degree $n$ as well as a positive integer $m$, and outputs a uniformly random $m$-smooth effective divisor of degree $n$. Clearly, all effective divisors of degree $n$ are $n$-smooth, so that the algorithm can be used with $m=n$ to produce uniformly random effective divisors of degree $n$.

The first step is to generate the decomposition type of a uniformly random $m$-smooth effective divisor of degree $n$. The method we use for doing this is described by Diem in [5, page 150] and in $[6,$.$] Diem's algorithm works by recursion on m$.

For every $m \geq 1$, we write $\mathrm{Eff}_{\leq m}^{n} X$ for the set of $m$-smooth effective divisors $D$ of degree $n$. Furthermore, for $l \geq 0$ and $m \geq 1$ we write $\operatorname{Eff}_{=m}^{l m} X$ for the set of divisors of degree $l m$ that are linear combinations of prime divisors of degree $m$. We note that the set $\operatorname{Eff}_{\leq m}^{n} X$ can be decomposed as

$$
\mathrm{Eff}_{\leq m}^{n} X= \begin{cases}\mathrm{Eff}_{=1}^{n} X & \text { if } m=1  \tag{3.7}\\ \bigsqcup_{l=0}^{\lfloor n / m\rfloor} \mathrm{Eff}_{=m}^{l m} X \times \mathrm{Eff}_{\leq m-1}^{n-l m} X & \text { if } m \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

The cardinality of $\mathrm{Eff}_{=m}^{l m} X$ equals the number of ways to choose $l$ elements from the set $\mathrm{PDiv}^{m} X$ with repeats. For this we have the well-known formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# \operatorname{Eff}_{=m}^{l m} X=\binom{\# \mathrm{PDiv}^{m} X-1+l}{l} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, from the description (3.7) of $\operatorname{Eff}_{\leq m}^{n} X$ we see that

$$
\# \mathrm{Eff}_{\leq m}^{n} X= \begin{cases}\# \mathrm{Eff}_{=1}^{n} X & \text { if } m=1  \tag{3.9}\\ \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor n / m\rfloor} \# \mathrm{Eff}_{=m}^{l m} X \cdot \# \mathrm{Eff}_{\leq m-1}^{n-l m} X & \text { if } m \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

From these relations we can compute $\# \mathrm{Eff}_{\leq m}^{n} X$ recursively, starting from the numbers $\#$ PDiv ${ }^{d} X$ for $1 \leq d \leq m$. An alternative way to describe these recurrence relations is to use generating functions; see Diem [5, page 149] or [6, Lemma 3.14].

In order to generate decomposition types of uniformly random $m$-smooth divisors of degree $n$, we define a probability distribution $\mu_{m}^{n}$ on the set of $m$-smooth decomposition types of degree $n$ by defining $\mu_{m}^{n}\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{m}\right)$ as the probability that a uniformly randomly chosen effective $m$-smooth divisor of degree $n$ has decomposition type $\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{m}\right)$. The algorithm now works as follows. We first select an integer $l_{m} \in\{0,1, \ldots,\lfloor n / m\rfloor\}$ - the number of prime divisors of degree $m$ (counted with multiplicities) occurring in the decomposition-according to the marginal distribution $\nu_{m}^{n}$ of the $m$-th coordinate. We then apply the algorithm recursively with $\left(n-l_{m} m, m-1\right)$ in place of $(n, m)$.

The marginal distribution $\nu_{m}^{n}$ of the coordinate $l_{m}$ in a $m$-tuple $\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{m}\right)$ distributed according to $\mu_{m}^{n}$ is the following. If $m=1$, then $l_{1}=n$ with probability 1 . When $m \geq 2$, the probability that $l_{m}$ equals a given $l \in\{0,1, \ldots,\lfloor n / m\rfloor\}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{m}^{n}(l)=\frac{\# \mathrm{Eff}_{=m}^{l m} X \cdot \# \mathrm{Eff}_{\leq m-1}^{n-l m} X}{\# \mathrm{Eff}_{\leq m}^{n} X} \quad(0 \leq l \leq\lfloor n / m\rfloor) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Once we have computed \# Eff ${ }_{\leq m}^{n} X$, as well as $\# \operatorname{Eff}_{=m}^{l m}$ and $\# \operatorname{Eff}_{\leq m-1}^{n-l m} X$ for $0 \leq l \leq\lfloor n / m\rfloor$ (using (3.5), (3.8) and (3.9)), it is straightforward to generate a random $l_{m} \in\{0,1, \ldots,\lfloor n / m\rfloor\}$ distributed according to $\nu_{m}^{n}$. Namely, we subdivide the interval

$$
I=\left\{0,1, \ldots, \# \operatorname{Eff}_{\leq m}^{n} X-1\right\}
$$

into $\lfloor n / m\rfloor+1$ intervals $I_{l}$, with $0 \leq l \leq\lfloor n / m\rfloor$ and each $I_{l}$ having length $\# \operatorname{Eff}_{=m}^{l m} X \cdot \# \operatorname{Eff}_{\leq m-1}^{n-l m} X$, we generate a uniformly random element $x \in I$, and we select the unique $l$ such that $x \in I_{l}$.

Algorithm 3.3 (Decomposition type of a random divisor). Given the polynomial $L_{X}$ for a curve $X$ over a finite field and integers $n \geq 0$ and $m \geq 1$, this algorithm outputs a random $m$-smooth decomposition type $\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{m}\right)$ of degree $n$, distributed according to the distribution $\mu_{m}^{n}$.

1. If $m=1$, output the 1-tuple $(n)$ and stop.
2. Choose a random element $l_{m} \in\{0,1, \ldots,\lfloor n / m\rfloor\}$ according to the distribution $\nu_{m}^{n}$ from (3.10).
3. Call the algorithm recursively with $\left(n-l_{m} m, m-1\right)$ in place of $(n, m)$ to obtain an $(m-1)$ smooth decomposition type $\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{m-1}\right)$ of degree $n-l_{m} m$.
4. Output the $m$-tuple $\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{m}\right)$.

Analysis. The correctness of the algorithm follows from the above discussion. It is straightforward to check that it runs in time polynomial in $g_{X}, \log \# k, n$ and $m$.

The preceding algorithm reduces our problem to generating random linear combinations of $l$ prime divisors of a given degree $d$. In other words, we have to pick a random multiset of cardinality $l$ from $\mathrm{PDiv}^{d} X$. This can be done using the following algoritm. I thank Claus Diem for pointing out this method to me, which is much simpler than the one I had in mind originally.
Algorithm 3.4 (Random multiset). Let $S$ be a finite non-empty set of known cardinality. Suppose we have algorithms to pick uniformly random elements of $S$ and to decide whether two such elements are equal. Given a non-negative integer $l$, this algorithm outputs a uniformly random multiset of $l$ elements from $S$.

1. Generate a uniformly random subset $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{l}\right\}$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, l+\# S-1\}$, with $x_{1}<x_{2}<$ $\ldots<x_{l}$.
2. Define a multiset $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)$ of $l$ elements from $\{0,1, \ldots, \# S-1\}$ by $y_{i}=x_{i}-i$; then $y_{1} \leq y_{2} \leq \ldots \leq y_{l}$.
3. For each $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq l$, let $a_{i}$ be the number of elements of $\{0,1, \ldots, \# S-1\}$ that occur with multiplicity $i$ in $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)$.
4. Generate a uniformly random sequence

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{1}^{1}, s_{2}^{1}, \ldots, s_{a_{1}}^{1} \\
s_{1}^{2}, s_{2}^{2}, \ldots, s_{a_{2}}^{2}, \\
\vdots \\
s_{1}^{l}, s_{2}^{l}, \ldots, s_{a_{l}}^{l}
\end{gathered}
$$

of $a_{1}+a_{2}+\cdots+a_{l}$ distinct elements of $S$.
5. Output the multiset consisting of the elements $s_{i}^{j}$ of $S$, where $s_{i}^{j}$ occurs with multiplicity $j$.

Analysis. By construction, the multiset $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)$ of $l$ elements from $\{0,1, \ldots, \# S-1\}$ is uniformly random, so the "multiplicity vector" $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right)$ is the same as that of a uniformly random multiset of $l$ elements from $S$. The multiset generated in the last step is uniformly random among the multisets with this "multiplicity vector". This implies that the result is a uniformly random multiset of $l$ elements from $S$, as required.

Combining Algorithms 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4, we obtain the following algorithm to generate a uniformly random effective divisor of a given degree.

Algorithm 3.5 (Random divisor). Let $X$ be a projective curve over a finite field $k$. Given positive integers $m$ and $i$, an integer $n$ satisfying

$$
0 \leq n \leq i \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}-2 g_{X}
$$

the graded $k$-algebra $S_{X}^{(2 i+2)}$ and the polynomial $L_{X}$, this algorithm outputs a uniformly random $m$-smooth effective divisor $D$ of degree $n$ on $X$, represented as the subspace $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}(-D)\right)$ of $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes i}\right)$.

1. Generate a random $m$-smooth decomposition type $\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{m}\right)$ of degree $n$ using Algorithm 3.3.
2. For $d=1, \ldots, m$, generate a uniformly random linear combination $D_{d}$ of $l_{d}$ prime divisors of degree $d$ on $X$ using Algorithm 3.4 (with $S=\mathrm{PDiv}^{d} X$, and $l=l_{d}$ ), where we use Algorithm 3.2 to generate random elements of $\mathrm{PDiv}^{d} X$.
3. Compute the subspace $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}(-D)\right)$ for the divisor $D=D_{1}+\cdots+D_{m}$ using the addition algorithm described in $\S 2.2$, and output $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}(-D)\right)$.

Analysis. It follows from the above discussion that the algorithm outputs a uniformly random $m$-smooth divisor of degree $n$ on $X$. The running time is clearly polynomial in $m, n, i$ and $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}$ (measured in field operations in $k$ ).

Remark. In practice, the following method for picking a random effective divisor of degree $n$ is faster, but does not give a uniformly distributed output. We first choose a uniformly random non-zero section $s$ of $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}\right)$, where $i$ is a non-negative integer such that

$$
i \operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}-n \geq 2 g+1
$$

Then if the set of effective divisors $D$ of degree $n$ with $D \leq \operatorname{div} s$ is non-empty, we pick a uniformly random element from it; otherwise we keep going with a different section $s$.

### 3.4. The Frobenius endomorphism of the Jacobian

As before, let $k$ be a finite field of cardinality $q$, and let $X$ be a proper, smooth and geometrically connected curve over $k$. Let $J$ be the Jacobian variety of $X$, and let $\mathrm{F}_{q}$ denote the Frobenius endomorphism of $J$; is an isogeny of degree $q$. The Rosati dual of $\mathrm{F}_{q}$ is called the Verschiebung and denoted by $\operatorname{Ver}_{q}$. The Albanese and Picard maps associated to the Frobenius morphism on $X$ are the endomorphisms $\mathrm{F}_{q}$ and $\operatorname{Ver}_{q}$ of $J$, respectively.

Then we have a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{Sym}^{d} X & \longrightarrow J \\
\mathrm{~F}_{q} \downarrow & \\
\operatorname{Sym}^{d} X \longrightarrow & \downarrow \mathrm{~F}_{q}
\end{array}
$$

of varieties over $k$, where the vertical arrows are the $q$-power Frobenius morphisms. This shows that the Frobenius endomorphism of $J$ is equal to the endomorphism $\operatorname{Alb}\left(\mathrm{F}_{q}\right)$ induced by the Frobenius map on $X$ via Albanese functoriality.

Write $X^{\prime}=X \times_{\text {Spec } k} \operatorname{Spec} k^{\prime}$. The results of $\S 3.1$ now imply that for any finite extension $k^{\prime}$ of $k$, the endomorphism $\mathrm{F}_{q}$ of $J\left(k^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{Pic}^{0}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ can be computed by applying Algorithm 3.1 to any subspace $\Gamma\left(X^{\prime}, \mathcal{L}_{X^{\prime}}^{\otimes 2}(-D)\right)$ of the $k^{\prime}$-vector space

$$
\Gamma\left(X^{\prime}, \mathcal{L}_{X^{\prime}}^{\otimes 2}\right) \cong k^{\prime} \otimes_{k} \Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}\right)
$$

where $D$ is an effective divisor of degree $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}$ on $X^{\prime}$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{X^{\prime}}(-D)$ represents $x$.
If $O$ is a $k$-rational point of $X$, then we can compute the trace map

$$
\operatorname{tr}_{k^{\prime} / k}: \operatorname{Pic}^{0} X^{\prime} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^{0} X
$$

in the following way. For $x \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0} X^{\prime}$, we compute a subspace of $\Gamma\left(X^{\prime}, \mathcal{L}_{X^{\prime}}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ representing the element

$$
y=\sum_{i=0}^{\left[k^{\prime}: k\right]} \mathrm{F}_{q} x \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0} X^{\prime}
$$

Now $y$ is in fact the image of the element $\operatorname{tr}_{k^{\prime} / k} x \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0} X$ under the inclusion $\operatorname{Pic}^{0} X \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^{0} X^{\prime}$, so we can apply Algorithm 2.13 to find a subspace of $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ representing $\operatorname{tr}_{k^{\prime} / k} x$.

In $\S 2.11$, the problem of computing the Albanese map for a finite morphism of curves was reduced to the problem of compute trace maps. Since we can solve the latter problem, we can also solve the former.

### 3.5. Picking random elements of the Picard group

The next problem we will study is that of picking uniformly random elements in the finite Abelian group $J(k)=\operatorname{Pic}^{0} X$. We recall from $\S 2.8$ that in the medium model of the Picard group, the class of a line bundle $\mathcal{M}$ of degree 0 is represented by an effective divisor $D$ of degree $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}$ such that $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{L}(-D)$. Consider the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Eff}^{\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}} X & \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^{0} X \\
D & \mapsto[\mathcal{L}(-D)]
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from the Riemann-Roch theorem and the fact that $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L} \geq 2 g_{X}-1$ that all fibres of this map have cardinality $\frac{q^{1-g+\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}}-1}{q-1}$. This means that to pick a uniformly random element of $\operatorname{Pic}^{0} X$ it suffices to pick a uniformly random divisor of degree $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}$. A method for doing this is given by Algorithm 3.5, provided that we know $S_{X}^{(6)}$.

### 3.6. Computing Frey-Rück pairings

Let $n$ be a positive integer. We assume $k$ contains a primitive $n$-th root of unity; this is equivalent to

$$
n \mid \# k^{\times}=q-1
$$

and implies that $n$ is not divisible by the characteristic of $k$.
Let $X$ be a complete, smooth, geometrically connected curve over $k$, and let $J$ be its Jacobian variety. The Frey-Rück pairing of order $n$ on $J(k)=\operatorname{Pic}^{0} X$, often also referred to as the TateLichtenbaum pairing, is the bilinear map

$$
[,]_{n}: J[n](k) \times J(k) / n J(k) \rightarrow \mu_{n}(k)
$$

defined as follows (see Frey and Rück [10] or Schaefer [16]). Let $x$ and $y$ be elements of $J(k)$ such that $n x=0$. Choose divisors $D$ and $E$ such that $x$ and $y$ are represented by the line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$ and $O_{X}(E)$, respectively, and such that the supports of $D$ and $E$ are disjoint. By assumption, there exists a rational function $f$ on $X$ such that $n D=\operatorname{div}(f)$; now $[x, y]_{n}$ is defined as

$$
[x, y]_{n}=f(E)^{\# k^{\times} / n}
$$

Here $f(E)$ is defined on $\bar{k}$-valued points (where $\bar{k}$ is an algebraic closure of $k$ ) by function evaluation, and then extended to the group of divisors on $X_{\bar{k}}$, by linearity in the sense that

$$
f\left(E+E^{\prime}\right)=f(E) \cdot f\left(E^{\prime}\right)
$$

It is known that the Frey-Rück pairing is perfect in the sense that it induces isomorphisms

$$
J[n](k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(J(k) / n J(k), \mu_{n}(k)\right)
$$

and

$$
J(k) / n J(k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}\left(J[n](k), \mu_{n}(k)\right)
$$

of Abelian groups.
Let us now give a slightly different interpretation of $f(E)$ that brings us in the right situation to compute $[x, y]_{n}$. We consider an arbitrary non-zero rational function $f$ and an arbitrary divisor $E$ such that the divisors

$$
D=\operatorname{div}(f)
$$

and $E$ have disjoint supports. Since $f(E)$ is by definition linear in $E$, it suffices to consider the case where $E$ is an effective divisor. As in $\S 2.7$, we write

$$
j_{E}: E \rightarrow X
$$

for the closed immersion of $E$ into $X$, and if $\mathcal{M}$ is a line bundle on $X$ we abbreviate

$$
\mathrm{N}_{E / k} \mathcal{M}=\mathrm{N}_{E / k}\left(j_{E}^{*} \mathcal{M}\right)
$$

Since $D$ and $E$ have disjoint supports, we have a canonical trivialisation

$$
t_{D}: k \cong \mathrm{~N}_{E / k} \mathcal{O}_{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{~N}_{E / k} \mathcal{O}_{X}(D)
$$

On the other hand, multiplication by $f$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\mathrm{N}_{E / k} f: \mathrm{N}_{E / k} \mathcal{O}_{X}(D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{N}_{E / k} \mathcal{O}_{X} \cong k .
$$

of one-dimensional $k$-vector spaces. We claim that the composed isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \xrightarrow[\sim]{t_{D}} \mathrm{~N}_{E / k} \mathcal{O}_{X}(D) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\mathrm{N}_{E / k} f} k \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is multiplication by $f(E)$. This is true in the case where $E$ is a single point, since then $\mathrm{N}_{E / k}$ is (canonically isomorphic to) the identity functor. We deduce the general case from this by extending the base field to an algebraic closure of $k$ and using the fact that both $f(E)$ and the norm functor are linear in $E$. For the latter claim, we refer to Deligne [17, exposé XVII, $\mathrm{n}^{\circ} 6.3 .27$ ].

Remark. The isomorphism (3.11) could be taken as a definition of $f(E)$ for effective divisors $E$.
Lemma 3.6. Let $x$ and $y$ be elements of $J(k)$ with $n x=0$, let $\mathcal{M}$ be a line bundle representing $x$, and let $E^{+}$and $E^{-}$be effective divisors such that $\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(E^{+}-E^{-}\right)$represents $y$. (In particular, $\mathcal{M}$ has degree 0 , and $E^{+}$and $E^{-}$have the same degree.) For any pair of trivialisations

$$
t^{ \pm}: k \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{~N}_{E^{ \pm} / k} \mathcal{M}
$$

of $k$-vector spaces and any trivialisation

$$
s: \mathcal{O}_{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes n}
$$

of line bundles on $X$, the isomorphism

$$
k \xrightarrow[\sim]{\left(t^{+}\right)^{n}} \mathrm{~N}_{E^{+} / k} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\mathrm{N}_{E+/ k} s^{-1}} k \xrightarrow[\sim]{\mathrm{N}_{E^{-} / k} s} \mathrm{~N}_{E^{-} / k} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\left(t^{-}\right)^{-n}} k
$$

is multiplication by an element of $k^{\times}$whose $\left(\# k^{\times} / n\right)$-th power equals $[x, y]_{n}$.
(We have implicitly used the isomorphisms $\mathrm{N}_{E^{ \pm} / k}\left(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes n}\right) \cong\left(\mathrm{N}_{E^{ \pm} / k} \mathcal{M}\right)^{\otimes n}$ expressing the linearity of $\mathrm{N}_{E / k}$, and denoted both sides of the isomorphism by $\mathrm{N}_{E^{ \pm} / k} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes n}$.)
Proof. We fix a non-zero rational section $h$ such that the divisor

$$
D=\operatorname{div} h
$$

is disjoint with $E^{ \pm}$. Then we have canonical trivialisations

$$
t_{D}^{ \pm}: k \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{~N}_{E^{ \pm} / k} \mathcal{O}_{X}(D)
$$

as above. Composing these with the isomorphism

$$
\mathrm{N}_{E^{ \pm} / k} h: \mathrm{N}_{E^{ \pm} / k} \mathcal{O}_{X}(D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{N}_{E^{ \pm} / k} \mathcal{M}
$$

induced by multiplication by $h$ gives trivialisations

$$
t_{h}^{ \pm}=\mathrm{N}_{E^{ \pm} / k} h \circ t_{D}: k \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{~N}_{E^{ \pm} / k} \mathcal{M}
$$

Now consider any isomorphism

$$
s: \mathcal{O}_{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes n}
$$

of line bundles on $X$, and define

$$
f=s^{-1} \circ h^{n}: \mathcal{O}_{X}(n D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{X} ;
$$

then $f$ can be viewed as a rational function with divisor $n D$. We now have commutative diagrams

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k \xrightarrow[\sim]{\left(t_{D}^{ \pm}\right)^{n}} \mathrm{~N}_{E^{ \pm} / k} \mathcal{O}_{X}(n D) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\mathrm{N}_{E^{ \pm} / k} f} k \\
& \left\|\quad \sim \downarrow N_{E \pm / k} h^{n} \quad\right\| \\
& k \xrightarrow[\sim]{\stackrel{\left(t_{n}^{ \pm}\right)^{n}}{\sim}} \mathrm{~N}_{E^{ \pm} / k} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\mathrm{N}_{E^{ \pm} / k} s^{-1}} k .
\end{aligned}
$$

As we saw above, the top row is multiplication by $f\left(E^{ \pm}\right)$; by the commutativity of the diagram, the same holds for the bottom row. Finally, we note that replacing $t_{h}^{ \pm}$by any pair of trivialisations

$$
t^{ \pm}: k \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{~N}_{E^{ \pm} / k} \mathcal{M}
$$

changes the isomorphism in the bottom row of the above diagram by some $n$-th power in $k^{\times}$. This implies that the isomorphism

$$
k \xrightarrow[\sim]{\left(t^{ \pm}\right)^{n}} \mathrm{~N}_{E^{ \pm} / k} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\mathrm{N}_{E^{ \pm} / k}^{s^{-1}}} k
$$

equals multiplication by an element of $k^{\times}$whose $(\# k / n)$-th power is $f\left(E^{ \pm}\right)^{\# k^{\times} / n}$. The lemma follows from this by the definition of $[x, y]_{n}$.

Lemma 3.6 reduces the problem of computing the Frey-Rück pairing of order $n$ to the following: given a line bundle $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes n}$ is trivial, find an isomorphism

$$
s: \mathcal{O}_{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}^{n},
$$

and, given moreover an effective divisor $E$ and a trivialisation

$$
t: k \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{~N}_{E / k} \mathcal{M},
$$

compute the isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{s, t}^{E}: k \xrightarrow[\sim]{t^{n}} \mathrm{~N}_{E / k} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\mathrm{N}_{E / k} s^{-1}} k . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that the curve $X$ is given by a projective embedding via a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ as in $\S$ 2.1. We will describe an algorithm to compute isomorphisms of the type $I_{s, t}^{E}$, based on Khuri-Makdisi's algorithms for computing with divisors on $X$. Suppose we are given a line bundle $\mathcal{M}$ of degree 0 such that $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes n}$ is trivial and an effective divisor $E$. For simplicity, we assume that $\operatorname{deg} E=\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}$. As in $\S 2.2$, we represent the class of $\mathcal{M}$ in $J(k)$ by the subspace $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}(-D)\right)$ of $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\right)$, where $D$ is any effective divisor of degree $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}$ (not necessarily disjoint from $E$ ) such that

$$
\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{L}(-D) .
$$

Likewise, we represent $E$ as the subspace $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}(-E)\right)$ of $\Gamma\left(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\right)$.
First, we will describe a construction of a trivialisation

$$
s: \mathcal{O}_{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}(-D)^{\otimes n} .
$$

For this we fix an anti-addition chain $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)$ for $n$, as described in $\S 2.8$. In particular, for each $l$ with $2 \leq l \leq m$ we are given $i(l)$ and $j(l)$ in $\{0,1, \ldots, l-1\}$ such that

$$
a_{l}=-a_{i(l)}-a_{j(l)} .
$$

We fix any non-zero global section $u$ of $\mathcal{L}$, and we put

$$
D_{0}=\operatorname{div}(u), \quad D_{1}=D
$$

For $l=2,3, \ldots, m$, we iteratively apply Algorithm 2.11 to $D_{i(l)}$ and $D_{j(l)}$; this gives an effective divisor $D_{l}$ of degree $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}$ and a global section $s_{l}$ of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 3}$ such that the line bundle $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 3}\left(-D_{l}-\right.$ $\left.D_{i(l)}-D_{j(l)}\right)$ is trivial and

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(s_{l}\right)=D_{l}+D_{i(l)}+D_{j(l)}
$$

We recursively define rational sections $h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{m}$ of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes\left(a_{l}-1\right)}$ by

$$
h_{l}= \begin{cases}u^{-1} & \text { for } l=0 \\ 1 & \text { for } l=1 \\ \left(h_{i(l)} h_{j(l)} s_{l}\right)^{-1} & \text { for } l=2,3, \ldots, m\end{cases}
$$

Then it follows immediately that each $h_{l}$ has divisor $a_{l} D-D_{l}$. In particular, since $\mathcal{L}(-D)^{\otimes n}$ is trivial, so is $\mathcal{L}\left(-D_{m}\right)$ and Algorithm 2.10 provides us with a global section $v$ of $\mathcal{L}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{div}(v)=D_{m}
$$

The rational section

$$
s=h_{m} v
$$

of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ has divisor $n D$ and hence induces an isomorphism

$$
s: \mathcal{O}_{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}(-D)^{\otimes n} .
$$

Next, we assume that an effective divisor $E$ has been given. We assume for simplicity that $\operatorname{deg} E=\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}$. We fix bases of the following $k$-vector spaces:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\right) ; \\
\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 3}\left(-D_{l}\right)\right) \text { for } 1 \leq l \leq m \\
\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 4}\left(-D_{i(l)}-D_{j(l)}\right)\right) \text { for } 2 \leq l \leq m
\end{gathered}
$$

In addition, we fix a $k$-basis of $\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 3}\left(-D_{0}\right)\right)$ by defining it as the image of the chosen basis of $\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ under the multiplication map

$$
u: \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 3}\left(-D_{0}\right)\right) .
$$

For $0 \leq l \leq m$ we define a trivialisation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{l}: k \xrightarrow{\sim} \\
& \mathrm{~N}_{E / k} \mathcal{L}\left(-D_{l}\right) \\
& \xrightarrow{\sim} \\
& \operatorname{Hom}_{k}\left(\operatorname{det}_{k} \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\right), \operatorname{det}_{k} \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 3}\left(-D_{l}\right)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

using the given bases of $\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ and $\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 3}\left(-D_{l}\right)\right)$, and we define an element $\gamma_{l}$ of $k^{\times}$by requiring that the diagram

$$
\begin{gathered}
k \xrightarrow[\sim]{t_{l}} \\
\gamma_{E / k} \mathcal{L}\left(-D_{l}\right) \\
\gamma_{l} \downarrow \\
\\
k \xrightarrow{\sim} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{~N}_{E / k} \mathcal{L}(-D)^{\otimes a_{l}}
\end{gathered}
$$

be commutative. For $2 \leq l \leq m$, we define a trivalisation

$$
t_{l}^{\prime}: k \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{~N}_{E / k} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\left(-D_{i(l)}-D_{j(l)}\right)
$$

by (2.8) using the given bases of $\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ and $\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 4}\left(-D_{i(l)}-D_{j(l)}\right)\right)$, and a trivialisation

$$
t_{l}^{\prime \prime}: k \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{~N}_{E / k} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 3}\left(-D_{l}-D_{i(l)}-D_{j(l)}\right)
$$

by (2.8) using the given bases of $\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ and $\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 5}\left(-D_{l}-D_{i(l)}-D_{j(l)}\right)\right)$.

Algorithm 3.7 (Compute isomorphisms of the form $I_{s, t}^{E}$ ). Let $X$ be a projective curve over a field $k$, let $D$ and $E$ be effective divisors of degree $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}$ on $X$, and let $n$ be a positive integer such that $\mathcal{L}(-D)^{\otimes n}$ is trivial. Given the $k$-algebra $S_{X}^{(7)}$, an anti-addition chain $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)$ for $n$, a global section $u$ of $\mathcal{L}$, effective divisors $D_{0}, D_{1}, \ldots, D_{m}$, global sections $s_{2}, \ldots, s_{m}$ of $\mathcal{L}^{3}$ such that

$$
D_{0}=\operatorname{div}(u), D_{1}=D \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{div}\left(s_{l}\right)=D_{l}+D_{i(l)}+D_{j(l)} \text { for } 2 \leq l \leq m
$$

and a global section $v$ of the trivial line bundle $\mathcal{L}\left(-D_{m}\right)$, this algorithm outputs the isomorphism $I_{s, t}^{E}$ defined by (3.12), where $s$ is defined using the given data, and where $t$ is chosen by the algorithm. (This means that the output of the algorithm is an element of $k^{\times}$defined up to $n$-th powers in $k^{\times}$.)

1. Put $\gamma_{0}=\gamma_{1}=1$.
2. For $l=2,3, \ldots, m$ :
3. Using Algorithm 2.9, compute the elements $\lambda_{l}^{(1)}$ and $\lambda_{l}^{(2)}$ of $k^{\times}$such that the diagrams

$$
\begin{array}{rc}
k & \stackrel{t_{i(l)} \otimes t_{j(l)}}{\sim} \\
\lambda_{l}^{(1)} \downarrow & \mathrm{N}_{E / k} \mathcal{L}\left(-D_{i(l)}\right) \otimes \mathrm{N}_{E / k} \mathcal{L}\left(-D_{j(l)}\right) \\
k & \downarrow \sim \\
k & \xrightarrow[\sim]{t_{l}^{\prime}}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k \stackrel{t_{l} \otimes t_{l}^{\prime}}{\sim} \\
& \sim \\
& \lambda_{l}^{(2)} \mathrm{N}_{E / k} \mathcal{L}\left(-D_{l}\right) \otimes \mathrm{N}_{E / k} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\left(-D_{i(l)}-D_{j(l)}\right) \\
& \downarrow \sim \\
& \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \xrightarrow{t_{l}^{\prime \prime}} \\
& \sim \mathrm{N}_{E / k} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 3}\left(-D_{l}-D_{i(l)}-D_{j(l)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

are commutative. Define $\lambda_{l}=\lambda_{l}^{(1)} \lambda_{l}^{(2)}$.
4. Compute $\sigma_{l} \in k^{\times}$as the determinant of the matrix of the isomorphism

$$
s_{l}: \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 5}\left(-D_{l}-D_{i(l)}-D_{j(l)}\right)\right)
$$

with respect to the given bases.
5. Put $\gamma_{l}=\frac{\lambda_{l}}{\sigma_{l} \gamma_{i(l)} \gamma_{j(l)}}$.
6. Compute $\delta \in k^{\times}$as the determinant of the matrix of the isomorphism

$$
v: \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{3}\left(-D_{m}\right)\right)
$$

with respect to the given bases.
7. Output the element $\frac{1}{\gamma_{m} \delta} \in k^{\times}$.

Analysis. The definitions of $\lambda_{l}$ and $\sigma_{l}$ given in the algorithm imply that the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
k & \stackrel{t_{l} \otimes t_{i(l)} \otimes t_{j(l)}}{\sim} \\
\mathrm{N}_{E / k} \mathcal{L}\left(-D_{l}\right) \otimes \mathrm{N}_{E / k} \mathcal{L}\left(-D_{i(l)}\right) \otimes \mathrm{N}_{E / k} \mathcal{L}\left(-D_{j(l)}\right) \\
\lambda_{l} \downarrow \sim & \downarrow \sim \\
k & \xrightarrow[\sim]{t_{l}^{\prime \prime}} \\
\sim & \mathrm{N}_{E / k} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 3}\left(-D_{l}-D_{i(l)}-D_{j(l)}\right)
\end{array}
$$

is commutative and that the isomorphism

$$
k \xrightarrow[\sim]{s_{l}} \mathrm{~N}_{E / k} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 3}\left(-D_{l}-D_{i(l)}-D_{j(l)}\right) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\stackrel{\left(t_{l}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-1}}{\sim}} k
$$

is multiplication by $\sigma_{l}$.
The recursive definition of the $h_{l}$ implies that the recurrence relation between the $\gamma_{l}$ is as stated in the algorithm. Namely, it follows from the definition of $D_{0}$, from the special choice of basis of $\Gamma\left(E, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 3}\left(-D_{0}\right)\right)$ and from the fact that $t_{1}=t$ that

$$
\gamma_{0}=\gamma_{1}=1
$$

Furthermore, the definitions of $h_{l}, \gamma_{l}, \gamma_{i(l)}, \gamma_{j(l)}$ and the properties of $\lambda_{l}$ and $\sigma_{l}$ that we have just proved imply that

$$
\gamma_{l}=\frac{\lambda_{l}}{\sigma_{l} \gamma_{i(l)} \gamma_{j(l)}} \quad \text { for } l=2,3, \ldots, m
$$

Finally, it follows from the definitions of $s, \gamma_{m}$ and the isomorphism $I_{s, t}^{E}$ from (3.12) that the relation between $v, t_{m}, \gamma_{m}$ and $I_{s, t}^{E}$ is given by the commutativity of the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
k \xrightarrow[\sim]{I_{s, t}^{E}} & k \\
\gamma_{m} \uparrow \sim & \sim \downarrow \mathrm{~N}_{E / k} v \\
k \xrightarrow{\sim} \xrightarrow[\sim]{t_{m}} \mathrm{~N}_{E / k} \mathcal{L}\left(-D_{m}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

This proves that the element of $k^{\times}$output of the last step is indeed $I_{s, t}^{E}$.
It is straightforward to check that the running time of the algorithm, measured in operations in $k$, is polynomial in $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}$ and $m$.

Algorithm 3.8 (Frey-Rück pairing). Let $X$ be a projective curve over a finite field $k$, let $n$ be an integer dividing $\# k^{\times}$, and let $x$ and $y$ be elements of $J(k)$ with $n x=0$. Given the $k$-algebra $S_{X}^{(7)}$ and subspaces $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}(-D)\right)$ and $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}\left(-E^{-}\right)\right)$of $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ representing $x$ and $y$, this algorithm outputs the element $[x, y]_{n} \in \mu_{n}(k)$.

1. Find an anti-addition chain $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)$ for $n$.
2. Choose any non-zero global section $u$ of $\mathcal{L}_{X}$, and let $D_{0}$ denote its divisor. Compute the space

$$
\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}\left(-D_{0}\right)\right)=u \Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}\right)
$$

Write $D_{1}=D$.
3. Use Algorithm 2.11 to compute effective divisors $D_{2}, D_{3}, \ldots, D_{m}$ of degree $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}$, represented as the spaces $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}\left(-D_{l}\right)\right)$, and non-zero global sections $s_{2}, s_{3}, \ldots, s_{m}$ of $\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 3}$ such that the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 3}\left(-D_{i(l)}-D_{j(l)}-D_{l}\right)$ is trivial and

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(s_{l}\right)=D_{i(l)}+D_{j(l)}+D_{l}
$$

4. Using Algorithm 2.10, verify that $\mathcal{L}_{X}\left(-D_{m}\right)$ is trivial and find a non-zero global section $v$ of $\mathcal{L}_{X}\left(-D_{m}\right)$.
5. Choose a non-zero global section $w$ of $\mathcal{L}_{X}$, let $E^{+}$denote its divisor, and compute

$$
\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}\left(-E^{+}\right)\right)=w \Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}\right)
$$

6. Compute $I_{s, t^{+}}^{E^{+}}$and $I_{s, t^{-}}^{E^{-}}$, viewed as elements of $k^{\times}$, using Algorithm 3.7, where $t^{+}$and $t^{-}$are certain trivialisations chosen by that algorithm.
7. Output $\left(I_{s, t^{+}}^{E^{+}} / I_{s, t^{-}}^{E^{-}}\right)^{\# k^{\times} / n}$.

Analysis. The correctness of this algorithm follows from Lemma 3.6. The running time is polynomial in $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}, \log \# k$ and $\log n$.

### 3.7. Finding relations between torsion points

Let $X$ be a projective curve over a finite field $k$, let $J$ be its Jacobian, and let $l$ be a prime number different from the characteristic of $k$. We will show how to find all the $\mathbf{F}_{l}$-linear relations between given elements of $J[l](k)$. In particular, given a basis $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ for a subspace $V$ of $J[l](k)$ and another point $x \in J[l](k)$, this allows us to check whether $x \in V$, and if so, express $x$ as a linear combination of $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$.

Let $k^{\prime}$ be an extension of $k$ containing a primitive $l$-th root of unity. It is well known that the problem just described can be reduced, via the Frey-Rück pairing, to the discrete logarithm problem in the group $\mu_{l}\left(k^{\prime}\right)$. Algorithm 3.10 below makes this precise. We begin with an estimate for the number of elements needed to generate a finite-dimensional vector space over a finite field with high probability.

Lemma 3.9. Let $\mathbf{F}$ be a finite field, and let $V$ be an $\mathbf{F}$-vector space of finite dimension $d$. Let $\alpha$ be a real number with $0<\alpha<1$, and write

$$
m= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } d=0 \\ d-1+\left\lceil\frac{\log \frac{1}{1-\alpha^{1 / d}}}{\log \# \mathbf{F}}\right\rceil & \text { if } d>0\end{cases}
$$

If $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}$ are uniformly random elements of $V$, the probility that $V$ is generated by $v_{1}, \ldots$, $v_{m}$ is at least $\alpha$.

Proof. Fix a basis of $V$. The matrix of the linear map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{F}^{m} & \longrightarrow V \\
\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}\right) & \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} v_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

is a uniformly random $d \times m$-matrix over $\mathbf{F}$. The probability that it has rank $d$ is the probability that its rows (which are uniformly random elements of $\mathbf{F}^{m}$ ) are linearly independent. This occurs with probability

$$
\begin{aligned}
p & =\frac{\left(\# \mathbf{F}^{m}-1\right)\left(\# \mathbf{F}^{m}-\# \mathbf{F}\right) \cdots\left(\# \mathbf{F}^{m}-\# \mathbf{F}^{d-1}\right)}{\# \mathbf{F}^{d m}} \\
& \geq \frac{\left(\# \mathbf{F}^{m}-\# \mathbf{F}^{d-1}\right)^{d}}{\# \mathbf{F}^{d m}} \\
& =\left(1-(\# \mathbf{F})^{-(m-d+1)}\right)^{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

The choice of $m$ implies that $p \geq \alpha$.
Remark. The integer $m$ defined in Lemma 3.9 is approximately $d-1+\frac{\log d}{\log \# F}$, in the sense that for any fixed $\alpha$ the difference is bounded for $d \geq 1$.

Algorithm 3.10 (Relations between torsion points). Let $X$ be a projective curve over a finite field $k$, let $J$ be its Jacobian, and let $l$ be a prime number different from the characteristic of $k$. Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be elements of $J[l](k)$. Given the $k$-algebra $S_{X}^{(h)}$ for some $h \geq 7$ and subspaces $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}\left(-D_{i}\right)\right)$ of $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ representing $x_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, this algorithm outputs an $\mathbf{F}_{l}$-basis for the kernel of the natural map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma: \mathbf{F}_{l}^{n} & \longrightarrow J[l](k) \\
\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) & \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} x_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The algorithm depends on a parameter $\alpha \in(0,1)$.

1. Generate a minimal extension $k^{\prime}$ of $k$ such that $k^{\prime}$ contains a primitive $l$-th root of unity $\zeta$. Let

$$
\lambda: \mu_{l}\left(k^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{F}_{l}
$$

denote the corresponding discrete logarithm, i.e. the unique isomorphism of one-dimensional $\mathbf{F}_{l^{-} \text {-vector spaces sending } \zeta \text { to } 1 . ~ . ~ . ~}^{\text {- }}$
2. Define an integer $m \geq 0$ by

$$
m= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } n=0 \\ n-1+\left\lceil\frac{\log \frac{1}{1-\alpha^{1 / n}}}{\log l}\right\rceil & \text { if } n>0\end{cases}
$$

3. Choose $m$ uniformly random elements $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}$ in $J\left(k^{\prime}\right)$ as described in $\S 3.5$; their images in $J\left(k^{\prime}\right) / l J\left(k^{\prime}\right)$ are again uniformly distributed.
4. Compute the $m \times n$-matrix

$$
M=\left(\lambda\left(\left[y_{i}, x_{j}\right]_{l}\right)\right) \quad(1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n)
$$

with coefficients in $\mu_{l}\left(k^{\prime}\right)$, where the pairing [ , ] $]_{l}$ is evaluated using Algorithm 3.8 and the isomorphism $\lambda$ is evaluated using some algorithm for computing discrete logarithms in $\mu_{l}(k)$.
5. Compute an $\mathbf{F}_{l}$-basis $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{r}\right)$ for the kernel of $M$.
6. If $\Sigma\left(b_{1}\right)=\ldots=\Sigma\left(b_{r}\right)=0$, output $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{r}\right)$ and stop.
7. Go to step 3.

Analysis. We write $V$ for the image of $\Sigma$ and $V^{\prime}$ for the quotient of $J\left(k^{\prime}\right) / l J\left(k^{\prime}\right)$ by the annihilator of $V$ under the pairing $[,]_{l}$. Then we have an induced isomorphism

$$
V \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{F}_{l}}\left(V^{\prime}, \mu_{l}\left(k^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

Consider the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma^{\prime}: \mathbf{F}_{l}^{m} & \longrightarrow V^{\prime} \\
\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}\right) & \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} y_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we have a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{rc}
\mathbf{F}_{l}^{n} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{F}_{l}}\left(\mathbf{F}_{l}^{m}, \mu_{l}\left(k^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
\Sigma \downarrow & \uparrow f \mapsto f \circ \Sigma^{\prime} \\
V \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{F}_{l}}\left(V^{\prime}, \mu_{l}\left(k^{\prime}\right)\right)
\end{array}
$$

We identify $\mu_{l}\left(k^{\prime}\right)$ with $\mathbf{F}_{l}$ using the isomorphism $\lambda$ and equip $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{F}_{l}}\left(\mathbf{F}_{l}^{m}, \mu_{l}\left(k^{\prime}\right)\right)$ with the dual basis of the standard basis of $\mathbf{F}_{l}^{m}$. Then the top arrow in the diagram is given by the matrix $M$ defined in step 4. This means that we have an inclusion

$$
\operatorname{ker} \Sigma \subseteq \operatorname{ker} M
$$

In step 6 we check whether this inclusion is an equality. The surjectivity of $\Sigma$ implies that this is the case and only if the rightmost map in the diagram is injective, i.e. if and only if $\Sigma^{\prime}$ is surjective. Since $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{F}_{l}} V \leq n$, this happens with probability at least $\alpha$ by Lemma 3.9. Therefore steps $3-7$ are executed at most $1 / \alpha$ times on average. This implies that (for fixed $\alpha$ ) the algorithm runs in time polynomial in $g_{X}, \log \# k, l$ and $n$.
Remarks. (1) If we know an upper bound for the dimension of the $\mathbf{F}_{l}$-vector space generated by the $x_{i}$, then we can use this upper bound instead of $n$ in the expression for $m$ in step 2 .
(2) It does not matter much what algorithm we use for computing the discrete logarithm in $\mu_{l}\left(k^{\prime}\right)$, since the running time of Algorithm 3.10 is already polynomial in $l$. For example, we can simply tabulate the function $\lambda$.

### 3.8. The Kummer map on a divisible group

Let $k$ be a finite field of cardinality $q$, and let $l$ be a prime number. Let $\mathbf{G}$ be an étale $l$-divisible group over $k$. (The étaleness is automatic if $l$ is different from the characteristic of $k$.) We denote by $\mathrm{F}_{q}: \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ the ( $q$-power) Frobenius endomorphism of $\mathbf{G}$; this is an automorphism because of the assumption that $\mathbf{G}$ is étale.

For any non-negative integer $n$ such that all the points of $\mathbf{G}\left[l^{n}\right]$ are $k$-rational, the Kummer map of order $l^{n}$ on $\mathbf{G}$ over $k$ is the isomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{l^{n}}^{\mathbf{G} / k}: \mathbf{G}(k) / l^{n} \mathbf{G}(k) & \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{G}\left[l^{n}\right](k) \\
x & \longmapsto \mathrm{~F}_{q}(y)-y,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $y$ is any point of $\mathbf{G}$ over an algebraic closure of $k$ such that $l^{n} y$ is a lift of $x$ to $\mathbf{G}(k)$.
Let $\chi \in \mathbf{Z}_{l}[t]$ be the characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius automorphism of $\mathbf{G}$ on (the Tate module of) $\mathbf{G}$. Then the element $t \bmod \chi$ of $\mathbf{Z}_{l}[t] /(\chi)$ is invertible. Let $n$ be any non-negative integer, and let $a$ be a positive integer such that

$$
t^{a}=1 \quad \text { in }\left(\mathbf{Z}_{l}[t] /\left(l^{n}, \chi\right)\right)^{\times} .
$$

Then $t^{a}-1$ is divisible by $l^{n}$ in $\mathbf{Z}_{l}[t] /(\chi)$, and we let $h_{a}$ be the unique element of $\mathbf{Z}_{l}[t] /(\chi)$ such that

$$
t^{a}-1=l^{n} h_{a} \in \mathbf{Z}_{l}[t] /(\chi)
$$

By the Cayley-Hamilton theorem, $\mathbf{Z}_{l}[t] /(\chi)$ acts on $\mathbf{G}$ with $t$ acting as $\mathrm{F}_{q}$. The above identity therefore implies that

$$
\mathrm{F}_{q}^{a}-1=l^{n} h_{a}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{q}\right) \quad \text { on } \mathbf{G} .
$$

Let $k_{a}$ be an extension of $k$ with

$$
\left[k_{a}: k\right]=a
$$

Then $\mathbf{G}\left[l^{n}\right]$ is defined over $k_{a}$, and we can express the Kummer map over $k_{a}$ in terms of the Frobenius endomorphism over $k$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{l^{n}}^{\mathbf{G} / k_{a}}: \mathbf{G}\left(k_{a}\right) / l^{n} \mathbf{G}\left(k_{a}\right) & \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{G}\left[l^{n}\right]\left(k_{a}\right) \\
x & \longmapsto h_{a}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{q}\right)(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In $\S 3.9$ we are going to apply this to a certain $l$-divisible subgroup of the $l$-power torsion of the Jacobian of a projective curve over $k$.

### 3.9. Computing the $l$-torsion in the Picard group

Let $X$ be a projective curve over $k$, and let $J$ be its Jacobian. Let $\mathrm{F}_{q}$ denote the Frobenius endomorphism of $J$ over $k$, and let $\chi \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$ be the characteristic polynomial of $\mathrm{F}_{q}$.

Let $l$ be a prime number different from the characteristic of $k$. We are going to apply the results of $\S 3.8$ to a certain $l$-divisible subgroup $\mathbf{G}$ of the group $J\left[l^{\infty}\right]$ of $l$-power torsion points of $J$. This $\mathbf{G}$ is defined as follows. Let $\bar{f}=(t-1)^{b}$ be the largest power of $t-1$ dividing $\chi \bmod l$, so that $\chi \bmod l$ has the factorisation

$$
(\chi \bmod l)=\bar{f} \cdot \bar{f}^{\perp}
$$

in coprime monic polynomials in $\mathbf{F}_{l}[t]$. Hensel's lemma implies that this factorisation can be lifted uniquely to a factorisation

$$
\chi=f \cdot f^{\perp}
$$

where $f$ and $f^{\perp}$ are coprime monic polynomials in $\mathbf{Z}_{l}[t]$. The Chinese remainder theorem gives a decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{Z}_{l}[t] /(\chi) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Z}_{l}[t] /(f) \times \mathbf{Z}_{l}[t] /\left(f^{\perp}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which in turn induces a decomposition

$$
J\left[l^{\infty}\right] \cong \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{G}^{\perp}
$$

of $l$-divisible groups. We note that $\mathbf{G}$ is of $\operatorname{rank} b$ and that $f$ is the characteristic polynomial of $\mathrm{F}_{q}$ on $\mathbf{G}$. Let $a$ be a positive integer such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{a}=1 \quad \text { in }\left(\mathbf{F}_{l}[t] / \bar{f}\right)^{\times} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

let $h_{a}$ be the unique element of $\mathbf{Z}_{l}[t] /(f)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{a}-1=l h_{a} \in \mathbf{Z}_{l}[t] /(f) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $k_{a}$ be an extension of degree $a$ of $k$. All the points of $\mathbf{G}[l]$ are $k_{a}$-rational, and the $b$ dimensional $\mathbf{F}_{l}$-vector space $\mathbf{G}[l]\left(k_{a}\right)$ is the generalised eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue 1 of $\mathrm{F}_{q}$ inside the $\mathbf{F}_{l}$-vector space of points of $J[l]$ over an algebraic closure of $k_{a}$. In particular, we have the identity

$$
J[l](k)=\left\{x \in \mathbf{G}[l]\left(k_{a}\right) \mid \mathrm{F}_{q}(x)=x\right\}
$$

As explained in §3.8, the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{G}\left(k_{a}\right) / l \mathbf{G}\left(k_{a}\right) & \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{G}[l]\left(k_{a}\right) \\
x & \longmapsto h_{a}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{q}\right)(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

is well-defined and equal to the Kummer isomorphism

$$
K_{l}^{\mathbf{G} / k_{a}}: \mathbf{G}\left(k_{a}\right) / l \mathbf{G}\left(k_{a}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{G}[l]\left(k_{a}\right)
$$

of order $l$.
The above results give us a way of generating uniformly random elements of the $\mathbf{F}_{l}$-vector space $\mathbf{G}[l]\left(k_{a}\right)$. We factor $\# J\left(k_{a}\right)$ as

$$
\# J\left(k_{a}\right)=l^{c_{a}} m_{a}
$$

with $c_{a} \geq 0, m_{a} \geq 1$ and $l \nmid m_{a}$. Let $e$ be the idempotent in $\mathbf{Z}_{l}[t] /(\chi)$ corresponding to the element $(1,0)$ on the right-hand side of $(3.13)$. Composing the maps

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(k_{a}\right) \xrightarrow{m_{a}} J\left[l^{\infty}\right]\left(k_{a}\right) \xrightarrow{e\left(\mathrm{~F}_{q}\right)} \mathbf{G}\left(k_{a}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}\left(k_{a}\right) / l \mathbf{G}\left(k_{a}\right) \xrightarrow{h_{a}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{q}\right)} \mathbf{G}[l]\left(k_{a}\right) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get a surjective group homomorphism from $J\left(k_{a}\right)$ to $\mathbf{G}[l]\left(k_{a}\right)$. We can use this map to convert uniformly random elements of $J\left(k_{a}\right)$ into uniformly random elements of $\mathbf{G}[l]\left(k_{a}\right)$, provided we know $e$ and $h_{a}$ to sufficient $l$-adic precision. It is clear that to compute the Kummer map we only need to know the image of $h_{a}$ in $\mathbf{Z}_{l}[t] /(f, l)=\mathbf{F}_{l}[t] /\left((t-1)^{b}\right)$. Since $\mathbf{G}\left(k_{a}\right)$ can be identified with a subgroup of $\# J\left(k_{a}\right)$, it is annihilated by $l^{c_{a}}$, and we have

$$
J\left[l^{\infty}\right]\left(k_{a}\right)=J\left[l^{c_{a}}\right]\left(k_{a}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{G}\left(k_{a}\right)=\mathbf{G}\left[l^{c_{a}}\right]\left(k_{a}\right)
$$

This implies that it suffices to know $e$ to precision $O\left(l^{c_{a}}\right)$.
Let us check that there is a reasonably small $a$ for which (3.14) holds. For any non-negative integer $\gamma$ the identity

$$
t^{l^{\gamma}}-1=(t-1)^{l^{\gamma}}
$$

holds in $\mathbf{F}_{l}[t]$, and the right-hand side maps to zero in $\mathbf{F}_{l}[t] /(t-1)^{b}$ if and only if $l^{\gamma} \geq b$. Since $l$ is a prime number, we conclude that the order of $t$ in $\mathbf{F}_{l}[t] /\left((t-1)^{b}\right)$ equals $l^{\gamma}$, where $\gamma$ is the least non-negative integer such that $l^{\gamma} \geq b$.

Algorithm 3.11 (Computing the l-torsion of the Picard group). Let $X$ be a projective curve over a finite field $k$ with $q$ elements, let $J$ be its Jacobian, and let $l$ be a prime number different from the characteristic of $k$. Given the $k$-algebra $S_{X}^{(7)}$ and the characteristic polynomial $\chi$ of the Frobenius endomorphism of $J$ over $k$, this algorithm outputs an $\mathbf{F}_{l}$-basis for $J[l](k)=(\operatorname{Pic} X)[l]$. The algorithm depends on a parameter $\alpha \in(0,1)$.

1. Factor $\chi \bmod l$ in $\mathbf{F}_{l}[t]$ as

$$
(\chi \bmod l)=\bar{f} \cdot \bar{f}^{\perp}
$$

where $\bar{f}$ is the greatest power of $t-1$ dividing $\chi \bmod l$, say $\bar{f}=(t-1)^{b}$, and lift this to a factorisation

$$
\chi=f \cdot f^{\perp}
$$

in coprime monic polynomials in $\mathbf{Z}_{l}[t]$.
2. Compute the non-negative integer $r$ defined by

$$
r= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } b=0 \\ b-1+\left\lceil\frac{\log \frac{1}{1-\alpha^{1 / b}}}{\log l}\right\rceil & \text { if } b \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

3. Define $a=l^{\gamma}$, where $\gamma$ is the least non-negative integer such that $l^{\gamma} \geq b$. Generate a finite extension $k_{a}$ of degree $a$ of $k$. Factor $\# J\left(k_{a}\right)$ as

$$
\# J\left(k_{a}\right)=l^{c_{a}} m_{a} \quad \text { with } l \nmid m_{a} .
$$

Compute the image of the idempotent $e$ in $\left(\mathbf{Z} / l^{c_{a}} \mathbf{Z}\right)[t] /(\chi)$ using the extended Euclidean algorithm, and compute the image of $h_{a}$ in $\mathbf{F}_{l}[t] /\left((t-1)^{b}\right)$ using the definition (3.15) of $h_{a}$.
4. Generate $r$ uniformly random elements of $J\left(k_{a}\right)$ as explained in $\S 3.5$, and map them to elements $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r} \in \mathbf{G}[l]\left(k_{a}\right)$ using the homomorphism (3.16).
5. Using Algorithm 3.7, compute a basis for the kernel of the $\mathbf{F}_{l}$-linear map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma: \mathbf{F}_{l}^{r} & \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}[l]\left(k_{a}\right) \\
\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{r}\right) & \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^{r} c_{i} x_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If the dimension of this kernel is greater than $r-b$, go to step 4 .
6. Use the $\mathbf{F}_{l}$-linear relations between $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ computed in the previous step to find a subsequence $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{b}\right)$ of $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)$ that is an $\mathbf{F}_{l}$-basis of $\mathbf{G}[l]\left(k_{a}\right)$.
7. Let $M$ be the matrix with respect to the basis $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{b}\right)$ of the $\mathbf{F}_{l}$-linear automorphism of $\mathbf{G}[l]\left(k_{a}\right)$ induced by the Frobenius endomorphism $\mathrm{F}_{q}$ of $J$ over $k$. Compute $M$ by computing $\mathrm{F}_{q}\left(y_{i}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, b$ using Algorithm 3.1 and then applying Algorithm 3.7 to express the $\mathrm{F}_{q}\left(y_{i}\right)$ as linear combinations of the $y_{i}$.
8. Compute a basis for the kernel of $M-I$, where $I$ is the $b \times b$ identity matrix. Map the basis elements to elements $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{t}$ of $\mathbf{G}[l]\left(k_{a}\right)$ using the injective homomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{F}_{l}^{b} & \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}[l]\left(k_{a}\right) \\
\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{b}\right) & \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^{b} a_{i} y_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Output $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{t}\right)$.
Analysis. The definition of $a$ implies that $a$ equals the order of $t$ in $\left(\mathbf{F}_{l}[t] /(t-1)^{b}\right)^{\times}$, and $J[l](k)$ equals the kernel of $\mathrm{F}_{q}$ - id on $\mathbf{G}[l]\left(k_{a}\right)$, as remarked before. The elements $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ of $\mathbf{G}[l]\left(k_{a}\right)$ are uniformly random by the fact that (3.16) is a homomorphism. By Lemma 3.9, they generate
the $b$-dimensional $\mathbf{F}_{l}$-vector space $\mathbf{G}[l]\left(k_{a}\right)$ with probability at least $\alpha$. The definition of $a$ also implies that

$$
a \leq \max \left\{1,2 g_{X} l-1\right\}
$$

while the "class number formula" (3.6) gives the upper bound

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{a} & \leq \frac{\log \# J\left(k_{a}\right)}{\log l} \\
& \leq \frac{2 g_{X} \log \left(1+q^{a / 2}\right)}{\log l}
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $c_{a}$ is bounded by a polynomial in $g_{X}, \log q$ and $l$. For fixed $\alpha$ we therefore reach step 6 in expected polynomial time in $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}, \log q$ and $l$. In steps $6-8$ we compute a basis for the kernel of $\mathrm{F}_{q}$ - id, which is $J[l](k)$. We conclude that the algorithm is correct and runs in probabilistic polynomial time in $\operatorname{deg} \mathcal{L}_{X}, \log q$ and $l$.

Remark. The elements $z_{j}$ output by the preceding algorithm are defined over $k$. In general, it seems unclear how to generate $k$-vector spaces (instead of $k_{a}$-vector spaces) representing them. However, if we know a $k$-rational point on $X$, then we can use Algorithm 2.13 to accomplish this.
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