

# OPDAM'S HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTIONS : PRODUCT FORMULA AND CONVOLUTION STRUCTURE IN DIMENSION 1

J.-PH. ANKER, F. AYADI AND M. SIFI

ABSTRACT. Let  $G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  be the eigenfunctions of the Dunkl–Cherednik operator  $T^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . In this paper we express the product  $G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(y)$  as an integral in terms of  $G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z)$  with an explicit kernel. In general this kernel is not positive. Furthermore, by taking the so-called rational limit, we recover the product formula of M. Rösler for the Dunkl kernel. We then define and study a convolution structure associated to  $G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Opdam hypergeometric functions  $G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  are normalized eigenfunctions

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} T^{(\alpha, \beta)} G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = i\lambda G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) \\ G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(0) = 1 \end{cases}$$

of the differential–difference operator

$$T^{(\alpha, \beta)} f(x) = f'(x) + \underbrace{\{(2\alpha+1) \coth x + (2\beta+1) \tanh x\}}_{\{(\alpha-\beta) \coth x + (2\beta+1) \coth 2x\}} \frac{f(x)-f(-x)}{2} - \rho f(-x).$$

Here  $\alpha \geq \beta \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\rho = \alpha + \beta + 1$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Notice that, in Cherednik's notation,  $T^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  writes

$$T(k_1, k_2) f(x) = f'(x) + \left\{ \frac{2k_1}{1-e^{-2x}} + \frac{4k_2}{1-e^{-4x}} \right\} \{f(x) - f(-x)\} - (k_1 + 2k_2) f(x),$$

with  $\alpha = k_1 + k_2 - \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\beta = k_2 - \frac{1}{2}$ . We use as main references the article [11] and the lecture notes [12] by Opdam.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 33C67, 43A62, 44A35; Secondary 33C45, 33C52, 43A15, 43A32.

*Key words and phrases.* Dunkl–Cherednik operator, Opdam–Cherednik transform, product formula, convolution product, Kunze–Stein phenomenon.

*Acknowledgements.* The authors were partially supported by the DGRST project 04/UR/15-02, the cooperation programs PHC Utique / CMCU 07G 1501 & 10G 1503 and by the *Fédération Denis Poisson* (FR 2964). They are grateful to the referee for his thorough checking and detailed comments.

The functions  $G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  are closely related to Jacobi or hypergeometric functions (see e.g. [11, p. 90], [12, Example 7.8], [6, Proposition 2.1]). Specifically,

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) &= \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) - \frac{1}{\rho - i\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \\ &= \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) + \frac{\rho + i\lambda}{4(\alpha + 1)} \sinh 2x \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = {}_2F_1\left(\frac{\rho+i\lambda}{2}, \frac{\rho-i\lambda}{2}; \alpha+1; -\sinh^2 x\right)$ .

This paper deals with harmonic analysis for the functions  $G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ . We derive mainly a product formula for  $G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ , which is analogous to the corresponding result of Flensted-Jensen and Koornwinder [4] for Jacobi functions, and of Ben Salem and Ould Ahmed Salem [2] for Jacobi–Dunkl functions. The product formula is the key information needed in order to define an associated convolution structure on  $\mathbb{R}$ . More precisely, we deduce the product formula

$$(1.3) \quad G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z) d\mu_{x,y}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C},$$

from the corresponding formula for  $\varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Here  $\mu_{x,y}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  is an explicit real valued measure with compact support on  $\mathbb{R}$ , which may not be positive and which is uniformly bounded in  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . We conclude the first part of the paper by recovering as a limit case the product formula for the Dunkl kernel obtained in [13].

In the second part of the paper, we use the product formula (1.3) to define and study the translation operators

$$\tau_x^{(\alpha,\beta)} f(y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z) d\mu_{x,y}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z).$$

We next define the convolution product of suitable functions  $f$  and  $g$  by

$$f *_{\alpha,\beta} g(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tau_x^{(\alpha,\beta)} f(-y) g(y) A_{\alpha,\beta}(|y|) dy,$$

where  $A_{\alpha,\beta}(y) = (\sinh y)^{2\alpha+1} (\cosh y)^{2\beta+1}$ . We show in particular that  $f *_{\alpha,\beta} g = g *_{\alpha,\beta} f$  and that  $\mathcal{F}(f *_{\alpha,\beta} g) = \mathcal{F}(f) \mathcal{F}(g)$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the so-called Opdam–Cherednik transform. Eventually we prove an analog of the Kunze–Stein phenomenon for the  $*_{\alpha,\beta}$ -convolution product of  $L^p$ -spaces.

In the last part of the paper, we construct an orthogonal basis of the Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|) dx)$ , generalizing the corresponding result of Koornwinder [9] for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) dx)$ . As a limit case, we recover the Hermite functions constructed by Rosenblum [14] in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, |x|^{2\alpha+1} dx)$ .

Our paper is organised as follows. In section 2, we recall some properties and formulas for Jacobi functions. In section 3, we give the proof of the product formula for  $G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ . Section 4 is devoted to the translation operators and the associated convolution product. Section 5 contains a Kunze–Stein type phenomenon. In

Section 6, we construct an orthogonal basis of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx)$  and compute its Opdam–Cherednik transform.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we recall some properties of the Jacobi functions. See [4] and [5] for more details, as well as the survey [9].

Let  $\alpha \geq \beta \geq -\frac{1}{2}$  with  $\alpha \neq -\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . The Jacobi function  $\varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  is defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) &= {}_2F_1\left(\frac{\rho+i\lambda}{2}, \frac{\rho-i\lambda}{2}; \alpha+1; -\sinh^2 x\right) \\ &= (\cosh x)^{-\rho-i\lambda} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{\rho+i\lambda}{2}, \frac{\alpha-\beta+1+i\lambda}{2}; \alpha+1; \tanh^2 x\right) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\rho = \alpha + \beta + 1$  and  ${}_2F_1$  denotes the hypergeometric function.

Its asymptotic behavior is generically given by

$$(2.2) \quad \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = c_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda) \Phi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) + c_{\alpha,\beta}(-\lambda) \Phi_{-\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus i\mathbb{Z}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^*,$$

where

$$(2.3) \quad c_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda) = \frac{\Gamma(2\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})} \frac{\Gamma(i\lambda)}{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta+i\lambda)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha-\beta+i\lambda}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\rho+i\lambda}{2})} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1) 2^{\rho-i\lambda} \Gamma(i\lambda)}{\Gamma(\frac{\rho+i\lambda}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{\alpha-\beta+1+i\lambda}{2})}$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \Phi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = (2 \cosh x)^{-\rho+i\lambda} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{\rho-i\lambda}{2}, \frac{\alpha-\beta+1-i\lambda}{2}; 1-i\lambda; \cosh^{-2} x\right).$$

In the limit case  $\lambda=0$ , we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad \varphi_0^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = \frac{2^{\rho+1} \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{\rho}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{\alpha-\beta+1}{2})} |x| e^{-\rho|x|} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\rho|x|}) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow +\infty,$$

after multiplying (2.2) by  $\lambda$  and applying  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}|_{\lambda=0}$ .

The Jacobi functions satisfy the following product formula, for  $\alpha > \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$  and  $x, y \geq 0$ :

$$(2.6) \quad \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y) = \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\arg \cosh |\gamma(x, y, r, \psi)|) dm_{\alpha,\beta}(r, \psi),$$

where

$$\gamma(x, y, r, \psi) = \cosh x \cosh y + \sinh x \sinh y r e^{i\psi},$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad dm_{\alpha,\beta}(r, \psi) = 2 M_{\alpha,\beta} (1 - r^2)^{\alpha-\beta-1} (r \sin \psi)^{2\beta} r dr d\psi$$

with

$$M_{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha-\beta) \Gamma(\beta+\frac{1}{2})}.$$

When  $\alpha = \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$ , the product formula becomes

$$(2.8) \quad \varphi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(x) \varphi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(y) = M_{\alpha, \alpha} \int_0^{\pi} \varphi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(\arg \cosh |\gamma(x, y, 1, \psi)|) (\sin \psi)^{2\alpha} d\psi,$$

where  $M_{\alpha, \alpha} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})}$ . Notice that the limit cases  $\alpha > \beta = -\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\alpha = \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$  are connected by the quadratic transformation  $\varphi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, -\frac{1}{2})}(x) = \varphi_{2\lambda}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(\frac{x}{2})$ .

For  $\alpha > \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$  and fixed  $x, y > 0$ , we perform the change of variables  $]0, 1[ \times ]0, \pi[ \ni (r, \psi) \mapsto (z, \chi) \in ]0, +\infty[ \times ]0, \pi[$  defined by

$$(2.9) \quad \cosh z e^{i\chi} = \gamma(x, y, r, \psi) \iff \begin{cases} r \cos \psi = \frac{\cosh z \cos \chi - \cosh x \cosh y}{\sinh x \sinh y}, \\ r \sin \psi = \frac{\cosh z \sin \chi}{\sinh x \sinh y}. \end{cases}$$

This implies in particular that

$$\cosh(x-y) \leq \cosh(z) \leq \cosh(x+y),$$

and therefore  $x, y, z$  satisfy the triangular inequality

$$|x-y| \leq |z| \leq x+y.$$

Moreover, an easy computation gives

$$1 - r^2 = (\sinh x \sinh y)^{-2} g(x, y, z, \chi),$$

where

$$(2.10) \quad g(x, y, z, \chi) := 1 - \cosh^2 x - \cosh^2 y - \cosh^2 z + 2 \cosh x \cosh y \cosh z \cos \chi.$$

Furthermore, the measure  $\sinh^2 x \sinh^2 y r dr d\psi$  becomes  $\cosh z \sinh z dz d\chi$  and therefore the measure (2.7) becomes

$$dm_{\alpha, \beta}(r, \psi) = 2 M_{\alpha, \beta} g(x, y, z, \chi)^{\alpha-\beta-1} (\sinh x \sinh y \sinh z)^{-2\alpha} (\sin \chi)^{2\beta} A_{\alpha, \beta}(z) dz d\chi,$$

where

$$(2.11) \quad A_{\alpha, \beta}(z) := (\sinh z)^{2\alpha+1} (\cosh z)^{2\beta+1}.$$

Hence, the product formula (2.6) reads

$$(2.12) \quad \varphi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) \varphi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(y) = \int_0^{+\infty} \varphi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z) W_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) A_{\alpha, \beta}(z) dz, \quad x, y > 0,$$

where

$$W_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) := 2 M_{\alpha, \beta} (\sinh x \sinh y \sinh z)^{-2\alpha} \int_0^{\pi} g(x, y, z, \chi)_+^{\alpha-\beta-1} (\sin \chi)^{2\beta} d\chi$$

if  $x, y, z > 0$  satisfy  $|x-y| < z < x+y$  and  $W_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) = 0$  otherwise. Here

$$g_+ = \begin{cases} g & \text{if } g > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } g \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

We point out that the function  $W_{\alpha,\beta}(x, y, z)$  is nonnegative, symmetric in the variables  $x, y, z$  and that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} W_{\alpha,\beta}(x, y, z) A_{\alpha,\beta}(z) dz = 1.$$

Furthermore, in [4, Formula (4.19)] the authors express  $W_{\alpha,\beta}$  as follows in terms of the hypergeometric function  ${}_2F_1$  : For every  $x, y, z > 0$  satisfying the triangular inequality  $|x - y| < z < x + y$ ,

$$(2.13) \quad W_{\alpha,\beta}(x, y, z) = M_{\alpha,\alpha} (\cosh x \cosh y \cosh z)^{\alpha-\beta-1} (\sinh x \sinh y \sinh z)^{-2\alpha} \\ \times (1 - B^2)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} {}_2F_1\left(\alpha + \beta, \alpha - \beta; \alpha + \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1 - B}{2}\right),$$

where

$$B := \frac{\cosh^2 x + \cosh^2 y + \cosh^2 z - 1}{2 \cosh x \cosh y \cosh z}.$$

Notice that

$$1 \pm B = \frac{[\cosh(x+y) \pm \cosh z] [\cosh z \pm \cosh(x-y)]}{2 \cosh x \cosh y \cosh z},$$

hence

$$(2.14) \quad 1 - B^2 = \frac{[\cosh 2(x+y) - \cosh 2z] [\cosh 2z - \cosh 2(x-y)]}{16 \cosh^2 x \cosh^2 y \cosh^2 z} \\ = \frac{\sinh(x+y+z) \sinh(-x+y+z) \sinh(x-y+z) \sinh(x+y-z)}{4 \cosh^2 x \cosh^2 y \cosh^2 z}.$$

In the case  $\alpha = \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$ , we use instead the change of variables

$$\cosh z = |\gamma(x, y, 1, \psi)| = |\cosh x \cosh y + \sinh x \sinh y e^{i\psi}|,$$

and we obtain the same product formula (2.12), where  $W_{\alpha,\alpha}$  is given by

$$(2.15) \quad W_{\alpha,\alpha}(x, y, z) = 2^{4\alpha+1} M_{\alpha,\alpha} [\sinh 2x \sinh 2y \sinh 2z]^{-2\alpha} \\ \times [\sinh(x+y+z) \sinh(-x+y+z) \sinh(x-y+z) \sinh(x+y-z)]^{\alpha-1/2}.$$

In the case  $\alpha > \beta = -\frac{1}{2}$ , we use the quadratic transformation

$$\varphi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, -\frac{1}{2})}(2x) = \varphi_{2\lambda}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(x),$$

and we obtain again the product formula (2.12), with

$$W_{\alpha, -\frac{1}{2}}(x, y, z) = 2^{-2\alpha} W_{\alpha,\alpha}\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}, \frac{z}{2}\right).$$

As noticed by Koornwinder [8] (see also [5]), the product formulas (2.6) and (2.12) are closely connected with the addition formula for the Jacobi functions,

that we recall now for later use :

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \varphi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\arg \cosh |\gamma(x, y, r, \psi)|) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq k < \infty} \varphi_{\lambda, k, \ell}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) \varphi_{-\lambda, k, \ell}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-y) \chi_{k, \ell}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r, \psi) \Pi_{k, \ell}^{(\alpha, \beta)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\varphi_{\lambda, k, \ell}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = \frac{c_{\alpha, \beta}(-\lambda)}{c_{\alpha+k+\ell, \beta+k-\ell}(-\lambda)} (2 \sinh x)^{k-\ell} (2 \cosh x)^{k+\ell} \varphi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha+k+\ell, \beta+k-\ell)}(x)$$

are modified Jacobi functions, the functions

$$\chi_{k, \ell}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r, \psi) = r^{k-\ell} \frac{\ell!}{(\alpha-\beta)_{\ell}} P_{\ell}^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta+k-\ell)}(2r^2-1) \frac{(k-\ell)!}{(\beta+\frac{1}{2})_{k-\ell}} P_{k-\ell}^{(\beta-\frac{1}{2}, \beta-\frac{1}{2})}(\cos \psi),$$

which are expressed in terms of Jacobi polynomials (see for instance [1])

$$(2.17) \quad P_n^{(a, b)}(z) = \frac{(a+1)_n}{n!} {}_2F_1\left(-n, a+b+n+1; a+1; \frac{1-z}{2}\right),$$

are orthogonal with respect to the measure (2.7), and

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \Pi_{k, \ell}^{(\alpha, \beta)} &= \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^{\pi} \chi_{k, \ell}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r, \psi)^2 dm_{\alpha, \beta}(r, \psi) \right)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{(\alpha+k+\ell)(\beta+2k-2\ell)}{(\alpha+k)(2\beta+k-\ell)} \frac{(\alpha+1)_k (\alpha-\beta)_{\ell} (2\beta+1)_{k-\ell}}{(\beta+1)_k \ell! (k-\ell)!}. \end{aligned}$$

### 3. PRODUCT FORMULA FOR $G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$

For  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\chi \in [0, \pi]$ , let

$$(3.1) \quad \sigma_{x, y, z}^{\chi} = \begin{cases} \frac{\cosh x \cosh y - \cosh z \cos \chi}{\sinh x \sinh y} & \text{if } xy \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } xy = 0. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, if  $\alpha > \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$ , let us define  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) &= M_{\alpha, \beta} \left| \sinh x \sinh y \sinh z \right|^{-2\alpha} \int_0^{\pi} g(x, y, z, \chi)_{+}^{\alpha-\beta-1} \\ &\quad \times \left[ 1 - \sigma_{x, y, z}^{\chi} + \sigma_{x, z, y}^{\chi} + \sigma_{z, y, x}^{\chi} + \frac{\rho}{\beta + \frac{1}{2}} \coth x \coth y \coth z (\sin \chi)^2 \right] \\ &\quad \times (\sin \chi)^{2\beta} d\chi \end{aligned}$$

if  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^*$  satisfy the triangular inequality  $||x| - |y|| < |z| < |x| + |y|$ , and  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) = 0$  otherwise. Here  $g(x, y, z, \chi)$  is as in (2.10).

**Remark 3.1.** *The following symmetry properties are easy to check:*

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) = \mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}(y, x, z), \\ \mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) = \mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}(-z, y, -x), \\ \mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) = \mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}(x, -z, -y). \end{cases}$$

Recall the Opdam functions  $G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  defined in (1.2). This section is devoted to the proof of our main result, that we state first in the case  $\alpha > \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume  $\alpha > \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$ . Then  $G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  satisfies the following product formula

$$G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z) d\mu_{x,y}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z),$$

for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Here

$$(3.2) \quad d\mu_{x,y}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) A_{\alpha, \beta}(|z|) dz & \text{if } xy \neq 0 \\ d\delta_x(z) & \text{if } y = 0 \\ d\delta_y(z) & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

and  $A_{\alpha, \beta}$  is as in (2.11).

Let us split the Opdam function

$$G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)} = G_{\lambda, e}^{(\alpha, \beta)} + G_{\lambda, o}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$$

into its even part

$$G_{\lambda, e}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$$

and odd part

$$G_{\lambda, o}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = -\frac{1}{\rho - i\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = \frac{\rho + i\lambda}{4(\alpha + 1)} \sinh 2x \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(x).$$

For  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^*$ , the product formula (2.12) for the Jacobi functions yields

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\lambda, e}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) G_{\lambda, e}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(y) &= \int_{||x|-|y||}^{|x|+|y|} G_{\lambda, e}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z) W_{\alpha, \beta}(|x|, |y|, z) A_{\alpha, \beta}(z) dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{I_{x,y}} G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z) W_{\alpha, \beta}(|x|, |y|, |z|) A_{\alpha, \beta}(|z|) dz, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad I_{x,y} := [-|x|-|y|, -||x|-|y||] \cup [||x|-|y||, |x|+|y|].$$

Next let us turn to the mixed products. The following statement amounts to Lemma 2.3 in [2].

**Lemma 3.3.** For  $\alpha > \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^*$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\lambda, o}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) G_{\lambda, e}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(y) &= M_{\alpha, \beta} \int_{I_{x,y}} G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z) |\sinh x \sinh y \sinh z|^{-2\alpha} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \int_0^\pi g(x, y, z, \chi)_+^{\alpha-\beta-1} \sigma_{x,z,y}^\chi (\sin \chi)^{2\beta} d\chi \right\} A_{\alpha, \beta}(|z|) dz, \end{aligned}$$

where  $g(x, y, z, \chi)$  is given by (2.10),  $\sigma_{x,z,y}^\chi$  by (3.1) and  $I_{x,y}$  by (3.3).

We consider now purely odd products, which is the most difficult case.

**Lemma 3.4.** For  $\alpha > \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^*$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\lambda,0}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) G_{\lambda,0}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y) &= M_{\alpha,\beta} \int_{I_{x,y}} G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z) |\sinh x \sinh y \sinh z|^{-2\alpha} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \int_0^\pi g(x, y, z, \chi)_+^{\alpha-\beta-1} \left[ -\sigma_{x,y,z}^\chi - \frac{\rho}{(\beta + \frac{1}{2})} \coth x \coth y \coth z (\sin \chi)^2 \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times (\sin \chi)^{2\beta} d\chi \right\} A_{\alpha,\beta}(|z|) dz. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For  $x, y > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\lambda,0}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) G_{\lambda,0}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y) &= \frac{(\rho + i\lambda)^2}{16(\alpha+1)^2} \sinh 2x \sinh 2y \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(x) \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(y) \\ (3.4) \quad &= \mathcal{I}_{\lambda,1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x, y) + \mathcal{I}_{\lambda,2}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x, y) := -\frac{\rho^2 + \lambda^2}{16(\alpha+1)^2} \sinh 2x \sinh 2y \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(x) \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(y),$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{I}_{\lambda,2}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x, y) := \frac{\rho(\rho + i\lambda)}{8(\alpha+1)^2} \sinh 2x \sinh 2y \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(x) \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(y).$$

Consider first  $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ . We deduce from the addition formula (2.16) that

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^\pi \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\arg \cosh |\gamma(x, y, r, \psi)|) \chi_{1,0}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(r, \psi) dm_{\alpha,\beta}(r, \psi) = \varphi_{\lambda,1,0}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \varphi_{-\lambda,1,0}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-y),$$

where  $\chi_{1,0}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(r, \psi) = r \cos \psi$  and  $\varphi_{\pm\lambda,1,0}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = \frac{\rho \mp i\lambda}{4(\alpha+1)} \sinh 2x \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(x)$ . Hence

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{I}_{\lambda,1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x, y) = \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\arg \cosh |\gamma(x, y, r, \psi)|) r \cos \psi dm_{\alpha,\beta}(r, \psi).$$

By performing the change of variables (2.9) and arguing as in Section 2, (3.6) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\lambda,1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x, y) &= -2 M_{\alpha,\beta} \int_{|x-y|}^{x+y} G_{\lambda,e}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z) (\sinh x \sinh y \sinh z)^{-2\alpha} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \int_0^\pi \sigma_{x,y,z}^\chi g(x, y, z, \chi)_+^{\alpha-\beta-1} (\sin \chi)^{2\beta} d\chi \right\} A_{\alpha,\beta}(z) dz. \end{aligned}$$

By using the symmetries

$$\begin{cases} g(x, y, z, \chi) = g(|x|, |y|, |z|, \chi), \\ \mathcal{I}_{\lambda,1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x, y) = \text{sign}(xy) \mathcal{I}_{\lambda,1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(|x|, |y|), \\ \sigma_{x,y,z}^\chi = \text{sign}(xy) \sigma_{|x|,|y|,|z|}^\chi, \end{cases}$$



we conclude, for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^*$ , that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\lambda,1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x,y) &= -M_{\alpha,\beta} \int_{I_{x,y}} G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z) |\sinh x \sinh y \sinh z|^{-2\alpha} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \int_0^\pi \sigma_{x,y,z}^{\chi} g(x,y,z,\chi)_+^{\alpha-\beta-1} (\sin \chi)^{2\beta} d\chi \right\} A_{\alpha,\beta}(|z|) dz. \end{aligned}$$

Consider next  $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,2}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ . By using this time the product formula (2.12) for  $\varphi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}$ , we obtain, for  $x, y > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\lambda,2}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x,y) &= \frac{\rho(\rho+i\lambda)}{4(\alpha+1)^2} M_{\alpha+1,\beta+1} \sinh 2x \sinh 2y \\ &\quad \times \int_{|x-y|}^{x+y} \varphi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(z) (\sinh x \sinh y \sinh z)^{-2\alpha-2} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \int_0^\pi g(x,y,z,\chi)_+^{\alpha-\beta-1} (\sin \chi)^{2\beta+2} d\chi \right\} A_{\alpha+1,\beta+1}(z) dz \\ &= 2 M_{\alpha,\beta} \int_{|x-y|}^{x+y} G_{\lambda,o}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z) (\sinh x \sinh y \sinh z)^{-2\alpha} \frac{\rho}{\beta+\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \coth x \coth y \coth z \left\{ \int_0^\pi g(x,y,z,\chi)_+^{\alpha-\beta-1} (\sin \chi)^{2\beta+2} d\chi \right\} A_{\alpha,\beta}(z) dz. \end{aligned}$$

By arguing again by evenness and oddness, we deduce, for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^*$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\lambda,2}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x,y) &= M_{\alpha,\beta} \int_{I_{x,y}} G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z) |\sinh x \sinh y \sinh z|^{-2\alpha} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\rho}{\beta+\frac{1}{2}} (\coth x \coth y \coth z) \left\{ \int_0^\pi g(x,y,z,\chi)_+^{\alpha-\beta-1} (\sin \chi)^{2\beta+2} d\chi \right\} A_{\alpha,\beta}(|z|) dz. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of Lemma 3.4 and hence the proof of Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

Next we turn our attention to the case  $\alpha = \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$ . For  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ , let

$$(3.7) \quad \sigma_{x,y,z} = \begin{cases} \frac{\cosh 2x \cosh 2y - \cosh 2z}{\sinh 2x \sinh 2y} & \text{if } xy \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } xy = 0. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we define the kernel  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\alpha}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\alpha}(x,y,z) &= 2^{4\alpha+2} M_{\alpha,\alpha} e^{x+y-z} \\ &\quad \times \frac{[\sinh(x+y+z) \sinh(-x+y+z) \sinh(x-y+z) \sinh(x+y-z)]^{\alpha-1/2}}{|\sinh 2x \sinh 2y \sinh 2z|^{2\alpha}} \\ (3.8) \quad &\times \frac{\sinh(x+y+z) \sinh(-x+y+z) \sinh(x-y+z)}{\sinh 2x \sinh 2y \sinh 2z} \end{aligned}$$

if  $||x| - |y|| < |z| < |x| + |y|$ , and  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\alpha}(x,y,z) = 0$  otherwise. The symmetry properties of  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}$  (see Remark 3.1) remain true for  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\alpha}$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *In the case  $\alpha = \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$ , the product formula reads*

$$(3.9) \quad G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(x) G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(z) d\mu_{x,y}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(z),$$

for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Here

$$(3.10) \quad d\mu_{x,y}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(z) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \alpha}(x, y, z) A_{\alpha, \alpha}(|z|) dz & \text{if } xy \neq 0, \\ d\delta_x(z) & \text{if } y = 0, \\ d\delta_y(z) & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The even product formula

$$G_{\lambda, e}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(x) G_{\lambda, e}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(y) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{I_{x,y}} G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(z) W_{\alpha, \alpha}(|x|, |y|, |z|) A_{\alpha, \alpha}(|z|) dz$$

and the mixed product formulae

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\lambda, e}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(x) G_{\lambda, o}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(y) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{I_{x,y}} G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(z) \sigma_{z,y,x} W_{\alpha, \alpha}(|x|, |y|, |z|) A_{\alpha, \alpha}(|z|) dz, \\ G_{\lambda, o}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(x) G_{\lambda, e}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(y) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{I_{x,y}} G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(z) \sigma_{x,z,y} W_{\alpha, \alpha}(|x|, |y|, |z|) A_{\alpha, \alpha}(|z|) dz \end{aligned}$$

are obtained as in the case  $\alpha > \beta$ . Here  $W_{\alpha, \alpha}$  is given by (2.15),  $I_{x,y}$  by (3.3) and  $\sigma_{x,z,y}$  by (3.7). As far as they are concerned, odd products are splitted up as in (3.4):

$$G_{\lambda, o}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(x) G_{\lambda, o}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(y) = \mathcal{I}_{\lambda, 1}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(x, y) + \mathcal{I}_{\lambda, 2}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(x, y).$$

The first expression  $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda, 1}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}$  is handled as  $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda, 1}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  in the case  $\alpha > \beta$ . We perform this time the change of variables  $]0, \pi[ \ni \psi \mapsto z \in ]0, +\infty[$  defined by  $\cosh z = |\gamma(x, y, 1, \psi)|$  and we obtain this way

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\lambda, 1}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(x, y) &= -M_{\alpha, \alpha} \int_0^{\pi} G_{\lambda, e}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(\arg \cosh |\gamma(x, y, 1, \psi)|) \cos \psi (\sin \psi)^{2\alpha} d\psi \\ &= - \int_{|x-y|}^{x+y} G_{\lambda, e}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(z) \sigma_{x,y,z} W_{\alpha, \alpha}(x, y, z) A_{\alpha, \alpha}(z) dz, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\mathcal{I}_{\lambda, 1}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(x, y) = - \frac{1}{2} \int_{I_{x,y}} G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(z) \sigma_{x,y,z} W_{\alpha, \alpha}(|x|, |y|, |z|) A_{\alpha, \alpha}(|z|) dz,$$

first for  $x, y > 0$  and next for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^*$ . According to the product formula for  $\varphi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha+1, \alpha+1)}$ , the second expression  $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda, 2}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}$  becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\lambda, 2}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(x, y) &= \frac{2\alpha+1}{2(\alpha+1)} \int_{|x-y|}^{x+y} G_{\lambda, o}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(z) \\ &\quad \times \frac{\sinh 2x \sinh 2y}{\sinh 2z} W_{\alpha+1, \alpha+1}(x, y, z) A_{\alpha+1, \alpha+1}(z) dz \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y > 0$ . By using

$$W_{\alpha+1, \alpha+1}(x, y, z) = 16 \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha+1/2} \times \frac{\sinh(x+y+z) \sinh(-x+y+z) \sinh(x-y+z) \sinh(x+y-z)}{\sinh^2 2x \sinh^2 2y \sinh^2 2z} W_{\alpha, \alpha}(x, y, z)$$

and

$$A_{\alpha+1, \alpha+1}(z) = \frac{\sinh^2 2z}{4} A_{\alpha, \alpha}(z),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\lambda, 2}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(x, y) &= 2 \int_{I_{x, y}} G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(z) \\ &\times \frac{\sinh(x+y+z) \sinh(-x+y+z) \sinh(x-y+z) \sinh(x+y-z)}{\sinh 2x \sinh 2y \sinh 2z} \\ &\times W_{\alpha, \alpha}(|x|, |y|, |z|) A_{\alpha, \alpha}(|z|) dz, \end{aligned}$$

first for  $x, y > 0$  and next for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^*$ . We conclude the proof of Theorem 3.5 by summing all partial product formulas and by using the remarkable identity

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho(x, y, z) &= 1 - \sigma_{x, y, z} + \sigma_{z, y, x} + \sigma_{x, z, y} \\ &= 4 \frac{\sinh(x+y+z) \sinh(-x+y+z) \sinh(x-y+z) \cosh(x+y-z)}{\sinh 2x \sinh 2y \sinh 2z}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Consider next the rational limit of the product formula (3.9). It is well known that the hypergeometric function  ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$  tends to the confluent hypergeometric limit function  ${}_0F_1(c; Z)$  as  $a, b \rightarrow \infty$  and  $z \rightarrow 0$  in such a way that  $abz \rightarrow Z$ . Consequently, as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\varphi_{\lambda/\varepsilon}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(\varepsilon x) = {}_2F_1\left(\alpha + \frac{1}{2} + i\frac{\lambda}{2\varepsilon}, \alpha + \frac{1}{2} - i\frac{\lambda}{2\varepsilon}; \alpha + 1; -(\sinh \varepsilon x)^2\right)$$

tends to the normalized Bessel function

$$j_{\alpha}(\lambda x) = {}_0F_1\left(\alpha + 1; -\left(\frac{\lambda x}{2}\right)^2\right) = \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{m! \Gamma(\alpha + 1 + m)} \left(\frac{\lambda x}{2}\right)^{2m},$$

hence

$$G_{\lambda/\varepsilon}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(\varepsilon x) = \varphi_{\lambda/\varepsilon}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(\varepsilon x) + \frac{2\alpha + 1 + i\lambda/\varepsilon}{4(\alpha + 1)} \sinh(2\varepsilon x) \varphi_{\lambda/\varepsilon}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(\varepsilon x)$$

tends to

$$E_{\alpha}(i\lambda, x) = j_{\alpha}(\lambda x) + \frac{i\lambda x}{2(\alpha + 1)} j_{\alpha+1}(\lambda x).$$

The latter expression is the so-called Dunkl kernel in dimension 1, whose product formula was obtained in [13]:

$$(3.11) \quad E_\alpha(i\lambda, x) E_\alpha(i\lambda, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} E_\alpha(i\lambda, z) k_\alpha(x, y, z) |z|^{2\alpha+1} dz,$$

where

$$k_\alpha(x, y, z) = 2^{-2\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha+1/2)} [1 - \varsigma_{x,y,z} + \varsigma_{z,y,x} + \varsigma_{x,z,y}] \\ \times \frac{[(x+y+z)(-x+y+z)(x-y+z)(x+y-z)]^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}}{|xyz|^{2\alpha}}$$

with

$$\varsigma_{x,y,z} = \begin{cases} \frac{x^2+y^2-z^2}{2xy} & \text{if } xy \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } xy = 0, \end{cases}$$

hence

$$(3.12) \quad k_\alpha(x, y, z) = 2^{-2\alpha-1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha+1/2)} \frac{[(x+y+z)(-x+y+z)(x-y+z)(x+y-z)]^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}}{|xyz|^{2\alpha}} \\ \times \frac{(x+y+z)(-x+y+z)(x-y+z)}{xyz}.$$

Here is an immediate consequence of (3.8) and (3.12).

**Lemma 3.6.** *For every  $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$  and  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^*$ , we have*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{2\alpha+2} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\alpha}(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon y, \varepsilon z) = k_\alpha(x, y, z).$$

We deduce the following result, which was announced in the abstract and in the introduction.

**Corollary 3.7.** *The product formula (3.11) is the rational limit of the product formula (3.9). More precisely, (3.11) is obtained by replacing  $\lambda$  by  $\lambda/\varepsilon$  and  $(x, y)$  by  $(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon y)$  in (3.9), and by letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .*

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

- (i) *For  $\alpha \geq \beta \geq -\frac{1}{2}$  with  $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$ , we have  $\text{supp } \mu_{x,y}^{(\alpha,\beta)} \subset I_{x,y}$ .*
- (ii) *For  $\alpha \geq \beta \geq -\frac{1}{2}$  with  $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$ , we have  $\mu_{x,y}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbb{R}) = 1$ .*
- (iii) *For  $\alpha > \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$ , we have  $\|\mu_{x,y}^{(\alpha,\beta)}\| \leq 4 + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1) \Gamma(\beta+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\beta+1)}$ .*
- (iv) *For  $\alpha = \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$ , we have  $\|\mu_{x,y}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}\| \leq \frac{5}{2}$ .*

*Proof.* (i) is obvious.

(ii) This claim follows from Theorems 3.2 and 3.5 and the fact that  $G_{i\rho}^{(\alpha,\beta)} \equiv 1$ .

(iii) From the proof of Theorem 3.2, we may rewrite the product formula for  $G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  as follows:

$$G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(y) = \int_{I_{x,y}} G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z) \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) A_{\alpha, \beta}(|z|) dz + \mathcal{I}_{\lambda, 2}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, y),$$

where  $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda, 2}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  is given by (3.5) and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) &:= M_{\alpha, \beta} |\sinh x \sinh y \sinh z|^{-2\alpha} \\ &\times \int_0^\pi (1 - \sigma_{x,y,z}^\chi + \sigma_{x,z,y}^\chi + \sigma_{z,y,x}^\chi) g(x, y, z, \chi)_+^{\alpha-\beta-1} (\sin \chi)^{2\beta} d\chi. \end{aligned}$$

By [2, Proposition 2.7], we have

$$\int_{I_{x,y}} |\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z)| A_{\alpha, \beta}(|z|) dz \leq 4.$$

On the other hand, using the product formula (2.6) for the Jacobi functions, we may rewrite  $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda, 2}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\lambda, 2}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, y) &= \frac{\rho(\rho + i\lambda)}{8(\alpha + 1)^2} \sinh 2x \sinh 2y \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(x) \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(y) \\ &= \frac{\rho(\rho + i\lambda)}{8(\alpha + 1)^2} \sinh 2x \sinh 2y \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(\arg \cosh |\gamma(x, y, r, \psi)|) dm_{\alpha+1, \beta+1}(r, \psi) \\ &= \frac{\rho}{4(\alpha + 1)} \sinh 2x \sinh 2y \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi \frac{G_{\lambda, 0}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\arg \cosh |\gamma(x, y, r, \psi)|)}{|\gamma(x, y, r, \psi)| \sqrt{|\gamma(x, y, r, \psi)|^2 - 1}} dm_{\alpha+1, \beta+1}(r, \psi), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma(x, y, r, \psi) = \cosh x \cosh y + \sinh x \sinh y r e^{i\psi}$ . In order to conclude, it remains for us to prove the following inequality

$$\frac{\rho}{4(\alpha + 1)} \sinh 2x \sinh 2y \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi \frac{dm_{\alpha+1, \beta+1}(r, \psi)}{|\gamma(x, y, r, \psi)| \sqrt{|\gamma(x, y, r, \psi)|^2 - 1}} \leq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1) \Gamma(\beta + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\beta + 1)}.$$

By expressing  $|\gamma(x, y, r, \psi)|$  and  $dm_{\alpha+1, \beta+1}$ , the left hand side becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\rho}{4(\alpha + 1)} \sinh 2x \sinh 2y \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi \frac{dm_{\alpha+1, \beta+1}(r, \psi)}{|\gamma(x, y, r, \psi)| \sqrt{|\gamma(x, y, r, \psi)|^2 - 1}} \\ &= \frac{\rho}{4(\alpha + 1)} \frac{2 \Gamma(\alpha + 2)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha - \beta) \Gamma(\beta + \frac{3}{2})} \sinh 2x \sinh 2y \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi (1 - r^2)^{\alpha-\beta-1} (r \sin \psi)^{2\beta+2} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\cosh x \cosh y + r \cos \psi \sinh x \sinh y)^2 + (r \sin \psi \sinh x \sinh y)^2}} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\cosh x \cosh y + r \cos \psi \sinh x \sinh y)^2 + (r \sin \psi \sinh x \sinh y)^2 - 1}} r dr d\psi \\ &= \frac{\rho \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha - \beta) \Gamma(\beta + \frac{3}{2})} \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi (1 - r^2)^{\alpha-\beta-1} (r \sin \psi)^{2\beta+2} \frac{dr d\psi}{\sqrt{U + \cos \psi} \sqrt{V + \cos \psi}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$U = \frac{\cosh^2 x \cosh^2 y + r^2 \sinh^2 x \sinh^2 y}{2r \cosh x \cosh y \sinh x \sinh y},$$

and

$$V = \frac{\cosh^2 x \cosh^2 y + r^2 \sinh^2 x \sinh^2 y - 1}{2r \cosh x \cosh y \sinh x \sinh y}.$$

Since

$$U - 1 > V - 1 = \frac{(\cosh x \cosh y - r \sinh x \sinh y)^2 - 1}{2r \cosh x \cosh y \sinh x \sinh y} \geq 0,$$

we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\rho}{\alpha+1} \sinh x \cosh x \sinh y \cosh y \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi \frac{dm_{\alpha+1, \beta+1}(r, \psi)}{|\gamma(x, y, r, \psi)| \sqrt{|\gamma(x, y, r, \psi)|^2 - 1}} \\ & \leq \frac{\rho \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha-\beta) \Gamma(\beta+\frac{3}{2})} \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi (1-r^2)^{\alpha-\beta-1} (r \sin \psi)^{2\beta+2} (1+\cos \psi)^{-1} dr d\psi \\ & = \frac{\rho}{2} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{3}{2})} \frac{\Gamma(\beta+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\beta+1)} \leq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})} \frac{\Gamma(\beta+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

using classical formulas for the Beta and Gamma functions.

(iv) is proved in a similar way, using the product formula (2.8) for  $\varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha, \alpha)}$  instead of (2.6).  $\square$

**Remark 3.9.** The measure  $\mu_{x,y}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  is not positive, for any  $\alpha \geq \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$  and  $x, y > 0$ . More precisely, let us show that  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) < 0$  if  $-x-y < z < -|x-y|$ , while  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) > 0$  if  $|x-y| < z < x+y$ . In the limit case  $\alpha = \beta$ , our claim follows immediately from the expression (3.8). Thus we may restrict to the case  $\alpha > \beta$ . Assume first that  $-x-y < z < -|x-y|$  and let us split up

$$\mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) = M_{\alpha, \beta} (\sinh x \sinh y \sinh(-z))^{-2\alpha} \left[ \mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(1)}(x, y, z) + \mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(2)}(x, y, z) \right],$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(1)}(x, y, z) = \int_0^\pi g(x, y, -z, \chi)_+^{\alpha-\beta-1} (1 - \sigma_{x,y,z}^\chi + \sigma_{x,z,y}^\chi + \sigma_{z,y,x}^\chi) (\sin \chi)^{2\beta} d\chi$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(2)}(x, y, z) = \frac{\rho}{\beta+1/2} \coth x \coth y \coth z \int_0^\pi g(x, y, -z, \chi)_+^{\alpha-\beta-1} (\sin \chi)^{2\beta+2} d\chi.$$

On one hand,  $\coth x \coth y \coth z < -1$  and

$$(3.13) \quad \int_0^\pi g(x, y, -z, \chi)_+^{\alpha-\beta-1} (\sin \chi)^{2\beta+2} d\chi > 0,$$

as the change of variables (2.9) holds for  $\chi$  in an interval starting at 0, where

$$g(x, y, -z, \chi) = \sinh^2 x \sinh^2 y (1-r^2) > 0.$$

Hence  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(2)}(x, y, z) < 0$ . On the other hand, as

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho^\chi(x, y, z) &= 1 - \sigma_{x,y,z}^\chi + \sigma_{x,z,y}^\chi + \sigma_{z,y,x}^\chi \\ &= \frac{1}{\sinh x \sinh y \sinh z} \left[ \sinh x \sinh y \sinh z + \sinh x \cosh y \cosh z \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \cosh x \sinh y \cosh z - \cosh x \cosh y \sinh z \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\cos \chi}{2} (-\sinh 2x - \sinh 2y + \sinh 2z) \right] \end{aligned}$$

is a decreasing function of  $\chi$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho^\chi(x, y, z) &\leq \varrho^0(x, y, z) \\ &= \frac{4}{\sinh x \sinh y \sinh z} \sinh \frac{x+y+z}{2} \sinh \frac{-x+y+z}{2} \sinh \frac{x-y+z}{2} \cosh \frac{x+y-z}{2} \\ &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(2)}(x, y, z) < 0$ . When  $|x-y| < z < x+y$ , the positivity of  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}(x, y, z)$  is proved along the same lines. If  $\sinh 2z \leq \sinh 2x + \sinh 2y$ , we have now

$$\varrho^\chi(x, y, z) \geq \varrho^0(x, y, z) > 0$$

while, if  $\sinh 2z \geq \sinh 2x + \sinh 2y$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho^\chi(x, y, z) &\geq \varrho^\pi(x, y, z) \\ &= \frac{4}{\sinh x \sinh y \sinh z} \cosh \frac{x+y+z}{2} \cosh \frac{-x+y+z}{2} \cosh \frac{x-y+z}{2} \sinh \frac{x+y-z}{2} \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

#### 4. GENERALIZED TRANSLATIONS AND CONVOLUTION PRODUCT

Let us denote by  $\mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R})$  the space of continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  with compact support.

Let  $\alpha \geq \beta \geq -\frac{1}{2}$  with  $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$ . The Opdam–Cherednik transform is the Fourier transform in the trigonometric Dunkl setting. It is defined for  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R})$  by

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-x) A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|) dx \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$$

and the inverse transform writes

$$\mathcal{J}g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(\lambda) G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \left(1 - \frac{\rho}{i\lambda}\right) \frac{d\lambda}{8\pi |c_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda)|^2}.$$

Here  $A_{\alpha,\beta}$  and  $c_{\alpha,\beta}$  are given by (2.11) and (2.3). See [11] for more details.

The Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}$  can be expressed in terms of the Jacobi transform

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\beta}(f)(\lambda) = \int_0^{+\infty} f(x) \varphi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) dx.$$

More precisely:

**Lemma 4.1.** *For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$ , we have*

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda) = 2 \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\beta}(f_e)(\lambda) + 2(\rho + i\lambda) \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\beta}(Jf_o)(\lambda),$$

where  $f_e$  (resp.  $f_o$ ) denotes the even (resp. odd) part of  $f$ , and

$$Jf_o(x) := \int_{-\infty}^x f_o(t) dt.$$

*Proof.* Write  $f = f_e + f_o$ . Firstly, if  $\lambda = -i\rho$ , then

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|) dx = 2 \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\beta}(f_e)(i\rho).$$

Secondly, if  $\lambda \neq -i\rho$ , we have

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda) = 2 \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\beta}(f_e)(\lambda) + \frac{2}{\rho - i\lambda} \int_0^{+\infty} f_o(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) dx.$$

Recall the Jacobi operator

$$\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{1}{A_{\alpha,\beta}(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right] = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \left[ (2\alpha+1) \coth x + (2\beta+1) \tanh x \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x}.$$

By integration by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{+\infty} f_o(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) dx \\ &= - \int_0^{+\infty} \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \frac{1}{A_{\alpha,\beta}(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} Jf_o(x) \right] A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) dx \\ &= - \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\beta}(\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} Jf_o)(\lambda) = (\rho^2 + \lambda^2) \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\beta}(Jf_o)(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

□

The following Plancherel formula was proved by Opdam [11, Theorem 9.13(3)] :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|) dx &= \int_0^{+\infty} (|\mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda)|^2 + |\mathcal{F}(\check{f})(\lambda)|^2) \frac{d\lambda}{16\pi |c_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda)|^2} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda) \overline{\mathcal{F}(\check{f})(-\lambda)} \left(1 - \frac{\rho}{i\lambda}\right) \frac{d\lambda}{8\pi |c_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda)|^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\check{f}(x) := f(-x)$ . The following result is obtained by specializing [15, Theorem 4.1].

**Theorem 4.2.** *The Opdam–Cherednik transform  $\mathcal{F}$  and its inverse  $\mathcal{J}$  are topological isomorphisms between the Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{R}) = (\cosh x)^{-\rho} \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  and the Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ . Recall that  $\rho = \alpha + \beta + 1$ .*

Let us denote by  $\mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R})$  the space of bounded continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ .



**Definition 4.3.** Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $f \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R})$ . For  $\alpha \geq \beta \geq -\frac{1}{2}$  with  $\alpha \neq -\frac{1}{2}$ , we define the generalized translation operator  $\tau_x^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  by

$$\tau_x^{(\alpha, \beta)} f(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z) d\mu_{x,y}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z),$$

where  $d\mu_{x,y}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  is given by (3.2) for  $\alpha > \beta$ , and by (3.10) for  $\alpha = \beta$ .

The following properties are clear. However for completeness we will sketch their proof.

**Proposition 4.4.** Let  $\alpha \geq \beta \geq -\frac{1}{2}$  with  $\alpha \neq -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R})$ . Then

- (i)  $\tau_x^{(\alpha, \beta)} f(y) = \tau_y^{(\alpha, \beta)} f(x)$ .
- (ii)  $\tau_0^{(\alpha, \beta)} f = f$ .
- (iii)  $\tau_x^{(\alpha, \beta)} \tau_y^{(\alpha, \beta)} = \tau_y^{(\alpha, \beta)} \tau_x^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ .
- (iv)  $\tau_x^{(\alpha, \beta)} G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(y) = G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(y)$ .

If we suppose also that  $f$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R})$ , then

- (v)  $\mathcal{F}(\tau_x^{(\alpha, \beta)} f)(\lambda) = G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) \mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda)$ .
- (vi)  $T^{(\alpha, \beta)} \tau_x^{(\alpha, \beta)} = \tau_x^{(\alpha, \beta)} T^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ .

*Proof.* (i) follows from the property  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) = \mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}(y, x, z)$ .

(ii) follows from the fact that  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}(0, y, z) = \delta_y(z)$ .

(iii) follows from the fact that the function

$$H(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}(x_1, y_1, z) \mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}(x_2, y_2, z) A_{\alpha, \beta}(|z|) dz$$

is symmetric in the four variables.

(iv) follows from the product formula for  $G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ .

(v) For  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\tau_x^{(\alpha, \beta)} f)(\lambda) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tau_x^{(\alpha, \beta)} f(y) G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-y) A_{\alpha, \beta}(|y|) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z) \mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) A_{\alpha, \beta}(|z|) dz \right] G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-y) A_{\alpha, \beta}(|y|) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z) \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-y) \mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) A_{\alpha, \beta}(|y|) dy \right] A_{\alpha, \beta}(|z|) dz. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) = \mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}(x, -z, -y)$ , it follows from the product formula that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\tau_x^{(\alpha, \beta)} f)(\lambda) &= G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z) G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-z) A_{\alpha, \beta}(|z|) dz \\ &= G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) \mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

(vi) This property follows from the injectivity of  $\mathcal{F}$  and the fact that  $\tau_x^{(\alpha, \beta)}(T^{(\alpha, \beta)} f)$  and  $T^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\tau_x^{(\alpha, \beta)} f)$  have the same Fourier transform, namely

$$\lambda \longmapsto i \lambda G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) \mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda).$$

□

**Remark 4.5.** *Generalized translations in the Dunkl setting were first introduced by Trimèche, using transmutation operators. This approach is resumed in [10], which deals with a generalization of Dunkl analysis in dimension 1.*

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|z|)dz)$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then*

$$(4.3) \quad \|\tau_x^{(\alpha,\beta)} f\|_p \leq C_{\alpha,\beta} \|f\|_p,$$

where

$$(4.4) \quad C_{\alpha,\beta} = \begin{cases} 4 + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})} \frac{\Gamma(\beta+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\beta+1)} & \text{if } \alpha > \beta > -\frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{5}{2} & \text{if } \alpha = \beta > -\frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The inequality (4.3) follows from Theorem 3.8. More precisely, the cases  $p = 1$  and  $p = \infty$  are elementary, while the intermediate case  $1 < p < \infty$  is obtained by interpolation or by using Hölder's inequality, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tau_x^{(\alpha,\beta)} f\|_p^p &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}(x, y, z)| A_{\alpha,\beta}(|z|) dz \right)^{p-1} \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}(x, y, z)| |f(z)|^p A_{\alpha,\beta}(|z|) A_{\alpha,\beta}(|y|) dz dy \\ &\leq C_{\alpha,\beta}^p \|f\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Definition 4.7.** *The convolution product of suitable functions  $f$  and  $g$  is defined by*

$$(f *_{\alpha,\beta} g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tau_x^{(\alpha,\beta)} f(-y) g(y) A_{\alpha,\beta}(|y|) dy.$$

**Remark 4.8.** *It is clear that this convolution product is both commutative and associative:*

- (i)  $f *_{\alpha,\beta} g = g *_{\alpha,\beta} f$ .
- (ii)  $(f *_{\alpha,\beta} g) *_{\alpha,\beta} h = f *_{\alpha,\beta} (g *_{\alpha,\beta} h)$ .

For every  $a > 0$ , let us denote by  $\mathcal{D}_a(\mathbb{R})$  the space of smooth functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  which are supported in  $[-a, a]$ .

**Proposition 4.9.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{D}_a(\mathbb{R})$  and  $g \in \mathcal{D}_b(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $f *_{\alpha,\beta} g \in \mathcal{D}_{a+b}(\mathbb{R})$  and*

$$\mathcal{F}(f *_{\alpha,\beta} g)(\lambda) = \mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda) \mathcal{F}(g)(\lambda).$$

*Proof.* By definition we have

$$\mathcal{F}(f *_{\alpha,\beta} g)(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tau_x^{(\alpha,\beta)} f(-y) g(y) G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-x) A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|) A_{\alpha,\beta}(|y|) dx dy.$$

Using the product formula for  $G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  and Remark 3.1, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(f *_{\alpha,\beta} g)(\lambda) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z) \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-x) \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}(-z, -y, -x) \\ &\quad \times A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|) A_{\alpha,\beta}(|y|) A_{\alpha,\beta}(|z|) dx dy dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z) G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-z) A_{\alpha,\beta}(|z|) dz \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-y) A_{\alpha,\beta}(|y|) dy \\ &= \mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda) \mathcal{F}(g)(\lambda).\end{aligned}$$

□

By standard arguments, the following statement follows from Lemma 4.5.

**Proposition 4.10.** *Assume that  $1 \leq p, q, r \leq \infty$  satisfy  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - 1 = \frac{1}{r}$ . Then, for every  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx)$  and  $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx)$ , we have  $f *_{\alpha,\beta} g \in L^r(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx)$ , and*

$$\|f *_{\alpha,\beta} g\|_r \leq C_{\alpha,\beta} \|f\|_p \|g\|_q,$$

where  $C_{\alpha,\beta}$  is as in (4.4).

## 5. THE KUNZE–STEIN PHENOMENON

This remarkable phenomenon was first observed by Kunze and Stein [7] for the group  $G = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  equipped with its Haar measure. They proved that

$$L^p(G) * L^2(G) \subset L^2(G) \quad \forall 1 \leq p < 2.$$

By such an inclusion, we mean the existence of a constant  $C_p > 0$  such that the following inequality holds:

$$\|f * g\|_2 \leq C_p \|f\|_p \|g\|_2 \quad \forall f \in L^p(G), \forall g \in L^2(G).$$

This result was generalized by Cowling [3] to all connected noncompact semisimple Lie groups with finite center. We prove the following analog in our setting (we understand that Trimèche has recently extended this result to higher dimensions).

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $1 \leq p < 2 < q \leq \infty$ . Then*

$$(5.1) \quad L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx) *_{\alpha,\beta} L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx)$$

and

$$(5.2) \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx) *_{\alpha,\beta} L^q(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx) \subset L^q(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx).$$

*Proof.* (i) Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R})$ . Then, by the Plancherel formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(f *_{\alpha, \beta} g)|^2(x) A_{\alpha, \beta}(|x|) dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} |\mathcal{F}(f *_{\alpha, \beta} g)(\lambda)|^2 \frac{d\lambda}{16\pi |c(\lambda)|^2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} |\mathcal{F}(f *_{\alpha, \beta} g)^\sim(\lambda)|^2 \frac{d\lambda}{16\pi |c(\lambda)|^2} \\
&\leq \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, w \in \{\pm 1\}} |\mathcal{F}(w \cdot g)(\lambda)|^2 \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} |\mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda)|^2 \frac{d\lambda}{16\pi |c(\lambda)|^2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} |\mathcal{F}(\check{f})(\lambda)|^2 \frac{d\lambda}{16\pi |c(\lambda)|^2} \right] \\
&= \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, w \in \{\pm 1\}} |\mathcal{F}(w \cdot g)(\lambda)|^2 \|f\|_2^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the fact that  $\mathcal{F}(f *_{\alpha, \beta} g)^\sim = \mathcal{F}(\check{f}) \mathcal{F}(\check{g})$ . Next, if  $1 \leq p < 2$  and  $2 < q \leq \infty$  are dual indices, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{F}(w \cdot g)(\lambda)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(wx)| |G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-x)| A_{\alpha, \beta}(|x|) dx \\
&\leq \|g\|_p \|G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}\|_q
\end{aligned}$$

using Hölder's inequality. We conclude by using Lemma 5.2 below, which implies that  $\|G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}\|_q$  is bounded uniformly in  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(ii) Let  $f, g, k \in \mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R})$ . Using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and (5.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f *_{\alpha, \beta} g)(x) k(x) A_{\alpha, \beta}(|x|) dx \right| &\leq C \|g\|_2 \|f * \check{k}\|_2 \\
&\leq C_p \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 \|k\|_p.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\|f *_{\alpha, \beta} g\|_q \leq C_q \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2$ . □

**Lemma 5.2.** (i) The function  $G_0^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  is strictly positive and is bounded above by

$$\begin{cases} C(1+x)e^{-\rho x} & \text{if } x \geq 0, \\ C e^{\rho x} & \text{if } x \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

(ii) For every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$|G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)| \leq G_0^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x).$$

*Proof.* These estimates are proved in full generality in [15] (see Lemma 3.1, Proposition 3.1.a and Theorem 3.2). For the reader's convenience, we include a proof in dimension 1.

(i) Firstly, by specializing (2.1) and (1.2) for  $\lambda=0$ , we obtain

$$(5.3) \quad \varphi_0^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = {}_2F_1\left(\frac{\rho}{2}, \frac{\alpha-\beta+1}{2}; \alpha+1; \tanh^2 x\right) (\cosh x)^{-\rho}$$

and

$$(5.4) \quad G_0^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = \varphi_0^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) + \frac{\frac{\rho}{2}}{\alpha+1} \sinh x \cosh x \varphi_0^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(x).$$

It is clear that (5.3) is stricly positive, hence (5.4) when  $x \geq 0$ . By looking more carefully at their expansions, we observe that the expression

$$\Psi_1(x) = {}_2F_1\left(\frac{\rho}{2}, \frac{\alpha-\beta+1}{2}; \alpha+1; \tanh^2 x\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(\frac{\rho}{2})_n (\frac{\alpha-\beta+1}{2})_n}{(\alpha+1)_n n!} (\tanh x)^{2n}$$

is stricly larger than the expression

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_2(x) &= \frac{\frac{\rho}{2}}{\alpha+1} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{\rho}{2}+1, \frac{\alpha-\beta+1}{2}; \alpha+2; \tanh^2 x\right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(\frac{\rho}{2})_{n+1} (\frac{\alpha-\beta+1}{2})_n}{(\alpha+1)_{n+1} n!} (\tanh x)^{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$G_0^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = (\cosh x)^{-\rho} \{ \Psi_1(x) + \tanh x \Psi_2(x) \} > (\cosh x)^{-\rho} \{ \Psi_1(x) - \Psi_2(x) \}$$

is strictly positive on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Secondly, by combining (5.4) with (2.5), we obtain

$$G_0^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = \frac{2^{\rho+2} \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{\rho}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{\alpha-\beta+1}{2})} x e^{-\rho x} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\rho x}) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow +\infty$$

and

$$G_0^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = \mathcal{O}(e^{\rho x}) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow -\infty,$$

which yields the announced upper bounds.

(ii) Consider the quotient

$$Q_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = \frac{G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)}{G_0^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)}.$$

By using the equation (1.1) for  $G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  and  $G_0^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} Q_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) &= \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial x} G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)}{G_0^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)} - Q_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial x} G_0^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)}{G_0^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)} \\ &= \{ (\alpha-\beta) \coth x + (2\beta+1) \coth 2x + \rho \} \frac{G_0^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-x)}{G_0^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)} \{ Q_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-x) - Q_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \} \\ &\quad + i\lambda Q_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} |Q_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)|^2 &= 2 \operatorname{Re} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} Q_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \overline{Q_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)} \right] \\ &= -2 \{ (\alpha-\beta) \coth x + (2\beta+1) \coth 2x + \rho \} \frac{G_0^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-x)}{G_0^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ |Q_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)|^2 - \operatorname{Re} \left[ Q_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-x) \overline{Q_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)} \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} |Q_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-x)|^2 &= -2 \{ (\alpha-\beta) \coth x + (2\beta+1) \coth 2x - \rho \} \frac{G_0^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)}{G_0^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-x)} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ |Q_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-x)|^2 - \operatorname{Re} \left[ Q_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \overline{Q_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-x)} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for every  $x > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.5) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x} |Q_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)|^2 &\leq -2 \{(\alpha - \beta) \coth x + (2\beta + 1) \coth 2x + \rho\} \frac{G_0^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-x)}{G_0^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)} \\
 &\times |Q_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)| \{ |Q_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)| - |Q_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-x)| \} \\
 &\leq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

if  $|Q_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)| \geq |Q_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-x)|$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.6) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x} |Q_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-x)|^2 &\leq -2 \{(\alpha - \beta) \coth x + (2\beta + 1) \coth 2x - \rho\} \frac{G_0^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)}{G_0^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-x)} \\
 &\times |Q_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-x)| \{ |Q_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-x)| - |Q_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)| \} \\
 &\leq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

if  $|Q_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-x)| \geq |Q_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)|$ . As real analytic functions of  $x$ ,  $|Q_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)|^2$  and  $|Q_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-x)|^2$  coincide either everywhere or on a discrete subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  with no accumulation point. In the first case,  $|Q_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)|^2 = |Q_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-x)|^2$  is a decreasing function of  $x$  on  $[0, +\infty)$ , according to (5.5) or (5.6). In the second case, consider the continuous and piecewise differentiable function

$$M(x) = \max \{ |Q_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)|^2, |Q_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-x)|^2 \}$$

on  $[0, +\infty)$ . Firstly, if  $|Q_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)| > |Q_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-x)|$ , then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} M(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} |Q_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)|^2 < 0,$$

according to (5.5). Secondly, if  $|Q_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)| < |Q_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-x)|$ , then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} M(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} |Q_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-x)|^2 < 0,$$

according to (5.6). Thirdly, if  $|Q_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)| = |Q_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-x)|$  for some  $x > 0$ , then  $M$  has left and right derivatives at  $x$ , which are nonpositive, according to (5.5) and (5.6). Thus  $M$  is a decreasing function on  $[0, +\infty)$ . In all cases, we conclude in particular that, for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$|Q_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)| \leq |Q_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(0)| = 1 \quad \text{i.e.} \quad |G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)| \leq G_0^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x).$$

□

The following results are deduced by interpolation and duality from Theorem 5.1 and Proposition 4.10.

**Corollary 5.3.** (i) *Let  $1 \leq p < q \leq 2$ . Then*

$$L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta}(|x|) dx) *_{\alpha, \beta} L^q(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta}(|x|) dx) \subset L^q(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta}(|x|) dx).$$

(ii) *Let  $1 < p < 2$  and  $p < q \leq \frac{p}{2-p}$ . Then*

$$L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta}(|x|) dx) *_{\alpha, \beta} L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta}(|x|) dx) \subset L^q(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta}(|x|) dx).$$

(iii) Let  $2 < p, q < \infty$  such that  $\frac{q}{2} \leq p < q$ . Then

$$L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx) *_{\alpha,\beta} L^q(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx) \subset L^q(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx).$$

## 6. A SPECIAL ORTHOGONAL SYSTEM

In this section we construct an orthogonal basis of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx)$  and we compute its Opdam–Cherednik transform. As limits, we recover the Hermite functions constructed by Rosenblum [14].

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let  $\alpha \geq \beta \geq -\frac{1}{2}$  with  $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$ . For any fixed  $\delta > 0$ , consider the sequence of functions*

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{cases} H_{2n}^\delta(x) = (\cosh x)^{-\alpha-\beta-\delta-2} P_n^{(\alpha,\delta)}(1-2 \tanh^2 x), \\ H_{2n+1}^\delta(x) = (\cosh x)^{-\alpha-\beta-\delta-2} P_n^{(\alpha+1,\delta-1)}(1-2 \tanh^2 x) \tanh x, \end{cases}$$

whose definition involves the Jacobi polynomials (2.17). Then  $\{H_n^\delta\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an orthogonal basis of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx)$ .

*Proof.* To begin with, let us prove the orthogonality of  $\{H_n^\delta\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx)$ . Firstly, by oddness

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} H_{2m}^\delta(x) H_{2n+1}^\delta(x) A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|) dx = 0.$$

Secondly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} H_{2m}^\delta(x) H_{2n}^\delta(x) A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|) dx \\ &= 2 \int_0^1 P_m^{(\alpha,\delta)}(1-2y^2) P_n^{(\alpha,\delta)}(1-2y^2) y^{2\alpha+1} (1-y^2)^\delta dy \\ &= 2^{-\alpha-\delta-1} \int_{-1}^1 P_m^{(\alpha,\delta)}(z) P_n^{(\alpha,\delta)}(z) (1-z)^\alpha (1+z)^\delta dz \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+n+1) \Gamma(\delta+n+1)}{(\alpha+\delta+2n+1) n! \Gamma(\alpha+\delta+n+1)} \delta_{m,n}, \end{aligned}$$

by performing the changes of variables  $y = \tanh x$ ,  $z = 1 - y^2$  and by using the orthogonality of Jacobi polynomials (see for instance [1]):

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-1}^{+1} P_m^{(\alpha,\delta)}(z) P_n^{(\alpha,\delta)}(z) (1-z)^\alpha (1+z)^\delta dz \\ &= 2^{\alpha+\delta+1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+n+1) \Gamma(\delta+n+1)}{(\alpha+\delta+2n+1) n! \Gamma(\alpha+\delta+n+1)} \delta_{m,n}. \end{aligned}$$

Thirdly, by the same arguments

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} H_{2m+1}^{\delta}(x) H_{2n+1}^{\delta}(x) A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|) dx \\
&= 2 \int_0^1 P_m^{(\alpha+1,\delta-1)}(1-2y^2) P_n^{(\alpha+1,\delta-1)}(1-2y^2) y^{2\alpha+3} (1-y^2)^{\delta-1} dy \\
&= 2^{-\alpha-\delta-1} \int_{-1}^1 P_m^{(\alpha+1,\delta-1)}(z) P_n^{(\alpha+1,\delta-1)}(z) (1-z)^{\alpha+1} (1+z)^{\delta-1} dz \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+n+2) \Gamma(\delta+n)}{(\alpha+\delta+2n+1) n! \Gamma(\alpha+\delta+n+1)} \delta_{m,n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us turn to the completeness of  $\{H_n^{\delta}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx)$ . Recall (see for instance [1]) that the Jacobi polynomials  $\{P_n^{(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\delta})}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  span a dense subspace of  $L^2([-1, 1], (1-z)^{\tilde{\alpha}}(1+z)^{\tilde{\delta}} dz)$ . By the above changes of variables, we deduce that  $\{H_{2n}^{\delta}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{H_{2n+1}^{\delta}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  span dense subspaces of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx)_e$  and  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx)_o$  respectively.  $\square$

**Remark 6.2.** In (6.1), let us replace  $\delta$  by  $\varepsilon^{-2}$ ,  $x$  by  $\varepsilon x$  and let  $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ . As

$$(\cosh \varepsilon x)^{-\alpha-\beta-\varepsilon^{-2}-2} \longrightarrow e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$$

and

$$P_n^{(a,b+\varepsilon^{-2})}(1-2 \tanh^2 \varepsilon x) = \frac{(a+1)_n}{n!} {}_2F_1(-n, a+b+\varepsilon^{-2}+n+1; a+1; \tanh^2 \varepsilon x)$$

tends to the Laguerre polynomial

$$L_n^a(x^2) = \frac{(a+1)_n}{n!} {}_1F_1(-n; a+1; x^2),$$

we recover in the limit the even and odd Hermite functions constructed by Rosenblum [14, Definition 3.4] in the rational Dunkl setting:

$$\begin{cases} H_{2n}^{\varepsilon^{-2}}(\varepsilon x) \longrightarrow e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} L_n^{\alpha}(x^2), \\ \varepsilon^{-1} H_{2n+1}^{\varepsilon^{-2}}(\varepsilon x) \longrightarrow e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} L_n^{\alpha+1}(x^2) x. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 6.3.** The Opdam–Cherednik transform of  $\{H_n^{\delta}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.2) \quad \mathcal{F}(H_{2n}^{\delta})(\lambda) &= \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1) \Gamma(\frac{\delta+1+i\lambda}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{\delta+1-i\lambda}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha+\beta+\delta}{2}+n+1) \Gamma(\frac{\alpha-\beta+\delta}{2}+n+1)} \\
&\times P_n\left(-\frac{\lambda^2}{4}; \frac{\delta+1}{2}, \frac{\delta+1}{2}, \frac{\alpha+\beta+1}{2}, \frac{\alpha-\beta+1}{2}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.3) \quad \mathcal{F}(H_{2n+1}^{\delta})(\lambda) &= \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \frac{(\rho+i\lambda) \Gamma(\alpha+1) \Gamma(\frac{\delta+1+i\lambda}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{\delta+1-i\lambda}{2})}{2 \Gamma(\frac{\alpha+\beta+\delta}{2}+n+2) \Gamma(\frac{\alpha-\beta+\delta}{2}+n+1)} \\
&\times P_n\left(-\frac{\lambda^2}{4}; \frac{\delta+1}{2}, \frac{\delta-1}{2}, \frac{\alpha+\beta+3}{2}, \frac{\alpha-\beta+1}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}$$



where

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(t^2; a, b, c, d) &= (a+b)_n (a+c)_n (a+d)_n \\ &\quad \times {}_4F_3\left(\begin{matrix} -n, a+b+c+d+n-1, a+t, a-t \\ a+b, a+c, a+d \end{matrix}; 1\right) \end{aligned}$$

denotes the Wilson polynomials.

*Proof.* By evenness, the Opdam–Cherednik transform  $\mathcal{F}(H_{2n}^\delta)$  coincides with the Jacobi transform  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\beta}(H_{2n}^\delta)$ . Thus (6.2) amounts to Formula (9.4) in [9]. Let us recall its proof, which was sketched in [9, Section 9] and which will be used for (6.3). On one hand, we expand

$$\begin{aligned} H_{2n}^\delta(x) &= (-1)^n (\cosh x)^{-\alpha-\beta-\delta-2} P_n^{(\delta,\alpha)}(2 \tanh^2 x - 1) \\ &= (-1)^n \frac{(\delta+1)_n}{n!} (\cosh x)^{-\alpha-\beta-\delta-2} {}_2F_1(-n, \alpha+\delta+n+1; \delta+1; \cosh^{-2} x) \\ (6.4) \quad &= \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} (\delta+1)_n \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(-n)_m (\alpha+\delta+n+1)_m}{(\delta+1)_m m!} (\cosh x)^{-\alpha-\beta-\delta-2m-2} \end{aligned}$$

in negative powers of  $\cosh x$ , using the symmetry

$$P_n^{(\alpha,\delta)}(x) = (-1)^n P_n^{(\delta,\alpha)}(-x)$$

and the definition (2.17) of Jacobi polynomials. On the other hand, recall the following Jacobi transform [9, Formula (9.1)]:

$$(6.5) \quad \int_0^{+\infty} (\cosh x)^{-\alpha-\beta-\mu-1} \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) dx = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1) \Gamma(\frac{\mu+i\lambda}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{\mu-i\lambda}{2})}{2 \Gamma(\frac{\alpha+\beta+\mu+1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{\alpha-\beta+\mu+1}{2})}.$$

We conclude by combining (6.4) and (6.5):

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}(H_{2n}^\delta)(\lambda) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} H_{2n}^\delta(x) \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|) dx \\
&= \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} (\delta+1)_n \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(-n)_m (\alpha+\delta+n+1)_m}{(\delta+1)_m m!} \\
&\quad \times \underbrace{2 \int_0^{+\infty} (\cosh x)^{-\alpha-\beta-\delta-2m-2} \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) dx}_{\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1) \Gamma(\frac{\delta+1+i\lambda}{2}+m) \Gamma(\frac{\delta+1-i\lambda}{2}+m)}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha+\beta+\delta}{2}+m+1) \Gamma(\frac{\alpha-\beta+\delta}{2}+m+1)}} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1) \Gamma(\frac{\delta+1+i\lambda}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{\delta+1-i\lambda}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha+\beta+\delta}{2}+1) \Gamma(\frac{\alpha-\beta+\delta}{2}+1)} (\delta+1)_n \\
&\quad \times \underbrace{\sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(-n)_m (\alpha+\delta+n+1)_m (\frac{\delta+1+i\lambda}{2})_m (\frac{\delta+1-i\lambda}{2})_m}{(\delta+1)_m (\frac{\alpha+\beta+\delta}{2}+1)_m (\frac{\alpha-\beta+\delta}{2}+1)_m m!}}_{4F_3\left(-n, \alpha+\delta+n+1, \frac{\delta+1+i\lambda}{2}, \frac{\delta+1-i\lambda}{2}; 1\right)} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1) \Gamma(\frac{\delta+1+i\lambda}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{\delta+1-i\lambda}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha+\beta+\delta}{2}+n+1) \Gamma(\frac{\alpha-\beta+\delta}{2}+n+1)} \\
&\quad \times P_n\left(-\frac{\lambda^2}{4}; \frac{\delta+1}{2}, \frac{\delta+1}{2}, \frac{\alpha+\beta+1}{2}, \frac{\alpha-\beta+1}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{2n+1}^\delta(x) &= (-1)^n (\cosh x)^{-\alpha-\beta-\delta-2} P_n^{(\delta-1, \alpha+1)}(2 \tanh^2 x - 1) \tanh x \\
&= \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} (\delta)_n (\sinh x) (\cosh x)^{-\alpha-\beta-\delta-3} {}_2F_1(-n, \alpha+\delta+n+1; \delta; \cosh^{-2} x) \\
&= \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} (\delta)_n (\sinh x) \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(-n)_m (\alpha+\delta+n+1)_m}{(\delta)_m m!} (\cosh x)^{-\alpha-\beta-\delta-2m-3}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}(H_{2n+1}^\delta)(\lambda) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} H_{2n+1}^\delta(x) G_{\lambda,0}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|) dx \\
&= \frac{\rho+i\lambda}{4(\alpha+1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} H_{2n+1}^\delta(x) \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(x) (\sinh 2x) A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|) dx \\
&= \frac{\rho+i\lambda}{\alpha+1} \int_0^{+\infty} (\sinh x \cosh x)^{-1} H_{2n+1}^\delta(x) \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(x) A_{\alpha+1, \beta+1}(x) dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \frac{\rho + i\lambda}{\alpha + 1} (\delta)_n \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(-n)_m (\alpha + \delta + n + 1)_m}{(\delta)_m m!} \\
&\times \underbrace{\int_0^{+\infty} (\cosh x)^{-\alpha-\beta-\delta-2m-4} \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(x) A_{\alpha+1, \beta+1}(x) dx}_{\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+2) \Gamma(\frac{\delta+1+i\lambda}{2} + m) \Gamma(\frac{\delta+1-i\lambda}{2} + m)}{2 \Gamma(\frac{\alpha+\beta+\delta}{2} + m + 2) \Gamma(\frac{\alpha-\beta+\delta}{2} + m + 1)}} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \frac{(\rho + i\lambda) \Gamma(\alpha+1) \Gamma(\frac{\delta+1+i\lambda}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{\delta+1-i\lambda}{2})}{2 \Gamma(\frac{\alpha+\beta+\delta}{2} + 2) \Gamma(\frac{\alpha-\beta+\delta}{2} + 1)} (\delta)_n \\
&\times \underbrace{\sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(-n)_m (\alpha + \delta + n + 1)_m (\frac{\delta+1+i\lambda}{2})_m (\frac{\delta+1-i\lambda}{2})_m}{(\delta)_m (\frac{\alpha+\beta+\delta}{2} + 2)_m (\frac{\alpha-\beta+\delta}{2} + 1)_m m!}}_{4F_3\left(-n, \alpha + \delta + n + 1, \frac{\delta+1+i\lambda}{2}, \frac{\delta+1-i\lambda}{2}; 1\right)} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \frac{(\rho + i\lambda) \Gamma(\alpha+1) \Gamma(\frac{\delta+1+i\lambda}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{\delta+1-i\lambda}{2})}{2 \Gamma(\frac{\alpha+\beta+\delta}{2} + n + 2) \Gamma(\frac{\alpha-\beta+\delta}{2} + n + 1)} \\
&\times P_n\left(-\frac{\lambda^2}{4}; \frac{\delta+1}{2}, \frac{\delta-1}{2}, \frac{\alpha+\beta+3}{2}, \frac{\alpha-\beta+1}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

□

By comparing the Opdam–Cherednik transform of  $H_n^\delta$  with the particular case  $H_0^\delta(x) = (\cosh x)^{-\alpha-\beta-\delta-2}$ , we obtain the following Rodrigues type formula.

**Corollary 6.4.** *Consider the polynomials*

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{P}_{2n}^\delta(t) = \frac{(-1)^n}{n! (\frac{\alpha+\beta+\delta}{2} + 1)_n (\frac{\alpha-\beta+\delta}{2} + 1)_n} P_n\left(\frac{t^2}{4}; \frac{\delta+1}{2}, \frac{\delta+1}{2}, \frac{\alpha+\beta+1}{2}, \frac{\alpha-\beta+1}{2}\right), \\ \tilde{P}_{2n+1}^\delta(t) = \frac{(-1)^n (\rho + t)}{2n! (\frac{\alpha+\beta+\delta}{2} + 1)_{n+1} (\frac{\alpha-\beta+\delta}{2} + 1)_n} P_n\left(\frac{t^2}{4}; \frac{\delta+1}{2}, \frac{\delta-1}{2}, \frac{\alpha+\beta+3}{2}, \frac{\alpha-\beta+1}{2}\right). \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(6.6) \quad H_n^\delta(x) = \tilde{P}_n^\delta(T_x^{(\alpha, \beta)})(\cosh x)^{-\alpha-\beta-\delta-2} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In other words, by replacing in the expansion of the polynomial  $\tilde{P}_n^\delta(t)$  the variable  $t$  by the Dunkl–Cherednik operator  $T^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ , one obtains a differential–difference operator, whose action on the function  $(\cosh x)^{-\alpha-\beta-\delta-2}$  yields the function  $H_n^\delta(x)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] G.E. Andrews, R. Askey, R. Roy, *Special functions*, Encyclopedia Math. Appl. 71, Cambridge Univ. Press (1999).

- [2] N. Ben Salem & A. Ould Ahmed Salem, *Convolution structure associated with the Jacobi–Dunkl operator on  $\mathbb{R}$* , Ramanujan J. 12 (2006), 359–378.
- [3] M.G. Cowling, *Herz’s “principe de majoration” and the Kunze–Stein phenomenon*, in *Harmonic analysis and number theory (Montreal, 1996)*, CMS Conf. Proc. 21, Amer. Math. Soc. (1997), 73–88.
- [4] M. Flensted-Jensen & T.H. Koornwinder, *The convolution structure of the Jacobi functions expansion*, Ark. Math. 10 (1973), 247–262.
- [5] M. Flensted-Jensen & T.H. Koornwinder, *Jacobi functions: the addition formula and positivity of the dual convolution structure*, Ark. Math. 17 (1979), 139–151.
- [6] L. Gallardo & K. Trimèche, *Positivity of the Jacobi–Cherednik intertwining operator and its dual*, Adv. Pure Appl. Math. 1 (2010), no. 2, 163–194.
- [7] R.A. Kunze and E.M. Stein, *Uniformly bounded representations and harmonic analysis of the  $\times 2$  unimodular group*, Amer. J. Math. 82 (1960), 1–62.
- [8] T.H. Koornwinder, *Jacobi polynomials III: an analytic proof of the addition formula*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 3 (1975), 533–543.
- [9] T.H. Koornwinder, *Jacobi functions and analysis on noncompact semisimple Lie groups*, in *Special functions: group theoretical aspects and applications*, R.A. Askey, T.H. Koornwinder and W. Schempp (eds.), Reidel (1984), 1–84.
- [10] M.A. Mourou, *Transmutation operators and Paley–Wiener theorem associated with a Cherednik type operator on the real line*, Anal. Appl. 8 (2010), 387–408.
- [11] E.M. Opdam, *Harmonic analysis for certain representations of graded Hecke algebras*, Acta. Math. 175 (1995), 75–121.
- [12] E.M. Opdam, *Lecture notes on Dunkl operators for real and complex reflection groups*, Mem. Math. Soc. Japon 8 (2000).
- [13] M. Rösler, *Bessel-type signed hypergroups on  $\mathbb{R}$* , in *Probability measures on groups and related structures XI (Oberwolfach, 1994)*, H. Heyer & A. Mukherjea (eds.), World Scientific (1995), 292–305.
- [14] M. Rosenblum, *Generalized Hermite polynomials and the Bose-like oscillator calculus*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. 73 (1994), 369–396.
- [15] Br. Schapira, *Contributions to the hypergeometric function theory of Heckman and Opdam: sharp estimates, Schwartz space, heat kernel*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 18 (2008), 222–250.

JEAN-PHILIPPE ANKER, UNIVERSITÉ D’ORLÉANS & CNRS, FÉDÉRATION DENIS POISSON (FR 2964), LABORATOIRE MAPMO (UMR 6628), BÂTIMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, B.P. 6759, 45067 ORLÉANS CEDEX 2, FRANCE

*E-mail address:* anker@univ-orleans.fr

FATMA AYADI, UNIVERSITÉ DE TUNIS EL MANAR, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE TUNIS, DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, 2092 TUNIS EL MANAR, TUNISIE

*E-mail address:* ayfatm@yahoo.fr

FATMA AYADI, UNIVERSITÉ D’ORLÉANS & CNRS, FÉDÉRATION DENIS POISSON (FR 2964), LABORATOIRE MAPMO (UMR 6628), BÂTIMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, B.P. 6759, 45067 ORLÉANS CEDEX 2, FRANCE

*E-mail address:* fatma.ben-said@etu.univ-orleans.fr

MOHAMED SIFI, UNIVERSITÉ DE TUNIS EL MANAR, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE TUNIS, DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, 2092 TUNIS EL MANAR, TUNISIE

*E-mail address:* mohamed.sifi@fst.run.tn