A law of the iterated logarithm for sublinear expectations *

By Zengjing Chen, Feng Hu Shandong University and Ajou University

Abstract In this paper, motivated by the notion of independent identically distributed (IID) random variables under sub-linear expectations initiated by Peng, we investigate a law of the iterated logarithm for capacities. It turns out that our theorem is a natural extension of the Kolmogorov and the Hartman-Wintner laws of the iterated logarithm.

Keywords capacity \cdot law of the iterated logarithm \cdot IID \cdot sub-linear expectation Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 60H10, 60G48

1 Introduction

The classical laws of the iterated logarithm (LIL) as fundamental limit theorems in probability theory play an important role in the development of probability theory and its applications. The original statement of the law of the iterated logarithm obtained by Khinchine (1924) is for a class of Bernoulli random variables. Kolmogorov (1929) and Hartman-Wintner (1941) extended Khinchine's result to large classes of independent random variables. Lévy (1937) extended Khinchine's result to martingales, an important class of dependent random variables; Strassen (1964) extended Hartman-Wintner's result to large classes of functional random variables. After that, the research activity of LIL has enjoyed both a rich classical period and a modern resurgence (see, Stout 1974 for details). To extend the LIL, a lot of fairly neat methods have been found (see, for example, De Acosta 1983), however, the key in the proofs of LIL is the additivity of the probabilities and the expectations. In practice, such additivity assumption is not feasible in many areas of applications because the uncertainty phenomena can not be modeled using additive probabilities or additive expectations. As an alternative to the traditional probability/expectation, capacities or nonlinear probabilities/expectations have been studied in many fields such as statistics, finance and economics. In statistics, capacities have been applied in robust statistics (Huber, 1981). For example, under the assumption of 2-alternating capacity, Huber and Strassen (1973) have generalized the Neyman-Pearson

^{*}This work has been supported by The National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program) grant No. 2007CB814901 and WCU program of the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation (R31-20007). We thank Shige Peng and seminar audiences at mini-workshop on G-expectations and G-Brownian Motion at Weihai 2009, for helpful discussions and suggestions.

lemma. Similarly Wasserman and Kadane (1990) have generalized the Bayes theorem for capacities. It is well-known that, in finance, an important question is how to calculate the price of contingent claims. The famous Black-Shores's formula states that, if a market is complete and self-financial, then there exists a neutral probability measure P such that the pricing of any discounted contingent claim ξ in this market is given by $E_P[\xi]$. In this case, by Kolmogorov's strong law of large number and LIL, one can obtain the estimates of the mean $\mu := E_P[\xi]$ and the variance $\sigma^2 := E_P[|\xi - \mu|^2]$ with probability one by

$$\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} S_n, \quad \sigma = \limsup_{n \to \infty} (2n \log \log n)^{-1/2} |S_n - n\mu|$$

where S_n is the sum of the first n of a sample $\{X_i\}$ with mean μ and variance σ^2 . Statistically, an important feature of strong LLN and LIL is to provide a frequentist perspective for mean μ and standard variance σ . However, if the market is incomplete, such a neutral probability measure is no longer unique, it is a set \mathcal{P} of probability measures. In that case, one can give sub-hedge pricing and super-hedge pricing by $\mathcal{E}[\xi] := \inf_{Q \in \mathcal{P}} E_Q[\xi]$ and $\mathbb{E}[\xi] := \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{P}} E_Q[\xi]$. Obviously, both $\mathcal{E}[\cdot]$ and $\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$ as functional operators of random variables are nonlinear. Statistically, how to calculate sub-super hedge pricing is of interest. Motivated by sub-hedge and super-hedge pricing and model uncertainty in finance, Peng (2006-2009) initiated the notion of IID random variables and the definition of G-normal distribution. He further obtained new central limit theorems (CLT) under sub-linear expectations. Chen (2009) also obtained strong laws of large numbers in this framework. A natural question is the following: Can the classical LIL be generalized for capacities? In this paper, adapting the Peng's IID notion and applying Peng's CLT under sub-linear expectations, we investigate LIL for capacities. Our result shows that in the nonadditive setting, the supremum limit points of $\{(2n \log \log n)^{-1/2} |S_n|\}_{n>3}$ lie, with probability (capacity) one, between the lower and upper standard variances, the others lie, with probability (capacity) one, between zero and the lower standard variance. This becomes the Kolmogorov and the Hartman-Wintner law of the iterated logarithm if capacity is additive, since in this case lower and upper variances coincide.

2 Notations and Lemmas

In this section, we introduce some basic notations and lemmas. For a given set \mathcal{P} of multiple prior probability measures on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) , let \mathcal{H} be the set of random variables on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) .

For any $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, we define a pair of so-called maximum-minimum expectations $(\mathbb{E}, \mathcal{E})$ by

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi] := \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} E_P[\xi], \quad \mathcal{E}[\xi] := \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}} E_P[\xi].$$

Without confusion, here and in the sequel, $E_P[\cdot]$ denotes the classical expectation under probability measure P. We use $\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$ to denote supremum expectation over \mathcal{P} .

Let $\xi = I_A$ for $A \in \mathcal{F}$, immediately, a pair (\mathbb{V}, v) of capacities is given by

$$\mathbb{V}(A) := \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P(A), \quad v(A) := \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P(A), \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Obviously, \mathbb{E} is a sub-linear expectation in the sense that

Definition 1 A functional \mathbb{E} on $\mathcal{H} \mapsto (-\infty, +\infty)$ is called a sub-linear expectation, if it satisfies the following properties: for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{H}$,

- (a) Monotonicity: $X \ge Y$ implies $\mathbb{E}[X] \ge \mathbb{E}[Y]$.
- (b) Constant preserving: $\mathbb{E}[c] = c, \forall c \in \mathbb{R}.$
- (c) Sub-additivity: $\mathbb{E}[X+Y] \leq \mathbb{E}[X] + \mathbb{E}[Y]$.
- (d) Positive homogeneity: $\mathbb{E}[\lambda X] = \lambda \mathbb{E}[X], \forall \lambda \ge 0.$

Remark Artzner, Delbaen, Eber and Heath (1997) showed that a sub-linear expectation indeed is a supremum expectation. That is, if $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ is a sub-linear expectation on \mathcal{H} ; then there exists a set (say $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$) of probability measures such that

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\xi] = \sup_{P \in \hat{\mathcal{P}}} E_P[\xi], \quad -\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-\xi] = \inf_{P \in \hat{\mathcal{P}}} E_P[\xi].$$

Moreover, a sub-linear expectation $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ can generate a pair $(\hat{\mathbb{V}}, \hat{v})$ of capacities denoted by

$$\hat{\mathbb{V}}(A) := \hat{\mathbb{E}}[I_A], \quad \hat{v}(A) := -\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-I_A], \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Therefore, without confusion, we sometimes call the supremum expectation as the sublinear expectation.

It is easy to check that the pair of capacities satisfies

$$\mathbb{V}(A) + v(A^c) = 1, \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F}$$

where A^c is the complement set of A.

For ease of exposition, in this paper, we suppose that $\mathbb V$ and v are continuous in the sense that

Definition 2 A set function $V: \mathcal{F} \to [0,1]$ is called a continuous capacity if it satisfies

(1)
$$V(\phi) = 0, V(\Omega) = 1.$$

- (2) $V(A) \leq V(B)$, whenever $A \subset B$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$.
- (3) $V(A_n) \uparrow V(A)$, if $A_n \uparrow A$.
- (4) $V(A_n) \downarrow V(A)$, if $A_n \downarrow A$, where $A_n, A \in \mathcal{F}$.

Because we investigate LIL for capacity, the notion of IID random variables under sub-linear expectations introduced by Peng is changed slightly (cf.[12, 13, 14, 15, 16]).

Definition 3 (IID under sublinear expectations)

Independence: Suppose that Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n is a sequence of random variables such that $Y_i \in \mathcal{H}$. Random variable Y_n is said to be independent of $X := (Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1})$ under \mathbb{E} , if for each positive function φ with $\varphi(X, Y_n) \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\varphi(x, Y_n) \in \mathcal{H}$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\varphi(X, Y_n)] = \mathbb{E}[\overline{\varphi}(X)],$$

where $\overline{\varphi}(x) := \mathbb{E}[\varphi(x, Y_n)]$ and $\overline{\varphi}(X) \in \mathcal{H}$.

Identical distribution: Random variables X and Y are said to be identically distributed, denoted by $X \stackrel{d}{=} Y$, if for each positive function φ such that $\varphi(X), \varphi(Y) \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\varphi(X)] = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(Y)].$$

IID random variables: A sequence of random variables $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is said to be IID, if $X_i \stackrel{d}{=} X_1$ and X_{i+1} is independent of $Y := (X_1, \dots, X_i)$ for each $i \ge 1$.

Borel-Cantelli Lemma is still true for capacity under some assumptions.

Lemma 2 Let $\{A_n, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of events in \mathcal{F} and (\mathbb{V}, v) be a pair of capacities generated by sub-linear expectation \mathbb{E} .

(1) If
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{V}(A_n) < \infty$$
, then $\mathbb{V}\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i\right) = 0$

(2) Suppose that $\{A_n, n \ge 1\}$ are pairwise independent with respect to \mathbb{V} , i.e.,

$$\mathbb{V}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i^c\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{V}(A_i^c).$$

If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v(A_n) = \infty$, then

$$v\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}\bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty}A_i\right) = 1.$$

Proof

$$0 \leq \mathbb{V}(\bigcap_{\substack{n=1\\\infty}}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i)$$

$$\leq \mathbb{V}(\bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \mathbb{V}(A_i) \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

The proof of (1) is complete.

$$0 \leq 1 - v(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i)$$

= $1 - \lim_{n \to \infty} v(\bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i)$
= $\lim_{n \to \infty} [1 - v(\bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i)]$
= $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{V}(\bigcap_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i^c)$
= $\lim_{n \to \infty} \prod_{i=n}^{\infty} \mathbb{V}(A_i^c)$
= $\lim_{n \to \infty} \prod_{i=n}^{\infty} (1 - v(A_i))$
 $\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \prod_{i=n}^{\infty} \exp(-v(A_i))$
= $\lim_{n \to \infty} \exp(-\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} v(A_i)) = 0.$

We complete the proof of (2).

Definition 4 (*G*-normal distribution, see Definition 10 in Peng [13]) Given a sub-linear expectation \mathbb{E} , a random variable $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ with

$$\overline{\sigma}^2 = \mathbb{E}[\xi^2], \quad \underline{\sigma}^2 = \mathcal{E}[\xi^2]$$

is called a *G*-normal distribution, denoted by $\mathcal{N}(0; [\underline{\sigma}^2, \overline{\sigma}^2])$, if for any bounded Lipschtiz function ϕ , writting $u(t, x) := \mathbb{E}[\phi(x + \sqrt{t\xi})], (t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$, then u is the viscosity solution of PDE:

 $\partial_t u - G(\partial_{xx}^2 u) = 0, \quad u(0,x) = \phi(x),$ where $G(x) := \frac{1}{2}(\overline{\sigma}^2 x^+ - \underline{\sigma}^2 x^-)$ and $x^+ := \max\{x, 0\}, x^- := (-x)^+.$

The following lemma can be found in Denis, Hu and Peng [4].

Lemma 3 Suppose that ξ is *G*-normal distributed by $\mathcal{N}(0; [\underline{\sigma}^2, \overline{\sigma}^2])$. Let *P* be a probability measure and ϕ be a bounded continuous function. If $\{B_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a *P*-Brownian motion, then

$$\mathbb{E}[\phi(\xi)] = \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} E_P\left[\phi\left(\int_0^1 \theta_s dB_s\right)\right], \quad \mathcal{E}[\phi(\xi)] = \inf_{\theta \in \Theta} E_P\left[\phi\left(\int_0^1 \theta_s dB_s\right)\right],$$

where

 $\Theta := \{\{\theta_t\}_{t \ge 0} : \theta_t \text{ is } \mathcal{F}_t \text{-adaped process such that } \underline{\sigma} \le \theta_t \le \overline{\sigma}\},\\ \mathcal{F}_t := \sigma\{B_s, 0 \le s \le t\} \lor \mathcal{N}, \quad \mathcal{N} \text{ is the collection of P-null subsets.} \end{cases}$

For the sake of completeness, the sketched proof of Lemma 3 is given in Appendix A.

With the notion of IID under sub-linear expectations, Peng shows the central limit theorem under sub-linear expectations (see Theorem 5.1 in Peng [15]).

Lemma 4 (Central limit theorem under sub-linear expectations) Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of IID random variables. We further assume that

$$\mathbb{E}[X_1] = \mathcal{E}[X_1] = 0.$$

Then the sequence $\{\overline{S}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ defined by

$$\overline{S}_n := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

converges in law to ξ , i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[\varphi(\overline{S}_n)] = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(\xi)],$$

for any continuous function φ satisfying the linear growth condition, where ξ is a G-normal distribution.

Remark 1 Suppose that $\mathbb{E}[X_1^2] = \overline{\sigma}^2$, $\overline{\sigma} > 0$ and φ is a convex function, then, we have,

$$\mathbb{E}[\varphi(\xi)] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\overline{\sigma}^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(y) \exp\left(-\frac{y^2}{2\overline{\sigma}^2}\right) \mathrm{d}y.$$

3 Main results

In this section, we will prove the following LIL for capacities:

Theorem 1 Let $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of bounded IID random variables for sub-linear expectation \mathbb{E} with zero means and bounded variances, i.e.,

(A.1)
$$\mathbb{E}[X_1] = \mathcal{E}[X_1] = 0,$$

(A.2) $\mathbb{E}[X_1^2] = \overline{\sigma}^2, \ \mathcal{E}[X_1^2] = \underline{\sigma}^2, \text{ where } 0 < \underline{\sigma} \le \overline{\sigma} < \infty.$

Denote
$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$
. Then
(I)
 $v\left(\underline{\sigma} \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n \log \log n}} \le \overline{\sigma}\right) = 1.$

Law of iterated logarithm

(II)

$$v\left(-\overline{\sigma} \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n \log\log n}} \le -\underline{\sigma}\right) = 1.$$

(III) Suppose that $C(\{x_n\})$ is the cluster set of a sequence of $\{x_n\}$ in \mathbb{R} , then

$$v\left(C\left(\left\{\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n\mathrm{loglog}n}}\right\}\right)\setminus\left\{\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n\mathrm{loglog}n}}, \liminf_{n\to\infty}\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n\mathrm{loglog}n}}\right\} = (-\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\sigma})\right) = 1.$$

In order to prove Theorem 1, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 5 Suppose ξ is distributed to G normal $\mathcal{N}(0; [\underline{\sigma}^2, \overline{\sigma}^2])$, where $0 < \underline{\sigma} \leq \overline{\sigma} < \infty$. Let ϕ be a bounded continuous function. Furthermore, if ϕ is a positively even function, then, for any $b \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$e^{-\frac{b^2}{2\underline{\sigma}^2}}\mathcal{E}[\phi(\xi)] \le \mathcal{E}[\phi(\xi-b)].$$

Proof Let P be a probability measure, $\{B_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ be a P-Brownian motion. Since ξ is distributed to G-normal, by Lemma 3, we have

$$\mathcal{E}[\phi(\xi)] = \inf_{\theta \in \Theta} E_P\left[\phi\left(\int_0^1 \theta_s dB_s\right)\right].$$

For any $\theta \in \Theta$, write $\tilde{B}_t := B_t - \int_0^t \frac{b}{\theta_s} ds$. By Girsanov's theorem, $\{\tilde{B}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a Q-Brownian motion under Q denoted by

$$\frac{dQ}{dP} := e^{-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 (\frac{b}{\theta_s})^2 ds + \int_0^1 \frac{b}{\theta_s} dB_s}.$$

That is

$$\frac{dP}{dQ} = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 (\frac{b}{\theta_s})^2 ds - \int_0^1 \frac{b}{\theta_s} d\tilde{B}_s}$$

Thus

$$E_{P}\left[\phi\left(\int_{0}^{1}\theta_{s}dB_{s}-b\right)\right] = E_{P}\left[\phi\left(\int_{0}^{1}\theta_{t}d(B_{t}-\int_{0}^{t}\frac{b}{\theta_{s}}ds)\right)\right] \\ = E_{P}\left[\phi\left(\int_{0}^{1}\theta_{s}d\tilde{B}_{s}\right)\right] \\ = E_{Q}\left[\phi\left(\int_{0}^{1}\theta_{s}d\tilde{B}_{s}\right)\cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{1}(\frac{b}{\theta_{s}})^{2}ds-\int_{0}^{1}\frac{b}{\theta_{s}}d\tilde{B}_{s}\right] \\ \geq e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{b}{\sigma}\right)^{2}}E_{Q}\left[\phi\left(\int_{0}^{1}\theta_{s}d\tilde{B}_{s}\right)\cdot e^{-\int_{0}^{1}\frac{b}{\theta_{s}}d\tilde{B}_{s}\right].$$

$$(1)$$

We now prove that if ϕ is even, then

$$E_Q\left[\phi\left(\int_0^1 \theta_s d\tilde{B}_s\right) \cdot e^{-\int_0^1 \frac{b}{\theta_s} d\tilde{B}_s}\right] \ge E_Q\left[\phi\left(\int_0^1 \theta_s d\tilde{B}_s\right)\right]$$

In fact, let $\overline{B}_t := -\tilde{B}_t$, then $\{\overline{B}_t\}_{t \ge 0}$ is also a *Q*-Brownian motion. Note that the assumption that function ϕ is even, therefore

$$E_Q \left[\phi \left(\int_0^1 \theta_s d\tilde{B}_s \right) \cdot e^{-\int_0^1 \frac{b}{\theta_s} d\tilde{B}_s} \right] = E_Q \left[\phi \left(\int_0^1 \theta_s d\overline{B}_s \right) \cdot e^{-\int_0^1 \frac{b}{\theta_s} d\overline{B}_s} \right] \\ = E_Q \left[\phi \left(-\int_0^1 \theta_s d\tilde{B}_s \right) \cdot e^{\int_0^1 \frac{b}{\theta_s} d\tilde{B}_s} \right] \\ = E_Q \left[\phi \left(\int_0^1 \theta_s d\tilde{B}_s \right) \cdot e^{\int_0^1 \frac{b}{\theta_s} d\tilde{B}_s} \right].$$

Since $\frac{e^{\int_0^1 b/\theta_s d\tilde{B}_s} + e^{-\int_0^1 b/\theta_s d\tilde{B}_s}}{2} \ge 1$, we have

$$E_Q \left[\phi \left(\int_0^1 \theta_s d\tilde{B}_s \right) \cdot e^{-\int_0^1 \frac{b}{\theta_s} d\tilde{B}_s} \right] = \frac{1}{2} E_Q \left[\phi \left(\int_0^1 \theta_s d\tilde{B}_s \right) \cdot \left(e^{\int_0^1 b/\theta_s d\tilde{B}_s} + e^{-\int_0^1 b/\theta_s d\tilde{B}_s} \right) \right] \\ \ge E_Q \left[\phi \left(\int_0^1 \theta_s d\tilde{B}_s \right) \right].$$

From (1), we have

$$\mathcal{E}[\phi(\xi-b)] = \inf_{\theta\in\Theta} E_P\left[\phi\left(\int_0^1 \theta_s dB_s - b\right)\right] \geq e^{-\frac{1}{2}(b/\underline{\sigma})^2} \inf_{\theta\in\Theta} E_Q\left[\phi\left(\int_0^1 \theta_s d\tilde{B}_s\right)\right] \\ = e^{-\frac{1}{2}(b/\underline{\sigma})^2} \inf_{\theta\in\Theta} E_P\left[\phi\left(\int_0^1 \theta_s dB_s\right)\right] \\ = e^{-\frac{1}{2}(b/\underline{\sigma})^2} \mathcal{E}[\phi(\xi)].$$

The proof of Lemma 5 is complete.

Lemma 6 Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, then, for each r > 2, there exists a positive constant K_r such that

$$\mathbb{E}[\max_{i \le n} |S_{m,i}|^r] \le K_r n^{\frac{r}{2}} \quad \text{for all} \quad m \ge 0,$$

where $S_{m,n} = \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+n} X_i$.

Proof. First, we prove that there exists a positive constant C_r such that

$$\sup_{m\geq 0} \mathbb{E}|S_{m,n}|^r \leq C_r n^{\frac{r}{2}}.$$
(2)

By Lemma 4 and Remark 1, it is easy to check that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[|S_{m,n}/\sqrt{n}|^r] = \mathbb{E}[|\xi|^r] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\overline{\sigma}^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |y|^r \exp\left(-\frac{y^2}{2\overline{\sigma}^2}\right) \mathrm{d}y < \infty.$$

So, we can choose

$$D_r > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\overline{\sigma}^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |y|^r \exp\left(-\frac{y^2}{2\overline{\sigma}^2}\right) \mathrm{d}y,$$

then there exists n_0 such that $\forall n \geq n_0$,

$$\mathbb{E}|S_{m,n}|^r \le D_r n^{\frac{r}{2}}.$$

Note that $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a bounded sequence, then there exists a constant M > 0, such that, for each $n, |X_n| \leq M$. So we can obtain (2) holds. Hence, in a manner similar to Theorem 3.7.5 of Stout [17], we can obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[\max_{i \le n} |S_{m,i}|^r] \le K_r n^{\frac{r}{2}} \quad \text{for all} \quad m \ge 0.$$

Lemma 7 Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, if

$$v\left(\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{|S_n|}{\sqrt{2n\log\log n}}\le\overline{\sigma}\right)=1,$$

then, for any $b \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $|b| < \underline{\sigma}$,

$$v\left(\liminf_{n\to\infty}\left|\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n\log\log n}} - b\right| = 0\right) = 1.$$

Proof We only need to prove that for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$v\left(\liminf_{n\to\infty}\left|\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n\log\log n}} - b\right| \le \epsilon\right) = 1.$$

To do so, we only need to prove that there exists an increasing subsequence $\{n_k\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that

$$v\left(\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty}\bigcup_{k=m}^{\infty}\{|S_{n_k}/\sqrt{2n_k \log\log n_k} - b| \le \epsilon\}\right) = 1.$$
(3)

Indeed, let us choose $n_k := k^k$ for $k \ge 1$. For each t > 0, write

$$N_k := [(n_{k+1} - n_k)^2 t^2 / 2n_{k+1} \log \log n_{k+1}],$$

$$m_k := [2n_{k+1} \log \log n_{k+1} / t^2 (n_{k+1} - n_k)],$$

$$r_k := \sqrt{2n_{k+1} \log \log n_{k+1}} / tm_k.$$

Since $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of IID random variables under sub-linear expectation \mathbb{E} , we have

$$v\left(\left|\frac{S_{n_{k+1}}-S_{n_k}}{\sqrt{2n_{k+1}\log\log n_{k+1}}}-b\right|\leq\epsilon\right)=v\left(b-\epsilon\leq\frac{S_{n_{k+1}-n_k}}{\sqrt{2n_{k+1}\log\log n_{k+1}}}\leq b+\epsilon\right)$$

$$\geq v\left(b-\epsilon/2\leq\frac{S_{N_km_k}}{\sqrt{2n_{k+1}\log\log n_{k+1}}}\leq b+\epsilon/2\right)\cdot v\left(-\epsilon/2\leq\frac{S_{n_{k+1}-n_k}-S_{N_km_k}}{\sqrt{2n_{k+1}\log\log n_{k+1}}}\leq\epsilon/2\right)$$

$$\geq v\left(tm_k(b-\epsilon/2)\leq\frac{S_{N_km_k}}{r_k}\leq tm_k(b+\epsilon/2)\right)\cdot v\left(-\epsilon/2\leq\frac{S_{n_{k+1}-n_k}-N_km_k}{\sqrt{2n_{k+1}\log\log n_{k+1}}}\leq\epsilon/2\right)$$

$$\geq \left(v\left(bt-\epsilon t/2\leq\frac{S_{N_k}}{r_k}\leq bt+\epsilon t/2\right)\right)^{m_k}\cdot v\left(-\epsilon/2\leq\frac{S_{n_{k+1}-n_k}-N_km_k}{\sqrt{2n_{k+1}\log\log n_{k+1}}}\leq\epsilon/2\right)$$

$$\geq \left(\mathcal{E}\left[\phi(S_{N_k}/r_k-bt)\right]\right)^{m_k}\cdot v\left(-\epsilon/2\leq\frac{S_{n_{k+1}-n_k}-N_km_k}{\sqrt{2n_{k+1}\log\log n_{k+1}}}\leq\epsilon/2\right),$$

$$(4)$$

where $\phi(x)$ is a even function defined by

$$\phi(x) := \begin{cases} 1 - e^{|x| - \epsilon t/2}, & |x| \le \epsilon t/2; \\ 0, & |x| > \epsilon t/2. \end{cases}$$

Note the fact that $N_k \to \infty$, as $k \to \infty$ and applying Lemmas 4 and 5,

$$\log \mathcal{E}[\phi(S_{N_k}/r_k - bt)] \to \log \mathcal{E}[\phi(\xi - bt)] \ge -\frac{b^2 t^2}{2\underline{\sigma}^2} + \log \mathcal{E}[\phi(\xi)], \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty.$$

Thus

$$\log \left(\mathcal{E}[\phi(S_{N_k}/r_k - bt)] \right)^{m_k} \cdot (n_{k+1} - n_k)/2n_{k+1} \log\log n_{k+1} \\ = (n_{k+1} - n_k)/2n_{k+1} \log\log n_{k+1} \cdot m_k \log \mathcal{E}[\phi(S_{N_k}/r_k - bt)] \\ \rightarrow t^{-2} \log \mathcal{E}[\phi(\xi - bt)] \ge -\frac{1}{2} (b/\underline{\sigma})^2 + t^{-2} \log \mathcal{E}[\phi(\xi)].$$
(5)

However,

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} t^{-2} \log \mathcal{E}[\phi(\xi - bt)] \ge -\frac{1}{2} (b/\underline{\sigma})^2.$$
(6)

So, from (5) and (6), we have, for any $\delta > 0$ and large enough t,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \log \left(\mathcal{E}[\phi(S_{N_k}/r_k - bt)] \right)^{m_k} \cdot (n_{k+1} - n_k) / 2n_{k+1} \log \log n_{k+1} \ge -\frac{1}{2} (|b|/\underline{\sigma} + \delta/2)^2.$$
(7)

On the other hand, by Chebyshev's inequality,

$$\mathbb{V}\left(\left|\frac{S_{n_{k+1}-n_k-N_km_k}}{\sqrt{2n_{k+1}\log\log n_{k+1}}}\right| > \epsilon/2\right) \le 2(n_{k+1}-n_k-N_km_k)\overline{\sigma}^2/\epsilon^2 n_{k+1}\log\log n_{k+1} \to 0, \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$

So, as $k \to \infty$,

$$(n_{k+1} - n_k) / 2n_{k+1} \log \log n_{k+1} \cdot \log v \left(-\epsilon/2 \le \frac{S_{n_{k+1} - n_k - N_k m_k}}{\sqrt{2n_{k+1} \log \log n_{k+1}}} \le \epsilon/2 \right)$$

$$= (n_{k+1} - n_k) / 2n_{k+1} \log \log n_{k+1} \cdot \log \left(1 - \mathbb{V} \left(\left| \frac{S_{n_{k+1} - n_k - N_k m_k}}{\sqrt{2n_{k+1} \log \log n_{k+1}}} \right| > \epsilon/2 \right) \right) \to 0.$$

$$(8)$$

Therefore, from (4), (7) and (8), we have

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} \left(n_{k+1} - n_k \right) / 2n_{k+1} \log \log n_{k+1} \cdot \log v \left(\left| \frac{S_{n_{k+1}} - S_{n_k}}{\sqrt{2n_{k+1} \log \log n_{k+1}}} - b \right| \le \epsilon \right) \ge -\frac{1}{2} (|b| / \underline{\sigma} + \delta / 2)^2.$$

Now we choose $\delta > 0$ such that $|b/\underline{\sigma}| + \delta < 1$. Then, for given $\delta > 0$, there exists k_0 such that $\forall k \ge k_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} v\left(\left|\frac{S_{n_{k+1}}-S_{n_k}}{\sqrt{2n_{k+1}\log\log n_{k+1}}}-b\right| \leq \epsilon\right) \\ \geq & \exp\left\{-2n_{k+1}\log\log n_{k+1}/(n_{k+1}-n_k)\cdot\left(\left(|b/\underline{\sigma}|+\delta\right)/2\right)\right\} \\ \sim & \exp\left(-\left(|b/\underline{\sigma}|+\delta\right)\log\log n_{k+1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v\left(\left| \frac{S_{n_{k+1}} - S_{n_k}}{\sqrt{2n_{k+1} \log \log n_{k+1}}} - b \right| \le \epsilon \right) = \infty.$$

Using the second Borel-Cantelli Lemma, we have

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} \left| \frac{S_{n_k} - S_{n_{k-1}}}{\sqrt{2n_k \log \log n_k}} - b \right| \le \epsilon, \quad \text{a.s. } v.$$

But

$$\left|\frac{S_{n_k}}{\sqrt{2n_k \log \log n_k}} - b\right| \le \left|\frac{S_{n_k} - S_{n_{k-1}}}{\sqrt{2n_k \log \log n_k}} - b\right| + \frac{|S_{n_{k-1}}|}{\sqrt{2n_{k-1} \log \log n_{k-1}}} \frac{\sqrt{2n_{k-1} \log \log n_{k-1}}}{\sqrt{2n_k \log \log n_k}}.$$
 (9)

Note the following fact

$$\frac{n_{k-1}}{n_k} \to 0$$
, as $k \to \infty$

and

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} |S_n| / \sqrt{2n \log \log n} \le \overline{\sigma}, \quad \text{a.s. } v.$$

Hence, from inequality (9), for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} \left| \frac{S_{n_k}}{\sqrt{2n_k \log \log n_k}} - b \right| \le \epsilon, \text{ a.s. } v,$$

therefore,

$$v\left(\liminf_{n\to\infty}\left|\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n\log\log n}} - b\right| \le \epsilon\right) = 1.$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary, we have

$$v\left(\liminf_{n\to\infty}\left|\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n\log\log n}}-b\right|=0\right)=1.$$

We complete the proof of Lemma 7.

The Proof of Theorem 1 (I) First, we prove that

$$v\left(\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n\log\log n}}\le\overline{\sigma}\right)=1.$$

For each $\epsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, by Markov's inequality,

$$\mathbb{V}\left(\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n\log\log n}} > (1+\epsilon)\overline{\sigma}\right) = \mathbb{V}\left(\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n\overline{\sigma}^2}} > (1+\epsilon)\sqrt{2\log\log n}\right) \\
\leq \exp\left(-2(1+\epsilon)^2\lambda \log\log n\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\lambda\left(\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n\overline{\sigma}^2}}\right)^2\right)\right].$$
(10)

Law of iterated logarithm

On the other hand, by Lemma 4 and Remark 1, we have, if $\lambda < \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\lambda\left(\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n\overline{\sigma}^2}}\right)^2\right)\right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(\lambda y^2) \exp\left(-\frac{y^2}{2}\right) \mathrm{d}y < \infty.$$

Fixing $\beta > 1$, for each $\epsilon > 0$, we can choose $\lambda_{\epsilon} \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that $\beta = 2(1+\epsilon)^2 \lambda_{\epsilon} > 1$. So, we can choose

$$C_{\epsilon} > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(\lambda_{\epsilon} y^2\right) \exp\left(-\frac{y^2}{2}\right) \mathrm{d}y,$$

then there exists n_0 such that $\forall n \geq n_0$,

$$E\left[\exp\left(\lambda_{\epsilon}\left(\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n\overline{\sigma}^2}}\right)^2\right)\right] \le C_{\epsilon}.$$
(11)

From (10) and (11), we can obtain, $\forall n \geq n_0$,

$$\mathbb{V}\left(\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n \log\log n}} > (1+\epsilon)\overline{\sigma}\right) \le C_{\epsilon} \exp(-\beta \log\log n).$$

Choose $0 < \alpha < 1$ such that $\alpha \beta > 1$. Let $n_k := [e^{k^{\alpha}}]$ for $k \ge 1$. Then

$$\sum_{n_k \ge n_0} \mathbb{V}\left(\frac{S_{n_k}}{\sqrt{2n_k \log \log n_k}} > (1+\epsilon)\overline{\sigma}\right) \le D_\epsilon \sum_{n_k \ge n_0} k^{-\alpha\beta} < \infty,$$

where D_{ϵ} is a positive constant. By the first Borel-Cantelli Lemma, we can get

$$\mathbb{V}\left(\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty}\bigcup_{k=m}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{S_{n_k}}{\sqrt{2n_k\mathrm{loglog}n_k}}>(1+\epsilon)\overline{\sigma}\right\}\right)=0.$$

Also

$$v\left(\limsup_{k\to\infty}\frac{S_{n_k}}{\sqrt{2n_k\log\log n_k}}\leq (1+\epsilon)\overline{\sigma}\right)=1.$$

Let $M_k := \max_{n_k \le n < n_{k+1}} \frac{|S_n - S_{n_k}|}{\sqrt{2n_k \log \log n_k}}$ for $k \ge 1$. For each $k \ge 1$,

$$\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n \log \log n}} \le \frac{S_{n_k}}{\sqrt{2n_k \log \log n_k}} \frac{\sqrt{2n_k \log \log n_k}}{\sqrt{2n \log \log n}} + M_k \frac{\sqrt{2n_k \log \log n_k}}{\sqrt{2n \log \log n}},$$

for $n_k \leq n < n_{k+1}$. For given α , we choose p > 2 such that $p(1 - \alpha) \geq 2$. By Lemma 6, we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[M_k^p] \le K_p \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n_{k+1} - n_k)^{\frac{p}{2}}}{(2n_k \log \log n_k)^{\frac{p}{2}}} \le D_p' \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-\frac{p(1-\alpha)}{2}} (\log k)^{-\frac{p}{2}} < \infty,$$
(12)

where D'_p is a positive constant. From (12) and by Chebyshev's inequality, for each $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{V}(M_k > \epsilon) \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[M_k^p]}{\epsilon^p} < \infty.$$

Hence, by the first Borel-Cantelli Lemma again,

$$v\left(\limsup_{k\to\infty}M_k\le\epsilon\right)=1.$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary, we have

$$v\left(\lim_{k\to\infty}M_k=0\right)=1.$$

Noting that

$$\frac{\sqrt{2n_k \log\log n_k}}{\sqrt{2n_{k+1} \log\log n_{k+1}}} \to 1, \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty,$$

we have

$$v\left(\limsup_{\substack{n\to\infty\\ \gamma\to\infty}}\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n\log\log n}} \le (1+\epsilon)\overline{\sigma}\right)$$

$$\ge v\left(\left\{\limsup_{k\to\infty}\frac{S_{n_k}}{\sqrt{2n_k\log\log n_k}} \le (1+\epsilon)\overline{\sigma}\right\} \cap \left\{\lim_{k\to\infty}M_k = 0\right\}\right)$$

$$= 1,$$

which implies

$$v\left(\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n\mathrm{loglog}n}}\leq\overline{\sigma}\right)=1.$$
(13)

Similarly, considering the sequence $\{-X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, it suffices to obtain

$$v\left(\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{-S_n}{\sqrt{2n\log\log n}}\le\overline{\sigma}\right)=1.$$

Also

$$v\left(\liminf_{n\to\infty}\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n\mathrm{loglog}n}} \ge -\overline{\sigma}\right) = 1.$$
 (14)

Now we prove that

$$v\left(\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n\log\log n}}\geq\underline{\sigma}\right)=1.$$

Indeed, from (13) and (14), it is easy to obtain

$$v\left(\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{|S_n|}{\sqrt{2n\log\log n}}\le\overline{\sigma}\right)=1.$$

For any number $b \in (0, \underline{\sigma})$, noting the fact that $|b| < \underline{\sigma}$, by Lemma 7, we have

$$v\left(\liminf_{n\to\infty}\left|\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n\log\log n}}-b\right|=0\right)=1,$$

which implies that

$$v\left(\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n \log\log n}} \ge \underline{\sigma}\right) = 1.$$
(15)

So, from (13) and (15), we can obtain

$$v\left(\underline{\sigma} \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n \log\log n}} \le \overline{\sigma}\right) = 1.$$

The proof of (I) is complete.

(II) Considering the sequence $\{-X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, by (I), it suffices to obtain

$$v\left(\underline{\sigma} \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{-S_n}{\sqrt{2n \log \log n}} \le \overline{\sigma}\right) = 1.$$

Thus

$$v\left(-\overline{\sigma} \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n \log \log n}} \le -\underline{\sigma}\right) = 1.$$

To prove (III). We only need to prove that for any number $b \in (-\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\sigma})$,

$$v\left(\liminf_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n \log \log n}} - b \right| = 0\right) = 1.$$
(16)

Noting the fact that $|b| < \underline{\sigma}$, by Lemma 7, we can easily obtain (16).

The proof of (III) is complete.

References

- [1] Chen, Z.: Strong laws of large numbers under sub-linear expectations, preprint (2009)
- [2] Birkel, T.: Moment bounds for associated sequences. Ann. Probab. 16, 1184-1193 (1988)
- [3] De-Acosta, A.: A new proof of the Hartman-Wintner law of the iterated logarithm. Ann. Probab. 11, 270-276 (1983)
- [4] Denis, L., Hu, M., Peng, S.: Function spaces and capacity related to a sublinear expectation: application to G-Brownian Motion Pathes, preprint (pdf-file available in arXiv:0802.1240v1 [math.PR] 9 Feb. 2008) (2008)
- [5] Hartman, P., Wintner, A.: On the law of the interated logarithm. Amer. J. ath. 63, 169-176 (1941)
- [6] Huber, P.: Robust statistics. John Wiley & Sons, New York (1981)
- [7] Huber, P., Strassen, V.: Minimax tests and the Neyman-Pearson lemma for capacities. Ann. Statist. 1(2), 251-263 (1973)

- [8] Lévy, P.-C.: Théorie de l'addition des variables aléatoires. Paris, 258-289 (1937)
- [9] Khinchine, A.: Über einen Satz der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung. Fundamenta Mathematica 6, 9-20 (1924)
- [10] Kolmogorov, A.: Uber das Gesetz des iterierten Logarithmus. Mathematische Annalen 101, 126-135 (1929)
- [11] Peng, S.: Nonlinear expectations and nonlinear Markov chains, Chin. Ann. Math. 26(2), 159–184 (2005)
- [12] Peng, S.: G-expectation, G-Brownian Motion and Related Stochastic Calculus of Ito type. In: Benth, F.-E., et al. (eds.) Stochastic Analysis and Applications. The Abel Symposium 2005, Proceedings of the second Abel Symposium, pp. 541-567. Springer-Verlag (2006)
- [13] Peng, S.: Law of large number and central limit theorem under nonlinear expectations, preprint (pdf-file available in arXiv:math.PR/0702358vl 13 Feb 2007) (2007)
- [14] Peng, S.: Multi-dimensional G-Brownian motion and related stochastic calculus under G-expectation. Stochastic Process. Appl. 118(12), 2223-2253 (2008)
- [15] Peng, S.: A New Central Limit Theorem under Sublinear Expectations, preprint (pdf-file available in arXiv:0803.2656v1 [math.PR] 18 Mar. 2008) (2008)
- [16] Peng, S.: Survey on normal distributions, central limit theorem, Brownian motion and the related stochastic calculus under sublinear expectations. Science in China Series A-Mathematics 52(7), 1391-1411 (2009)
- [17] Stout, W.-F.: Almost Sure Convergence. Academic Press, New York (1974)
- [18] Strassen, V.: An invariance principle for the law of the iterated logarithm. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete 3, 211-226 (1964).
- [19] Wasserman, L., Kadane, J.: Bayes's theorem for Choquet capacities. Ann. Statist. 18, 1328-1339 (1990)

School of Mathematics Shandong University Jinan, 250100, PRC. Department of Financial Engineering Ajou University Suwon, KR.