

Maxwell viscoelastic dynamics of the DNA in the THz range.

V.L.Golo

October 14, 2018

Abstract

The attenuation of phonon modes of the DNA is due to the exchange of water molecules adsorbed by a molecule of DNA and the bulk solvent. Using Maxwell's mechanism of relaxation and a simple lattice model of the DNA, we show that the attenuation tends to a constant value for phonon frequencies larger than the inverse residence time of water molecules. We come to the conclusion that in the THz range the attenuation could be small enough to allow the propagation of the phonon modes.

1 The influence of hydration on the dynamics of the DNA.

Tera-hertz waves have attracted considerable attention owing to their increasing applications and possible biological effects. There is a need of careful studying the action of the Tera-hertz waves on molecules of the DNA. The main point is whether they could generate elastic vibrations, or phonon modes, strong enough to damage a molecule of DNA. Woolard et al, [1], [2], have reported the direct identification of the phonon modes of DNA with the help of Tera-hertz spectroscopy. Theoretical analysis of the problem runs across difficulties because the system's small size and the range of hyper-sound frequencies thwart the use of the conventional mechanics of condensed media, [3], [4]. The problems require studying the dynamics of the duplex of the DNA, the so-called inter-strand modes. The experimental research and the numerical modelling indicated that they are strongly attenuated, [5], [6],[7], [8]. There are different opinions as to the extent of the damping. In papers [9], [10], [11] the authors put forward arguments in favor the inter-strand modes not being over-damped. The

recent experimental results by Woolard et al, [1], [2], indicate that the modes are strong enough to be observed.

The theoretical analysis of the elastic modes of the double helix has been performed, so far, within the framework of elasticity theory, without taking into account viscous effects. In this paper we shall take into account the viscosity due to the hydration sheet, and deviations of the structure of DNA from the perfect helical symmetry, see [12] Thus, we shall compare a molecule of DNA with a composite material, [13].

We rely on Maxwell's model of viscoelastic dynamics. According to the latter one may cast the relation between stress, F , and strain, u , in the form

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = \lambda \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{\tau} F \quad (1)$$

Here λ is the elastic constant characteristic of a body, and τ is the characteristic time of relaxation. The approach was employed for studying viscoelastic dynamics of liquids and amorphous bodies in the THz range of frequencies, [4]. It leads to important conclusions as to the mechanism of dissipation. and results in a theory that substantially differs from the conventional Navier-Stokes hydrodynamics. The most spectacular evidence to the effect is the Mandelstam-Brillouin light scattering in liquids. The Navier-Stokes hydrodynamics gives the width of a line in the Mandelstam-Brillouin doublet larger than the width of the doublet itself, so that it should not be observed. In fact, it is, [3]. According to the theory worked out by Leontovich and Mandelstam, [3], the attenuation of sound ceases to depend on its frequency ω in the hyper-sound region, whereas in the low frequency region, where the Navier-Stokes theory is valid, it varies as ω^2 . To accommodate the hydration one shall recall that the two nearest layers form a kind of hydration water sheet, the bulk of solvent being outside. Chen and Prohofsky, [15] suggested that the water location have specific sites with respect to the double helix of DNA, which form a kind of spine in the narrow groove. Thus, the hydration water can be considered as an integral part of the helix, essential to its stability and dynamics, [15]. Viscous effects depend on the exchange of water molecules between the hydration sheet and the bulk of solvent, [16]. They have a characteristic time of tens of ps. In the spirit of the composite theory, [13], we may claim that the interplay of the elastic properties of molecules of DNA and the hydration result in a renormalization of elastic constants. Owing to the THz-range of frequencies we may use Maxwell's approach to viscoelasticity, [4].

2 The elastic dynamics of torsional and inter-strand modes

Considering the dynamics of the DNA one has to take into account:

- (1) the DNA having the two strands;
- (2) the base-pairs being linked by the hydrogen bonds;
- (3) the helical symmetry.

To estimate the attenuation discussed above we employ a one-dimensional lattice model of the DNA worked out in paper [17]. The model accommodates these requirements, see the Appendix. We use the scheme worked out by El Hasan and Calladine, [12], for the internal geometry of the double helix of the DNA. It describes the relative position of one base with respect to the other in a Watson-Crick base-pair and also the positions of the two base-pairs. This is achieved by introducing local frames for the bases and the base-pairs, and translation-slides along their long axes. We shall describe the relative positions of the bases of a base-pair by means of the vector \vec{Y} directed along the long axis (y -axis of paper [12]), \vec{Y} being equal to zero when the base-pair is at equilibrium. The relative positions of the base-pairs are described by the torsional angles ϕ_n , which give deviations from the standard equilibrium twist of the double helix. Thus a twist of the DNA molecule, which does not involve inter-strand motion or mutual displacements of the bases inside the pairs, is determined by the torsional angles ϕ_n that are the angles of rotation of the base-pairs about the axis of the double-helix. The motions should correspond to the relative motion of the bases inside the base-pairs. For each base-pair we have the reference frame in which z-axis corresponds to the axis of the double helix, y-axis to the long axis of the base-pair, x-axis perpendicular to z- and y- axes (see Fig. 1 of paper [12]). At equilibrium the change in position of adjacent base-pairs is determined only by the twist angle Ω of the double helix. We shall assume $\Omega = 2\pi/10$ as for the B-form of DNA.

The above model provides a qualitative description of the dynamics of the duplex. It indicates three modes of motion: a torsional one that corresponds to the twist angle ϕ_n , and two modes for the vector \vec{Y}_n of inter-base displacements, [17]. Accommodating the relaxation effects in accord with the recipe given above (see the relevant calculations in the Appendix) we may cast the dispersive equations in the form:

- (1) for the modes of the vectors \vec{Y}_n we have

$$\omega_{\alpha q}^2 = \omega_Y^2 \sin^2 \frac{\Omega + (-1)^\alpha a q}{2} + \omega_s^2 - \frac{1}{\tau} \omega_{\alpha q}, \quad \alpha = 0, 1 \quad (2)$$

(2) for the twist mode of the duplex

$$\omega_q^2 = \omega_t^2 \sin^2 \frac{aq}{2} - \frac{1}{\tau} \omega_q \quad (3)$$

Here τ is the relaxation time, and $\omega_Y, \omega_s, \omega_t$ are the characteristic frequencies for the motion of the Y , the stretching of hydrogen bonds, and the twist of the duplex (see the Appendix). We see that the spectrum of the twist mode has a typical acoustic character, whereas that for the \vec{Y}_n has a local minimum determined by the helical angle, Ω . Thus, the spectrum of our model is in qualitative agreement with the conclusions of [18]. Summarizing, we may state that there are:

- (1) the internal degrees of freedom which obey the dispersive law (2);
- (2) the external ones generated by winding the strands round the axis of the double helix, and subject to equations (3).

In the range of large frequencies we may assume $\omega\tau \gg 1$, so that the approximate equation

$$k \approx \frac{\omega}{c} - \frac{i}{2\tau c} \quad (4)$$

is true and the attenuation length, Λ , equals

$$\Lambda \approx 4\pi\tau c \quad (5)$$

Here c is the characteristic sound velocity of elastic excitations of a molecule of DNA. In fact, equation (4) is meaningful even for values $\omega\tau \geq 1$, for we obtain it with the formula of the Newtons binom for exponent 1/2 and the imaginary correction of the third order. Following a rule of thumb, we may employ the last equation to find an estimate for the attenuation of elastic modes of DNA.

3 Conclusions

We see that the attenuation does not depend on frequency, if the latter is large enough, the length of attenuation being given by equations (4) and (5). Whether it could be physically significant depends on numerical values involved. The velocity of elastic modes of the DNA is estimated as $\propto 10^5 \text{ cm/sec}$. The relaxation time τ is determined by the exchange of adsorbed water molecules and those of the bulk solvent. One may suppose that the relaxation time is of the same order of magnitude, or larger, as the residence time of adsorbed molecules, i.e 10^{-12} sec , according to paper [16]. Phonons of a wave length of the order of 10 \AA have frequencies in the range of a few THz, and the condition $\omega\tau \gg 1$ is verified. At the same time we may infer from the equations given above that the attenuation length is of the order $\Lambda \propto 10^{-6} \text{ cm}$ or

100 Å, that is of the order of the persistence length. Therefore, the relaxation effects are strong, but the above estimate leaves a room for the elastic modes of DNA being non-over-damped.

4 Appendix

For the convenience of the reader we shall recall the model, [17], which relies on the earlier paper by H.Capellmann and W.Beim, [19]. As was indicated above, we use the notations of the paper, [12]. A twist of the DNA molecule, which does not involve inter-strand motion or mutual displacements of the bases inside the pairs, is determined by the torsional angles ϕ_n that are the angles of rotation of the base-pairs about the axis of the double-helix. The twist energy of the molecule is given by the equation

$$\sum_n \left[\frac{I}{2} \dot{\phi}_n^2 + \frac{k}{2a^2} (\phi_{n+1} - \phi_n)^2 \right]$$

in which I is the moment of inertia, and k is the twist coefficients, which for the sake of simplicity and taking into account the qualitative picture at which we aim, are assumed to be the same for all the base-pairs. Inter-strand motions should correspond to the relative motion of the bases inside the base-pairs, therefore the kinetic energy due to this degree of freedom may be cast in the form

$$\sum_n \frac{M}{2} \dot{Y}_n^2$$

where M is the effective mass of a couple.

For each base-pair we have the reference frame in which z-axis corresponds to the axis of the double helix, y-axis to the long axis of the base-pair, x-axis perpendicular to z- and y- axes (see Fig. 1 of paper [12]). At equilibrium the change in position of adjacent base-pairs is determined only by the twist angle Ω of the double helix. We shall assume $\Omega = 2\pi/10$ as for the B-form of DNA. To determine the energy due to the inter-strand displacements we need to take into account the constraint imposed by the helical structure of our system, which can be done as follows. Let us confine ourself only to the torsional degrees of freedom of the double lattice and assume the vectors \vec{Y}_n being parallel to x-y plane, or two-dimensional. Consider the displacements \vec{Y}_n, \vec{Y}_{n+1} determined within the frames of the two consecutive base-pairs, n, n+1. Since we must compare the two vectors in the same frame, we shall rotate the vector \vec{Y}_{n+1} to the frame of the n-th base pair,

$$\vec{Y}_{n+1}^{back} = R^{-1}(\phi) \vec{Y}_{n+1}$$

Here $R^{-1}(\phi)$ is the inverse of a 2×2 matrix of the rotation of the n -th frame to the $(n+1)$ -one. The argument is just the same as in the Kirchhoff theory of elastic rod. It is important that the angle ϕ is given by $\Omega + \phi_{n+1} - \phi_n$. Therefore, the energy due to the *inter-strand* stress reads

$$\sum_n \left\{ \frac{M}{2} \dot{Y}_n^2 + \frac{K}{2a^2} \left[R^{-1}(\Omega + \phi_{n+1} - \phi_n) \vec{Y}_{n+1} - \vec{Y}_n \right]^2 \right\}$$

At equilibrium the ϕ_n are equal to zero. We suppose that the size of DNA molecule is small enough that it can be visualized as a straight double helix, that is not larger than the persistence length. Hence the number of base-pairs, $N \leq 150$, approximately. Combining the formulae given above we may write down the Lagrangian function of the system in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \sum_n \left[\frac{I}{2} \dot{\phi}_n^2 - \frac{\tau}{2a^2} (\phi_{n+1} - \phi_n)^2 \right] \\ &+ \sum_n \left\{ \frac{M}{2} \dot{Y}_n^2 - \frac{K}{2a^2} \left[R^{-1}(\Omega + \phi_{n+1} - \phi_n) \vec{Y}_{n+1} - \vec{Y}_n \right]^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \vec{Y}_n^2 \right\} \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

in which K, k and a are the torsional elastic constants and the inter-pairs distance, correspondingly. In summations given above n is the number of a site corresponding to the n -th base-pair, and $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$, N being the number of pairs in the segment of the DNA under consideration. The terms, $\epsilon/2 \vec{Y}^2$ accommodate the energy of the inter-strand *separation* due to the *slides of the bases inside the base-pairs*. It should be noted that the dynamical variables ϕ_n and \vec{Y}_n are of the same order of magnitude, that is the first.

We shall introduce the relaxation following Maxwell's prescription (1). We may cast (1) into the explicit dependence of the force on the displacement

$$F = \lambda u - \left[\lambda \left(1 + \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} \right] u$$

For very large relaxation times we get the Hooke law, $F = \lambda u$, and for very small relaxation times, that is very large dissipation, the external force is extinguished, $F = 0$. Thus, the relaxation amounts to changing scalar elastic constants λ for the operator ones, [4],

$$\lambda \rightarrow \hat{\lambda} = \lambda (1 - \mathcal{F})$$

Let us consider the general situation which fully describes the problem at hand. We have a system given by a Lagrangian function $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n; \dot{u}_1, \dot{u}_2, \dots, \dot{u}_n)$

In our case it is the function determined by the equations for the kinetic and the potential energy given above. The equations of motion have the Lagrangian form

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\dot{u}_k} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_k}$$

In accord with Maxwell's prescription we set

$$\frac{dF_k}{dt} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{u}_k} - \frac{1}{\tau} F_k, \quad \text{or} \quad F_k = \left(1 + \tau \frac{d}{dt}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{u}_k}$$

On substituting the expression for the force in the right hand sides of the Lagrangian equations, and obtain the equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\dot{u}_k} = - \frac{1}{\tau} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{u}_k} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u_k}$$

from which we infer equations (4),(5).

References

- [1] D.L.Woolard, T.R.Globus, B.L.Gelmont, M.Bykhovskaia, A.C.Samuels, D.Cookmeyer, J.L.Hesler, T.W.Crowe, J.O.Jensen, J.L.Jensen, and W.R.Loerop, *Phys.Rev.* **E65**, 051903 (2002)
- [2] D.L.Woolard, T.Koscica, D.L.Rhodes, H.L.Cui, R.A.Pastore, J.O.Jensen, J.L.Jensen, W.R.Loerop, R.H.Jacobsen, D.Mittleman, and M.C.Nus, *Appl.Toxicology*, **17**(4), 243 (1997).
- [3] I.L.Fabelinsky, *Molecular Light Scattering*, Ch.VI, Nauka, Moscow (1965) (in Russian).
- [4] Ja.I.Frenkel, *Kinetic Theory of Liquids*, Moscow, Nauka (1945).
- [5] H.Urabe, Y.Tominaga, and K.Kubatta, *J.Chem.Phys.* **78**, 5937 (1983).
- [6] Y.Tominaga, M.Shida, K.Kubota, H.Urabe, Y.Nishimura, and M.Tsuboi, *J.Chem.Phys.* **83**, 5972 (1985).
- [7] S.M.Lindsay and J.Powell, *Structure and Dynamics: Nucleic Acids and Proteins*, eds. E.Clementi and R.Sarma, Adenine (1983).
- [8] B.F.Putnam, L.L. Van Zandt, E.W.Prohofsky, and W.N.Mei, *Biophysical Journal* **35**, 271 (1981).

- [9] V.K.Saxena and L.L.Van Zandt, *Biophysical Journal*, **67**, 2448 (1994).
- [10] V.K.Saxena and L.L.Van Zandt, *Phys.rev.* **A 42**, 4993 (1990).
- [11] Chia C.Shih and S.Georgiou, *J.Biomol.Structure.Dynamics*, **17**, 921 (2000).
- [12] M.E.El Hassan and C.R.Calladine, *J.Mol.Biol.* **251**, 648 (1995).
- [13] J.C. Fung, *Foundations of Continuum Mechanics*, Ch.1, Prentice-Hall, New Jersey (1965).
- [14] M.E.Davis and L.L.VanZandt, *Phys.Rev.A* **37**, 888 (1987).
- [15] Y. Z. Chen and E. W. Prohofsky, *Biophys. J.*, **64**, 1385 (1993).
- [16] X. Shui, C.C. Sines, L. McFail-Isom, D. VanDerveer, and L.D. Williams., *Biochemistry* **37**, 16877 (1998).
- [17] V.L.Golo, *JETP* **128**, 428 (2005).
- [18] Y.Kim and E.W.Prohofsky, *Phys.Rev.* **B36**, 3449 (1987).
- [19] H.Capellmann and W.Biem, *Z.Phys.* **209**, (1968).