

TITS GEOMETRY AND POSITIVE CURVATURE

FUQUAN FANG, KARSTEN GROVE, AND GUDLAUGUR THORBERGSSON

ABSTRACT. There is a well known link between (maximal) polar representations and isotropy representations of symmetric spaces provided by Dadok. Moreover, the theory by Tits and Burns-Spatzier provides a link between irreducible symmetric spaces of noncompact type of rank at least three and irreducible topological spherical buildings of rank at least three.

We discover and exploit a rich structure of a (connected) chamber system of finite (Coxeter) type M associated with any polar action of cohomogeneity at least two on any simply connected closed positively curved manifold. Although this chamber system is typically not a Tits geometry of type M , its universal Tits cover indeed is a building in all but two exceptional cases. We construct a topology on this universal cover making it into a compact spherical building in the sense of Burns and Spatzier. Using this structure we classify up to equivariant diffeomorphism all polar actions on (simply connected) positively curved manifolds of cohomogeneity at least two.

The interest in positively curved manifolds goes back to the beginning of Riemannian geometry or even to spherical and projective geometry. Likewise, the program of Tits to provide an axiomatic description of geometries whose automorphism group is a noncompact simple algebraic or Lie group goes back to projective geometry.

The presence of symmetries has played a significant role in the study of positively curved manifolds during the past two decades; see, e.g., the surveys [Gr, Wi1, Zi]. Not only has this resulted in a number of classification type theorems, it has also lead to new insights about structural properties, see, e.g., [VZ, Wi3], as well as to the discovery and construction of a new example [De, GVZ].

Unlike [GWZ], our work here is not motivated by the quest for new examples. On the contrary, we wish to explore *rigidity properties* of special actions on positively curved manifolds whose *linear counterparts* by work of Dadok [Da], Cartan (see [He]), Tits [Ti1], and Burns and Spatzier [BSp] ultimately are described axiomatically via so-called *compact spherical buildings*.

The special actions we investigate are the so-called *polar actions*, i.e., isometric actions for which there is an (immersed) submanifold, a so-called *section*, that meets all orbits orthogonally. Such actions form a particularly simple, yet very rich and interesting class of manifolds and actions closely related to the transformation group itself. The concept goes back to isotropy representations of symmetric spaces. Also, as a special case, the adjoint action of a compact Lie group on itself is polar with section a maximal torus. Its extension to general manifolds was pioneered by Szenthe in [Sz] and independently by Palais and Terng in [PTe], and has recently

Date: July 15, 2016.

The first author is supported in part by an NSFC grant and he is grateful to the University of Notre Dame for its hospitality. The second author is supported in part by an NSF grant, a research chair at the Hausdorff Center at the University of Bonn and by a Humboldt research award. The third author is grateful to the University of Notre Dame and the Capital Normal University in Beijing for their hospitality.

been further developed in [GZ]. Since the action by the identity component of a polar action is itself polar, we *assume throughout* without further comments that *our group is connected*. An exceptional but important special case is that of cohomogeneity one actions and manifolds, i.e., actions with 1-dimensional orbit space.

The *exceptional case* of positively curved cohomogeneity one manifolds was studied in [GWZ] and [Ve]. Aside from the rank one symmetric spaces, this also includes infinite families of other manifolds, most of which are not homogeneous even up to homotopy. In contrast, our main result here is the following:

THEOREM A. *A polar action on a simply connected, compact, positively curved manifold of cohomogeneity at least two is equivariantly diffeomorphic to a polar action on a compact rank one symmetric space.*

This is reminiscent of the situation for *isoparametric* submanifolds in euclidean spheres, where many isoparametric hypersurfaces are not homogeneous (see [OT, FKM]), whereas in higher codimensions by [Th] they are the orbits of linear polar actions if they are irreducible or equivalently the orbits of isotropy representations of compact symmetric spaces by [Da].

All polar actions on the simply connected, compact rank one symmetric spaces, i.e., the spheres and projective spaces, \mathbb{S}^n , \mathbb{CP}^n , \mathbb{HP}^n and \mathbb{OP}^2 were classified in [Da] (see also [EH]) and [PTh, GK]. In all cases but \mathbb{OP}^2 they are either linear polar actions on a sphere or they descend from such actions to a projective space. By the work mentioned above by Dadok, Cartan, Tits, and Burns-Spatzier, the (maximal) irreducible polar linear actions are in 1-1 correspondence with irreducible *compact spherical buildings*. On \mathbb{OP}^2 any polar action has either cohomogeneity one or two, and in the second case all but two have a fixed point. The latter are actions by $\mathrm{SU}(3) \mathrm{SU}(3)$ [PTh] and $\mathrm{SO}(3) \mathrm{G}_2$ [GK] both with orbit space a spherical triangle with angles $\pi/2$, $\pi/3$ and $\pi/4$. We refer to these as the *exceptional* (irreducible) actions on \mathbb{OP}^2 .

We note that Theorem A is optimal: In fact, since the Berger-Cheeger deformation [Ch] preserves polarity and lower curvature bounds there are even invariant positively curved polar metrics on any rank one symmetric space arbitrarily Gromov - Hausdorff close to its orbit space.

There are different steps and strategies involved in the proof of Theorem A. To guide the reader we provide a short discussion of the key results needed in the proof.

Our point of departure is the following description of sections and their (effective) stabilizer groups referred to as *polar groups* in [GZ] and generalized Weyl groups in [Sz, PTe]:

THEOREM B. *The polar group of a simply connected positively curved polar manifold of cohomogeneity at least two is a Coxeter group or a \mathbb{Z}_2 quotient thereof. Moreover, the section with this action is equivariantly diffeomorphic to a sphere, respectively a real projective space with a linear action.*

If this linear action is irreducible we say that the polar G action is *irreducible*, and reducible otherwise. The above result also allows us to associate a (connected) *chamber system* $\mathcal{C}(M; \mathrm{G})$ (cf. [Ti2, Ro]) of type M (the Coxeter matrix of the associated Coxeter group), to any simply connected positively curved polar G manifold M of cohomogeneity at least two. We point out that in this generality, the geometric realization of $\mathcal{C}(M; \mathrm{G})$ is not always a simplicial complex, so not a *geometry* of type M in the sense of Tits. For this we prove:

THEOREM C. *Let M be a simply connected positively curved polar G manifold without fixed points, and not (equivalent to) an exceptional action on $\mathbb{O}P^2$. Then the universal cover $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(M; G)$ of $\mathcal{C}(M; G)$ is a spherical building.*

Moreover, the Hausdorff topology on compact subsets of M induces in a natural way a topology on $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(M; G)$ for which we prove:

THEOREM D. *Whenever the universal cover $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(M; G)$ of $\mathcal{C}(M; G)$ is a building, it is a compact spherical building.*

When the Coxeter diagram for M is connected, or more generally has no isolated nodes, the work of Burns and Spatzier [BSp] as extended by Grundhöfer, Kramer, Van Maldeghem and Weiss [GKMW] applies, and hence $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(M; G)$ is the building of the sphere at infinity of a noncompact symmetric space U/K of nonpositive curvature, and the action of K on the sphere at infinity is the linear polar action whose chamber system is the building. In our case, the fundamental group π of the cover becomes a compact normal subgroup of $\tilde{G} \subset K$ acting freely on the sphere with quotient our manifold with the action by $G = \tilde{G}/\pi$. Moreover, the actions by \tilde{G} and K on the sphere are orbit equivalent. This already proves our Theorem A up to equivariant homeomorphism in this case (Theorem 4.10), and equivariant diffeomorphism follows, e.g., from the *recognition theorem* in [GZ]. In particular, we note that in this case M is either a sphere or a quotient thereof by a Hopf action, i.e., not the Cayley plane.

In the remaining (reducible) cases (including the case of fixed points), where isolated nodes of the Coxeter diagram are present, the above mentioned extended Burns-Spatzier-Tits theory does not yield the desired result, and we also use more direct geometric arguments that hinges on a *characterization of Hopf fibrations* in our context, Lemma 6.2.

We point out that the proof of Theorem C above has three distinct parts, a special one of which is carried out in [FGT]. For all chamber systems of rank at least four, our constructions combined with the work of Tits gives the result (cf. Theorem 3.13). In the reducible rank three cases it follows from Theorem 7.1. In the irreducible rank three cases, i.e., of type A_3 and C_3 , corresponding to the orbit space being a spherical triangle with angles $\pi/2$, $\pi/3$ and $\pi/3$, respectively $\pi/2$, $\pi/3$ and $\pi/4$, the general theory breaks down. The point of departure here (Theorem 5.1), is that in this case $\mathcal{C}(M; G)$ is *simplicial*, thus an A_3 , respectively a C_3 *geometry*. Since all A_n geometries are buildings by work of Tits, this completes the case of A_3 . In the case of C_3 one can use an axiomatic characterization of buildings of type C_3 due to Tits. This is carried out in [FGT] via reductions and the work in [GWZ]. Unlike the higher rank case, the strategy here is to *construct suitable covers* and prove that they are buildings. Exactly two cases emerge (from the exceptional actions on $\mathbb{O}P^2$) where this cannot be done due to theorem D and the subsequent discussion above. In fact, we conclude:

THEOREM E. *The chamber system $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{O}P^2, G)$ for an irreducible polar G action on $\mathbb{O}P^2$ is a C_3 geometry whose universal cover is not a building.*

The existence of C_3 geometries whose universal covers are not buildings are well known in the “real estate community” (see [Ne]), but the examples $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{O}P^2, G)$, with $G = \mathrm{SU}(3) \cdot \mathrm{SU}(3)$, and $G = \mathrm{SO}(3) \cdot G_2$ which arise naturally in our context are new.

We conclude this outline by pointing out that positive curvature is used in the general theory for two purposes: (1) To prove Theorem B (cf. Section 2), and (2) to establish that the associated chamber system $\mathcal{C}(M; \mathbf{G})$ is connected, or equivalently the \mathbf{G} action is *primitive* (cf. Section 3). In fact, we prove the conclusion of Theorem A for any polar action with connected chamber system and whose orbit space has positive curvature, unless it is of type \mathbf{C}_3 . In the case of \mathbf{C}_3 (see [FGT]) we use positive curvature more extensively, in particularly relying on the work in [GWZ] (alternatively, due to Theorem 5.1, this case is also covered by the classification of irreducible homogeneous geometries of finite Coxeter type \mathbf{M} of rank at least two in [KL]).

Unlike previous applications of buildings to geometry, what is essential for us is to use Tits's *local approach to buildings*, i.e., via chamber systems and their universal covers [Ti2, Ro]. We like to mention that this is the case also in independent simultaneous work by Lytchak [Ly] describing the structure of polar singular foliations of codimension at least three in compact symmetric spaces. In particular, in his context results similar to Theorem D and its corollary, Theorem E were obtained.

We point out that a corresponding theory for polar actions on *nonnegatively curved manifolds* is significantly more involved. In particular, the concept of an “irreducible action” is not as straightforward in this case, in part since the section is no longer just a sphere or a real projective space with a reflection group (for a complete description see [FG]). For example the polar \mathbf{T}^2 action on \mathbb{CP}^2 with three fixed points induces a polar \mathbf{T}^2 action on $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# \pm \mathbb{CP}^2$ with a metric of nonnegative curvature and flat Klein bottle as section (see [GZ]) that should be viewed as reducible, as should actions that are not primitive.

With the appropriate notion of irreducibility we

CONJECTURE. *An irreducible polar action on a simply connected nonnegatively curved compact manifold is equivariantly diffeomorphic to a quotient of a polar action on a symmetric space.*

Another interesting direction is based on part of our work here that generalizes to curvature free settings. For example, the combinatorial content of our paper can be used in the study of general polar actions on simply connected manifolds with finite polar group.

We have divided the paper into seven sections. Structurally it consists of three rather different moderately intertwined parts, Sections 1-4 constituting Part I, Section 5 (together with [FGT]) Part II, and Sections 6-7 Part III. Here Part I deals with the overall general approach and theory leading to a proof of Theorem A for all irreducible actions of cohomogeneity at least three. Part II deals with the exceptional case of irreducible actions of cohomogeneity two where the key issue for the general theory breaks down. Finally Part III deals with all reducible cases including cohomogeneity two. In particular, Parts I and III yield a proof of Theorem A in cohomogeneity at least three. In cohomogeneity two only the irreducible actions of type \mathbf{C}_3 are not covered in this paper and we refer to [FGT] (or [KL]).

The first two sections are devoted to preliminaries and an analysis of sections culminating in Theorem B, which actually provides a complete classification of positively curved manifolds with reflection groups. The chamber system associated with a polar action in positive curvature

is investigated in Section 3. The point of departure here is that this chamber system is connected. The proof of this is based on a result about dual foliations due to Wilking [Wi3]. We conclude Section 3 by proving Theorem C in all (irreducible) cases but A_3 and C_3 .

In Section 4 we equip the ingredients of Theorem C with a natural topology based on the classical Hausdorff topology on closed sets in a compact metric space. Our main result here is that with this topology the universal covers of our chamber systems are compact spherical buildings in the sense of Burns and Spatzier. This then in particular leads to a proof of Theorem A for all irreducible actions but those of type A_3 and C_3 .

As mentioned above, the general theory for compact spherical buildings breaks down for reducible actions in general (the ones for whom the Coxeter diagram has isolated nodes). The proof of Theorem A for such actions is carried out in Sections 6 and 7. As a key input, we provide in Section 6 a characterization of Hopf fibrations in our context which is of independent interest. This immediately yields Theorem A for the special case where fixed points are present. The reducible case where no fixed points are present is dealt with in Section 7.

For basic facts and tools involving critical point theory for nonsmooth distance functions and convex sets in positive curvature that will be used freely we refer to [Pe] Chapter 11.

It is our pleasure to thank Linus Kramer, and Alexander Lytchak for constructive discussions and comments. Likewise, we are grateful to an anonymous referee for constructive comments and a suggestion that lead to a significant simplification of the proof of the Hopf Lemma 6.2, and subsequent ramifications.

1. PRELIMINARIES

We will begin by giving a brief description of known facts for general polar manifolds (cf. e.g. [GZ] and [HPPT] for further information). We observe that under fairly mild restrictions, there is a general so-called chamber system naturally associated with such actions. We will end the section with a description of such systems, and the special case of Coxeter systems.

Throughout G will be a compact connected Lie group acting isometrically on a connected compact Riemannian manifold M in a polar fashion. By definition there is a *section* Σ , i.e., an immersion $\sigma : \Sigma \rightarrow M$ of a connected manifold Σ , whose image intersects all G orbits orthogonally. Moreover, we demand that σ is a section without a subcover section, i.e. σ does not factor through a covering $\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma' \rightarrow M$. Obviously $g\sigma$ is a section for any $g \in G$, and $G\sigma(\Sigma) = M$. Clearly, Σ has the same dimension as the *orbit space* $M^* := M/G$, i.e., the *cohomogeneity* of the action, or the codimension of *principal orbits*, $G/H \subset M$. If not otherwise stated, it is understood that $0 < \dim M^* < \dim M$. This eliminates general actions by discrete groups, and general transitive actions. In addition, we also assume that M is not a product where G acts trivially on one of the factors. In general, we will denote the image of a subset $X \subset M$ under the *orbit map* by $X^* \subset M^*$.

The following facts are simple and well known (cf. [Sz, PTe]):

- Any section is *totally geodesic*.
- The slice representation of any isotropy group $K \subset G$ is a *polar representation*.

Recall here that if K fixes $p \in M$, then the *slice representation* of K is the action of K by differentials on the normal space in $T_p M$ to the tangent space $T_p(Gp)$ of its orbit Gp . We often

restrict this further to the subspace T_p^\perp perpendicular also to the fixed point set $T_p M^K$. This is also a polar representation.

Fix a section $\sigma : \Sigma \rightarrow M$ and a point $p \in \Sigma$ corresponding to a principal G orbit, i.e., $G\sigma(p)$ is a principal orbit with isotropy group $H = G_{\sigma(p)}$. The stabilizer subgroup $G_{\sigma(\Sigma)} \subset G$ of $\sigma(\Sigma)$ induces an action on Σ . Clearly, H is the kernel of that action, and we refer to $\Pi := G_{\sigma(\Sigma)} / H$ as the *polar group* associated to the section σ . Recall the following facts:

- For any $q \in \Sigma$, $\sigma_*(T_q \Sigma) \subset T_{\sigma(q)} M$ is a section of the polar representation, the slice representation of $G_{\sigma(q)}$, and the associated polar group is the isotropy group Π_q .
- Π is a discrete subgroup of $N(H)/H$ acting properly discontinuously on Σ with trivial principal isotropy group.
- $M^* = \Sigma^* := \Sigma/\Pi$ is an orbifold.

In complete generality, the structure of M and its G action is encoded in the section Σ , the polar group Π and its actions on Σ and G/H , and the G isotropy groups along Σ . Although in general, Π can be any discrete subgroup of a Lie group, typically singular orbits are present, in which case there is a nontrivial normal subgroup $W \subset \Pi$ generated by *reflections* r_i associated with maximal singular isotropy groups $K_i \subset G$ along Σ (cf. [GZ]). We refer to any group generated by reflections as a *reflection group*. We stress that here $r : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ is called a reflection if r has order two, and at least one component of the fixed point set has codimension 1. The codimension 1 components $\Lambda_r \subset \Sigma$ of the fixed point set Σ^r are referred to as the *mirrors* of r . A connected component c of the complement of all mirrors is called an (*open*) *chamber* of Σ . We denote the closure of an open chamber by $C = \bar{c}$ and refer to it simply as a *chamber*. Again, we stress that this kind of terminology is usually reserved to the situation where the complement of a mirror has two connected components interchanged by the reflection. Note that the latter is automatic for reflections on a simply connected manifold.

It is clear that W acts transitively on the set of open chambers of Σ , but the stabilizer group W_c which we will call the *chamber group* may be nontrivial when the section is not simply connected (cf. Example 1.1 and Theorem 1.2). Clearly $\Sigma/W = C/W_c$. Moreover, the boundary $\partial C = C - c$ of a chamber C , is the union of its *chamber faces*, where a chamber face is a nonempty intersection $C \cap \Lambda$ with a mirror.

The following examples illustrate these concepts and are relevant for our subsequent discussion about positive curvature:

Example 1.1. Consider the following groups W acting on \mathbb{S}^2 as well as on \mathbb{RP}^2 .

(1) $W = A_1 = \langle r \rangle$, where r is the reflection in the equator:

On \mathbb{S}^2 there is one mirror and two open chambers, the open upper and lower hemispheres interchanged by r . Their closure is the orbit space \mathbb{S}^2/W . There is one face, its boundary circle (and it coincides with the mirror of r).

On \mathbb{RP}^2 there is one mirror and one open chamber and it is preserved by r . Its closure is all of \mathbb{RP}^2 and the orbit space \mathbb{RP}^2/W is the cone on its boundary circle, the cone point corresponds to the isolated fixed point of r on \mathbb{RP}^2 (in the chamber). There is one face, the whole boundary (and it coincides with the mirror of r).

Note that the action of W on \mathbb{RP}^2 lifts to the action of W on \mathbb{S}^2 . If we extend this action by $-\text{id}$, the extended group action induces the same action on the base, and now has the same orbit space.

(2) $W = A_1 \times A_1 = \langle r_0, r_2 \rangle$ where r_0, r_2 are reflection in two great circles making an angle $\pi/2$:

On \mathbb{S}^2 there are two mirrors and four open chambers. Their closure is the orbit space \mathbb{S}^2/W , a spherical right angled biangle. There are two faces each of which are also a chamber face. Their intersection is the intersection of mirrors and coincides with the fixed point set $\text{Fix}(W)$.

On \mathbb{RP}^2 there are three mirrors and four open chambers. In fact, the “rotation” $r_0 r_2$ on \mathbb{S}^2 induces a reflection on \mathbb{RP}^2 . The closure of an open chamber is the orbit space \mathbb{RP}^2/W , a right angled spherical triangle. There are three faces, each of which is also a chamber face. The intersection of all mirrors is empty, but each vertex of the orbit space triangle correspond in this case to a fixed point of W .

In this case, the lifted action of W on \mathbb{RP}^2 to \mathbb{S}^2 contains a rotation of angle π . Again the extended action by $-\text{id}$ defines the same action on \mathbb{RP}^2 , but on \mathbb{S}^2 the action has three reflections, and of course the same orbit space. In other words, the reflection group on \mathbb{S}^2 generated by the lift of all the reflections in \mathbb{RP}^2 contains the antipodal map in this case as opposed to the first case.

(3) $W = A_2 = \langle r_0, r_3 \rangle$ where r_0, r_3 are reflection in two great circles making an angle $\pi/3$:

On \mathbb{S}^2 there are three mirrors and six open chambers. Their closure is the orbit space \mathbb{S}^2/W , a spherical biangle with angle $\pi/3$. There are two faces each of which are also a chamber face. Their intersection is the intersection of mirrors and coincides with the fixed point set $\text{Fix}(W)$.

On \mathbb{RP}^2 there are three mirrors and three open chambers. The closure of an open chamber is a spherical biangle with angle $\pi/3$ where the two vertices have been identified! The stabilizer W_c of a chamber has order two, fixes the “mid point” of C and rotates C to itself, mapping one chamber face to the other. The orbit space has one face with one singular point, the fixed point of W and one interior singular point, the fixed point of W_c .

In this case, the reflection group obtained by lifting the reflections in \mathbb{RP}^2 to reflections in \mathbb{S}^2 does not contain the antipodal map. If we extend it by the antipodal map we get the same action on \mathbb{RP}^2 and the orbit spaces are of course the same as well.

(4) Consider a linear cohomogeneity one action on a sphere with orbit space of length π/i , $i = 2, 3$. The suspended action and its induced action on the real projective space have sections and polar groups as presented in (2) and (3) above.

Note that if $\Pi = W$ and the chamber group W_c is trivial, it follows that C is isometrically identified with $\Sigma/W = M/\mathbf{G}$, and that W acts simply transitively on the set of closed chambers of a fixed section Σ . Moreover, \mathbf{G} acts transitively on the set of all chambers in all sections of M , i.e. $M = \cup_{g \in \mathbf{G}} gC$, and this set of chambers is \mathbf{G}/H as a set. The chamber faces $F_i, i = 1, \dots, k$, of C correspond to a set of generators r_i for W . This way all faces of chambers $gC, g \in \mathbf{G}$ of M get labeled consistently, so that \mathbf{G} is label preserving. Now define two chambers g_1C and g_2C to be i -adjacent if they have a common i face $g_1F_i = g_2F_i$. This relation among the set of chambers in M , respectively all chambers in a fixed section Σ make both of these sets into a chamber system $\mathcal{C}(M, \mathbf{G})$, respectively $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma, W)$ according to the following definition (see, e.g., [Ti2, Ro]):

An (abstract) chamber system over $I = \{1, \dots, k\}$ is a set \mathcal{C} together with a partition of \mathcal{C} for every $i \in I$. Elements $C, C' \in \mathcal{C}$ in the same part of the i -partition, are said to be i -adjacent which is written as $C \sim_i C'$. The elements of \mathcal{C} are called chambers.

We will use the following standard terminology in subsequent sections:

A *gallery* in \mathcal{C} is a sequence $\Gamma = (C_0, \dots, C_m)$ in \mathcal{C} such that C_j is i_j -adjacent to C_{j+1} for every $0 \leq j \leq m-1$. Here the word $f = i_0 i_1 \dots i_{m-1}$ in I is referred to as the *type* of the gallery. If we want to indicate this type, we write Γ_f rather than just Γ . If the i_j 's belong to a subset J of I , we call $\Gamma = (C_0, \dots, C_m)$ a J -gallery. A subset \mathcal{B} of a chamber system \mathcal{C} is said to be *connected* (or J -*connected*) if any two chambers in it can be connected by a gallery (or a J -gallery). The J -connected components of \mathcal{C} are called J -*residues*. The *rank* of a J -residue is the cardinality of J and its *corank* is the cardinality of $I \setminus J$. Given residues R and S of types J and K respectively, we say that S is a *face* of R , if $R \subset S$ and $J \subset K$.

Note that for chamber systems $\mathcal{C}(M, \mathbf{G})$ as above, if two mirrors Λ_i and Λ_j in Σ corresponding to two reflections r_i and r_j on Σ intersect, then $(r_i r_j)^{m_{ij}} = 1$ for some finite integer $m_{ij} > 1$. In fact, $r_i, r_j \in W_p$ the reflection group of the polar representation of the isotropy group \mathbf{G}_p for $p \in \Lambda_i \cap \Lambda_j =: \Lambda_{ij}$, so $\langle r_i, r_j \rangle$ is a dihedral group, and the angle between Λ_i and Λ_j is π/m_{ij} . In fact, in our case m_{ij} is limited to 2, 3, 4, or 6, since these are the possibilities for isotropy representations of symmetric spaces, and moreover no exceptional orbits are present (Theorem 2.7).

Recall, that a symmetric $k \times k$ matrix $M = (m_{ij})$ with entries from $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, with $m_{ii} = 1$ for all $i \in I$, and $m_{ij} > 1$ if $i \neq j$ is called a *Coxeter matrix*.

Pictorially, M is given by its so-called *diagram*, which consists of one *node* for each $i \in I$ and $m_{ij} - 2$ lines joining the i and j nodes.

The associated *Coxeter group of type* M is the group $W(M)$ given by generators and relations as

$$W(M) = \langle \{r_1, \dots, r_k\} \mid (r_i r_j)^{m_{ij}} = 1 \text{ for all } i, j \in I \text{ such that } m_{ij} \text{ is finite} \rangle.$$

The pair $(W(M), S)$, where $S = \{r_1, \dots, r_k\}$, is called the *Coxeter system of type* M , and k is referred to as its *rank*. The elements of $W(M)$ that are conjugate to elements in S are called *reflections*.

There is a natural chamber system, $\mathcal{C}(W)$ associated with a Coxeter system $(W(M), S)$, where $S = \{r_1, \dots, r_k\}$ and $I = \{1, \dots, k\}$: One defines i -adjacency for $i \in I$ to be $w \sim_i wr_i$, i.e., each part in the i -partition of W consists of two elements. Notice that W is connected since S generates W . There is a partial order among residues defined by setting $S \leq R$ if $S \supset R$. The residues T for which $S \leq T$ implies $S = T$ are called the *vertices* of $\mathcal{C}(W)$. Denote the set of vertices by \mathcal{V} . One associates to a residue S the subset $S' \subset \mathcal{V}$ defined by $S' = \{v \in \mathcal{V} \mid v \leq S\}$, and call S' an i -*simplex* if its cardinality is $i + 1$. The set simplices in \mathcal{V} is denoted by $\Delta(W)$.

The Coxeter complex, $\Delta(W)$ associated to a Coxeter system $(W(M), S)$ also provides an example of an (abstract) simplicial complex:

Recall that an (abstract) *simplicial complex* is a nonempty family \mathcal{S} of finite subsets (called *simplices*) of a set V so that $\{v\} \in \mathcal{S}$ for every $v \in V$ and every subset of a simplex in \mathcal{S} is a simplex in \mathcal{S} (called a *face*). (The simplices consisting of one element are called *vertices*.)

One has the following general facts (see e.g. [Dav] page 179, Theorem 10.1.5 and Lemma 10.1.6):

THEOREM 1.2. *For any reflection group W acting on a simply connected Riemannian manifold Σ , (W, S) is a Coxeter system, where S are reflections in W corresponding to the faces of*

a chamber C , and W_c is trivial. If Σ is compact, W is finite and isomorphic to a spherical reflection group.

Remark 1.3. The Coxeter groups that we will deal with in this paper will all be finite. By a theorem of Coxeter, Coxeter systems of rank $k + 1$ are in one to one correspondence with finite subgroups of $O(k + 1)$ that are generated by reflections in hyperplanes of \mathbb{R}^{k+1} and only fix the origin. Such groups have been classified. Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system of rank k acting as a reflection group on \mathbb{R}^{k+1} , and consider its restriction to \mathbb{S}^k . In this case mirrors are of course great spheres \mathbb{S}^{k-1} , and the Coxeter group W acts simply transitively on the set of chambers. Each chamber is a spherical k -simplex and the corresponding triangulation of \mathbb{S}^k is the *geometric realization* of the Coxeter complex $\Delta(W)$ associated to a Coxeter system (W, S) .

The geometry of this representation is also reflected in the Coxeter diagram of M . For example, this diagram is connected if and only if this action is irreducible. Each node corresponds to a codimension one face simplex, i , and π/m_{ij} is the angle between the corresponding i and j faces of the k -simplex \mathbb{S}^k/W . The Coxeter diagram for the isotropy group of W at the vertex opposite of face i is obtained from the Coxeter diagram of W by removing the i -th node.

We note that the chambers for the Coxeter system (W, S) in Theorem 1.2 when Σ is a compact k manifold combinatorially are the same as the spherical k simplices of its representation above. Geometrically, it follows in particular that all angles in a chamber of Σ are the same as the corresponding angles in the spherical simplex.

Although A_2 is an irreducible Coxeter group, we point out that all the linear 3-dimensional representations presented in Example 1.1 above are reducible. We conclude this section with important examples of irreducible Coxeter groups:

Example 1.4. Finite Coxeter groups that are isomorphic to finite and irreducible reflection groups acting on \mathbb{R}^3 will play a special role in some of our proofs. There are three such groups that in the classification of finite Coxeter (or reflection) groups are given the symbols A_3, C_3, H_3 .

The group A_3 is isomorphic to the symmetric group on four letters. It is the group of symmetries of a regular tetrahedron. Its order is 24. The 2-simplexes in the triangulation explained above have angles $\pi/2, \pi/3$, and $\pi/3$ at the vertices.

The group C_3 is the symmetry group of a regular cube (or dually of a regular octahedron). Its order is 48. The 2-simplices in the triangulation have angles $\pi/2, \pi/3$, and $\pi/4$ at the vertices.

The group H_3 is the symmetry group of a regular dodecahedron (or dually of a regular icosahedron). Its order is 120. The 2-simplices in the triangulation have angles $\pi/2, \pi/3$, and $\pi/5$ at the vertices. Note, that the occurrence of the angle $\pi/5$ *excludes* H_3 as a Coxeter group of a polar action.

2. SECTIONS AND COXETER GROUPS

We assume from now on that M is a *positively curved polar G-manifold of cohomogeneity at least two*. This will yield strong restrictions on all the basic items presented in Section 1. In particular, we will prove that sections are either spheres or real projective spaces.

When M is simply connected, we show that the polar group is a Coxeter group when the section is a sphere, and a \mathbb{Z}_2 quotient of such a group when the section is a real projective space; in either case the action is linear as stated in Theorem B of the introduction.

The starting point is the following

LEMMA 2.1 (Singular Orbit). *Any positively curved polar G -manifold has singular orbits.*

Proof. If all orbits have maximal dimension, the normal distribution is globally defined and integrable with leaves the sections of M . Since in particular the sectional curvature of M is nonnegative, it now follows from Theorem 1.3 in [Wa] that the orbits of G are totally geodesic, and that the metric on M locally is a product metric. This is a contradiction since the sectional curvature of M is actually positive. \square

Remark 2.2. For a nonnegatively curved polar G -manifold the same conclusion holds unless $M = \Sigma \times_{\Pi} G/H$ is locally metrically a product. If in addition M is simply connected, $M = \Sigma \times G/H$ with a product metric.

From Section 1, we know in particular that the reflection group $W \subset \Pi$ is nontrivial and that $\partial M^* = \partial \Sigma^*$ is nonempty. This already is sufficient to prove

PROPOSITION 2.3 (Section). *Let M be a compact positively curved polar manifold. Then any section Σ is diffeomorphic to either a sphere \mathbb{S}^k or a real projective space \mathbb{RP}^k . In particular, the polar group Π is finite.*

Proof. Let r be a reflection, with mirror Λ and $E \subset \Lambda$ a component. Since the curvature is positive the (local) distance function to E is strictly concave. In particular, the complement $\Sigma - D_\epsilon(E)$ of a small tubular neighborhood of E is a (locally) convex set with boundary $\partial D_\epsilon(E)$. This set either has one or two components corresponding to the boundary having one or two components. In either case, each component is a disc by the standard “soul argument”, and in fact $E = \Lambda$. The key fact here is that the distance function to the boundary is strictly concave and hence has a unique point at maximal distance called the *soul point*. Moreover the distance function to the soul point has no critical points. For the arguments and constructions below it is also important that the distance function is r invariant.

In the case, where $\Sigma - D_\epsilon(E)$ has two components, $\Lambda = E$ separates Σ into two manifolds V_+ and V_- each with Λ as a totally geodesic boundary. In this case the isometry r interchanges V_+ and V_- . Moreover, the diffeomorphism ϕ say from the upper hemisphere \mathbb{D}_+^k of \mathbb{S}^k to V_+ can be chosen so that the north pole of \mathbb{D}_+^k goes to the soul point of V_+ , and the image of the gradient lines to the north pole of \mathbb{D}_+^k are “radial” near E and the soul point. The map $\Phi : \mathbb{S}^k \rightarrow \Sigma$ defined by $\Phi = \phi$ on \mathbb{D}_+^k and $\Phi = r\phi$ on \mathbb{D}_-^k is a diffeomorphism which is equivariant relative to the reflections ρ in the equator of \mathbb{S}^k and r on Σ .

In the case where $\Sigma - D_\epsilon(E)$ has one component, r fixes its soul point and acts freely elsewhere: In fact r clearly acts freely in $D_\epsilon(E) - E$, so by convexity r can only have isolated fixed points in $\Sigma - D_\epsilon(E)$. Moreover, if there was an isolated fixed point in addition to the soul point a minimal geodesic between them would be reflected to a closed geodesic which is impossible by convexity. In particular, $\Lambda = E = \mathbb{RP}^{k-1}$ and Σ has fundamental group \mathbb{Z}_2 . In the two fold universal cover $\tilde{\Sigma}$ of Σ , the lift $\tilde{\Lambda}$ splits $\tilde{\Sigma}$ into two convex components V_+ and V_- with common totally geodesic boundary $\tilde{\Lambda}$, as in the first part. The reflection r lifts to a reflection \tilde{r} interchanging V_+ and V_- , each being mapped isometrically by the projection map to $\Sigma - \Lambda$ (see also remark 2.4). Choosing a diffeomorphism ϕ say from the upper hemisphere \mathbb{D}_+^k of \mathbb{S}^k to V_+

as before, the map $\tilde{\Phi} : \mathbb{S}^k \rightarrow \tilde{\Sigma}$ defined by $\tilde{\Phi} = \phi$ on \mathbb{D}_+^k and $\tilde{\Phi} = r\phi\tilde{\rho}$ on \mathbb{D}_-^k is a diffeomorphism which is equivariant relative to the reflection $\tilde{\rho}$ on \mathbb{S}^k and \tilde{r} on $\tilde{\Sigma}$, and in addition by construction equivariant relative to the antipodal map $-\text{id}$ on \mathbb{S}^k and the *deck transformation* \mathbf{a} of $\tilde{\Sigma}$. We conclude that $\tilde{\Phi}$ induces a diffeomorphism $\Phi : \mathbb{RP}^k \rightarrow \Sigma$ which is equivariant relative to the reflections ρ on \mathbb{RP}^k induced from $\tilde{\rho}$ and \tilde{r} on Σ . \square

Remark 2.4. During the proof of the result above we note in particular that if $r \in W$ is a reflection of the section Σ with mirror Λ , then:

- If Σ is a sphere, $\text{Fix}(r) = \Lambda$ and Λ is a codimension one sphere.
- If Σ is a projective space, $\text{Fix}(r) = \Lambda \cup s$, where s is the soul point at maximal distance to Λ , and Λ is a real projective space of codimension one. - Note in addition that r also lifts to a map preserving $V_{\pm} \subset \tilde{M}$ and acting as \mathbf{a} on $\tilde{\Lambda}$

In particular, *mirrors are connected*, and if $\Pi = \langle r \rangle$, the result above gives a complete equivariant description of (Σ, Π) .

The proof above also allows us to derive further information about the reflection group W and the corresponding open chambers and orbit space Σ/W :

LEMMA 2.5 (Sphere Chamber). *Assume Σ is a k -dimensional sphere, and c is an open chamber. Then*

- *Intersections of mirrors are spheres, and the closure C of c is a convex set in Σ .*
- *There are at most $k + 1$ chamber faces, and the intersection of all of them is $\text{Fix}(W)$.*
- *If there are $k + 1$ chamber faces, then C is a k -simplex, and $\text{Fix}(W) = \emptyset$.*
- *If there are $\ell + 1 < k + 1$ chamber faces, then C is the join of $\text{Fix}(W)$ with an ℓ -simplex.*

Moreover, C is a fundamental domain for W and $\Sigma/W = C$.

Proof. If there is only one mirror Λ corresponding to one reflection r , $W = \langle r \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and C is a closed convex disc with boundary $\Lambda = \text{Fix}(W)$ and indeed a join of $\text{Fix}(W)$ with a 0-simplex, the soul point s of C as we have seen.

Now consider any two reflections, $r_i, i = 1, 2$ with corresponding mirrors Λ_i . If $p \in \Lambda_{12} := \Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2 = \text{Fix}(\langle r_1, r_2 \rangle)$, clearly $r_i \in W_p$ the reflection group of the polar representation of the isotropy group G_p . In particular, $\langle r_1, r_2 \rangle$ is a dihedral group, and the angle between Λ_1 and Λ_2 is π/k for some integer k . In particular, the intersection Λ_{12} is a codimension one totally geodesic submanifold of either mirror Λ_i , and hence again by convexity is a sphere (two points when the mirrors are 1-dimensional).

In general, consider ℓ mirrors $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_\ell$ such that the inclusions of iterated intersections $\Lambda_{12} \supset \Lambda_{123} \supset \dots \supset \Lambda_{123\dots\ell}$ are all strict. Then each intersection is a totally geodesic submanifolds of codimension one in the previous intersection, and hence $\Lambda_{123\dots\ell}$ is a $(k - \ell)$ sphere. Also $\Lambda_{123\dots\ell}$ is the set fixed by all reflections r_i with corresponding mirror Λ_i . This completes the proof of the first two “bullets”, since mirrors corresponding to ℓ different chamber faces satisfy the needed inclusion property.

Now suppose C has $\ell + 1$ chamber faces, F_0, \dots, F_ℓ . Since the angle between any two faces is at most $\pi/2$ it follows as in the original Cheeger-Gromoll case of the distance function to the full boundary [Pe], that the distance function on C to one face, say F_0 is strictly concave (cf. Theorem 7 in [Wi2], Theorem 1.3 in [GKi], and Corollary 3.2 in [Wö] for general Alexandrov

spaces with boundary), and hence has a unique point at maximal distance, its “soul point”, s_0 . It follows that, s_0 is in the intersection of the remaining chamber faces (Theorem 1.3 in [GKi] and Lemma 3.4 in [Wö] for general Alexandrov spaces noting that intersections of faces are extremal subsets). Moreover, by convexity of super level sets the distance function to s_0 on C has no critical points. Using this and the basic fact that convex combination of “gradient like vector fields” is “gradient like” one constructs a *gradient like* vector field (the angle between it and any minimal geodesic to s_0 is larger than $\pi/2$) which is radial near s_0 and gradient like also when restricted to the remaining faces intrinsically. In particular, C is the cone on F_0 which in turn is isotopic to a small metric ball in C of radius ϵ centered at s_0 . This also identifies F_0 with the boundary of this ϵ ball, which via the exponential map is identified with the closure of a chamber in the unit sphere at s_0 corresponding to the reflections r_1, \dots, r_ℓ . The proof of the remaining two bullets is now completed by induction on the number of chamber faces. \square

We point out that this proof is a special case of a general result about orbit spaces of positively curved manifolds due to Wilking [Wi2] (Theorem 7), related more directly to Σ/W in our context however. We have included it here not only to make the exposition more self contained, but also because it illuminates the particular structure we have here.

We now turn to the case where the section Σ is a projective space. In this case, we will analyze the situation in its universal cover $\tilde{\Sigma}$. Specifically, for each mirror Λ in Σ corresponding to a reflection r , we consider its lift $\tilde{\Lambda}$ to $\tilde{\Sigma}$. As noted in the proof the section proposition 2.3 and remark 2.4, r has two canonical lifts. One of them is a reflection \tilde{r} in $\tilde{\Lambda}$, the other has two isolated fixed points and restricts to \mathfrak{a} on $\tilde{\Lambda}$. Here we define \tilde{W} to be the reflection group on $\tilde{\Sigma}$ generated by all \tilde{r} , where we use all r from W . Note, that by construction, any lifted mirror is preserved by \mathfrak{a} , and that \mathfrak{a} commutes with any element from \tilde{W} . Combining this with the previous lemma one derives, whether M is simply connected or not, the following:

LEMMA 2.6 (Projective Chamber). *Assume Σ is a k -dimensional projective space and $\tilde{\Sigma}$ the universal cover with deck transformation \mathfrak{a} . Then*

- *Intersections of lifted mirrors are spheres invariant under \mathfrak{a} .*
- *The associated reflection group \tilde{W} of W may or may not contain \mathfrak{a} , but in either case $W = \langle \tilde{W}, \mathfrak{a} \rangle / \langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle$.*
- *Open chambers c in Σ are isometric to open chambers \tilde{c} for \tilde{W} ,*
- *The closure \tilde{C} of an open chamber for \tilde{W} is a convex set in $\tilde{\Sigma}$ with boundary the union of chamber faces. Moreover, C is obtained from \tilde{C} by identifying \mathfrak{a} orbits in the boundary.*
- *\tilde{C} has at most $k + 1$ chamber faces, and the intersection of them all is $\text{Fix}(\tilde{W})$.*
- *If \tilde{C} has $k + 1$ chamber faces it is a k -simplex and $\text{Fix}(\tilde{W}) = \emptyset$.*
- *If \tilde{C} has $\ell + 1 < k + 1$ chamber faces it is a join of $\text{Fix}(\tilde{W})$ and an ℓ simplex.*

Moreover, $\Sigma/W = \tilde{\Sigma}/\langle \tilde{W}, \mathfrak{a} \rangle = (\tilde{\Sigma}/\langle \tilde{W} \rangle)/\langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle = \tilde{C}/\langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle$.

We assume from now on that M is a positively curved simply connected polar G manifold, with G connected.

The following is proved more generally for singular polar foliations in [AT], Theorem 1.5 and [Al], Theorem 1.1:

THEOREM 2.7 (Alexandrino and Töben). *Any nontrivial polar action on a simply connected manifold has no exceptional orbits and its reflection group W is the whole polar group Π .*

In the case of polar actions it was also recently proved in [GZ] that in addition the chamber group is trivial. For the sake of the reader we provide a simple direct proof in the case of positive curvature. In fact, the following is pivotal for us:

PROPOSITION 2.8 (Chamber Group). *The chamber group W_c of a simply connected positively curved polar G manifold M is trivial, and hence $M^* = \Sigma^* = C$. Moreover,*

- *If Σ is a sphere, C is a simplex and the fixed point set $\Sigma^W = \emptyset$, or C is a join of Σ^W and a simplex.*
- *If Σ is a projective space, C is a simplex, $a \in \tilde{W}$ and Σ^W is a subset of the vertices (possibly empty).*

In either case, W acts simply transitive on the set of chambers.

Proof. Consider an open chamber c and W_c acting on it. Note that whether or not Σ is a sphere or a projective space, c is the union of compact closed locally convex subsets C^ϵ (distance ϵ or more to $C - c$). By convexity it is clear that the soul point (the common soul point s for all C^ϵ) is fixed by W_c (one can also use the description of c from the lemmas above). Since there are no exceptional orbits when M is simply connected (cf. 2.7) this already is impossible unless W_c is trivial. From section one we then know that $M^* = \Sigma^*$ is the closure C of a chamber c . If Σ is a sphere, Lemma 2.5 completes the proof.

Now suppose Σ is a projective space:

First note that a acts freely on the set of open chambers for \tilde{W} . This follows from the simple fact that a interchanges the two connected components of the complement of any lifted mirror, and commutes with \tilde{W} .

We now claim that $\Sigma^{\tilde{W}} = \emptyset$ and hence \tilde{C} (defined in 2.6) is a simplex. Indeed, if $\Sigma^{\tilde{W}}$ is nonempty then clearly $a \notin \tilde{W}$. Moreover, the involution induced by a on $\tilde{\Sigma}/\tilde{W} = \tilde{C}$ acts freely on $\Sigma^{\tilde{W}}$ and preserves the boundary of \tilde{C} . In particular, $\tilde{C}/\langle a \rangle$ will have interior metric singular points contradicting that it is C by Lemma 2.6.

To complete the proof we now claim that $a \in \tilde{W}$, and in particular $C = \Sigma/W = \tilde{\Sigma}/\tilde{W} = \tilde{C}$. If not, then $|W| = |\tilde{W}|$ and a induces a nontrivial involution on \tilde{C} with $C = \tilde{C}/\langle a \rangle$. Such an involution will preserve the boundary of the simplex \tilde{C} taking faces to faces. As before this will produce an interior metric singular point of C unless the induced map by a is a reflection of the simplex. This, however, cannot happen since the fixed point set of this involution would correspond to a chamber face of C and hence a reflection in W whose lift to $\tilde{\Sigma}$ had been omitted from \tilde{W} . \square

Remark 2.9. Note that it follows from this that if $M^G \neq \emptyset$ and Σ is a sphere then $M^G = \Sigma^W$, since Σ^W is the most singular stratum in the orbit space $\Sigma/W = M/G$. In the next section we will see that conversely, if $\Sigma^W \neq \emptyset$ and Σ is a sphere then $M^G \neq \emptyset$ as well and hence $M^G = \Sigma^W$ (cf. (3.6)).

The Coxeter group W , respectively \tilde{W} corresponding to the section being a sphere, respectively a projective space admits a canonical representation, i.e., acts isometrically on the unit k -sphere \mathbb{S}^k with orbit space C' having the same infinitesimal singularities (i.e., tangent cones) as C . As a consequence we have:

COROLLARY 2.10. *Let M be a simply connected positively curved polar G manifold. Then $M^* = \Sigma^* = C$ admits a metric of constant curvature isometric to its linear model C' .*

Proof. From Proposition 2.8, we know that Σ^* is the chamber C for a Coxeter group W (resp. \tilde{W}) acting on the k -sphere Σ (resp. $\tilde{\Sigma}$, when Σ is a projective space). Moreover, as stated above the same Coxeter group acts linearly on \mathbb{S}^k , with chambers C' having labels as C and with the same tangent cones, determined by the corresponding isotropy groups and actions.

We only consider the case that Σ is a sphere since the other case is analogous. Now fix a chamber C with $\ell + 1$ chamber faces, F_0, \dots, F_ℓ in Σ and the corresponding model chamber $C' \subset \mathbb{S}^k$. As in the proof of Lemma 2.5, let s_0 be the point in C at maximal distance to the face F_0 . Now apply the isotropy group W_{s_0} of the Coxeter group at s_0 to C to obtain a W_{s_0} invariant convex subset $W_{s_0}(C)$ of Σ with s_0 in the interior, the point at maximal distance from the boundary $\partial(W_{s_0}(C)) = W_{s_0}(F_0)$ of $W_{s_0}(C)$. As in the proof of Lemma 2.5 it follows that there is a W_{s_0} invariant smooth vector field on an open neighborhood of $W_{s_0}(C)$ in Σ , which is radial near s_0 and gradient like on $\partial(W_{s_0}(C))$.

The same construction based on C' in \mathbb{S}^k yields a W_{s_0} invariant diffeomorphism of a neighborhood of $W_{s_0}(C)$ in Σ to a neighborhood of $W_{s_0}(C')$ in \mathbb{S}^k . After a suitable reparametrization of one of the vector fields using transversality if needed, the restriction yields the desired diffeomorphism from C to C' . \square

We are now ready to establish the main result of this section.

THEOREM 2.11 (Coxeter Section). *Let M be a simply connected positively curved polar manifold. Then the action of the polar group W of a section Σ is equivariantly diffeomorphic to a linear action of W . In fact, Σ admits a W invariant metric of constant curvature.*

Proof. Choose a constant curvature metric on Σ^* as above. We now claim that this metric comes from a W invariant metric on Σ with constant curvature. To see this, all we have to do is to lift the metric locally near any point of the orbit space to any point mapping to it by the orbit map. This however is clear. Since the lifted metrics obtained this way agree on overlaps we are done. \square

Remark 2.12. We point out that our conclusions about the section in the theorem above carry over to the general context of a positively curved manifold with a nontrivial isometric reflection group action. The manifold together with the action of the reflection group is then equivariantly diffeomorphic to a sphere or a real projective space with a linear action by a finite Coxeter group (\mathbb{Z}_2 ineffective in the latter case).

The following is now natural

Definition 2.13. We say that a simply connected positively curved polar G manifold M is *reducible* if the action by the Coxeter group W on Σ is reducible.

In particular it follows that W , or \tilde{W} is an irreducible Coxeter system group when (M, G) is irreducible, but Example 1.1(3) implies that the converse is false. Also an action with a nontrivial fixed point set is reducible. In the case of irreducible actions all the types $A_n, C_n, D_n, E_6, E_7, E_8$

and F_4 are of course possible when the section is a sphere, but we note that due to the Chamber Group Proposition above, not all of them are possible when the section is a projective space.

We remark that in the literature the notion *hyperpolar* is used for a polar manifold with flat sections. Following [GZ], we say that a polar manifold is a *polar space form* if its sections have constant curvature. According to the sign of the curvature of the sections one then says that the polar space form has spherical, euclidean or hyperbolic type. Using this language, a partition of unity argument as in [GZ] Thm. 3.3, or the main result of [Me] now yields the following in our case

COROLLARY 2.14 (Polar Space Form). *A simply connected positively curved polar G manifold M admits the structure of a polar spherical space form with the same sections.*

It should be noted that M with such a polar space form structure typically has curvatures of both signs. In general, a highly nontrivial result of [Me] asserts that *any metric* on a section of any polar G manifold *invariant under the polar group extends* to a G invariant metric on the ambient manifold with the *same section*.

3. THE CHAMBER SYSTEM AND PRIMITIVITY

Based on the Chamber Group Proposition 2.8, recall from Section 1 that there are two natural chamber systems $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma, W)$, respectively $\mathcal{C}(M, G)$ associated with any polar action of a connected compact Lie group G on a simply connected positively curved manifold M with section Σ and polar group W . Throughout the rest of the paper (M, G) is such a polar pair.

Our primary purpose in this section is to analyze $\mathcal{C}(M, G)$ further and thereby derive essential properties about such general actions. In particular, we will show that it is a connected chamber system (the crucial starting point for our subsequent investigation of irreducible actions), and use this to show that G is generated by the face isotropy groups of any fixed chamber $C \subset \Sigma$ (an essential ingredient in our investigation of reducible actions).

When the chambers are spherical simplices, we observe that all proper residues of the chamber system can be described via slice representations of corresponding isotropy groups. This allows us to invoke a celebrated result of Tits [Ti2] implying that the so-called universal cover of our chamber system is a building in most cases.

From the description $\mathcal{C}(M, G) = \cup_{g \in G} gC$ of the chamber system we first note that all chambers are isometric when equipped with the induced length space metric from M . This induces a natural length space metric on each path connected component of $\mathcal{C}(M, G)$. A fundamental Theorem due to Wilking [Wi3] asserts in particular that the *dual foliation* associated to the orbits of an isometric group action on a positively curved manifold has only one leaf. It is an immediate consequence of this result that

- $\mathcal{C}(M, G)$ has only one component.

There is an equivalent length metric on $\mathcal{C}(M, G)$ obtained by using a polar space form metric on M (cf. 2.14) in the construction above. We will refer to the corresponding topology as the *thin* topology on $\mathcal{C}(M, G)$. (Since M is the union of its chambers, we can also think of it as M being equipped with this metric and topology.)

From now on, we will always use the thin length metric on $\mathcal{C}(M, \mathbf{G})$ induced from a constant curvature one metric on a section. In particular, note that then each chamber C is either a (spherical) k - simplex Δ^k , or else the spherical join $\mathbb{S}^{k-\ell-1} * \Delta^\ell$ of the $(k - \ell - 1)$ -sphere and a spherical ℓ - simplex. In either case, the chambers in a fixed section Σ tile the section, which is either \mathbb{RP}^k or \mathbb{S}^k . Moreover, by construction, \mathbf{G} preserves the *labeling* of all “vertices, edges, ..., faces”, i.e., of all 0-, 1-, ..., $(k - 1)$ -simplices, when $C = \Delta^k$ is a simplex. In the special case where the chamber is not a simplex, i.e., $C = \mathbb{S}^{k-\ell-1} * \Delta^\ell$, by a “vertex”, or “0-simplex” of the chamber C we mean a set of the type $\mathbb{S}^{k-\ell-1} * \{v\}$, where v is a vertex of the simplex Δ^ℓ , and similarly for “edges”, ..., “faces”. We label the set $\mathbb{S}^{k-\ell-1} \subset C$ as the -1 -simplex of the chamber C . In either case we note that the intersection of any two chambers in M is either empty or else a common “subsimplex” in this sense, allowing in particular the intersection to be a “ -1 -simplex”.

From the fact that $\mathcal{C}(M, \mathbf{G})$ with the thin topology is connected, we get the essential property:

THEOREM 3.1 (Connectivity). *Assume M is a simply connected positively curved polar \mathbf{G} manifold. Then the associated chamber system $\mathcal{C}(M; \mathbf{G})$ is connected, i.e., any two chambers are connected by a gallery.*

Proof. We will prove this by induction on $\dim M^* = k$ using that $\mathcal{C}(M; \mathbf{G})$ is path connected. For simplicity we first present the proof in the typical case where the chamber C is a simplex Δ^k . A simple modification yields the general statement.

Let C and C' be two chambers of $\mathcal{C}(M; \mathbf{G})$. Using [Wi3] join two interior points of C and C' by a piecewise smooth *horizontal curve*, i.e., at any point both one sided derivatives of the curve are perpendicular to the \mathbf{G} orbit at the point. In our case, it is clear that we can choose a horizontal curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$, and $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 \dots < t_{k+1} = 1$ such that $\gamma|_{(t_i, t_{i+1})}$ is a geodesic, or once broken geodesic in the interior of a chamber C_i relative to the thin metric on $\mathcal{C}(M; \mathbf{G})$, where $C_0 = C$, $C_k = C'$ and all C_i are different. Moreover, γ can be chosen so that each of the possibly nonsmooth points $\gamma(t_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$ are all vertices. In addition, the one-sided derivatives $\gamma'_+(t_i)$, $-\gamma'_-(t_i)$ of γ at the vertices $\gamma(t_i)$ are interior points of two $(k - 1)$ chamber simplices for the chamber complex $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}_{\gamma(t_i)}^\perp; \mathbf{G}_{\gamma(t_i)})$ of the slice representation of the isotropy group $\mathbf{G}_{\gamma(t_i)}$. By induction these simplices can be joined by a gallery in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}_{\gamma(t_i)}^\perp; \mathbf{G}_{\gamma(t_i)})$. Filling in the corresponding gallery in $\mathcal{C}(M; \mathbf{G})$ at each $\gamma(t_i)$ now yields a gallery from C to C' .

To complete the proof we need to establish the induction anchor in cohomogeneity two. By the same reasoning as above, this follows from the claim that the chamber complex of a linear spherical cohomogeneity one action is connected. Since any horizontal curve provided by Wilkings theorem in this case is a piecewise horizontal geodesic up to parametrization, such a curve already constitutes the desired gallery.

The modification needed to cover the case where the chambers are joins with a nonempty sphere can be explained as follows: As in the simplex case one may choose a piecewise horizontal geodesic γ , so that each of the possibly nonsmooth points $\gamma(t_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$ are most singular, i.e., in this case -1 -simplex points. The remaining part of the proof follows the same path. \square

The Coxeter Section Theorem 2.11 and the Connectivity Theorem above are the two crucial properties derived using positive curvature. We note that there is no reason for the chamber system of a simply connected polar space form of spherical type to be connected. However:

The manifolds we actually classify in higher cohomogeneities in this paper are the

Chamber Connected Polar Spherical Space Form

i.e.

- Simply connected polar space forms (M, \mathbf{G}) of spherical type
- with
- Connected associated chamber system, $\mathcal{C}(M; \mathbf{G})$

In addition, this generality is important for the proof, because \mathbf{G} invariant polar submanifolds of a positively curved polar manifold are typically not positively curved (cf. Section 6, proof of Hopf Lemma).

The two assumptions above will be applied throughout the rest of the paper.

Using connectivity we derive the following simple but powerful tool:

THEOREM 3.2 (Primitivity). *The group \mathbf{G} is generated by the (identity components of the), face isotropy groups of any fixed chamber.*

Proof. Fix a chamber C_0 and consider any other chamber $\mathbf{g} C_0$, $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{G}$. Using the above, let $\Gamma = (C_0, \dots, C_k)$ be a gallery, of type $i_1 i_2 \dots i_k$, where $C_k = \mathbf{g} C_0$. By definition, note that any C_n is obtained from C_{n-1} by applying an element g_{i_n} of the isotropy group for the common face i_n of C_n and C_{n-1} to C_{n-1} , i.e., $C_n = g_{i_n} C_{n-1}$. From this it follows that $C_k = \mathbf{g} C_0 = g_{i_k} g_{i_{k-1}} \dots g_{i_1} C_0$, and hence $\mathbf{g} = g_{i_k} g_{i_{k-1}} \dots g_{i_1}$ after modifying g_{i_1} with an element of the stabilizer of the chamber C_0 if necessary.

Now each g_{i_n} is a conjugate of an element of the isotropy group corresponding to the face i_n by the previous element. So in other words $g_{i_k} = [g_{i_{k-1}} \dots g_{i_1}] h_{i_k} [g_{i_{k-1}} \dots g_{i_1}]^{-1}$, and hence $\mathbf{g} = [g_{i_{k-1}} \dots g_{i_1}] h_{i_k} [g_{i_{k-1}} \dots g_{i_1}]^{-1} g_{i_{k-1}} \dots g_{i_1} = [g_{i_{k-1}} \dots g_{i_1}] h_{i_k}$, where h_{i_k} is in the isotropy group with face i_k of C_0 .

Proceeding in this way we see that $\mathbf{g} = h_{i_1} h_{i_2} \dots h_{i_k}$, where also $h_{i_1} = g_{i_1}$ as claimed. The claim about identity components of the face isotropy groups follows since these in fact act transitively on the normal spheres of their orbit strata (these spheres are connected). \square

Remark 3.3. The description of galleries used in the proof above is very useful. In fact, a gallery starting at C of type $i_1 i_2 \dots i_k$ is given by a word $h_{i_1} h_{i_2} \dots h_{i_k}$ in elements of the isotropy groups \mathbf{G}_{i_j} corresponding to the i_j -faces of C . Note that each \mathbf{G}_{i_j} acts transitively on the normal sphere to the corresponding orbit stratum, i.e., the i_j residue of C is in one to one correspondence with this normal sphere. For this reason we say that a gallery $\Gamma_f = (C_0, \dots, C_k)$ of type $f = i_1 i_2 \dots i_k$ is obtained from C_0 by *folding* it repeatedly along faces using the face isotropy groups $\mathbf{G}_{i_1}, \mathbf{G}_{i_2}, \dots, \mathbf{G}_{i_k}$.

Remark 3.4. Note that this also immediately implies that \mathbf{G} is *generated by any two vertex isotropy groups*.

Remark 3.5. We also observe that in complete generality, our chamber system $\mathcal{C}(M; \mathbf{G})$ associated to a polar \mathbf{G} action on a simply connected manifold M is a *homogeneous chamber system* of a type described in Ronan's book [Ro]. Specifically:

The chamber system $\mathcal{C}(M; \mathbf{G})$ is the left coset \mathbf{G} / \mathbf{H} (the principal orbit) with the following adjacency relation: two chambers $g\mathbf{H}$ and $g'\mathbf{H}$ are i -adjacent if and only if $g\mathbf{G}_i = g'\mathbf{G}_i$, where \mathbf{H} is the principal isotropy group, and the \mathbf{G}_i for $i \in I$ are the face isotropy groups of a fixed chamber.

Note that $\mathcal{C}(M; \mathbf{G})$ is connected if and only if the polar \mathbf{G} action is *primitive*, i.e., by *definition*: \mathbf{G} is generated by the face isotropy groups.

As promised we can use the above connectedness to prove the fixed point claim from the previous section:

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Suppose M is a simply connected positively curved polar \mathbf{G} manifold with spherical section Σ and polar group \mathbf{W} . Then $M^{\mathbf{G}} = \Sigma^{\mathbf{W}}$, and in particular $\text{rk}(\mathbf{W}) = \dim \Sigma^* + 1 - \dim M^{\mathbf{G}}$.*

Proof. Since obviously $M^{\mathbf{G}} \subset \Sigma^{\mathbf{W}}$ and equality has been proved in the previous section if $M^{\mathbf{G}}$ is nonempty, it remains to prove that $M^{\mathbf{G}} \neq \emptyset$ as long as $\Sigma^{\mathbf{W}}$ is nonempty (cf. 2.9).

By assumption $M^* = \Sigma^* = C = \Sigma^{\mathbf{W}} * \Delta^\ell = \mathbb{S}^{k-\ell-1} * \Delta^\ell$. Since all \mathbf{G} orbits corresponding to $\Sigma^{\mathbf{W}} = \mathbb{S}^{k-\ell-1}$, are of the same type (corresponding to the most singular stratum of the orbit space) and are perpendicular to the section Σ it suffices to see that $\Sigma^{\mathbf{W}}$ is preserved by \mathbf{G} .

Pick any $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{G}$ and join the chamber $\mathbf{g}C$ to C with a gallery. Since any two consecutive chambers in a gallery have a common “face” and thereby the same “ -1 -simplex”, i.e., the same fixed point set for the respective Weyl groups, it follows that also $\mathbf{g}C$ has the same “ -1 -simplex”, which however is $\mathbf{g}\Sigma^{\mathbf{W}}$. \square

Example 3.7. Here are examples showing that the conclusion above may fail in cohomogeneity one as well as when the section is a projective space.

(1) Let $M = \mathbb{CP}^n = \text{SU}(n+1)/\text{U}(n)$. Then $\mathbf{G} = \text{U}(n)$ acts by cohomogeneity one with one fixed point. However, its polar group is \mathbb{Z}_2 acting on a section \mathbb{S}^1 with two fixed points.

(2) The obvious polar $\mathbf{G} = \text{U}(1) \times \text{U}(1) \times \text{U}(n)$ representation on $\mathbb{C}^{n+2} = \mathbb{C} + \mathbb{C} + \mathbb{C}^n$ descends to a polar action on \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} with two fixed points (corresponding to the two \mathbb{C} summands). Its section is \mathbb{RP}^2 with $\mathbb{RP}^2/\mathbf{W} = \mathbb{CP}^{n+1}/\mathbf{G}$ a right angled spherical triangle. In particular, its Weyl group must necessarily have three fixed points.

The case where the orbit space $M^* = \Sigma^* = C$ is not a simplex, i.e., by 2.8 it is a join of a sphere with a simplex (in particular $M^{\mathbf{G}} \neq \emptyset$) will be dealt with in Section 6.

We now point out some simple but crucial strong local properties of the chamber system $\mathcal{C}(M, \mathbf{G})$ of a positively curved simply connected polar manifold in all remaining cases, i.e., when the orbit space is a simplex.

Say $\mathbf{M} = (m_{ij})$, $i, j \in I$ is the Coxeter matrix for the reflection group \mathbf{W} of the section Σ if it is a sphere, or else of $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}$. In the latter case any word in the generators r_i of \mathbf{W} whose lift is the antipodal map in $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}$ is a non-Coxeter relation in \mathbf{W} , and must necessarily involve all generators of \mathbf{W} . For any fixed proper subset $J \subset I$ let \mathbf{M}_J denote the submatrix of \mathbf{M} with entries m_{ij} , $i, j \in J$. Correspondingly, we let \mathbf{W}_J denote the subgroup of \mathbf{W} generated by r_i , $i \in J$. It is well known that the subgroup \mathbf{W}_J of \mathbf{W} as well as of $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}$, is a Coxeter group of type \mathbf{M}_J .

Recall, that a chamber system \mathcal{C} over I , by definition has *type* M if all $\{i, j\}$ residues, $i, j \in I$ are so-called generalized m_{ij} -gons (cf. [Ro]).

For any chamber C , consider $C_J := \cap_{i \in J} C_i$, where C_i is the i -face of C . For an interior point $p \in C_J$, let $\mathbb{S}_{p,J}^\perp$ denote the unit sphere normal to the orbit stratum of $\mathbf{G} p$ at p , i.e., $\mathbb{S}_{p,J}^\perp$ is the sphere in the normal space to the orbit perpendicular to the fixed point subspace of \mathbf{G}_p . It is now apparent (see, e.g., 3.3) that

LEMMA 3.8 (Residue). *The J -residue of \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}_{p,J}^\perp, \mathbf{G}_p)$, for any $p \in C_J$ are isomorphic as chamber systems of type M_J .*

Recall that a chamber system \mathcal{B} over I is called a *building* of type $M = (m_{ij})$, $i, j \in I$, if each chamber is i -adjacent to at least one other chamber, and there is a $W(M)$ valued “distance function”

$$\delta : \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow W$$

with the property $\delta(x, y) = w \in W$ if and only if the types of minimal galleries between x and y coincide with the types of minimal galleries in the Coxeter complex $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma, W) =: \mathcal{W}$ from 1 to w .

The Coxeter complex \mathcal{W} is itself a building with $\delta(u, v) = u^{-1}v$. We call “isometric” images of \mathcal{W} in \mathcal{B} *apartments* in \mathcal{B} . Another example of central importance to us is the following

Example 3.9 (Polar Representations). The chamber system, $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}, \mathbf{K})$ associated to the restriction of a polar representation of a compact Lie group \mathbf{K} to the unit sphere \mathbb{S} (without fixed points) is a fundamental example of a (spherical) Tits building (see [Ti1] and [Da]).

Remark 3.10 (Basic Building Properties). In a building \mathcal{B} , the following properties are basic and used repeatedly in the next sections.

- (Connectedness) Any two chambers x, y are joined by a minimal gallery Γ_f , which in turn is contained in an apartment A .
- (Uniqueness) A minimal gallery from x to y is uniquely determined by its type.
- (Convexity) If x, y are chambers in an apartment A , every minimal gallery from x to y is contained in A .
- (Homotopy) If Γ is a gallery from x to y of type f (not necessarily minimal), and $f \simeq g$ (see below), then there is a gallery of type g from x to y .
- A gallery of type f is minimal if and only if $f = i_1 \cdots i_m$ is a so-called *reduced word*, or equivalently $w = r_f := r_{i_1} \cdots r_{i_m}$ cannot be expressed as r_g for g a shorter word.

Since the slice representation of each isotropy group \mathbf{G}_p is polar, it follows from 3.9 and the residue lemma 3.8 that

PROPOSITION 3.11. *For any proper $J \subset I$, any J residue in the chamber system $\mathcal{C}(M, \mathbf{G})$ is a spherical building of type M_J .*

By invoking the following corollary of a profound result of Tits [Ti2], Corollary 3 in Section 5.3 (cf. also [Ro], Theorem 4.9), we get

THEOREM 3.12 (Tits). *The universal Tits cover $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ of a (gallery-) connected chamber system \mathcal{C} of (finite) type M over I is a building if and only if all residues of rank three are covered by buildings.*

We conclude

THEOREM 3.13 (Building Cover). *Suppose M is a positively curved simply connected polar G manifold with orbit space a simplex of dimension at least 3. Then the universal Tits cover $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(M; G)$ of the associated chamber system $\mathcal{C}(M; G)$ is a spherical building.*

Remark 3.14. The fact that all residues of rank at least 3 of the chamber system $\mathcal{C}(M; G)$ are buildings implies that universal Tits cover $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(M; G)$ can be viewed also as the usual topological universal cover of $\mathcal{C}(M; G)$ equipped with the thin topology. For this reason we frequently simply refer to $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(M; G)$ as the universal cover of $\mathcal{C}(M; G)$. - In particular, the fundamental group π of $\mathcal{C}(M; G)$ acts freely by deck transformations on $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(M; G)$ equipped with the thin topology. It is a startling consequence of our main result Theorem 4.10 in Section 4 that π in fact is either S^1 or S^3 with *discrete topology* when M has no isolated nodes and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(M; G)$ is a building. Note also that π acting freely on the set $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(M; G)$ of course is independent on topology.

Recall that the universal Tits cover is obtained via a notion of homotopies of galleries in analogy with the usual construction of a topological universal cover.

Here two galleries $\Gamma_1 \Gamma_0 \Gamma_2$ and $\Gamma_1 \Gamma'_0 \Gamma_2$ in a chamber system, \mathcal{C} of type M over I are said to be *elementary homotopic* if Γ_0 and Γ'_0 are galleries in a rank 2 residue with the same extremities. A *homotopy* from a gallery Γ to another one Γ' (with fixed extremities) is a finite sequence of elementary homotopies which transforms Γ to Γ' . When such a homotopy exists we write $\Gamma \simeq \Gamma'$.

By construction, $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ as a set is a union of chambers, each chamber, $\tilde{C} \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ being a *homotopy class*, $[\Gamma] = [C_0, \dots, C_m]$ of galleries $\Gamma = (C_0, \dots, C_m)$ from \mathcal{C} starting at a fixed chamber $C_0 \in \mathcal{C}$ and ending at $C_m = C \in \mathcal{C}$, and where the covering map $p : \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ takes \tilde{C} to C_m . Also, the *adjacency* relation among chambers is defined as follows: $\tilde{C} = [C_0, \dots, C_m]$ is “ i -adjacent” to $\tilde{C}' = [C_0, \dots, C_{m-1}, C'_m]$ when C_m and C'_m are “ i -adjacent”, and to $\tilde{C}'' = [C_0, \dots, C_m, C'']$ for other i ’s when C_m and C'' are “ i -adjacent”. All other *incidence* relations follow from this, and the covering map p preserves incidence relations. In this fashion the covering map p preserves faces, and hence all other types.

Note that in a Coxeter complex, \mathcal{W} galleries starting at 1 are in one-to-one correspondence with their types. Here one also uses the notion of *strict homotopy*, denoted $f \simeq g$, where the notion of an elementary homotopy above is replaced by the stronger notion of an *strict elementary homotopy*. Here a strict elementary homotopy is an alteration of a word of the form $f_1 p(i, j) f_2$ to a word $f_1 p(j, i) f_2$, where $p(i, j)$ is a word of the form $\dots i j i j$ (with m_{ij} letters and ending in j); e.g., if $m_{ij} = 3$, $p(i, j) = j i j$; and $p(j, i) = i j i$. In particular, f and g have the same length if they are strictly homotopic (but not necessarily if they are just homotopic). Also $r_f = r_g$ if f and g are strictly homotopic, but the converse is false, since one may have redundant letters; e.g., the words $f = f_1 i i f_2$ and $g = f_1 f_2$ are not strictly homotopic but $r_f = r_g$. A word f is called *reduced* if it is not strictly homotopic to a word of the form $f_1 i i f_2$.

Remark 3.15. Buildings are simplicial complexes, but our chambers systems $\mathcal{C}(M; \mathbf{G})$ are frequently not. This is illustrated for example with the standard T^2 action on \mathbb{CP}^2 . Here all chambers are spherical right angled 2-simplices, and they all have the same three vertices, the fixed points of T^2 . As the Building Cover Theorem above shows, we do not need to assume $\mathcal{C}(M; \mathbf{G})$ to be simplicial when the rank of M is at least 4. However, in the rank 3 case where the Building Cover Theorem says nothing, we do indeed need $\mathcal{C}(M; \mathbf{G})$ to be simplicial in the irreducible cases, i.e., the cases of types A_3 and C_3 . This will be proved in Theorem 5.1 and will allow us to use work of Tits on so-called *geometries*, i.e., chamber systems of type M whose underlying geometric realization is simplicial.

Remark 3.16. Equipped with the thin metric, our chamber system $\mathcal{C}(M, \mathbf{G})$ has the local structure of a CAT(1) space. This is of course true for its universal cover $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(M, \mathbf{G})$ as well. In fact, when its dimension is at least three (corresponding to rank at least four), it follows by work of Charney and Lytchak [CL], that in fact $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(M, \mathbf{G})$ is a CAT(1) space and in fact a spherical building by their geometric characterization of buildings.

4. COMPACT SPHERICAL BUILDINGS

Throughout this section, we assume that the orbit space $M^* = C$ is a simplex, and that the universal cover $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} := \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(M, \mathbf{G})$ of our base chamber system $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C}(M, \mathbf{G})$ is a spherical building of rank at least 3. In particular, $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is also a simplicial complex and we use $p : \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ to denote the covering map.

Our primary objective is to endow $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ with a natural topology inherited from the topology of M , in such a way that it becomes a compact spherical building in the sense of Burns and Spatzier [BSp], where the extension by Grundhöfer, Kramer, Van Maldeghem, and Weiss in [GKMW] is crucial for us. Our second objective is to analyze the fundamental group π of $\mathcal{C}(M, \mathbf{G})$ and its action on the cover when $\mathcal{C}(M, \mathbf{G})$ is a compact spherical building. This in fact will imply Theorem A in the introduction in all cases except where \mathbf{G} has fixed points or where the Coxeter diagram for M either has isolated nodes or is of type A_3 or C_3 .

Section 5 and [FGT] are devoted to the case where the Coxeter diagram of M is of type A_3 or C_3 . In the special reducible cases where isolated nodes are present in the Coxeter diagram of M or $M^G \neq \emptyset$, rather different arguments will be employed in Sections 6 and 7.

We will write the set of vertices $\text{Vert}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}})$ of a Tits building $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ as a disjoint union $\text{Vert}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}) = \tilde{V}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \tilde{V}_{k+1}$ over the vertices of the same cotype where $k + 1$ is the rank of M . The set of r -simplices of type (i_1, \dots, i_{r+1}) for $r \leq k$ will be denoted by $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{i_1, \dots, i_{r+1}}$.

Recall, that a *compact (spherical) building* according to [BSp] is a Tits building $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ with a Hausdorff topology on the set $\text{Vert}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}) = \tilde{V}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \tilde{V}_{k+1}$ of all vertices such that the set $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{i_1, \dots, i_{r+1}}$ of all simplices of type (i_1, \dots, i_{r+1}) is closed in the product $\tilde{V}_{i_1} \times \cdots \times \tilde{V}_{i_{r+1}}$. With the induced topology on the k simplices $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{1, \dots, k+1}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is called *compact, locally connected, infinite, metric* if $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{1, \dots, k+1}$ has the appropriate property.

It is the main result of [BSp] that an infinite, irreducible, locally connected, compact, metric, topologically Moufang building of rank at least 2 is classical. Namely, it is a Tits building associated to a noncompact real semisimple Lie group via the following description (cf. also proof of Theorem 4.10):

Example 4.1 (Symmetric Spaces and Buildings). Let U be a connected noncompact real semisimple Lie group without center and $K \subset U$ a maximal compact subgroup (which is unique up to conjugation). The isometric action of U on the *symmetric space* $N = U/K$ of nonpositive curvature induces a continuous action on the boundary at infinity, \mathbb{S}_∞ , with the same orbits as those of the subaction by K . Here the action by K is topologically equivalent to the isotropy representation of K on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}_p at $p \in N$ with $U_p = K$.

The isotropy representation of $K = U_p$ is polar with sections the tangent spaces of *flats* through $p \in N = U/K$. These flats at infinity are *apartments* of a (topological) building, $\mathcal{C}(U)$ equivalent to $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}_p, U_p)$. One gets all apartments in the building in this fashion by letting p go through all points of N . The group U is the identity component of the (topological) automorphism group $\text{Aut}_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{C}(U))$ of the building.

An algebraic description of $\mathcal{C}(U)$ can be given via the set of all parabolic subgroups of U . We think of $\mathcal{C}(U)$ as the set of parabolic subgroups of U with the following partial order: If $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{C}(U)$, we call C_1 a *face* of C_2 and write $C_1 < C_2$ if $C_2 \subset C_1$. The chambers are the minimal parabolic subgroups. Let W denote the Weyl group of the symmetric space U/K . We fix a minimal parabolic subgroup B . The W valued metric δ in the definition of a building is then defined as follows: Given chambers $C = gB$ and $C' = g'B$, there is by the Bruhat decomposition a unique $w \in W$ such that $Bg^{-1}g'B = BwB$. We set $\delta(C, C') = w$.

The correspondence between the geometric and algebraic description is that the isotropy groups under U of the chambers and their subsimplices at infinity are exactly the parabolic subgroups of U .

The topology on $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(M, G)$

When considering $\mathcal{C}(M, G)$ as a set of chambers, each being a compact subset of the metric space M , $\mathcal{C}(M, G)$ is a compact metric space with the classical Hausdorff metric. Moreover, the same holds for the set of all galleries with any upper bound on the number of chambers. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(M, G)$ is a building of type M any two chambers can be connected by a gallery of length at most $1/2|W(M)|$.

Let us fix a chamber $\tilde{C}_0 \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. For any fixed large positive integer $k \geq \frac{1}{2}|W(M)|$, $\epsilon > 0$ and any chamber $\tilde{C} \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, we let

$$B_{\epsilon, k}(\tilde{C}) \text{ be the union of those chambers } \tilde{C}' \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$$

for which there are (stuttering) galleries Γ and Γ' of length at most k starting at \tilde{C}_0 and ending at \tilde{C} , respectively \tilde{C}' so that the (stuttering) galleries $p(\Gamma)$ and $p(\Gamma')$ in \mathcal{C} are within Hausdorff distance ϵ from one another in M . We will refer to the topology generated by these sets as the *chamber topology* on the building $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$.

The geometric realization of the building $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(M, G)$ is a simplicial complex $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(M, G)$. We will show that this topology induces a topology on $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(M, G)$ making it into a compact spherical building in the sense of Burns and Spatzier [BSp].

The following will be used repeatedly

LEMMA 4.2 (Homotopy Control). *Let Δ be a building of rank at least 3. Then for any k there is a $C(k)$ with the following property: Any galleries Γ and Γ' of lengths at most k with the same extremities are homotopic by a homotopy consisting of at most $C(k)$ chambers.*

Proof. Since any building of rank at least three is simply connected, Γ and Γ' are homotopic. The remaining part of our claim is proved by induction on k , being trivially true for $k = 1$.

If Γ and Γ' are both minimal, the claim is a direct consequence of the Convexity Property in 3.10. Similarly, if $\Gamma = \Gamma_1\Gamma_0\Gamma_2$ and $\Gamma' = \Gamma_1\Gamma'_0\Gamma_2$, where Γ_0 and Γ'_0 are minimal (e.g., when there is a strict elementary homotopy from Γ to Γ'). In particular, by induction it suffices to prove that a nonminimal Γ is strictly homotopic to a Γ' via an a priori bounded number of strict elementary homotopies and Γ' is homotopic to a shorter gallery within a uniformly bounded number of chambers.

Suppose Γ is not minimal of type f . We claim that Γ is strictly homotopic to a gallery Γ' of type $f_1ii f_2$ through at most ℓ^ℓ strictly elementary homotopies, where $\ell = |I|^k$. Indeed, the number of words of length at most k is bounded above by $|I|^k$. Therefore, the noncircuit operations from a word of length at most k to another one of length at most k is bounded above by ℓ^ℓ .

Now, a gallery Γ' of type $f_1ii f_2$ from x to y is obviously homotopic to a shorter gallery of type either f_1if_2 or type f_1f_2 , according to the chambers being $\Gamma_1C_1C_2C_3\Gamma_2$ (where $C_1 \sim_i C_2$, and $C_2 \sim_i C_3$) or $\Gamma_1C_1C_2C_1\Gamma_2$ (where $C_1 \sim_i C_2$). Moreover, the homotopy can be realized in the longer gallery and so the number of chambers is bounded by the length k . \square

Remark 4.3. The proof of the above lemma gives an algorithm to construct a *controlled homotopy* between galleries with the same extremities in a building.

PROPOSITION 4.4. *With the chamber topology, $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is a compact, separable and metrizable space.*

Proof. By the Uryson Characterization Theorem for metrizable spaces, all we need to prove is that $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is sequentially compact, separable, and regular.

- (Sequential Compactness) Any sequence $\{\tilde{C}_n\}$ of chambers in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ has a convergent subsequence.

For each n , let Γ_n be a gallery of length at most k joining \tilde{C}_0 and \tilde{C}_n . By compactness of M the sequence $p(\Gamma_n)$ has a convergent subsequence in the Hausdorff metric topology with limit a gallery $\bar{\Gamma}_\infty$ starting at $p(\tilde{C}_0)$. By the unique homotopy lifting property (see [Ro], Lemma 4.4), $\bar{\Gamma}_\infty$ can be uniquely lifted to a gallery, say Γ_∞ , starting at \tilde{C}_0 . By the definition of the chamber topology we know that the corresponding subsequence of $\{\tilde{C}_n\}$ converges to the end chamber of Γ_∞ .

- (Separability) We may choose a countable dense subset Q_i of each face isotropy group G_i , e.g. the rational points. The set of galleries starting at \tilde{C}_0 of length at most k obtained by the folding process described in 3.3 using only elements from Q_i is clearly dense in the set of all galleries starting at \tilde{C}_0 of length at most k . By definition, the last chamber of these lifted galleries in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ starting at \tilde{C}_0 form a countable dense set in the chamber topology.

- (Regularity) We need to prove that, for a chamber \tilde{C}_1 and a closed subset $B \subset \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ in the complement of \tilde{C}_1 , there are two disjoint open sets U and V containing \tilde{C}_1 and B respectively.

If this is not the case, we find for arbitrary large integers n , a chamber $\tilde{C}'_n \in B_{\frac{1}{n},k}(\tilde{C}_1) \cap B_{\frac{1}{n},k}(B)$. By the above we know that the closed subset B is sequentially compact. Therefore, a subsequence of \tilde{C}'_n converges to some chamber $\tilde{C}_2 \in B$. Therefore, there are two pairs of sequences of galleries $\Gamma_{i,n}$, $\Gamma'_{i,n}$, $i = 1, 2$, starting at \tilde{C}_0 and ending at the chambers \tilde{C}_1 , \tilde{C}_2 , respectively \tilde{C}'_n , with $d_H(p(\Gamma_{i,n}), p(\Gamma'_{i,n})) < \frac{1}{n}$. For each n , $\Gamma'_{1,n}$ and $\Gamma'_{2,n}$ have the same extremities

in the building $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, and hence $\Gamma'_{1,n} \simeq \Gamma'_{2,n}$ and $p(\Gamma'_{1,n}) \simeq p(\Gamma'_{2,n})$, by a homotopy H'_n . By Lemma 4.2 we can assume that H'_n is composed of an a priori bounded number of chambers independent of n . Taking convergent subsequences, we can assume that $p(\Gamma_{i,n})$ as well as $p(\Gamma'_{i,n})$ converge to the same galleries $\bar{\Gamma}_{i,\infty}$, and that these are homotopic by a homotopy H'_∞ . So on the one hand, by the unique homotopy lifting property, $\bar{\Gamma}_{i,\infty}, i = 1, 2$ lift to galleries with the same end chamber in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. On the other hand they lift to galleries with end chamber $\tilde{C}_i, i = 1, 2$ respectively. A contradiction. \square

LEMMA 4.5 (Independence). *The chamber topology is independent of the choices of \tilde{C}_0 and the parameter k .*

Proof. Let us first prove the independence of k . If $k' > k$ clearly $B_{\epsilon,k}(\tilde{C}) \subset B_{\epsilon,k'}(\tilde{C})$. Consequently it suffices to show that a k' -convergent sequence of chambers $\{\tilde{C}_n\}$ is also k -convergent. By assumption there are galleries Γ_n and Γ^n in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ of length at most k' starting at \tilde{C}_0 and ending at \tilde{C}_n respectively \tilde{C} such that the projected galleries $p(\Gamma_n)$ and $p(\Gamma^n)$ Hausdorff converge to a gallery $\bar{\Gamma}_\infty$ (possibly stuttering) in \mathcal{C} . Again using Lemma 4.2 we see that the gallery Γ_n is homotopic to a gallery Γ'_n of length at most k by a homotopy H_n with an a priori bounded number of chambers. Note that $p(\Gamma'_n)$ subsequentially converges to a gallery $\bar{\Gamma}'_\infty = p(\Gamma'_\infty)$, where Γ'_∞ is the subsequence limit of Γ'_n . We may assume the homotopies $p(H_n)$ also converge, and therefore we get a limit homotopy between the two limit galleries $\bar{\Gamma}_\infty$ and $\bar{\Gamma}'_\infty$. By the homotopy uniqueness lifting property once again we get that Γ_∞ and Γ'_∞ have the same ending chambers \tilde{C} . Therefore, $\{\tilde{C}_n\}$ also k -converges to \tilde{C} .

To see the independence of the choice of \tilde{C}_0 join another chamber \tilde{C}'_0 to \tilde{C}_0 with a fixed gallery Γ_0 , and the claim follows from independence of k via concatenation with Γ_0 and its opposite. \square

We will now investigate the topology induced on the set of vertices from the chamber topology. That topology in turn will induce a topology on the geometric realization $|\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(M, \mathbf{G})|$, of the simplicial complex $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(M, \mathbf{G})$ associated to the building referred to as the *thick* topology on $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ from now on. Assuming our chamber system $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ has rank $k + 1$ corresponding to cohomogeneity k , for any $i \in I = \{0, \dots, k\}$, consider the set \tilde{V}_i of cotype i vertices in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. Let $\pi_i : \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \tilde{V}_i$ denote the obvious projection map. For each i , we equip \tilde{V}_i with the quotient topology.

LEMMA 4.6 (Vertex Space). *For any $i \in I$, the projection $\pi_i : \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \tilde{V}_i$ is an open map, and \tilde{V}_i is compact and Hausdorff. Moreover, for any $x \in \tilde{V}_i$, the fiber $\pi_i^{-1}(x) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is the residue $\text{Res}(x)$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, which is compact, and the restriction of the covering map $p : \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ to this residue is a homeomorphism to the residue $\text{Res}(p(x))$ in \mathcal{C} .*

Proof. We begin with a proof of the last claim. By construction of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, p provides an isomorphism between the residues as subbuildings. We need to show that the chamber topology restricted to the residue $\text{Res}(x)$ coincides with the Hausdorff topology of $\text{Res}(p(x))$ in the manifold M .

Since $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ and \mathcal{C} are both compact and Hausdorff, and $p : \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ obviously is continuous, it remains to check that $\text{Res}(x)$ is closed in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. Let $\{\tilde{C}_n\}, n = 1, 2, \dots$ be a sequence of chambers in $\text{Res}(x)$ which converges in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. Join a fixed chamber \tilde{C}_0 to \tilde{C}_1 by a gallery Γ . Using that the

residues are buildings, join each \tilde{C}_1 to \tilde{C}_n by a minimal gallery Γ_n within the residue. A subsequence of the projections to \mathcal{C} of the concatenated galleries clearly converges in the Hausdorff topology, and the end chamber of the lift of the limiting gallery is the limit of $\{\tilde{C}_n\}$, which as a consequence is in the residue.

To show that \tilde{V}_i is Hausdorff it suffices to show that $\pi_i : \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \tilde{V}_i$ is an open map and the cotype i -adjacency is a closed relation, i.e. the subset

$$\{(\tilde{C}, \tilde{C}') \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \times \tilde{\mathcal{C}} : \tilde{C} \text{ and } \tilde{C}' \text{ have common cotype } i \text{ vertices}\}$$

is closed in the product topology. To show the latter, let $(\tilde{C}_n, \tilde{C}'_n)$ be a sequence converging to (\tilde{C}, \tilde{C}') , where $\pi_i(\tilde{C}_n) = \pi_i(\tilde{C}'_n)$. In particular C_n and C'_n share an i -vertex, and (C_n, C'_n) converges to (C, C') in the Hausdorff topology of M . Join \tilde{C}_n to \tilde{C}'_n by a minimal gallery Γ_n^i in the i -residue and pick a subsequence if necessary so that the image galleries $p(\Gamma_n^i)$ in the residues in M converge. Obviously the limit gallery joins C to C' , and, in particular, they share an i vertex. It follows that \tilde{C} and \tilde{C}' share the type i vertex.

Let us prove that π_i is open. For this we need to see that $\pi_i^{-1}(\pi_i(U))$ is open in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, where U is a finite intersection of $B_{\epsilon_i, k}(\tilde{C}_i)$'s. Pick a chamber $\tilde{D}' \in \pi_i^{-1}(\pi_i(U))$, i.e. $\pi_i(\tilde{D}') = \pi_i(\tilde{C}')$ for some $\tilde{C}' \in U$. We need to find a neighborhood U' of \tilde{D}' so that for any $\tilde{D}'' \in U'$ there is a $\tilde{C}'' \in U$ with $\pi_i(\tilde{D}'') = \pi_i(\tilde{C}'')$.

Let V be a finite intersection of $B_{\epsilon_j, k}(\tilde{C}_j)$'s so that $\tilde{C}' \in V \subset U$. Since \tilde{C}' and \tilde{D}' are cotype i adjacent, they are in the same cotype i residue (of some vertex), and they can be joined within this residue by a gallery Γ explicitly obtained by folding (see 3.3) \tilde{C}' repeatedly along faces using face isotropy groups (fixing the cotype i vertex) in M via $p : \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. To complete the proof the following observation suffices: Consider the chamber $C' = p(\tilde{C}')$ in \mathcal{C} . Any chamber Hausdorff close to C' is gC' for some $g \in G$ close to $1 \in G$, and $g\Gamma$ is thus close to Γ . Thus this process and its inverse takes a neighborhood of \tilde{C}' to a neighborhood \tilde{D}' and conversely, and the claim follows. \square

Now we are ready to prove the first of our main results in this section.

THEOREM 4.7 (Compact Spherical Building). *The spherical building $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(M, G)$ with the topology on the set of vertices induced by the thick topology on the chambers is a compact spherical building if its rank is at least 3.*

Proof. We have seen in Lemma 4.6 that the space $V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_{k+1}$ of vertices is Hausdorff. It is therefore left to show that the set $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{i_1, \dots, i_{r+1}}$ of all simplices of type (i_1, \dots, i_{r+1}) is closed in the product $\tilde{V}_{i_1} \times \dots \times \tilde{V}_{i_{r+1}}$. It follows from Proposition 4.4 and Lemma 4.6 that the product map $\prod \pi_{i_j} : \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{i_1, \dots, i_{r+1}} \rightarrow \tilde{V}_{i_1} \times \dots \times \tilde{V}_{i_{r+1}}$ is continuous for any multi-index i_1, \dots, i_{r+1} and its image is a closed subset, which finishes the proof. \square

It is clear from what we have proved so far that the compact spherical building in Theorem 4.7 is an infinite compact metrizable building. We can now apply the main results of [BSp] or rather its generalization in [GKMW] to compact spherical buildings that need not be locally connected.

THEOREM 4.8 (Classical Building). *Assume the compact spherical building $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(M, G)$ has rank at least 3 and its associated Coxeter diagram has no isolated nodes. Then it is the building at infinity of a product N of irreducible symmetric spaces of noncompact type of rank at least 2.*

The topological automorphism group $\text{Aut}_{\text{top}}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}})$ of the building $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(M, \mathbf{G})$ is a real noncompact semisimple Lie group with finitely many connected components and its identity component is isomorphic to the identity component of the isometry group of the symmetric space N .

Proof. It follows from Theorem 1.2 in [GKMW] that $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(M, \mathbf{G})$ is the building at infinity of a product of irreducible symmetric spaces of rank at least 2 and a locally finite Bruhat-Tits building of dimension at least two. The building at infinity of the Bruhat-Tits building is totally disconnected and can therefore be excluded since by Lemma 4.6 the vertex residues are locally connected compact spherical buildings. The claims about $\text{Aut}_{\text{top}}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}})$ follow from [BSp]. \square

We now prove a general theorem about the lifted $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ action and the free subaction of π (cf. remark 3.14) on the simplicial complex $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(M, \mathbf{G})$ when equipped with the thick topology

THEOREM 4.9 (Compact Transformation Group). *Assume the spherical building $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(M, \mathbf{G})$ has rank at least 3 and is equipped with the thick topology. Then the deck transformation group π with the compact open topology is a compact subgroup of the topological automorphism group $\text{Aut}_{\text{top}}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}})$. Moreover, there is a compact subgroup $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ of $\text{Aut}_{\text{top}}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}})$, such that $\pi \subset \tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ is a normal subgroup with quotient $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}/\pi = \mathbf{G}$, whose action covers the \mathbf{G} -action on \mathcal{C} .*

Proof. It is a simple consequence of the Independence Lemma 4.5 that every element of π is a homeomorphism with respect to the chamber and thick topologies. In particular, π is a subgroup of the topological automorphism group $\text{Aut}_{\text{top}}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}})$. We now prove that π is a closed subgroup of $\text{Aut}_{\text{top}}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}})$. Let f_n be a sequence in π that converges to f in $\text{Aut}_{\text{top}}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}})$ in the compact open topology. In particular, $f_n(\tilde{C})$ converges to $f(\tilde{C})$ in the chamber topology for every chamber $\tilde{C} \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. Notice that $p(f_n(\tilde{C})) = p(\tilde{C})$. Therefore, $p(f(\tilde{C})) = p(\tilde{C})$, and it follows that f is in π . The compactness of π follows since the orbit of π is compact and the action of π is free.

It is well-known that the \mathbf{G} -action on \mathcal{C} lifts to a covering group $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ -action on $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, where $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ fits in an extension (see [Ro], Exercise 8 in Chapter 4)

$$1 \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{G}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G} \rightarrow 1.$$

Once again, by the Independence Lemma 4.5, we see that $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}_{\text{top}}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}})$ and as above one can check that it is closed, hence also compact, since both π and \mathbf{G} are. \square

Combining these results we have the following main result about polar manifolds of positive curvature:

THEOREM 4.10. *Any polar action of a compact connected Lie group \mathbf{G} on a simply connected positively curved manifold M whose associated chamber system is covered by a spherical building $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ of rank at least three and whose diagram \mathbf{M} contains no isolated nodes is equivariantly diffeomorphic to a polar action on a compact rank one symmetric space, other than the Cayley plane.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 4.8 that the simplicial complex $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ as a set with the thick topology is a sphere that we will denote by \mathbb{S} . The compact subgroup π of $\text{Aut}_{\text{top}}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}})$ is a Lie group since $\text{Aut}_{\text{top}}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}})$ is a Lie group by Theorem 4.8.

We would like to show that π is connected. We denote the identity component of π by π_0 . Clearly, π_0 acts freely on the sphere \mathbb{S} , and there is a covering $\mathbb{S}/\pi_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}/\pi = M$ whose fiber has the same number of points as π/π_0 . This is a contradiction since M is simply connected. It follows that $\pi = \pi_0$ and that π and \tilde{G} are both compact and connected subgroups, i.e., Lie subgroups of the identity component U of $\text{Aut}_{\text{top}}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}})$. As a consequence, \tilde{G} has a fixed point in the symmetric space U/K , where K is a maximal compact subgroup of the semisimple Lie group U . Therefore, up to conjugation we can assume that $\tilde{G} \subset K$ and it follows that the action by \tilde{G} is topologically equivalent to a linear polar action orbit equivalent to the isotropy representation of K on \mathbb{S} . Since the action of π on \mathbb{S} is both linear and free, π is either $\{1\}$, S^1 or S^3 (cf. e.g. [Br]) and by representation theory the action is the Hopf action. It follows that M is G -equivariantly homeomorphic to the rank one symmetric space \mathbb{S}/π with the linear polar action by $G = \tilde{G}/\pi$.

To complete the proof, we note that the induced linear polar action on \mathbb{S}/π by $G = \tilde{G}/\pi$ has the same data, i.e., section, polar group, isotropy groups and their slice representations as the polar G action on M . From the reconstruction theorem of [GZ] it follows that (M, G) is smoothly equivalent to $(\mathbb{S}/\pi, G)$. \square

In view of the Building Cover Theorem 3.13, this takes care of all cases where G has no fixed points and M has no isolated nodes and rank at least 4.

We conclude this section by another application of Theorem 4.10. As mentioned in the introduction there are polar G actions by $SU(3) \cdot SU(3)$ and $SO(3) \cdot G_2$ on \mathbb{OP}^2 (see [PTh, GK]) whose associated chamber systems $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{OP}^2, G)$ are of type C_3 . In particular we conclude from Theorem 4.10 that

COROLLARY 4.11 (Not a Building). *The universal covers of the chamber systems $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{OP}^2, G)$ associated to the polar actions on \mathbb{OP}^2 by $G = SU(3) \cdot SU(3)$, $SO(3) \cdot G_2$ are simply connected chamber systems of type C_3 that are not buildings.*

Examples of simply connected chamber systems of type C_3 that are not buildings were discovered by Neumaier and later but independently by Aschbacher. The examples of the chamber systems in 4.11 are new and follow also from [Ly] and [KL] as noted by them. These intriguing examples motivate the following interesting problems.

PROBLEM 4.12 (Cayley plane chamber system). *Let $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ denote the universal cover of the chamber system $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{OP}^2; G)$, where G is one of $SU(3) \cdot SU(3)$, $SO(3) \cdot G_2$.*

- (1) *Is \mathcal{C} itself simply connected?*
- (2) *If \mathcal{C} is not simply connected, does the section \mathbb{RP}^2 lift to \mathbb{S}^2 in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$? What is its fundamental group, and what is $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$?*

5. IRREDUCIBLE CHAMBER SYSTEMS AND TITS GEOMETRIES OF RANK 3

The purpose of this section is to develop and describe an alternative to the Building Cover Theorem 3.13 for irreducible polar actions of cohomogeneity two, i.e., for rank 3 chamber systems $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(M, G)$, where M has no isolated nodes, or equivalently M has type A_3 or C_3 . In this case, any closed chamber C of \mathcal{C} , or equivalently the G orbit space of M is the spherical triangle with angles $\{\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{3}\}$, or $\{\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{3}\}$ respectively.

Our method is based on a *construction of chamber system covers* (corresponding to the principal bundle construction for polar manifolds in [GZ]), and on an *axiomatic characterization* due to Tits of buildings of irreducible type M, when the geometric realization $|\mathcal{C}|$ (\mathcal{C} with the thin topology) of the associated chamber system \mathcal{C} , is a *simplicial complex*. This characterization is given in terms of the *incidence geometry* associated with \mathcal{C} . Here, by definition


- Vertices $x, y \in |\mathcal{C}|$ are *incident*, denoted $x * y$, if and only if x and y are contained in a closed chamber of $|\mathcal{C}|$.

Clearly, the incidence relation (not an equivalence relation) is preserved by the action of G in our case.

To describe the needed characterization, and to prove that our chamber systems $\mathcal{C}(M, G)$ of types A_3 and C_3 are simplicial, we will use the following standard terminology:

- The *shadow* of a vertex x on the set of vertices of type $i \in I$, denoted $\text{Sh}_i(x)$, is the union of all vertices of type i incident to x .

When $M = C_3$, we will use q, r , and t respectively, to denote the vertices of a chamber C at angles $\frac{\pi}{4}$ -, $\frac{\pi}{2}$ -, and $\frac{\pi}{3}$ respectively, corresponding to the three nodes from left to right of the C_3 -diagram



The faces in C opposite q, r and t respectively, will be denoted by ℓ_q, ℓ_r and ℓ_t respectively.

Following Tits [Ti2], we call the vertices of type q, r and t , *points*, *lines*, and *planes* respectively. We denote by Q, R and T the set of points, lines, and planes in $\mathcal{C}(M; G)$. Notice that G acts transitively on Q, R and T .

Using this terminology we prove the following key

THEOREM 5.1 (Simplicial). *The geometric realization $|\mathcal{C}(M, G)|$ of a chamber system $\mathcal{C}(M, G)$ of type A_3 or C_3 associated with a simply connected polar G -manifold M is simplicial.*

Proof. Since the case of A_3 follows directly from a part of the proof of the C_3 case (cf. Case (i) below), we only discuss the latter.

We claim that all we need to show is that *vertices of different types are joined by at most one minimal geodesic*. In particular, an edge is determined by its vertices. In fact, given this we only need to prove that any chamber C of $|\mathcal{C}(M, G)|$ is uniquely determined by its vertices. So suppose C and C' are chambers with the same vertices. From the claim they have the same edges as well. Now by transitivity there is a $g \in G$ with $gC = C'$. Since g fixes all vertices and edges of C it is in the principal isotropy group of C and hence $gC = C$.

Case (i). One of the vertices is a plane.

For a plane $t \in T$, note that the shadow $\text{Sh}_Q(t)$ (resp. $\text{Sh}_R(t)$) of t in Q (resp. R) is the homogeneous space $G_t q = G_t / G_t \cap G_q$, where $q \in \text{Sh}_Q(t)$ (resp. $q \in \text{Sh}_R(t)$). Moreover, the set of all edges containing t and q is the homogeneous space $G_t \cap G_q \ell_r = G_t \cap G_q / G_{\ell_r}$, where ℓ_r is a minimal reference geodesic connecting t and q . It suffices to prove that $G_{\ell_r} = G_t \cap G_q$:

Consider the fibration

$$\frac{G_t \cap G_q}{G_{\ell_r}} \rightarrow \frac{G_t}{G_{\ell_r}} \rightarrow \frac{G_t}{G_t \cap G_q}$$

Note that the base cannot be a point, since otherwise, $G_t \subset G_q$, and so by the primitivity $G = \langle G_t, G_q \rangle = G_q$, and hence G would have fixed points. On the other hand, G_t / G_{ℓ_r} is the set

of points (resp. planes) in a type A_2 geometry, associated with the slice representation at t , i.e., $G_t / G_{\ell_r} = \mathbb{P}^2(k)$, where $k = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$ or \mathbb{O} . In particular, G_{ℓ_r} is a maximal subgroup of G_t , and thus $G_{\ell_r} = G_t \cap G_q$.

Case (ii). One of the vertices is a line.

Consider a chamber C with sides ℓ_t , ℓ_r , and ℓ_q , and suppose ℓ'_t is another minimal geodesic joining the vertices r and q of C . Since each singular isotropy group of the reducible slice representation of G_r acts transitively on the other singular orbit, there is a $g \in G_{\ell_q} \subset G_r$ with $g\ell_t = \ell'_t$. By (i) gC is a chamber with sides ℓ'_t , ℓ_r , and ℓ_q . But since g fixes ℓ_r and ℓ_q it is in the principal isotropy group of the slice representation of G_t and hence $gC = C$. Thus $\ell'_t = \ell_t$. \square

Since by work of Tits [Ti2] (cf. Proposition 6), any A_n -geometry is a building, we conclude as in Theorem 4.10 (with π trivial) that

COROLLARY 5.2. *A simply connected positively curved polar G -manifold of type A_3 is equivariantly diffeomorphic to a polar G representation on a sphere.*

In the much more complicated and rich case, where the chamber system $\mathcal{C}(M, G)$ is of type C_3 our classification carried out in [FGT] hinges on an axiomatic characterization for a connected Tits geometry of type C_3 to be a building [Ti2].

For all but two such chamber systems, this Tits axiom is verified for a suitable cover of $\mathcal{C}(M, G)$, and the two exceptional cases are identified with the chamber systems for the two exceptional polar actions of type C_3 on the Cayley plane. - Since by Theorem 5.1 $\mathcal{C}(M, G)$ is simplicial an alternative proof is offered in [KL].

6. REDUCTION INPUT AND FIXED POINT CASE

In the last two sections we will deal with reducible polar actions in positive curvature.

The key result in this section is a characterization of Hopf fibrations in our context, that also will play an essential role in the next section. As a corollary we obtain a classification when fixed points are present. We need the following

LEMMA 6.1 (Extension). *Let (\mathbb{S}^n, G) be a fixed point free effective polar representation with associated chamber system (building) $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^n; G)$. If $\tilde{G} \supset G$ is a compact connected subgroup of $\text{Aut}_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^n, G))$, then \tilde{G} is a Lie group acting linearly on \mathbb{S}^n .*

Proof. First note that the induced action by \tilde{G} on \mathbb{S}^n is continuous and orbit equivalent to the G action.

We begin by considering irreducible G representations. In the special case where G acts transitively on \mathbb{S}^n , a chamber of $\mathcal{C}(M; G)$ is just a point in \mathbb{S}^n and $\text{Aut}_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^n, G))$ is the homeomorphism group of \mathbb{S}^n with the compact open topology. Since all \tilde{G} orbits (there is only one) are locally connected and \mathbb{S}^n a manifold, Theorem 1 (page 244) of [MZ] states that \tilde{G} is a Lie group. In fact by [Po], \tilde{G} is a subgroup of $\text{SO}(n+1)$.

In the case where \mathbf{G} acts by cohomogeneity one or higher on \mathbb{S}^n , it follows from [Da] that the action is orbit equivalent to the isotropy representation of a symmetric space \mathbf{U}/\mathbf{K} of noncompact type. Moreover, $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^n; \mathbf{G})$ is the building at infinity of \mathbf{U}/\mathbf{K} whose (topological) automorphism group is \mathbf{U} , a Lie group. Thus, $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ is a compact subgroup acting isometrically on \mathbf{U}/\mathbf{K} , and hence with a fixed point, where the action is linear and orbit equivalent to the \mathbf{G} action.

In general, the \mathbf{G} action splits into a sum of irreducible subactions. From the above we conclude that the restriction of $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ to each subspace sphere is linear. Moreover, since $\tilde{\mathbf{G}} \subset \text{Aut}_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^n, \mathbf{G}))$, it takes chambers to chambers, and hence maps any minimal geodesic between \mathbf{G} invariant subspace spheres to a minimal geodesic between the same invariant spheres. Thus, $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ acts linearly in fact isometrically on \mathbb{S}^n . \square

We are now ready to prove

LEMMA 6.2 (Hopf fibration). *Let $(\mathbb{S}^n, \mathbf{G})$ be a fixed point free linear polar action, and (B, \mathbf{G}) a simply connected closed polar manifold. Suppose $p : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow B$ is a smooth, \mathbf{G} -equivariant, chamber preserving map with the following property: For each $v \in \mathbb{S}^n$, the differential p_* on the normal slice at v is a \mathbf{G}_v -equivariant isomorphism onto the normal slice at $p(v)$, orbit equivalent to the slice representation of $\mathbf{G}_{p(v)} \supset \mathbf{G}_v$. Then p is either a diffeomorphism, or a Hopf fibration up to equivariant diffeomorphism of B (in particular the fibers are great spheres). Moreover, if $\dim B < n$ and B is a sphere the cohomogeneity is at most 1.*

Proof. Note that by assumption the chambers C in \mathbb{S}^n and B are spherical k -simplices, where $k \geq 0$ is the cohomogeneity of the actions, and p is surjective. Moreover, p is a submersion, since the differential p_* on the tangent space to an orbit is surjective, and by the assumption about slice representations p_* is an isomorphism on the normal space to the orbit. Furthermore, p when restricted to a section Σ in \mathbb{S}^n is a cover of a section in B . This in particular proves our claim when $\dim B = n$.

When $\dim B < n$ we know from [Br] that the fiber of the submersion p is homeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^i , $i = 1, 3$ or 7 , where $i = 7$ can only happen when $n = 15$. Moreover, from the Gysin exact sequence applied to the fibration $p : \mathbb{S}^i \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow B$, it follows that, B has the integral cohomology ring of a projective space $\mathbb{F}P^m$, $m \geq 1$ with $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{H} when $i \in \{1, 3\}$ and B is a homotopy 8-sphere if $i = 7$.

Our proof for the case $\dim B < n$ is anchored at irreducible polar \mathbf{G} representations and polar representations of cohomogeneity at most one (on \mathbb{S}^n) in conjunction with the above Lemma 6.1 and the Compact Transformation Group Theorem 4.9.

- Cohomogeneity $k = 0$.

From the list of \mathbf{G} acting transitively and isometrically on $\mathbb{S}^n = \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}$ it follows directly (and is well known), that $\mathbb{S}^n = \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{K}$ with fiber $\mathbf{K}/\mathbf{H} = \mathbb{S}^i$, $i \in \{1, 3, 7\}$ and \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{K} simply connected, is a Hopf fibration (cf., e.g., Table C of [GWZ]).

- Cohomogeneity $k = 1$.

Recall that a cohomogeneity one action and manifold (M, \mathbf{G}) is completely determined by its data, i.e., \mathbf{G} and its isotropy groups along a chamber C in M . Indeed, if \mathbf{K}_{\pm} are the isotropy groups at the end points, u_{\pm} of C and \mathbf{H} the principal isotropy group along the interior of C , then

$K_{\pm}/H = \mathbb{S}^{\ell_{\pm}}$ are canonically identified with the normal spheres to the orbits at the end points of C , and via the slice theorem and canonical gluing

$$M = G \times_{K_-} \mathbb{D}^{\ell_-} \cup_{G/H} G \times_{K_+} \mathbb{D}^{\ell_+}.$$

In our case, let $M = \mathbb{S}^n$ with data denoted as above. If K'_{\pm} and H' are the (local) data for B along the chamber $C' = p(C)$ in B with endpoints u'_{\pm} , then

$$B = G \times_{K'_-} \mathbb{D}^{\ell_-} \cup_{G/H'} G \times_{K'_+} \mathbb{D}^{\ell_+}$$

where we have used our assumption $K'_{\pm}/H' = \mathbb{S}^{\ell_{\pm}}$, and moreover, $K'_{\pm}/K_{\pm} = H'/H = \mathbb{S}^i$ are the fibers of p along C' . It is important, that p is determined by these data as well. We will refer to the dimensions, (ℓ_-, ℓ_+) of the normal spheres of the singular orbits as the *multiplicities* of the action.

- Fiber dimensions $i = 1, 3$:

We point out that B is already known up to equivariant diffeomorphism: Indeed, note that in the classification of cohomogeneity one actions on manifolds with the *rational cohomology ring* of $\mathbb{F}\mathbb{P}^m$ due to [Uc] and [Iw], the quadric $\mathrm{SO}(2m+1)/\mathrm{SO}(2) \times \mathrm{SO}(2m-1)$ and $G_2/\mathrm{SO}(4)$ are excluded in our case since they do not have the correct integral cohomology ring. Thus, from [Uc] and [Iw] we conclude that the G action on B modulo K_0 , the identity component of its kernel, is equivariantly diffeomorphic to a linear action on $\mathbb{F}\mathbb{P}^m$, i.e., there is an equivariant diffeomorphism $f : (B; \bar{G}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}\mathbb{P}^m; \bar{G})$, where the latter action is \mathbb{F} -linear, \bar{G} is the connected normal subgroup of G such that $G = K_0 \cdot \bar{G}$, a product up to finite central quotient.

Consider first the case where the G -representation is irreducible. A classification of these (including their data (corrected in [FGT])) is contained in Table E from [GWZ]. A corresponding classification of those induced on $\mathbb{F}\mathbb{P}^m$ is contained in Table F in [GWZ].

When $i = 3$, i.e., $B \cong \mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^m$, such actions have multiplicity pairs $(1, 1)$ and $(2, 2l+1)$, where $m = 1$ and $m = l+1$, $l \geq 1$, respectively. In the first case there is only one such action, while in the second there are two orbit equivalent actions. From the list of possible G actions on \mathbb{S}^n with these multiplicity pairs, it necessary follows that $K_0 = \mathbb{S}^3$ acting freely in a linear fashion along the fibers of $f \circ p$ as a subaction of G , and we are done. The same argument works when $i = 1$ and the multiplicity pair is $(1, l)$, including an “exceptional case” for each of $(1, 5)$ and $(1, 6)$. In the remaining cases corresponding to the multiplicity pairs $(2, 2l+1)$, $(4, 5)$ and $(9, 6)$, either $K_0 = \mathbb{S}^1$ and we are done, or K_0 is trivial. In the latter case it follows that G acts almost effectively on $B \cong \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m$. This on the other hand determines all data, and hence $f \circ p : \mathbb{S}^{2m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m$ is the Hopf map as claimed.

Now assume the G representation is reducible with singular orbits \mathbb{S}_{\pm} and $\mathbb{S}^n = \mathbb{S}_- * \mathbb{S}_+$. From the homogeneous case it follows that the fibers of p restricted to \mathbb{S}_{\pm} are the fibers of a Hopf fibration. We will show that any fiber of p is a fiber of the uniquely determined Hopf fibration of $\mathbb{S}_- * \mathbb{S}_+$ restricting to the given ones on \mathbb{S}_{\pm} . For any regular point $u' \in B$ let C' be the unique chamber containing u' , and let K'_{\pm} be the isotropy groups at the end points u'_{\pm} of C' . Also let C be a chamber in \mathbb{S}^n with $p(C) = C'$ having isotropy groups K_{\pm} at its end points $u_{\pm} \in \mathbb{S}_{\pm}$, and set $K' := K'_- \cap K'_+$. From our assumption about slice representations and the natural identifications of the normal spheres to the singular orbits at say u'_+ and u_+ with \mathbb{S}_- (and vice verse with $+-$ swapped) it follows that the exponential map from $\mathbb{S}_{u'_+}^{\perp} \rightarrow p(\mathbb{S}_-) = B_-$ is a submersion with fibers identified with the fibers of $p|_{\mathbb{S}_-}$. Since the same is true with the roles of $+-$ switched, it is

not hard to see that $K'(C') \cong \mathbb{S}^{i+1}$ is a cohomogeneity 1, K' -submanifold of B with a suspension action, and $p^{-1}(K'(C')) = K'(C) \cong \mathbb{S}^i * \mathbb{S}^i$ is a cohomogeneity 1, K' -submanifold of \mathbb{S}^n , where the two \mathbb{S}^i in the join decomposition are the two Hopf fibers $p^{-1}(u'_\pm)$. Therefore, it suffices to establish our claim when $p : \mathbb{S}^{2i+1} = \mathbb{S}^i * \mathbb{S}^i \rightarrow B \cong \mathbb{S}^{i+1}$ and the action on B is a suspension action.

When $i = 1$, modulo kernel, the K' action reduces to the reducible T^2 action on \mathbb{S}^3 , and the suspension action on the base \mathbb{S}^2 . Therefore, the kernel of T^2 on the base is $S^1 \subset T^2$, acting freely and linearly along the fibers of p , and we are done.

When $i = 3$, and if the kernel of the action on the base \mathbb{S}^4 act transitively on the fibers of p , the desired result follows as in the previous case. If not, one checks easily that, modulo kernel, the K' action contains the sum action of $S^3 \times S^3$ on \mathbb{S}^7 as a subaction commuting with a Hopf action given by an \mathbb{H} -structure. This determines all data and p is a Hopf map, with fibers the orbits the diagonal subgroup $\Delta(S^3) \subset S^3 \times S^3$, the principal isotropy group of the suspension action on the base \mathbb{S}^4 .

• Fiber dimension $i = 7$:

In analogy with our applications of [Uc] and [Iw], we begin by analyzing the action on B , where $p : \mathbb{S}^{15} \rightarrow B$ is an equivariant fibration with fiber diffeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^7 :

We claim that the G action (modulo kernel) on the homotopy 8-sphere B is equivariantly diffeomorphic to the spherical join action by $SO(2) SO(7)$, or by $SO(3) SO(6)$, or to the suspension action by $SO(8)$ on \mathbb{S}^8 . Note that almost effectively, these are also actions by $Spin(2) Spin(7)$, $Spin(3) Spin(6) = SU(2) SU(4)$ and $Spin(8)$, all subgroups of $Spin(9)$.

To see this, note that from Table E in [GWZ], the multiplicity pair in B , coinciding with those in \mathbb{S}^{15} are (4, 3) (typo in [GWZ]), (2, 5), (1, 6), and (1, 7), for the potential irreducible representations by $Sp(2) Sp(2)$, $SU(2) SU(4)$ (or $U(2) SU(4)$), $SO(2) SO(8)$ and $SO(2) Spin(7)$ respectively. In addition, if the G representation is reducible the action on B is necessarily a suspension action, and so the multiplicity pair is (7, 7). It follows that the most singular orbit in B has dimension 0, 1, 2, or 3. Thus it is either a point, a circle or a sphere (in the latter cases since by transversality it is simply connected). Therefore, the dual singular orbit is also a homotopy sphere (or a point) since it has the homotopy type of the complement of the orbit of codimension at least 3, again, e.g., using transversality. Because the singular orbits in B are G -homogeneous spaces, for dimension reasons it follows that, G can neither be $Sp(2) Sp(2)$ nor $SO(2) SO(8)$ (the former can not act nontrivially on \mathbb{S}^3 , the latter can not act transitively on \mathbb{S}^6). Therefore, the singular orbits of the G actions on B are respectively $(\mathbb{S}^2, \mathbb{S}^5)$, $(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{S}^6)$ or two points (p_-, p_+) corresponding to a representation of $SU(2) SU(4)$ (or its extension), $SO(2) Spin(7)$ or $Spin(8)$ on \mathbb{S}^{15} . From isotropy groups data it follows that the only possible way in which these groups can act by cohomogeneity one on B and in particular transitively on the respective pair of singular orbits is by the sum action of $SO(3) SO(6)$ and $SO(2) SO(7)$, and the suspension action by $SO(8)$ respectively.

Next we want to prove that, the G -representation on \mathbb{S}^{15} must be one of the tensor representations of $SO(2) Spin(7)$, $SU(2) SU(4)$ or the reducible $Spin(8)$ representation on \mathbb{S}^{15} . It remains to exclude the tensor representation of $U(2) SU(4)$. To do this note that if the $U(2) SU(4)$ representation descends via p to B , then its center S^1 must be in the kernel K_0 of the G -action on B acting freely along the fibers of p . It follows that p induces a fibration $\mathbb{CP}^7 \rightarrow B = \mathbb{S}^8$ with fiber $\mathbb{S}^7 / S^1 \cong \mathbb{CP}^3$. But such a fibration does not exist according to [Ui].

A set of compatible homomorphisms from the diagrams for the isotropy groups of the tensor representations $\mathrm{SO}(2) \mathrm{Spin}(7)$, $\mathrm{SU}(2) \mathrm{SU}(4)$ and $\mathrm{Spin}(8)$ on \mathbb{S}^{15} to the reducible polar actions on B is exhibited in Table 6.3 below (cf. [FGT] for a correction for the isotropy groups data for $\mathrm{SO}(2) \mathrm{Spin}(7)$ case in [GWZ]).

G	Representation	K_-	K_+	H	(ℓ_-, ℓ_+)
$\mathrm{SO}(2) \mathrm{Spin}(7)$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^8$	$\Delta(\mathrm{SO}(2)) \mathrm{SU}(3)$	G_2	$\mathrm{SU}(3)$	$(1, 6)$
$\mathrm{SO}(2) \mathrm{Spin}(7)$	$\mathbb{R}^2 \oplus \mathbb{R}^7$	$\mathrm{SO}(2) \mathrm{SU}(4)$	$\mathrm{Spin}(7)$	$\mathrm{SU}(4) = \mathrm{Spin}(6)$	$(1, 6)$
$\mathrm{SU}(2) \mathrm{SU}(4)$	$\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^4$	$\Delta(\mathrm{SU}(2)) \mathrm{SU}(2)$	$S^1 \cdot \mathrm{SU}(3)$	$S^1 \cdot \mathrm{SU}(2)$	$(2, 5)$
$\mathrm{SU}(2) \mathrm{SU}(4)$	$\mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}^6$	$\mathrm{Sp}(1) \mathrm{Sp}(2)$	$S^1 \mathrm{SU}(4) = S^1 \mathrm{Spin}(6)$	$S^1 \cdot \mathrm{Sp}(2) = S^1 \mathrm{Spin}(5)$	$(2, 5)$
$\mathrm{Spin}(8)$	$\mathbb{R}^8 \oplus \mathbb{R}^8$	$\mathrm{Spin}(7)$	$\mathrm{Spin}(7)$	G_2	$(7, 7)$
$\mathrm{Spin}(8)$	$\mathbb{R}^8 \oplus \mathbb{R}^1$	$\mathrm{Spin}(8)$	$\mathrm{Spin}(8)$	$\mathrm{Spin}(7)$	$(7, 7)$

TABLE 6.3. Fixed point isotropy representations of polar actions on $\mathbb{C}a\mathbb{P}^2$

On the other hand, since $\mathrm{Spin}(3) \mathrm{Spin}(6)$, $\mathrm{SO}(2) \mathrm{Spin}(7)$ and $\mathrm{Spin}(8)$ are subgroups of $\mathrm{Spin}(9)$, it follows that they do act on the Cayley plane $F_4/\mathrm{Spin}(9)$ in a polar fashion with isolated fixed points (cf. [PTh]), hence act by isometries on the Hopf fibration $\mathbb{S}^{15} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^8$. It is straightforward to see that, for each of the G -representations, the set of compatible homomorphisms is unique up to conjugation. This proves the desired result.

- Cohomogeneity $k \geq 2$.

Whether or not the G action is irreducible, note that p induces a G equivariant surjective map between the chamber systems $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^n, G) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B, G)$ of the same type M . Since $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^n, G)$ is a building it is both connected and simply connected. In particular, $\mathcal{C}(B, G)$ is connected.

By our assumption on the slice representations it follows that p yields an isomorphism between all proper residues of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^n, G)$ and $\mathcal{C}(B, G)$. In particular, $p : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^n, G) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B, G)$ is a covering map between chamber systems of type M , and hence $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^n, G)$ is the universal cover of $\mathcal{C}(B, G)$.

By construction of the chamber topology of the universal cover $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(B, G) = \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^n, G)$ in the previous section, it is apparent that it coincides with the topology on $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^n, G)$ defined using the Hausdorff metric on all compact subsets of \mathbb{S}^n . The corresponding thick topologies on $\mathcal{C}(B, G)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^n, G)$ yield the original topologies on B and \mathbb{S}^n respectively. Moreover, with this topology $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(B, G) = \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^n, G)$ is a compact spherical building.

From Theorem 4.9 we also know that the fundamental group π of the cover $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^n, G) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B, G)$ is a compact subgroup of the topological automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{top}}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^n, G))$, and that there is an action by $\tilde{G} \subset \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{top}}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^n, G))$ covering the \bar{G} -action on \mathcal{C} , where \tilde{G} is G mod its kernel on B , and \tilde{G} is an extension of \bar{G} by π . Moreover, the actions by $G \subset \tilde{G}$ on \mathbb{S}^n are orbit equivalent, and B is homeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^n/π .

Although in complete generality, we do not know much about the group $\text{Aut}_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^n, \mathbf{G}))$, we claim that in our case, $\pi \subset \tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ is either \mathbf{S}^1 or \mathbf{S}^3 acting freely on \mathbb{S}^n by the Hopf action.

Indeed, when the Coxeter diagram for M has no isolated nodes, $\text{Aut}_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^n, \mathbf{G}))$ is a Lie group by [GKMW] (the rank is at least 3). Moreover, since its maximal compact subgroup acts linearly on \mathbb{S}^n , the compact group π acts linearly and freely on \mathbb{S}^n , hence π is either trivial, \mathbf{S}^1 or \mathbf{S}^3 acting on \mathbb{S}^n by the Hopf action. Notice that \mathbf{G} is either $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ or $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ up to finite kernel.

In general, note that each connected component of the diagram for M correspond to a \mathbf{G} invariant linear subsphere, \mathbb{S}_i of \mathbb{S}^n on which \mathbf{G} (mod its kernel) acts irreducibly in a polar fashion. Moreover, for each i , $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}_i, \mathbf{G})$ is a compact topological subbuilding of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^n, \mathbf{G})$ invariant under $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ covering the chamber subsystem $\mathcal{C}(p(\mathbb{S}_i), \mathbf{G})$. Applying Lemma 6.1 we conclude that the compact group $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ is a Lie group that acts isometrically and is orbit equivalent to the action by \mathbf{G} on \mathbb{S}^n . Thus also, π is a compact Lie Group acting isometrically on \mathbb{S}^n and the fibration $p : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow B$ is the orbit map by the free action of π . \square

We are now ready to prove the following

THEOREM 6.4. *Let M be a simply connected compact positively curved polar \mathbf{G} manifold. If $M^{\mathbf{G}} \neq \emptyset$ then (M, \mathbf{G}) is equivariantly diffeomorphic to an isometric, polar action of \mathbf{G} on a compact rank one symmetric space.*

Proof. We will see in particular that M is a sphere if and only if the section Σ is a sphere.

Let us first deal with the case where

- Σ is a k -sphere, $k \geq 2$:

Recall by Proposition 3.6 that in this case $M^{\mathbf{G}} = \Sigma^W =: \mathbb{S} \subset \Sigma = \mathbb{S} * \mathbb{S}^\ell$, and $C = M/\mathbf{G} = \Sigma/W = \mathbb{S} * \Delta$, where $\Delta = \mathbb{S}^\ell/W$ and $\dim \Delta = \ell \geq 1$.

The smooth spherical join description $\Sigma = \mathbb{S} * \mathbb{S}^\ell$ yields a decomposition of Σ as a union of tubular neighborhoods of \mathbb{S} and of \mathbb{S}^ℓ . Applying \mathbf{G} gives a smooth decomposition of M into a union of tubular neighborhoods of $\mathbb{S} = M^{\mathbf{G}}$ and the \mathbf{G} invariant manifold $\mathbf{G}\mathbb{S}^\ell =: \mathbb{S}' \subset M$. (In the metric chosen note that the cut locus of \mathbb{S} in M is \mathbb{S}' and vice versa, at distance $\pi/2$ from one another). Note that \mathbb{S}' is a polar \mathbf{G} manifold with section \mathbb{S}^ℓ , polar group W and $\mathbb{S}'/\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{S}^\ell/W = \Delta$. Moreover, if $\mathbb{S}^0 = \{p_- \cup p_+\} \subset \mathbb{S}$ is a pair of antipodal points in \mathbb{S} , we see that $\mathbf{G}\mathbb{S}^{\ell+1} = \mathbf{G}(\{p_- \cup p_+\} * \mathbb{S}^\ell)$ is a \mathbf{G} invariant polar submanifold $N \subset M$ with two isolated fixed points p_\pm , section $\Sigma_0 := \{p_- \cup p_+\} * \mathbb{S}^\ell \subset \Sigma$ and polar group W . From this it in particular follows that \mathbb{S}' is equivariantly diffeomorphic to the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^\perp at a fixed point, say p_- of \mathbf{G} in N , and that N is equivariantly diffeomorphic to the suspension of this. Of course \mathbb{S}^\perp is the normal sphere of $\mathbb{S} = M^{\mathbf{G}}$ in M at p_- , and a similar argument now shows that M is equivariantly diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S} * \mathbb{S}^\perp$ where \mathbf{G} acts trivially on \mathbb{S} and by the isotropy representation of \mathbf{G} on the normal sphere \mathbb{S}^\perp .

We now turn to the case where

- Σ is a projective k -space, $k \geq 2$:

Since $\Sigma^* = \Sigma/W$ is a spherical k -simplex Δ and $M^{\mathbf{G}} \subset \Sigma^W$, we know that $M^{\mathbf{G}}$ is contained in the vertices of any chamber $C = \Delta = M/\mathbf{G} = \Sigma/W$ by Proposition 2.8.

Let $p_0 \in M^{\mathbf{G}}$ be such an isolated fixed point, and Δ_0 the chamber face opposite p_0 in a fixed chamber $C = \Delta$, i.e., $C = \Delta = p_0 * \Delta_0$. It follows that $B := \mathbf{G}\Delta_0 \subset M$ is a polar space form \mathbf{G} submanifold of M with section $\mathbb{RP}^{k-1} \subset \Sigma = \mathbb{RP}^k$ and polar group induced from W . Arguing

as above, M is the union of a ball centered at p_0 and a tubular neighborhood of B . (In the chosen metric B is the cut locus of p_0 and vice versa at distance $\frac{\pi}{2}$). In particular, we have an equivariant sphere fiber bundle $p : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow B$ (with nontrivial fiber) between polar G manifolds with the same orbit space Δ_0 , where \mathbb{S} is the unit sphere at p_0 . Note also, that for any $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2}$ the metric r -sphere $\mathbb{S}(p_0, r)$ centered at p_0 is a polar G manifold equivariantly diffeomorphic to \mathbb{S} via scaling and \exp_{p_0} . Moreover, $\mathbb{S}(p_0, r)$ coincides with the boundary $\mathbb{S}(B; \frac{\pi}{2} - r)$ of the $\frac{\pi}{2} - r$ tube $\mathbb{D}(B; \frac{\pi}{2} - r)$ of B , and in this way p can also be viewed as the projection from the unit normal sphere bundle, $\mathbb{S}^\perp(B)$ of B to B . By transversality we see that B is simply connected. From this description it follows that M is equivariantly diffeomorphic to a projective space once it is established that p is a Hopf fibration. To see this it remains to check the assumption on the slice representations in Lemma 6.2.

Let γ be a geodesic in C from p_0 to $q \in \Delta_0$ perpendicular to Δ_0 . By the slice theorem, $G \times_{G_q} \hat{V}_q$, is a G -equivariant tubular neighborhood of the orbit $G(q)$, where \hat{V}_q is the slice in M . Since B is G -invariant, we get a G_q -invariant decomposition $\hat{V}_q = V_q \oplus V_q^\perp$ where V_q is the slice in B , and V_q^\perp is the normal space to B at q . Note that, from the slice representation of G_q on $V_q \oplus V_q^\perp$, the slice \hat{V}_x for G_x at $x \in \gamma$ different from q is naturally identified with $V_q \oplus T_x \gamma$. Therefore, the slice of the orbit at x inside $\mathbb{S}(B; \frac{\pi}{2} - r)$ is canonically identified with V_q . Moreover, the orbit space $V_q / G_x = V_q / G_q$, a cone over the space of directions at $q \in \Delta_0$. The desired result follows. \square

7. FIXED POINT FREE REDUCIBLE ACTIONS

In all remaining cases, the orbit space $M^* = \Sigma^*$ is a simplex Δ isometric to all chambers in M . Moreover, Δ is a spherical join $\Delta = \Delta_- * \Delta_+ = \Delta^{m_-} * \Delta^{m_+}$, corresponding to two dual W invariant subsections Σ_- and Σ_+ , where $\Sigma_\pm = \mathbb{S}^{m_\pm}$ or the projective spaces \mathbb{RP}^{m_\pm} .

Viewing Δ also as a subset of a fixed section Σ , clearly $B_- = G \Delta^{m_-}$ and $B_+ = G \Delta^{m_+}$ are two polar G submanifolds in M with sections $\Sigma_-, \Sigma_+ \subset \Sigma$ and Weyl groups $W \pmod{\text{kernel}}$. In particular, B_\pm are polar space forms of spherical type. Moreover, just like Δ can be viewed as the union of two tubular neighborhoods of the Δ^{m_\pm} , M is the union of tubular neighborhoods of the G submanifolds B_\pm .

In the remaining cases where no fixed points are present our first goal is to exhibit a geometric description of M as a projective space in which B_\pm is a dual pair of projective subspaces. The pivotal steps are to show that these pairs are the cut loci of one another, and that for each point $p_\pm \in B_\pm$, the exponential map (up to scaling) from the unit normal sphere at p_\pm to B_\pm defines a map to B_\mp which in turn is a Hopf fibration. This is in spirit achieved by reducing it to the fixed point case where the groups in question are the isotropy groups at p_\pm . Analyzing and making full use of equivariant restrictions forced by this description will then yield a proof of our main result in this section:

THEOREM 7.1 (Non-fixed point). *A reducible fixed point free polar action on a simply connected positively curved manifold is equivariantly diffeomorphic to an isometric polar action on a rank one symmetric space, excluding the Cayley plane.*

Note, that when say $m_+ \geq 1$, the slice representation at each vertex of $\Delta_+ \subset \Delta$ is reducible. In particular, all vertex representations are reducible except possibly the one corresponding to say Δ_- when it is a point.

The following is a key step based on the primitivity lemma 3.2

LEMMA 7.2 (Dual Generation). *For any regular pair $p_{\pm} \in B_{\pm}$, the action of the isotropy groups $G_{p_{\pm}}$ restricted to B_{\mp} is orbit equivalent to the action of G restricted to B_{\mp} .*

Proof. By the primitivity theorem, G_{p_-} is generated by the face isotropy groups, $G_{v_1}, \dots, G_{v_{m_++1}}$ of the faces, $\Delta_- * \Delta_{v_i}^{m_+-1}$ containing Δ_- , and similarly G_{p_+} is generated by the remaining face isotropy groups, $G_{u_1}, \dots, G_{u_{m_-+1}}$, namely of the faces, $\Delta_{u_j}^{m_--1} * \Delta_+$ containing Δ_+ . Note that any face containing Δ_- is perpendicular to any face containing Δ_+ . In particular, if $G_{v,u}$ is the isotropy group at an intersection point of two such faces with isotropy groups G_v and G_u , the slice representation of $G_{v,u}$ restricted to the normal sphere of its fixed point set is a reducible cohomogeneity one action with singular isotropy groups G_v and G_u . As a special case of the primitivity theorem we already know that G_v and G_u generate $G_{v,u}$. However, since the action is reducible we have that actually $G_v G_u = G_u G_v = G_{v,u}$ as sets. Notice that this is equivalent to the fact that in the slice representation of $G_{v,u}$, the isotropy group G_v is transitive on the opposite singular orbit and vice versa.

We now claim that $G = G_{p_-} G_{p_+}$. From the primitivity lemma we know that any $g \in G$ can be written as a word of elements from $G_{v_1}, \dots, G_{v_{m_++1}}, G_{u_1}, \dots, G_{u_{m_-+1}}$. Using that $G_{v_i} G_{u_j} = G_{u_j} G_{v_i}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m_++1$ and $j = 1, \dots, m_-+1$ we can rewrite any such word also as a word in the G_v 's times a word in the G_u 's, i.e., $G = G_{p_-} G_{p_+}$. The same reasoning shows that $G = G_{p_+} G_{p_-}$, and hence completes the proof of the lemma. \square

The above lemma will allow us to use the input from the previous section. For this we let $\Gamma(p_{\pm})$ be the set consisting of all minimal geodesics from regular points p_{\pm} to B_{\mp} . In addition to viewing this as a set of geodesics, we will also view it as a subset of M whose points are the points of all those geodesics. As such it can also be described as $\Gamma(p_{\pm}) = G_{p_{\pm}}(p_{\pm} * \Delta_{\mp}) \subset M$. Note that $\Gamma(p_-) \cap \Gamma(p_+)$ is the set of all minimal geodesics joining p_- and p_+ . Since $G_{p_{\pm}}$ is independent of p_{\pm} , we will use the notation G_{\pm} instead.

It will also be useful to let $\Gamma(p_{\pm})(r)$ denote the subset of $\Gamma(p_{\pm})$ at distance r from p_{\pm} , and to let $\hat{\Gamma}(p_{\pm})$ denote the negative of the terminal directions of the geodesics in $\Gamma(p_{\pm})$.

Remark 7.3. The following are immediate consequences of the Dual Generation lemma 7.2, and the decomposition of a section $\Sigma \supset \Sigma_{\pm}$ corresponding to $\Delta = \Delta_- * \Delta_+$.

- The cut locus $C(B_{\pm}) = B_{\mp}$, and B_{\pm} are at distance $\pi/2$ from one another.
- $\Gamma(p_{\pm}) - B_{\mp}$ is a smooth submanifold of M diffeomorphic to the open $\pi/2$ ball in the normal space, T_{\pm}^{\perp} to B_{\pm} at p_{\pm} via the exponential map.
- The map $\gamma_{p_{\pm}} : \mathbb{S}_{p_{\pm}}^{\perp} \rightarrow B_{\mp}$ taking a unit vector to the corresponding geodesic at time $\pi/2$ is smooth, G_{\pm} equivariant and takes chambers to chambers.

Moreover, we have

LEMMA 7.4. *The map $\gamma_{p_{\pm}}$ is a G_{\pm} equivariant Hopf fibration.*

Proof. For the sake of simplicity we will use \bar{x} to denote the image $\gamma_{p_{\pm}}(x)$ of $x \in \mathbb{S}_{p_{\pm}}^{\perp}$. Since $\gamma_{p_{\pm}}$ is a smooth G_{\pm} equivariant map that takes chambers to chambers and with the same orbit space, by the Hopf lemma 6.2 it remains to verify that the slice representations of $G_{\pm,v}$ and

$G_{\pm, \bar{v}}$ are orbit equivalent. For this it suffices to show that the dimensions of the corresponding slices agree, or equivalently that corresponding principal orbits of isotropy groups have the same dimension. So let x be a point of principal orbit type of the slice representation of $G_{\pm, v}$. We claim that $\dim(G_{\pm, v}(x)) = \dim(G_{\pm, \bar{v}}(\bar{x}))$. Note that $G_{\pm, \bar{v}} = G_{\pm, \bar{x}} G_{\pm, v} = G_{\pm, v} G_{\pm, \bar{x}}$ where the latter follows from the Dual Generation lemma 7.2. Therefore, the orbit $G_{\pm, \bar{v}}(\bar{x}) = G_{\pm, \bar{v}} / G_{\pm, \bar{x}} = G_{\pm, v} G_{\pm, \bar{x}} / G_{\pm, \bar{x}}$. In particular, $G_{\pm, v}$ acts transitively on this orbit with isotropy group $G_{\pm, v} \cap G_{\pm, \bar{x}}$. However, since clearly $G_{\pm, v} \cap G_{\pm, \bar{x}} = G_{\pm, x}$, this completes the proof. \square

The following plays a pivotal role in the geometric and equivariant description of M :

LEMMA 7.5 (Reduction). *For all regular $p_{\pm} \in B_{\pm}$, $\Gamma(p_{\pm})$ are G_{\pm} invariant submanifolds of M . Moreover,*

- $\Gamma(p_{\pm})$ is G_{\pm} equivariantly diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{D}_{p_{\pm}}^{\perp}$ if the section is a sphere, and
- $\Gamma(p_{\pm})$ is G_{\pm} equivariantly diffeomorphic to a complex or quaternionic projective space if the section is a projective space.

Proof. The key issue is to see that $\Gamma(p_{\pm})$ are submanifolds as claimed. From the remark above this is clear except along $B_{\mp} \subset \Gamma(p_{\pm})$.

From the Hopf Lemma 6.2 and Lemma 7.4 above we know that $\gamma_{p_{\pm}} : \mathbb{S}_{p_{\pm}}^{\perp} \rightarrow B_{\mp}$ is either a diffeomorphism or a Hopf map. Clearly, $\Gamma(p_{-}) \cap \Gamma(p_{+})$ is in bijective correspondence with the fiber of $\gamma_{p_{-}}$ over p_{+} and the fiber of $\gamma_{p_{+}}$ over p_{-} , when viewing it as the set of minimal geodesics between p_{-} and p_{+} . In particular, both maps are of the same type, corresponding to $\Gamma(p_{-}) \cap \Gamma(p_{+})$ being either one geodesic, an \mathbb{S}^1 , an \mathbb{S}^3 or an \mathbb{S}^7 family of geodesics. Moreover, this description also shows that the linear span of the initial vectors of the geodesics in $\Gamma(p_{-}) \cap \Gamma(p_{+})$ at both p_{-} and p_{+} are linear subspaces of the corresponding normal spaces to B_{\mp} .

Now consider the initial vectors of the geodesics in $\Gamma(p_{-})$ starting at B_{+} . This subset $\hat{\Gamma}(p_{-})$ of the unit normal bundle $T_1^{\perp} B_{+}$ of B_{+} is canonically a smooth submanifold diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}_{p_{-}}^{\perp}$ via say $\Gamma(p_{-})(\frac{\pi}{2} - 1)$. In particular, it is a smooth section of the unit normal bundle to B_{+} when each $\Gamma(p_{-}) \cap \Gamma(p_{+})$ is just one geodesic, or equivalently $\gamma_{p_{-}} : \mathbb{S}_{p_{-}}^{\perp} \rightarrow B_{+}$ is a diffeomorphism. In the other cases it is the unit sphere bundle of a smooth linear subbundle of the normal bundle to B_{+} and $\gamma_{p_{-}} : \mathbb{S}_{p_{-}}^{\perp} \rightarrow B_{+}$ is a Hopf fibration. By equivariance then clearly each $\Gamma(p_{\mp})$ is G_{\mp} equivariantly diffeomorphic to a complex or quaternionic space, or to the Cayley plane if $\Gamma(p_{-}) \cap \Gamma(p_{+})$ is an \mathbb{S}^7 family of geodesics. Since by assumption neither B_{\pm} is a point the latter case does not appear. Indeed, if so both B_{\pm} would be homotopy 8-spheres. Moreover, from the geometric decomposition and Poincaré duality it follows that M would be a 24-dimensional manifold with integral cohomology algebra a truncated polynomial algebra with generator in degree 8. This contradicts a well-known topological theorem (cf. [Ha] page 498, Corollary 4). \square

Having dealt with all cases where the Diagram for the Coxeter matrix has no isolated nodes, and where the action has fixed points, we assume from now on that B_{-} is an orbit corresponding to an isolated node of the diagram. Thus, we will use a decomposition

$$\Delta = \Delta_{-} * \Delta_{+}, \text{ where } \Delta_{-} = \Delta^0 = p_{-} \text{ is a vertex,}$$

corresponding to an isolated node, and Δ_{+} corresponds to the rest of the diagram. In particular,

G_+ acts transitively on B_- , as well as on each normal sphere \mathbb{S}_+^\perp to B_+ along Δ_+ .

We are now ready to complete the proof of Theorem 7.1:

Proof of Theorem 7.1. We first consider the case where the section $\Sigma = \Sigma_- * \Sigma_+$ is a sphere:

By Lemma 7.5 the G_\mp action on B_\pm is equivariantly equivalent to the slice representation on the normal sphere $\mathbb{S}_{p_\mp}^\perp$ which we will denote by $\mathbb{S}(V_\pm) = \mathbb{S}_\pm$. Note that G as well as G_+ acts transitively on B_- since Δ_- is a point. In particular G acts linearly on \mathbb{S}_- identified with B_- . If also the G action on B_+ when identified with \mathbb{S}_+ is linear, we claim that the induced sum action on $\mathbb{S}(V_- \oplus V_+)$ is equivalently diffeomorphic to the G action on M . To see this, choose $p_-^* \in \mathbb{S}_-$ with $G_{p_-^*} = G_{p_-} = G_-$ and a G_- equivariant diffeomorphism from $\Gamma(p_-)$ to the join $p_-^* * \mathbb{S}_+ \subset \mathbb{S}_- * \mathbb{S}_+$. This extends to a well defined G equivariant diffeomorphism from M to $\mathbb{S}_- * \mathbb{S}_+$ by invariance. The proof is completed now by Lemma 6.1, since the polar G -action on B_+ is linear orbit equivalent to the G_- action.

Now suppose Σ is a projective space:

By Lemma 7.5 the Cayley plane cannot appear, and moreover B_\pm are both projective spaces over \mathbb{F} , with $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{H} . In fact, for each regular $p_\pm \in B_\pm$, the slice representation of G_\pm restricted to the normal space V_\pm at p_\pm to B_\pm preserve an \mathbb{F} structure and descends to a polar action on B_\mp orbit equivalent to the restriction of G to B_\mp . This will guide us to the construction of a representation of G (or frequently an extension of it) on $V_+ \oplus V_-$ preserving an \mathbb{F} structure, which together with the scalar multiplication of \mathbb{F}^* is polar, such that the induced G action on the projective space $\mathbb{FP}(V_+ \oplus V_-)$ is equivariantly equivalent to that of G on M .

We divide the proof into two cases corresponding to (a) $\dim B_- > 2$ and (b) $\dim B_- = 2$ (noting that $\dim B_- < 2$ is covered by the fixed point case).

Throughout $K_\pm \triangleleft G$ will denote the identity component of the kernel of the G -action restricted to B_\pm .

In case (a), we make the following claim: There is a normal subgroup $H \triangleleft K_+$ acting transitively on the normal spheres to B_+ such that $K_+ = H \cdot K_0$, where $K_0 = 1, S^1$ or S^3 , is the identity component of the kernel of the action by K_+ on B_- . We will see later on that $K_0 = 1$ when $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$, and $K_0 = 1$ or S^1 when $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$.

To prove the claim note that $\dim \Delta_+ \geq 1$. Choose a pair of vertices $v_1, v_2 \in \Delta_+$ and consider the slice representations at the vertices. By Lemma 7.7 below it follows, by assumption on $\dim B_-$, that up to a finite cover, there is a normal subgroup of rank at least 2 (of simple type), say $H_{v_i} \triangleleft G_{v_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$, acting transitively on the normal sphere to B_+ at v_i but trivially on the slice tangent to B_+ . Clearly each H_{v_i} is also a normal (simple) subgroup in the principal isotropy group G_+ of the G action on B_+ . Since G_+ (modulo kernel) has a unique normal simple subgroup (of rank at least 2) acting transitively on the normal sphere, it follows that $H_{v_1} = H_{v_2} \triangleleft G_+$, and will be denoted by H . By primitivity $G = \langle G_{v_1}, G_{v_2} \rangle$, and thus H is a normal subgroup in G . Therefore B_+ is fixed pointwise by H . In particular, $H \triangleleft K_+$ acts transitively on B_- with kernel K_0 , and thus $K_+ = H \cdot K_0$.

Since $K_+ \triangleleft G$, we can write $G = K_+ \cdot L = H \cdot K_0 \cdot L$ where $L \triangleleft G$ is a connected normal subgroup which clearly acts almost effectively on B_+ in a polar fashion. Since B_- is a projective space over \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{H} of dimension at least 4, and therefor any almost effective transitive action on it is the linear action by $SU(k+1)$ or $Sp(k)$ for some $k \geq 2$ (cf. [On], pp. 264-5), we conclude that $H = SU(k+1)$ or $Sp(k)$. Thus for the kernel of the $G = H \cdot K_0 \cdot L$ action on B_- , we get $K_- = K_0 \cdot L$.

In particular, $K_0 = K_- \cap K_+$ fixes both B_\pm pointwise, and acts almost effectively on the normal spheres to B_\pm (when non trivial), preserving all $\Gamma(p_-) \cap \Gamma(p_+)$.

In summary the “face” isotropy groups G_\pm and their kernels K_\pm can be read off from the following group diagrams, where the vertical inclusions are left out:

$$(7.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & G = H \cdot K_0 \cdot L & \\ \nearrow & & \nwarrow \\ G_- = H_- \cdot K_0 \cdot L & & G_+ = H \cdot K_0 \cdot L_+ \\ \nwarrow & & \nearrow \\ & G_- \cap G_+ = H_- \cdot K_0 \cdot L_+ & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_- = K_0 \cdot L & & K_+ = H \cdot K_0 \\ \nwarrow & & \nearrow \\ & K_- \cap K_+ = K_0 & \end{array}$$

Here H_- , respectively L_+ , is the principal isotropy group of H on B_- and of L on B_+ respectively. Thus corresponding to the possible H above, we have $H_- = U(k)$, $Sp(k-1) S^1$ or $Sp(k-1) Sp(1)$. Let $H_0 \triangleleft H_-$ denote the normal factor S^1 or $Sp(1)$ of H_- . Thus, $H_0 = S^1$ corresponds to $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ and $H_0 = Sp(1)$ to $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$. For this we have:

- H_0 acts freely on $\mathbb{S}(V_-)$ along the Hopf fibers.

To see this, consider for any fixed $p_+ \in B_+$, the Hopf map $\gamma_{p_+} : \mathbb{S}_{p_+}^\perp \rightarrow B_-$. From the transitive actions by H on $\mathbb{S}_{p_+}^\perp$ descending to B_- we know that H_0 acts freely along the fibers of γ_{p_+} . These fibers for $p_- \in B_-$ are in one to one correspondence with $\Gamma(p_-) \cap \Gamma(p_+)$, $p_- \in B_-$. Turning things around, these are also in one to one correspondence with the fibers of the Hopf map $\gamma_{p_-} : \mathbb{S}_{p_-}^\perp \rightarrow B_+$ where now p_- is fixed. This proves the assertion and has the following consequence:

- K_0 is trivial when $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$.

Indeed, since both K_0 and $H_0 = Sp(1)$ act (almost) effectively on $\mathbb{S}(V_-)$ this follows from Lemma 7.9 below, because the slice representation of G_- on V_- descends to a fixed point free action on B_+ with $H_0 \cdot K_0 \triangleleft H_- \cdot K_0$ in its kernel.

We now proceed to set up a projective model, $\mathbb{FP}(V_+ \oplus V_-)$ with a linear polar G -action with the field $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$ as indicated in our strategy above:

Consider the product representation on $V_+ \oplus V_-$ by $K_+ \times K_- = H \cdot K_0 \times K_0 \cdot L$ which on each summand preserves an \mathbb{F} structure, i.e., descends to a polar action on $\mathbb{FP}(V_+)$, respectively on $\mathbb{FP}(V_-)$. When K_0 is trivial, obviously the sum \mathbb{F} structure is preserved as well, and the $K_+ \times K_- = H \times L$ action descends to a polar action on $\mathbb{FP}(V_+ \oplus V_-)$. When $K_0 = S^1$ and hence $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, the action by the diagonal $S^1 = \Delta(K_0) \triangleleft K_0 \times K_0$ defines a \mathbb{C} structure on the sum preserved by $K_+ \times K_-$ descending to a polar action by $G = H \cdot K_0 \cdot L$ on $\mathbb{FP}(V_+ \oplus V_-)$. These are the models.

Given such a model, fix a point $p_- \in B_-$, and choose a point $p_-^* \in \mathbb{FP}(V_+)$ so that $G_{p_-^*} = G_{p_-}$. By Lemma 7.5 $\Gamma(p_-)$ is G_{p_-} -equivariantly diffeomorphic to the linear projective subspace of

$\mathbb{FP}(V_+ \oplus V_-)$ containing p_-^* and $\mathbb{FP}(V_-)$. As in the spherical section case this extends to a well defined G equivariant diffeomorphism from M to $\mathbb{FP}(V_+ \oplus V_-)$ by invariance, and we are done.

Finally, let us consider the only remaining case (b) where $B_- = \mathbb{CP}^1$ and B_+ is a complex projective space of real dimension at least 4, since the cases of $\dim B_+ \leq 2$ reduce to the fixed point case, because $\dim \Delta^+ \geq 1$.

Since G acts transitively on $B_- = \mathbb{CP}^1 = \mathbb{S}^2$ we can write, $G = K_- \cdot S^3$, with K_- the kernel of the action on B_- . Hence, $G_- = K_- \cdot S^1$, where $S^1 \subset S^3$. By Lemma 7.2 the subaction of G_- is orbit equivalent to the G -action on B_+ . It follows from Lemma 7.8 below that the factor S^3 acts trivially on B_+ , that is $S^3 \triangleleft K_+$, the kernel of the G action on B_+ . Therefore, the slice subrepresentation of $K_+ \triangleright S^3$ on $V_+ \cong \mathbb{C}^2$ descends to the transitive action on B_- . This implies that $K_+ = S^3$ or $U(2)$, and accordingly $K_0 = K_+ \cap K_- = 1$ or S^1 . We are now in a situation similar to case (a) with $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, and hence M is G -equivariantly diffeomorphic to the complex projective space $\mathbb{P}(V_+ \oplus V_-)$. This completes the proof. \square

In conclusion, here are the facts we used about representations in the proof of Theorem 7.1:

LEMMA 7.7. *Let $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(V_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k)$, be a reducible polar representation, where the V_i are irreducible G -modules ($k \geq 1$). Suppose the G -action on the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}(V_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k)$ descends to a polar action on the projective space $\mathbb{FP}(V_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k)$ where $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{H} . If $\dim V_0 \geq 5$ and $\dim V_0 / G = 1$, then there is a normal simple subgroup $H \triangleleft G$ of rank at least 2 acting transitively on the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}(V_0)$ but trivially on $V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k$.*

Proof. Since G is transitive on $\mathbb{S}(V_0)$, by the list of transitive actions on the spheres it follows that, $G = H \cdot G'$, where H is a simple normal subgroup of G acting transitively on $\mathbb{S}(V_0)$, with principal isotropy group H_0 . For dimension reason, $\mathrm{rank} H \geq 2$. Moreover, H is a special unitary group or a symplectic group, since it acts transitively on the projective space $\mathbb{FP}(V_0)$.

We argue by contradiction. Assume H acts nontrivially on V_i for some $i \geq 1$. For the restricted reducible polar representation of G on $V_0 \oplus V_i$, since the principal isotropy group of G on V_0 is $H_0 \cdot G'$, by [Be] Theorem 2 it follows that G is orbit equivalent to $H_0 \cdot G'$ on V_i , hence, by [Da], orbit equivalent to the isotropy representation of an irreducible symmetric space. Note that $H_0 \subsetneq H$ is not a normal subgroup, and by assumption H is nontrivial on V_i . By the list in [EH] it follows that, if $\dim V_i / G \geq 2$, then H is $\mathrm{Spin}(8)$ or $\mathrm{Spin}(7)$ which is neither a unitary nor a symplectic group, contradiction.

It remains to consider the case of $\dim V_i / G = 1$, i.e., G acts transitively on $\mathbb{S}(V_i)$. By the assumption that H acts non-trivially on V_i , from the list of transitive actions on spheres it follows that:

- The rank at least 2 simple group H acts transitively on $\mathbb{S}(V_i)$. Furthermore, by dividing the kernel of the G' -action, the transitive action of $H \cdot G'$ on $\mathbb{S}(V_i)$ reduces to an almost effective action of $H \cdot K_0$ where $\mathrm{rank} K_0 \leq 1$.

Note that $\dim \mathbb{S}(V_i) \geq 4$, since a rank at least 2 simple group can not act nontrivially on a lower dimensional spheres. Recall that $H_0 \cdot G'$ is orbit equivalent to the $H \cdot G'$ -action on V_i , i.e., transitive on $\mathbb{S}(V_i)$. For dimension reasons it follows that the H_0 action is also transitive on $\mathbb{S}(V_i)$ since the G' -action modulo kernel reduces to the K_0 -action where $\mathrm{rank} K_0 \leq 1$.

In sumery, H acts transitively on $\mathbb{S}(V_i)$ orbit equivalent to the subaction of $H_0 \subsetneq H$ on $\mathbb{S}(V_i)$ where $H / H_0 \cong \mathbb{S}(V_0)$. Recall that H is a special unitary group or a symplectic group. From

the list of transitive actions on spheres it follows that $H = \mathrm{SU}(4)$ acting on $V_0 \oplus V_i = \mathbb{R}^8 \oplus \mathbb{R}^6$, via the standard complex representation and the real representation of $\mathrm{SU}(4) = \mathrm{Spin}(6)$ on the summands (cf. [Be]). Since the $\mathrm{Spin}(6)$ action on \mathbb{R}^6 does not descend to the complex projective plane, a contradiction is reached. \square

In the proof of the following result we will freely use the language and results about chamber systems and their universal covers developed in section 4, translated to the current setting.

LEMMA 7.8. *Let $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(V)$ be an almost effective \mathbb{C} -linear polar representation, descending to a polar action on the projective space $\mathbb{CP}(V)$.*

Suppose $G = K \cdot S^1 \subset G' = K \cdot S^3$, and assume moreover that G' acts on the projective space extending the G -action with the same orbits. Then the factor S^3 of G' is in the kernel of the action on $\mathbb{CP}(V)$.

Proof. We first prove that the factor S^3 action is trivial on $\mathbb{CP}(V_i)$ for each irreducible summand $V_i \subset V$. This is clear for any rank 1 summand (summand V_i with $\dim V_i / G = 1$), since $G' \supset G$ acts transitively on $\mathbb{CP}(V_i)$, hence modulo kernel it is either a unitary group or a symplectic group. If the rank of a summand is 2, it follows immediately from [Uc]. If the rank of a summand is at least 3, by section 4, the action of $G' \supset G$ lifts to a polar representation by an extension by the deck transformation group S^1 , i.e., $S^1 \cdot G'$, acting on the universal cover, i.e., $\mathbb{S}(V_i)$, orbit equivalent to the $S^1 \cdot G$ -representation. By the classification of [EH] it follows that, the S^3 factor as well as the factor $S^1 \subset S^3$ must act trivially on $\mathbb{S}(V_i)$. In general, suppose S^3 acts trivially on both $\mathbb{CP}(V_i)$ and $\mathbb{CP}(V_j)$, then S^3 acts on $\mathbb{CP}(V_i \oplus V_j)$ with fixed point set the disjoint union $\mathbb{CP}(V_i) \sqcup \mathbb{CP}(V_j)$. Since the space of geodesics $\Gamma(p_i) \cap \Gamma(p_j)$ joining $p_i \in \mathbb{CP}(V_i)$ and $p_j \in \mathbb{CP}(V_j)$ is an S^1 family of geodesics, S^3 must act trivially on that as well for all p_i, p_j . Thus, S^3 acts trivially on $\mathbb{CP}(V_i \oplus V_j)$. By induction it follows that the S^3 action is trivial on $\mathbb{CP}(V)$. \square

The following is probably well known

LEMMA 7.9. *Let $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(V)$ be an almost effective representation descending to $\mathbb{HP}(V) = \mathbb{HP}^n$. Then the kernel of the action on $\mathbb{HP}(V)$ is contained in $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$.*

Proof. Identify V with the tangent space at a point $p \in \mathbb{HP}^{n+1}$. The representation gives rise to an isometric action on \mathbb{HP}^{n+1} fixing p and with the induced action on \mathbb{HP}^n identified as the cut locus of p in \mathbb{HP}^{n+1} . Since the subgroup of the isometry group of \mathbb{HP}^{n+1} that fixes \mathbb{HP}^n is $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$, the claim follows. \square

REFERENCES

- [Al] M. Alexandrino, On polar foliations and fundamental group. *Results Math.* **60** (2011), no. 1-4, 213-223.
- [AT] M. Alexandrino and D. Töben, Singular Riemannian foliations on simply connected spaces. *Differential Geom. Appl.* **24** (2006), 383-397.
- [Be] I. Bergmann, Reducible polar representations. *Manuscripta Math.* **104** (2001), 309-324.
- [Br] W. Browder, Higher Torsion in H-Spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **108** (1963), 353-375.
- [BSp] K. Burns and R. Spatzier, On topological Tits buildings and their classification, *Inst. Hautes etudes Sci. Publ. Math.* **65** (1987), 5-34.
- [CL] R. Charney and A. Lytchak, Metric characterizations of spherical and Euclidean buildings, *Geom. Topol.* **5** (2001), 521-550.

- [Ch] J. Cheeger, Some examples of manifolds of nonnegative curvature, *J. Differential Geometry* **8** (1973), 623–628.
- [Da] J. Dadok, Polar coordinates induced by actions of compact Lie groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **288** (1985), 125–137.
- [Dav] M.W. Davis, *The geometry and topology of Coxeter groups*, London Mathematical Society Monographs Series **32**. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2008.
- [De] O. Dearnicott, A 7-manifold with positive curvature, *Duke Math. J.* **158** (2011), 307–346.
- [EH] J.-H. Eschenburg and E. Heintze, On the classification of polar representations, *Math. Z.* **232** (1999), 391–398.
- [FG] F. Fang and K. Grove, Reflection groups in non-negative curvature, *J. Differential Geometry* **102** (2016), 179–205.
- [FGT] F. Fang, K. Grove and G. Thorbergsson, Rank Three Geometry and Positive Curvature, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **24** (2016), 487–520.
- [FKM] D. Ferus, H. Karcher, and H.F. Mützner, Cliffordalgebren und neue isoparametrische Hyperflächen, *Math. Z.* **177** (1981), 479–502.
- [GK] C. Gorodski and A. Kollross, Some remarks on polar actions, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **49** (2016), 43–58.
- [Gr] K. Grove, Developments around positive sectional curvature, *Surveys in differential geometry. Vol. XIII. Geometry, analysis, and algebraic geometry: forty years of the Journal of Differential Geometry*, 117–133, *Surv. Differ. Geom.* **13**, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2009.
- [GKi] K. Grove and C.-W. Kim, Positively curved manifolds with low fixed point cohomogeneity, *J. Differential Geom.* **67** (2004), 1–33.
- [GVZ] K. Grove, L. Verdiani and W. Ziller, An exotic $T_1\mathbb{S}^4$ with positive curvature, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **21** (2011), 499–524.
- [GWZ] K. Grove, B. Wilking and W. Ziller, Positively curved cohomogeneity one manifolds and 3-Sasakian geometry, *J. Differential Geom.* **78** (2008), 33–111.
- [GZ] K. Grove and W. Ziller, Polar Actions and Manifolds, *The Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Applications* **11** (2012), 279–313.
- [GKMW] T. Grundhöfer, L. Kramer, H. Van Maldeghem, and R.M. Weiss, Compact totally disconnected Moufang buildings, *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* **64** (2012), 333–360.
- [HPPT] E. Heintze, R.S. Palais, C.-L. Terng, and G. Thorbergsson, Hyperpolar actions on symmetric spaces. *Geometry, topology, & physics*, 214–245, *Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Geom. Topology*, IV, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995.
- [He] S. Helgason, *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **34**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [Iw] K. Iwata, Classification of compact transformation groups on cohomology quaternion projective spaces with codimension one orbits, *Osaka J. Math.* **15** (1978), 475–508.
- [KL] L. Kramer and A. Lytchak, Homogeneous compact geometries, *Transform. Groups* **19** (2014), 793–852.
- [Ly] A. Lytchak, Polar foliations of symmetric spaces, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **24** (2014), 1298–1315.
- [Me] R. Mendes, Extending tensors on polar manifolds, *Math. Annalen*, **365** (2016), 1409–1424.
- [MZ] D. Montgomery and L. Zippin, *Topological transformation groups*, Interscience Publishers, New York-London, 1955.
- [Ne] A. Neumaier, Some sporadic geometries related to $\mathrm{PGL}(3, 2)$ *Arch. Math.* **42** (1984), 89–96.
- [On] A. Onishchik, *Topology of transitive transformation groups*. Johann Ambrosius Barth Verlag GmbH, Leipzig, 1994.
- [OT] H. Ozeki and M. Takeuchi, On some types of isoparametric hypersurfaces in spheres. I, II. *Tôhoku Math. J.* **27** (1975), 515–559; **28** (1976), 7–55.
- [PTe] R. S. Palais and C.-L. Terng, A general theory of canonical forms, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **300**, (1987), 771–789.
- [Pe] P. Petersen, *Riemannian Geometry*, Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **171**. Springer, New York (2006).
- [PTh] F. Podestà and G. Thorbergsson, Polar actions on rank-one symmetric spaces. *J. Differential Geom.* **53**, (1999), 131–175.

- [Po] J. Poncet, Groupes de Lie compacts de transformations de l'espace euclidien et les sphères comme espaces homogènes, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **33**, (1959), 109–120.
- [Ro] M. Ronan, *Lectures on buildings*. Perspectives in Mathematics **7**. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1989.
- [Sz] J. Szente, Orthogonally transversal submanifolds and the generalizations of the Weyl group, *Period. Math. Hungar.* **15** (1984), 281–299.
- [Th] G. Thorbergsson, *Isoparametric foliations and their buildings*, *Ann. of Math.* **133** (1991), 429–446.
- [Ti1] J. Tits, *Buildings of spherical type and finite BN-pairs*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics **386**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974.
- [Ti2] J. Tits, A local approach to buildings. *The geometric vein*. The Coxeter Festschrift. Edited by C. Davis, B. Grünbaum, and F. A. Sherk, 519–547, Springer, New York-Berlin, 1981.
- [Uc] F. Uchida, Classification of compact transformation groups on cohomology complex projective spaces with codimension one orbits, *Japan J. Math.* **3** (1977), 141–189.
- [Ui] J. Ucci, On the nonexistence of Riemannian submersions from \mathbb{CP}^7 and \mathbb{QP}^3 , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **88** (1983), 698–700.
- [VZ] L. Verdiani and W. Ziller, Concavity and rigidity in non-negative curvature, *J. Differential Geom.* **97** (2014), 349–375.
- [Ve] L. Verdiani, Cohomogeneity one manifolds of even dimension with strictly positive sectional curvature, *J. Differential Geom.*, **68** (2004), 31–72.
- [Wa] G. Walschap, Metric foliations and curvature. *J. Geom. Anal.* **2** (1992), 373–381.
- [Wi1] B. Wilking, Nonnegatively and positively curved manifolds, *Surveys in differential geometry. Vol. XI*, 25–62, *Surv. Differ. Geom.* **11**, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2007.
- [Wi2] B. Wilking, Positively curved manifolds with symmetry. *Ann. of Math.* **163** (2006), 607–668.
- [Wi3] B. Wilking, A duality theorem for Riemannian foliations in nonnegative sectional curvature. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **17** (2007), 1297–1320.
- [Wö] A. Wörner, *A splitting theorem for nonnegatively curved Alexandrov spaces*, *Geom. Topol.* **16** (2012), 2391–2426.
- [Zi] W. Ziller, Examples of Riemannian manifolds with non-negative sectional curvature, *Surveys in differential geometry. Vol. XI*, 63–102, *Surv. Differ. Geom.* **11**, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2007.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CAPITAL NORMAL UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100048, CHINA

E-mail address: fuquan_fang@yahoo.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME, NOTRE DAME, IN 46556, USA

E-mail address: kgrove2@nd.edu

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT ZU KÖLN, WEYERTAL 86-90, 50931 KÖLN, GERMANY

E-mail address: gthorber@mi.uni-koeln.de