

# AN AFFIRMATIVE ANSWER TO YAMADA'S CONJECTURE

CHUAN LIU AND FUCAI LIN

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we give an affirmative answer to Yamada's Conjecture on free topological groups, which was posed in [K. Yamada, *Fréchet-Urysohn spaces in free topological groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **130**(2002), 2461–2469.].

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, all topological spaces are assumed to be Tychonoff, unless explicitly stated otherwise. Given a space  $X$ , let  $F(X)$  and  $A(X)$  be the free topological group and free Abelian topological group over  $X$  in the sense of Markov respectively. For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $F_n(X)$  and  $A_n(X)$  denote the subspaces of  $F(X)$  and  $A(X)$  respectively that consists of words of reduced length at most  $n$  with respect to the free basis  $X$ . A space  $X$  is said to be *Fréchet-Urysohn* if, for each  $x \in \overline{A} \subset X$ , there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $x$  and  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset A$ .

The free topological group  $F(X)$  and the free abelian topological group  $A(X)$  over a Tychonoff space  $X$  were introduced by Markov [6] and intensively studied over the last half-century, see for example [1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12].

In [11], Yamada proved the following two theorems.

**Theorem 1.1.** [11] *Let  $X$  be a metrizable space. Then  $F_5(X)$  is Fréchet-Urysohn if and only if  $X$  is discrete or compact.*

**Theorem 1.2.** [11] *Let  $X$  be a metrizable space. Then  $F_3(X)$  is Fréchet-Urysohn if and only if the set of all the non-isolated points of  $X$  is compact.*

Therefore, Yamada gave the following conjecture:

**Yamada's Conjecture:** [11] *If the set of all non-isolated points of a metrizable space  $X$  is compact, then  $F_4(X)$  is Fréchet-Urysohn.*

In this paper, we shall give an affirmative answer to Yamada's Conjecture.

Let  $X$  be a non-empty Tychonoff space. Throughout this paper,  $X^{-1} := \{x^{-1} : x \in X\}$ , which is just a copy of  $X$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $F_n(X)$  denotes the subspace of  $F(X)$  that consists of all words of reduced length at most  $n$  with respect to the free basis  $X$ . Let  $e$  be the neutral element of  $F(X)$  (i.e., the empty word). For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and an element  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  of  $(X \oplus X^{-1} \oplus \{e\})^n$  we call  $g = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n$  a *word*. This word  $g$  is called *reduced* if it does not contain  $e$  or any pair of consecutive symbols of the form  $xx^{-1}$  or  $x^{-1}x$ . It follows that if the word  $g$  is reduced and non-empty, then it is different from the neutral element  $e$  of  $F(X)$ . In particular, each element  $g \in F(X)$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* primary 22A30; secondary 54D10; 54E99; 54H99.

*Key words and phrases.* Free topological groups; Fréchet-Urysohn; compact spaces; metrizable.

The second author is supported by the NSFC (No. 11571158), the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province (No. 2017J01405) of China, the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in Fujian Province University, the Project for Education Reform of Fujian Education Department (No. FBJG20170182), the Institute of Meteorological Big Data-Digital Fujian and Fujian Key Laboratory of Data Science and Statistics.

distinct from the neutral element can be uniquely written in the form  $g = x_1^{r_1} x_2^{r_2} \cdots x_n^{r_n}$ , where  $n \geq 1$ ,  $r_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $x_i \in X$ , and  $x_i \neq x_{i+1}$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ , and the support of  $g = x_1^{r_1} x_2^{r_2} \cdots x_n^{r_n}$  is defined as  $\text{supp}(g) := \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . Given a subset  $K$  of  $F(X)$ , we define  $\text{supp}(K) := \bigcup_{g \in K} \text{supp}(g)$ .

## 2. THE PROOF OF YAMADA'S CONJECTURE

Throughout this paper, we always assume that  $(X, d)$  is a metric space with a metric  $d$  such that the set  $K$  of all the non-isolated points of  $X$  is compact. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$V_n = \{x \in X : d(x, K) < 1/n\}.$$

Let  $W_1 = X \setminus V_1$  and  $W_n = V_{n-1} \setminus V_n$  for each  $n \geq 2$ . It is easy to see that each  $W_n$  is a closed discrete subspace.

The following lemma play an important role in our proof.

**Lemma 2.1.** *There is a compatible metric  $\varrho$  on  $(X, d)$ , which satisfies the following conditions:*

- (1)  $\varrho(x, y) = \varrho(y, x)$  for any  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (2)  $\varrho(x, y) = |i - j|/(i \cdot j)$  if  $x \in W_i, y \in W_j, i \neq j$  and  $d(x, y) < |i - j|/(i \cdot j)$ ;
- (3)  $\varrho(x, y) = 1/(i \cdot (i + 1))$  if  $x, y \in W_i, x \neq y$  and  $d(x, y) < 1/(i \cdot (i + 1))$ ;
- (4)  $\varrho(x, y) = d(x, y)$ , otherwise.

*Proof.* First we prove  $\varrho$  is a metric on  $X$ . Clearly, it suffices to prove the triangle inequality. Take arbitrary  $x, y, z \in X$ . We may assume that  $x, y, z$  are distinct each other.

**Case 1**  $|\{x, y, z\} \cap K| \geq 2$ .

Then  $\varrho(x, y) = d(x, y)$ ,  $\varrho(x, z) = d(x, z)$  and  $\varrho(z, y) = d(z, y)$ . Since  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ ,  $\varrho(x, y) \leq \varrho(x, z) + \varrho(z, y)$ .

**Case 2**  $|\{x, y, z\} \cap K| = 1$ .

Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $x \in K$ . Obviously, there exist  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $y \in W_i$  and  $z \in W_j$ . Then  $\varrho(x, y) = d(x, y)$ ,  $\varrho(x, z) = d(x, z)$ . It follows from the definition of  $\varrho$  that  $d(y, z) \leq \varrho(y, z)$ . Therefore,  $\varrho(x, y) = d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y) = \varrho(x, z) + d(z, y) \leq \varrho(x, z) + \varrho(y, z)$ ;  $\varrho(x, z) = d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z) = \varrho(x, y) + d(y, z) \leq \varrho(x, y) + \varrho(y, z)$ . If  $i = j$  and  $d(y, z) < 1/(i \cdot (i + 1))$ , then  $\varrho(y, z) = 1/(i \cdot (i + 1))$ , hence  $\varrho(y, z) \leq \varrho(y, x) + \varrho(x, z)$  since  $\varrho(x, y) \geq 1/i$  and  $\varrho(x, z) \geq 1/i$ . If  $i = j$  and  $d(y, z) \geq 1/(i \cdot (i + 1))$ , then it is obvious. If  $i \neq j$  and  $d(y, z) < |i - j|/(i \cdot j)$ , then  $\varrho(y, z) = |i - j|/(i \cdot j)$ , hence  $\varrho(y, z) \leq \varrho(y, x) + \varrho(x, z)$  since  $\varrho(x, y) \geq 1/i$  and  $\varrho(x, z) \geq 1/j$ . If  $i \neq j$  and  $d(y, z) \geq |i - j|/(i \cdot j)$ , then it is obvious.

**Case 3**  $|\{x, y, z\} \cap K| = 0$ .

Then there exist  $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \in W_i, y \in W_j, z \in W_k$ . Without loss of generality, it suffices to prove  $\varrho(x, y) \leq \varrho(x, z) + \varrho(y, z)$ .

If  $i = j$  and  $d(x, y) < 1/(i \cdot (i + 1))$ , then  $\varrho(x, y) = 1/(i \cdot (i + 1))$ . Moreover,  $\varrho(x, z) \geq |i - k|/(i \cdot k)$  and  $\varrho(y, z) \geq |i - k|/(i \cdot k)$ . Assume that  $i = k$ , then  $\varrho(x, z) + \varrho(z, y) = 1/(i \cdot (i + 1)) + 1/(i \cdot (i + 1)) = 2/(i \cdot (i + 1)) \geq 1/(i \cdot (i + 1)) = \varrho(x, y)$ . Hence it suffices to consider  $i \neq k$ . Then  $\varrho(x, z) + \varrho(z, y) \geq |i - k|/(i \cdot k) + |i - k|/(i \cdot k) = 2|i - k|/(i \cdot k) \geq 1/(i \cdot (i + 1)) = \varrho(x, y)$ . If  $i = j$  and  $d(x, y) \geq 1/(i \cdot (i + 1))$ , then  $\varrho(x, y) = d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y) \leq \varrho(x, z) + \varrho(y, z)$ . If  $i \neq j$  and  $d(x, y) < |i - j|/(i \cdot j)$ , then  $\varrho(x, y) = |i - j|/(i \cdot j)$ , hence  $\varrho(x, z) + \varrho(z, y) \geq |i - k|/(i \cdot k) + |j - k|/(j \cdot k) =$

$\frac{|ij-jk|+|ij-ik|}{ijk} \geq \frac{|ik-jk|}{ijk} = |i-j|/(i \cdot j) = \varrho(x, y)$ . If  $i \neq j$  and  $d(x, y) \geq |i-j|/(i \cdot j)$ , then  $\varrho(x, y) = d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(y, z) \leq \varrho(x, z) + \varrho(y, z)$ .

Therefore,  $\varrho$  is a metric. It easily check that the topology generated by the metric  $\varrho$  on  $X$  is compatible with  $(X, d)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *The metric  $\varrho$  in Lemma 2.1 has the following properties:*

- (1) *For any  $x \in X \setminus V_k, y \in X, y \neq x$ , it has  $\varrho(x, y) > 1/(k+1)^2$ .*
- (2) *If  $\varrho(x_n, y_n) < 1/n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then there exist sequences  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  and  $\{y_{n_k}\}$  such that  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x_0$  and  $y_{n_k} \rightarrow x_0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $x_n, y_n \in X, x_n \neq y_n$  and  $x_0 \in K$ .*

Let  $\varrho^*$  and  $N_\varrho$  be defined in the proof in [2, Theorem 7.2.2]. For the convenience, we give out the definitions.

Suppose that  $e$  is the neutral element of the abstract free group  $F_a(X)$  on  $X$ . Extend  $\varrho$  from  $X$  to a metric  $\varrho^*$  on  $X \cup \{e\} \cup X^{-1}$ . Choose a point  $x_0 \in X$  and for every  $x \in X$ , put

$$\varrho^*(e, x) = \varrho^*(e, x^{-1}) = 1 + \varrho(x_0, x).$$

Then for  $x, y \in X$ , define the distance  $\varrho^*(x^{-1}, y^{-1}), \varrho^*(x^{-1}, y)$  and  $\varrho^*(x, y^{-1})$  by

$$\varrho^*(x^{-1}, y^{-1}) = \varrho^*(x, y) = \varrho(x, y),$$

$$\varrho^*(x^{-1}, y) = \varrho^*(x, y^{-1}) = \varrho^*(x, e) + \varrho^*(e, y).$$

Let  $A$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $|A| = 2n$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . A *scheme* on  $A$  is a partition of  $A$  to pairs  $\{a_i, b_i\}$  with  $a_i < b_i$  such that each two intervals  $[a_i, b_i]$  and  $[a_j, b_j]$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  are either disjoint or one contains the other.

If  $\mathcal{X}$  is a word in the alphabet  $X \cup \{e\} \cup X^{-1}$ , then we denote the reduced form and the length of  $\mathcal{X}$  by  $[\mathcal{X}]$  and  $\ell(\mathcal{X})$  respectively.

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathcal{S}_n$  be the family of all schemes  $\varphi$  on  $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$ . As in [2], define

$$\Gamma_\varrho(\mathcal{X}, \varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \varrho^*(x_i^{-1}, x_{\varphi(i)}).$$

Then we define a prenorm  $N_\varrho : F_a(X) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  by setting  $N_\varrho(g) = 0$  if  $g = e$  and

$$N_\varrho(g) = \inf\{\Gamma_\varrho(\mathcal{X}, \varphi) : [\mathcal{X}] = g, \ell(\mathcal{X}) = 2n, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

if  $g \in F_a(X) \setminus \{e\}$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let*

$$B = \{x^{\epsilon_1} y^{\epsilon_2} z^{\epsilon_3} t^{\epsilon_4} \in F_4(X) \setminus F_3(X) : x, y, z, t \in X, \epsilon_i \in \{-1, 1\}, \sum \epsilon_i = 0, 1 \leq i \leq 4\}.$$

*If  $e \in \overline{B}$ , then there is a convergent sequence  $\{h_n = x_n^{\epsilon_1} y_n^{\epsilon_2} z_n^{\epsilon_3} t_n^{\epsilon_4}\}$  in  $B$  such that  $h_n \rightarrow e$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\varrho^*$  and  $N_\varrho$  be defined as above. It is known that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$U_\varrho(n) = \{g \in F_a(X) : N_\varrho(g) < 1/n\} \cap F_0(X)$$

is an open neighborhood of  $e$  in  $F(X)$  by [2, Theorem 7.2.2]. We divide the proof into the following two cases.

**Case 1:** For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $x_n^{\epsilon_1(n)} y_n^{\epsilon_2(n)} z_n^{\epsilon_3(n)} t_n^{\epsilon_4(n)} \in B \cap U_\varrho(n)$  such that  $x_n, y_n, z_n, t_n \in V_n$ , where  $V_n = \{x \in X : d(x, K) < 1/n\}$ .

Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\epsilon_i(n) = \epsilon_i$  ( $i \leq 4$ ). In fact, we can choose a subsequence of  $\{x_n^{\epsilon_1(n)} y_n^{\epsilon_2(n)} z_n^{\epsilon_3(n)} t_n^{\epsilon_4(n)} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . We can also assume that

$x_n \rightarrow x, y_n \rightarrow y, z_n \rightarrow z, t_n \rightarrow t$ , where  $x, y, z, t \in K$ . Clearly,  $\sum \epsilon_i = 0$ . Then we have the following claim.

**Claim 1:**  $x^{\epsilon_1} y^{\epsilon_2} z^{\epsilon_3} t^{\epsilon_4} = e$ .

Fix an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $g_n = x_n^{\epsilon_1} y_n^{\epsilon_2} z_n^{\epsilon_3} t_n^{\epsilon_4}$  and let  $D_n = \{x_n^{\epsilon_1}, y_n^{\epsilon_2}, z_n^{\epsilon_3}, t_n^{\epsilon_4}\}$ . We claim that

$$N_\varrho(g_n) = \min\{\varrho^*(x_n^{-\epsilon_1}, y_n^{\epsilon_2}) + \varrho^*(z_n^{-\epsilon_3}, t_n^{\epsilon_4}), \varrho^*(x_n^{-\epsilon_1}, t_n^{\epsilon_4}) + \varrho^*(y_n^{-\epsilon_2}, z_n^{\epsilon_3})\}$$

if  $N_\varrho(g_n) < \frac{1}{n}$ .

In fact, it follows from Claim 1 of the proof in [2, Theorem 7.2.2] that there exist an almost reduced word  $\Upsilon_{g_n} = p_1 \cdots p_{2m}$  with  $2m \leq 8$  and a scheme  $\varphi_{g_n}$  such that  $\Upsilon_{g_n}$  contains only the letters of  $g_n$  or the letter  $e$  and  $N_\varrho(g_n) = \Gamma_\varrho(\Upsilon_{g_n}, \varphi_{g_n})$ , where  $\Gamma_\varrho(\Upsilon_{g_n}, \varphi_{g_n}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \varrho^*(p_i^{-1}, p_{\varphi_{g_n}(i)})$ . If  $p_i \in D_n$ , then  $p_{\varphi_{g_n}(i)} \in D_n$ ; otherwise,  $p_{\varphi_{g_n}(i)} = e$ , then  $\Gamma_\varrho(\Upsilon_{g_n}, \varphi_{g_n}) \geq 1$ , which is a contradiction with  $N_\varrho(g_n) < \frac{1}{n}$ .

**Subcase 1.1:**  $\varrho^*(x_n^{-\epsilon_1}, y_n^{\epsilon_2}) + \varrho^*(z_n^{-\epsilon_3}, t_n^{\epsilon_4}) < 1/n$  for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then  $\epsilon_1 = -\epsilon_2$  and  $\epsilon_3 = -\epsilon_4$ . If  $x \neq y$ , then  $\varrho(x, y) = r > 0$ . It is easy to see that there is  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\varrho(x, x_i) < r/3$ ,  $\varrho(x_i, y_i) < r/3$ ,  $\varrho(y_i, y) < r/3$  whenever  $i > k$ . Then  $r = \varrho(x, y) \leq \varrho(x, x_i) + \varrho(x_i, y_i) + \varrho(y_i, y) < r/3 + r/3 + r/3 = r$ . This is a contradiction, hence  $x = y$ . Similarly,  $z = t$ . Therefore  $x^{\epsilon_1} y^{\epsilon_2} z^{\epsilon_3} t^{\epsilon_4} = e$ .

**Subcase 1.2:**  $\varrho^*(x_n^{-\epsilon_1}, t_n^{\epsilon_4}) + \varrho^*(y_n^{-\epsilon_2}, z_n^{\epsilon_3}) < 1/n$  for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then  $\epsilon_1 = -\epsilon_4$ ,  $\epsilon_2 = -\epsilon_3$ . By the proof of Subcase 1.1, we can prove that  $x = t, y = z$ . Then  $x^{\epsilon_1} y^{\epsilon_2} z^{\epsilon_3} t^{\epsilon_4} = e$ . The proof of Claim 1 is completed.

By Claim 1, we see that Lemma 2.3 holds.

**Case 2:** There is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $a^{\epsilon_1} b^{\epsilon_2} c^{\epsilon_3} d^{\epsilon_4} \in U_\varrho(n) \cap B = A$ , one of  $a, b, c, d$  is not in  $V_n$ .

We only consider the case

$$e \in \overline{\{a^{\epsilon_1} b^{\epsilon_2} c^{\epsilon_3} d^{\epsilon_4} \in A : a \notin V_n\} \cup \{a^{\epsilon_1} b^{\epsilon_2} c^{\epsilon_3} d^{\epsilon_4} \in A : b \notin V_n\}},$$

otherwise consider the set  $(U_\varrho(n) \cap B)^{-1}$ . First, we prove that  $e \in \overline{\{a^{\epsilon_1} b^{\epsilon_2} c^{\epsilon_3} d^{\epsilon_4} \in A : a \notin V_n\}}$ , then it suffices to prove

$$e \notin \overline{\{a^{\epsilon_1} b^{\epsilon_2} c^{\epsilon_3} d^{\epsilon_4} \in A : b \notin V_n\}}.$$

Let

$$A_1 = \{a^{\epsilon_1} b^{\epsilon_2} c^{\epsilon_3} d^{\epsilon_4} \in A : b \notin V_n\}.$$

Assume that  $e \in \overline{A_1}$ . In order to obtain a contradiction, we find a neighborhood  $W_1$  of  $e$  such that  $W_1 \cap A_1 = \emptyset$ . Indeed, let

$$W_1 = \{g \in F(X) : N_\varrho(g) < 1/(n+1)^2\}.$$

Then  $W_1$  is an open neighborhood of  $e$ . Moreover, for any  $g = a^{\epsilon_1} b^{\epsilon_2} c^{\epsilon_3} d^{\epsilon_4} \in A_1$ , we prove that  $N_\varrho(g) \geq 1/(n+1)^2$ . Indeed, it follows from Claim 1 of the proof of [2, Theorem 7.2.2] that there exist an almost reduced word  $\Upsilon_g = x_1 \cdots x_{2m}$  and a scheme  $\varphi_g$  such that satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $\Upsilon_g$  contains only the letters of  $g$  or the letter  $e$ ;
- (ii)  $[\Upsilon_g] = g$  and  $\ell(\Upsilon_g) \leq 2\ell(g)$ ;
- (iii)  $N_\varrho(g) = \Gamma_\varrho(\Upsilon_g, \varphi_g)$ .

We claim that  $\Gamma_\varrho(\Upsilon_g, \varphi_g) \geq \frac{1}{(n+1)^2}$ . Indeed, it follows from (i) and (ii) that there exists  $i_0 \leq 2m$  such that  $x_{i_0} = b^{\epsilon_2}$ , then  $\varrho^*(x_{i_0}^{-1}, x_{\varphi_g(i_0)}) = \varrho^*(b^{-\epsilon_2}, x_{\varphi_g(i_0)})$ . Then we can complete the proof by the following (a)-(d).

- (a) If  $x_{\varphi_g(i_0)} = e$ , then  $\varrho^*(b^{-\epsilon_2}, x_{\varphi_g(i_0)}) \geq 1$ .

(b) If  $x_{\varphi_g(i_0)} = a^{\epsilon_1}$ , then it follows from (1) of Lemma 2.2 that  $\varrho^*(b^{-\epsilon_2}, x_{\varphi_g(i_0)}) = \varrho^*(b^{-\epsilon_2}, a^{\epsilon_1}) > \frac{1}{(n+1)^2}$  since  $a^{\epsilon_1}b^{\epsilon_2} \neq e$  and  $b \notin V_n$ .

(c) Assume  $x_{\varphi_g(i_0)} = c^{\epsilon_3}$ . If  $\epsilon_2 = \epsilon_3$  and  $b = c$ , then  $x_{\varphi_g(i_0)} = b^{\epsilon_2}$ , hence  $\varrho^*(b^{-\epsilon_2}, x_{\varphi_g(i_0)}) = \varrho^*(b^{-\epsilon_2}, b^{\epsilon_2}) \geq 1 \geq \frac{1}{(n+1)^2}$ . If  $b \neq c$ , then it follows from (1) of Lemma 2.2 that  $\varrho^*(b^{-\epsilon_2}, x_{\varphi_g(i_0)}) = \varrho^*(b^{-\epsilon_2}, c^{\epsilon_3}) > \frac{1}{(n+1)^2}$  since  $b \neq c$  and  $b \notin V_n$ .

(d) Assume  $x_{\varphi_g(i_0)} = d^{\epsilon_4}$ . Obviously, there exists a  $j_0 \leq 2m$  such that  $x_{j_0} = c^{\epsilon_3}$ . Since  $\varphi_g$  is a scheme, it has  $x_{\varphi_g(j_0)} = e$ , hence  $\varrho^*(x_{j_0}^{-1}, x_{\varphi_g(j_0)}) \geq 1$ . Then

$$\Gamma_{\varrho}(\Upsilon_g, \varphi_g) \geq \varrho^*(b^{-\epsilon_2}, x_{\varphi_g(i_0)}) + \varrho^*(x_{j_0}^{-1}, x_{\varphi_g(j_0)}) \geq 1 \geq \frac{1}{(n+1)^2}.$$

Therefore,  $W_1 \cap A_1 = \emptyset$ , which is a contradiction. Hence the point  $e$  belongs to the closure of  $\{a^{\epsilon_1}b^{\epsilon_2}c^{\epsilon_3}d^{\epsilon_4} \in A : a \notin V_n\}$ . Further, we claim that  $e$  does not belong to the closure of the set

$$A_2 = \{a^{\epsilon_1}b^{\epsilon_2}c^{\epsilon_3}d^{\epsilon_4} \in A : a \neq d, a \notin V_n\} \cup \{a^{\epsilon_1}b^{\epsilon_2}c^{\epsilon_3}d^{\epsilon_4} \in A : a = d, \epsilon_1 = \epsilon_4, a \notin V_n\}.$$

Suppose not, assume that  $e \in \overline{A_2}$ . In order to obtain a contradiction, it suffices to prove that  $W_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$  by a similar proof above.

Therefore,  $e$  belongs to the closure of  $A_3 = \{a^{\epsilon_1}b^{\epsilon_2}c^{-\epsilon_2}a^{-\epsilon_1} \in A : a \notin V_n\}$ . Next we prove that there exists a convergent sequence in  $A_3$  which converges to  $e$ .

Let  $D = \{a \in X : a^{\epsilon_1}b^{\epsilon_2}c^{-\epsilon_2}a^{-\epsilon_1} \in A_3\}$ , and let  $A_a = \{a^{\epsilon_1}b^{\epsilon_2}c^{-\epsilon_2}a^{-\epsilon_1} \in A_3\}$  for each  $a \in D$ . It is obvious that  $A_3 = \bigcup_{a \in D} A_a$ . We claim that there exist  $a \in D$ , an infinite subset  $M$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $b_i \in V_i$  and  $c_i \in V_i$  for any  $i \in M$  such that

$$a^{\epsilon_1}b_i^{\epsilon_2}c_i^{-\epsilon_2}a^{-\epsilon_1} \in A_3 \cap U_{\varrho}(i).$$

Suppose not, for each  $a \in D$ , there is  $n_a \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $n_a > n$ ) such that either  $b \notin V_{n_a}$  or  $c \notin V_{n_a}$  for each  $a^{\epsilon_1}b^{\epsilon_2}c^{-\epsilon_2}a^{-\epsilon_1} \in A_a$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $e$  belongs to the closure of  $A_4 = \{a^{\epsilon_1}b^{\epsilon_2}c^{-\epsilon_2}a^{-\epsilon_1} \in A_3 : a \in D, b \notin V_{n_a}, c \in V_n\}$ . Let  $C' = \{b, c \in X : a^{\epsilon_1}b^{\epsilon_2}c^{-\epsilon_2}a^{-\epsilon_1} \in A_4\}$  and  $C = \overline{C'}$ . Obviously,  $C \cap D = \emptyset$ ,  $D$  is closed discrete and  $C$  is closed in  $X$ . Define a mapping  $f = \pi \circ \overline{\psi} : F(C \oplus D) \rightarrow A(C \times F(D))$ , where the mappings  $\pi$  and  $\overline{\psi}$  are defined in the proof of [11, Theorem 2.4]. By our definition of  $C$  and  $D$ , it is easy to see that  $\pi$ ,  $\overline{\psi}$  and  $f$  are all continuous. Therefore,  $0 = f(e) \in \overline{f(A_4)}$ . For each  $a^{\epsilon_1}b^{\epsilon_2}c^{-\epsilon_2}a^{-\epsilon_1} \in A_4$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\psi}(a^{\epsilon_1}b^{\epsilon_2}c^{-\epsilon_2}a^{-\epsilon_1}) &= \overline{\psi}(a^{\epsilon_1})\overline{\psi}(b^{\epsilon_2})\overline{\psi}(c^{-\epsilon_2})\overline{\psi}(a^{-\epsilon_1}) \\ &= \psi(a)^{\epsilon_1}\psi(b)^{\epsilon_2}\psi(c)^{-\epsilon_2}\psi(a)^{-\epsilon_1} \\ &= (a^{\epsilon_1}, 0)(e, \epsilon_2(b, e))(e, -\epsilon_2(c, e))(a^{-\epsilon_1}, 0) \\ &= (a^{\epsilon_1}, \epsilon_2(b, a^{\epsilon_1}))(a^{-\epsilon_1}, -\epsilon_2(c, e)) \\ &= (e, \epsilon_2(b, a^{\epsilon_1}) - \epsilon_2(c, a^{\epsilon_1})), \end{aligned}$$

hence  $f(a^{\epsilon_1}b^{\epsilon_2}c^{-\epsilon_2}a^{-\epsilon_1}) = \pi \circ \overline{\psi}(a^{\epsilon_1}b^{\epsilon_2}c^{-\epsilon_2}a^{-\epsilon_1}) = \epsilon_2(b, a^{\epsilon_1}) - \epsilon_2(c, a^{\epsilon_1}) \in A_2(C \times F(D))$ . By the arbitrary, it follows that  $\overline{f(A_4)} \subset A_2(C \times F(D))$ . Since  $C \times F(D)$  is a metrizable space, it follows from [10, Proposition 4.8] that  $A_2(C \times F(D))$  is a Fréchet-Urysohn space. Then there exists a sequence  $\mathcal{S} = \{\epsilon_2(k)(b_k, a_k^{\epsilon_1(k)}) - \epsilon_2(k)(c_k, a_k^{\epsilon_1(k)}) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  which converges to 0, where  $\epsilon_1(k), \epsilon_2(k) \in \{1, -1\}$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $F = \overline{\text{supp}(\mathcal{S} \cup \{0\})}$  is a compact subset in  $C \times F(D)$ . Since the projective mappings  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are continuous from  $C \times F(D)$  to  $C$  and  $F(D)$  respectively, the sets  $\pi_1(F)$  and  $\pi_2(F)$  are compact in  $C$  and  $F(D)$  respectively. Then the set  $F_1 = \{a_k^{\epsilon_1(k)} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a finite set since  $F(D)$  is

discrete. Therefore, the set  $F_2 = \{b_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is also finite since  $\{b_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \cap V_{n_0} = \emptyset$ , where  $n_0 = \max\{n_a : a \in F_1\}$ . Therefore, there exist  $a \in F_1$  and  $b \in F_2$  such that some subsequence  $\{\epsilon_2(n_k)(b, a) - \epsilon_2(n_k)(c_{n_k}, a) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  converges to 0. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $(b, a) - (c_{n_k}, a) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , that is,  $(c_{n_k}, a) \rightarrow (b, a)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $c_{n_k} = b$  for any sufficiently large  $k$ , which is a contradiction.

Then  $a^{\epsilon_1} b_i^{\epsilon_2} c_i^{-\epsilon_2} a^{-\epsilon_1} \rightarrow a^{\epsilon_1} b^{\epsilon_2} c^{-\epsilon_2} a^{-\epsilon_1}$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $b, c \in K$ . In viewing of the proof of Subcase 1.1, we could prove that  $b = c$ , hence  $a^{\epsilon_1} b_i^{\epsilon_2} c_i^{-\epsilon_2} a^{-\epsilon_1} \rightarrow a^{\epsilon_1} b^{\epsilon_2} b^{-\epsilon_2} a^{-\epsilon_1} = e$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

For each  $g = a_1 \dots a_n \in F_n(X) \setminus F_{n-1}(X)$  with  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in X \cup X^{-1}$ , denote by  $\mathcal{P}_X(g)$  the subfamily of  $P(X)$  consisting of all  $\varrho$  such that  $\varrho^*(a_i^{-1}, a_{i+1}) \geq 1$  for each  $i < n$ . For each  $\varrho \in \mathcal{P}_X(g)$ , put  $U_\varrho(g) = \{x_1 \dots x_i y^\epsilon z^{-\epsilon} x_{i+1} \dots x_n : x_1, \dots, x_n \in X \cup X^{-1}, y, z \in X, \epsilon = \pm 1, 0 \leq i \leq n, \text{ and } \varrho(y, z) + \sum_{k=1}^n \varrho(a_k, x_k) < 1\}$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** [2, Theorem 7.2.11]. *The family  $\{U_\varrho(g) : \varrho \in \mathcal{P}_X(g)\}$  is an open base for  $F_{n+2}(X)$  at any point  $g \in F_n(X) \setminus F_{n-1}(X)$ .*

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $B \subset F_4(X) \setminus F_3(X)$ . If  $g = a_1 a_2 \in \overline{B}$  is a reduced form, where  $a_1, a_2 \in X \cup X^{-1}$ , then there is a convergent sequence  $\{h_n = x_n^{\epsilon_1} y_n^{\epsilon_2} z_n^{\epsilon_3} t_n^{\epsilon_4} : h_n \in B\}$  such that  $h_n \rightarrow g$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\varrho$  be the metric on  $X$  in Lemma 2.1. If  $\varrho^*(a_1^{-1}, a_2) \geq 1$ , then  $\varrho \in \mathcal{P}_X(g)$ ; if  $\varrho^*(a_1^{-1}, a_2) = r < 1$ , then  $(1/r)\varrho \in \mathcal{P}_X(g)$ . We may find a  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k > 1/r$ , if  $r \geq 1$ , then  $k = 1$ .

Let  $\varrho_n = nk \cdot \varrho$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Lemma 2.4,  $U_{\varrho_n}(g) \cap B \neq \emptyset$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , thus without loss of generality it can take an arbitrary point  $x_1(n)y^\epsilon(n)z^{-\epsilon}(n)x_2(n) \in U_{\varrho_n}(g) \cap B$ . Then  $n \cdot \varrho(y(n), z(n)) < 1$ ,  $n \cdot \varrho(x_1(n), a_1) < 1$  and  $n \cdot \varrho(x_2(n), a_2) < 1$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $y(n) \rightarrow f, z(n) \rightarrow f, x_i(n) \rightarrow a_i (i \leq 2)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore,  $x_1(n)y^\epsilon(n)z^{-\epsilon}(n)x_2(n) \rightarrow a_1 f f^{-1} a_2 = a_1 a_2 = g$ .  $\square$

Now, we can give an affirmative answer to Yamada's Conjecture<sup>1</sup>.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $X$  be a metrizable space in which the set of all the non-isolated points is compact. Then  $F_4(X)$  is Fréchet-Urysohn.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $g \in \overline{B}$ , where  $B \subset F_4(X)$  and  $g \in F_4(X)$ . Next we prove that there exists a sequence in  $B$  which converges to  $g$ . It is well-known that

$$F_4(X) = [(F_4(X) \setminus F_3(X)) \cup (F_2(X) \setminus F_1(X)) \cup \{e\}] \oplus [(F_3(X) \setminus F_2(X)) \cup (F_1(X) \setminus \{e\})].$$

If  $g \in \overline{F_3(X) \cap B}$ , we can find a sequence in  $B$  converging to  $g$  since  $F_3(X)$  is Fréchet-Urysohn by Theorem 1.2.

If  $g \in \overline{(F_4(X) \setminus F_3(X)) \cap B}$ , we consider the following cases:

(a)  $g \in F_4(X) \setminus F_3(X)$ . By [2, Theorem 7.6.2],  $F_4(X) \setminus F_3(X)$  is metrizable, we can find a sequence in  $B$  converges to  $g$ .

(b)  $g \in F_2(X) \setminus F_1(X)$ . By Lemma 2.5, we can find a sequence in  $B$  converges to  $g$ .

(c)  $g = e$ . By Lemma 2.3, there is a sequence in  $B$  converging to  $e$ .

Therefore  $F_4(X)$  is Fréchet-Urysohn.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** The authors are thankful to the referee for valuable remarks and corrections and all other sort of help related to the content of this article.

<sup>1</sup>Professor K. Yamada informed us that he just proved this conjecture independently.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A.V. Arhangel'skii, O.G. Okunev, V.G. Pestov, *Free topological groups over metrizable spaces*, Topology Appl., **33**(1989), 63–76.
- [2] A. Arhangel'skii, M. Tkachenko, Topological groups and related structures, Atlantis Press, Paris; World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2008.
- [3] Z. Li, F. Lin, C. Liu, *Networks on free topological groups*, Topology Appl., **180** (2015), 186–198.
- [4] F. Lin, C. Liu,  *$S_\omega$  and  $S_2$  on free topological groups*, Topology Appl., **176**(2014), 10–21.
- [5] F. Lin, C. Liu, *The  $k$ -spaces property of the free Abelian topological groups over non-metrizable Lašnev spaces*, Topology Appl., **220**(2017), 31–42.
- [6] A.A. Markov, *On free topological groups*, Amer. Math. Soc. Translation, **8** (1962), 195–272.
- [7] V. V. Uspenskii, *Free topological groups of metrizable spaces*, Math. USSR Izv. 37(1991)657-680.
- [8] K. Yamada, *Characterizations of a metrizable space such that every  $A_n(X)$  is a  $k$ -space*, Topology Appl., **49**(1993), 74–94.
- [9] K. Yamada, *Tightness of free abelian topological groups and of finite products of sequential fans*, Topology Proc., **22**(1997), 363–381.
- [10] K. Yamada, *Metrizable subspaces of free topological groups on metrizable spaces*, Topology Proc., **23**(1998), 379–409.
- [11] K. Yamada, *Fréchet-Urysohn spaces in free topological groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **130**(2002), 2461–2469.
- [12] K. Yamada, *Fréchet-Urysohn subspaces of free topological groups*, Topology Appl., **210**(2016), 81–89.

(CHUAN LIU): DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO UNIVERSITY ZANESVILLE CAMPUS, ZANESVILLE, OH 43701, USA

*E-mail address:* liuc1@ohio.edu

(FUCAI LIN): SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MINNAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, ZHANGZHOU 363000, P. R. CHINA

*E-mail address:* linfucai2008@aliyun.com; linfucai@mnnu.edu.cn