

EQUIVARIANT NEURAL NETWORKS AND EQUIVARIFICATION

ERKAO BAO AND LINQI SONG

ABSTRACT. Equivariant neural networks are special types of neural networks that preserve some symmetries on the data set. In this paper, we provide a method to modify a neural network to an equivariant one, which we call *equivarification*. Existing works explore several special cases of equivarification which need to design equivariant layers according to detailed functions of each layer and cannot be generalized to arbitrary networks or functions. In contrast, by leveraging a group action theory, our proposed equivarification method enables to design an equivariant neural network *uniformly* across layers of feedforward neural networks, such as multi-layer perceptrons, convolutional neural networks. A key difference from existing works is that our equivarification method can be applied without knowledge of the detailed functions of a layer in a neural network, and hence, can be generalized to any feedforward neural networks. As an illustration, we build an equivariant neural network for image classification by equivarifying a convolutional neural network. Results show that our proposed method significantly reduces the design and training complexity, yet preserving the learning performance in terms of accuracy.

1. INTRODUCTION

One key issue in deep neural network training is the difficulty of tuning parameters, especially when the network size grows larger and larger [6]. In order to reduce the complexity of the network, many techniques have been proposed by analyzing the structural characteristics of data, for example, sparsity [18], invariance in movement [5].

In particular, convolutional neural network (CNN) is a type of network that uses filters to reduce the number of parameters compared with fully connected networks by observing the invariance of various movements, such as the shift of an object in the photo [7]. However, to handle the case of rotation and reflection, people usually use the data augmentation approach to generate additional input data that has a bunch of training images with different rotation angles of the original images. However, these may require

Date: This version: June 1, 2019.

Key words and phrases. equivariant, equivarification, neural network, cnn.

extra training overhead as the augmented data increase. In contrast to data augmentation approach, another idea is to design more sophisticated neural networks, such that the input data with certain symmetries can be trained together and applied to reduce the training complexity. Recent attempts have been made in the equivariant CNN [2, 3, 17]. These existing works explore several special cases of equivarification which need to design equivariant layers according to detailed functions of each layer and cannot be generalized to arbitrary networks or functions.

In this paper, we take advantage of the symmetry of the data and design a scalable equivariant neural network that is able to capture and preserve the symmetries, e.g., the rotation symmetry. In stark contrast to existing works, by leveraging a group action theory, our proposed equivarification method enables to design an equivariant neural network *uniformly* across layers of feedforward neural networks, such as multi-layer perceptrons, convolutional neural networks. A key feature is that our equivarification method can be applied without knowledge of the detailed functions of a layer in a neural network, and hence, can be generalized to any feedforward neural networks. This is the reason we call our solution a uniform one. Another important property of our designed scalable equivariant neural network is that the number of parameters we need to train can still be the same as the original network. In addition, we can also output how does each data instance have been changed from the original canonical form (for example, how many degrees the cat image is rotated from original upside-up image) using the same network.

Note that, here, the equivariance is important and indispensable, as this ensures that the structure of data is maintained and propagated across layers, and hence, our design method can guarantee that a uniform design will work across layers without the knowledge of the detailed mappings.

To be specific, leveraging group action theory, our solution provides a uniform way to modify an existing neural network to an equivariant one, the process of which is called *equivarification*. Without being aware of the detailed functions of each layer, our proposed equivarification method use group theory to directly perform group actions on each layer where the equivariant layers could easily be deployed. We theoretically proved that our equivarification method would be able to design an equivariant neural network and achieve the expected performance. Practically, we equivarify a CNN as an illustration. We conduct experiments using the MNIST data set where the resulted equivariant neural network predicts the number and also the angle. If we forget the angle and keep only the number, we get an invariant neural network. The equivariance of our neural network is built into the structure of the neural network, and it is independent of the loss function, and the data set. For example, for the training data, it does not

make any difference in results whether we prepare it by randomly rotating and recording the angles, or not rotating and labeling everything as degree 0.

1.1. Illustration Example. Let us consider a simple cat image classification example. For example, consider the space of all images. One can build a cat classifier that assigns a number between 0 and 1 to each image indicating the probability that it is an image of a cat. If one image is a rotation (say 90 degree counterclockwise) of another one, then it makes sense to require a classifier to assign the same probability to these two images. A classifier that satisfies this property is said to be *invariant* under 90-degree rotation, which is a special case of being *equivariant*. To give an example of an (non-invariant) equivariant neural network, we furthermore require our classifier not only produces the probability of being a cat image, but also outputs an angle, say in $\{0, 90, 180, 270\}$ (more precisely, the probability of each angle). Suppose that the classifier predicts that an image is rotated by 90 degrees, then it should predict a 270 degrees rotation for the same image but rotated by 180 degrees.

1.2. Related Work. Equivariant mappings based on group actions are popular techniques and have been studied extensively in abstract algebra [15, 10, 13, 12].

Invariance in neural networks has attracted attention for decades, aiming at designing neural networks that capture the invariant features of data, for example, face detection system at any degree of rotation in the image plane [14], invariance for pattern recognition [1], translation, rotation, and scale invariance [11].

Recently, several works have started to look into equivariant CNN, by studying the exact mapping functions of each layer [2, 3, 9], and some symmetries are studied such as translation symmetry, rotation symmetry. These methods have been used in different application domains, such as in remote sensing [8], digital pathology [16], galaxy morphology prediction[4]. However, these works lack a special rule to guide the network design and the proposed methods are too dependent on the specific mapping functions of each layer, such as convolutional operations, shifting operations.

As far as we know, our construction provides the first truly equivariant neural network (See Section 5.1).

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we talk about the notions of group action and equivariance.

Let X be a set, and G be a group.

Definition 2.0.1. We define a G -action on X to be a map

$$T : G \times X \rightarrow X$$

(on the left) such that for any $x \in X$

- $T(e, x) = x$, where $e \in G$ is the identity element,
- for any $g_1, g_2 \in G$, we have

$$T(g_1, T(g_2, x)) = T(g_1 g_2, x).$$

Frequently, when there is no confusion, instead of saying that T is a G -action on X or equivalently that G acts on X via T , we simply say that G acts on X ; and T is also understood from the notation, i.e., instead of $T(g, x)$ we simply write gx , and the above formula becomes $g_1(g_2x) = (g_1 g_2)x$.

We say G acts trivially on X if $gx = x$ for all $g \in G$ and $x \in X$.

Let X, Y be two sets, and G be a group that acts on both X and Y .

Definition 2.0.2. A map $F : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be G -equivariant, if $F(gx) = gF(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $g \in G$. Moreover, if G acts trivially on Y then we say F is G -invariant.

Example 2.0.3. Let X be the space of all images of 28×28 pixels, which contains the MNIST data set. Let G be the cyclic group of order 4. Pick a generator g of G , and we define the action of g on X by setting gx to be the image obtained from rotating x counterclockwise by 90 degrees. Let Y be the set $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, 9\} \times \{0, 90, 180, 270\}$. For any $y = (\text{num}, \theta) \in Y$ we define

$$gy := (\text{num}, (\theta + 90) \bmod_{360}).$$

An equivariant neural network that classifies the number and rotation angle can be viewed as a map F from X to Y . Equivariance means if $F(x) = (\text{num}, \theta)$ then $F(gx) = (\text{num}, (\theta + 90) \bmod_{360})$, for all $x \in X$.

Thus, we can see that we can model each layer in the neural network as a group G acts on a set X , where we can interpret the set X as input to this layer and the group action as the function mapping or operation of this layer. By abstracting the behaviors on the original input data using groups (for example, using a same group to represent either rotation by 180° and flipping, or even more abstract operations during intermediate layers), we are able to apply group actions on different sets X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots (where each one represents input to different layers) and design similar equivariant network structures based on an original one.

3. EQUIVARIFICATION

In this section, we talk about the detailed method of performing equivarification and its theoretical foundation. This is the key part of the paper to understand our proposed equivarification method. Those who would like to avoid mathematical proofs can directly go to the examples we provide to get intuitive ideas of how we construct the equivariant neural networks.

In this section we fix X and Z to be two sets, and G to be a group that acts on X .

Definition 3.0.1. Define the G -product of Z to be

$$Z^{\times G} = \{s : G \rightarrow Z\},$$

the set of all maps from G to Z .

We define a G -action on $Z^{\times G}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} G \times Z^{\times G} &\rightarrow Z^{\times G} \\ (g, s) &\mapsto gs, \end{aligned}$$

where gs as a map from G to Z is defined as

$$(3.0.1) \quad (gs)(g') := s(g^{-1}g'),$$

for any $g' \in G$.

We have the projection map $p : Z^{\times G} \rightarrow Z$ defined by $p(s) = s(e)$ for any $s \in Z^{\times G}$ where $e \in G$ is the identity element. Then

Lemma 3.0.2. *For any map $F : X \rightarrow Z$, there exists a unique G -equivariant map $\widehat{F} : X \rightarrow Z^{\times G}$ such that $p(\widehat{F}(x)) = F(x)$ for all $x \in X$.*

Proof. For any $x \in X$, we define $\widehat{F}(x)$ as a map from G to Z by

$$(\widehat{F}(x))(g) = F(g^{-1}x),$$

for any $g \in G$. To see that \widehat{F} is G -equivariant, we need to check for any $x \in X$ and $g \in G$, $\widehat{F}(gx) = g(\widehat{F}(x))$. For any $h \in G$, $(\widehat{F}(gx))(h) = F(h^{-1}gx)$ by the definition of \widehat{F} , while $(g(\widehat{F}(x)))(h) = (\widehat{F}(x))(g^{-1}h) = F(h^{-1}gx)$. We leave the proof of uniqueness to the readers. \square

This lemma can be summarized as the commutative diagram in Figure 1. It motivates the following general definition.

Definition 3.0.3. We say a tuple (\widehat{Z}, T, p) a G -equivarification of Z if

- \widehat{Z} is a set with a G -action T ;
- $p : \widehat{Z} \rightarrow Z$ is a map such that $p \circ \widehat{F} = F$;
- For any set X with a G -action, and map $F : X \rightarrow Z$, there exists a G -equivariant map $\widehat{F} : X \rightarrow \widehat{Z}$ such that $p \circ \widehat{F} = F$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & Z^{\times G} \\
 & \nearrow \exists! \widehat{F} & \downarrow p \\
 X & \xrightarrow{F} & Z
 \end{array}$$

FIGURE 1.

Here \circ denotes the composition of maps. As usual, T will be omitted from notation.

In Section 4 we will see applications of G -equivarification to neural networks. From Lemma 3.0.2 we know that the G -product is a G -equivarification. There are other G -equivarifications. See Appendix for more discussion.

Example 3.0.4. Let G be the cyclic group of order 4. More concretely, we order elements of G by (e, g, g^2, g^3) . The set $Z^{\times G}$ can be identified with $Z^{\times 4} = Z \times Z \times Z \times Z$ via the map

$$(3.0.2) \quad s \mapsto (s(e), s(g), s(g^2), s(g^3)).$$

Then G acts on $Z^{\times 4}$ by $g(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) = (z_3, z_0, z_1, z_2)$, and the projection map $p : Z^{\times 4} \rightarrow Z$ is given by $(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) \mapsto z_0$. Let $F : X \rightarrow Z$ be an arbitrary map, then after the identification \widehat{F} becomes a map from Z to $Z^{\times 4}$ and

$$\widehat{F}(x) = (F(x), F(g^{-1}x), F(g^{-2}x), F(g^{-3}x)).$$

One can check that \widehat{F} is G -equivariant. The map p is given by

$$p(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) = z_0.$$

It is easy to see that $p \circ \widehat{F} = F$.

4. APPLICATION TO NEURAL NETWORKS

In this section, we show through an example how our proposed equivarification method works.

Let $\{L_i : X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}\}_{i=0}^n$ be an n -layer neural network (which can be CNN, multi-layer perceptrons, etc.). In particular, X_0 is the input data set, and X_{n+1} is the output data set. Let G be a finite group that acts on X_0 . Let L be the composition of all layers

$$L = L_n \circ L_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ L_0 : X_0 \rightarrow X_{n+1}.$$

Then we can equivarify L and get maps $\widehat{L} : X_0 \rightarrow \widehat{X}_n$ and $p : \widehat{X}_{n+1} \rightarrow X_{n+1}$. Then \widehat{L} is an equivariant neural network.




FIGURE 2. Equivarification layer by layer. This shows how does the equivarification method works for each layer. Due to the G -equivariance property across layers, one design technique can be uniformly applied for all layers even if they perform different mappings.

Alternatively, one can construct an equivariant neural network layer by layer. More precisely, the equivariant neural network is given by $\{\widehat{L_i \circ p_i} : \widehat{X}_i \rightarrow \widehat{X}_{i+1}\}_{i=0}^n$, where $\widehat{L_i \circ p_i}$ is the equivarification of $L_i \circ p_i$ for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, $\widehat{X}_0 = X_0$ and $p_0 = \text{id}$ is the identity map (See Figure 2). More precisely, by the commutativity of Figure 2 we know that

$$p_{n+1} \circ \widehat{L_n \circ p_n} \circ L_{n-1} \circ \widehat{L_{n-1} \circ p_{n-1}} \circ \dots \circ \widehat{L_0 \circ p_0} = L = p \circ \widehat{L}.$$

Then both $\widehat{L_n \circ p_n} \circ L_{n-1} \circ \widehat{L_{n-1} \circ p_{n-1}} \circ \dots \circ \widehat{L_0 \circ p_0}$ and \widehat{L} are equivarifications of L . Suppose that for both equivarifications we have chosen \widehat{X}_{n+1} to be $X_{n+1}^{\times G}$. Then by the statement in Theorem 3.0.2, we have

$$\widehat{L_n \circ p_n} \circ L_{n-1} \circ \widehat{L_{n-1} \circ p_{n-1}} \circ \dots \circ \widehat{L_0 \circ p_0} = \widehat{L}.$$

Sometimes, other than equivarifying the map $L_i \circ p_i : \widehat{X}_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}$, it makes sense to construct some other map L'_i from \widehat{X}_i to some other set X'_{i+1} , and then we can equivarify L'_i . This makes the equivariant neural network more interesting (see the example below).

Example 4.0.1. Let the 0-th layer $L_0 : X_0 \rightarrow X_1$ of a neural network that defined on the MNIST data set be a convolutional layer, and $X_1 = \mathbb{R}^{\ell_1}$, where $\ell_1 = 28 \times 28 \times c_1$, and c_1 is the number of channels (strides = (1, 1), padding = 'same'). Let $G = \{e, g, g^2, g^3\}$ be the cyclic group of order 4 such that g acts on X_0 as the 90-degree counterclockwise rotation. Then we construct $\widehat{L}_0 : X_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{4\ell_1}$ by

$$x_0 \mapsto (L_0(x_0), L_0(g^{-1}x_0), L_0(g^{-2}x_0), L_0(g^{-3}x_0)).$$

For the next layer, instead of equivarifying $L_1 \circ p_1 : \mathbb{R}^{4\ell_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\ell_2}$, we can construct another convolutional layer directly from $\mathbb{R}^{4\ell_1}$ by concatenating the four copies of \mathbb{R}^{ℓ_1} along the channel axis to obtain $\mathbb{R}^{28 \times 28 \times 4c_1}$, and build




FIGURE 3. In this figure, conv1 is a standard convolution layer with input = X_0 and output = X_1 . After equivarification of conv1, we get four copies of X_1 . Then we stack the four copies along the channel direction, and take this whole thing as an input of a standard convolution layer conv2. We equivarify conv2, stack the four copies of X_2 , and feed it to another convolution layer conv3. Now instead of equivarifying conv3, we add layer pool and layer dense (logistic layer), and then we equivarify their composition $\text{dense} \circ \text{pool} \circ \text{conv3} \circ g^{-1}$ and get $\hat{X}^5 = \mathbb{R}^{40}$. To get the predicted classes, we can take an argmax afterwards.

a standard convolution layer on it. This new construction of course changes the number of variables compared to that of the original network.

From the above analysis and Lemma 3.0.2, it is not hard to derive the following summary.

Main result. *Let \mathbf{X} be an original neural network that can process input data $\{x_0^i\}_i \subseteq X_0$ and labelling data $\{x_{n+1}^i\} \subseteq X_{n+1}$. Let G be a finite group that acts on X_0 . The proposed G -equivarification method is able to generate an equivariant neural network $\hat{\mathbf{X}}$ that can process all input data $\{x_0^i\}_i \subseteq X_0 = \hat{X}_0$ and enhanced labeling data $\{\hat{x}_{n+1}^i\} \subseteq \hat{X}_{n+1}$. Furthermore, the complexity of $\hat{\mathbf{X}}$ is the same as the complexity of \mathbf{X} in terms of the number of parameters.*

5. EXPERIMENTS

In this section, we show our experiments on the canonical MNIST dataset, which achieves promising performance¹.

Our designed equivariant neural network using the proposed equivarification method is shown in Figure 3. Note that equivarification process does not increase the number of variables. In our case, in order to illustrate flexibility we choose not to simply equivarify the original neural network, so the layer conv2 and conv3 have four times the number of variables compared to the corresponding original layers.

Next, we discuss the labeling of the input data. Since the neural network is G -equivariant, it only makes sense to encode the labels G -equivariantly. We continue with the MNIST example.

For $m \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 9\}$ denote

$$e_m = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{10}.$$

↑
 m -th spot

For an unrotated image $x_0 \in X_0$ that represents the number m , we assign the label $e_m \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{40}$. Then based on the equivariance, we assign

$$\begin{aligned} gx_0 &\mapsto 0 \oplus e_m \oplus 0 \oplus 0, \\ g^2x_0 &\mapsto 0 \oplus 0 \oplus e_m \oplus 0, \\ g^3x_0 &\mapsto 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus e_m. \end{aligned}$$

For each testing image in the MNIST data set, we randomly rotate it by an angle of degree in $\{0, 90, 180, 270\}$, and we prepare the label as above. For the training images, we can do the same, but just for convenience, we actually do not rotate them, since it won't affect the training result at all.

5.1. Equivariance Verification. To spot check the equivariance after implementation, we print out probability vectors in \mathbb{R}^{40} of an image of the number 7 and its rotations. We see that the probability vectors are identical after a shift² by 10 slots. See Figure 4.

5.2. Accuracy. Here we count the prediction as correct if both the number and the angle are predicted correctly. The accuracy of our neural network on the test data is 96.8%. This is promising when considering the fact that some numbers are quite hard to determine its angles, such as 0, 1, and 8.

¹The code in tensorflow is uploaded as the supplementary material.

²When we implemented, instead of Formula 3.0.2, we used $s \mapsto (s(e), s(g^{-1}), s(g^{-2}), s(g^{-3}))$, which explains the shift in the opposite direction.




FIGURE 4. On the left, we have the rotated images; on the right, we have the predicted numbers, angles, and the probability vectors in \mathbb{R}^{40} , each component of which corresponds to the probability of a (number, angle) combination.

6. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we proposed an equivarification method to design equivariant neural networks that are able to efficiently process input data with symmetries. Our proposed method can be generalized to arbitrary networks or functions by leveraging group action theory, which enables our design to be uniform across layers of feedforward neural networks, such as multi-layer perceptrons, CNNs, without being aware of the knowledge of detailed functions of a layer in a neural network. As an illustration example, we show how to equivarifying a CNN for image classification. Results show that our proposed method performs as expected, yet with significantly reduction in the design and training complexity.




FIGURE 5. Factors through.

APPENDIX A. MORE ABOUT EQUIVARIFICATION

In Section 3 we define $(Z^{\times G}, p)$ as an example of G -equivarification. In this section, we show that it is “minimal” in the sense of its universal property.

Lemma A.0.1 (universal property). *For any G -equivarification (\widehat{Z}', p') of Z , there exists a G -equivariant map $\pi : \widehat{Z}' \rightarrow Z^{\times G}$ such that $p' = p \circ \pi$. Moreover, for any set X and map $F : X \rightarrow Z$, the lifts $\widehat{F} : X \rightarrow Z^{\times G}$ and $\widehat{F}' : X \rightarrow \widehat{Z}'$ of F satisfy $\pi \circ \widehat{F}' = \widehat{F}$. (See Figure 5.)*

Proof. We define the map $\pi : \widehat{Z}' \rightarrow Z^{\times G}$ by $[\pi(\widehat{z}')] (g) = p'(g^{-1}\widehat{z}')$, where $\widehat{z}' \in \widehat{Z}'$ and $g \in G$. To show $p' = p \circ \pi$, for any $\widehat{z}' \in \widehat{Z}'$, we check $p \circ \pi(\widehat{z}') = p[\pi(\widehat{z}')] = [\pi(\widehat{z}')] (e) = p'(\widehat{z}')$. To show π is G -equivariant, for any $\widehat{z}' \in \widehat{Z}'$, and $h \in G$, we compare $\pi(h\widehat{z}')$ and $h\pi(\widehat{z}')$: for any $g \in G$, $[\pi(h\widehat{z}')] (g) = p'(g^{-1}h\widehat{z}')$ and $[h\pi(\widehat{z}')] (g) = [\pi(\widehat{z}')] (h^{-1}g) = p'(g^{-1}h\widehat{z}')$. Lastly, we show $\pi \circ \widehat{F}' = \widehat{F}$. Note that $\pi \circ \widehat{F}'$ is a G -equivariant map from X to $Z^{\times G}$, and

$$p \circ (\pi \circ \widehat{F}') = p' \circ \widehat{F}' = F,$$

so by the uniqueness of Lemma 3.0.2, we get $\pi \circ \widehat{F}' = \widehat{F}$. \square

Now we discuss about finding a “smaller” equivarification in another direction, shrinking the group by bring in the information about X . Let $N = \{g \in G \mid gx = x \text{ for all } x \in G\}$, the set of elements in G that acts trivially on X . It is easy to check that N is a normal subgroup of G . We say G acts on X effectively if $N = \{e\}$. In the case when G does not act effectively, it makes sense to consider the G/N -product of Z , where G/N is the quotient group. More precisely, consider $Z^{\times G/N} = \{s : G/N \rightarrow Z\}$, which is smaller in size than $Z^{\times G}$. For any map $F : X \rightarrow Z$, we can get a G/N -equivariant lift \widehat{F} of F following the same construction as Lemma 3.0.2 (with G replaced by G/N). Since G maps to the quotient G/N , we have that G acts on $Z^{\times G/N}$ and \widehat{F} is also G -equivariant.

REFERENCES

1. Etienne Barnard and David Casasent, *Invariance and neural nets*, IEEE Transactions on neural networks **2** (1991), no. 5, 498–508.
2. Taco Cohen and Max Welling, *Group equivariant convolutional networks*, International conference on machine learning, 2016, pp. 2990–2999.
3. Taco S Cohen, Mario Geiger, Jonas Kohler, and Max Welling, *Spherical CNNs*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.10130 (2018).
4. Sander Dieleman, Kyle W Willett, and Joni Dambre, *Rotation-invariant convolutional neural networks for galaxy morphology prediction*, Monthly notices of the royal astronomical society **450** (2015), no. 2, 1441–1459.
5. Ian Goodfellow, Honglak Lee, Quoc V Le, Andrew Saxe, and Andrew Y Ng, *Measuring invariances in deep networks*, Advances in neural information processing systems, 2009, pp. 646–654.
6. Song Han, Huizi Mao, and William J Dally, *Deep compression: Compressing deep neural networks with pruning, trained quantization and Huffman coding*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1510.00149 (2015).
7. Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey E Hinton, *Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks*, Advances in neural information processing systems, 2012, pp. 1097–1105.
8. Diego Marcos, Michele Volpi, Benjamin Kellenberger, and Devis Tuia, *Land cover mapping at very high resolution with rotation equivariant cnns: Towards small yet accurate models*, ISPRS journal of photogrammetry and remote sensing **145** (2018), 96–107.
9. Diego Marcos, Michele Volpi, Nikos Komodakis, and Devis Tuia, *Rotation equivariant vector field networks*, Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision, 2017, pp. 5048–5057.
10. Murad Ozaydin, *Equivariant maps for the symmetric group*, (1987).
11. Stavros J Perantonis and Paulo JG Lisboa, *Translation, rotation, and scale invariant pattern recognition by high-order neural networks and moment classifiers*, IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks **3** (1992), no. 2, 241–251.
12. N Christopher Phillips, *Equivariant k -theory and freeness of group actions on c^* -algebras*, vol. 1274, Springer, 2006.
13. David Ploog, *Equivariant autoequivalences for finite group actions*, Advances in Mathematics **216** (2007), no. 1, 62–74.
14. Henry A Rowley, Shumeet Baluja, and Takeo Kanade, *Rotation invariant neural network-based face detection*, Proceedings. 1998 IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (Cat. No. 98CB36231), IEEE, 1998, pp. 38–44.
15. Graeme Segal, *Equivariant k -theory*, Publications mathématiques de l’IHÉS **34** (1968), 129–151.
16. Bastiaan S Veeling, Jasper Linmans, Jim Winkens, Taco Cohen, and Max Welling, *Rotation equivariant cnns for digital pathology*, International Conference on Medical image computing and computer-assisted intervention, Springer, 2018, pp. 210–218.
17. Maurice Weiler, Fred A Hamprecht, and Martin Storath, *Learning steerable filters for rotation equivariant cnns*, Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2018, pp. 849–858.

18. Wei Wen, Chunpeng Wu, Yandan Wang, Yiran Chen, and Hai Li, *Learning structured sparsity in deep neural networks*, Advances in neural information processing systems, 2016, pp. 2074–2082.

SIMONS CENTER FOR GEOMETRY AND PHYSICS, STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK, STONY BROOK, NY 11790

E-mail address: baoerkao@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, CITY UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG

E-mail address: linqi.song@cityu.edu.hk