

Expanded-clique graphs and the domination problem

Mitre C. Dourado^{*1}, Rodolfo A. Oliveira^{†2}, Vitor Ponciano^{‡1},
Alessandra B. Queiróz^{§1}, and Rômulo L. O. Silva^{¶1}

¹Instituto de Computação, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro,
Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

²Instituto do Noroeste Fluminense, Universidade Federal Fluminense, Brazil

May 15, 2024

Abstract

Given a graph G such that each vertex v_i has a value $f(v_i)$, the expanded-clique graph H is the graph where each vertex v_i of G becomes a clique V_i of size $f(v_i)$ and for each edge $v_i v_j \in E(G)$, there is a vertex of V_i adjacent to an exclusive vertex of V_j . In this work, among the results, we present two characterizations of the expanded-clique graphs, one of them leads to a linear-time recognition algorithm. Regarding the domination number, we show that this problem is NP-complete for planar bipartite 3-expanded-clique graphs and for cubic line graphs of bipartite graphs.

1 Introduction

We consider finite, simple and undirected graphs. The degree, the open and the closed neighborhoods of a vertex v are denoted by $d(v)$, $N(v)$ and $N[v]$, respectively. In this text, whenever we refer to a graph by G , we will denote its vertex set by $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. Given a function $f : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(v_i) \geq d(v_i)$ for every $v_i \in V(G)$, the *expanded-clique graph* H of (G, f) is defined as follows: for every $v_i \in V(G)$, there is a set $V_i \subseteq V(H)$ with $f(v_i)$ vertices forming a clique; and for every $v_i v_j \in E(G)$, there are $v_{i,j} \in V_i$ and $v_{j,i} \in V_j$ such that $v_{i,j} v_{j,i}$ in $E(H)$. If $f(v_i) - d_G(v_i) = k_i > 0$, then V_i has k_i simplicial vertices, which are denoted by $v'_{i,1}, \dots, v'_{i,k_i}$. In this case, G is the *root of H* under the f -expanded-clique operation. The set V_i will be referred to as the *expanded clique (associated with v_i)*. Note that for every $v \in V_i$, $d(v) \in \{|V_i| - 1, |V_i|\}$. We say that H is an *expanded-clique graph* if H is the expanded-clique

^{*}mitre@ic.ufrj.br. Partially supported by CNPq grant numbers 305404/2020-2 and 403601/2023-1, and FAPERJ grant number SEI-260003/015314/2021

[†]rodolfooliveira@id.uff.br

[‡]vtponciano@ufrj.br

[§]alessandrabarbosa@ufrj.br

[¶]romulolo@ufpa.br

graph for some graph G and function f . If $f(v_i) = k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then we can say that H is a k -*expanded-clique* graph.

In this work, we are interested in the complexity aspects of the recognition problem of the expanded-clique graphs and of the domination problem on this class. We remark that the well-studied inflated graphs [1, 5, 6] form a subclass of the clique-expanded graphs, since a graph H is *inflated* if it is the expanded-clique graph of a pair (G, f) satisfying $f(v_i) = d(v_i)$ for every $v_i \in V(G)$.

Recall that $D \subseteq V(G)$ is a *dominating set* of a graph G if every vertex of $V(G) \setminus D$ has a neighbor in D . The domination problem is a classic problem in graphs having many relevant applications [4]. It can be stated as:

DOMINATING SET

Input: A graph G and a positive integer ℓ .

Question: Is there a dominating set $S \subseteq V(G)$ so that $|S| \leq \ell$?

The text is organized as follows. In Section 2, we begin by showing that the subdivided-line graphs [3] and the Sierpiński graphs [8] are proper subclasses of expanded-clique graphs, and that this class is a proper subclass of the line graphs of bipartite graphs [2]. Next, we present two characterizations of the expanded-clique graphs, one of them leading to a linear-time recognition algorithm. Section 3 deals with the domination problem. We show that this problem is NP-complete for planar bipartite 3-expanded-clique graphs and for cubic line graphs of bipartite graphs. We also show that given an expanded-clique H , the domination number of H plus the 2-independence number of the root G of H is equal to $|V(G)|$. As a consequence of this result, we derive lower and upper bounds for the dominating number of expanded-clique graphs, which lead to the fact that a dominating set of H can be easily found within the ratio $1 + \frac{1}{\Delta(G)}$ of the minimum.

We conclude this section by presenting useful notation. Consider G a graph. The minimum and maximum degrees of G are denoted by $\delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$, respectively. We say that G is a *cubic graph* if G is 3-regular. We write K_n for the complete graph with n vertices. The subgraph of G *induced* by V' is denoted by $G[V']$. A vertex $v \in V$ is a *simplicial vertex* if $N(v)$ induces a clique. For the case of $V' \subseteq V$, denote the *closed neighborhood of V' in G* as $N[V'] = \{v \in N[v'] : \text{for all } v' \in V'\}$, and *open neighborhood of V' in G* as $N(V') = N[V'] \setminus V'$. We write $K_{p,q}$ for the complete bipartite graph where independent sets have respectively the sizes p and q . A *claw* is a $K_{1,3}$ graph. A *diamond* is an induced cycle C_4 plus one chord. An *odd-hole* is any induced cycle C_q where q is an odd number greater than 4. The *butterfly graph*, also called hourglass graph, is the graph depicted in Figure 1.

2 Characterization and recognition

The *subdivision* of a graph F , $S(F)$, is the replacement of every edge $uv \in E(F)$ for a new vertex x_{uv} and edges $x_{uv}u$ and $x_{uv}v$. The *line graph* of F , written $L(F)$, is the graph whose




Figure 1: Claw, Diamond, Odd-hole and Butterfly graph

vertex set is $E(F)$ and in which two distinct vertices uv and xy are adjacent if and only if they are adjacent in F , i.e., $\{u, v\} \cap \{x, y\} \neq \emptyset$. We say that $L(S(F))$ is a *subdivided-line graph* [3]. See an example in Figure 2.




Figure 2: An example of a line graph of subdivision.

The Sierpiński graphs were introduced by Klavžar and Milutinović as a generalization of the graph of the Tower of Hanoi problem [7]. Given integers $p \geq 1$ and $q \geq 1$, the *Sierpiński graph* $S(p, q)$ has a vertex for each p -tuple that can be formed from $\{1, \dots, q\}$ and, for two distinct vertices $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p)$ and $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_p)$, $uw \in E(S(p, q))$ if and only if there exists an $h \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ such that

1. $u_t = w_t$ for $t \in \{1, \dots, h-1\}$;
2. $u_h \neq w_h$;
3. $u_t = w_h$ and $w_t = u_h$ for $t \in \{h+1, p\}$.

In Figure 3, we show examples of $S(1, 3)$, $S(2, 3)$, and $S(3, 3)$.

Observe that a subdivided-line graph has no simplicial vertices, whereas the number of simplicial vertices of a Sierpiński graph is q , which means that they are disjoint graph classes. In the next result, we show that both are proper subclasses of the expanded-clique graphs.




Figure 3: Examples of Sierpiński graphs.

Proposition 2.1. *If H is a subdivided-line graph or a Sierpiński graph, then H is expanded-clique.*

Proof. For both cases, we need to find a root G and its corresponding f .

For a subdivided-line graph $H = L(S(G))$, take G as the root and $f(v_i) = d(v_i)$ for every $v_i \in V(G)$. Note that the vertex set of H is formed by a set V_i with $d(v_i)$ vertices forming a clique for every $v_i \in V(G)$. Furthermore, if $v_i v_j \in E(G)$, then the vertex v_{ij} of $S(G)$, originated by the edge $v_i v_j$, becomes an edge in H joining one vertex of V_i to an exclusive vertex of V_j . Since there are no more edges, we conclude that H is the expanded-clique graph of (G, f) .

Now, let H be a Sierpiński graph $S(p, q)$. Note that if $q = 1$, then H is the trivial graph. Then, we can assume that $q \geq 2$. For $p = 1$, note that H is the complete graph with q vertices. Then, we can choose $V(G) = \{v_1\}$ and $f(v_1) = q$. For $p > 1$, let $G = S(p - 1, q)$ and $f(v_i) = q$ for every $v_i \in V(G)$. The expanded-clique graph of (G, f) has $q|V(G)|$ vertices and this is the number of vertices of $S(p, q)$ because for any $(p - 1)$ -tuple that can be formed with q elements, we can form q tuples by adding one coordinate. The definition of Sierpiński graphs and the definition of expanded-clique graphs imply that these q vertices form a clique. Denote by V_i such clique associated with $v_i \in V(S(p - 1, q))$. We can write $v_i = (u_1, \dots, u_{p-1})$. Note that $d(v_i) \in \{q - 1, q\}$. We know that every vertex of $S(p, q)$ and every vertex of a q -expanded-clique graph has also degree $q - 1$ or q . If $d(v_i) = q$, then since $p \geq 2$ and $q \geq 2$, the definition implies that there are two coordinates of v_i that are different. Then, for every $v_j \in N(v_i)$, there is a vertex in V_i and a vertex in V_j that are adjacent in $S(p, q)$. If $d(v_i) = q - 1$, then all coordinates of v_i are equal. Then for every $v_j \in N(v_i)$, there is a vertex in V_i and a vertex in V_j that are adjacent in $S(p, q)$. Since there are no more edges in $S(p, q)$ and the edges cited above are precisely the edges of the expanded-clique graph of (G, f) , we conclude that $H = S(p, q)$ is an

expanded-clique graph. □

Before showing that the line graphs of bipartite graphs form a superclass of the expanded-clique graphs, we recall an useful result.

Proposition 2.2. [2] *A graph is a line graph of a bipartite graph if and only if it is (claw, diamond, odd-hole)-free.*

Theorem 2.3. *If H is an expanded-clique graph, then H is a line graph of a bipartite graph.*

proof. Let H be an expanded-clique graph. By Proposition 2.2, it suffices to show that H is (claw, diamond, odd-hole)-free. By the definition of expanded-clique graphs, for every $v \in V(H)$, either $N(v)$ is a clique or there is $u \in N(v)$ such that $N(v) \setminus \{u\}$ is a clique and u has no neighbors in $N(v)$. Since a claw and a diamond have vertices not satisfying this property, we conclude that H is (claw, diamond)-free. Now, let $C_q = u_1 \dots u_q u_1$ be an induced cycle of H where $q \geq 4$, and let G be a root of H . By the definition of expanded-clique graphs, every vertex $u_i \in V(H)$ belongs to exactly one expanded clique V_j , for some $v_j \in V(G)$, and has at most one neighbor outside V_j . Since C_q has no chords, for every vertex u_i of C_q , one of its neighbors in C_q belongs to the same expanded clique as u_i and the other belongs to another expanded clique, which implies that q is even and that H is odd-hole-free. □

For $k \geq 3$, a sequence of vertices u_1, \dots, u_k of a graph F is a *chain* if $u_i u_{i+1} \in E(F)$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$, $d(u_i) = 2$ for $i \in \{2, \dots, k-1\}$ and it is maximal with these properties. We say that a chain is *bad* if k is odd, $d(u_1) \geq 3$ and $d(u_k) \geq 3$. If a chain is not bad, then it is *good*. We say that a vertex $v \in V(F)$ is *1-simplicial* if there is $u \in N(v)$ such that $N(v) \setminus \{u\}$ is a clique and u has no neighbors in $N(v)$. In this case we say that u is the *outsider* of v .

Theorem 2.4. *A graph H that is not a cycle is expanded-clique if and only if every vertex of H is simplicial or 1-simplicial and every chain of H is good.*

Proof. We can assume that H is a non-trivial connected graph. We begin by considering the case where H is a path P_k for $k \geq 2$. Since, in this case, every vertex of H is simplicial or 1-simplicial and H does not have bad chains, we have to show a pair (G, f) such that G is the root of H under the f -expanded-clique operation. For k even, we choose $G = P_{\frac{k}{2}} = v_1 \dots v_{\frac{k}{2}}$ and set $f(v_i) = 2$ if $i \in \{1, \dots, \frac{k}{2}\}$; and for k odd, we choose $G = P_{\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil} = v_1 \dots v_{\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil}$ and set $f(v_i) = 2$ if $i \in \{1, \dots, \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil - 1\}$ and $f(v_{\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil}) = 1$. From now on, we can assume that H is neither a cycle nor a path.

For the necessity, consider that H is the expanded-clique of a pair (G, f) . First, suppose by contradiction that u_1, \dots, u_k is a bad chain of H . Since $d(u_1) \geq 3$ and $d(u_2) = 2$, we conclude that u_1 and u_2 belong to different expanded cliques of H . By symmetry, u_{k-1} and u_k belong to different expanded cliques of H . Furthermore, the expanded clique containing u_2 also contains u_3 and no more vertices. Then, $\{u_i, u_{i+1}\}$ is an expanded clique for every $i < k$ such that i is even. Therefore, u_{k-1} and u_k belong to the same expanded clique of H , which is a contradiction. Therefore, any chain of H is good.

Now, suppose by contradiction that there is $v \in V(H)$ such that $N(v)$ is not simplicial neither 1-simplicial. Note that $d(v) \geq 3$. Then, there are $u, w \in N(v)$ such that $uw \notin E(H)$ and let $x \in N(v) \setminus \{u, w\}$. If $u, w \in N_H(x)$, then H has a diamond, which is not possible by Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 2.3. If $ux, xw \notin E(H)$, then H has a claw, which is also not possible. Therefore, every vertex of $N(v) \setminus \{u, w\}$ is adjacent to exactly one vertex of $\{u, w\}$. Hence, the subgraph of H induced by $N(v)$ has exactly two connected components, say C_1 and C_2 , each one being a complete graph. Since v is not 1-simplicial, we have that $|V(C_i)| \geq 2$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that the expanded clique containing v is $\{v\} \cup V(C_1)$. Now, we reach a contradiction because v has at least 2 neighbors outside the expanded clique containing it, which is not possible in an expanded-clique graph.

For the sufficiency, consider that every chain of H is good and for every $v \in V(H)$, either v is a simplicial or a 1-simplicial vertex. We will construct a graph G and a function f such that H is the expanded-clique graph of (G, f) . In order to do this, we define $S(v)$ for every $v \in V(H)$ as follows.

First, consider the vertices v with degree at least 3 in any order. If v is a simplicial vertex, then set $S(v) = N(v)$; otherwise, let $u \in N(v)$ such that $N(v) \setminus \{u\}$ is a clique and u has no neighbors in $N(v)$, and set $S(v) = N(v) \setminus \{u\}$. For the vertices v with degree 2 in any exists, choose anyone having a neighbor w such that $S(w)$ has already been defined. Denote by u the neighbor of v different of w . Then, define $S(v) = \{v, u\}$ and $S(u) = \{v, u\}$ and repeat. Finally, if there are pendant vertices v such that $S(v)$ has not been defined yet, define $S(v) = \{v\}$. The assumptions on H guarantee that $S(v)$ will be defined for every vertex $v \in V(H)$.

Now, consider any ordering u_1, \dots, u_p of $V(H)$. Then, for i from 1 to p , add to G a vertex v_i if $S(u_i) \neq S(u_j)$ for every $j \in [i - 1]$ and set $f(v_i) = |S(u_i)|$. Finally, add to G the edge $v_i v_j$ if there is an edge joining some vertex of $S(u_i)$ to some vertex of $S(u_j)$. Noting that $S(u_i)$ is a clique for every $u_i \in V(H)$ and that every vertex of $S(u_i)$ has at most one neighbor outside $S(u_i)$, we conclude that H is the expanded-clique graph of (G, f) . \square

It is clear that Theorem 2.4 leads to a polynomial-time algorithm for answering whether a graph H that is not a cycle is expanded-clique. We will present in the sequel a linear-time algorithm for this problem. For completeness, our algorithm considers also the case where H is a cycle. For this purpose, we need of the following result.

Proposition 2.5. *If H is an expanded-clique graph, then H is C_4 -free.*

Proof. Let H be the expanded-clique graph of a pair (G, f) . Suppose by contradiction that H contains an induced $u_1 u_2 u_3 u_4 u_1$. By the definition, the expanded cliques of H form a partition of $V(H)$. Consider the expanded clique V_i containing u_1 . We also know that u_1 has at most one neighbor outside V_i . Without loss of generality, we can assume that $u_4 \in V_i$ and that u_2 belongs to an expanded clique V_j different of V_i . The same reasoning implies that $u_3 \in V_j$. With these facts, we conclude that there are two edges in G joining the vertices $v_i, v_j \in V(G)$ associated with V_i and V_j , which contradicts the assumption that G is a simple graph. \square

Algorithm 1: IS_EXPANDED_CLIQUE

Input: A graph H of order $n \geq 3$ that is not a cycle (each adjacency lists is ordered by the vertex number).

Output: The root G of H if one there exists

```
1 if  $H$  is a cycle  $C_n$  then
2   if  $n == 3$  then return  $(\{v_1\}, \{\}, 3)$ ;
3   if  $n == 4$  or  $n \geq 5$  odd then return NO;
4   if  $n \geq 6$  even then return  $(C_{\frac{n}{2}}, 2)$ ;
5 sort the adjacency lists
6 for  $u_i \in H.V$  do
7    $u_i.marked = \text{FALSE}$ 
8    $u_i.outsider = \text{NULL}$ 
9    $u_i.current = u_i.first\_neighbor$ 
10 for  $u_i \in H.V$  do
11   if  $u_i.marked == \text{FALSE}$  then
12      $u_i.marked = \text{TRUE}$ 
13     if  $u_i.deg \geq 3$  then
14       if  $\text{IsSimp1Simp}(H, u_i) == \text{FALSE}$  then return NO;
15     else
16       if  $\text{IsGoodChain}(H, u_i) == \text{FALSE}$  then return NO;
17 return  $(G, f)$ 
```

Procedure IsSimp1Simp(H, u_i)

```
18 if  $u_i.outsider = \text{NULL}$  then
19   Let  $w_1, w_2, w_3$  be the first, the second and the third neighbors of  $u_i$ 
20   if  $w_1w_2 \notin E(H)$  then
21     if  $w_1w_3 \notin E(H)$  then  $u_i.outsider = w_1$ ;
22     else  $u_i.outsider = w_2$  ;
23   else
24     for  $w \in u_i.Adj$  do
25       if  $w \neq w_1$  and  $ww_1 \notin E(H)$  then
26          $u_i.outsider = w$ 
27          $w.outsider = u_i$ 
28 for  $w \in u_i.Adj$  do
29   if  $w \neq u_i.outsider$  then
30     for  $z \in u_i.Adj$  do
31       if  $z \neq w$  and  $z \neq u_i.outsider$  then
32         if  $z.current \neq w$  then
33           if  $z.outsider \neq \text{NULL}$  then return FALSE;
34            $z.outsider = z.current$ 
35            $z.current.outsider = z$ 
36            $z.current = z.current.next$ 
37 for  $w \in u.Adj$  do
38   if  $w \neq u.outsider$  then
39      $w.marked = \text{TRUE}$ 
40     if  $w.current \neq \text{NULL}$  then
41       if  $w.outsider == \text{NULL}$  then
42          $w.outsider = w.current$ 
43          $w.current.outsider = w$ 
44         if  $w.current.next \neq \text{NULL}$  then return FALSE;
45     else return FALSE;
```

Procedure IsGoodChain(H, u)

```
46 if  $u.deg == 1$  then
47    $W =$  singleton formed by the only neighbor of  $u$ 
48    $good\_chain =$  TRUE
49 else
50    $W =$  set formed by the two neighbors of  $u$ 
51    $good\_chain =$  FALSE
52  $q = 1$ 
53 for  $w \in W$  do
54   while TRUE do
55      $v =$  neighbor of  $w$  different of  $u$ 
56     if  $v.deg \geq 3$  then break;
57      $q = q + 1$ 
58      $v.marked =$  TRUE
59     if  $v.deg == 1$  then
60        $good\_chain =$  TRUE
61       break
62      $u = w$ 
63      $w = v$ 
64 if  $good\_chain$  or  $q$  is even then return TRUE;
65 return FALSE
```

Theorem 2.6. *Algorithm 1 is correct.*

Proof. First, consider that the input graph H is a cycle C_n for $n \geq 3$. It is clear that C_3 is the 3-expanded-clique graph of the trivial graph. By Proposition 2.5, we know that C_4 is not an expanded-clique graph. Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 imply that C_n is not expanded-clique graph for $n \geq 5$ odd. For $n \geq 6$ even, it suffices to note that C_n is the expanded-clique graph of the pair $(C_{\frac{n}{2}}, 2)$. These cases are considered in lines 1 to 4.

Consider now that H is connected and is not a cycle. By the characterization given in Theorem 2.4, we have to show that the algorithm returns a pair (G, f) where H is the f -expanded-clique of G if and only if every vertex of H is simplicial or 1-simplicial and every chain of H is good. In the for loop beginning in line 6, the algorithm set initial values for the variables associated with every vertex u_i of H . and $current$. When the $marked$ variable becomes TRUE, the algorithm already knows to which expanded clique u_i belongs to, which prevents that an expanded clique be discovered more than once. The $outsider$ variable will register the outsider of u_i if the algorithm reaches out that u_i is a 1-simplicial vertex with degree at least 3. The auxiliary variable $current$ keeps the current neighbor of u_i during a search in its neighborhood. Next, in the for loop beginning in line 10, the algorithm passes through every vertex of H testing whether it is simplicial or 1-simplicial (line 14) if its degree is

at least 3. Otherwise, it verifies whether the chain containing it is good (line 16). Therefore, it suffices to show that (i) `IsSimp1Simp`(H, u_i) returns FALSE if and only if u_i is neither simplicial nor 1-simplicial in the case where $u_i.deg \geq 3$, and that (ii) `IsGoodChain`(H, u_i) returns FALSE if and only if the chain containing u_i is not good in the case where $u_i.deg \leq 2$.

(i) From lines 19 to 27, the algorithm finds out the outsider of vertex u_i if one there exists. Recall that the outsider of u_i is the only neighbor of u_i that is not adjacent to any other neighbor of u_i . Then, if the first two neighbors of u_i are not adjacent, then one of them is the outsider of u_i . To discover which one is the outsider, it suffices to test whether for any of them if it is adjacent to the third neighbor of u_i . These tests are done in lines 19 to 22. On the other hand, if the first two neighbors of u_i are adjacent, then none of them is the outsider of u_i . Then, it suffices to pass through the adjacency list of u_i testing whether there is some neighbor of u_i non-adjacent to the first neighbor of u_i . This verification is done in lines 23 to 27.

From lines 28 to 36, the algorithm checks whether any neighbor of u_i different of its outsider is also neighbor of every other neighbor of u_i , i.e., if these vertices form a clique. Since the adjacency lists are ordered, we can pass through the adjacency lists of the neighbors of u_i simultaneously. However, a neighbor z of u_i can be a 1-simplicial vertex, in which case z has a neighbor z' not in the neighborhood of u_i . This possibility is considered in line 32. If this occurs, then such z' is the outsider of z and vice-versa and this information is saved in lines 34 and 35. But if this occurs more than once, then we know that z is neither simplicial nor 1-simplicial, which is tested in line 33.

If u_i is a simplicial or a 1-simplicial vertex, then any neighbor of u_i has $d(u_i) - 1, d(u_i)$, or $d(u_i) + 1$ neighbors. When the algorithm reaches line 37, we have checked that the neighbors of u_i different of its outsider form a clique C . Each such vertex can have at most one neighbor outside C . We have finished to search the neighborhood of u_i , but we have to complete the search at the adjacency list of each neighbor of u_i to guarantee that it does not have more vertices. If it has one neighbor and its outsider is undefined yet, then such vertex is its outsider. If it has 2 or more neighbors, then H is not clique-expanded. These tests are done in lines 37 to 45 completing the proof of (i).

(ii) Denote by u_1, \dots, u_k the chain C containing u_i . If $i = 1$ and $d(u_1) = 1$, then C is good by the definition. If this is the case, this information is saved in line 48. We use the set W to save the set of neighbors of u_i in line 47 or 50. The variable q is used to count the number of internal vertices of the chain. From lines 53 to 63, the algorithm finds out all vertices belonging to such chain. It accomplishes this by choosing one neighbor w of u_i and following the path beginning in u_i and containing w until that some vertex with degree different from 2 is found. Then, it repeats the same for the other neighbor of u_i if it exists. If the chain is good, the algorithm answers this in line 64. Otherwise, it returns bad in line 65. It is clear that this procedure returns FALSE if and only if the chain containing u_i is not good in the case where $u_i.deg \leq 2$ \square

Theorem 2.7. *For a graph H of order n and size m , Algorithm 1 finishes in $O(n + m)$ steps.*

Proof. The case where H is a cycle is considered in lines 1 to 4 and it finishes in constant time. From now on we assume that H is a connected graph different of a cycle. Consider that H is represented by adjacency lists and each vertex is associated with an exclusive number from 1 to n .

We begin by showing that the sort of the adjacency lists can be done in linear time (line 5). Consider an array N of size n . Each position of N is a linked list initially empty. For i from 1 to n , we go through the adjacency list of every vertex $u_i \in V(H)$. For each $w_j \in N(u_i)$, add u_i to the linked list $N[j]$. This is done in $O(n + m)$ steps for all vertices of H . Observe that for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $N[i]$ is exactly the adjacency list of vertex u_i and they appear in ascending order.

Next, it is clear that the for loop of lines 6 to 9 costs $O(n)$ steps. Since the for loop beginning in line 10 has $O(n)$ iterations, we have to show that the number of steps of the functions `IsSimp1Simp` and `IsGoodChain` have time complexity $O(n + m)$ over all calls of these procedures.

First, note that the variable `marked` is set to FALSE only once (line 7). Note also that a call to `IsSimp1Simp(H, u_i)` makes that the variable `marked` of u_i and of every vertex belonging to the same expanded clique as u_i are set to TRUE in lines 12 and 39, respectively. Therefore, line 11 guarantees that at most once call to `IsSimp1Simp` occurs for each expanded clique of H . Because of line 58, we can also conclude that at most once call to `IsGoodChain` occurs for each chain of H .

Now, note that the cost of a call to `IsSimp1Simp(H, u_i)` is $O(d(u_i)^2)$. Since the sum of the degrees of the vertices belonging to the expanded clique containing u_i is $O(d(u_i)^2)$, the total complexity of all calls to `IsSimp1Simp` is $O(m)$. Analogously, note that the cost of a call to `IsGoodChain(H, u_i)` is $O(q)$ where q is the size of the chain containing q . Since every internal vertex of a chain has degree 2, the total complexity of all calls to `IsGoodChain` is $O(m)$. Therefore, the total time complexity of Algorithm 1 is $O(n + m)$. \square

We conclude this section by presenting another characterization of expanded-clique graphs.

Corollary 2.8. *A graph is expanded-clique if and only if it is (bad chain, butterfly, claw, C_4 , diamond, odd-hole)-free.*

Proof. Let H be an expanded-clique graph. Due to Theorem 2.3, we know that H is a line graph of a bipartite graph. Then, Proposition 2.2 implies that H is (claw, diamond, odd-hole)-free. By Theorem 2.4, H is bad chain free. Since a butterfly has a vertex that is neither simplicial nor 1-simplicial, Theorem 2.4 also implies that H is butterfly free. Since Proposition 2.5 guarantees that H is C_4 free, H is (bad chain, butterfly, claw, C_4 , diamond, odd-hole)-free.

Conversely, let H be a graph that is (bad chain, butterfly, claw, C_4 , diamond, odd-hole)-free. If H is a cycle C_k , then k is even greater than 4. It is clear that $C_{2k'}$ for $k' \geq 3$ is an expanded-clique graph. Then, consider that H is not a cycle. Assume by contradiction that H is not an expanded-clique graph. As H does not contain no bad chains, by Theorem 2.4, H contains some vertex v that is neither simplicial nor 1-simplicial.

If there are u_1, u_2, u_3 in $N(v)$ such that $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ is an independent set, then we have a contradiction because H has a claw. Since v is not simplicial, there are $u_1, u_2 \in N(v)$ such that $u_1 u_2 \notin E(H)$. If some neighbor of v is adjacent to both u_1, u_2 , we would have a diamond. Hence, the subgraph of H induced by $N(v)$ has exactly two connected components, say C_1 and C_2 , each one being a complete graph. Since v is not 1-simplicial, we have that $|V(C_i)| \geq 2$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Then, choose $u_1, u_2 \in V(C_1)$ and $u_3, u_4 \in V(C_2)$. These 4 vertices plus v form a butterfly, which is a contradiction. \square

3 The domination problem

In this section, we deal with the DOMINATING SET problem for k -expanded-clique graphs H . For $k = 2$, the root G is a path or cycle and can be easily verified that if $|V(G)| \geq 4$, then $\gamma(H) = \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil$. For $k = 3$, the problem becomes hard as we will see in the sequel.

The EDGE DOMINATING SET problem asks, for a graph G and an integer ℓ , whether there is a set $E' \subseteq E(G)$ so that $|E'| \leq \ell$ and every edge of $E(G) \setminus E'$ is adjacent to some edge of E' . It is known that the EDGE DOMINATING SET problem is NP-complete for bipartite graphs with maximum degree 3 [10], which means that DOMINATING SET is NP-complete for line graphs of bipartite graphs with maximum degree 4 (\mathcal{C}_1). It is also known [11, 9] that the DOMINATING SET problem is NP-complete for planar bipartite graphs with maximum degree 3 and girth at least k for a fixed k (\mathcal{C}_2) and for cubic graphs (\mathcal{C}_3). Up to our best knowledge, for no proper subclass of these three classes, the DOMINATING SET problem is known to be NP-complete.

We show in Theorem 3.1 that DOMINATING SET is NP-complete for planar bipartite 3-expanded-clique graphs, which by Theorem 2.3 is a proper subclass of classes \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 ; and in Theorem 3.2 for cubic line graphs of bipartite graphs, a proper subclass of class \mathcal{C}_3 . The proofs of these two results are very similar and since both reduction are done from two variations of the DOMINATING SET problem, we begin by presenting a general reduction so that next we complete each proof with the necessary details.

Given a graph G , we denote by $\gamma(G)$ the size of a minimum dominating set of G .

Reduction A. Consider an instance $\langle G, \ell \rangle$ of DOMINATING SET. Let G' be the 3-expanded-clique of G , and let H be the 3-expanded-clique of G' . Set $\ell' = 2|V(G)| + \ell$. Then, G has a dominating set with at most ℓ vertices if and only if H has a dominating set with at most $2|V(G)| + \ell$ vertices. \square

Proof. The pair $\langle H, \ell' \rangle$ is an instance of DOMINATING SET where H is the 3-expanded-clique graph of G' . See Figure 4 for an example.

For $u \in V(G)$, denote the expanded clique of G' associated with u by $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$, and for $i \in [3]$ and $u_i \in V(G')$, denote the expanded clique of H associated with u_i by $\{u_{i,1}, u_{i,2}, u_{i,3}\}$. For $u \in V(G)$, denote by H_u the subgraph of H induced by $\{u_{i,j} : i \in [3] \text{ and } j \in [3]\}$. See Figure 5.

Claim 1. For every $u \in V(G)$, it holds that $\gamma(H_u) = 3$.




Figure 4: Graph resulting from polynomial transformation.

Proof of Claim 1. We know that $\gamma(H_u) \geq 3$ because $\Delta(H_u) = 3$ and $|V(H_u)| = 9$. On the other hand, note that any set formed by one vertex of each K_3 is a dominating set, and, therefore, $\gamma(H_u) = 3$. See Figure 5-(ii). \blacksquare

Claim 2. *If D is a dominating set of H , then $|V(H_u) \cap D| \geq 2$ for every $u \in V(G)$. Furthermore, if $|V(H_u) \cap D| = 2$ for some $u \in V(G)$, then $V(H_u) \setminus N[V(H_u) \cap D]$ has only one vertex and such vertex is dominated by some vertex in H_v whose $|V(H_v) \cap D| \geq 3$.*




Figure 5: Vertex domination in H_u .

Proof of Claim 2. For any $u \in V(G)$, denote by U_3 the subset of $V(H_u)$ having neighbors only in H_u and write $U_2 = V(H_u) \setminus U_3$. Since $\Delta(H_u) = 3$ and $|U_3| = 6$, we conclude that $|V(H_u) \cap D| \geq 2$. Now, consider that $V(H_w) \cap D = \{x, y\}$ for some $w \in V(G)$. Observe that if $x \in W_2$, then D would not be a dominating set of H . Therefore, by symmetry, we can assume that x, y are the vertices depicted in Figure 5-(iii) and $V(H_w) \setminus N[V(H_w) \cap D]$ has only one vertex and such vertex is dominated by some vertex in H_v for $v \neq w$. Since a vertex of V_2 belongs to D , we have that $|V(H_v) \cap D| \geq 3$. ■

Now, we shall prove that G has a dominating set with at most ℓ vertices if and only if H has a dominating set with at most $2|V(G)| + \ell$ vertices.

(\Rightarrow) Consider that D is a dominating set of G with $|D| \leq \ell$. Starting with D' empty, for each $v \in D$, add to D' the vertices of H_v with degree 2. Now, for each $u \in V(G) \setminus D$, let $v \in D$ such that $uv \in E(G)$. Denote by x the vertex of H_u having a neighbor in H_v . Then, add to D' the two vertices of degree 3 in H_u having a common neighbor with x . Observe that $|D'| = 2(|V(G)| - |D|) + 3|D| = 2|V(G)| + |D| \leq 2|V(G)| + \ell$ and, furthermore, D' is a dominating set of H .

(\Leftarrow) Now, let D' be a dominating set of H such that $|D'| \leq 2|V(G)| + \ell$. By Claim 2, we know that $|V(H_u) \cap D'| \geq 2$ for every $u \in V(G)$. Therefore, the number of vertices $v \in V(G)$ such that $|V(H_v) \cap D'| \geq 3$ is at most ℓ . Claim 2 also says that if $|V(H_u) \cap D'| = 2$ for $u \in V(G)$, then H_u has a vertex dominated by some vertex in H_v where $|V(H_v) \cap D_H| \geq 3$, which means that uv is an edge of G . Therefore, choosing set $D \subseteq V(G)$ composed by vertices v such that $|V(H_v) \cap D_H| \geq 3$ we have a dominating set D of G with size at most ℓ . □

Theorem 3.1. DOMINATING SET is NP-complete for planar bipartite 3-expanded-clique graphs with girth at least k for a fixed k .

proof. Since DOMINATING SET belongs to NP for general graphs, that condition holds for our particular case. For the hardness part, we consider the DOMINATING SET problem restricted to planar bipartite graphs with maximum degree 3 and girth at least k for a fixed k since this

version is NP-complete [11]. Let $\langle G, \ell \rangle$ be an instance of this problem. Observe that graph H constructed by applying Reduction A to $\langle G, \ell \rangle$, is a planar bipartite 3-expanded-clique graph, which means that DOMINATING SET is NP-complete for planar 3-expanded-clique graphs. \square

Theorem 3.2. DOMINATING SET is NP-complete for cubic line graphs of bipartite graphs.

proof. As note in the previous result, this problem belongs to NP. We consider the DOMINATING SET problem restricted to cubic graphs since this version is also NP-complete [9]. Let $\langle G, \ell \rangle$ be an instance of this problem. The graph H constructed by applying Reduction A to $\langle G, \ell \rangle$ is a 3-expanded-clique graph. By Theorem 2.3, H is a line graph of a bipartite graph. It is easy to see that H is a cubic graph, which means that DOMINATING SET is NP-complete for planar 3-expanded-clique graphs. \square

Proposition 3.3. Let H be the expanded-clique graph of a graph G and function f . If S is a dominating set of H , then there is a dominating set S' of H such that $|S'| \leq |S|$ and $|S' \cap V_i| \leq 1$ for every $v_i \in V(G)$.

Proof. Let S be a dominating set of H . Apply the following process. If $|S \cap V_i| \leq 1$ for every $v_i \in V(G)$, then set $S' = S$ and stop. Otherwise, there is $v_i \in V(G)$ such that $|S \cap V_i| \geq 2$. Let $v_{i,j} \in S \cap V_i$. If $|S \cap V_j| \geq 1$, then $S \setminus \{v_{i,j}\}$ is also a dominating set of H . Then, redefine S as $S \setminus \{v_{i,j}\}$ and repeat. If $|S \cap V_j| = 0$, then $(S \setminus \{v_{i,j}\}) \cup \{v_{j,i}\}$ is also a dominating set of H . Then, redefine S as $(S \setminus \{v_{i,j}\}) \cup \{v_{j,i}\}$ and repeat. Observe that this process eventually finishes with a set S' such that $|S'| \leq |S|$ and $|S' \cap V_i| \leq 1$ for every $v_i \in V(G)$. \square

Proposition 3.4. If H is the expanded-clique graph of a pair (G, f) where $f(v) > d_G(v)$ for every $v \in V(G)$, then $\gamma(H) = |V(G)|$.

Proof. Note that for every $v_i \in V(G)$, V_i has a simplicial vertex, which implies that any dominating set D of H satisfies $D \cap V_i \neq \emptyset$. On the other hand, if we choose a simplicial vertex of each set V_i , we form a dominating set of H , which means that $\gamma(H) = |V(G)|$. \square

A 2-independent set in a graph G is a subset I of the vertices such that the distance between any two vertices of I in G is at least three. We denote by $\alpha_2(G, f)$ the maximum cardinality of a set S such that every $v \in S$ satisfies $f(v) = d_G(v)$ and S is a 2-independent set of G .

Theorem 3.5. Let H be the expanded-clique graph of a pair (G, f) . Then, $\gamma(H) + \alpha_2(G, f) = |V(G)|$.

Proof. Let S be a dominating set of H . By Proposition 3.3, there is a dominating set S' of H such that $|S'| \leq |S|$ and $|S' \cap V_i| \leq 1$ for every $v_i \in V(G)$. It is clear that $|S'| \leq n$. Note that for any $v_i \in V(G)$, if $f(v_i) > d_G(v_i)$, then $|S' \cap V_i| = 1$. Therefore, if $|S' \cap V_i| = 0$, then $f(v_i) = d_G(v_i)$. Observe that if $f(v_i) = d_G(v_i)$, $S' \cap V_i = \emptyset$ and $v_i v_j \in E(G)$, then vertex $v_{j,i}$ belongs to S . Hence, the vertices v_i with $|S' \cap V_i| = 0$ form a 2-independent set T in G with cardinality $|T| = |V(G)| - |S'|$ containing only vertices v_i such that $f(v_i) = d_G(v_i)$. Since $|S'| \leq |S|$, we conclude that $\alpha_2(G, f) \geq |V(G)| - \gamma(H)$.

Now, let T be a 2-independent set of G containing only vertices v_i such that $f(v_i) = d_G(v_i)$ and set $S = \emptyset$. If $v_i \in T$, then for every $v_j \in N_G(v_i)$, add $v_{j,i}$ to S . If v_j is not in T neither has a neighbor in T , then choose a vertex of V_j and add it to S . Since no vertex of G has more than one neighbor in T , we have that S is a dominating set of H with $|V(G)| - |T|$ vertices. Therefore, $\gamma(H) \leq |V(G)| - \alpha_2(G, f)$. \square

Theorem 3.6. *If H is the Δ -expanded-clique graph of G , then $\frac{|V(G)|\Delta}{\Delta+1} \leq \gamma(H) \leq |V(G)|$.*

Proof. The upper bound follows from Proposition 3.3. For the lower bound, let S be a minimum dominating set of H . Using Proposition 3.3 again, we can assume that $|S \cap V_j| \leq 1$ for every $v_j \in V(G)$. Denote by X the set formed by the vertices $v_i \in V(G)$ such that $V_i \cap S = \emptyset$. Note that for every $v_i \in X$, we have that every $d(v_i) = \Delta(G)$, that every vertex $v_k \in N(v_i)$ is such that $|V_k \cap S| = 1$, and that $N(v_i) \cap N(v_j) = \emptyset$. On the other hand, for every $v_i \in S \setminus N[X]$, it holds that $|V_i \cap S| = 1$. From these facts, we can write

$$\frac{|S|}{|V(G)|} = \frac{\sum_{v_i \in X} \Delta + \sum_{v_i \in S \setminus N[X]} 1}{\sum_{v_i \in X} (\Delta + 1) + \sum_{v_i \in S \setminus N[X]} 1} \geq \frac{\Delta}{\Delta + 1}$$

which means that the lower bound also holds. \square

A consequence of Proposition 3.4 and Corollary 3.6 is that given a k -expanded-clique graph H , a set containing one vertex of each expanded clique of H is a dominating set which is at most $\frac{1+\Delta}{\Delta}$ from a minimum.

References

- [1] O. Favaron, *Irredundance in inflated graphs*. J. Graph Theory 28 (1998), 97–104.
- [2] F. Harary and C. Holzmann, *Line graphs of bipartite graphs*. Rev. Soc. Mat. Chile 1 (1974), 19–22.
- [3] Toru Hasunuma, *Structural properties of subdivided-line graphs*, Combinatorial Algorithms (Berlin, Heidelberg) (Thierry Lecroq and Laurent Mouchard, eds.), Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013, pp. 216–229.
- [4] Teresa W. Haynes; Stephen Hedetniemi; Peter Slater. *Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs*, 1998, Taylor & Francis.
- [5] Michael A. Henning and Adel P. Kazemi, *Total domination in inflated graphs*, Discrete Applied Mathematics 160 (2012), no. 1, 164–169.
- [6] Liying Kang, Moo Young Sohn, and T.C.E. Cheng, *Paired-domination in inflated graphs*. Theoretical Computer Science 320 (2004), no. 2, 485–494.

- [7] S. Klavžar and U. Milutinović, *Graphs $S(n, k)$ and a variant of the tower of hanoi Problem*, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal 47 (1997), 95–104.
- [8] Sandi Klavžar, Uroš Milutinović, and Ciril Petr, *1-perfect codes in Sierpiński graphs*, Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society 66 (2002), no. 3, 369–384.
- [9] Jan Kratochvíl, *Regular codes in regular graphs are difficult*, Discrete Mathematics 133 (1994), no. 1, 191 – 205.
- [10] Mihalis Yannakakis and Fanica Gavril, *Edge dominating sets in graphs*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 38 (1980), 364–372.
- [11] Zverovich, Igor E.; Zverovich, Vadim E. *An induced subgraph characterization of domination perfect graphs*. Journal of Graph Theory, v. 20, n. 3, p. 375-395, 1995.