

Hand and Arm Gesture-based Human-Robot Interaction: A Review

XIHAO WANG

Technical University of Munich, School of Computation, Information and Technology

HAO SHEN

Fortiss GmbH, Machine Learning Laboratory

HUI YU

Fujian Science & Technology Innovation Laboratory for Optoelectronic Information of China

JIELONG GUO

Fujian Science & Technology Innovation Laboratory for Optoelectronic Information of China

XIAN WEI

Fujian Science & Technology Innovation Laboratory for Optoelectronic Information of China

The study of Human-Robot Interaction (HRI) aims to create close and friendly communication between humans and robots. In the human-center HRI, an essential aspect of implementing a successful and effective HRI is building a natural and intuitive interaction, including verbal and nonverbal. As a prevalent nonverbally communication approach, hand and arm gesture communication happen ubiquitously in our daily life. A considerable amount of work on gesture-based HRI is scattered in various research domains. However, a systematic understanding of the works on gesture-based HRI is still lacking. This paper intends to provide a comprehensive review of gesture-based HRI and focus on the advanced finding in this area. Following the stimulus-organism-response framework, this review consists of: (i) Generation of human gesture(stimulus). (ii) Robot recognition of human gesture(organism). (iii) Robot reaction to human gesture(response). Besides, this review summarizes the research status of each element in the framework and analyze the advantages and disadvantages of related works. Toward the last part, this paper discusses the current research challenges on gesture-based HRI and provide possible future directions.

CCS CONCEPTS • Computer systems organization → Embedded and cyber-physical systems → Robotics → External interfaces for robotics.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: Human-robot Interaction, Gesture-based Communication

ACM Reference Format:

First Author's Name, Initials, and Last Name, Second Author's Name, Initials, and Last Name, and Third Author's Name, Initials, and Last Name. 2018. The Title of the Paper: ACM Conference Proceedings Manuscript Submission Template: This is the subtitle of the paper, this document both explains and embodies the submission format for authors using Word. In Woodstock '18: ACM Symposium on Neural Gaze Detection, June 03–05, 2018, Woodstock, NY. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 10 pages. NOTE: This block will be automatically generated when manuscripts are processed after acceptance.

1 INTRODUCTION

With the development of robot systems, robots have already stepped into our daily life. They support human users in various areas, such as industry [26, 13, 14], retail [9, 59], home service [10, 19], entertainment [39], and caretaker [4, 52, 22, 15]. The importance of constructing a natural and intuitive

interaction between humans and robots is self-evident. Inspired by the human-human interaction (HHI), the communication approach in human-robot interaction (HRI) is also through verbal and nonverbal channels [2]. Within these interaction channels, nonverbal communication is an unspoken dialogue that creates shared meaning in social interactions [3]. As one important aspect of the HRI, nonverbal interaction can help the robot provide communicative functionality that is natural and intuitive to their human interaction partners [44].

Gesture, as a popular nonverbal HRI, includes hand and arm movement, body behavior, facial emotional expression, and gaze shifts [37]. Hand and arm gestures are generally defined as the upper body limb's significant movement and produce an expression of feeling or rhetoric [46]. Among the HRI approaches, hand and arm gesture-based interaction is one of the most important nonverbal interaction methods. It is due to the irreplaceable advantages brought by gesture-based in HRI for several reasons: (i) Gesture-based interaction is one of the critical elements of human communication, and it offers a natural and intuitive approach to HRI spontaneously [42]. (ii) Gestures could help human users to convey the specific intent, which offers a more efficient and convenient communication than verbal in specific scenarios. For example, it is easy to use a pointing gesture to indicate the target object to the robot in a pick-and-place scenario, whereas hard to do so verbally. (iii) Gesture-based interaction plays an essential role in an exceptional environment where verbal communication is limited. For instance, gesture-based interaction has become the most efficient method for divers to interact with their underwater robot [16]. A similar also appears in other verbal HRI limited situations, including outer space, noisy factories, and quiet libraries. (iv) For the elder and children who are inexperienced users, hand and arm gesture-based HRI allows them to communicate with the robots not requiring any knowledge of the robots' internal states [43]. Despite the above explicit advantages, hand and arm gesture-based HRI also implicitly supports a friendly and safe human-robot relationship. One of the parts is that robots could predict the motion of humans depending on implicit gesture-based communication, which significantly improves teamwork efficiency and safety in human-robot collaboration places [2]. On the other hand, the communication based on hand and arm gesture increases the robot's anthropomorphic degree, which provokes a larger possibility of future interaction intentions from the human [42]. Therefore, gesture-based HRI not only offers an intuitive and natural communication method but also provides an approach to enhance the interaction between humans and robots.

However, knowledge about gesture-based HRI is still fragmented in several research directions. Even though some overview studied on gesture recognition during HRI [24, 5, 45], they drew their sight on the technology used to recognize the human gesture. Those papers introduced human gesture recognition through multiple methodologies, including the model, network, and framework in computer vision. However, gesture-based HRI is a complicated task, while gesture recognition is only one of the parts that the robot perceives the intent of the human. Hand and arm gesture-based HRI should be considered as a complete process, including human operation and robots' reactions. In existing research, the reaction of robots is usually not considered during the interaction, and the effect of gesture-based HRI is also studied individually. Thus, it is necessary to summarize the research and do a literature review on a novel and comprehensive sight.

In this paper, we propose the framework based on the stimulus-organism-response (SOR) paradigm [18] to establish a comprehensive introduction of hand and arm gesture-based HRI. Within this framework, human gesture generation is the stimulus (S) that is captured through gesture recognition by the robot as a mediator (O), and the robot reacts to this gesture as a response (R) [53].

Following the framework described in Figure 1, we go through the process of the gesture-based HRI from the semantics of human gesture (Sec. 2), the gesture recognition from the robot (Sec. 3), and the reaction of the robot during the interaction (Sec. 4). Last but not least, we discuss some of the shortcomings of existed works and investigate some potential research that could be carried out in the future (Sec. 5). Our main contributions are: (i) We provide a novel sight to categorize hand and arm gesture-based HRI into three phases based on the stimulus-organism-response paradigm: human gesture generation, gesture perception, and robot reaction. Unlike specifically focusing on one part of the HRI, we offer a comprehensive understanding of the complete process of the hand and arm gesture-based HRI. (ii) We objectively summarize the research status of each element in our framework and analyze the advantages and disadvantages of mentioned research works. (iii) By summarizing the current research, we raise various current challenges in hand and arm gesture-based HRI and discuss the potential future directions.




Figure 1: Conceptual framework for categorizing works on gesture-based human-robot interaction.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we present the study of the semantics of human gestures during hand and arm gesture-based HRI. Then, in Sec. 3, we introduce the gesture recognition model of the robot. In Sec. 4, we illustrate the reaction from the robot after the robot received the comment from the human. Finally, in Sec. 5, we propose several suggestions for the potential research direction on gesture-based HRI.

2 SEMANTIC OF HUMAN GESTURE

Before considering robot recognition and reaction, we need to discuss how humans make gestures. According to our framework, the stimulus is the gesture generated by humans. As mentioned above, hand and arm gestures are movement expressing feeling and rhetoric. Thus, as an expression and rhetoric, categorizing the gesture in gesture-based HRI and understanding their intention becomes a pre-request work.

The gesture generated by human users expresses their intention and meaning to stimulate the robot and start the process of HRI. The categorization of the human gesture could clearly define the stimulation factors, which improves the robot’s understanding of human users’ wishes. According to the concept of mindless transfer in the Computer-As-Social-Actor paradigm [28], it indicates the fact that humans would mindlessly employ HHI behaviors when they interact with the robot. Besides,

compared with the HRI, human-computer interaction (HCI) has been studied longer. Thus, inspired by HHI [27] and HCI [21], hand and arm gesture in HRI could be categorized into five classes [29], and we assigned them with related works as following: (i) Referential/pointing gesture: These are used to refer to or to indicate objects or location. The related scenarios are about referring to an object for the robot to pick [23, 38, 41, 51], and referring a place for the robot to arrive [4, 36] (ii) Interactional gesture: These are used to regulate interaction with a partner, i.e., to initiate, maintain, invite, synchronize, organize, or terminate a particular interactive/cooperative behavior. The related tasks are about conducting handover task [26, 50], holding task [30], and assembly task [13, 14, 47]. (iii) Side effects of expressive behavior: When humans communicate with others, hands and arms' motions occur as part of the overall communicative behavior. Nevertheless, those gestures do not have specific interactive, communicative, symbolic, or referential roles. (iv) Symbolic gesture: gestures are associated with firm meaning in our cultural environment and are frequently used in everyday experiences. The related scenarios are about directing the movement of robot [10, 12, 16, 58], presenting human's opinion [20, 26, 38], and presenting specific sign language [16, 17, 32]. (v) Irrelevant/manipulative gesture: gestures which are neither communicative nor socially interactive. They are rather instances of effects of human motion. Cases include, i.e., the motion of the arms and hands when walking, tapping of the fingers, playing with a paper clip, etc. The detail about the categorization of three kinds of interaction is presented in the Table 1

Table 1: The gestures categorization in different interaction

Interaction	Categorization	Explanation
Human-Human Interaction [27]	Iconic	Representing the image of concrete actions
	Beats	Performing hand movement rhythmically
	Deictic	Pointing target and object
	Metaphoric	Representing abstract idea and information
Human-Computer Interaction [21]	Deictic	Pointing to establish the identity or spatial location
	Manipulative	Represents the intention to control some entity
	Semaphoric	Represents a sign or signal to communicate information
	Gesticulation	Gesture combines with conversational speech interfaces
	Language	Linguistically based and performs a series of individual signs
Human-Robot Interaction [29]	Multiple	Combining more than one kind of gesture style mentioned
	Referential	Referring to or to indicate objects or location
	Interactional	Regulate interaction with a partner
	Side effects	Motions occur as part of the overall communication
	Symbolic	Associated with firm meaning in cultural environment
	Irrelevant	They are rather instances of effects of human motion

Major finding: Although the research of HCI [21] and HHI [20] could not be the same as HRI [29], humans also would like to generate their gestures by their most familiar style. Thus, we can still discover that they contain a certain internal similarity. In the rest of the paper, we would name the gestures according to the HRI classification [29]. Regarding the side effects of expressive behavior and Irrelevant/manipulative gestures, even though they play a blurred role in HRI tasks, they are also essential to predict human intention and emotion. Efficient categorization can improve the legibility of human gestures, so that the robot would be better to understand and predict human intention.

3 GESTURE RECOGNITION OF THE ROBOT

In the SOR model, an organism refers to any “internal processes and structures intervening between stimuli external to the person and the final actions, reactions or responses emitted” [18]. For this

review, the organism is represented by robots' recognition of human gestures. Depending on the recognized objection, we categorize the methods into two classes and illustrates their advantages:

Skeleton model: Regarding a significant number of the gesture-based HRI, a detailed model of the human's hand may not be useful. The Skeleton model approach uses humans' skeleton to identify the pose of the human body [24]. The skeleton model could simplify the human body's posture information and present the meaning of the gesture. For instance, the head position [40, 54] or the relative position of the torso [26] could become a promised reference to recognize the gesture. Besides, the skeleton model is less influenced by the surrounding environment and the human body's movement. Thus, the skeleton model is usually used in navigation and collaboration tasks depending on the above benefit [11, 57]. The skeleton model is widely employed in gesture-based HRI research with the advantage of simplicity and reliability.

Hand shape and contour model: Unlike the skeleton model, the hand shape and contour method is adopted to extract the detail of the gesture. The detailed information includes the fingertip, the palm, and the number of fingers [16, 17]. Due to this method only focusing on the hand part, it usually employs the skin color [31, 48] and depth information [55, 56] to locate the place of the hand. Depending on the advantage of extracting enriched gesture semantic information, the hand shape and contour approach is also frequently utilized in gesture-based HRI.

Major finding: The research on gesture recognition provided many approaches to identify the gesture involved in HRI. Because of the visual sensor's outstanding property, most of the works capture gestures via image data. Besides, contact-based sensing, such as Inertial Measurement Unite [7], also attracts many researchers' interest due to its mobility and economic cost. With the development of the deep learning algorithm, efficient computation and enriched datasets encourage the researchers to employ the end-to-end prediction model to implement gesture recognition rather than one explicit model. In the works of [48, 49], the authors used static hand gestures from the Innsbruck Multi-view Hand Gestures database [49] to instruct the robot. Depending on the benefit of efficiency and comprehension, deep learning-based methodology [34, 35] gradually becomes the mainstream of gesture recognition during hand and arm gesture-based HRI.

4 REACTION FROM THE ROBOT

In the SOR paradigm, response is a person's reaction to a stimulus [18]. Regarding gesture-based HRI, the robot reaction depends on human users' gestures. After the human users' gestures or intentions are recognized, the robot needs to understand, interact, and share information with people in real-world human-centered environments [33]. In this section, we categorize the robot reaction into three different scenarios described in Table 2 and illustrate the reaction mechanism.

4.1 COLLABORATIVE REACTION

Human-robot collaboration is an essential research domain of HRI, where the robot and human work together to complete a common task [24]. To overcome the restricted environment, hand and arm gestures become a suitable HRI communication approach. The collaboration reaction could be divided into three main scenarios: industry scenario, daily life scenario, and exploration scenario.

In the industry aspect, the robot plays the role of the teammate of the human workers. The works [13, 14, 47] studied the task that the human worker to collaborate with the single-arm manipulators, such as the Barrett WAM arm. The robot is designed to respond to the ten kinds of gestures that usually occur in industry assembly scenarios [13], and each kind of gesture corresponds to a specific

reaction. For instance, the twist gesture is associated with the rotating part's intention. Moreover, Mazhar et al. [26] developed a framework for handover tasks by the BAZAR robot. According to different collaboration requirements, the reaction mechanism could be a hard-coded or dynamic model.

Table 2: The brief summary of reaction categorization and key related works

Reaction Scenario	Categorization	Reference
Collaborative Reaction	Industry collaboration	[13], [14], [26], [47]
	Daily life collaboration	[38], [50], [51]
	Extremely environment exploration	[16], [25]
Assistive Reaction	Elder care	[4]
	Laboratory assistance	[8]
	Medical treatment	[17], [60]
	Children education	[6], [39]
Navigation Reaction	Transportation	[10], [58]

Regarding the daily life scenario, Quintero et al. [38] explore the collaboration work with humans and the 7DOF WAM arm using gestures to select, pick, and drop objects at different locations. In their experiment, the human operator conducts the semaphore's gesture to interact with the robot for making a pizza. The group of Shukla et al. [50, 51] proposed the Proactive Incremental Learning framework, which supervised the robot to study the association between human hand gestures and the intended robot manipulation actions. Due to the flexible requirement of daily life, the reaction mechanism is usually the dynamic model.

Under the exploration scenario, the robot is a reliable teammate when the human is doing the exploration task. With the robot's collaboration, the dangerous task in exploration would not need the human to implement personally. In the research from Islam et al. [16], they presented a real-time gesture-based interaction method for autonomous underwater robots in human-robot collaborative tasks. Depending on the two-hand combination gesture, the robot implements the actions, including hovering, diving down, and floating up. Likely, the research from Liu et al. [25] proposed a gesture-based HRI system for astronauts with extravehicular activities. They designed to use a glove sensor inside the spacesuits to interface with the assistant robot. Because the robot in the exploration scenario needs to real-time react with the human operator, the reaction mechanism is usually the dynamics model.

4.2 ASSISTIVE REACTION

With the population growing, elderly care has gradually become an urgent problem in most developed countries. The assistive reaction involved in the robot needs to aid people, such as the aged, patient, or even someone very busy. Because those people cannot interact like normal adults, the gesture could help them convey their intention to the robot. Canal et al. [4] proposed a gesture-based HRI system to assist the aged. This system is composed of NAO and Wifibot robots. The system would recognize the pointing and wave hand gestures by the Kinect sensor and classify them by a trained deep neural network. Depending on the skeleton angle, the system could detect the desired place from pointing gestures and uses Wifibot to send the NAO robot there. Consequently, the NAO robot would operate the pick-and-place task toward the target object.

Regarding assistance for the people who have a special job, Gestonurse [17] is a multimodal robotic scrub nurse for assisting the doctor who needs to focus on surgery. According to the finger gesture, the Gestonurse could pick related surgery equipment, such as the scalpel, scissors, etc.

About the research of [8], the authors conducted a gesture-based HRI laboratory scenario. They set up with DLR LWR three-arms manipulator to deal with dangerous liquid.

Moreover, the assistive reaction could also have a unique effect on medicine, education, and entertainment. In terms of medical treatment, the robot uses gestures to imitate the children's behavior to treat children with autism [60]. The research showed that the robot gesture imitation system draws more attention from children with autism and presented markable effectiveness. In [39], the author introduces several games, like rock-paper-scissors. [6] presented a study about using the robot's gesture to teach children the second language vocabulary. In this research, they designed the NAO robot to perform the iconic gesture related to the vocabulary's hint. The experiment result indicated that robotic gesture positively affects the long-term memorization of novel words. And it also showed that children have a higher level of engagement during learning activities.

4.3 NAVIGATION REACTION

Navigation is one of the tasks that is difficult to achieved through verbal communication. Thus, gestures are usually used to navigate the robot to move to a specific place. Human users could interact with the mobile robot to move where they want to go without any expert knowledge. Navigation gestures are natural and intuitive, such as turn left, turn right, go straight, and stop.

In the research of [10, 58], the researchers proposed the gesture-based navigation system for HRI. The mobile robot recognizes the angle of the human user's hand and arm skeleton angle by the Kinect sensor [10]. The system used a trained Hidden Markov Model to classify the recognized gesture into predefined gestures, thus human users could conduct the mobile robot to carry out the following human service in the office environment. Moreover, depending on the transport gesture, the robot could implement a delivery service without the human user's navigation. Likely, in [58], the authors used the Inertial Measurement Unite sensor to capture the human user's hand and arm gestures.

Major finding: The robot's reaction to gesture-based HRI presents the versatile ability in various scenarios. These studies affirm that the robot's reaction could be initiative or passive. In the example of assistive reaction, the robot has to wait for the human user to give commands. In terms of collaboration and navigation reaction, the robot can initially react depending on the human's gesture and posture. Unlike the human-center interaction, in the situation of social behavior reaction, the robot which imitates human behavior shows the potential to operate the robot-center gesture-based interaction with a human. As the aspect of the reaction mechanism, the learning-based reaction presents outstanding performance during gesture-based HRI. The robot's learning and prediction ability provide a better service during the HRI process. However, the research mentioned above still builds on the desired scenarios rather than the unfamiliar environment.

5 DISCUSSION

In the sections above, we discussed the process of human-center gesture-based HRI. Although there is a large amount of research investigated in each domain, there are still some gaps in the gesture-based HRI. We propose several suggestions for further research on gesture-based HRI.

Suggestion 1: Gesture-based interaction is a kind of communication that is easily influenced by the interaction scenario and context. In the present research [13], [14], [26], [47], the robot collaborates with only one human partner. There has been little analysis or discussion about the scenario of

multiple workers' collaboration. Thus, increasing the environment's robustness is one of the potential directions of hand and arm gesture-based HRI.

Suggestion 2: Gesture interaction is natural and intuitive between humans and robots. But verbal interaction also provides irreplaceable function. In the research of [44], the authors suggest that the robot is evaluated more positively when nonverbal behaviors such as hand and arm gestures are displayed along with speech. Thus, co-verbal gesture-based HRI may provide better communication than only nonverbal interaction.

Suggestion 3: Although gesture-based interaction is an intuitive and natural communication approach, the research [1] also indicates the incorrect responses of gestures are more than gestures with speech. It is necessary to raise a correction mechanism through hand and arm gestures during the HRI. For instance, the robot would conduct an inquiry if the pick object is right or not depending on the human's thumb up or down gesture [38]. Due to the gesture's larger mental workload, there has considerable research needs to be done to provide an effective correction during the interaction.

6 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we conduct a review of hand and arm gesture-based HRI. Hand and arm gesture interaction plays an important role in human-robot communication. Because of its intuitive and natural property, all people could accept gesture-based interaction with the robot, even those with little knowledge of robotics. However, far too little attention has been paid to aggregate research works, which fragmented into several domains. Based on the proposed SOR paradigm, we provide a comprehensive framework to categorize current research into human gesture generation, gesture recognition, and robot behavior reaction. Depending on the status of the domain, we raise various current challenges, such as noise robustness, co-verbal gesture-based HRI, and effective correction mechanism. We believe that our review would stimulate the research on hand and arm gesture-based HRI to achieve further progress in the future.

REFERENCES

- [1] Julian Abich and Daniel J Barber. 2017. The impact of human–robot multimodal communication on mental workload, usability preference, and expectations of robot behavior. *Journal on Multimodal User Interfaces* 11, 2, 211–225.
- [2] Cynthia Breazeal, Cory D Kidd, Andrea Lockerd Thomaz, Guy Hoffman, and Matt Berlin. 2005. Effects of nonverbal communication on efficiency and robustness in human-robot teamwork. In *2005 IEEE/RSJ international conference on intelligent robots and systems*. IEEE, 708–713.
- [3] Judee K Burgoon, Laura K Guerrero, and Valerie Manusov. 2016. *Nonverbal communication*. Routledge.
- [4] Gerard Canal, Sergio Escalera, and Cecilio Angulo. 2016. A real-time human-robot interaction system based on gestures for assistive scenarios. *Computer Vision and Image Understanding* 149, 65–77.
- [5] Ming Jin Check, Zaid Omar, and Mohamed Hisham Jaward. 2019. A review of hand gesture and sign language recognition techniques. *International Journal of Machine Learning and Cybernetics* 10, 1, 131–153.
- [6] Jan de Wit, Thorsten Schodde, Bram Willemsen, Kirsten Bergmann, Mirjam de Haas, Stefan Kopp, Emiel Krahmer, and Paul Vogt. 2018. The effect of a robot's gestures and adaptive tutoring on children's acquisition of second language vocabularies. In *Proceedings of the 2018 ACM/IEEE international conference on human-robot interaction*. 50–58.
- [7] Elisa Digo, Laura Gastaldi, Mattia Antonelli, Stefano Pastorelli, Andrea Cereatti, and Marco Caruso. 2022. Real-time estimation of upper limbs kinematics with IMUs during typical industrial gestures. *Procedia Computer Science* 200, 1041–1047.
- [8] Tobias Ende, Sami Haddadin, Sven Parusel, Tilo Wüsthoff, Marc Hassenzahl, and Alin Albu-Schäffer. 2011. A human-centered approach to robot gesture based communication within collaborative working processes. In *2011 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*. IEEE, 3367–3374.
- [9] David Feil-Seifer and Maja J Mataric. 2005. Defining socially assistive robotics. In *9th International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics, 2005. ICORR 2005*. IEEE, 465–468.
- [10] Tatsuya Fujii, Jae Hoon Lee, and Shingo Okamoto. 2014. Gesture recognition system for human-robot interaction and its application to robotic service task. In *Proc. of the International Multi-Conference of Engineers and Computer Scientists, Vol. 1*.

- [11] Qing Gao, Jinguo Liu, and Zhaojie Ju. 2020. Hand gesture recognition using multimodal data fusion and multiscale parallel convolutional neural network for human-robot interaction. *Expert Systems*, e12490.
- [12] Mazen Ghandour, Hui Liu, Norbert Stoll, and Kerstin Thurow. 2016. A hybrid collision avoidance system for indoor mobile robots based on human-robot interaction. In 2016 17th International Conference on Mechatronics-Mechatronika. IEEE, 1–7.
- [13] Brian Gleeson, Karon MacLean, Amir Haddadi, Elizabeth Croft, and Javier Alcazar. 2013. Gestures for industry intuitive human-robot communication from human observation. In 2013 8th ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction. IEEE, 349–356.
- [14] Amir Haddadi, Elizabeth A Croft, Brian T Gleeson, Karon MacLean, and Javier Alcazar. 2013. Analysis of task- based gestures in human-robot interaction. In 2013 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation. IEEE, 2146–2152.
- [15] Guy Hoffman, Oren Zuckerman, Gilad Hirschberger, Michal Luria, and Tal Shani-Sherman. 2015. Design and evaluation of a peripheral robotic conversation companion. In 2015 10th ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction. IEEE, 3–10.
- [16] Md Jahidul Islam, Marc Ho, and Junaed Sattar. 2018. Dynamic reconfiguration of mission parameters in underwater human-robot collaboration. In 2018 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation. IEEE, 1–8.
- [17] Mithun George Jacob, Yu-Ting Li, and Juan P Wachs. 2012. Gestonurse: a multimodal robotic scrub nurse. In Proceedings of the seventh annual ACM/IEEE international conference on Human-Robot Interaction. 153–154.
- [18] Jacob Jacoby. 2002. Stimulus-organism-response reconsidered: an evolutionary step in modeling behavior. *Journal of consumer psychology* 12, 1, 51–57.
- [19] S Jeffrey and T DeSocio. 2016. Meet Wally. The Room Service Robot of the Residence Inn Marriott at LAX. Fox11.
- [20] Takahiro Kanokoda, Yuki Kushitani, Moe Shimada, and Jun-ichi Shirakashi. 2019. Gesture prediction using wearable sensing systems with neural networks for temporal data analysis. *Sensors* 19, 3, 710.
- [21] Maria Karam et al. 2005. A taxonomy of gestures in human computer interactions.
- [22] Hideki Kozima, Cocoro Nakagawa, and Yuriko Yasuda. 2005. Interactive robots for communication-care: A case-study in autism therapy. In ROMAN 2005. IEEE International Workshop on Robot and Human Interactive Communication, 2005. IEEE, 341–346.
- [23] Dennis Krupke, Frank Steinicke, Paul Lubos, Yannick Jonetzko, Michael Görner, and Jianwei Zhang. 2018. Comparison of multimodal heading and pointing gestures for co-located mixed reality human-robot interaction. In 2018 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems. IEEE, 1–9.
- [24] Hongyi Liu and Lihui Wang. 2018. Gesture recognition for human-robot collaboration: A review. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics* 68, 355–367.
- [25] Jinguo Liu, Yifan Luo, and Zhaojie Ju. 2016. An interactive astronaut-robot system with gesture control. *Computational intelligence and neuroscience* 2016.
- [26] Osama Mazhar, Sofiane Ramdani, Benjamin Navarro, Robin Passama, and Andrea Cherubini. 2018. Towards real-time physical human-robot interaction using skeleton information and hand gestures. In 2018 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems. IEEE, 1–6.
- [27] David McNeill. 1992. *Hand and mind: What gestures reveal about thought*. University of Chicago press.
- [28] Clifford Nass and Youngme Moon. 2000. Machines and mindlessness: Social responses to computers. *Journal of social issues* 56, 1, 81–103.
- [29] Chrystopher L Nehaniv, Kerstin Dautenhahn, Jens Kubacki, Martin Haegele, Christopher Parlitz, and Rachid Alami. 2005. A methodological approach relating the classification of gesture to identification of human intent in the context of human-robot interaction. In ROMAN 2005. IEEE International Workshop on Robot and Human Interactive Communication, 2005. IEEE, 371–377.
- [30] Pedro Neto, Miguel Simão, Nuno Mendes, and Mohammad Safeea. 2019. Gesture-based human-robot interaction for human assistance in manufacturing. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology* 101, 1-4, 119–135.
- [31] Kai Nickel and Rainer Stiefelwagen. 2007. Visual recognition of pointing gestures for human-robot interaction. *Image and vision computing* 25, 12, 1875–1884.
- [32] Cristina Nuzzi, Simone Pasinetti, Roberto Pagani, Stefano Ghidini, Manuel Beschi, Gabriele Coffetti, and Giovanna Sansoni. 2021. MEGURU: a gesture-based robot program builder for Meta-Collaborative workstations. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing* 68, 102085.
- [33] Jinxian Qi, Guozhang Jiang, Gongfa Li, Ying Sun, and Bo Tao. 2019. Intelligent human-computer interaction based on surface EMG gesture recognition. *IEEE Access* 7, 61378–61387.
- [34] Wen Qi, Salih Ertug Ovur, Zhijun Li, Aldo Marzullo, and Rong Song. 2021. Multi-Sensor Guided Hand Gesture Recognition for a Teleoperated Robot Using a Recurrent Neural Network. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters* 6, 3, 6039–6045.
- [35] Wen Qi, Salih Ertug Ovur, Zhijun Li, Aldo Marzullo, and Rong Song. 2021. Multi-Sensor Guided Hand Gesture Recognition for a Teleoperated Robot Using a Recurrent Neural Network. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters* 6, 3, 6039–6045.
- [36] Shuwen Qiu, Hangxin Liu, Zeyu Zhang, Yixin Zhu, and Song-Chun Zhu. 2020. Human-Robot Interaction in a Shared Augmented Reality Workspace. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.12656*.
- [37] Francis Quek, David McNeill, Robert Bryll, Susan Duncan, Xin-Feng Ma, Cemil Kirbas, Karl E McCullough, and Rashid Ansari. 2002. Multimodal human discourse: gesture and speech. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction* 9, 3, 171–193.

- [38] Camilo Perez Quintero, Romeo Tatsambon, Mona Gridseth, and Martin Jägersand. 2015. Visual pointing gestures for bi-directional human robot interaction in a pick-and-place task. In 2015 24th IEEE International Symposium on Robot and Human Interactive Communication. IEEE, 349–354.
- [39] Zhou Ren, Jingjing Meng, and Junsong Yuan. 2011. Depth camera based hand gesture recognition and its applications in human-computer-interaction. In 2011 8th International Conference on Information, Communications & Signal Processing. IEEE, 1–5.
- [40] Kestas Rimkus, Audrius Bukis, Arunas Lipnickas, and Saulius Sinkevičius. 2013. 3D human hand motion recognition system. In 2013 6th International Conference on Human System Interactions. IEEE, 180–183.
- [41] Silvia Rossi, Enrico Leone, Michelangelo Fiore, Alberto Finzi, and Francesco Cutugno. 2013. An extensible architecture for robust multimodal human-robot communication. In 2013 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems. IEEE, 2208–2213.
- [42] Maha Salem, Friederike Eyssele, Katharina Rohlfing, Stefan Kopp, and Frank Joublin. 2011. Effects of gesture on the perception of psychological anthropomorphism: a case study with a humanoid robot. In International Conference on Social Robotics. Springer, 31–41.
- [43] Maha Salem, Stefan Kopp, Ipke Wachsmuth, Katharina Rohlfing, and Frank Joublin. 2012. Generation and evaluation of communicative robot gesture. International Journal of Social Robotics 4, 2, 201–217.
- [44] Maha Salem, Katharina Rohlfing, Stefan Kopp, and Frank Joublin. 2011. A friendly gesture: Investigating the effect of multimodal robot behavior in human-robot interaction. In 2011 Ro-Man. IEEE, 247–252.
- [45] Arpita Ray Sarkar, G Sanyal, and SJIJOCA Majumder. 2013. Hand gesture recognition systems: a survey. International Journal of Computer Applications 71, 15.
- [46] Shane Saunderson and Goldie Nejat. 2019. How robots influence humans: A survey of nonverbal communication in social human-robot interaction. International Journal of Social Robotics 11, 4, 575–608.
- [47] Sara Sheikholeslami, AJung Moon, and Elizabeth A Croft. 2015. Exploring the effect of robot hand configurations in directional gestures for human-robot interaction. In 2015 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems. IEEE, 3594–3599.
- [48] Yu Shi, Ronnie Taib, and Serge Lichman. 2006. GestureCam: a smart camera for gesture recognition and gesture- controlled web navigation. In 2006 9th International Conference on Control, Automation, Robotics and Vision. IEEE, 1–6.
- [49] Dadhichi Shukla, Özgür Erkent, and Justus Piater. 2016. A multi-view hand gesture rgb-d dataset for human-robot interaction scenarios. In 2016 25th IEEE International Symposium on Robot and Human Interactive Communication. IEEE, 1084–1091.
- [50] Dadhichi Shukla, Özgür Erkent, and Justus Piater. 2017. Supervised learning of gesture-action associations for human- robot collaboration. In 2017 12th IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face & Gesture Recognition. IEEE, 778–783.
- [51] Dadhichi Shukla, Özgür Erkent, and Justus Piater. 2018. Learning semantics of gestural instructions for human-robot collaboration. Frontiers in neurorobotics 12, 7.
- [52] Fumihide Tanaka, Aaron Cicourel, and Javier R Movellan. 2007. Socialization between toddlers and robots at an early childhood education center. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 104, 46, 17954–17958.
- [53] Lou W Turley and Ronald E Milliman. 2000. Atmospheric effects on shopping behavior: a review of the experimental evidence. Journal of business research 49, 2, 193–211.
- [54] Michael Van den Bergh and Luc Van Gool. 2011. Combining RGB and ToF cameras for real-time 3D hand gesture interaction. In 2011 IEEE workshop on applications of computer vision. IEEE, 66–72.
- [55] Phongtharin Vinayavekhin, Michiaki Tatsubori, Daiki Kimura, Yifan Huang, Giovanni De Magistris, Asim Munawar, and Ryuki Tachibana. 2017. Human-like hand reaching by motion prediction using long short-term memory. In International Conference on Social Robotics. Springer, 156–166.
- [56] Juan Pablo Wachs, Mathias Kölsch, Helman Stern, and Yael Edan. 2011. Vision-based hand-gesture applications. Commun. ACM 54, 2, 60–71.
- [57] Indika B Wijayasinghe, Isura Ranatunga, Namrata Balakrishnan, Nicoleta Bugnariu, and Dan O Popa. 2016. Human- robot gesture analysis for objective assessment of autism spectrum disorder. International Journal of Social Robotics 8, 5, 695–707.
- [58] Rui R Yan, Keng Peng KP Tee, Yuanwei Y Chua, Haizhou H Li, and Huajin H Tang. 2012. Gesture recognition based on localist attractor networks with application to robot control [application notes]. IEEE Computational Intelligence Magazine 7, 1, 64–74.
- [59] Tao Zhang, Biwen Zhu, Lashanda Lee, and David Kaber. 2008. Service robot anthropomorphism and interface design for emotion in human-robot interaction. In 2008 IEEE International Conference on Automation Science and Engineering. IEEE, 674–679.
- [60] Zhi Zheng, Eric M Young, Amy R Swanson, Amy S Weitlauf, Zachary E Warren, and Nilanjan Sarkar. 2015. Robot- mediated imitation skill training for children with autism. IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering 24, 6, 682–691.