A note on distinct differences in *t*-intersecting families

Jagannath Bhanja and Sayan Goswami

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, A CI of Homi Bhabha National Institute, C.I.T. Campus, Taramani, Chennai 600113, India jbhanja@imsc.res.in, sayangoswami@imsc.res.in

Abstract

For a family \mathcal{F} of subsets of $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$, let $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}) = \{F \setminus G : F, G \in \mathcal{F}\}$ be the collection of all (setwise) differences of \mathcal{F} . The family \mathcal{F} is called a *t*-intersecting family, if for some positive integer *t* and any two members $F, G \in \mathcal{F}$ we have $|F \cap G| \geq t$. The family \mathcal{F} is simply called intersecting if t = 1. Recently, Frankl proved an upper bound on the size of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F})$ for the intersecting families \mathcal{F} . In this note we extend the result of Frankl to *t*-intersecting families.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05D05

Keywords: Setwise difference, t-interscting family, Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem

1. Introduction

We denote the standard *n*-element set $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ by [n], the set of all subsets of [n] by $2^{[n]}$, and $\binom{[n]}{k}$ by the collection of all *k*-element subsets of [n] for $0 \le k \le n$. We also use the standard notation |S| for the cardinality of a set S and $\lfloor N \rfloor$ for the largest integer less than or equal to N.

A family \mathcal{F} of subsets of [n] is said to be a *t*-intersecting family for some positive integer *t*, if $|F \cap G| \ge t$ for any two members $F, G \in \mathcal{F}$. A 1-intersecting family is simply called an intersecting family. The Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem [1] gives the tight upper bound on the size of *t*-intersecting families.

Theorem 1 (Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem for *t*-intersecting family). There exists some $n_0(k,t)$ such that if $n \ge n_0(k,t)$ and $\mathcal{F} \subset {\binom{[n]}{k}}$ is *t*-intersecting, then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \le \binom{n-t}{k-t}.$$

The upper bound is tight for $n_0(k,t) = (t+1)(k-t+1)$; this was proved by Frankl [3] for $t \ge 15$ and for all t using a different technique by Wilson [6]. For a family \mathcal{F} , let

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F}) = \{F \setminus G : F, G \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

be the collection of all (setwise) differences of \mathcal{F} . Here we allow the empty set \emptyset to be in \mathcal{F} when $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$. In this direction Marica and Schönheim [5] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 2 (Marica-Schönheim theorem). For a nonempty family $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{[n]}$ one has

$$|\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F})| \ge |\mathcal{F}|.$$

Recently, Frankl [2] proved the following upper bound for $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F})$ when \mathcal{F} is an intersecting family.

Theorem 3 (Frankl). Suppose that $\mathcal{F} \subset {\binom{[n]}{k}}$ is an intersecting family with $n \ge k(k+3)$. Then

$$|\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F})| \le \binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-1}{k-2} + \dots + \binom{n-1}{0}.$$

For further developments in this direction see [4].

In this note we extend Theorem 3 to the *t*-intersecting families \mathcal{F} of $\binom{[n]}{k}$. More precisely we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4. Suppose that $\mathcal{F} \subset {\binom{[n]}{k}}$ is a t-intersecting family with $n \ge (k-t)(k+t+3) \cdot \lfloor \left(\frac{k+t+3}{k+t+1}\right)^{t-1} \rfloor$. Then

$$|\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F})| \le \binom{n-t}{k-t} + \binom{n-t}{k-t-1} + \dots + \binom{n-t}{0}.$$

2. Preliminaries

To prove Theorem 4 we require the following notions.

For a family $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{[n]}$ and a non-negative integer ℓ let

$$\mathcal{D}^{(\ell)}(\mathcal{F}) = \left\{ D \in \binom{[n]}{\ell} : \exists F, G \in \mathcal{F}, F \setminus G = D \right\}.$$

Note that

$$|\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F})| = \sum_{\ell \ge 0} |\mathcal{D}^{(\ell)}(\mathcal{F})|.$$

We call a family \mathcal{F} to be a *t-star* if there is some *t*-subset contained in every member of \mathcal{F} . Similarly, we call \mathcal{F} to be *the full t-star* all elements of $\binom{[n]}{k}$ containing a fix *t*-subset are the only elements of the family \mathcal{F} .

Lemma 1. Suppose that the family $\mathcal{F} \subset {\binom{[n]}{k}}$ is t-intersecting and that $\mathcal{D}^{(k-t)}(\mathcal{F})$ has k + t + 2 members that are pairwise disjoint. Then \mathcal{F} is a t-star.

Proof. We can assume that $k \geq t+1$, as the case k = t is trivial. Let $D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_{k+t+1}$ be members of $\mathcal{D}^{(k-t)}(\mathcal{F})$ that are pairwise disjoint. As $D_i = F_i \setminus F'_i$ for some $F_i, F'_i \in \mathcal{F}$ and \mathcal{F} is t-intersecting, for each D_i there exist some subset X_i of $2^{[n]}$ with $|X_i| = t$ and $D_i \cup X_i \in \mathcal{F}$. Observe that each $x \in X_0$ can contain in at most one D_i , without loss of generality let $D_{k+2}, D_{k+3}, \ldots, D_{k+t+1}$ be such D_i 's. Therefore, $(D_0 \cup X_0) \cap D_i = \emptyset$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k+1$.

However, as $D_i \cup X_i \in \mathcal{F}$ and \mathcal{F} is a *t*-intersecting family, we have in particular that $|(D_0 \cup X_0) \cap (D_i \cup X_i)| \ge t$. But, D_i 's are pairwise disjoint and X_0 has nothing common with D_i for $1 \le i \le k+1$, the only possibility is that $X_i \subset (D_0 \cup X_0)$ for $1 \le i \le k+1$.

Further, as $|(D_1 \cup X_1) \cap (D_i \cup X_i)| \ge t$ for $1 \le i \le k+1$, we have $X_1 \cap D_i = \emptyset$, otherwise we would have $D_1 \cap D_i \ne \emptyset$ or $(D_0 \cup X_0) \cap D_1 \ne \emptyset$ giving contradictions. By similar argument $X_i \cap D_1 = \emptyset$. Thus, $X_1 = X_i$ for $1 \le i \le k+1$.

Our claim is that $X_1 \in F$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Suppose that $X_1 \nsubseteq F$ for some $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Then $|(D_i \cup X_i) \cap F| \ge t$ implies $|D_i \cap F| \ne \emptyset$ for all $1 \le i \le k+1$, which further implies $|\mathcal{F}| \ge k+1$, a contradiction.

If $\mathcal{F} \subset {\binom{[n]}{k}}$ is a *t*-star with $X \subset F$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\mathcal{D}^{(\ell)}(\mathcal{F}) \subset {\binom{[n]\setminus X}{\ell}}$ for all $0 \leq \ell \leq k - t$. Thus, for *t*-stars $|\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F})| \leq \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-t} |\mathcal{D}^{(\ell)}(\mathcal{F})|$. The equality holds when \mathcal{F} is the full *t*-star.

Corollary 1. It is sufficient to prove Theorem 4 for families \mathcal{F} with $\mathcal{D}^{(k-t)}(\mathcal{F})$ not containing k + t + 2 pairwise disjoint members.

3. The proof of Theorem 4

Proof of Theorem 4. Define $f(k,t) = (k-t)(k+t+3)\lfloor \left(\frac{k+t+3}{k+t+1}\right)^{t-1}\rfloor$. Choose a family $\mathcal{D} \subset {\binom{[n]}{k-t}}$ such that it has $(k+t+3)\lfloor \left(\frac{k+t+3}{k+t+1}\right)^{t-1}\rfloor$ members that are pairwise disjoint. The selection of \mathcal{D} has done in an uniform way. Then the expected value

$$\mathbb{E}(|\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{D}^{(k-t)}(\mathcal{F})|) = (k+t+3) \left[\left(\frac{k+t+3}{k+t+1}\right)^{t-1} \right] \frac{1}{\binom{n}{k-t}} |\mathcal{D}^{(k-t)}(\mathcal{F})|.$$

But by Corollary 1 we have

$$(k+t+3)\left\lfloor \left(\frac{k+t+3}{k+t+1}\right)^{t-1} \right\rfloor \frac{1}{\binom{n}{k-t}} |\mathcal{D}^{(k-t)}(\mathcal{F})| \le k+t+1.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{D}^{(k-t)}(\mathcal{F})| &\leq \frac{(k+t+1)^t}{(k+t+3)^t} \binom{n}{k-t} \\ &= \frac{(k+t+1)^t}{(k+t+3)^t} \cdot \frac{n \cdot (n-1) \cdots (n-t+1)}{(n-k+t) \cdot (n-k+t-1) \cdots (n-k+1)} \cdot \binom{n-t}{k-t} \\ &< \frac{(k+t+1)^t}{(k+t+3)^t} \cdot \left(\frac{n}{n-k+1}\right)^t \cdot \binom{n-t}{k-t}. \end{aligned}$$

As the function $(\frac{n}{n-k+1})^t$ is decreasing on n we see that $(\frac{n}{n-k+1})^t \leq (\frac{f(k,t)}{f(k,t)-k+1})^t \leq (\frac{k+t+2}{k+t+1})^t$. Therefore we have

$$|\mathcal{D}^{(k-t)}(\mathcal{F})| < \left(\frac{k+t+2}{k+t+3}\right)^t \binom{n-t}{k-t} < \frac{k+t+2}{k+t+3} \binom{n-t}{k-t} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{k+t+3}\right) \binom{n-t}{k-t}.$$
(1)

For all other values of $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, k - t - 1$, as $\mathcal{F} \subset {\binom{[n]}{k}}$ is *t*-intersecting, we have

$$|\mathcal{D}^{(0)}(\mathcal{F})| \le 1 = \binom{n-t}{0},\tag{2}$$

and

$$|\mathcal{D}^{(\ell)}(\mathcal{F})| \le \binom{n-t+1}{\ell} = \binom{n-t}{\ell} + \binom{n-t}{\ell-1}$$
(3)

for $\ell = 1, \ldots, k - t - 1$. Observe that

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-t-2} \binom{n-t}{\ell} \le (k-t-1)\binom{n-t}{k-t-2} < \frac{1}{k+t+3}\binom{n-t}{k-t},\tag{4}$$

where the latter inequality can be easily verified by just expanding the binomial coefficients. Hence, combining the equations (1), (2), (3), and (4) we obtain

$$\begin{split} |\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F})| &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-t} |\mathcal{D}^{(\ell)}(\mathcal{F})| \\ &< \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-t-1} |\mathcal{D}^{(\ell)}(\mathcal{F})| + \left(1 - \frac{1}{k+t+3}\right) \binom{n-t}{k-t} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-t-1} \binom{n-t}{\ell} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-t-2} \binom{n-t}{\ell} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{k+t+3}\right) \binom{n-t}{k-t} \\ &< \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-t-1} \binom{n-t}{\ell} + \frac{1}{k+t+3} \binom{n-t}{k-t} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{k+t+3}\right) \binom{n-t}{k-t} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-t} \binom{n-t}{\ell}. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Acknowledgment

Both the authors wish to thank the Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai for the financial support received through the institute postdoctoral program.

References

- P. Erdős, C. Ko, and R. Rado, Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets, Q. J. Math. 12 (1961), 310–320.
- [2] P. Frankl, On the number of distinct differences in an intersecting family, Disc. Math. 344 (2) (2021), Paper No. 112210.
- [3] P. Frankl, The Erdős–Ko–Rado theorem is true for n = ckt, Combinatorics (Proc. Fifth Hungarian Colloq., Keszthey, 1976), Vol. I, 365–375, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, 18, North–Holland, 1978.
- [4] P. Frankl, S. Kiselev, and A. Kupavskii, Best possible bounds on the number of distinct differences in intersecting families, European J. Combin. 107 (2023), Paper No. 103601.

- [5] J. Marica and J. Schönheim, Differences of sets and a problem of graham, Canad. Math. Bull. 12 (1969), 635–637.
- [6] R. M. Wilson, The exact bound in the Erdős–Ko–Rado theorem, Combinatorica, 4 (1984), 247–257.