

# Rate-Compatible Polar Codes for Automorphism Ensemble Decoding

Marvin Geiselhart, Jannis Clausius and Stephan ten Brink

Institute of Telecommunications, Pfaffenwaldring 47, University of Stuttgart, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany  
{geiselhart,clausius,tenbrink}@inue.uni-stuttgart.de

**Abstract**—Recently, automorphism ensemble decoding (AED) has drawn research interest as a more computationally efficient alternative to successive cancellation list (SCL) decoding of polar codes. Although AED has demonstrated superior performance for specific code parameters, a flexible code design that can accommodate varying code rates does not yet exist. This work proposes a theoretical framework for constructing rate-compatible polar codes with a prescribed automorphism group, which is a key requirement for AED. We first prove that a one-bit granular sequence with useful automorphisms cannot exist. However, by allowing larger steps in the code dimension, flexible code sequences can be constructed. An explicit synthetic channel ranking based on the  $\beta$ -expansion is then proposed to ensure that all constructed codes possess the desired symmetries. Simulation results, covering a broad range of code dimensions and blocklengths, show a performance comparable to that of 5G polar codes under cyclic redundancy check (CRC)-aided SCL decoding, however, with lower complexity.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Polar codes, introduced by Arikan [1], are the first class of channel codes that provably asymptotically achieve the channel capacity of a discrete memoryless channel (DMC) under low-complexity successive cancellation (SC) decoding for long blocklengths. To improve their performance in the short blocklength regime, an outer cyclic redundancy check (CRC) code and the successive cancellation list (SCL) decoder have been introduced [2]. For this reason, SCL has become the de-facto standard decoder for CRC-aided polar codes, which have been adopted in the 5G standard [3].

Unfortunately, SCL decoding requires significant path management overhead, which increases decoding latency and makes implementations with large list sizes difficult. An alternative to SCL decoding is automorphism ensemble decoding (AED) [4], where all sub-decoders are independent, allowing much more efficient hardware implementations [5]. AED uses the automorphism group of a code to generate a set of  $M$  permuted versions of the received sequence, which are processed in parallel by low-complexity SC decoders. Thus, for AED to be applicable, the code must have a reasonable number of useful automorphisms, which requires special code design [6]. The first code design for AED was proposed in [7], but it is guided by human inspection and is therefore not fully automatic. In [8], the first automated code design is presented that ensures a prescribed automorphism group. This

is achieved by iteratively modifying a polar code generated by a standard design algorithm until it has the desired symmetries. A more direct approach is proposed in [9], where information bits are added to an arbitrary design until the symmetry constraints are satisfied. All of the above design algorithms produce a code with only a single code rate, but many practical applications require codes that can accommodate different rates. In [10] it is shown that highly symmetric codes exist for almost all code dimensions. However, these codes are not subcodes of each other and therefore do not form a rate-compatible sequence. In this paper, we propose a low-complexity, explicit design algorithm for rate-flexible polar codes. The main contributions of this paper are as follows:

- We formulate necessary and sufficient conditions on the information sets of polar code sequences to contain at least a predefined automorphisms group.
- We extend the partial order to include exactly the codes with at least this symmetry.
- Based on the  $\beta$ -expansion, we propose a bit reliability sequence that inherently captures the desired symmetries and, thus, directly yields rate-flexible polar code designs suitable for AED.
- For the first time, close to state-of-the-art performance of AED is demonstrated over a broad range of code rates and blocklengths with extensive Monte-Carlo simulation.

## II. PRELIMINARIES

### A. Notation

Vectors and matrices are indicated by lowercase and uppercase boldface letters, respectively.  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  denotes the set of integers between 0 and  $N - 1$ . For an integer  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  denotes its  $n$ -bit least significant bit (LSB)-first binary expansion. Binary numbers are written LSB-first and indicated by the subscript 2, e.g.,  $11 = 11010_2$ .  $S_n$  denotes the set of all permutations of  $n$  elements.

### B. Polar Codes

The structure of a polar code is defined by the  $N \times N$  Hadamard matrix  $\mathbf{G}_N = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{\otimes n}$  with  $N = 2^n$ , where  $(\cdot)^{\otimes n}$  denotes the  $n$ -th Kronecker power. The Hadamard matrix can be interpreted as a transformation of  $N$  DMCs into  $N$  polarized synthetic channels. In this context, *polarized* means that the majority of synthetic channels are either highly reliable or highly unreliable, with only a few being intermediate. The task of polar code design is to select a subset of reliable

This work is supported by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) within the project Open6GHub (grant no. 16KISK019).

channel indices for information transmission, the so called *information set*  $\mathcal{I}$ . The remaining, unreliable channel indices form the so called *frozen set*  $\mathcal{F}$ , where frozen bits equal to “0” are transmitted. The corresponding generator matrix  $\mathbf{G}$  is composed of the rows of  $\mathbf{G}_N$  defined by  $\mathcal{I}$ .

### C. Successive Cancellation Decoding

The SC decoding algorithm works by depth-first traversal of the factor graph. The bits associated with the synthetic channels are successively estimated based on the channel observations and the hard decisions of all previously estimated bits. At frozen positions, the hard decision is always set to 0. In the following, we denote the SC decoding function by  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \text{SC}(\mathbf{y})$ , where  $\mathbf{y}$  is the received sequence and  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$  is the codeword estimate. SCL modifies the depth-first traversal of the factor graph by branching at each information bit into two parallel decoding paths, assuming the bit to be 0 and 1 respectively. In addition, a path metric is calculated based on the reliability of the bits. To counteract the exponential growth of the number of paths, only the  $L$  paths with the smallest path metrics are kept at each branching step [2].

### D. Partial Order

The synthetic channels of a polar code display a partial order in a sense that some are always more reliable than others, independent of the transmission channel [11], [12]. We write  $i \preceq j$ , if the synthetic channel  $j$  is always at least as reliable as  $i$ . The partial order is defined by two rules<sup>1</sup>:

- 1) *Left swap*: If  $(\hat{i}_l, \hat{i}_{l+1}) = (1, 0)$ , and  $(\hat{j}_l, \hat{j}_{l+1}) = (0, 1)$  for some  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1}$ , while all other  $\hat{j}_{l'} = \hat{i}_{l'}$ ,  $l' \notin \{l, l+1\}$ , then  $i \preceq j$ . That is, if  $\hat{\mathbf{j}}$  equals  $\hat{\mathbf{i}}$  with a single “1” bit moved one position to the right (more significant), then  $i \preceq j$ .
- 2) *Binary domination*: If  $\hat{i}_l \leq \hat{j}_l \forall l \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ , then  $i \preceq j$ . In other words,  $\hat{\mathbf{j}}$  is  $\hat{\mathbf{i}}$ , but may have additional “1” bits.

All remaining relations can be derived from transitivity.

A polar code with information set  $\mathcal{I}$  complies with (or follows) the partial order if  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  implies that all  $j \succ i$  also satisfy  $j \in \mathcal{I}$ , i.e., for any information bit, all more reliable channels are also designated as information bits.

A low-complexity polar code design algorithm that always follows the partial order is the  $\beta$ -expansion [14]. It assigns each synthetic channel a *polarization weight* computed as

$$w_p(i) = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \hat{i}_l \beta^l \quad (1)$$

where  $\beta$  is a real number satisfying  $1 < \beta \leq 2$ . The synthetic channels are ranked by their polarization weight and the  $K$  with largest weight are selected as the information set.

<sup>1</sup>In [11] the partial order is defined using the monomial formalism, which can be easily related to the notation used here. A formal proof of their equivalence is given in [13].

### E. Automorphisms of Polar Codes

**Definition:** The (permutation) automorphism group  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$  of a code  $\mathcal{C}$  with blocklength  $N$  is the set of all permutations of the codeword symbols that map each codeword onto another (not necessarily different) codeword. In other words,

$$\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}) = \{\pi \in S_N | \pi(\mathbf{c}) \in \mathcal{C} \forall \mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

In the context of polar codes, a special form of permutations is particularly interesting, namely *affine permutations*.

**Definition:** An affine permutation is a permutation of the codeword symbols that can be written as

$$\pi : i \mapsto i' : \hat{i}' = \mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{i}} + \mathbf{b} \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{n \times n}$  is a non-singular matrix and  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ . Similarly, the affine automorphism group of a code is the subgroup of automorphisms that are affine permutations.

For polar codes, the affine automorphism group can be characterized by the notion of stabilizers:

**Definition:** The stabilizer  $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{S})$  of a set  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$  is the set of all permutations  $\sigma \in S_n$  with the property

$$f_\sigma(i) \in \mathcal{S} \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{S},$$

where  $f_\sigma(i)$  permutes the binary digits of  $i$ , i.e.,

$$f_\sigma : i \mapsto i' : \hat{i}' = \sigma(\hat{\mathbf{i}}).$$

If  $\mathcal{I}$  is the information set of a polar code following the partial order, then  $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{I})$  follows a block structure, i.e., bits are only permuted within contiguous blocks [6]. This block structure is defined by the vector of block sizes  $\mathbf{s} = [s_0, \dots, s_{m-1}]$ , with  $m$  being the number of blocks. We define this set  $P_s \subseteq S_n$  as those permutations whose permutation matrices have no non-zero entries above the block profile  $\mathbf{s}$ .

**Theorem 1:** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a polar code with information set  $\mathcal{I}$  following the partial order and  $\mathbf{s}$  being the block profile of  $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{I})$ . Then, its affine automorphism group is the set of affine permutations  $\text{BLTA}(\mathbf{s})$  according to equation (2) where  $\mathbf{A}$  does not have any non-zero elements above the block diagonal given by  $\mathbf{s}$  [6], [15].

In the following, such a code is called *s-symmetric*.

### F. Automorphism Ensemble Decoding

AED has been proposed in [4] as a highly parallelizable decoding algorithm for Reed–Muller (RM) codes, which has been applied to polar codes in [6]. It uses an ensemble of  $M$  identical low-complexity SC decoders that all run in parallel on permuted versions of the noisy received sequence  $\mathbf{y}$ . Then, the decoding results are depermuted to

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j = \pi_j^{-1}(\text{SC}(\pi_j(\mathbf{y})))$$

from which the most likely candidate is picked as the final codeword estimate

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \underset{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j, j=0, \dots, M-1}{\text{argmax}} P(\mathbf{y} | \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j).$$

The permutations  $\pi_j$  stem from the automorphism group of the code. Due to decoder equivariance [4], at most one from each equivalence class is used in AED [8].

### III. POLAR CODES WITH SYMMETRY CONSTRAINTS

#### A. Symmetric Code Augmentation

In the following, we derive relationships between codes that have at least a prescribed set of automorphisms  $\text{BLTA}(\mathbf{s})$ . Our approach is similar to that of [9], [16], where groups of indices that are either entirely frozen or information bits are identified. However, as we are interested in the full-affine automorphism group of polar codes, we additionally consider the partial order of synthetic channels. To analyze which information bits can be added to a code while preserving its symmetry, we utilize again the concept of stabilizers.

**Proposition 1:** Let  $\mathbf{s}$  be some block profile, and a  $\mathcal{I}_1$  an information set compliant with the partial order and  $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{I}_1) \supseteq P_{\mathbf{s}}$ . Moreover, let  $\mathcal{I}_2 = \mathcal{I}_1 \cup \mathcal{D}$  also follow the partial order with  $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{I}_1 = \emptyset$ . Then  $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{I}_2) \supseteq P_{\mathbf{s}}$  if and only if  $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{D}) \supseteq P_{\mathbf{s}}$ .

*Proof.* “ $\Rightarrow$ ”: Suppose  $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{D}) \not\supseteq P_{\mathbf{s}}$ , i.e.,  $\exists i \in \mathcal{D}, \sigma \in P_{\mathbf{s}}$  with  $f_{\sigma}(i) \notin \mathcal{D}$ . Then  $i \in \mathcal{I}_2$  but  $f_{\sigma}(i) \notin \mathcal{I}_2$ , which contradicts  $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{I}_2) \supseteq P_{\mathbf{s}}$ . Note that  $f_{\sigma}(i) \in \mathcal{I}_1$  is not possible, as then also  $i \in \mathcal{I}_1$  to ensure  $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{I}_1) \supseteq P_{\mathbf{s}}$ .

“ $\Leftarrow$ ”: This directly follows from  $\text{Stab}(\mathcal{I}_2) = \text{Stab}(\mathcal{I}_1 \cup \mathcal{D}) = \text{Stab}(\mathcal{I}_1) \cap \text{Stab}(\mathcal{D})$  which is at least  $P_{\mathbf{s}}$ .  $\blacksquare$

This means that if two  $\mathbf{s}$ -symmetric polar codes are subcodes of each other, their difference index set must follow the partial order and be itself stable under  $P_{\mathbf{s}}$ . Clearly, this restricts the granularity of the code dimension  $K$  of a sequence of symmetric rate-compatible polar codes. For the finest granularity, let  $\mathcal{D}_i$  denote the set of channel indices that contains only  $i$  and all its permutations under  $P_{\mathbf{s}}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{D}_i = \{f_{\sigma}(i) | \sigma \in P_{\mathbf{s}}\}$ . The size of  $\mathcal{D}_i$  is computed as

$$|\mathcal{D}_i| = \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \binom{s_j}{w_{h,s,j}(\hat{\mathbf{i}})},$$

where  $w_{h,s,j}(\hat{\mathbf{i}})$  denotes Hamming weight of  $\hat{\mathbf{i}}$  in the  $j$ -th block of  $\mathbf{s}$ . For  $n = 4$ , Table I shows the groups stabilized by  $P_{\mathbf{s}}$  with  $\mathbf{s} = [2, 2]$ . For example, for  $i = 5 = 1010_2$ , we have  $\mathcal{D}_i = \{1010_2, 0110_2, 1001_2, 0101_2\} = \{5, 6, 9, 10\}$  of size 4.

#### B. Symmetric Partial Order

The partial order introduced in [11], [12] can be restricted to ensure that all constructed polar codes attain at least  $\text{BLTA}(\mathbf{s})$  as their automorphism group, for a given  $\mathbf{s}$ . As discussed above, a synthetic channel  $i$  can only be added or removed together with all elements of its group  $\mathcal{D}_i$  which is stable under  $P_{\mathbf{s}}$ . Hence, we define a partial order “ $\preceq_{\mathbf{s}}$ ” of the groups as

$$\mathcal{D}_i \preceq_{\mathbf{s}} \mathcal{D}_j \Leftrightarrow \exists i' \in \mathcal{D}_i, j' \in \mathcal{D}_j \text{ with } i' \preceq j'.$$

The Hasse diagram of “ $\preceq_{\mathbf{s}}$ ” can be derived from the one of “ $\preceq$ ” by merging the nodes of synthetic channels within a group stable under  $P_{\mathbf{s}}$  into a single node. Observe that this merging can always be done, as all elements of each group are comparable under the partial order and directly neighbor each other (left swap rule). In other words,

$$\mathcal{D}_i \preceq_{\mathbf{s}} \mathcal{D}_j \Rightarrow \forall j' \in \mathcal{D}_j \exists i' \in \mathcal{D}_i \text{ with } i' \preceq j'.$$

Table I: Example for  $n = 4$ ,  $\mathbf{s} = [2, 2]$ . Grouped entries indicate the different stabilizing subsets  $\mathcal{D}_i$ .

| $i$ | $\hat{\mathbf{i}}$ | $i$ | $\hat{\mathbf{i}}$ | $i$ | $\hat{\mathbf{i}}$ | $i$ | $\hat{\mathbf{i}}$ |
|-----|--------------------|-----|--------------------|-----|--------------------|-----|--------------------|
| 0   | 0000 <sub>2</sub>  | 4   | 0010 <sub>2</sub>  | 8   | 0001 <sub>2</sub>  | 12  | 0011               |
| 1   | 1000 <sub>2</sub>  | 5   | 1010 <sub>2</sub>  | 9   | 1001 <sub>2</sub>  | 13  | 1011 <sub>2</sub>  |
| 2   | 0100 <sub>2</sub>  | 6   | 0110 <sub>2</sub>  | 10  | 0101 <sub>2</sub>  | 14  | 0111 <sub>2</sub>  |
| 3   | 1100 <sub>2</sub>  | 7   | 1110 <sub>2</sub>  | 11  | 1101 <sub>2</sub>  | 15  | 1111 <sub>2</sub>  |

Fig. 1 shows the Hasse diagram for  $n = 5$ , and a minimum symmetry of  $\mathbf{s} = [1, 1, 3]$ .

**Theorem 2:** Let  $\mathbf{s}$  be some block profile. A polar code  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}) \supseteq \text{BLTA}(\mathbf{s})$  if and only if its information set complies with “ $\preceq_{\mathbf{s}}$ ”.

*Proof.* “ $\Rightarrow$ ”: We have  $P_{\mathbf{s}}$  stabilizing  $\mathcal{I}$  and, as per [13], Thm. 3, we know that  $\mathcal{C}$  complies with “ $\preceq$ ” if  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}) \supseteq \text{BLTA}(\mathbf{s})$ , and, hence, it also follows “ $\preceq_{\mathbf{s}}$ ”.

“ $\Leftarrow$ ”: By the definition above, every code complying with “ $\preceq_{\mathbf{s}}$ ”, also follows the partial order “ $\preceq$ ” and is stabilized by  $P_{\mathbf{s}}$ . By Thm. 1, we therefore have  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}) \supseteq \text{BLTA}(\mathbf{s})$ .  $\blacksquare$

### IV. RATE-COMPATIBLE SEQUENCES OF SYMMETRIC POLAR CODES

Using the symmetric partial order, polar codes with a prescribed symmetry can be constructed. In applications that require multiple code rates, it is helpful to define a total order of the synthetic channels, rather than only a partial order. Inspired by the  $\beta$ -expansion, we propose an  $\mathbf{s}$ -symmetric polarization weight  $w_{p,\mathbf{s}}$  with the following requirements:

- 1) Equality: Channel indices in the same group should have the same polarization weight, i.e.,

$$w_{p,\mathbf{s}}(i) = w_{p,\mathbf{s}}(f_{\sigma}(i)) \quad \forall \sigma \in P_{\mathbf{s}} \quad (3)$$

- 2) Compatibility: The value of this polarization weight should lie between the minimum and maximum polarization weight of the original  $\beta$ -expansion, i.e.,

$$\min_{i' \in \mathcal{D}_i} w_p(i') \leq w_{p,\mathbf{s}}(i) \leq \max_{i' \in \mathcal{D}_i} w_p(i') \quad (4)$$

For a similarly elegant formulation as eq. (1), we only relax the condition that each bit position  $l$  is associated to a power of  $\beta$ , but rather a general value  $\beta_{s,l}$ , leading to

$$w_{p,\mathbf{s}}(i) = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \hat{i}_l \beta_{s,l}.$$

To satisfy (3),  $\beta_{s,l}$  must be the same value within each block in  $\mathbf{s}$ . For condition (4) to hold, also groups with only a single index with all-ones in a block (e.g.,  $i = N - 1$ ) must satisfy  $w_{p,\mathbf{s}}(i) = w_p(i)$ . Hence, the only valid definition for  $\beta_{s,l}$  is

$$\beta_{s,l} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_{s,l}|} \sum_{l' \in \mathcal{L}_{s,l}} \beta^{l'},$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_{s,l}$  is the set of indices corresponding to the same block in  $\mathbf{s}$  as the index  $l$ .

**Lemma 1:** For all  $\beta \geq 1$  and  $\mathbf{s}$ , we have  $\beta_{s,l} \leq \beta_{s,l+1}$ .




Fig. 1: Hasse diagram of the  $[1,1,3]$ -symmetric partial order for  $N=32$  polar codes, with binary and base-10 indices. Each of the large nodes corresponds to a group of three synthetic channel indices, where “-x-” denotes that any combination of  $x$  “1s” appears in these 3 bits of the index.

*Proof.* We can upper bound  $\beta_{s,l}$  by

$$\beta_{s,l} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_{s,l}|} \sum_{l' \in \mathcal{L}_{s,l}} \beta^{l'} \leq \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_{s,l}|} |\mathcal{L}_{s,l}| \cdot \beta^{\max\{\mathcal{L}_{s,l}\}} = \beta^{\max\{\mathcal{L}_{s,l}\}}$$

and similarly lower bound  $\beta_{s,l+1}$  by

$$\beta_{s,l+1} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}_{s,l+1}|} \sum_{l' \in \mathcal{L}_{s,l+1}} \beta^{l'} \geq \beta^{\min\{\mathcal{L}_{s,l+1}\}}.$$

As  $l' \leq l''$  holds for all  $l' \in \mathcal{L}_{s,l}$  and  $l'' \in \mathcal{L}_{s,l+1}$ , we have  $\beta_{s,l} \leq \beta^{\max\{\mathcal{L}_{s,l}\}} \leq \beta^{\min\{\mathcal{L}_{s,l+1}\}} \leq \beta_{s,l+1}$ . ■

**Lemma 2:** Let  $\mathbf{s}$  be some block profile and  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_N$  distinct and following  $i \preceq j$ . Then also  $w_{p,s}(i) \leq w_{p,s}(j)$ .

*Proof.* If  $i$  and  $j$  are connected directly via the left swap rule, i.e.,  $(\hat{i}_l, \hat{i}_{l+1}) = (1, 0)$ ,  $(\hat{j}_l, \hat{j}_{l+1}) = (0, 1)$  and  $\hat{j}_{l'} = \hat{i}_{l'}$ ,  $l' \notin \{l, l+1\}$ , we have

$$w_{p,s}(i) = \sum_{l' \notin \{l, l+1\}} \hat{i}_{l'} \beta_{s,l'} + \beta_{s,l} \leq \sum_{l' \notin \{l, l+1\}} \hat{i}_{l'} \beta_{s,l'} + \beta_{s,l+1} = w_{p,s}(j)$$

using Lemma 1. And if  $i$  and  $j$  are connected directly via the binary domination rule,

$$w_{p,s}(i) \leq w_{p,s}(i) + \sum_{l', \hat{i}_{l'} \neq \hat{j}_{l'}} \hat{i}_{l'} \beta_{s,l'} = w_{p,s}(j).$$

For general  $i \preceq j$ , the above is extended via transitivity. ■

Using this  $\mathbf{s}$ -symmetric polarization weight, we define a rate-flexible polar code design by picking the  $K$  channel indices with the largest  $w_{p,s}$ . If multiple indices correspond to the  $K$ -th largest  $w_{p,s}$ , all of them have to be included in the information set  $\mathcal{I}$ , potentially increasing the code dimension. This design procedure is listed in Algorithm 1. Note that the potential increase in code dimension does not reduce the minimum distance of the code, as indices with the same  $w_{p,s}$  have also the same Hamming weight.

**Theorem 3:** All codes  $\mathcal{C}$  constructed using Alg. 1 satisfy  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}) \supseteq \text{BLTA}(\mathbf{s})$ .

*Proof:* According to Theorem 1, for the proposition to hold we have to verify that the codes follow the partial order and their  $P_s$  stabilizes their information set. Compliance with partial order is proven by contradiction. Assume there exists a pair  $i \preceq j$  with  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $j \notin \mathcal{I}$ . Then, from Lemma 2 we have  $t \leq w_{p,s}(i) \leq w_{p,s}(j)$ , which contradicts  $j \notin \mathcal{I}$  and, thus,  $\mathcal{C}$  must follow the partial order. Due to construction, all indices within each group have the same  $w_{p,s}$ , and thus, are either all included or excluded from the information set  $\mathcal{I}$ . As each group is stabilized by  $P_s$ , so is their union  $\mathcal{I}$ . ■

**Algorithm 1:** Polar code design based on  $\mathbf{s}$ -symmetric  $\beta$ -expansion

**Input :** Block profile  $\mathbf{s} = [s_0, \dots, s_{m-1}]$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $K$

**Output:** Information set  $\mathcal{I}$

- 1  $l \leftarrow 0$ ;
- 2 **for**  $k = 0, \dots, m-1$  **do**
- 3      $\beta_{s,l+l+s_k} \leftarrow \frac{1}{s_k} \sum_{i=l}^{l+s_k} \beta^i$ ;
- 4      $l \leftarrow l + s_k$ ;
- 5 **end**
- 6  $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow [w_{p,s}(i)]_i = [\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \hat{i}_l \beta_{s,l}]$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ ;
- 7  $t \leftarrow K$ -th largest value of  $\mathbf{w}$ ;
- 8  $\mathcal{I} \leftarrow \{i \in \mathbb{Z}_N | w_{p,s}(i) \geq t\}$ ;

Table II: Parameters of the rate-compatible polar code sequences

| $N$          | 64        | 128       | 256         | 512           | 1024            |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
| $\mathbf{s}$ | [1,1,1,3] | [1,1,1,4] | [1,1,1,1,4] | [1,1,1,1,1,4] | [1,1,1,1,1,1,4] |
| $\beta$      | 1.1       | 1.1       | 1.122       | 1.134         | 1.14            |

## V. NUMERICAL RESULTS

### A. Code Design Parameters

The proposed  $\mathbf{s}$  symmetric  $\beta$  expansion design has two hyperparameters, namely  $\mathbf{s}$  and  $\beta$ . Their optimization is beyond the scope of this work and we assume some exemplary values for this proof of concept. For the block profile  $\mathbf{s}$ , empirical evidence shows that the last block  $s_{m-1}$  is particularly valuable for permuted decoding [6], [7], [17]. Intuitively, such automorphisms lead to larger permutation distances of the bit indices and, thus, to more diverse decoding results. Therefore, we chose  $s_{m-1} \leq 4$  and all others  $s_k = 1$  as a trade-off between error rate performance and a maximum dimensional granularity of at most  $\binom{4}{2} = 6$ . It should be emphasized that the proposed code design algorithm works with any block profile  $\mathbf{s}$ . The value of  $\beta$  is found via grid search for good block error rate (BLER) performance for each blocklength  $N$ . The selected parameters are listed in Table II.

### B. Error-Rate Performance

We evaluate the performance of rate-compatible polar codes with  $64 \leq N \leq 1024$  for the additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) channel with binary phase shift keying (BPSK) mapping. We compare the proposed  $\mathbf{s}$ -symmetric  $\beta$ -expansion-based codes under automorphism ensemble successive cancellation (AE-SC) decoding with ensemble size  $M = 8$  to the 5G




Fig. 2: SNR required to achieve a BLER of  $10^{-3}$  for different code dimensions  $K$  and block sizes  $N$ .

standardized codes under CRC-aided successive cancellation list (CA-SCL) decoding with list size  $L = 8$ . The 5G codes use a 6-bit CRC code for  $K < 20$  and a 11-bit CRC for  $K \geq 20$  [3]. Note that AED uses random automorphisms from the full affine automorphism group of each code, which may be larger than the specified minimum symmetry in the code design. Fig. 2 shows the required signal-to-noise-ratio (SNR) to reach a BLER of  $10^{-3}$ . As a baseline, we also plot the  $\mathcal{O}(n^{-2})$  approximation of the finite blocklength Polyanski-Poor-Verdú (PPV) meta-converse bound [18]. As we can see, across all values of  $N$  and rates considered, the proposed codes using AE-SC perform similarly to the standardized codes using CA-SCL, and outperform it for shorter blocklengths. However, for longer blocklengths ( $N \geq 512$ ), AED falls behind SCL, but still remains within 1 dB of the 5G polar code.

### C. Decoding Complexity and Latency

As the decoders are independent, the latency of AED is first-order independent on  $M$ , and its complexity scales linear in  $M$ . In contrast, SCL decoding requires path management (i.e., path-metric sorting and pruning), leading to worse latency and complexity scaling. A numerical analysis of the complexity and comparison between AED and SCL is out of scope of this paper and we refer the interested reader to [5].

## VI. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

In this work, we have investigated the necessary and sufficient conditions for generating rate-flexible polar code sequences with a given automorphism group. Our results show that single-bit granularity is infeasible, but practical rate flexibility is achievable. We introduced a symmetric partial order that takes both partial order and symmetry constraints into account and can be utilized for designing single polar codes or rate-compatible sequences with low complexity. To demonstrate our approach, we present a variant of the  $\beta$  expansion to create a total order of synthetic channels that

inherently ensures the desired symmetries in all designed codes. Error-rate performance simulation results show that the designed codes using AE-SC decoding are competitive with 5G polar codes under CA-SCL decoding, especially in the short blocklength regime, while offering lower decoding complexity and latency.

We note that the proposed symmetric partial order can also be converted to a total order by other means of polar code design, such as density evolution [19] or Monte Carlo simulation restricted to the partial order graph [20].

## REFERENCES

- [1] E. Arkan, "Channel Polarization: A Method for Constructing Capacity-Achieving Codes for Symmetric Binary-Input Memoryless Channels," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 55, no. 7, pp. 3051–3073, Jul. 2009.
- [2] I. Tal and A. Vardy, "List Decoding of Polar Codes," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 61, no. 5, pp. 2213–2226, May 2015.
- [3] "Technical Specification Group Radio Access Network," *3GPP, 2018, TS 38.212 V.15.1.1*. [Online]. Available: [http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/Specs/archive/38\\_series/38.212/](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/Specs/archive/38_series/38.212/)
- [4] M. Geiselhart, A. Elkelesh, M. Ebada, S. Cammerer, and S. ten Brink, "Automorphism Ensemble Decoding of Reed-Muller Codes," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, 2021.
- [5] C. Kestel, M. Geiselhart, L. Johannsen, S. ten Brink, and N. Wehn, "Automorphism Ensemble Polar Code Decoders for 6G URLLC," in *13th ITG International Conference on Systems, Communications, and Coding (SCC)*, February 2023.
- [6] M. Geiselhart, A. Elkelesh, M. Ebada, S. Cammerer, and S. ten Brink, "On the Automorphism Group of Polar Codes," in *2021 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*, 2021, pp. 1230–1235.
- [7] C. Pillet, V. Bioglio, and I. Land, "Polar Codes for Automorphism Ensemble Decoding," in *2021 IEEE Information Theory Workshop (ITW)*, 2021.
- [8] —, "Classification of Automorphisms for the Decoding of Polar Codes," in *ICC 2022 - IEEE International Conference on Communications*, 2022, pp. 110–115.
- [9] K. Shabunov, "Monomial codes with predefined automorphisms," in *2022 IEEE/CIC International Conference on Communications in China (ICCC Workshops)*, 2022, pp. 205–210.
- [10] C. Pillet and V. Bioglio, "On the Distribution of Partially Symmetric Codes for Automorphism Ensemble Decoding," 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.04338>
- [11] M. Bardet, V. Dragoi, A. Otmani, and J. Tillich, "Algebraic Properties of Polar Codes From a New Polynomial Formalism," in *IEEE Inter. Symp. Inf. Theory (ISIT)*, 2016, pp. 230–234.
- [12] C. Schürch, "A Partial Order For the Synthesized Channels of a Polar Code," in *IEEE Inter. Symp. Inf. Theory (ISIT)*, July 2016, pp. 220–224.
- [13] V. Bioglio, I. Land, and C. Pillet, "Group Properties of Polar Codes for Automorphism Ensemble Decoding," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 2023.
- [14] G. He, J. C. Belfiore, I. Land, G. Yang, X. Liu, Y. Chen, R. Li, J. Wang, Y. Ge, R. Zhang, and W. Tong, " $\beta$ -expansion: A Theoretical Framework for Fast and Recursive Construction of Polar Codes," in *IEEE Global Commun. Conf. (GLOBECOM)*, Dec. 2017, pp. 1–6.
- [15] Y. Li, H. Zhang, R. Li, J. Wang, W. Tong, G. Yan, and Z. Ma, "The complete affine automorphism group of polar codes," in *2021 IEEE Global Communications Conference (GLOBECOM)*, 2021, pp. 01–06.
- [16] M. Kamenev, Y. Kameneva, O. Kurmaev, and A. Maevskiy, "Permutation Decoding of Polar Codes," in *XVI Inter. Symp. "Problems of Redundancy in Information and Control Systems"*, 2019, pp. 1–6.
- [17] K. Ivanov and R. L. Urbanke, "On the Efficiency of Polar-Like Decoding for Symmetric Codes," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 163–170, 2022.
- [18] T. Erseghe, "Coding in the Finite-Blocklength Regime: Bounds Based on Laplace Integrals and Their Asymptotic Approximations," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 62, no. 12, pp. 6854–6883, 2016.
- [19] R. Mori and T. Tanaka, "Performance and Construction of Polar Codes on Symmetric Binary-Input Memoryless Channels," in *2009 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory*, 2009, pp. 1496–1500.
- [20] M. Geiselhart, A. Zunker, A. Elkelesh, J. Clausius, and S. ten Brink, "Graph Search based Polar Code Design," in *Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems and Computers*, Nov. 2022.