RESOLUTION OF A CONJECTURE ABOUT LINKING RING STRUCTURES

MARSTON CONDER, LUKE MORGAN, AND PRIMOŽ POTOČNIK

ABSTRACT. An LR-structure is a tetravalent vertex-transitive graph together with a special type of a decomposition of its edge-set into cycles. LR-structures were introduced in a paper by P. Potočnik and S. Wilson, titled 'Linking rings structures and tetravalent semisymmetric graphs', in *Ars Math. Contemp.* 7 (2014), as a tool to study tetravalent semisymmetric graphs of girth 4. In this paper, we use the methods of group amalgams to resolve some problems left open in the above-mentioned paper.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is about a combinatorially interesting class of connected tetravalent vertex-transitive graphs, which can be characterised by the existence of a particularly nice decomposition of the edges of the graph into cycles. This class of graphs and the corresponding edge-decompositions, called LR-structures, were introduced in [16] and later studied in [17, 18]. In [16] two intriguing problems were left open (see Question 1.6 and Conjecture 1.7) which, even though entirely combinatorial in their nature, defied attempts to solve them with purely graph-theoretical tools.

The main aim of this paper is to provide a solution of each of these two problems. The approach we take is based on a study of 2-arc-transitive groups of automorphisms of tetravalent graphs, the algebraic structure of which can be deduced from the works of Gardiner [8], Weiss [25] and the third author [14], and then exploited with the help of some computations.

We begin with motivation that leads naturally to the notions of LR-groups and LR-structures.

First, consider the pairs (Γ, G) where Γ is a connected (but not necessarily finite) tetravalent graph and G is a vertex-transitive group of automorphisms of Γ . A rough classification of these can be obtained by considering the permutation group $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ induced by the action of the vertex-stabiliser G_v on the neighbourhood $\Gamma(v)$ of a vertex v.

If $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ is transitive, then G acts transitively on the set $A(\Gamma)$ of arcs (ordered pairs of adjacent vertices) of Γ . Arc-transitive graphs have been studied extensively for decades, and many aspects of the tetravalent case are now well understood. For example, the structure of their automorphism groups is known [6, 14], a complete list of examples of order up to 640 has been constructed [19], and several infinite families have been found and analysed [9].

The next natural case to consider is when the group $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ has two orbits. This case splits into a number of subcases. If one of the orbits of $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ has length 1 (and the other has length 3), then one can study the graph Γ through the associated 6-valent *G*-arc-transitive graph Γ' obtained by merging pairs $\{u, w\}$ of vertices of Γ with the property that $G_v = G_w$. A related approach was used in [19] to study vertex- but not arc-transitive 3-valent graphs using some theory of 4-valent arc-transitive graphs.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 20B25.

Key words and phrases. tetravalent, vertex-transitive, graph, linking rings, amalgam.

We are grateful to the referee for their suggestions and corrections. The first author is grateful to New Zealand's Marsden Fund for its support via project UOA2030. The second author acknowledges the Australian Research Council grant DE160100081 and the Slovenian Research Agency research programme P1-0285 and research projects J1-1691, N1-0160, J1-2451, N1-0208. The third author gratefully acknowledges the support of Slovenian Research Agency, programme P1-0294 and research project N1-0126.

A much more complex situation arises combinatorially if $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ has two orbits of length 2. In this case $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ is permutation isomorphic to either the cyclic group $C_2 = \langle (1,2)(3,4) \rangle$ or the Klein group $V_4 = \langle (1,2), (3,4) \rangle$, in their respective intransitive actions on 4 points. In these two cases the group G has precisely two orbits on the arc-set $A(\Gamma)$, but can have either one or two orbits on the edge-set $E(\Gamma)$.

If G has a single orbit on $E(\Gamma)$, then the graph Γ belongs to the widely-studied class of graphs admitting a half-arc-transitive group action, which has received much attention in the recent past; see [1, 5, 12, 20, 21, 22, 23, 26] for example. On the other hand, the case where G has two orbits on $E(\Gamma)$ has received much less attention so far. Here the analysis can again be split into two subcases, depending on whether $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ is isomorphic to C_2 or to V_4 . In the first subcase one can easily see that the vertex-stabiliser G_v is itself isomorphic to C_2 , which forces the group G to be relatively small (indeed $|G| = 2|V(\Gamma)|$, to be precise), which allows the use of a number of standard group-theoretical approaches.

In this paper, we are interested in the remaining subcase, where $G_v^{\Gamma(v)} \cong V_4$, and G is intransitive on $E(\Gamma)$. Accordingly, we are interested in the situation covered by the following definition:

Definition 1.1. Let G be a vertex-transitive group of automorphisms of a connected tetravalent graph Γ such that $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ is permutation isomorphic to the Klein group V₄ in its faithful intransitive action on four points. If G has two orbits on $E(\Gamma)$, then we call the group G an LR-group of automorphisms of Γ .

For a subgroup X of $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ that contains G, we say that G is a maximal LR-subgroup of X if there is no LR-subgroup Y of X with G < Y < X. If $X = \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ we say that G is a maximal LR-group of automorphisms of Γ .

LR-groups of automorphisms were first introduced in [16] via the notion of an LR-structure. Although the definition given in [16] was for finite graphs only, our definition below works for finite and infinite graphs, where in an infinite graph a 'cycle' is understood to be a set of edges inducing a connected 2-regular subgraph.

Definition 1.2. Let Γ be a connected tetravalent graph, let C be a partition of $E(\Gamma)$ into cycles, and let $\{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}\}$ be a partition of C such that every vertex of Γ is incident to one cycle in \mathcal{L} and one cycle in \mathcal{R} . Define

 $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma, \mathcal{C}) = \{g \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma) \mid \mathcal{C}^g = \mathcal{C}\} \quad and \quad \operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, \mathcal{C}) = \{g \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma, \mathcal{C}) \mid \mathcal{L}^g = \mathcal{L} \text{ and } \mathcal{R}^g = \mathcal{R}\}.$

Then the pair (Γ, \mathcal{C}) is called an LR-structure, and \mathcal{C} is called an LR-decomposition of Γ , provided that

- (a) the group $\operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, \mathcal{C})$ acts transitively on $V(\Gamma)$, and
- (b) for every $v \in V(\Gamma)$ and for every cycle $C \in C$ passing through v, some $g \in Aut^+(\Gamma, C)_v$ acts as a reflection on C and fixes every vertex of the other cycle in C passing through v.

An LR-structure (Γ, C) is called self-dual provided that $\operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, C)$ is a proper subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma, C)$ and is non-self-dual if $\operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, C) = \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma, C)$.

Remark 1.3. If there exists a partition $\{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}\}$ of \mathcal{C} satisfying the conditions of the above definition, then by connectedness of Γ it is unique, and so $\operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, \mathcal{C})$ is well-defined. Furthermore, observe that the connectedness of Γ implies also that every element of $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma, \mathcal{C})$ either preserves each of the sets \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{R} (and hence belongs to $\operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, \mathcal{C})$), or takes cycles in \mathcal{L} to cycles in \mathcal{R} and vice-versa. In particular, the index of $\operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, \mathcal{C})$ in $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma, \mathcal{C})$ is at most 2, and so an LR-structure (Γ, \mathcal{C}) is self-dual if and only if there exists some $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma, \mathcal{C})$ such that $\mathcal{L}^g = \mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}^g = \mathcal{L}$.

Remark 1.4. Note that condition (b) of Definition 1.2 implies that the permutation group $\operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, \mathcal{C})_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ contains the involutions $x := (w_1 w_2)$ and $y := (u_1 u_2)$ swapping the neighbours w_1 and w_2 of v along the unique cycle in \mathcal{L} passing through v, and swapping the neighbours u_1 and u_2 of v along the unique cycle in \mathcal{R} passing through v. In particular, $\operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, \mathcal{C})_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ contains the Klein 4-group $\langle x, y \rangle$. But furthermore,

since by definition there is no element in $\operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, \mathcal{C})$ mapping a cycle from \mathcal{L} to a cycle to \mathcal{R} , we see that $\{w_1, w_2\}$ and $\{u_1, u_2\}$ are orbits of $\operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, \mathcal{C})_v^{\Gamma(v)}$, and therefore $\operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, \mathcal{C})_v^{\Gamma(v)} = \langle x, y \rangle$.

Remark 1.5. If (Γ, C) is an LR-structure, and $G := \operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, C)$, and C is a cycle in C, then every element $g \in G$ taking an edge of C to an edge of C must preserve the cycle C setwise. Moreover, by vertex-transitivity of G and the existence of automorphisms guaranteed by condition (b) of Definition 1.2, the setwise stabiliser of C in G acts as the full automorphism group of the cycle C, and in particular, induces an arc-transitive group on C. Then since all cycles in \mathcal{L} lie in the same orbit of G, as do all the cycles in \mathcal{R} , we see that G has precisely two orbits on $A(\Gamma)$: one consists of the arcs of the cycles in \mathcal{L} , the other consists of the arcs of the cycles in \mathcal{R} .

In Section 2 we will show that the notions of LR-group and LR-structure are equivalent in some sense. More precisely, as stated in Lemma 2.3, every LR-group of automorphisms G determines a unique LR-structure (Γ, \mathcal{C}) for which $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, \mathcal{C})$, and conversely, if (Γ, \mathcal{C}) is an LR-structure then $\operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, \mathcal{C})$ is a maximal LR-group of automorphisms of Γ .

There is no obvious reason why one would expect an LR-structure on a given graph to be unique (up to the action of the automorphism group of a graph). The lack of examples of graphs admitting several non-equivalent LR-structures, however, encouraged the authors of [16] to pose the following:

Question 1.6. [16, Question 1] If C and C' are two distinct LR-decompositions of a finite tetravalent graph Γ , is it true that there exists $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ such that $C^g = C'$?

For an LR-structure (Γ, C) to be self-dual, it is necessary that the cycles in \mathcal{L} and in \mathcal{R} have the same length. Remarkably, it was shown in [16, Theorem 8.2] that this necessary condition holds provided that $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma) \neq \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma, C)$. Under the same hypothesis, the authors of [16] conjectured that the necessary condition is *also* sufficient:

Conjecture 1.7. [16, Conjecture 8.1] If (Γ, C) is a finite LR-structure for which $\operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, C)$ is a proper subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$, then (Γ, C) is self-dual.

The aim of this paper is to resolve the status of both the question and the conjecture above.

Theorem 1.8. The answer to Question 1.6 is affirmative, and Conjecture 1.7 is correct.

Our approach to proving Theorem 1.8 is based on the following observation. If (Γ, C) is a non-selfdual LR-structure for which $\operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, C)$ is a proper subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$, then there exists a second cycle decomposition C' for which (Γ, C') is an LR-structure different from (Γ, C) ; indeed C^g is such a cycle decomposition whenever $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma) \setminus \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma, C)$. Hence both Question 1.6 and Conjecture 1.7 concern the situation where Γ admits two distinct LR-structures.

On the other hand, we prove in Lemma 2.2 that this situation forces the graph Γ to be 2-arc-transitive. (Recall that a 2-arc in a graph is a walk (u, v, w) of length 2 such that $u \neq w$, and then a vertex-transitive graph Γ is 2-arc-transitive if Aut (Γ) acts transitively on the set of 2-arcs of Γ , which is equivalent to requiring that Aut $(\Gamma)_v$ acts doubly transitively on $\Gamma(v)$.) This allows us to employ structural theory of 2-arc-transitive groups of automorphisms of tetravalent graphs. In particular, we will deduce Theorem 1.8 in Section 5 from the following theorem, which we will prove in Section 4. For this, we note that a group of automorphisms G of a graph Γ is called *discrete* if the stabiliser G_v is finite for every vertex $v \in V(\Gamma)$; see [3], for example.

Theorem 1.9. Let A be a discrete 2-arc-transitive group of automorphisms of a connected tetravalent graph Γ such that $A_v^{\Gamma(v)} \cong \text{Sym}(4)$. If A contains an LR-subgroup, then A cannot act transitively on the 5-arcs of Γ . Also, if G is a maximal LR-subgroup of A, then there exists an arc-transitive subgroup X of A containing G as a subgroup of index 2, and every maximal LR-subgroup of A is conjugate to G.

In view of the correspondence between LR-structures and maximal LR-groups of automorphisms, the above theorem has the following corollary.

Corollary 1.10. If (Γ, C) is an LR-structure such that $\operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, C)$ is contained in a discrete arc-transitive group of automorphisms of Γ , then (Γ, C) is self-dual.

It is not known to us whether Theorem 1.9 remains valid if the condition on discreteness of the 2-arctransitive group A is dropped. In any case, as our approach depends heavily on the classification of the discrete 2-arc-transitive groups of automorphisms of tetravalent graphs, an entirely different method may need to be used to analyse the more general situation.

2. Additional observations

The following lemma is a variation of the well-known fact that an arc-transitive group G of automorphisms of a connected graph Γ is generated by the stabiliser G_v of a vertex v and an element g that reverses an arc incident with v. Its proof can be derived from the proof of the more general phenomenon of generation of a group of graph automorphisms, as in [15, Theorem 34]; but for the sake of completeness, we give an independent proof for our specific context.

Lemma 2.1. Let G be an LR-group of automorphisms of a connected tetravalent graph Γ , let v be a vertex of Γ , and let u and w be two neighbours of Γ belonging to distinct orbits of G_v . If a and b are elements of $G_{\{v,u\}} \setminus G_{vu}$ and $G_{\{v,w\}} \setminus G_{vw}$ respectively, then $G = \langle G_v, a, b \rangle$.

Proof. Let $H := \langle G_v, a, b \rangle$. Observe that every edge incident to v can be reversed by a or b or one of its G_v conjugates, implying that $\Gamma(v) \subseteq v^H = \{v^h : h \in H\}$. Now suppose H is not transitive on $V(\Gamma)$. Then, because Γ is connected, there exists an edge $\{x, y\}$ of Γ with $x \in v^H$, say $x = v^h$ where $h \in H$, while $y \notin v^H$. Since $\Gamma(v) \subseteq v^H$, it follows that $\Gamma(x) = \Gamma(v^h) = \Gamma(v)^h \subseteq (v^H)^h = v^H$, and so $y \in v^H$, a contradiction. Thus H is transitive on $V(\Gamma)$, and therefore $G = G_v H = H$.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that Γ is a connected tetravalent graph admitting two distinct LR-decompositions \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}' . Then the group $A := \langle \operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, \mathcal{C}), \operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, \mathcal{C}') \rangle$ acts transitively on the 2-arcs of Γ , and $A_v^{\Gamma(v)} \cong \operatorname{Sym}(4)$ for all $v \in V(\Gamma)$.

Proof. Let $G := \operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, \mathcal{C})$ and $H := \operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, \mathcal{C}')$. As $\mathcal{C} \neq \mathcal{C}'$, there exists a vertex v of Γ and cycles $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and $C' \in \mathcal{C}'$ passing through v, sharing precisely one of the edges incident with v, $\{v, u\}$ say, and accordingly, $C(v) = \{u, w\}$ and $C'(v) = \{u, z\}$ for three different neighbours u, w and z of v in Γ . Now let x be the fourth neighbour of v. By our observations in Remark 1.4, we know that $G_v^{\Gamma(v)} = \langle (u w), (z x) \rangle$ and $H_v^{\Gamma(v)} = \langle (u z), (w x) \rangle$. Thus $\langle G_v^{\Gamma(v)}, H_v^{\Gamma(v)} \rangle \cong \operatorname{Sym}(4)$, and since $A_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ contains $H_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ and $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$, we find that $A_v^{\Gamma(v)} \cong \operatorname{Sym}(4)$ also. Finally, since G is vertex-transitive, so is A. Hence the 2-transitivity of $A_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ implies that A is transitive on the set of 2-arcs of Γ .

Lemma 2.3. If G is an LR-group of automorphisms of a connected tetravalent graph Γ , then there exists a unique LR-decomposition C of Γ such that $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, C)$. Conversely, if (Γ, C) is an LR-structure, then $\operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, C)$ is a maximal LR-group of automorphisms of Γ .

Proof. Suppose first that G is an LR-group of automorphisms of Γ . By definition, G has two orbits on $E(\Gamma)$, say E_1 and E_2 . So now let X_1 and X_2 be the graphs with vertex-set $V(\Gamma)$ and edge-sets E_1 and E_2 , respectively. By connectivity of Γ , there exists a vertex $v \in V(\Gamma)$ which is incident to an edge in E_1 as well as to one in E_2 , and since G is vertex-transitive, it follows that this is true for every vertex of Γ . Furthermore, since $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ has two orbits of length 2, it follows that every vertex of v is incident to two edges in E_1 and two edges in E_2 , implying that X_1 and X_2 are both spanning 2-regular subgraphs of Γ . Let \mathcal{L} , respectively, \mathcal{R} , be the set consisting of the sets of edges of the cycles in X_1 , respectively, X_2 ,

and let $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{R}$. Then \mathcal{C} is clearly a G-invariant decomposition of $E(\Gamma)$ into cycles, with each vertex of Γ incident to one cycle in \mathcal{L} and to one cycle in \mathcal{R} . Moreover, since E_1 and E_2 are orbits of G on $E(\Gamma)$, we see that G is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, \mathcal{C})$, with respect to the partition $\{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}\}$ of \mathcal{C} . In particular, $\operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, \mathcal{C})$ acts transitively on $V(\Gamma)$.

Next, to show that condition (2) of Definition 1.2 holds, consider the cycles $C \in \mathcal{L}$ and $D \in \mathcal{R}$ passing through a vertex v, and let $C(v) = \{u_1, w_1\}$ and $D(v) = \{u_2, w_2\}$ denote the neighbourhoods of v in these two cycles. By the construction of \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{R} , we see that $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ preserves each of C(v) and D(v) setwise, which together with the requirement that $G_v^{\Gamma(v)} \cong V_4$ implies that $G_v^{\Gamma(v)} = \langle (u_1 w_1), (u_2 w_2) \rangle$. Now observe that an element of G_v inducing the permutation $(u_1 w_1)$ on $\Gamma(v)$ preserves both C and D setwise, and moreover, as it fixes three consecutive vertices on the cycle D, it fixes D point-wise. Similarly, since this element fixes v but swaps the two C-neighbours of v, it reflects C at v. By applying an analogous argument to the permutation $(u_2 w_2)$, we see that the condition (2) of Definition 1.2 is indeed fulfilled, completing the proof that (Γ, \mathcal{C}) is an LR-structure with $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, \mathcal{C})$.

Suppose now that \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}' are LR-decompositions of Γ for which $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, \mathcal{C})$ and $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, C')$. If $\mathcal{C} \neq \mathcal{C}'$, then there is some vertex $v \in V(\Gamma)$ and some $C \in \mathcal{C}$, $C' \in \mathcal{C}'$ such that for $x, y, z \in \Gamma(v)$ we have $x, v, y \in C$ and $x, v, z \in C'$. Since $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, \mathcal{C})$, the set $\{x, y\}$ is an orbit of G_v . On the other hand, since $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, \mathcal{C}')$, the set $\{x, z\}$ is an orbit of G_v . This is a contradiction, and hence $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}'$.

Conversely, let (Γ, \mathcal{C}) be an LR-structure and let $G = \operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, \mathcal{C})$. Then $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ is permutation isomorphic to the Klein 4-group V_4 in its intransitive action on 4 points, by our observations in Remark 1.4. On the other hand, G is vertex-transitive (by definition), but G is not edge-transitive as it preserves the sets \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{R} . Also vertex-transitivity and the fact that G_v induces V_4 on $\Gamma(v)$ imply that G is transitive on the set of edges contained in the cycles in \mathcal{L} , as well as on the set of edges contained in \mathcal{R} . In particular, G has two orbits on $E(\Gamma)$. This shows that G is an LR-group of automorphisms of Γ . Moreover, if X is another LR-group of automorphisms of Γ such that $G \leq X$, then from the first paragraph of this proof we know there exists a unique LR-decomposition \mathcal{C}' of Γ for which $X \leq \operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, \mathcal{C}')$. But then $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, \mathcal{C}')$, and again by the uniqueness of the LR-decomposition \mathcal{C} for which $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, \mathcal{C})$, we find that $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}'$. It follows that $X \leq \operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, \mathcal{C}) = G$, and hence X = G. This shows that G is a maximal LR-group of automorphisms of Γ , and completes the proof.

Corollary 2.4. If (Γ, C) is an LR-structure, and $\operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, C) < X \leq \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$, then X acts transitively on the arcs of Γ .

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, we see that $G := \operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, \mathcal{C})$ is a maximal LR-group of automorphisms of Γ , and so X is not an LR-group of automorphisms. Now suppose that X is not transitive on $\operatorname{A}(\Gamma)$. Then $X_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ is not transitive, and so $X_v^{\Gamma(v)} = G_v^{\Gamma(v)} \cong \operatorname{V}_4$, and since X is not an LR-group, X must be transitive on the edges of Γ . In view of Remark 1.5, it follows that G acts transitively on the arcs underlying each of the two edge-orbits of G. Since these two G-edge-orbits are merged into a single X-edge-orbit, this implies that X is arc-transitive on Γ after all, a contradiction.

Lemma 2.5. Let (Γ, C) be an LR-structure, and let $G := \operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, C)$. Then the following claims are equivalent:

- (i) (Γ, C) is self-dual;
- (ii) there exists a group X such that $G \leq X \leq \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and |X:G| = 2;
- (iii) there exists an arc-transitive but not 2-arc-transitive group $X \leq \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ that contains G as a normal subgroup.

Proof. Suppose first that (i) holds. In this case, let $\{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}\}$ be the partition of \mathcal{C} as in Definition 1.2, and let $X = \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma, \mathcal{C})$. Then clearly the partition $\{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}\}$ is X-invariant, and G is the kernel of the induced action of X on $\{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}\}$, so |X:G| = 2, and hence (ii) holds.

Next, suppose that (ii) holds. Then by Corollary 2.4, X is arc-transitive. Moreover, as both X and G are vertex-transitive, we find that $|X_v:G_v| = |X:G| = 2$, which implies that the G_v -orbits on $\Gamma(v)$ are blocks of imprimitivity for $X_v^{\Gamma(v)}$. In particular, $X_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ cannot be doubly transitive, and so X is not 2-arc-transitive. This proves that (iii) holds.

Finally, suppose that (iii) holds. Since G is normal in X and since both \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{R} are orbits of the action of G on $E(\Gamma)$, the partition $\{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}\}$ is X-invariant. Since X is arc-transitive, this implies that there exists $g \in X$ interchanging \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{R} , and therefore \mathcal{C} is self-dual, that is, (i) holds.

3. Amalgams and a reduction to trees

We begin this section by recalling some basic facts about finite group amalgams of rank 2 and their relationship with discrete groups acting arc-transitively on graphs.

Let Γ be a connected d-valent graph, and let G be a discrete arc-transitive group of automorphisms of Γ . Also let u and v be two adjacent vertices in Γ , let $L = G_v$, $R = G_{\{u,v\}}$, $B = G_{uv}$ be the stabilisers of the vertex v, edge $\{u, v\}$ and arc (u, v), respectively. Then it is well known that the following hold (see, [14] for example):

- (1) $B = L \cap R$ is a finite group,
- (2) |L:B| = d and |R:B| = 2,
- (3) if $K \leq B$ and K is normal in L and in R, then K = 1, and
- (4) $G = \langle L, R \rangle$.

Furthermore, if a is an arbitrary element of $R \setminus B$ (so that a reverses the arc (u, v)), then Γ is isomorphic to the Schreier coset graph $\operatorname{Cos}(G; L, a)$ whose vertices are the right cosets of L in G and whose edges are of the form $\{Lx, Lax\}$ for $x \in G$. This graph can also be denoted by $\operatorname{Cos}(G; L, B, R)$, with arcs being the cosets of B in G (with the initial vertex of an arc Bx being Lx, and the reverse of Bx being Bax). Moreover, via this isomorphism between Γ and $\operatorname{Cos}(G; L, B, R)$, the action of G on the right cosets of L and B by right multiplication corresponds to the original action of G on the vertices and arcs of Γ .

Conversely, suppose that B, L, R and G are arbitrary groups satisfying conditions (1) to (4) above. In this case we say that (L, B, R) is a *finite faithful amalgam of index* (d, 2), and that G is a *completion* of the amalgam. Correspondingly, $\Gamma = \text{Cos}(G; L, B, R)$ is a connected regular *d*-valent graph, upon which Gacts by right multiplication as a group of automorphisms. Moreover, this action is faithful, and transitive on the arcs of Γ . Note that the groups L, R and B play the roles of the vertex-stabiliser, edge-stabiliser and the arc-stabiliser of a mutually incident vertex-edge-arc triple in Γ .

For a given finite faithful amalgam (L, B, R), there exists a universal completion \tilde{G} , denoted by $L *_B R$ and called the free product of L and R amalgamated over B. This has the property that for every completion G of the given amalgam, there exists an epimorphism $\pi: \tilde{G} \to G$ whose kernel intersects the groups L and R trivially (so that we may identify the subgroups $L, R, B \leq \tilde{G}$ with their π -images in G). Furthermore, $\tilde{\Gamma} := \operatorname{Cos}(\tilde{G}; L, B, R)$ is an infinite d-valent graph, called the universal cover of Γ , with π induces a covering projection $\tilde{\Gamma} \to \Gamma$.

Let us now focus on the situation arising in Theorem 1.9 and prove the following statement:

Lemma 3.1. Theorem 1.9 holds provided that it holds in the case where Γ is a tetravalent tree.

Proof. Suppose Theorem 1.9 holds for the case in which the graph in question is a tetravalent tree. Now let Γ be any connected tetravalent graph admitting a discrete 2-arc-transitive group A of automorphisms with $A_v^{\Gamma(v)} \cong \text{Sym}(4)$, and A contains an LR-subgroup G. Also let $\{u, v\}$ be an edge of Γ, and let $L := A_v$, $R := A_{\{u,v\}}$ and $B := A_{uv}$. Then we may identify Γ with Cos(A; L, B, R), and the action of

A on the vertices, arcs and edges of Γ with the action of A on cosets of L, B and R, respectively. Next, let $\tilde{A} := L *_B R$ be the universal completion of the amalgam (L, B, R), let $\pi \colon \tilde{A} \to A$ be the corresponding epimorphism, and let $T_4 := \operatorname{Cos}(\tilde{A}; L, B, R)$. Then T_4 is a 4-valent tree upon which \tilde{A} acts arc-transitively. Moreover, since the groups L, B and R are the stabiliser of an incident vertex, arc and edge (respectively), we see that in the actions of the group \tilde{A} on $T_4 = \operatorname{Cos}(\tilde{A}; L, B, R)$) and the group Aon $\Gamma = \operatorname{Cos}(A; L, B, R)$), the vertex-stabiliser $\tilde{A}_{\tilde{v}} = L$ acts on the neighbourhood $\tilde{\Gamma}(\tilde{v})$ in the same way as the vertex-stabiliser $A_v = L$ on the neighbourhood $\Gamma(v)$. Thus $\tilde{A}_{\tilde{v}}^{T_4(\tilde{v})}$ is permutation isomorphic to $A_v^{\Gamma(v)} \cong \operatorname{Sym}(4)$. Also, because A is 2-arc-transitive and discrete, so is \tilde{A} , and $\tilde{A}_{\tilde{v}}^{T_4(\tilde{v})} \cong \operatorname{Sym}(4)$.

Moreover, using the fact that π induces a covering projection $T_4 \to \Gamma$, one can also show that A acts s-arc transitively on Γ if and only if \tilde{A} acts s-arc transitively on T_4 . (This fact is well-known; for a proof see [10, Lemma 3.2].)

Now let \hat{G} be the π -preimage of the LR-subgroup G of A, and observe that the stabilisers of some incident vertex, arc and edge of \tilde{G} are equal to the intersections $\tilde{G} \cap L$, $\tilde{G} \cap B$ and $\tilde{G} \cap R$, respectively. By an argument similar to the one above, we see that the action of $\tilde{G}_{\tilde{v}}$ on $T_4(\tilde{v})$ is isomorphic to the action of G_v on $\Gamma(v)$, and therefore $\tilde{G}_{\tilde{v}}^{T_4(\tilde{v})}$ is permutation isomorphic to $G_v^{\Gamma(v)} \cong V_4$. Then since the action of \tilde{G} on the edge-set $E(T_4)$ is isomorphic to the action of \tilde{G} on right cosets of the copy of R in \tilde{A} by right multiplication, which in turn is isomorphic to the action of G on right cosets of R in A by right multiplication, we see that the number of edge-orbits of \tilde{G} on T_4 is equal to the number of edge-orbits of G on Γ . In particular, since G is an LR-group of automorphisms, it follows that so is \tilde{G} .

Finally, observe that the epimorphism π induces a bijection between the lattice of subgroups of A that contain ker(π) and the lattice of subgroups of A. It follows that there exists a subgroup \tilde{X} of \tilde{A} containing \tilde{G} as a subgroup of index 2 if and only if there exists a subgroup X of A containing G as a subgroup of index 2. Similarly, \tilde{G} is a maximal LR-subgroup of \tilde{A} if and only if G is a maximal LR-subgroup of A.

We may now use our assumption that Theorem 1.9 holds for trees to conclude that \tilde{A} does not act 5-arc-transitively on T_4 , and hence that A does not act 5-arc-transitively on Γ . Also if G is a maximal LR-subgroup of Γ , then \tilde{G} is a maximal LR-subgroup of \tilde{A} , and hence by our assumption, there exists an arc-transitive subgroup \tilde{X} of \tilde{A} containing \tilde{G} as a subgroup of index 2. Thus $X := \pi(\tilde{X})$ is an arctransitive subgroup of A containing G as a subgroup of index 2. Moreover, if Γ admits another maximal LR-subgroup H of A, then by the same argument as above, $\pi^{-1}(H)$ is a maximal LR-subgroup of \tilde{A} , and hence (by our assumption) is conjugate in \tilde{A} to \tilde{G} . But then H is conjugate to G in A, and this completes the proof.

4. LR-subgroups of 2-arc-transitive groups

The structure of discrete 2-arc-transitive groups of automorphisms of the infinite tetravalent tree T_4 is well understood, thanks to the classical work of Gardiner [8] and Weiss [25], and a description of these groups in terms of generators and relators was given explicitly in [14, Table 1]. It follows from this work that up to conjugacy in Aut(T_4), there are exactly nine possibilities for the group A, with six of those satisfying the additional condition $A_v^{T_4(v)} \cong \text{Sym}(4)$.

These six groups, together with the corresponding stabilisers (L, B, R) of mutually incident vertexarc-edge triples in the graph, are given in the second column of Table 1. Moreover, presentations for the subgroups L, B and R can be read conveniently from the presentation of the corresponding group A in Table 1, by simply taking all the relators that involve only the generators of the subgroup in question.

Note that the names of the first four of the groups in Table 1 are chosen so as to reflect the isomorphism type of the vertex-stabiliser. For example, the group A named S_4 has vertex-stabiliser L isomorphic to Sym(4), while for the groups named $C_3 \rtimes S_4$ and $C_3 \rtimes S_4^*$, the vertex-stabiliser L is isomorphic to a semidirect product $C_3 \rtimes \text{Sym}(4)$, and for the group named $S_3 \times S_4$ the vertex-stabiliser is isomorphic to the direct product $\text{Sym}(3) \times \text{Sym}(4)$. Each of these four possibilities for the group A act on T₄ either 2-arc-transitively or 3-arc-transitively (but not 4-arc-transitively). The last two groups, named 4-AT and 7-AT, act 4-arc-transitively (but not 5-arc-transitively) and 7-arc-transitively, respectively.

Name	$A = L *_B R$	maximal LR-subgroup G
		$N_A(G)$
S_4	$ \begin{array}{c} A = \langle x, y, s, t, a \mid x^2, y^2, s^3, t^2, a^2, [x, y], s^t = s^{-1}, x^s = y, y^s = xy, x^t = y, [s, a], [t, a] \rangle \\ \\ L = \langle x, y, s, t \rangle, B = \langle s, t \rangle, R = \langle s, t, a \rangle \end{array} $	$\langle xy, t, a, a^x \rangle$
		$\langle x,y,t,a angle$
$C_3 \rtimes S_4$	$\begin{split} A &= \langle x, y, c, d, t, a \mid x^2, y^2, c^3, d^3, t^2, a^2, [x, y], [c, d], \\ [c, x], [c, y], (tc)^2, (td)^2, x^d &= y, y^d = xy, x^t = y, c^a = d, [a, t] \rangle \\ L &= \langle x, y, c, d, t \rangle, B = \langle c, d, t \rangle, R = \langle c, d, t, a \rangle \end{split}$	$\langle xy,t,a,a^x angle$
		$\langle x,y,t,a angle$
$C_3 \rtimes S_4^*$	$\begin{split} A &= \langle x, y, c, d, t, a \mid x^2, y^2, c^3, d^3, t^2, a^2 = t, [x, y], [c, d], \\ &[c, x], [c, y], (tc)^2, (td)^2, x^d = y, y^d = xy, x^t = y, c^a = d, d^a = c^{-1} \rangle \\ &L &= \langle x, y, c, d, t \rangle, B = \langle c, d, t \rangle, R = \langle c, d, t, a \rangle \end{split}$	$\langle xy,t,a,a^x angle$
		$\langle x, y, t, a \rangle$
$S_3 imes S_4$	$\begin{split} A &= \langle x, y, c, d, r, s, a \mid x^2, y^2, c^3, d^3, r^2, s^2, a^2, [x, y], [c, d], [r, s], [c, x], [c, y], \\ c^r &= c^{-1}, [d, r], [c, s], d^s = d^{-1}, x^d = y, y^d = xy, x^s = y, [r, x], [r, y], c^a = d, s^a = r \rangle \\ L &= \langle x, y, c, d, r, s \rangle, B = \langle c, d, r, s \rangle, R = \langle c, d, r, s, a \rangle \end{split}$	$\langle xy,s,r,a,a^x angle$
		$\langle x, y, s, r, a \rangle$
4-AT	$\begin{split} A &= \langle t, c, d, e, x, y, a \mid t^2, c^3, d^3, e^3, x^2, y^2, a^2, [c, d], [c, e], [d, e] = c, [x, y], (cx)^2, \\ & (dx)^2, [e, x], (cy)^2, [d, y], (ey)^2, c^t = d^{-1}, \\ & y(et)^2 e^{-1} t e^{-1}, (et)^4 x, (ca)^2, d^a = e, x^a = y \rangle \\ & L &= \langle t, x, y, c, d, e \rangle, B = \langle x, y, c, d, e \rangle, R = \langle x, y, c, d, e, a \rangle \end{split}$	$\langle t, x, y, a, (ca)^{(cet)^{-1}} \rangle$
		$\langle t, x, y, ete, a, (ca)^{(cet)^{-1}} \rangle$
7-AT	$\begin{split} A &= \langle h, p, q, r, s, t, b, c, k, a \mid h^4, p^3, q^3, r^3, s^3, t^3, b^3, c^2, k^2, a^2, kh^2, \\ & [p, q], [p, r], [p, s], [p, t], [p, b], [q, r], [q, s], [q, t], [q, b], \\ & [r, s], [r, t], [b, s], [s, t] = p, [b, r] = q, [t, b] = qrsp^{-1}, \\ & [k, c], (tk)^2, (rk)^2, [p, k], (qk)^2, (sk)^2, [b, k], (tc)^2, (r, c], (pc)^2, (qc)^2, [s, c], (bc)^2, \\ & [p, h], q^h = q^{-1}r, r^h = qr, s^h = pq^{-1}r^{-1}s^{-1}t^{-1}, t^h = p^{-1}qr^{-1}s^{-1}t, \\ & (hbc)^2, (hb)^3, p^a = q^{-1}, r^a = s^{-1}, t^a = b^{-1}, [c, a], k^a = ck \end{split}$	NONE

TABLE 1. Discrete 2-arc-transitive groups $A \leq \operatorname{Aut}(T_4)$ with $A_v^{T_4(v)} \cong \operatorname{Sym}(4)$ and their maximal LR-subgroups

We will now prove that Theorem 1.9 holds for the case where Γ is the tetravalent tree T₄, which by Lemma 3.1, then proves Theorem 1.9 in full generality. In fact, we prove something slightly stronger:

Lemma 4.1. Let A be a discrete 2-arc-transitive group of automorphisms of T_4 . If A is one of the groups in the first five rows of Table 1 (that is, if A has type S_4 , $C_3 \rtimes S_4$, $C_3 \rtimes S_4^*$, $S_3 \times S_4$ or 4-AT), then A contains a maximal LR-subgroup G, unique up to conjugation in A, given in the third column of Table 1. Also the normaliser $N_A(G)$ in A of the maximal LR-subgroup G contains G as a subgroup of index 2, and is shown in the third column of Table 1 too. Finally, if A has type 7-AT (as in the sixth row), then A contains no LR-subgroup.

Proof. Let $\{v, u\}$ be an edge of $\Gamma := T_4$, and recall that we may assume that $(A, A_v, A_{vu}, A_{\{v,u\}})$ is one of the quadruples (A, L, B, R) given in Table 1, and that the vertex-set, arc-set and edge-set of Γ can be identified with the right coset spaces $(A:A_v), (A:A_{vu})$ and $(A:A_{\{v,u\}})$, respectively, with the action of

A on vertices, arcs and edges of Γ coinciding with the actions of A on $(A:A_v)$, $(A:A_{vu})$ and $(A:A_{\{v,u\}})$ by right multiplication. We proceed using a combination of theoretical and computational methods.

Let Ω be the right coset space $(A_v: A_{vu})$, let $\rho: A_v \to \operatorname{Sym}(\Omega)$ be the natural action of A_v on Ω , and let A_v^{Ω} be the permutation group $\rho(A_v)$ induced by this action on Ω . Since A_{vu} is the stabiliser of uin the transitive action of A_v on $\Gamma(v)$, we may identify the elements of $\Gamma(v)$ with the elements of Ω in such a way that the coset action ρ of A_v on Ω corresponds to the action of A_v on $\Gamma(v)$, and that $A_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ corresponds to A_v^{Ω} . In particular, $A_v^{\Omega} = \operatorname{Sym}(\Omega)$.

By the definition of an LR-group, G_v is a 2-subgroup of A_v such that $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ is permutation isomorphic to the intransitive Klein 4-group V₄. With the above-described identification of $\Gamma(v)$ with Ω , we see that $G_v^{\Omega} := \rho(G_v)$ is one of the three intransitive subgroups of Sym(Ω) isomorphic to V₄, and hence that G_v belongs to the set \mathcal{X} of all 2-subgroups of A_v with $\rho(X) \cong V_4$.

Because A_v is a finite group (with the presentation given in Table 1), one can use a computer algebra system such as MAGMA [2] to determine the set \mathcal{X} for each of the six possible types of the group A.

If A has type S_4 , $C_3 \rtimes S_4$ or $C_3 \rtimes S_4^*$, then the set \mathcal{X} consists of a single conjugacy class of subgroups of A_v , with the class representative being the Klein 4-subgroup generated by xy and t. If A has type $S_3 \times S_4$, then \mathcal{X} is the disjoint union of four A_v -conjugacy classes, the representatives of which are $\langle xy, s \rangle$, $\langle xy, sr \rangle$, $\langle rxy, s \rangle$ and $\langle xy, r, s \rangle$, with the first three isomorphic to V_4 , and the fourth being an elementary abelian group of order 8. If A has type 4-AT, then \mathcal{X} consists of a unique A_v -conjugacy class represented by the group $\langle x, y, t \rangle$, isomorphic to the dihedral group D_4 of order 8. Finally, if A is of type 7-AT, then \mathcal{X} is the conjugacy class in A_v of $\langle pcq, (pcq)^h \rangle$, which is also isomorphic to D_4 .

Next, since G acts transitively on $V(\Gamma)$, we see that $A = GA_v$, and so G has finite index in A, indeed $|A:G| = |A_v:G_v|$. Hence the group G is a member of the set

$$\mathcal{T} = \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{X}} \{ T : X \le T \le A \text{ with } |A:T| = |A_v:X| \}.$$

We computed this set \mathcal{T} for each of the first five types of the group A, using the LowIndexSubgroups routine in MAGMA. (The computation in these cases takes only a few seconds on an average laptop.) We were unable to do the same in the case where A has type 7-AT, however, due to the computational complexity of the LowIndexSubgroups algorithm, we will explain how we dealt with this case later.

Of course the set \mathcal{T} might contain some subgroups of A that are not vertex-transitive, or are vertextransitive but act half-arc-transitively on Γ , and so we can restrict our attention to the subset \mathcal{T}^* consisting of all $T \in \mathcal{T}$ that are LR-groups of automorphisms of Γ .

In determining this set \mathcal{T}^* , we observe that an LR-group $T \in \mathcal{T}^*$ has two orbits on $E(\Gamma)$ and $A(\Gamma)$, and hence that each of $A_{\{v,u\}}$ and A_{vu} has two orbits in its action on the coset space (A:T) by right multiplication. Moreover, as an LR-group T is vertex-transitive, we see that A_v is transitive in its action on (A:T), and hence a group $T \in \mathcal{T}$ belongs to \mathcal{T}^* if and only if the stabilisers $A_{\{v,u\}}$, A_{vu} and A_v have two orbits, two orbits and one orbit, respectively, in the their actions on (A:T).

Next, because every group $T \in \mathcal{T}$ has finite (and relatively small) index in A, it is easy check each group $T \in \mathcal{T}$ against the latter condition computationally, and find that, up to conjugacy in A, the set \mathcal{T}^* consists of a unique LR-group in all cases, except when A has type $S_3 \times S_4$ or possibly when it has type 7-AT (in which case the above approach is computationally too difficult).

In all but those two exceptional cases, the LR-groups that satisfy the condition are precisely the groups listed in the third column of Table 1. If A has type $S_3 \times S_4$, then \mathcal{T}^* consists of two conjugacy classes, with representatives $\langle xy, sr, a, a^x \rangle$ and $\langle xy, a, a^x, s, r \rangle$, and as the former is clearly a subgroup of the latter, we find that (again) A contains a unique maximal LR-subgroup up to conjugacy.

Also, a direct computation shows that in each case (except possibly when A is of type 7-AT), the normaliser $N_A(G)$ in the unique maximal LR-subgroup G of A is as stated in Table 1 and contains G as a subgroup of index 2. This proves the statement of the lemma in all cases except when A is of type 7-AT.

Finally, let us assume that A is of type 7-AT, and hence that $G_v = \langle \alpha, \alpha^h \rangle \cong D_4$ where $\alpha = pcq$. Recall that ρ is the action of A_v on the set of right cosets of A_{vu} (= B), and that α fixes u. Let x be the other vertex in $\Gamma(v)$ fixed by α , and let w and z be vertices in $\Gamma(v)$ that are interchanged by α . Now since $|G_v| = |G_{vu}| |u^{G_v}| = 2|G_{vu}|$, we find that $|G_{vu}| = 4$. Also we see that $\rho(h)$ is the double transposition (u w)(x z), and hence $\alpha \in G_{vu}$ and $\alpha^h \in G_{vw}$. Moreover, one can see that the element $(\alpha^h \alpha)^2$ of order 2 lies in the kernel of ρ , and it follows that $(\alpha^h \alpha)^2$ fixes the neighbourhood $\Gamma(v)$ pointwise, and therefore $\alpha \neq (\alpha^h \alpha)^2 \neq \alpha^h$. Also because $|G_{vu}| = |G_{vw}| = 4$, we find that $G_{vu} = \langle (\alpha^h \alpha)^2, \alpha \rangle$ and $G_{vw} = \langle (\alpha^h \alpha)^2, \alpha^h \rangle$.

We will now determine the edge-stabiliser $G_{\{v,u\}}$. To do this, we first observe that $(\alpha^h \alpha)^2 = q^2 k$, which can be verified easily since it involves only elements in the finite group G_v , and from this we find that $G_{vu} = \langle pcq, q^2k \rangle$. Then since the elements pcq and q^2k involve only generators of the group $R = A_{\{v,u\}}$, we deduce that the edge-stabiliser $G_{\{v,u\}}$ is precisely the normaliser $N_{A_{\{v,u\}}}(G_{vu})$, and this gives $G_{\{v,u\}} = \langle G_{vu}, a \rangle \cong D_4$.

Recall that $\Gamma(v) = \{u, x, w, z\}$ and that $\rho(G_v) = \langle (w z), (u x) \rangle$. This means u and w are in distinct orbits of G_v on $\Gamma(v)$. Thus, by Lemma 2.1, there exist $\mu \in G_{\{v,u\}} \setminus G_{vu}$ and $\nu \in G_{\{v,w\}} \setminus G_{vw}$ such that $G = \langle G_v, \mu, \nu \rangle$. Now $\mu = \mu'a$ for some $\mu' \in G_{vu}$ and since $G_{\{v,w\}} = (G_{\{v,u\}})^h$, also $\nu = \nu'a^h$ for some $\nu' \in G_{vw}$. Hence $G = \langle G_v, a, a^h \rangle = \langle (pcq), (pcq)^h, a, a^h \rangle$. A quick computation in MAGMA shows that G = A, which is a contradiction to our assumption that G is an LR-group. This shows that if A is of type 7-AT (or equivalently, is 7-arc-transitive), then A contains no LR-groups. \Box

5. Proof of Theorem 1.8 and Corollary 1.10

We conclude this paper by deducing Theorem 1.8 from what we found in the previous sections and then proving Corollary 1.10.

Let us begin by answering Question 1.6, namely that if \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}' are distinct LR-decompositions of a finite tetravalent graph Γ , does there exist $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ such that $\mathcal{C}^g = \mathcal{C}'$?

Let Γ , C and C' be as above and let $G := \operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, C)$ and $G^* := \operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, C')$. Then, by Lemma 2.2, the group $A := \langle G, G^* \rangle$ acts 2-arc-transitively on Γ with $A_v^{\Gamma(v)} \cong \operatorname{Sym}(4)$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.3, the groups G and G^* are maximal LR-subgroups of A. By Theorem 1.9, G and G^* are conjugate within A, so $G^* = G^g$ for some $g \in A$. It follows that C^g is an LR-decomposition of Γ with $G^* = \operatorname{Aut}^*(\Gamma, C^g)$, and now Lemma 2.3 implies that $C^g = C'$. Hence the answer to Question 1.6 is affirmative.

Finally, we verify Conjecture 1.7, namely that if (Γ, C) is a finite LR-structure for which $\operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, C)$ is a proper subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$, then (Γ, C) is self-dual.

Suppose that (Γ, \mathcal{C}) is a counterexample to Conjecture 1.7. Then $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma, \mathcal{C}) = \operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, \mathcal{C}) = G$, and there exists an element $h \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma) \setminus G$. Now \mathcal{C}^h is an LR-decomposition of Γ distinct from \mathcal{C} , and by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, the group $A := \langle G, G^h \rangle$ acts 2-arc-transitively on Γ with $A_v^{\Gamma(v)} \cong \operatorname{Sym}(4)$ and with G being a maximal LR-subgroup of A. By Theorem 1.9 there exists a group N with $G \leq N \leq A$ and |N : G| = 2, and so by Lemma 2.5, (Γ, \mathcal{C}) is self-dual, a contradiction. This shows that (Γ, \mathcal{C}) is not a counterexample.

We now turn to Corollary 1.10. Suppose that (Γ, \mathcal{C}) is an LR-structure such that $\{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}\}$ is the (unique) partition of \mathcal{C} into cycles satisfying Definition 1.2, and that $G = \operatorname{Aut}^+(\Gamma, \mathcal{C})$ is contained in an arc-transitive subgroup Y. If G is normal in Y, then since $\{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}\}$ is a partition of $E(\Gamma)$, there exists $g \in Y$ such that $\mathcal{L}^g = \mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}^g = \mathcal{L}$ and hence (Γ, \mathcal{C}) is self-dual. If G is normal in Y, then as above, for $y \in Y \setminus N_Y(G)$ the group $\langle G, G^y \rangle$ is a 2-arc-transitive discrete subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and then Theorem 1.8 shows that there is an arc-transitive subgroup X of $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ such that G is a normal subgroup of X of index 2, and hence (Γ, \mathcal{C}) is self-dual.

10

LINKING RING STRUCTURES

References

- J. A. Al-bar, A. N. Al-kenani, N. M. Muthana, C. E. Praeger and P. Spiga: Finite edge-transitive oriented graphs of valency four: a global approach. *Electr. J. Combin.* 23 (2016), P1.10.
- W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust: The MAGMA algebra system I: The user language, J. Symbolic Computation 24 (1997), 235–265.
- [3] P. J. Cameron: Oligomorphic Permutation Groups, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Ser. vol. 152. Cambridge University Press (1990).
- [4] M. Conder, A. Malnič, D. Marušič and P. Potočnik: A census of semisymmetric cubic graphs on up to 768 vertices, J. Alg. Combin. 23 (2006), 255–294.
- [5] M. Conder, P. Potočnik and P. Šparl: Some recent discoveries about half-arc-transitive graphs, Ars Math. Contemp. 8 (2015), 149–162.
- [6] D. Ž. Djoković: A class of finite group-amalgams, Proc. American Math. Soc. 80 (1980), 22–26.
- [7] J. Folkman: Regular line-symmetric graphs, J. Combin. Theory 3 (1967), 215–232.
- [8] A. Gardiner: Arc-transitivity in graphs, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 24 (1973) 399-407.
- [9] A. Gardiner and C. Praeger: A characterization of certain families of 4-valent symmetric graphs, European J. Combin. 15 (1994), 383–397.
- [10] M. Giudici and E. Swartz, Locally s-arc-transitive graphs arising from product action, Ars Mathematica Contemporanea
 23 (2023) #P2.10.
- [11] F. Lazebnik and R. Viglione: An infinite series of regular edge- but not vertex-transitive graphs, J. Graph Theory, 41 (2002), 249–258.
- [12] Š. Miklavič, P. Šparl, S. Wilson: Generalized Gardiner-Praeger graphs and their symmetries, Discrete Math. 344 (2021), 112263.
- [13] B. Monson, T. Pisanski, E. Schulte and A. Weiss: Semisymmetric graphs from polytopes, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 114 (2007), 421–435.
- [14] P. Potočnik: A list of 4-valent 2-arc-transitive graphs and finite faithful amalgams of index (4, 2) European. J. Combin. 30 (2009), 1323–1336.
- [15] P. Potočnik and M. Toledo: Generalised voltage graphs, European J. Combin. 94 (2021), 103313, 20pp.
- [16] P. Potočnik and S. Wilson: Linking rings structures and tetravalent semisymmetric graphs, Ars Math. Contemp. 7 (2014), 341–352.
- [17] P. Potočnik, S. Wilson, Linking rings structures and semisymmetric graphs: Cayley constructions, European J. Combin. 51 (2016), 84–98.
- [18] P. Potočnik, S. Wilson: Linking rings structures and semisymmetric graphs: combinatorial constructions, Ars Math. Contemp. 14 (2018), 1–17.
- [19] P. Potočnik, P. Spiga, G. Verret: Cubic vertex-transitive graphs on up to 1280 vertices, J. Symbolic Comput. 50 (2013), 465–477.
- [20] P. Potočnik, P. Spiga, G. Verret: A census of 4-valent half-arc-transitive graphs and arc-transitive digraphs of valence two, Ars Math. Contemp. 8 (2015).
- [21] A. R. Rivera and P. Sparl: New structural results on tetravalent half-arc-transitive graphs, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B 135 (2019), 256–278.
- [22] P. Spiga: Constructing half-arc-transitive graphs of valency four with prescribed vertex stabilizers, Graphs Combin. 32 (2016), 2135–2144.
- [23] P. Spiga, B. Xia, Constructing infinitely many half-arc-transitive covers of tetravalent graphs, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A 180 (2021) 105406.
- [24] L. Wang, S.-F. Du and X. Li: A class of semisymmetric graphs, Ars Math. Contemp. 7 (2014), 40–53.
- [25] R. Weiss: Presentation for (G, s)-transitive graphs of small valency, Math. Proc. Phil. Soc. 101 (1987), 7–20.
- [26] B. Xia: Tetravalent half-arc-transitive graphs with unbounded nonabelian vertex stabilizers, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B 147 (2021), 159–182.

MARSTON CONDER,

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF AUCKLAND, PRIVATE BAG 92019, AUCKLAND 1142, NEW ZEALAND *Email address*: m.conder@auckland.ac.nz

LUKE MORGAN,

UNIVERSITY OF PRIMORSKA, UP FAMNIT, GLAGOLJAŠKA 8, 6000 KOPER, SLOVENIA, AND UNIVERSITY OF PRIMORSKA, UP IAM, MUZEJSKI TRG 2, 6000 KOPER, SLOVENIA.

Current affiliation: Centre for the Mathematics of Symmetry and Computation, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, Western Australia 6009 Email address: luke.morgan@uwa.edu.au

Primož Potočnik,

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA, JADRANSKA 19, SI-1000 LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIA; AFFILIATED WITH: INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, PHYSICS AND MECHANICS, JADRANSKA 19, SI-1000 LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIA. *Email address*: primoz.potocnik@fmf.uni-lj.si

12