
INVARIANT SCATTERING TRANSFORM FOR MEDICAL IMAGING

A PREPRINT

Nafisa Labiba Ishrat Huda

Research and Development Department, Pioneer Alpha,
Dhaka, Bangladesh
nafisahuda42@gmail.com

Angona Biswas

Research and Development Department, Pioneer Alpha,
Dhaka, Bangladesh
angonabiswas28@gmail.com

MD Abdullah Al Nasim

Research and Development Department, Pioneer Alpha,
Dhaka, Bangladesh
nasim.abdullah@ieee.org

Md. Fahim Rahman

Research and Development Department, Pioneer Alpha,
Dhaka, Bangladesh
fahimpranto002gmail.com

Shoaib Ahmed

Research and Development Department, Pioneer Alpha,
Dhaka, Bangladesh
2010.ahmed.shoaib@gmail.com

July 12, 2023

ABSTRACT

Invariant scattering transform introduces new area of research that merges the signal processing with deep learning for computer vision. Nowadays, Deep Learning algorithms are able to solve a variety of problems in medical sector. Medical images are used to detect diseases brain cancer or tumor, Alzheimer's disease, breast cancer, Parkinson's disease and many others. During pandemic back in 2020, machine learning and deep learning has played a critical role to detect COVID-19 which included mutation analysis, prediction, diagnosis and decision making. Medical images like X-ray, MRI known as magnetic resonance imaging, CT scans are used for detecting diseases. There is another method in deep learning for medical imaging which is scattering transform. It builds useful signal representation for image classification. It is a wavelet technique; which is impactful for medical image classification problems. This research article discusses scattering transform as the efficient system for medical image analysis where it's figured by scattering the signal information implemented in a deep convolutional network. A step by step case study is manifested at this research work.

Keywords Medical imaging, diagnosis, deep learning, scattering transform, COVID-19



Figure 1: Three operations of scattering transform.

1 Introduction

In the field of medical imaging, a professional creates various images for diagnostic purposes. Medical imaging [1, 2, 3, 4] plays a significant role in modern science. It's not only includes X-rays [5], MRI [6], CT [7] scans as neuro [8] images but also uses endoscopy, ultrasounds, tactile imaging. Artificial intelligence is enhancing the capability to analyze results in medical imaging technology. Also computer vision is used to diagnose human conditions visually. Manual medical image review procedures have saved countless lives over the years, but that doesn't mean the procedures can't be improved. Machine learning (ML) technology can analyze and learn from vast amounts of data while performing computer vision (CV) analysis on an image to find tiny differences that the human eye cannot detect. Deep Learning (DL) [9] is a form of machine learning (ML) based on artificial neural networks; these technologies have made automated, precise and ultra-fast analysis of medical images a reality. These techniques can achieve high accuracy in analyzing medical images [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15] for detection, segmentation, classification and prediction.

As for image classification, there are two techniques which are supervised and unsupervised. Supervised classification has labeled data images; these are trained data. On the other hand, unsupervised includes unlabeled data that does not have any trained images. It analyzes result by discovering unknown or hidden pattern. To perform an image classification using ML or DL neural networks [16], there are some steps to follow. First, image pre-processing is to improve image data so that models can benefit from the data. Image resizing, data augmentation; these are the parts of pre-processing. Then comes feature extraction, it uses for identifying the pattern of the given image. Features can be different to particular classes. This technique helps models to find out the differences between two images. There are also training and testing part where data can be labeled or unlabeled. The last part of this process is classification of the image whether it's matched with the targeted ones or not.

On the subject of classification, there are many classifiers such as support vector machine (SVM), K-nearest neighbor (KNN), naïve bayes algorithm, random forest (RB) algorithm. Support vector machine (SVM) demonstrates different classes in a hyper plane in multi-dimensional space. It divides datasets into classes and find out the maximum marginal hyper plane. On the other hand, KNN look at the given data then calculates its distance; find nearest neighbors and count the vote to check the majority of labels. Random forest (RF) is used for both classification and regression. This algorithm consists of many decision trees. From those trees, it predicts the majority one as a result.

To use medical images in machine learning and deep learning applications with minimal configuration, a wavelet scattering [17, 18, 19] enables to obtain low variance features from real time image data. This scattering [20] process is acknowledged as scattering transform. Scattering network uses predefined wavelets and scaling filters. It processes data in stages. The outcome of previous stage is the input of next stage. There are three operations in each stage.

Fig. 1 is showing the operations of scattering transform network. First, it takes the wavelet transform of the input data with each wavelet filter. Then takes the modulus of the each filtered outputs and average that modulus with the scaling filter. This process is repeated at every node.

2 Literature Review

The authors in [21] used scattering transform based features and texture features for iris detection. Not only these two techniques are used; they also applied principal component analysis (PCA) on the extracted features to reduce the dimensionality of the feature. The mean and variance of each scattering images are used as features. Iris database is used by them where it contains 2240 images from 224 different people. An integrated scattering feature for medical image is proposed by the authors of [22]. A corresponding feature demonstration strategy for each integration model is used. Rushi and Yicong applied histogram of compressed scattering coefficients also called HCSCs [23]. This proposal took advantages from both compressed scattering coefficients and bag-of-words (BoW) model. For intrapartum fetal heart rate (FHR) variability fractal analysis authors in [24] also used scattering transform. Paper [25] presented automated

diagnosis of Alzheimer disease using the scale invariant feature transforms from brain [26, 27, 28] MR images. Support vector machine (SVM) also applied to the data. The authors of [29] applied wavelet scattering transform (WST) [30] on CT lung images to detect lung cancer and support vector machine (SVM), kernel nearest neighbor (KNN), wavelet scattering transform, shearlet transform, random forest (RF) as classifiers.

They [31] focused on Morlet wavelets and learned the scales, orientations, and aspect ratios of the filters to produce problem-specific parameterizations of scattering transform; also their learned versions of the scattering transform yield significant performance gains in small-sample classification settings over the standard scattering transform. In [32], they used scattering transform registration algorithm to align high and low resolution images. A varifold based modeling framework also used by them to compute multiscale data. They [33] developed a novel network where they used features of a scattering transform for an attention mechanism. An approach [34] was proposed to classify 17-classes of cardiac arrhythmia using wavelet scattering transform (WST). The paper [35] focused on the development of a seizure detection method with the above-stated characteristics where group invariant scattering, novel data representation technique used for feature extraction. They [36] built a classification scheme that involved a feature extraction and classification stages; they used scattering transform for extracting distinguishes features robust to small deformations in the image. For large dimension of scattering feature matrix, [37] used multidimensional scaling (MDS) method to reduce the dimension and the method was compared with the classical dimension reduction method known as principal component analysis (PCA). The paper [38] involved the utilization of Support Vector Machine (SVM) classifiers with three non-linear kernels, along with the implementation of k-fold cross-validation to stage detection of Dyslexia in EEG signals using wavelet scattering transform (WST).

In [39], they applied a feature learning algorithm known as Wavelet scattering network to retinal fundus images and studied the efficiency of the automatically extracted features therefore for glaucoma detection. [40] projected hybrid framework that fuses the complex shearlet scattering transform (CSST) and a convolutional neural network (CNN) into a single model. A novel motion-compensation method for investigating in vivo myocardium structures in DTI with free-breathing acquisitions was proposed by paper [41]. The paper [42] used the wavelet scattering transform (WST) as a feature extraction technique to obtain features from PPG data and combined it with clinical data for detection of early hypertension stages by applying Early and Late Fusion. To calculate invariants from the responses to the set of spherical harmonics projected onto 3D kernels in the form of a lightweight Solid Spherical Energy (SSE) CNN (convolutional neural network) [43] was applied by them [44]. A deep scattering network was used for palm print recognition [45]; scattering network also used in this process to extract features. Fibered confocal fluorescence microscopy (FCFM) imaging technique and scattering features for lung cancer detection [46]. A hybrid Scattering Coefficients - Bag of Visual Words – Discrete Wavelet Transform (SC-BoVW- DWT) relevance fusion algorithm was proposed for effective content-based medical image retrieval (CBMIR) [47].

Texture[48] descriptor derived from a region covariance matrix of scattering coefficients for detection of glandular structures [49] in colon histology images. The procedure [50] used features of a wavelet scattering transform to classify signal segments whether it's chaotic or non-chaotic. The proposed method [51] of classification determined the level of the brain tumor using the features of the segments generated based on Bayesian fuzzy clustering. They [52] proposed a twin branch CNN that learned robust representations by combining deep narrow band features and WST features of the narrow band images to classify vocal cord NBI images into malignant and benign classes. They [53] approached a brain tumor classification using a hybrid deep auto encoder with a Bayesian fuzzy clustering-based segmentation. They [54] aimed to analyze the clot texture using the scattering operator which combined wavelet transform convolutions with non-linear modulus and averaging operators. They [55] applied a novel algorithm which offered immunity to local errors in the underlying deformation field obtained from registration procedures.

3 Methodology of study

3.1 Wavelet Scattering Transform

Low variance features from real-time series image data is enabled by wavelet scattering transform (WST) network. WST [56, 57] transforms small deformations by separating the variations across different scales. It provides a light representation. There are wavelets, scaling function and input data. There are also a number of users' particular rotations of the wavelet. Edges from the root to a node are referred as a path. Scattering coefficients are the coefficients convolved with scaling function. These scattering coefficients are the low variance features derived from the data.

$$Hx(u) = x * h(2u) \quad (1)$$

$$Gx(u) = x * g(2u) \quad (2)$$

where h is a low frequency and g is a high frequency.

3.2 Feature Extraction

To extract features from data, wavelet Scattering will create and configure the network. Parameters include the size of invariance scale, the number of filter banks and the number of wavelets per octave in each filter bank also set the number of rotation per wavelet. To derive time series and image data features use the object function `ScatteringTransform`.

3.3 Invariance Scale

In the wavelet scattering network, scaling filter plays an important role. This network is invariant to translations up to the invariance scale. Scaling function determines the size of the invariant in time or space. Time invariance uses for time series data where duration is the invariance scale. The invariance scale does not exceed by the time support of a wavelet. Frequencies are lower than the invariance scale. Image invariance is for image data, the scale specifies in pixels. The scaling function creates a wavelet image scattering network for the image size.

3.4 Quality Factor

For the scattering filter banks, quality factors should be set to create a wavelet scattering network. The quality factor for each filter bank is the number of wavelet filter per octave. Large quality factors are not necessary for image data.

3.5 Case Description with Dataset information

This section will discuss about the details of different cases. IIT Delhi database [21] contains 2240 iris images captured from 224 different people. The images of 21 people were for validation set; around half of the images were used as training and testing images. In [22], TCIA-CT database has 675 images of 19 categories. NEMA-CT and EXACT09-CT used for [23] where EXACT09 consists of CT scans at the chest, size of 512 x 512. There are total number of 675 CT images in the database. HCSCs methods were applied to both of the databases. The data [25] consists of the scans from 98 normal and 100 scan from Alzheimer disease (AD). The subjects include both men and women. Whole data was for training set and one subject's data was for testing set. In addition, by analyzing the data a method's effectiveness can be increased.

The Bilkent dataset was used by them [49] which consists of 72 microscopic images of H&E stained healthy colon biopsies from 36 patients; where the resolution of images was 480x640 and the dataset was divided into 24 images for training and 48 images for testing. For [58], they used two-dimensional image slices extracted from MRI image stacks. For detecting seizure [35], open access databases featuring multichannel scalp EEG was used; the used dataset is sampled at 256 samples per second and quantized with a 16 bit analog to digital converter where each case contains continuous recordings of the patients and 140 records contained 197 seizures. The testing and training datasets [50] were created using 13 dynamical systems of first, second or third order; each system was provided with 1000 created test files, each of which contained 1000 samples. BRATS database for [51] which had two grades (datasets) of tumor images and every patient image was stored as four different modalities. The dataset [46] was composed of 103 images taken from 8 healthy volunteers, and 70 images from 7 patients with diagnosed bronchial squamous cell carcinoma. The approached model consisted of two parallel branches where each branch was a ResNet18. The first branch received the NBI images of vocal cord and the second branch received the wavelet scattering transform feature maps of the NBI images of vocal cord. They [22] used data from the National Lung Screening Trial (NLST) in which individuals were screened for lung cancer using low-dose CT (LDCT). Annotated 3700 NLST images contained single solid nodules between 4 and 20 mm in diameter were included in their [59] dataset. A dataset of 15 images of H&E stained colorectal cancer tissues of 20x optical magnification were used by [60]; where they used a window of size 27×27 and stride of 3 to make the patches along spatial dimension (height and width) from the input feature map. In [53], they used the skull removed images (dataset BRATS 2015). [45] used 6000 palm prints sampled from 500 persons. Each palm print was taken under 4 different lights in two different days and preprocessed and had a size of 128x128. Both with synthetic images and real brain image datasets were used in [55].

The first dataset contained 1000 synthetic $32 \times 32 \times 32$ texture volumes of two classes [44]. The second one was a subsample of the American National Lung Screening Trial (NLST) annotated by radiologists which included 485 pulmonary nodules, 244 benign and 241 malignant, from distinct patients in CT. For the work [42] was collected at the Guilin People's Hospital in Guilin, China. There were a total of 219 samples from patients admitted to the hospital; the sample had 37% of subjects diagnosed with NT, 38% with PHT and 25% with Stage 1 and Stage 2 hypertension. The approach [40] experimented on two public datasets demonstrate the superiority of our method. The categorized 17




Figure 2: Iris images from first layer of scattering transform from [21].




Figure 3: MR images extracted by the SIFT method [25].

arrhythmia classes were taken from the MIT-BIH arrhythmia database; also they were having 1000 ECG fragments of 45 subjects [34].

4 Result and analysis

An analysis of some related methods has been presented here.

From Table 1, it is noticed that several studies have been utilized scattering transform method. Others have been conducted different techniques. In paper [21], they used scattering transform and textural features where they gained best accuracy of 99.2 percent. For each image they [21] applied two levels with a set of filter banks. Minimum distance classifier has been used. There has been two types of integrated scattering data [22] known as data concentration and canonical analysis. To perform scattering transform to a medical image to achieve its translation invariant demonstration, HCSCs method should develop. After that, histogram was derived as feature vector using BoW framework. The proposal [25] used scale-invariant feature transform (SIFT) method for 2-D scan of Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI).

From other references, some observations are noted here. The proposed method [35] successfully detected 180 out of 197 seizures (91.4%) across 24 subjects. This process did not require any pre-processing on the data which was




Figure 4: CT images from EXACT09-CT database [22].




Figure 5: tumor images from [51].




Figure 6: medical images from [58].




Figure 7: palmprint scattering images from [45].




Figure 8: clot images from [54].

unsupervised and fully automated. CT-images [47] used as a query image and matched with other images in the database. The TCIA-CT database the proposed SC-BoVW-DWT obtained better results than other methods. The proposed method [51] of tumor classification used the two datasets where the method of tumor segmentation and classification offered better accuracy when compared with the other methods. The PPG signal [50] was split into 1000 samples; there were 31.2s segments. The WST features were obtained for each segment. The experiment [49] was conducted on 24 Bilkent training images for super pixel features, using 4-fold cross validation; the result showed encouraging segmentation accuracy. They [55] used three different datasets with different noise levels in the deformation field for registration. 6000 palm prints were sampled from 500 persons from the PolyU palm print database [45]. Each palm print was taken under 4 different lights in two different days; preprocessed and had a size of 128x128, also each image was first divided into blocks of size 32x32. They used half of the images of each person in the dataset for training and the other half for testing and for SVM they were able to get 99.9% accuracy rate. The recognition accuracy of minimum distance classifier was slightly less than SVM but still high in percentage. The work [60] has showed that detection accuracy of deep convolutional neural networks can be improved by adding handcrafted features to the raw data. The Bayesian fuzzy clustering-based segmentation technique [53] for brain tumor classification reached the highest accuracy (98.5 %) when compared to other existing systems. Their [52] results indicated that incorporating wavelet scattering features to improve the generalizing capability of the CNN.

The mentioned SSE-CNN [44] largely outperformed the latter > 90% with $N > 2$ versus 84.0% on the synthetic dataset. The analysis [42] showed that the wavelet scattering transform (WST) combined with a support vector machine (SVM) can classify norm tension and pre hypertension with an accuracy of 71.42% and an F1-score of 76%. They [39] achieved 98% on the held-out test set. The WST-based approach [38] achieved a high accuracy rate, with an average accuracy of 96.96% and 97.12% for dataset 1 and dataset 2 using the RBF kernel. The research [61] showed the accuracy of 97.4% and 100% in diagnosis abnormal retina and DR from normal ones. They also achieved the accuracy of 84.2% in classifying OCT images to five classes of normal, CSR, MH, AMD and DR which outperformed other state of the art methods with high computational complexity. The classification accuracy of the proposed method [37] can reach 98% or greater than that. The proposed methodology [35] successfully detected 180 out of 197 seizures. An overall accuracy of 98.90% was achieved by them [34]. This methodology [32] improved the results of calcium segmentation up to 8.2% in terms of the Dice coefficient and 24.8% in terms of the modified Hausdorff distance.

5 Conclusion

This chapter represents some studies on scattering transform and other methods of medical imaging [63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68]. There are different types of images such as CT scans, MRI [69], CTG, iris and CT lung imaging for diagnosis purposes. SIFT and HOG can be feature descriptors. The minimum classifier is there to match new images with train data. Principal component analysis (PCA) can apply on features to reduce dimensionality. A 3D scattering transform can replace deep learning methods when we won't have any massive amount of data points. Some methods were used with different classifiers and got best accuracy. A combination of wavelet scattering transform with random forest, k-nearest neighbor and support vector machine bring the best result respectively. But wavelet scattering transform with random forest outperforms all other methodologies. The ability to wavelet scattering transforms (WST) to take image

Table 1: Performance evaluation of various studies for scattering transform of medical imaging:

Authors	Method	Dataset	Purpose	Medical image	Accuracy
Shervin et al.[21]	Scattering transform and textural features	IIT Delhi iris data	Iris recognition	Iris	99.2%
Rushi et al. [22]	Integrated scattering feature	TCIA-CT, EXACT09-CT data	Application to medical retrieval	CT	
Rushi and Yicong [23]	HCSCs	NEMA, TCIA, EXACT09-CT data	Develop HCSCs for medical image retrieval	CT	NEMA-CT: 3.16%, 7.37%, 6.30% ; TCIA-CT: 7.60%, 10.92%, 10.53%
Joakim et al. [24]	Scattering transform	FIGO-TN, FIGO-TP, FIGO-FP	Fetal heart rate variability fractal analysis	CTG	FIGO-TN, FIGO-TP (j1=2)
Mohammad et al. [25]	Scale invariant feature transform	OASIS MRI brain data	Automated diagnosis of Alzheimer disease	MR	86%
Osama and Yoshifumi [29]	WST and AI techniques	CT scan image data	Lung cancer detection	CT lung	WST+RF: 99.90% WST+KNN: 95.28% WST+SVM: 93.24%
Tameem et al. [62]	Scattering transform	AGEhIV, OASIS, ADNI data	Disease classification	MRI	82.7%

and convert it to signal. It understands the dataset and extract features from it. The results show that this method can perform well for diagnosis, so it can be useful for medical applications.

References

- [1] Valentin Oreiller, Julien Fageot, Vincent Andrearczyk, John O Prior, and Adrien Depeursinge. Robust multi-organ nucleus segmentation using a locally rotation invariant bispectral u-net. In *International Conference on Medical Imaging with Deep Learning*, pages 929–943. PMLR, 2022.
- [2] Xiaoqin Wang, Rushi Lan, Huadeng Wang, Zhenbing Liu, and Xiaonan Luo. Fine-grained correlation analysis for medical image retrieval. *Computers & Electrical Engineering*, 90:106992, 2021.
- [3] Zhen Guo, Zhiguang Liu, Qihang Zhang, George Barbastathis, and Michael E Glinsky. Noise-resilient approach for deep tomographic imaging. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.15456*, 2022.
- [4] Alex Gaudio, Asim Smailagic, Christos Faloutsos, Shreshtha Mohan, Elvin Johnson, Yuhao Liu, Pedro Costa, and Aurélio Campilho. Deepfixcx: Explainable privacy-preserving image compression for medical image analysis. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, page e1495, 2023.
- [5] James Anthony Seibert and John M Boone. X-ray scatter removal by deconvolution. *Medical physics*, 15(4):567–575, 1988.
- [6] Kaitlin M Stouffer, Menno P Witter, Daniel J Tward, and Michael I Miller. Projective diffeomorphic mapping of molecular digital pathology with tissue mri. *Communications Engineering*, 1(1):44, 2022.
- [7] Bernd Ohnesorge, Thomas Flohr, and Klaus Klingensbeck-Regn. Efficient object scatter correction algorithm for third and fourth generation ct scanners. *European radiology*, 9(3):563–569, 1999.
- [8] Won Hwa Kim, Sathya N Ravi, Sterling C Johnson, Ozioma C Okonkwo, and Vikas Singh. On statistical analysis of neuroimages with imperfect registration. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 666–674, 2015.
- [9] Ramkrishna Bharath and Pachamuthu Rajalakshmi. Deep scattering convolution network based features for ultrasonic fatty liver tissue characterization. In *2017 39th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC)*, pages 1982–1985. IEEE, 2017.

- [10] Glenn R Easley, Monica Barbu-McInnis, and Demetrio Labate. Image registration using the shearlet transform. In *Wavelets and sparsity XVI*, volume 9597, pages 125–135. SPIE, 2015.
- [11] Berkman Sahiner, Aria Pezeshk, Lubomir M Hadjiiski, Xiaosong Wang, Karen Drukker, Kenny H Cha, Ronald M Summers, and Maryellen L Giger. Deep learning in medical imaging and radiation therapy. *Medical physics*, 46(1):e1–e36, 2019.
- [12] Mario Merone, Carlo Sansone, and Paolo Soda. A computer-aided diagnosis system for hep-2 fluorescence intensity classification. *Artificial intelligence in medicine*, 97:71–78, 2019.
- [13] Ahmed Al-Saffar, A Zamani, A Stancombe, and A Abbosh. Operational learning-based boundary estimation in electromagnetic medical imaging. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 70(3):2234–2245, 2021.
- [14] Fares Kahlessenane, Amine Khaldi, Redouane Kafi, and Salah Euschi. A robust blind medical image watermarking approach for telemedicine applications. *Cluster computing*, 24(3):2069–2082, 2021.
- [15] JA Seibert and JM Boone. Medical image scatter suppression by inverse filtering. In *Medical Imaging II*, volume 914, pages 742–750. SPIE, 1988.
- [16] Md Aminul Haque Palash, Akib Khan, Kawsarul Islam, MD Abdullah Al Nasim, and Ryan Mohammad Bin Shah-jahan. Incongruity detection between bangla news headline and body content through graph neural network. In *The Fourth Industrial Revolution and Beyond: Select Proceedings of IC4IR+*, pages 375–387. Springer, 2023.
- [17] Raghu G Raj, Maxine R Fox, and Ram M Narayanan. Target classification in synthetic aperture radar images using quantized wavelet scattering networks. *Sensors*, 21(15):4981, 2021.
- [18] Amirmohammad Shamaei, Jana Starcuková, and Zenon Starcuk Jr. A wavelet scattering convolutional network for magnetic resonance spectroscopy signal quantitation. In *BIOSIGNALS*, pages 268–275, 2021.
- [19] Tuan D Pham. Classification of motor-imagery tasks using a large eeg dataset by fusing classifiers learning on wavelet-scattering features. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, 31:1097–1107, 2023.
- [20] R Bharath and Pachamuthu Rajalakshmi. Webrtc based invariant scattering convolution network for automated validation of ultrasonic videos for iot enabled tele-sonography. In *2018 IEEE 4th World Forum on Internet of Things (WF-IoT)*, pages 790–795. IEEE, 2018.
- [21] Shervin Minaee, AmirAli Abdolrashidi, and Yao Wang. Iris recognition using scattering transform and textural features. In *2015 IEEE signal processing and signal processing education workshop (SP/SPE)*, pages 37–42. IEEE, 2015.
- [22] Rushi Lan, Huadeng Wang, Si Zhong, Zhenbing Liu, and Xiaonan Luo. An integrated scattering feature with application to medical image retrieval. *Computers & Electrical Engineering*, 69:669–675, 2018.
- [23] Rushi Lan and Yicong Zhou. Medical image retrieval via histogram of compressed scattering coefficients. *IEEE journal of biomedical and health informatics*, 21(5):1338–1346, 2016.
- [24] Václav Chudáček, Joakim Andén, Stéphane Mallat, Patrice Abry, and Muriel Doret. Scattering transform for intrapartum fetal heart rate variability fractal analysis: a case-control study. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 61(4):1100–1108, 2013.
- [25] Mohammad Reza Daliri. Automated diagnosis of alzheimer disease using the scale-invariant feature transforms in magnetic resonance images. *Journal of medical systems*, 36:995–1000, 2012.
- [26] Faisal Muhammad Shah, Tonmoy Hossain, Mohsena Ashraf, Fairuz Shadmani Shishir, MD Abdullah Al Nasim, and Md Hasanul Kabir. Brain tumor segmentation techniques on medical images-a review. *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research*, 10(2):1514–1525, 2019.
- [27] Md Abdullah Al Nasim, Abdullah Al Munem, Maksuda Islam, Md Aminul Haque Palash, Md Mahim Anjum Haque, and Faisal Muhammad Shah. Brain tumor segmentation using enhanced u-net model with empirical analysis. In *2022 25th International Conference on Computer and Information Technology (ICCIT)*, pages 1027–1032. IEEE, 2022.
- [28] Tonmoy Hossain, Fairuz Shadmani Shishir, Mohsena Ashraf, MD Abdullah Al Nasim, and Faisal Muhammad Shah. Brain tumor detection using convolutional neural network. In *2019 1st international conference on advances in science, engineering and robotics technology (ICASERT)*, pages 1–6. IEEE, 2019.
- [29] Osama A Omer and Yoshifumi Saijo. Lung cancer detection using wavelet scattering transform and artificial intelligence technique. *Research Square*, 2023.
- [30] Upanshu Sharma and Remco Duits. Left-invariant evolutions of wavelet transforms on the similitude group. *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis*, 39(1):110–137, 2015.

- [31] Shanel Gauthier, Benjamin Thérien, Laurent Alsene-Racicot, Muawiz Chaudhary, Irina Rish, Eugene Belilovsky, Michael Eickenberg, and Guy Wolf. Parametric scattering networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 5749–5758, 2022.
- [32] Lennart Bargsten, Katharina A Riedl, Tobias Wissel, Fabian J Brunner, Klaus Schaefer, Michael Grass, Stefan Blankenberg, Moritz Seiffert, and Alexander Schlaefer. Attention via scattering transforms for segmentation of small intravascular ultrasound data sets. In *Medical Imaging with Deep Learning*, pages 34–47. PMLR, 2021.
- [33] Kaitlin M Stouffer, Zhenzhen Wang, Eileen Xu, Karl Lee, Paige Lee, Michael I Miller, and Daniel J Tward. From picoscale pathology to decascale disease: Image registration with a scattering transform and varifolds for manipulating multiscale data. In *Multimodal Learning for Clinical Decision Support: 11th International Workshop, ML-CDS 2021, Held in Conjunction with MICCAI 2021, Strasbourg, France, October 1, 2021, Proceedings 11*, pages 1–11. Springer, 2021.
- [34] Sudestna Nahak, Akanksha Pathak, and Goutam Saha. Fragment-level classification of eeg arrhythmia using wavelet scattering transform. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 224:120019, 2023.
- [35] Muhammad Zubair Ahmad, Awais Mehmood Kamboh, Sajid Saleem, and Amir Ali Khan. Mallat’s scattering transform based anomaly sensing for detection of seizures in scalp eeg. *IEEE Access*, 5:16919–16929, 2017.
- [36] Jinghui Li, Li Ke, Qiang Du, Xiaodi Ding, Xiangmin Chen, and Danni Wang. Heart sound signal classification algorithm: a combination of wavelet scattering transform and twin support vector machine. *IEEE Access*, 7:179339–179348, 2019.
- [37] Shrevin Minaee and Yao Wang. Palmprint recognition using deep scattering network. In *2017 IEEE international symposium on circuits and systems (ISCAS)*, pages 1–4. IEEE, 2017.
- [38] Agnieszka Szczęśna, Dariusz Augustyn, Henryk Josiński, Adam Świtoński, Paweł Kasprowski, and Katarzyna Haręźlak. Novel photoplethysmographic signal analysis via wavelet scattering transform. In *Computational Science–ICCS 2022: 22nd International Conference, London, UK, June 21–23, 2022, Proceedings, Part III*, pages 641–653. Springer, 2022.
- [39] Jutta Bikowski, Kim Knudsen, and Jennifer L Mueller. Direct numerical reconstruction of conductivities in three dimensions using scattering transforms. *Inverse Problems*, 27(1):015002, 2010.
- [40] Axel H Masquelin, Nicholas Cheney, C Matthew Kinsey, and Jason HT Bates. Wavelet decomposition facilitates training on small datasets for medical image classification by deep learning. *Histochemistry and cell biology*, 155:309–317, 2021.
- [41] Erick Martinez-Ríos, Luis Montesinos, and Mariel Alfaro-Ponce. A machine learning approach for hypertension detection based on photoplethysmography and clinical data. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, 145:105479, 2022.
- [42] Vu-Lam Nguyen, Ngoc-Son Vu, Hai-Hong Phan, and Philippe-Henri Gosselin. An integrated descriptor for texture classification. In *2016 23rd International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR)*, pages 2006–2011. IEEE, 2016.
- [43] Kotra Sankar Raja Sekhar, Tummala Ranga Babu, Goriparthi Prathibha, Kotra Vijay, and Long Chiau Ming. Dermoscopic image classification using cnn with handcrafted features. *Journal of king Saud University-science*, 33(6):101550, 2021.
- [44] Vu-Lam Nguyen, Ngoc-Son Vu, Hai-Hong Phan, and Philippe-Henri Gosselin. Lbp-and-scatnet-based combined features for efficient texture classification. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 76:22425–22444, 2017.
- [45] Alain Rakotomamonjy, Caroline Petitjean, Mathieu Salaün, and Luc Thiberville. Scattering features for lung cancer detection in fibered confocal fluorescence microscopy images. *Artificial intelligence in medicine*, 61(2):105–118, 2014.
- [46] R Varaprasada Rao and T Jaya Chandra Prasad. Content-based medical image retrieval using a novel hybrid scattering coefficients-bag of visual words-dwt relevance fusion. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 80(8):11815–11841, 2021.
- [47] Muhammad Nasim Kashif, Shan E Ahmed Raza, Korsuk Sirinukunwattana, Muhammmad Arif, and Nasir Rajpoot. Handcrafted features with convolutional neural networks for detection of tumor cells in histology images. In *2016 IEEE 13th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI)*, pages 1029–1032. IEEE, 2016.
- [48] Juan Wang, Jiangshe Zhang, and Jie Zhao. Texture classification using scattering statistical and cooccurrence features. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2016, 2016.
- [49] PM Siva Raja et al. Brain tumor classification using a hybrid deep autoencoder with bayesian fuzzy clustering-based segmentation approach. *Biocybernetics and Biomedical Engineering*, 40(1):440–453, 2020.

- [50] Hafeez Alani Agboola and Jesuloluwa Emmanuel Zaccheus. Wavelet image scattering based glaucoma detection. *BMC Biomedical Engineering*, 5(1):1, 2023.
- [51] Thibaud Berthomier, Ali Mansour, Luc Bressollette, Frédéric Le Roy, and Dominique Mottier. Venous blood clot structure characterization using scattering operator. In *2016 2nd International Conference on Frontiers of Signal Processing (ICFSP)*, pages 73–80. IEEE, 2016.
- [52] Zahra Baharlouei, Hossein Rabbani, and Gerlind Plonka. Detection of retinal abnormalities in oct images using wavelet scattering network. In *2022 44th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine & Biology Society (EMBC)*, pages 3862–3865. IEEE, 2022.
- [53] Qingyun Ren, Bingyin Zhou, Liang Tian, and Wei Guo. Detection of covid-19 with ct images using hybrid complex shearlet scattering networks. *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, 26(1):194–205, 2021.
- [54] Vincent Andrearczyk, Valentin Oreiller, Julien Fageot, Xavier Montet, and Adrien Depersinge. Solid spherical energy (sse) cnns for efficient 3d medical image analysis. In *Proceedings of the 21st Irish Machine Vision and Image Processing Conference (IMVIP 2019)*, number CONFERENCE. 28-30 August 2019, 2019.
- [55] A Ratna Raju, P Suresh, and R Rajeswara Rao. Bayesian hcs-based multi-svnn: a classification approach for brain tumor segmentation and classification using bayesian fuzzy clustering. *Biocybernetics and Biomedical Engineering*, 38(3):646–660, 2018.
- [56] Pejman Rasti, Ali Ahmad, Salma Samiei, Etienne Belin, and David Rousseau. Supervised image classification by scattering transform with application to weed detection in culture crops of high density. *Remote Sensing*, 11(3):249, 2019.
- [57] Pedro MM Pereira, Lucas A Thomaz, Luis MN Tavora, Pedro AA Assuncao, Rui M Fonseca-Pinto, Rui Pedro Paiva, and Sergio MM de Faria. Melanoma classification using light-fields with morlet scattering transform and cnn: Surface depth as a valuable tool to increase detection rate. *Medical Image Analysis*, 75:102254, 2022.
- [58] Korsuk Sirinukunwattana, David RJ Snead, and Nasir M Rajpoot. A novel texture descriptor for detection of glandular structures in colon histology images. In *Medical Imaging 2015: Digital Pathology*, volume 9420, pages 186–194. SPIE, 2015.
- [59] Zeyu Deng, Lihui Wang, Zixiang Kuai, Qijian Chen, Xinyu Cheng, Feng Yang, Jie Yang, and Yuemin Zhu. Cnn-based invertible wavelet scattering for the investigation of diffusion properties of the in vivo human heart in diffusion tensor imaging. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.07776*, 2019.
- [60] Debayan Bhattacharya, Finn Behrendt, Axelle Felicio-Briegel, Veronika Volgger, Dennis Eggert, Christian Betz, and Alexander Schlaefer. Learning robust representation for laryngeal cancer classification in vocal folds from narrow band images. In *Medical Imaging with Deep Learning*, 2022.
- [61] Shankar Parmar and Chirag Paunwala. A novel and efficient primitive stage detection of dyslexia in eeg signals using wavelet scattering transform. *Available at SSRN 4404532*.
- [62] Tameem Adel, Taco Cohen, Matthan Caan, Max Welling, AGEHIV study group, Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative, et al. 3d scattering transforms for disease classification in neuroimaging. *NeuroImage: Clinical*, 14:506–517, 2017.
- [63] K Suhail and D Brindha. A review on various methods for recognition of urine particles using digital microscopic images of urine sediments. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, 68:102806, 2021.
- [64] SK Shadukul Islam, MD Abdullah Al Nasim, Ismail Hossain, Md Azim Ullah, Kishor Datta Gupta, and Md Monjur Hossain Bhuiyan. Introduction of medical imaging modalities. *arXiv e-prints*, pages arXiv–2306, 2023.
- [65] Angona Biswas, MD Nasim, Md Shahin Ali, Ismail Hossain, Dr Md Azim Ullah, and Sajedul Talukder. Active learning on medical image. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.01827*, 2023.
- [66] Angona Biswas, MD Nasim, Al Imran, Anika Tabassum Sejuty, Fableha Fairouz, Sai Puppala, and Sajedul Talukder. Generative adversarial networks for data augmentation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.02019*, 2023.
- [67] MD Nasim, Aditi Dhali, Faria Afrin, Noshin Tasnim Zaman, and Nazmul Karim. The prominence of artificial intelligence in covid-19. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.09537*, 2021.
- [68] Md Zihad Bin Jahangir, Ruksat Hossain, Riadul Islam, MD Nasim, Md Mahim Anjum Haque, Md Jahangir Alam, and Sajedul Talukder. Introduction to medical imaging informatics. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.00421*, 2023.
- [69] Shuvra Sarker, Angona Biswas, MD Nasim, Md Shahin Ali, Sai Puppala, and Sajedul Talukder. Case studies on x-ray imaging, mri and nuclear imaging. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.02055*, 2023.