

New Partial Trace Inequalities and Distillability of Werner States

Pablo Costa Rico^{1,2}

¹Department of Mathematics, Technische Universität München, Germany.

²Munich Center for Quantum Science and Technology (MCQST), Germany.

Abstract

One of the oldest problems in quantum information theory is to study whether any undistillable state has a positive partial transpose (PPT) [1]. This problem has been open for almost 30 years, and still no one has been able to give a complete answer to it. This work presents a new strategy to try to solve this problem by translating the distillability condition on the family of Werner states into a problem of partial trace inequalities. We present our two main results, which are Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, and present a new bound for the 2-distillability, $\alpha \geq -\frac{1}{4}$. Moreover, we present throughout this work numerous partial trace inequalities, which are valid for many families of matrices.

Keywords: *Werner states; Distillability; Partial trace; Bound entanglement; Trace inequalities.*

1. Introduction

In quantum mechanics, the entanglement is one of the properties that defines the boundary with classical mechanics. Given a state $\rho \in L(\mathcal{H})$, i.e. a positive semidefinite matrix with $\text{tr} \rho = 1$, and where $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n$ is a Hilbert space, a state is called separable if it can be written as a convex sum of the pure tensor product of positive semidefinite matrices. Otherwise, it is called entangled. In this paper, we will focus on a fundamental property called distillability. Suppose that we have two parties, call them Alice and Bob, who share n -copies of the same state $\rho \in L(\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d)$, $\rho \geq 0$, $\text{tr} \rho = 1$, and that both perform a classical operation obtaining a new state of the form

$$\rho' = \frac{A \otimes B \rho^{\otimes n} A^* \otimes B^*}{\text{tr}[A \otimes B \rho^{\otimes n} A^* \otimes B^*]}, \quad (1)$$

with $A, B : (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$. The question to be answered in this context is whether it is possible to find a pair of operations (A, B) such that the resulting state ρ' is entangled. If so, this phenomenon is known as n -distillability of the state ρ (see e.g. [19]). If, on the other hand, for any pair of operations (A, B) the state ρ' is always separable, we say that ρ is n -undistillable. If for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, ρ is n -undistillable, then ρ is called simply undistillable, otherwise it is distillable. An alternative definition is that ρ is n -undistillable if, for every Schmidt rank 2 vector $v \in (\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes n}$,

$$\langle v, (\rho^{T_1})^{\otimes n} v \rangle \geq 0, \quad (2)$$

where T_1 denotes the partial transposition, see [21], [23] or [12].

*Pablo Costa Rico *E-mail address:* pablo.costa@tum.de

In recent times, the focus has been on one particular family of states, where PPT is equivalent to separability, and they seem to be the states that contain a subfamily which are undistillable but not PPT [20]. This family is called Werner states defined as (see e.g. [23] or [27])

$$\rho_\alpha = \frac{\mathbb{1} + \alpha F}{d^2 + \alpha d}, \quad (3)$$

where F is the flip operator $F(x \otimes y) = y \otimes x$, for $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$, and $\alpha \in [-1, 1]$, and they satisfy the following properties:

1. ρ_α is separable $\Leftrightarrow \rho_\alpha$ is PPT $\Leftrightarrow \alpha \geq -\frac{1}{d}$.
2. For $n = 1$ in (1), ρ_α is 1-undistillable $\Leftrightarrow \alpha \geq -\frac{1}{2}$.

For $n \geq 2$, there is no characterization yet in terms of α , but some bounds have been given in [12]. In this work, we present a new characterization of distillability for these states in terms of inequalities with partial traces and also provide a new bound for α in the case $n = 2$.

Theorem 1. *Let \mathcal{H} be a finite-dimensional Hilbert space that can be decomposed as $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n$, with $\dim(\mathcal{H}_i) = d_i$, and define for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ the quadratic form*

$$q^{(n)}(\alpha, C) = \sum_{J \in P(\{1, 2, \dots, n\})} \alpha^{|J|} \|\text{tr}_J C\|_2^2, \quad (4)$$

where $P(X)$ is the power set of X and we assume $\text{tr}_\emptyset = \mathbb{1}$. Then, ρ_α is n -distillable if and only if there exists a matrix $C \in L((\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes n})$ with $\text{rank } C \leq 2$ such that $q^{(n)}(\alpha, C) < 0$, with $\alpha \in [-1, 1]$.

We prove Theorem 1 in Section 3. For the particular case of $n = 2$, we obtain in Corollary 1 the bound $\alpha \geq -\frac{1}{4}$ for the 2-undistillability (which is dimension-independent). By looking at the symmetries in [25], we will define new families of quadratic forms, and we will conjecture the positivity of these forms for some certain conditions on the parameter α . In Theorem 1, we establish the connection between the rank bound ($r \leq 2$) and the distillability of Werner states, and in Proposition 3, we prove that actually, the bounds on the dimensions of α are connected with the separability of Werner states. Our second main result is the following:

Theorem 2. *Let $C \in L(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$, $r = \text{rank}(C)$ and $d = \max\{d_1, d_2\}$.*

1. *The inequality*

$$\left| \|\text{tr}_1 C\|_2^2 - \|\text{tr}_2 C\|_2^2 \right| \leq \min\{r, d\} \|C\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{\min\{r, d\}} |\text{tr}(C)|^2. \quad (5)$$

holds for any C .

2. *If C can be written as the sum of a rank 1 matrix and a normal matrix, then*

$$\|\text{tr}_1 C\|_2^2 + \|\text{tr}_2 C\|_2^2 \leq r \|C\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{r} |\text{tr}(C)|^2. \quad (6)$$

Moreover, for every C the following inequality holds

$$\|\text{tr}_1 C\|_2^2 + \|\text{tr}_2 C\|_2^2 \leq d \|C\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{d} |\text{tr}(C)|^2. \quad (7)$$

The proof will be given in Section 5.2. Similar inequalities have been studied for the particular case of positive matrices; see e.g. [2], [25], [17], and here we extend them to general matrices. The inequality (6) is the one related to the 2-distillability in Theorem 1, but since we cannot prove it for rank 2 matrices, the problem remains open. However, this points out in the direction that the condition for the 2-undistillability must be $\alpha \geq -\frac{1}{2}$, i.e. the same as in the 1-undistillability, as other works have already pointed out [12], [20]. In the paper, we focus mainly on bipartite

systems, but in Section 6 we will see that in tripartite systems, our techniques seem not to be very successful for the moment. However, we will discuss it.

Finally, in Section 7 we define a more general family of maps depending also on the Schatten norm $p \geq 1$ and on the exponent $\gamma \geq 1$. In this case, some results can be found in the literature, like in [2] or [26] for some particular cases of matrices and bounds. In our case, we will present numerical results on the systems $\mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$ that show the possible existence of bounds of values $\alpha(p, \gamma, d)$ for the expression

$$\|\mathrm{tr}_1 C\|_p^\gamma + \|\mathrm{tr}_2 C\|_p^\gamma \leq \frac{1}{\alpha(p, \gamma, d)} \|C\|_p^\gamma + \alpha(p, \gamma, d) |\mathrm{tr} C|^\gamma. \quad (8)$$

2. Preliminaries

Let \mathcal{H} be a finite-dimensional Hilbert space. We will denote the set of bounded linear operators in \mathcal{H} by $L(\mathcal{H})$. For $T \in L(\mathcal{H})$ the Schatten p -norms are defined for $p > 0$ as

$$\|T\|_p = (\mathrm{tr} |T|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (9)$$

where $|T| = \sqrt{TT^*}$. In particular, for $p = 2$, this norm comes from an inner product in $L(\mathcal{H})$ called Hilbert-Schmidt product defined as

$$\langle T, S \rangle = \mathrm{tr}(T^* S), \quad (10)$$

for $T, S \in L(\mathcal{H})$. In the particular case where $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$, one can define the partial trace operators for $T \in L(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$, $T = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i^1 \otimes T_i^2$ as

$$\mathrm{tr}_1 T = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathrm{tr}(T_i^1) T_i^2, \quad \mathrm{tr}_2 T = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathrm{tr}(T_i^2) T_i^1. \quad (11)$$

The following inequalities give some well-known bounds for the norms 1 and 2

$$\|T\|_2 \leq \|T\|_1 \leq \sqrt{r} \|T\|_2, \quad (12)$$

$$\|T\|_2^2 \geq \frac{1}{r} |\mathrm{tr} T|^2, \quad (13)$$

$$\|\mathrm{tr}_i T\|_1 \leq \|T\|_1 \quad (14)$$

for $i = 1, 2$ and where $r = \mathrm{rank}(T)$. For (14) see e.g. [26].

From now on, we will assume that $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_2$ i.e. $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_1$. In this setting, introduce the symmetric and antisymmetric subspaces

$$\mathcal{H}_+ = \{v \in \mathcal{H} : Fv = v\}, \quad \mathcal{H}_- = \{v \in \mathcal{H} : Fv = -v\}, \quad (15)$$

respectively, where F is the flip operator. The respective orthogonal projections are given by

$$P_+ = \frac{\mathbb{1} + F}{2}, \quad P_- = \frac{\mathbb{1} - F}{2}. \quad (16)$$

For $v, w \in \mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_2$, define the symmetric product $\odot : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_+$ and the antisymmetric product $\wedge : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_-$

$$v \odot w = v \otimes w + w \otimes v, \quad v \wedge w = v \otimes w - w \otimes v. \quad (17)$$

Finally, the bosonic and fermionic creation operators acting on $w \in \mathcal{H}$ are

$$a_+^*(v)w = \sqrt{2}P_+(v \otimes w) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v \odot w), \quad a_-^*(v)w = \sqrt{2}P_-(v \otimes w) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v \wedge w), \quad (18)$$

respectively, for $v \in \mathcal{H}$, and the bosonic and fermionic annihilation operators on $\varphi \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ are just

$$a_+(v)(\varphi) = \sqrt{2}\langle v, P_+ \varphi \rangle_1, \quad a_-(v)(\varphi) = \sqrt{2}\langle v, P_- \varphi \rangle_1, \quad (19)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1 : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is the partial inner product in the first argument, i.e., the sesquilinear extension of

$$(v, \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) \mapsto \langle v, \varphi_1 \rangle \varphi_2. \quad (20)$$

See [3] for a more general definition of the creation and annihilation operators in the Fock space.

3. Distillability of Werner states

In the last decades, particular results have been proved for the distillability of the Werner states, for example, [5], [6], [23], and in particular for the 2-distillability, an equivalent problem was proposed in [23]. In the following, we present the proof of Theorem 1, which provides another characterization of this problem.

Proof of Theorem 1:

Proof. Suppose that ρ_α is n -copies distillable, i.e, there exists A, B such that $\rho' \in L(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2)$ in (1) is entangled. Since the Hilbert space for ρ' is $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$, this implies (see [18]) that $(\rho')^{T_1} \not\geq 0$, so there exists an element $\psi \in \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ such that

$$\langle \psi, (\rho')^{T_1} \psi \rangle < 0. \quad (21)$$

Let $V \in L(\mathbb{C}^2)$ such that $\psi = (\mathbb{1} \otimes V)\Omega$, where Ω is the maximally entangled state. We can then write

$$\langle \psi, (\rho')^{T_1} \psi \rangle = \text{tr}[P_\Omega(\mathbb{1} \otimes V)(\rho')^{T_1}(\mathbb{1} \otimes V)^*] \quad (22a)$$

$$= \text{tr}[P_\Omega^{T_1}(\mathbb{1} \otimes V)(\rho')(\mathbb{1} \otimes V)^*] \quad (22b)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}[F^{\mathbb{C}^2}(\mathbb{1} \otimes V)(\rho')(\mathbb{1} \otimes V)^*] \quad (22c)$$

$$\sim \text{tr}[F^{\mathbb{C}^2}(A \otimes VB)(\rho_{A_1 B_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_{A_n B_n})(A \otimes VB)^*], \quad (22d)$$

and by defining $D = VB$ and using the cyclical property of the trace,

$$\text{tr}((\rho_{A_1 B_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_{A_n B_n})(A \otimes D)^* F^{\mathbb{C}^2}(A \otimes D)) < 0. \quad (23)$$

Set $\tilde{F}(x \otimes y) = y \otimes x$, $x, y \in (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes n}$ satisfying

$$(A \otimes D)^* F^{\mathbb{C}^2}(A \otimes D)(u \otimes v) = (A^* D v) \otimes (D^* A u) = (A^* D \otimes D^* A)(v \otimes u),$$

so

$$(A \otimes D)^* F^{\mathbb{C}^2}(A \otimes D) = (A^* D \otimes D^* A) \tilde{F}. \quad (24)$$

Thus, if we denote $C = A^* D$, this matrix satisfies

$$\text{rank}(C) = \text{rank}(A^* B V) \leq \min\{\text{rank}(A), \text{rank}(B)\} \leq 2,$$

and we get

$$q^{(n)}(\alpha, C) = \text{tr} \left[(\mathbb{1} + \alpha F_{A_1 B_1}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\mathbb{1} + \alpha F_{A_n B_n}) (C \otimes C^*) \tilde{F} \right] < 0. \quad (25)$$

Conversely, suppose that there exists a matrix $C \in L((\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes n})$ with rank lower or equal than 2 such that $q^{(n)}(\alpha, C) < 0$, which implies

$$\text{tr}[\rho_{A_1 B_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_{A_n B_n} (C \otimes C^*) \tilde{F}] < 0. \quad (26)$$

Decompose $C = \sum_{i=1}^2 |v_i\rangle\langle w_i|$, and notice that

$$(C \otimes C^* \tilde{F})^{T_1} = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 |v_i \otimes w_i\rangle\langle v_j \otimes w_j| = |\psi_C\rangle\langle\psi_C|. \quad (27)$$

Then,

$$q^{(n)}(\alpha, C) = \langle \psi_C, (\mathbb{1} + \alpha F_{A_1 B_1})^{T_1} \otimes \dots \otimes (\mathbb{1} + \alpha F_{A_n B_n})^{T_1} \psi_C \rangle < 0, \quad (28)$$

and hence, ρ_α is n -copies distillable using (2). \square

An immediate consequence of the Theorem 1 is the 1-distillability, since the quadratic form

$$q^{(1)}(\alpha, C) = \|C\|_2^2 + \alpha |\operatorname{tr} C|^2 \quad (29)$$

is positive for any matrix of rank r if and only if $\alpha \geq -\frac{1}{r}$, and in particular for $r = 2$, we get the expected boundary value $\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}$.

For the particular case of the 2-distillability, a significant number of Werner states can be studied with the inequalities (12) and (14) that no longer depend on the dimension. With Theorem 1, we can find some Werner states that are not separable and 2-undistillable for any dimension $d \geq 5$.

Corollary 1. *If $\alpha \geq -\frac{1}{2r}$, then $q^{(2)}(\alpha, C) \geq 0$, for every $C \in L(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$ with rank r . As a consequence, ρ_α is not 2-distillable for $\alpha \geq -\frac{1}{4}$.*

Proof. For $\alpha \geq 0$ the result is clear, so assume that $\alpha < 0$. We bound from below the quadratic form (4) using inequalities (12) and (14)

$$\begin{aligned} q^{(2)}(\alpha, C) &= \|C\|_2^2 + \alpha \left[\|\operatorname{tr}_2 C\|_2^2 + \|\operatorname{tr}_1 C\|_2^2 \right] + \alpha^2 |\operatorname{tr} C|^2 \\ &\geq \|C\|_2^2 + \alpha \left[\|\operatorname{tr}_2 C\|_1^2 + \|\operatorname{tr}_1 C\|_1^2 \right] + \alpha^2 |\operatorname{tr}(C)|^2 \\ &\geq \|C\|_2^2 + 2\alpha \|C\|_1^2 + \alpha^2 |\operatorname{tr} C|^2 \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{r} + 2\alpha \right) \|C\|_1^2 + \alpha^2 |\operatorname{tr} C|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Thus, if $\alpha \geq -\frac{1}{2r}$, we get $q^{(2)}(\alpha, C) \geq 0$. \square

The next result provides an alternative argument to show that if we prove the boundary value for the 2-distillability, then the rest of the parameters higher than the boundary also satisfy the inequality (and the 2-undistillability).

Proposition 1. *The map $\alpha \rightarrow q^{(2)}(\alpha, C)$ is monotonous increasing for $\alpha \in [-\frac{1}{r}, \infty[$.*

Proof. Let $C \in L(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$ $\alpha \geq \beta \geq -\frac{1}{r}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} q^{(2)}(\alpha, C) - q^{(2)}(\beta, C) &= (\alpha - \beta) \left[\|\operatorname{tr}_1 C\|_2^2 + \|\operatorname{tr}_2 C\|_2^2 + (\alpha + \beta) |\operatorname{tr} C|^2 \right] \\ &\geq (\alpha - \beta) \left[\|\operatorname{tr}_1 C\|_2^2 + \|\operatorname{tr}_2 C\|_2^2 - \frac{2}{r} |\operatorname{tr} C|^2 \right] \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

\square

For the rank 1 case, we will show in the next section that we have positivity in $[-1, \infty[$. To prove that $\alpha = -1$ is indeed the boundary, we now look at what happens to $q^{(2)}$, for values $\alpha < -1$. Take $u, v, w \in \mathbb{C}^d$ three normalized vectors with $v \perp w$, and define the matrix $C = |u \otimes v\rangle\langle u \otimes w|$. Then,

$$q^{(2)}(-1 - \varepsilon, C) = 1 - (1 + \varepsilon) = -\varepsilon. \quad (32)$$

For rank 1 and rank 2, the boundary of the inequality (6) cannot be improved, since the 1-distillability of Werner states for $\alpha \in]-1, -\frac{1}{2}[$ implies its n -distillability for $n \geq 2$, then by Theorem 1 there exists a matrix C with rank 2 such that $q^{(n)}(\alpha, C) < 0$. An example of this is shown in Appendix A. For positive matrices, this inequality can actually be improved, since the bound does not depend necessarily on the dimension or the rank (see [13] or [25]), but as we have seen, this changes for the general case. We will discuss in the next section that for higher ranks, this inequality might not be tight anymore, since the dimension of the systems also plays an important role.

4. Partial trace inequalities and dimensional bounds

The motivation for these inequalities on partial traces comes from the study of rank 1 matrices. For the sake of notational simplicity, we will first study the case of the product of two Hilbert spaces.

Proposition 2. *For $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$, the form $q^{(2)}(-1, C)$ is positive for every rank 1 matrix $C \in L(\mathcal{H})$.*

Proof. Let $v, w \in \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$, and write

$$|v\rangle\langle w| = \sum_{i,j=1}^n |v_i^1\rangle\langle w_j^1| \otimes |v_i^2\rangle\langle w_j^2|,$$

where $n = \max\{\dim \mathcal{H}_1, \dim \mathcal{H}_2\}$. Note that we can make this assumption by completing the vector with fewer elements with zeros. Now, we compute all the norms

$$\| |v\rangle\langle w| \|_2^2 = \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n \langle w_j^1, w_l^1 \rangle \langle v_k^1, v_i^1 \rangle \langle w_j^2, w_l^2 \rangle \langle v_k^2, v_i^2 \rangle = \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n \langle v_k^1 \otimes w_j^1, v_i^1 \otimes w_l^1 \rangle \langle v_k^2 \otimes w_j^2, v_i^2 \otimes w_l^2 \rangle \quad (33a)$$

$$\| \text{tr}_1 |v\rangle\langle w| \|_2^2 = \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n \langle w_j^1, v_i^1 \rangle \langle v_k^1, w_l^1 \rangle \langle w_j^2, w_l^2 \rangle \langle v_k^2, v_i^2 \rangle = \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n \langle v_k^1 \otimes w_j^1, w_l^1 \otimes v_i^1 \rangle \langle v_k^2 \otimes w_j^2, v_i^2 \otimes w_l^2 \rangle \quad (33b)$$

$$\| \text{tr}_2 |v\rangle\langle w| \|_2^2 = \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n \langle w_j^1, w_l^1 \rangle \langle v_k^1, v_i^1 \rangle \langle w_j^2, v_i^2 \rangle \langle v_k^2, w_l^2 \rangle = \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n \langle v_k^1 \otimes w_j^1, v_i^1 \otimes w_l^1 \rangle \langle v_k^2 \otimes w_j^2, w_l^2 \otimes v_i^2 \rangle \quad (33c)$$

$$| \text{tr} |v\rangle\langle w| |^2 = \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n \langle w_j^1, v_i^1 \rangle \langle v_k^1, w_l^1 \rangle \langle w_j^2, v_i^2 \rangle \langle v_k^2, w_l^2 \rangle = \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n \langle v_k^1 \otimes w_j^1, w_l^1 \otimes v_i^1 \rangle \langle v_k^2 \otimes w_j^2, w_l^2 \otimes v_i^2 \rangle, \quad (33d)$$

and using $(\mathbb{1} - F)^2 = 2(\mathbb{1} - F)$,

$$\frac{1}{4} \left\| \sum_{k,j=1}^n (v_k^1 \wedge w_j^1) \otimes (v_k^2 \wedge w_j^2) \right\|^2 = \quad (34a)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n \langle v_k^1 \otimes w_j^1, (\mathbb{1} - F)^2 (v_i^1 \otimes w_l^1) \rangle \langle v_k^2 \otimes w_j^2, (\mathbb{1} - F)^2 (v_i^2 \otimes w_l^2) \rangle \quad (34b)$$

$$= \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n \langle v_k^1 \otimes w_j^1, v_i^1 \otimes w_l^1 - w_l^1 \otimes v_i^1 \rangle \langle v_k^2 \otimes w_j^2, v_i^2 \otimes w_l^2 - w_l^2 \otimes v_i^2 \rangle \quad (34c)$$

$$= \| |v\rangle\langle w| \|_2^2 - \| \text{tr}_1 |v\rangle\langle w| \|_2^2 - \| \text{tr}_2 |v\rangle\langle w| \|_2^2 + | \text{tr} |v\rangle\langle w| |^2 \quad (34d)$$

$$= q^{(2)}(-1, |v\rangle\langle w|). \quad (34e)$$

A more simple way to write $q^{(2)}(-1, |v\rangle\langle w|)$ can be obtained as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} q^{(2)}(-1, |v\rangle\langle w|) &= \frac{1}{4} \langle (\mathbb{1} - F) \otimes (\mathbb{1} - F) F_{2,3} v \otimes w, (\mathbb{1} - F) \otimes (\mathbb{1} - F) F_{2,3} v \otimes w \rangle \\ &= \langle v \otimes w, (\mathbb{1} - F_{1,3})(\mathbb{1} - F_{2,4}) v \otimes w \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where F_{ij} is the operator that flips the components i and j . \square

With the same technique of proof, it is possible to generalize this statement to a general $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n$. Let v, w be elements on \mathcal{H} . Write

$$v = \sum_i v_i^1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_i^n, \quad w = \sum_j w_j^1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_j^n, \quad (36)$$

Then, it can be checked by direct computation that

$$q^{(n)}(-1, |v\rangle\langle w|) = \frac{1}{2^n} \left\| \sum_{i,j} (v_i^1 \wedge w_j^1) \otimes \dots \otimes (v_i^n \wedge w_j^n) \right\|^2 \geq 0. \quad (37)$$

For $n = 2$ in (37) we have four possibilities: two antisymmetrizations and we get $q^{(2)}(-1, \cdot)$, one antisymmetrization and one symmetrization and finally two symmetrizations to obtain $q^{(2)}(1, \cdot)$ (but this one is trivial). Thus, given $n \geq 2$, there are 2^n combinations of symmetrizations and antisymmetrizations, and each one has associated one quadratic form. In general, the next definition, inspired by [25], provides a general formula to define this forms on n -partite systems.

Definition 1. Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n$ and $v \in \{0, 1\}^n$, then we define

$$q_v(\alpha, C) = \sum_{J \in P(\{1, 2, \dots, n\})} \alpha^{|J|} (-1)^{(|J| + \sum_{k \in J} v_k)} \| \text{tr}_J C \|_2^2 \quad (38)$$

where $P(X)$ is the power set of X , $\text{tr}_\emptyset = \mathbb{1}$, 0 corresponds to symmetrizations and 1 to antisymmetrizations.

For example, the vector $v_0 = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$, has the associated quadratic form $q_{v_0} = q^{(n)}$ and for $n = 3$, the different classes of forms are

$$q_{(1,1,1)}(\alpha, C) = \|C\|_2^2 + \alpha(\| \text{tr}_1 C \|_2^2 + \| \text{tr}_2 C \|_2^2 + \| \text{tr}_3 C \|_2^2) + \alpha^2(\| \text{tr}_{12} C \|_2^2 + \| \text{tr}_{13} C \|_2^2 + \| \text{tr}_{23} C \|_2^2) + \alpha^3 | \text{tr} C |^2, \quad (39)$$

$$q_{(0,1,1)}(\beta, C) = \|C\|_2^2 + \beta(-\| \text{tr}_1 C \|_2^2 + \| \text{tr}_2 C \|_2^2 + \| \text{tr}_3 C \|_2^2) + \beta^2(-\| \text{tr}_{12} C \|_2^2 - \| \text{tr}_{13} C \|_2^2 + \| \text{tr}_{23} C \|_2^2) - \beta^3 | \text{tr} C |^2, \quad (40)$$

$$q_{(0,0,1)}(\gamma, C) = \|C\|_2^2 + \gamma(-\| \text{tr}_1 C \|_2^2 - \| \text{tr}_2 C \|_2^2 + \| \text{tr}_3 C \|_2^2) + \gamma^2(\| \text{tr}_{12} C \|_2^2 - \| \text{tr}_{13} C \|_2^2 - \| \text{tr}_{23} C \|_2^2) + \gamma^3 | \text{tr} C |^2. \quad (41)$$

By choosing the position of the symmetrizations, one can find 2 forms more like (41), and another 2 more like (40). The conjecture that we are going to discuss from now on is the following:

Conjecture: Let \mathcal{H} be a finite-dimensional Hilbert space that can be decomposed as $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n$, with $\dim(\mathcal{H}_i) = d_i \geq 2$, then for every $C \in L(\mathcal{H})$ and every $v \in \{0, 1\}^n$, $q_v(\alpha, C) \geq 0$ for

$$|\alpha| \leq \alpha_{opt} = \frac{1}{\min\{r, \max\{d_1, \dots, d_n\}\}}. \quad (42)$$

At this point, it should be recalled that the inequalities were originally motivated by Werner states. We will see now with the next result that, in fact, the bound on the dimension of the forms is connected to the separability of the Werner states, while, as we have already seen, the bound on the rank is that associated with the distillability.

Proposition 3. For $|\alpha| \leq \frac{1}{\max\{d_1, \dots, d_n\}}$, the conjecture holds.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n$ with $\dim \mathcal{H}_i = d_i$ and $d = \max_i \{d_i\}$. Let $v \in \{0, 1\}^n$ with associated quadratic form q_v , so q_v can be written as

$$q_v(\alpha, C) = \text{tr}[(\mathbb{1} \pm \alpha F_{A_1 B_1}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\mathbb{1} \pm \alpha F_{A_n B_n})(C \otimes C^*) \tilde{F}], \quad (43)$$

with the corresponding choice of signs, and where \tilde{F} is the flip operator in $(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes n}$. Now, decompose as in Theorem 1, $C = \sum_{i=1}^r |v_i\rangle\langle w_i|$ and obtain again

$$(C \otimes C^* \tilde{F})^{T_1} = |\psi_C\rangle\langle\psi_C|, \quad (44)$$

which allow us to write

$$q_v(\alpha, C) = \langle \psi_C, (\mathbb{1} \pm \alpha F_{A_1 B_1})^{T_1} \otimes \dots \otimes (\mathbb{1} \pm \alpha F_{A_n B_n})^{T_1} \psi_C \rangle. \quad (45)$$

Since for $|\alpha| \leq \frac{1}{d}$ the Werner states are separable ([27]), in particular they are PPT (positive partial transpose) and we conclude that $q_v(\alpha, C) \geq 0$ for $\alpha \geq -\frac{1}{d}$. Finally, the result holds by considering the embedding of $L(\mathcal{H})$ in $L((\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes n})$. \square

For the bound on the dimension, we can reduce any quadratic form with any vector to the case of the subvector containing all the 1's, i.e. it is sufficient to prove the result for a vector of 1 only. For such a decomposition, let $v \in \{0, 1\}^n$, $v \neq (1, \dots, 1)$ and take $u = (v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k})$ the vector of 0's and $W = \{i_{k+1}, \dots, i_n\}$ the position of the 1's, then for $\frac{1}{\max\{d_1, \dots, d_n\}} \geq \alpha \geq 0$

$$q_v(\alpha, C) = \sum_{J \in P(W)} \alpha^{|J|} q_u(\alpha, \text{tr}_J C). \quad (46)$$

Since the forms are repeated two times under the change $\alpha \mapsto -\alpha$, the same holds for $\alpha < 0$. However, such a decomposition is no longer valid for the rank bound, because partial traces do not preserve the rank in general.

5. Rank bounds in bipartite systems

Throughout this section we will assume that the Hilbert space is of the form $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$. However, the following results are also valid for a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n$ and a partition of a set of indices $I, J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$, i.e. $I \cup J = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $I \cap J = \emptyset$, by replacing $1 \rightarrow I$ and $2 \rightarrow J$.

5.1. Partial traces as creation and annihilation operators

This section aims to give a perspective of partial traces through the particle creation and annihilation operators, and also to obtain upper bounds that will be important for next sections and further discussion.

Proposition 4. *Let $c, d \in \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$, then*

$$\mathbb{1}_{d_1} \otimes \text{tr}_1(|c\rangle\langle d|) = \frac{1}{2}[a_+(d)F_{2,4}a_+^*(c) + a_-(d)F_{2,4}a_-^*(c)]|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad (47)$$

$$\text{tr}_2(|c\rangle\langle d|) \otimes \mathbb{1}_{d_2} = \frac{1}{2}[a_+(d)F_{2,4}a_+^*(c) - a_-(d)F_{2,4}a_-^*(c)]|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad (48)$$

where $F_{2,4}$ is the flip operator that exchanges components 2 and 4,

Proof. Write $c = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^1 \otimes c_i^2$ and $d = \sum_{j=1}^n d_j^1 \otimes d_j^2$, where we can assume again that n is the same.

We will prove

$$\mathbb{1}_{d_1} \otimes \text{tr}_1(|c\rangle\langle d|) - \text{tr}_2(|c\rangle\langle d|) \otimes \mathbb{1}_{d_2} = a_-(d)F_{2,4}a_-^*(c)|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad (49)$$

and

$$\mathbb{1}_{d_1} \otimes \text{tr}_1(|c\rangle\langle d|) + \text{tr}_2(|c\rangle\langle d|) \otimes \mathbb{1}_{d_2} = a_+(d)F_{2,4}a_+^*(c)|_{\mathcal{H}}. \quad (50)$$

For the first one, let $x = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^1 \otimes x_k^2$, then

$$[\mathbb{1}_{d_1} \otimes \text{tr}_1(|c\rangle\langle d|) - \text{tr}_2(|c\rangle\langle d|) \otimes \mathbb{1}_{d_2}](x) = \quad (51a)$$

$$= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \langle d_j^1, c_i^1 \rangle \langle d_j^2, x_k^2 \rangle x_k^1 \otimes c_i^1 - \langle d_j^2, c_i^2 \rangle \langle d_j^1, x_k^1 \rangle c_i^1 \otimes x_k^2 \quad (51b)$$

$$= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \langle d_j^1 \otimes d_j^2 | [c_i^1 \otimes x_k^2 \rangle x_k^1 \otimes c_i^2 - |x_k^1 \otimes c_i^2 \rangle c_i^1 \otimes x_k^2] \quad (51c)$$

$$= \langle d | F_{2,4}(|c\rangle x - |x\rangle c) \quad (51d)$$

$$= \langle d | F_{2,4}(\mathbb{1} - F)(c \otimes x) \quad (51e)$$

$$= a_-(d) F_{2,4} a_-^*(c) x. \quad (51f)$$

The inequality (50) is analogous. □

Due to linearity, this result can be extended to any $C \in L(\mathcal{H})$, resulting in the operator $\mathbb{1}_{d_1} \otimes \text{tr}_1(C) - \text{tr}_2(C) \otimes \mathbb{1}_{d_2}$ having a "fermionic character", while that the operator $\mathbb{1}_{d_1} \otimes \text{tr}_1(C) + \text{tr}_2(C) \otimes \mathbb{1}_{d_2}$ has a "bosonic character". From the fermionic one, we can obtain the following result:

Corollary 2. For any matrix $C \in L(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$ with rank r ,

$$\|\mathbb{1}_{d_1} \otimes \text{tr}_1(C) - \text{tr}_2(C) \otimes \mathbb{1}_{d_2}\|_{op} \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i = \|C\|_1, \quad (52)$$

where $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^r$ is the set of singular values of C . In particular, for $c, d \in \mathcal{H}$, then

$$\|\mathbb{1}_{d_1} \otimes \text{tr}_1(|c\rangle\langle d|) - \text{tr}_2(|c\rangle\langle d|) \otimes \mathbb{1}_{d_2}\|_{op} \leq \|c\| \|d\|, \quad (53)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{op}$ denotes the operator norm.

Proof. Follows from the previous proposition, the singular value decomposition, and the fact that $\|a_-(f)\|_{op} = \|a_-^*(f)\|_{op} = \|f\|$ (see [3]) □

5.2. Proof of Theorem 2

First of all, by Proposition 3, the dimension-dependent bounds are proved, so only the bounds with the ranks have to be proved. We will divide the proof into two parts: first, we will prove (5) and then (6).

Proof of Part 1.

Proof. To show inequality (5), we need to show that both $q_{(1,0)}(-\frac{1}{r}, C), q_{(0,1)}(-\frac{1}{r}, C) \geq 0$. We will only show the first, since the other is analogous. Using the singular value decomposition of C , we can write

$$C = \sum_{i=1}^r |v_i\rangle\langle w_i|, \quad (54)$$

where $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^r$ and $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^r$ are orthogonal systems of \mathcal{H} (note that the vectors are not normalized, since they absorb the singular value). For every $1 \leq i \leq r$, we can write

$$q_{(1,0)}\left(-\frac{1}{r}, |v_i\rangle\langle w_i|\right) = \frac{1}{r} q_{(1,0)}(-1, |v_i\rangle\langle w_i|) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) \|v_i\|^2 \|w_i\|^2 + \frac{r-1}{r^2} |\langle v_i, w_i \rangle|^2. \quad (55)$$

Then,

$$q_{(1,0)}\left(-\frac{1}{r}, C\right) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r q_{(1,0)}(-1, |v_i\rangle\langle w_i|) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) \sum_{i=1}^r \|v_i\|^2 \|w_i\|^2 + \frac{r-1}{r^2} \sum_{i=1}^r |\langle v_i, w_i \rangle|^2 + \quad (56a)$$

$$\frac{2}{r} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i>j}}^r \left[\langle \operatorname{tr}_1(|v_i\rangle\langle w_i|), \operatorname{tr}_1(|v_j\rangle\langle w_j|) \rangle - \langle \operatorname{tr}_2(|v_i\rangle\langle w_i|), \operatorname{tr}_2(|v_j\rangle\langle w_j|) \rangle - \frac{1}{r} \langle v_i, w_i \rangle \langle w_j, v_j \rangle \right]. \quad (56b)$$

Now, we bound the partial traces in (56b) as follows

$$\frac{2}{r} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i>j}}^r [\langle \operatorname{tr}_1(|v_i\rangle\langle w_i|), \operatorname{tr}_1(|v_j\rangle\langle w_j|) \rangle - \langle \operatorname{tr}_2(|v_i\rangle\langle w_i|), \operatorname{tr}_2(|v_j\rangle\langle w_j|) \rangle] = \quad (57a)$$

$$= \frac{2}{r} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i>j}}^r \langle |v_i\rangle\langle w_i|, \mathbb{1}_{d_1} \otimes \operatorname{tr}_1(|v_j\rangle\langle w_j|) - \operatorname{tr}_2(|v_j\rangle\langle w_j|) \otimes \mathbb{1}_{d_2} \rangle \quad (57b)$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{r} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i>j}}^r \|v_i\| \|w_i\| \| \mathbb{1}_{d_1} \otimes \operatorname{tr}_1(|v_j\rangle\langle w_j|) - \operatorname{tr}_2(|v_j\rangle\langle w_j|) \otimes \mathbb{1}_{d_2} \|_{op} \quad (57c)$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{r} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i>j}}^r \|v_i\| \|w_i\| \|v_j\| \|w_j\|, \quad (57d)$$

where we used Corollary 2. Finally, if we define the polynomial

$$p_r(x) = (r-1) \sum_{i=1}^r x_i^2 - 2 \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i>j}}^{d_1} x_i x_j = \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i>j}}^r (x_i - x_j)^2 \geq 0, \quad (58)$$

then

$$q_{(1,0)}\left(-\frac{1}{r}, C\right) \geq \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r q_{(1,0)}(-1, |v_i\rangle\langle w_i|) + \frac{1}{r} p_r(\|v_1\| \|w_1\|, \dots, \|v_r\| \|w_r\|) + \frac{1}{r^2} p_r(|\langle v_1, w_1 \rangle|, \dots, |\langle v_r, w_r \rangle|) \quad (59)$$

□

In order to show (6), one could consider using the bosonic creation and annihilation operators as we did in the first part. However, this technique does not work, since these are bounded by the square root of the number operator ([3]), but we will prove it for matrices of the form sum of a rank 1 plus a normal matrix with another technique. To do so, first consider the following operator

$$Q_a^r = |a\rangle\langle a| - \frac{1}{r} (\mathbb{1}_{d_1} \otimes \operatorname{tr}_1(|a\rangle\langle a|) + \operatorname{tr}_2(|a\rangle\langle a|) \otimes \mathbb{1}_{d_2}) + \frac{1}{r^2} \operatorname{tr}(|a\rangle\langle a|), \quad (60)$$

which is self-adjoint. We can obtain bound for the spectral radius on $\ker(|a\rangle\langle a|)$ as follows: let $x \in \ker(|a\rangle\langle a|)$, then

$$\langle x, Q_a^r x \rangle = \frac{1}{r^2} \|a\|^2 \|x\|^2 + |\langle a, x \rangle|^2 - \frac{1}{r} \|\operatorname{tr}_1(|a\rangle\langle x|)\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{r} \|\operatorname{tr}_2(|a\rangle\langle x|)\|_2^2 \quad (61)$$

$$= \frac{1}{r} q^{(2)}(-1, |a\rangle\langle x|) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) |\langle a, x \rangle|^2 - \frac{1}{r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) \|a\|^2 \|x\|^2, \quad (62)$$

and conversely

$$\left\langle x, \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \|a\|^2 - Q_a^r\right) x \right\rangle = \frac{1}{r} \|\operatorname{tr}_1(|a\rangle\langle x|)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{r} \|\operatorname{tr}_2(|a\rangle\langle x|)\|_2^2 \geq 0, \quad (63)$$

so

$$-\frac{1}{r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) \|a\|^2 \leq Q_a^r \leq \frac{1}{r^2} \|a\|^2 \quad (64)$$

on $\ker(|a\rangle\langle a|)$. In particular, if we denote P_{a^\perp} the projection onto $\ker(|a\rangle\langle a|)$, then

$$\tilde{Q}_a^r = P_{a^\perp} Q_a^r P_{a^\perp} \quad (65)$$

is self-adjoint and $\|\tilde{Q}_a^r\|_{op} \leq \frac{1}{r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) \|a\|^2$.

Proof of Part 2.

Proof. In the same way as in the first part of the proof, write the singular valued decomposition of C

$$C = |v_1\rangle\langle w_1| + \sum_{i=2}^r \varepsilon_i |v_i\rangle\langle v_i|, \quad (66)$$

where $\varepsilon_i \in \mathbb{C}$, and we can assume that $|\varepsilon_i| = 1$ for every i , and the vectors v_i and w_1 are not normalized, but we keep the orthogonality of the singular value decomposition. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} q_{(1,1)} \left(-\frac{1}{r}, C \right) &= \frac{1}{r} \left[q_{(1,1)}(-1, |v_1\rangle\langle w_1|) + \sum_{i=2}^r q_{(1,1)}(-1, |v_i\rangle\langle v_i|) \right] + \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) \|v_1\|^2 \|w_1\|^2 - \\ &\frac{r-1}{r^2} |\langle v_1, w_1 \rangle|^2 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)^2 \sum_{i=2}^r \|v_i\|^4 + 2 \sum_{i=2}^r \operatorname{Re} [\varepsilon_i \langle v_1, Q_{v_i}^r w_1 \rangle] + 2 \sum_{\substack{i,j=2 \\ i>j}}^r \operatorname{Re} [\varepsilon_i \bar{\varepsilon}_j \langle v_j, Q_{v_i}^r v_j \rangle]. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

Use now the bound of the operator (65)

$$2 \sum_{i=2}^r \operatorname{Re} [\varepsilon_i \langle v_1, \tilde{Q}_{v_i}^r w_1 \rangle] \geq -2 \frac{1}{r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) \sum_{i=1}^r \|v_1\| \|w_1\| \|v_i\|^2, \quad (68)$$

and

$$2 \sum_{\substack{i,j=2 \\ i>j}}^r \operatorname{Re} [\varepsilon_i \bar{\varepsilon}_j \langle v_j, \tilde{Q}_{v_i}^r v_j \rangle] \geq -2 \sum_{\substack{i,j=2 \\ i>j}}^r \frac{1}{r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) \|v_i\|^2 \|v_j\|^2. \quad (69)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} q_{(1,1)} \left(-\frac{1}{r}, C \right) &\geq \\ &\frac{1}{r} \left[q_{(1,1)}(-1, |v_1\rangle\langle w_1|) + \sum_{i=2}^r q_{(1,1)}(-1, |v_i\rangle\langle v_i|) \right] + \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)^2 \left[\|v_1\|^2 \|w_1\|^2 + \sum_{i=2}^r \|v_i\|^4 \right] + \\ &\frac{r-1}{r^2} (\|v_1\|^2 \|w_1\|^2 - |\langle v_1, w_1 \rangle|^2) - 2 \frac{1}{r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) \sum_{i=1}^r \|v_1\| \|w_1\| \|v_i\|^2 - 2 \sum_{\substack{i,j=2 \\ i>j}}^r \frac{1}{r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) \|v_i\|^2 \|v_j\|^2 \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

using again the polynomial (58). \square

6. Rank bounds in tripartite systems

For tripartite systems, the forms (39)-(41) seem to be challenging even for the normal matrix case. Our strategy will consist of obtaining bounds for operators analogous to (60), and for all three classes of inequalities, we are going to provide one bound for the associated operator. We will need the following result:

Lemma 1. *Let $C \in L(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$ be a positive semidefinite matrix. Then, $\|\mathrm{tr}_1 C\|_2^2 \geq \frac{1}{r} \|\mathrm{tr}_2 C\|_2^2$*

Proof. It can be checked by direct computation that

$$\langle \mathrm{tr}_1(|v\rangle\langle v|), \mathrm{tr}_1(|w\rangle\langle w|) \rangle = \|\mathrm{tr}_2(|v\rangle\langle w|)\|_2^2. \quad (71)$$

for every $v, w \in \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$. Now, Write the spectral decomposition of $C = \sum_{i=1}^r |v_i\rangle\langle v_i|$ and by (71) we get that

$$\|\mathrm{tr}_1(|v_i\rangle\langle v_i|)\|_2 = \|\mathrm{tr}_2(|v_i\rangle\langle v_i|)\|_2, \quad (72)$$

for every $1 \leq i \leq r$. Thus, by (71) again

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathrm{tr}_1 C\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{r} \|\mathrm{tr}_2 C\|_2^2 \geq \\ & \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) \sum_{i=1}^r \|\mathrm{tr}_2(|v_i\rangle\langle v_i|)\|_2^2 + 2 \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i>j}}^r \|\mathrm{tr}_2(|v_i\rangle\langle v_j|)\|_2^2 - \frac{2}{r} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i>j}}^r \|\mathrm{tr}_2(|v_i\rangle\langle v_i|)\|_2 \|\mathrm{tr}_2(|v_j\rangle\langle v_j|)\|_2, \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

and using the positivity of the polynomial (58) the result holds. \square

For the inequality (39) we have to bound the operator

$$\begin{aligned} Q_a^{(3),r} = & |a\rangle\langle a| - \frac{1}{r} (\mathbb{1}_{d_1} \otimes \mathrm{tr}_1(|a\rangle\langle a|) + \mathbb{1}_{d_2} \otimes \mathrm{tr}_2(|a\rangle\langle a|) + \mathrm{tr}_3(|a\rangle\langle a|) \otimes \mathbb{1}_{d_3}) + \\ & \frac{1}{r^2} (\mathbb{1}_{d_1 d_2} \otimes \mathrm{tr}_{12}(|a\rangle\langle a|) + \mathbb{1}_{d_1 d_3} \otimes \mathrm{tr}_{13}(|a\rangle\langle a|) + \mathrm{tr}_{23}(|a\rangle\langle a|) \otimes \mathbb{1}_{d_2 d_3}) - \frac{1}{r^3} \|a\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

This operator satisfies on $\ker(|a\rangle\langle a|)$

$$Q_a^{(3),r} \leq \frac{1}{r^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right). \quad (75)$$

To prove this, we use (71) and the comment at the beginning of Section 4 and we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, -Q_a^{(3),r} x \rangle = & \frac{1}{r^2} q_{-1}^{(3)}(|a\rangle\langle x|) - \frac{1}{r^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) \|a\|^2 \|x\|^2 + \\ & \frac{1}{r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) (\|\mathrm{tr}_{12}(|a\rangle\langle x|)\|^2 + \|\mathrm{tr}_{13}(|a\rangle\langle x|)\|^2 + \|\mathrm{tr}_{23}(|a\rangle\langle x|)\|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

The upper bound (75) is valid for a proof analogous to Theorem 2, but the question that remains is if its tight lower bound can also be valid (maybe including some constraints). To show this, let C be a normal matrix and write its spectral decomposition $C = \sum_{i=1}^r \varepsilon_i |v_i\rangle\langle v_i|$, with $\varepsilon_i \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $|\varepsilon_i| = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} q_{(1,1,1)}^{(3)} \left(-\frac{1}{r}, C\right) = & \frac{(r-1)^2}{r^3} \left((r-1) \sum_{i=1}^r \|v_i\|^4 - 2 \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i>j}}^r \|v_i\|^2 \|v_j\|^2 \right) + 2 \frac{(r-1)^2}{r^3} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i>j}}^r \|v_i\|^2 \|v_j\|^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) \sum_{i=1}^r (3\|v_i\|^4 - \|\mathrm{tr}_1(|v_i\rangle\langle v_i|)\|_2^2 - \|\mathrm{tr}_2(|v_i\rangle\langle v_i|)\|_2^2 - \|\mathrm{tr}_3(|v_i\rangle\langle v_i|)\|_2^2) \\ & + 2 \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i>j}}^r \mathrm{Re} \left[\varepsilon_i \bar{\varepsilon}_j \langle v_i, Q_{v_j}^{(3),r} v_i \rangle \right], \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

and since

$$2\frac{(r-1)^2}{r^3} - 2\left(\frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{1}{r^3}\right) = \frac{2}{r^3}(r^2 - 3r + 2) \quad (78)$$

is non-negative for $r \geq 2$, the upper bound is suitable. As a consequence, for (39) the conjecture holds for rank 2 self-adjoint matrices with one positive and one negative eigenvalue.

For (40), the associated linear operator is

$$P_a^{(3),r} = |a\rangle\langle a| + \frac{1}{r}(\mathbb{1}_{d_1} \otimes \text{tr}_1(|a\rangle\langle a|) - \mathbb{1}_{d_2} \otimes \text{tr}_2(|a\rangle\langle a|) - \text{tr}_3(|a\rangle\langle a|) \otimes \mathbb{1}_{d_3}) + \frac{1}{r^2}(-\mathbb{1}_{d_1 d_2} \otimes \text{tr}_{12}(|a\rangle\langle a|) - \mathbb{1}_{d_1 d_3} \otimes \text{tr}_{13}(|a\rangle\langle a|) + \text{tr}_{23}(|a\rangle\langle a|) \otimes \mathbb{1}_{d_2 d_3}) + \frac{1}{r^3}\|a\|^2. \quad (79)$$

This operator is bounded from below by

$$P_a^{(3),r} \geq \frac{1-r^2}{r^3}\|a\|^2, \quad (80)$$

by computing the expectation value

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, P_a^{(3),r} x \rangle &= \frac{1}{r^2} p_{-1}(|a\rangle\langle x|) + \frac{1-r^2}{r^3}\|a\|^2\|x\|^2 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{r^2}\right)|\langle a, x \rangle|^2 + \\ &\frac{1}{r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) (\|a\|^2\|x\|^2 - \|\text{tr}_{12}(|a\rangle\langle x|)\|^2 - \|\text{tr}_{13}(|a\rangle\langle x|)\|^2 + \|\text{tr}_{23}(|a\rangle\langle x|)\|^2) \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \|a\|^2\|x\|^2 - \|\text{tr}_{12}(|a\rangle\langle x|)\|^2 - \|\text{tr}_{13}(|a\rangle\langle x|)\|^2 + \|\text{tr}_{23}(|a\rangle\langle x|)\|^2 &= \\ = \langle a \otimes x, (1 - F_{1,4}F_{2,5})(1 - F_{1,4}F_{3,6})a \otimes x \rangle \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

The good part of obtaining valid lower bounds for these operators, is that one can automatically prove the result for positive semidefinite matrices, as the next result shows.

Proposition 5. *The conjecture over the class of forms of (40) for $\beta = -\frac{1}{r}$, holds for positive matrices.*

Proof. We will just prove (40), the other 2 are analogous. Let C be a positive matrix with rank r and write its (non-normalized) spectral decomposition $C = \sum_{i=1}^r |v_i\rangle\langle v_i|$, then using (71)

$$\begin{aligned} q_{(0,1,1)}^{(3)}\left(-\frac{1}{r}, C\right) &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{1}{r^3}\right) \sum_{i=1}^r \|v_i\|^4 + 2 \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i>j}}^r \langle v_j, P_{v_i}^{(3),r} v_j \rangle + \\ &\frac{1}{r} \left(1 + \frac{1}{r}\right) \sum_{i=1}^r (\|v_i\|^4 - \|\text{tr}_1(|v_i\rangle\langle v_i|)\|^2 - \|\text{tr}_2(|v_i\rangle\langle v_i|)\|^2 + \|\text{tr}_3(|v_i\rangle\langle v_i|)\|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

To conclude, the first two terms in (83) are positive since they are lower bounded by the expression

$$\frac{r^2-1}{r^3} \left((r-1) \sum_{i=1}^r \|v_i\|^4 - 2 \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i>j}}^r \|v_i\|^2 \|v_j\|^2 \right) \geq 0, \quad (84)$$

and the last one is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2r} \left(1 + \frac{1}{r}\right) \sum_{i=1}^r \langle v_i \otimes v_i, (1 - F_{1,4})(1 - F_{2,5})(1 + F_{3,6})v_i \otimes v_i \rangle \geq 0. \quad (85)$$

□

Finally for (41), using the same strategy it can be proved that for

$$S_a^{(3),r} = |a\rangle\langle a| + \frac{1}{r} (\mathbb{1}_{d_1} \otimes \text{tr}_1(|a\rangle\langle a|) + \mathbb{1}_{d_2} \otimes \text{tr}_2(|a\rangle\langle a|) - \text{tr}_3(|a\rangle\langle a|) \otimes \mathbb{1}_{d_3}) + \frac{1}{r^2} (\mathbb{1}_{d_1 d_2} \otimes \text{tr}_{12}(|a\rangle\langle a|) - \mathbb{1}_{d_1 d_3} \otimes \text{tr}_{13}(|a\rangle\langle a|) - \text{tr}_{23}(|a\rangle\langle a|) \otimes \mathbb{1}_{d_2 d_3}) - \frac{1}{r^3} \|a\|^2, \quad (86)$$

we get the upper bound on $\ker(|a\rangle\langle a|)$

$$S_a^{(3),r} \leq \frac{r^2 - 1}{r^3}, \quad (87)$$

by writing

$$\langle x, -S_a^{(3),r} x \rangle = \frac{1}{r^2} q_{(0,0,1)}(-1, |a\rangle\langle x|) + \frac{1-r^2}{r^3} \|a\|^2 \|x\|^2 + \frac{1}{r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) (\|a\|^2 \|x\|^2 - \|\text{tr}_{23}(|a\rangle\langle x|)\|^2 - \|\text{tr}_{13}(|a\rangle\langle x|)\|^2 + \|\text{tr}_{12}(|a\rangle\langle x|)\|^2). \quad (88)$$

Using the decomposition

$$q_{(0,0,1)}^{(3)}\left(-\frac{1}{r}, C\right) = \frac{r^2 + 1}{r^3} \left((r-1) \sum_{i=1}^r \|v_i\|^4 - 2 \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i>j}}^r \|v_i\|^2 \|v_j\|^2 \right) + 2 \frac{r^2 + 1}{r^3} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i>j}}^r \|v_i\|^2 \|v_j\|^2 + \frac{1}{r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) \sum_{i=1}^r (\|v_i\|^4 + \|\text{tr}_1(|v_i\rangle\langle v_i|)\|^2 + \|\text{tr}_2(|v_i\rangle\langle v_i|)\|^2 - \|\text{tr}_3(|v_i\rangle\langle v_i|)\|^2) + 2 \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i>j}}^r \text{Re}[\varepsilon_i \bar{\varepsilon}_j \langle v_i, S_{v_j}^{(3),r} v_i \rangle] \quad (89)$$

we conclude that the upper bound (87) is valid, since

$$\frac{r^2 + 1}{r^3} - \frac{r^2 - 1}{r^3} = \frac{2}{r^3} > 0, \quad (90)$$

and for (41), the conjecture holds again for rank 2 self-adjoint matrices with one positive and one negative eigenvalue. Moreover, it is possible to prove it for positive matrices by using the Lemma 1 and the decomposition

$$q_{(0,0,1)}\left(-\frac{1}{r}, C\right) = \left(\|C\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{r} \|\text{tr}_3 C\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} \|\text{tr}_{12} C\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{r^3} |\text{tr} C|^2 \right) + \frac{1}{r} \left(\|\text{tr}_1 C\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{r} \|\text{tr}_{23} C\|_2^2 \right) + \frac{1}{r} \left(\|\text{tr}_2 C\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{r} \|\text{tr}_{13} C\|_2^2 \right) \geq 0. \quad (91)$$

7. Inequalities for p-Schatten norms

Finally, in this last section, we set a more general function than (38), which will also depend on the norm and the exponent as follows:

Definition 2. Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n$ and $v \in \{0, 1\}^n$. Define for $p \geq 1$, $\gamma \geq 1$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $C \in L(\mathcal{H})$ and $v \in \{0, 1\}^n$ the map

$$q_v(p, \gamma, \alpha, C) = \sum_{J \in P(\{1, 2, \dots, n\})} \alpha^{|J|} (-1)^{(|J| + \sum_{k \in J} v_k)} \|\text{tr}_J C\|_p^\gamma. \quad (92)$$

The objective is to find new bounds for the inequalities also depending on p , γ , d or r i.e. we want to introduce a function $\alpha_v(p, \gamma, r, d)$ that provides tight bounds for the positivity of (92), and obviously $\alpha_v(2, 2) = \alpha_{opt}$.

For $v = (1)$, the bound for the dimension was actually studied in [26], where it was proved that

$$\|\mathrm{tr}_i C\|_p \leq d^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|C\|_p, \quad (93)$$

and is also possible to obtain a rank bound as follows since the p -Schatten norms are unitarily invariant (see [16], Theorem 7.4.24)

$$\|\mathrm{tr}_i C\|_p \leq \|\mathrm{tr}_i C\|_1 \leq \|C\|_1 \leq \|I_r\|_{p'} \|C\|_p = r^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|C\|_p. \quad (94)$$

Thus,

$$\alpha_{(1)}(p, \gamma, r, d) = \frac{1}{\min \left\{ r^\gamma \frac{p-1}{p}, d^\gamma \frac{p-1}{p} \right\}}. \quad (95)$$

For vectors $v \in \{0, 1\}^n$ that only contain a single 1, it is also possible to provide a trivial bound on the dimension by combining (46) and (93) to get

$$\alpha_v(p, \gamma, d) = \frac{1}{\max\{d_1, \dots, d_n\}^{\gamma \frac{1-p}{p}}}. \quad (96)$$

For the vector $v = \{1, 1\}$ it was proved in [2] that for any state ρ , $\gamma = 1$ and $p > 1$

$$\|\rho\|_p - \|\mathrm{tr}_1 \rho\|_p - \|\mathrm{tr}_2 \rho\|_p + |\mathrm{tr} \rho| \geq 0. \quad (97)$$

However, for fixed dimensions and different values of p and γ and a general matrix C , the next graphic shows the evolution of the dimensional bounds in the systems $\mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$, which seems to have continuous dependence with respect to p and γ




Figure 1. Optimal values for $v = (1, 1)$ for different values of p and γ in $\mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$

This shows that there are large families of partial trace inequalities that remain to be studied.

A. Example of a matrix saturating the form $q^{(n)}$

Let n even and

$$C = |v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n\rangle \langle v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n| - |w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_n\rangle \langle w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_n|, \quad (98)$$

with $\|v_i\| = \|w_i\| = 1$ and $v_i \perp w_i$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. In this case, for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$q^{(n)} \left(-\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon, C \right) = 2 \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \left[\sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{2^{k-m}} \binom{n}{k} \binom{k}{m} \right] \varepsilon^m < 0. \quad (99)$$

By the following Lemma, all the even powers of ε vanish and the odd are negatives. Moreover it goes to zero when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$.

Lemma 2. *If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is even and $m < n$, then*

$$\sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{2^{k-m}} \binom{n}{k} \binom{k}{m} \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if } m = 0 \text{ or } m \text{ is even} \\ < 0 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \quad (100)$$

Proof. The proof follows from the identity

$$\sum_{k=m}^n x^k \binom{n}{k} \binom{k}{m} = \binom{n}{m} x^m (1+x)^{n-m}. \quad (101)$$

Evaluating in $x = -\frac{1}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{2^{k-m}} \binom{n}{k} \binom{k}{m} &= 2^m \sum_{k=m}^n \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^k \binom{n}{k} \binom{k}{m} - \frac{(-1)^n}{2^{n-m}} \binom{n}{m} \\ &= \binom{n}{m} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-m} ((-1)^m - (-1)^n), \end{aligned} \quad (102)$$

and the result holds. \square

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank Prof. Michael M. Wolf for his fruitful discussions and ideas regarding this project, and also thank to Dr. Felix Huber for his help with the bibliography. Moreover, I want to thank the funding by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy-EXC2111-390814868.

References

- [1] <https://oqp.iqoqi.oeaw.ac.at/undistillability-implies-ppt>
- [2] Audenaert, K. (2007). *Subadditivity of q -entropies for $q > 1$* . Journal of Mathematical Physics, 48(8), 083507. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2771542>
- [3] Bratteli, O., & Robinson, D. W. (2013). *Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics II: Equilibrium States Models in Quantum Statistical Mechanics*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- [4] Butterley, P., Sudbery, A., & Szulc, J. (2006). *Compatibility of subsystem states*. Foundations of Physics, 36(1), 83–101. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10701-005-9006-z>
- [5] Chen, L., & Chen, Y. (2008). Rank-three bipartite entangled states are distillable. Physical Review A, 78(2). <https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.78.022318>
- [6] Chen, L. X., & Đoković, D. Ž. (2011). *Distillability and PPT entanglement of low-rank quantum states*. Journal of Physics A, 44(28), 285303. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/44/28/285303>
- [7] Chen, L. X., He, H., Shi, X., & Zhao, L. (2021). *Proving the distillability problem of two-copy 4×4 Werner states for monomial matrices*. Quantum Information Processing, 20(4). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11128-021-03098-w>
- [8] Choi, D. (2017). *Inequalities related to partial transpose and partial trace*. Linear Algebra and Its Applications, 516, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2016.11.027>
- [9] Clarisse, L. (2005). *Characterization of distillability of entanglement in terms of positive maps*. Physical Review A. <https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.71.032332>
- [10] DiVincenzo, D. P., Shor, P. W., Smolin, J. A., Terhal, B. M., & Thapliyal, A. V. (2000). *Evidence for bound entangled states with negative partial transpose*. Physical Review A, 61(6). <https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.61.062312>

- [11] Đoković, D. Ž. (2016). *On Two-Distillable Werner States*. *Entropy*, 18(6), 216. <https://doi.org/10.3390/e18060216>
- [12] Dür, W., Cirac, J. I., Lewenstein, M., & Bruß, D. (2000). *Distillability and partial transposition in bipartite systems*. *Physical Review A*, 61(6). <https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.61.062313>
- [13] Eltschka, C., Huber, F., Gühne, O., & Siewert, J. (2018). *Exponentially many entanglement and correlation constraints for multipartite quantum states*. *Physical Review*, 98(5). <https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.98.052317>
- [14] Fu, X., Lau, P., & Tam, T. (2020). *Inequalities on partial traces of positive semidefinite block matrices*. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 64(4), 964–969. <https://doi.org/10.4153/s0008439520000971>
- [15] Hall, W. B. (2005). *Multipartite reduction criteria for separability*. *Physical Review A*, 72(2). <https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.72.022311>
- [16] Horn, R. A., & Johnson, C. R. (1985). *Matrix analysis*. Cambridge University Press.
- [17] Huber, F. (2021). *Positive maps and trace polynomials from the symmetric group*. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 62(2). <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0028856>
- [18] Horodecki, M., Horodecki, P., & Horodecki, R. (1996). *Separability of mixed states: necessary and sufficient conditions*. *Physics Letters A*, 223(1–2), 1–8. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0375-9601\(96\)00706-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0375-9601(96)00706-2)
- [19] Horodecki, M., Horodecki, P., & Horodecki, R. (1998). *Mixed-State Entanglement and Distillation: Is there a “Bound” Entanglement in Nature?* *Physical Review Letters*, 80(24), 5239–5242. <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.80.5239>
- [20] Horodecki, P., Rudnicki, Ł., & Życzkowski, K. (2022). *Five Open Problems in Quantum Information Theory*. *PRX Quantum*, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.1103/prxquantum.3.010101>
- [21] Lewenstein, M., Bruss, D., Cirac, J. I., Kraus, B., Kus, M., Samsonowicz, J., Sanpera, A., & Tarrach, R. (2000). *Separability and distillability in composite quantum systems—a primer*. *Journal of Modern Optics*, 47(14–15), 2481–2499. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500340008232176>
- [22] Li, Y., Liu, W., & Huang, Y. (2021). *A new matrix inequality involving partial traces*. *Operators and Matrices*, 3, 1189–1199. <https://doi.org/10.7153/oam-2021-15-75>
- [23] Pankowski, Ł., Piani, M., Horodecki, M., & Horodecki, P. (2010). *A Few Steps More Towards NPT Bound Entanglement*. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 56(8), 4085–4100. <https://doi.org/10.1109/tit.2010.2050810>
- [24] Qian, L., Chen, L., Chu, D., & Shen, Y. (2021). *A matrix inequality for entanglement distillation problem*. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 616, 139–177. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2021.01.006>
- [25] Rains, E. M. (2000b). *Polynomial invariants of quantum codes*. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 46(1), 54–59. <https://doi.org/10.1109/18.817508>
- [26] Rastegin, A. E. (2012). *Relations for Certain Symmetric Norms and Anti-norms Before and After Partial Trace*. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 148(6), 1040–1053. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-012-0569-8>
- [27] Vianna, R. O., & Doherty, A. C. (2006). *Distillability of Werner states using entanglement witnesses and robust semidefinite programs*. *Physical Review A*, 74(5). <https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.74.052306>