

Excitation signatures of isochorically heated electrons in solids at finite wavenumber explored from first principles

Zhandos A. Moldabekov,^{1,2,*} Thomas D. Gawne,^{1,2} Sebastian Schwalbe,^{1,2}
Thomas R. Preston,³ Jan Vorberger,² and Tobias Dornheim^{1,2}

¹*Center for Advanced Systems Understanding (CASUS), D-02826 Görlitz, Germany*

²*Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf (HZDR), D-01328 Dresden, Germany*

³*European XFEL, D-22869 Schenefeld, Germany*

Ultrafast heating of solids with modern X-ray free electron lasers (XFELs) leads to a unique set of conditions that is characterized by the simultaneous presence of heated electrons in a cold ionic lattice. In this work, we analyze the effect of electronic heating on the dynamic structure factor (DSF) in bulk Aluminium (Al) with a face-centered cubic lattice and in silicon (Si) with a crystal diamond structure using first-principles linear-response time-dependent density functional theory simulations. We find a thermally induced red shift of the collective plasmon excitation in both materials. In addition, we show that the heating of the electrons in Al can lead to the formation of a double-plasmon peak due to the extension of the Landau damping region to smaller wavenumbers. Finally, we demonstrate that thermal effects generate a measurable and distinct signature (peak-valley structure) in the DSF of Si at small frequencies. Our simulations indicate that there are a variety of new features in the spectrum of X-ray-driven solids, specifically at finite momentum transfer, which can be probed in upcoming X-ray Thomson scattering (XRTS) experiments at various XFEL facilities.

I. INTRODUCTION

First-principles simulation methods are well established for the theory of solids at ambient conditions where the electrons are in their respective ground state, enabling the prediction of the electronic and structural characteristics of a variety of materials [1–3]. In contrast, the properties of the hot, correlated electrons generated by laser heating of solids remain substantially less explored. Such systems are located in the *warm dense matter* (WDM) regime [4–6], which also naturally occurs in a host of astrophysical objects [7–9], and as a transient state in technological applications such as laser fusion [10, 11]. In WDM, the thermal energy of electrons is comparable with the Fermi energy and, at the same time, inter-particle correlations remain strong [12]. This is different from ordinary plasma states where thermal and quantum kinetic effects dominate over correlation effects. Recent breakthroughs in inertial confinement fusion research [13], as well as advances in hot-electron chemistry [14] and material science [15, 16] have rendered the accurate understanding of WDM a highly active frontier.

Although the typical parameters (e.g. time scales) in inertial fusion applications differ from the WDM conditions that we study here, an accurate description of WDM is needed to understand the full compression path of a fuel capsule [10]. In practice, however, the theoretical understanding of WDM states remains limited due to the complex interplay between exchange-correlation effects, quantum degeneracy, and thermal excitations. Testing existing theories and approximations requires reliable ex-

perimental data with well enough defined system parameters. In WDM experiments, two types of systems can be distinguished depending on the time scale of measurements: those with fully equilibrated electrons and ions [17, 18], and those in non-equilibrium [19–21]. A particularly interesting sub-set of non-equilibrium is given by effective two-temperature systems where both the electrons and ions are approximately in a local state of equilibrium, but with two different temperatures [22, 23]. Such experiments can be performed at X-ray free electron laser (XFEL) facilities such as the European XFEL [24, 25] in Germany and LCLS at SLAC [26, 27] in the USA, and rely on high brightness sources with narrow bandwidth. At these facilities, the ultrafast isochoric heating of solids with XFELs can create a transient state of matter that features hot electrons within the still cold crystal structure of the ions [28, 29]. Since the system density and lattice parameters are well-defined, such experiments can provide valuable data for validating state-of-the-art theories and simulation methods for electronic structures in the WDM regime.

For X-ray-driven heating, the incident X-rays cannot directly interact with the ions. Ion heating is therefore primarily driven by electron-phonon interactions which occur on the timescales of several picoseconds [30–32]. As typical free electron laser (FEL) pulses have sub-100 fs duration, the ions remain cold during the FEL pulse [28]. Evidently, the extreme conditions and short timescales render the rigorous diagnostics of these exotic states challenging. In this work, we focus on the X-ray Thomson scattering (XRTS) technique [33, 34], which can meet these demands by effectively measuring the electronic dynamic structure factor (DSF) $S_{ee}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$. Indeed, XRTS has emerged as a standard diagnostic method for WDM experiments, as it is, in principle, capable of giving one access to important system parameters such as the tem-

* z.moldabekov@hzdr.de

perature, density, and ionization [35–37].

From a practical perspective, the properties of hot electrons in the crystal structure of the ions are relevant for hot-electron chemistry [14, 38]. Moreover, the very controlled conditions and potentially unrivaled diagnostic capabilities (such as high brilliance [27], high resolution [29, 39], and high repetition rate [25]) at XFEL facilities makes such experiments a much needed rigorous benchmark for dynamical simulation methods for the description of WDM systems.

Often, the uniform electron gas (UEG) model [6, 40] is used to provide a qualitative picture of the electrons in metals [41, 42]. For example, in bulk Aluminium (Al) with a face-centered cubic (fcc) lattice, the dependence of the plasmon dispersion on wavenumber has a similar functional form to that of the UEG [43]. However, the plasma frequency of valence electrons in fcc Al $\omega_p \simeq 15$ eV differs from that of the UEG $\omega_p \simeq 16$ eV at the same density. The free electron model becomes quantitatively accurate at high temperatures in plasmas as the electron-ion coupling diminishes. Therefore, one can expect the response properties of electrons in metals to approach that of a free electron gas with increasing temperature. In the present work, we compute the DSF of isochorically heated electrons using first-principles linear-response time-dependent density-functional theory (LR-TDDFT) simulations [44] to quantify the change in the XRTS signal with increasing temperature. Specifically, we consider the crystal structure of fcc Al and crystal diamond silicon (Si).

Several interesting features emerge due to thermal excitations in both materials. Surprisingly, we observe that the DSF of Al remains quantitatively different from the UEG model even at relatively high temperatures in the range of up to $T \sim 10$ eV. Furthermore, we report several interesting observations emerging due to thermal excitations: (i) Our LR-TDDFT results show a reduction of the plasma frequency in Si and Al at small wavenumbers with increasing temperatures up to a few eV; (ii) We observe the formation of a double-plasmon peak in Al caused by the shift of the Landau damping region to smaller wavenumbers; (iii) A thermal-excitation induced signature appears in the DSF of Si at small energies, which is absent in the ground state. Finally, we discuss the feasibility of detecting these signatures in experiments at XFEL facilities such as the European XFEL (Germany), LCLS (USA), and SACLA (Japan). Our study can serve as a guide for the planning of upcoming experiments and clearly indicate the required specifications to resolve the predicted effects with high confidence.

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we describe the simulation method and details. After that the results are presented in Sec. III. We discuss the feasibility of measuring the features induced by thermal excitations in Sec. IV. We conclude the paper by summarizing our findings and providing an outlook.




FIG. 1. Illustration of an XRTS setup in experiments where the XFEL is used to probe a target. The XFEL beam with frequency (energy) $\hbar\omega_0$ and wavenumber \mathbf{q}_0 is scattered at an angle θ and detected by a spectrometer providing spectrally resolved XRTS data. The frequency ω and the wavenumber \mathbf{q} (i.e., momentum transfer) in the DSF in Eq. (1) are defined by energy and momentum conservation: $\hbar\omega = \hbar\omega_0 - \hbar\omega_s$ and $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}_0 - \mathbf{q}_s$.

II. METHODS AND SIMULATION DETAILS

The double-differential cross-section that is measured in an XRTS experiment (as illustrated in Fig. 1) is directly proportional to the electronic DSF [45],

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\sigma}}{\partial \Omega \partial \omega_s} \right]_{\text{XRTS}} = \frac{\omega_s}{\omega_0} \frac{\partial \tilde{\sigma}}{\partial \Omega} \Big|_{\text{T}} S_{ee}(\mathbf{q}, \omega), \quad (1)$$

where $\partial \tilde{\sigma} / \partial \Omega|_{\text{T}}$ is the differential Thomson cross-section with respect to the solid angle, ω_s is the frequency of the scattered X-rays, and ω_0 is the frequency of the incident XFEL beam. In practice, an additional difficulty comes from the effects of the employed detector and the finite width of the X-ray laser beam that are described by the combined source-and-instrument function $R(\omega)$. The actually detected XRTS intensity can then be expressed by a convolution of $S_{ee}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ with $R(\omega)$,

$$I(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = S_{ee}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) \otimes R(\omega). \quad (2)$$

The total DSF $S_{ee}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ can be further decomposed into a quasi-elastic contribution $S_{\text{el}}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) \sim \delta(\omega)$ due to the bound electrons and the screening cloud [46], and the inelastic contribution $S_{\text{inel}}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ that contains transitions between free and bound electrons in any combination [47]. By definition, the quasi-elastic part of the intensity emerges in the limit of small ω and its shape is defined by $R(\omega)$. For example, at the SASE2 undulator of the European XFEL, a self-seeded XFEL beam with narrow bandwidth $\Omega < 1$ eV is routinely achieved [24], with the shape being nearly Gaussian. In this work, we compute the inelastic part of the total DSF using the LR-TDDFT method. In the following, we will simply denote it as $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$. The quasi-elastic part can be computed if the static structure factors for different pairs of the particle species are known [46], e.g., using KS-DFT based molecular dynamics simulations.

A. LR-TDDFT calculations

The DSF is computed from the fluctuation-dissipation theorem [42, 48],

$$S(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = -\frac{\hbar^2 q^2}{4\pi^2 e^2 n} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\hbar\omega/k_B T}} \text{Im}[\epsilon_M^{-1}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)], \quad (3)$$

where $\epsilon_M(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ is the macroscopic dielectric function.

Within LR-TDDFT, the inverse of the macroscopic dielectric function is defined as the diagonal part of the inverse microscopic dielectric matrix $\epsilon_M^{-1}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = [\epsilon^{-1}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)]_{\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}}$, where $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{G} + \mathbf{k}$ and \mathbf{G} is a reciprocal lattice vector [49, 50].

The inverse microscopic dielectric matrix is computed from the microscopic density response function [44],

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{G},\mathbf{G}'}^{-1}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \delta_{\mathbf{G},\mathbf{G}'} + \frac{4\pi}{|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}|^2} \chi_{\mathbf{G},\mathbf{G}'}(\mathbf{k}, \omega), \quad (4)$$

with \mathbf{k} being restricted to the first Brillouin zone.

The Fourier coefficients $\chi_{\mathbf{G},\mathbf{G}'}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ of the density response matrix are the solution of a Dyson's type equation [50, 51]:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}'}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) &= \chi_{\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}'}^0(\mathbf{k}, \omega) + \sum_{\mathbf{G}_1\mathbf{G}_2} \chi_{\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}_1}^0(\mathbf{k}, \omega) [v_{\mathbf{G}_1}(\mathbf{k}) \delta_{\mathbf{G}_1\mathbf{G}_2} \\ &\quad + K_{\mathbf{G}_1\mathbf{G}_2}^{\text{xc}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)] \chi_{\mathbf{G}_2\mathbf{G}'}(\mathbf{k}, \omega), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $\chi_{\mathbf{G},\mathbf{G}'}^0(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ is an ideal (non-interacting) density response function computed using Kohn-Sham (KS) orbitals [52], $v_{\mathbf{G}_1}(\mathbf{k}) = 4\pi/|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}_1|^2$ is the Coulomb potential in reciprocal-space, and $K_{\mathbf{G}_1\mathbf{G}_2}^{\text{xc}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ is the exchange-correlation (XC) kernel defined as functional derivative of the exchange-correlation potential [53].

For real materials, the full dynamic XC-kernel is generally unknown. In this situation, LR-TDDFT with a static (adiabatic) XC kernel $K_{\mathbf{G}_1\mathbf{G}_2}^{\text{xc}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega = 0)$ in the local density approximation (ALDA) often provides accurate results for the plasmon dispersion that are in agreement with experiments for Al [54, 55] and Si [3, 56] at ambient conditions. Moreover, the ALDA approximation was successfully used by Mo *et al.* [23] to describe the XRTS spectrum of Al in the WDM regime [57]. Therefore, we employ the ALDA in the current work, and the presented results can potentially be refined either using more advanced static XC kernels [58–61] or available approximations for a dynamic XC kernel [51, 62, 63]. We note that an alternative approach to LR-TDDFT for computing the DSF within the realm of DFT is the real-time TDDFT (RT-TDDFT) method [64]. If the same XC functional were used in both, RT-TDDFT and LR-TDDFT are formally equivalent for linear response properties [44].

B. Simulation parameters

The LR-TDDFT simulations have been performed using the GPAW code [65–70], which is a real-space implementation of the projector augmented-wave (PAW) method [71]. The lattice parameters of fcc Al and crystal diamond Si were set to $a = 4.05$ Å and $a = 5.431$ Å, respectively (according to experimental observations) [72]. The energy cutoff is set to 1000 eV for both Al and Si. The XC functional in all calculations was set to the ground-state LDA by Perdew and Wang [73]. PAW datasets provided by GPAW have been used.

In the case of Al, for the DSF calculations along the [001] crystallographic direction, we set the k -point grid to $40 \times 40 \times 55$. In the DSF simulations along the direction [011], we set the k -point grid to $54 \times 54 \times 54$. For Al, we consider a temperature range from 0.025 eV up to 10 eV. For the considered primitive cell, we used $N_b = 100$ KS bands at $T < 5$ eV, $N_b = 200$ at $5 \leq T < 9$ eV, and $N_b = 350$ at $T \geq 9$ eV. The local field effect cutoff included in the dielectric function was set to 120 eV. The Lorentzian smearing parameter of the calculations for Al was set to $\eta = 0.05$ eV. For Al, we consider wavenumbers in the range from 0.05 Å⁻¹ up to 1.21 Å⁻¹.

In the case of Si, for the considered primitive cell, we used $N_b = 100$ for $T = 0.025$ eV, $T = 2$ eV, and $T = 4$ eV. For the direction [001] we used $\eta = 0.05$ eV with $20 \times 20 \times 42$ and $40 \times 40 \times 40$ k -point grids. For the direction [111], the grid was set to $80 \times 80 \times 80$ and $\eta = 0.1$ eV. The local field effect cutoff in the dielectric function was set to 150 eV. For Si, we consider wavenumbers in the range from 0.0275 Å⁻¹ up to 1.5 Å⁻¹.

In all cases, the ions have been treated as frozen in their crystal lattice positions. This approximation is justified by the electron-phonon interaction time being long compared to typical FEL pulse durations, with the entire scattering signal being produced while the pulse is incident on the target. The ions, therefore, remain cold during the scattering process, and the displacement of a cold ion during the scattering time is negligible.

III. RESULTS

A. Aluminium

We start our discussion by analysing the results for Al. In Fig. 2, we show the DSF at $T = 0.025$ eV, $T = 3$ eV, and $T = 6$ eV for \mathbf{q} in the direction [001] and six different absolute values in the range from 0.195 Å⁻¹ up to 1.172 Å⁻¹. In the quantum many-body theory, the relative change in the width of the DSF (e.g., at half maximum) with increasing wavenumber or temperature is often used as a characteristic of the change in the lifetime of the plasmon quasiparticle [41]. In the ground state (ambient conditions), a plasmon is well-defined up to the plasmon cutoff wavenumber $q_c(T = 0) \simeq 1.3$ Å⁻¹ [55]. At $q > q_c(T = 0)$, the plasmon enters the electron-




FIG. 2. Results for fcc Al along the [001] direction for the ground state with $T = 0.025$ eV, and heated electrons with $T = 3$ eV and $T = 6$ eV. The wavenumber values are given in the units of \AA^{-1} .

hole continuum, where it significantly broadens and effectively decays. As a result, it makes sense to speak about a plasmon dispersion only at $q < q_c$, where the DSF maximum defines the plasmon energy [74]. From Fig. 2, one can see that increasing the temperature makes the DSF broader and leads to a decrease in its magnitude. Therefore, thermal excitations contribute to the plasmon broadening (i.e. dissipation) at all wavenumbers. At $T = 6$ eV, the plasmon peak becomes ill-defined already at $q \simeq 0.8 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ (i.e., we have $q_c(T) \simeq 0.8 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$). Accordingly, we conclude that the plasmon cutoff wavenumber reduces when the temperature is increased, which is the result of the Landau damping, i.e., the loss of energy of collective motion to the excitation of individual particles

[75–77].

We note that the dimensionless scattering parameter defined as $\alpha = 1/(q\lambda_s)$ is used to characterize the XRTS scattering regime in plasmas [78] (with λ_s being the characteristic screening length of a test particle in plasmas [79, 80]). For completeness, we note that for $\alpha = 1$ we have the wavenumber values $q_{\alpha=1} = 2.1 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ at $T = 0.025$ eV, $q_{\alpha=1} \simeq 2 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ at $T = 3$ eV, and $q_{\alpha=1} \simeq 1.88 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ at $T = 6$ eV, where λ_s is evaluated using the Thomas-Fermi screening length. Therefore, at considered wavenumbers we have $q < q_{\alpha=1}$, i.e., a collective scattering regime.

Next, we clearly see that the increase in temperature leads to a shift of the DSF maximum position to higher

energies for $0.391 \text{ \AA}^{-1} \leq q < 0.8 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$. This is expected behavior similar to that of the UEG [81]. However, at $q = 0.195 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$, we notice that the maximum of the DSF at $T = 3 \text{ eV}$ is located at slightly smaller energies compared to the DSF maximum at $T = 0.025 \text{ eV}$. Although subtle, this is a rather surprising effect, which does not emerge in the UEG model where the plasmon energy in the limit $q \rightarrow 0$ is independent of temperature. To get a better picture of this heating-induced red shift in the plasmon energy, we plot the plasmon dispersion in Fig. 3 for $0.05 \text{ \AA}^{-1} \leq q \leq 0.782 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ at $T = 0.025 \text{ eV}$, $T = 3 \text{ eV}$, and $T = 6 \text{ eV}$. We use the Bohm-Gross type dispersion relation to fit the results from LR-TDDFT simulations for the plasmon energy [81, 82],

$$\omega^2(q) = \omega_p^2 + \alpha q^2, \quad (6)$$

where ω_p is the plasma frequency defined in the limit of $q \rightarrow 0$, and α is a fitting parameter.

Within the LR-TDDFT calculations, the use of the Lorentzian smearing parameter η leads to a broadening of the DSF introducing an uncertainty of the order of η in plasmon the dispersion [83]. In Fig. 3, we depict error bars for the plasmon positions as $\pm\eta$. One can see that the plasmon dispersion has the Bohm-Gross type dispersion at all considered temperatures. At $T = 0.025 \text{ eV}$, the plasmon dispersion of Al is in agreement both with previous LR-TDDFT results and experimental data [54]. Increasing the temperature to 3 eV and further to 6 eV , we observe that the plasmon energy at $q \rightarrow 0$ becomes slightly reduced.

To get more information about the temperature dependence of the plasmon energy at small wavenumbers, we show the DSF (top panel) and the plasmon energy (bottom panel) at $q = 0.05 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ for fourteen different temperatures in the range $0.025 \text{ eV} \leq T \leq 10 \text{ eV}$ in Fig. 4. In the top panel, the DSF curves are rescaled for better visualization of the change in the maximum position to have the same width at half maximum keeping the maximum position unaltered. In the bottom panel, we show the dependence of the plasmon energy at $q = 0.05 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ on the temperature and provide a quantification of the uncertainty due to the Lorentzian smearing. The vertical dashed line at $E_F = 6.9 \text{ eV}$ indicates the Fermi energy (level) from the KS-DFT calculations. At $T < E_F$, we see that the plasmon energy at $q = 0.05 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ indeed reduces with increasing T . The largest value of the red shift in the plasmon energy due to thermal excitations is close to $\delta\omega_p \simeq 0.1 \text{ eV}$. At $T \gtrsim E_F$, the plasmon energy starts to increase with T . The vertical solid line at $T_c = 7.72 \text{ eV}$ specifies where the chemical potential of the valence electrons becomes negative (i.e., at $T > T_c$). For comparison, the chemical potential of the UEG at the same density becomes negative at $T \gtrsim 13.75 \text{ eV}$. Finally, the finite-temperature plasmon energy $\omega_p(T)$ becomes larger than the ground-state plasmon energy $\omega_p(T = 0)$ at $T \gtrsim T_c$. We note that at all considered temperatures up to 10 eV , the plasmon energy remains smaller than the plasmon energy computed using the UEG model ($\omega_p = 16 \text{ eV}$).




FIG. 3. Plasmon dispersion along the direction [001] in fcc Al at different temperatures. Solid lines are fit with Bohm-Gross type quadratic dispersion. The error bars depict the uncertainty $\pm 0.05 \text{ eV}$ introduced by the Lorentzian smearing.




FIG. 4. Top panel: the results for the DSF of electrons in fcc Al along the [001] direction. Bottom panel: the dependence of the plasmon energy on temperature. The results are presented for $q = 0.05 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ as $0.025 \text{ eV} \leq T \leq 10 \text{ eV}$. In the bottom panel, the uncertainty $\pm 0.05 \text{ eV}$ due to the Lorentzian smearing is depicted by vertical lines. The colormap in the bottom panel provides a visual representation of a blue and red shift in the plasmon energy compared to the ground state.




FIG. 5. Top panel: the DSF of electrons in fcc Al along the [011] direction. Bottom panel: the dependence of the plasmon and the double-plasmon excitation energy on temperature, where the vertical lines indicate the uncertainty ± 0.05 eV in the maximum location due to the Lorentzian smearing. The results are presented for $q = 1.21 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ at $0.025 \text{ eV} \leq T \leq 4 \text{ eV}$. The colormap in the bottom panel provides a visual representation of a blue and red shift of the peaks in the double-plasmon feature compared to the ground state.

The DSF of electrons in fcc Al is nearly isotropic at small wavenumbers $q < 1 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ and becomes anisotropic at large wavenumbers $q \gtrsim 1 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ [84]. As an example of the ion-lattice induced anisotropy, we show the DSF for the [011] direction at $q = 1.21 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ for $0.025 \text{ eV} \leq T \leq 4 \text{ eV}$ in Fig. 5. At $T = 0.025 \text{ eV}$, we have a well-defined plasmon peak since $q < q_c$. In addition, we see a smaller well-visible peak at $\omega \simeq 7.7 \text{ eV}$. This structure was observed in experiments [85] and was shown to be entirely due to lattice effects in previous LR-TDDFT based studies [55]. From Fig. 5, we further see that the peak at $\omega \simeq 7.7 \text{ eV}$ is only weakly affected by thermal excitations at $T \leq 4 \text{ eV}$. A much more interesting result of thermal effects is the emergence of a double-plasmon excitation with the increase in temperature. The double-plasmon excitation emerges already at $T = 1 \text{ eV}$ and becomes more distinct up to $T = 3 \text{ eV}$. At $T = 4 \text{ eV}$, we observe that the DSF further splits into more local peaks. The onset of such splitting into multiple peaks is already possible to detect at $T = 2 \text{ eV}$. A similar effect has been observed experimentally in the ground state as well as using LR-TDDFT simulations at $q > q_c$ [55, 85, 86], where it was interpreted to be a band-structure effect. It is known that the adiabatic approximation for the XC-kernel tends to over-




FIG. 6. Results for Si along the [001] direction for the ground state with $T = 0.025 \text{ eV}$, and heated electrons with $T = 2 \text{ eV}$ and $T = 4 \text{ eV}$.

amplify the double-plasmon excitation and the use of dynamic kernels somewhat smooths out the split but is unable to wash out it entirely [55]. In the ground state, the splitting of the plasmon peak to form the double-plasmon excitation starts to take place with increasing wavenumber after entering the electron-hole continuum at $q > q_c$, i.e., where the plasmon becomes strongly damped. As we discussed earlier and showed in Fig. 2, the increase in temperature moves the plasmon-damping region to the smaller wavenumbers. Therefore, the increase in temperature at fixed wavenumber pushes the DSF closer to the plasmon-damping region resulting in the emergence of the double-plasmon excitation. In the bottom panel of Fig. 5, we show the dependence of the plasmon and the double-plasmon peak positions on temperature. From this figure, we see that at $1 \text{ eV} \leq T \leq 4 \text{ eV}$, the segregation of peaks of the double-plasmon is the order of 1 eV . This is within the range of the experimental accuracy at the European XFEL as we discuss it is Sec. IV.




FIG. 7. Results for Si along the [001] direction at $q = 0.05 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ (top panel) and the [111] direction at $q = 1.5 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ (bottom panel). The results are presented for $0.025 \text{ eV} \leq T \leq 4 \text{ eV}$. Here we use colors to differentiate between varying temperatures.

B. Silicon

To see whether the above-presented results for the thermal excitations in Al can occur in semiconductors, next we consider Si. We note that laser irradiation-induced heating of electrons can lead to lattice instability due to the weakening of the silicon bond [87, 88]. Therefore, we consider relatively low electronic temperatures $T \leq 4 \text{ eV}$. First, we show in Fig. 6 the DSF of the electrons in Si in the direction [001] at $T = 0.025 \text{ eV}$, $T = 2 \text{ eV}$, and $T = 4 \text{ eV}$ for wavenumbers in the range $0.0275 \text{ \AA}^{-1} \leq q \leq 0.88 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ ($q < q_c \simeq 1.14 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$); it is less sensitive to temperature changes compared to that in Al. Indeed, the increase in the temperature to 4 eV almost has no effect on the DSF width (e.g., at half maximum). In contrast, we observed significant broadening already at 3 eV for Al. In this regard, we note that the DSF maximum in Si is usually referred to as a plasmon, but does not follow the Bohm-Gross type dispersion at

small wavenumbers [89]. For completeness, we mention that our LDA-based calculations yielded the Fermi energy 5.37 eV and a band gap of 0.5 eV at ambient conditions. We note that the LDA-based calculations underestimate the band gap value about two times. Nevertheless, this is not problematic for the DSF at finite wavenumbers, where the LR-TDDFT based on the LDA provides an accurate description of the experimental XRTS signal at a finite momentum transfer [3]. This is in contrast to the properties in the optical limit, e.g., for the absorption spectra [90].

From Fig. 6, we notice two interesting features that appear with the increase in the temperature: (i) a slight red shift of the plasmon energy at 0.0275 \AA^{-1} and (ii) the increase of the DSF values at small frequencies (particularly noticeable at $q = 0.88 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$). From Fig. 6, we see that the red shift of the plasmon energy diminishes with the increase in the wavenumber. Similar behavior we observed for Al (see Fig. 3).

To gain more insight on the former effect, we show results for the DSF for $q = 0.05 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ at five different temperatures in the range $0.025 \text{ eV} \leq T \leq 4 \text{ eV}$ in the top panel of Fig. 7. We can clearly see the red shift of the plasmon energy due to thermal excitations. For Si, the red shift reaches $\delta\omega_p \simeq 1 \text{ eV}$, which is much larger than the uncertainty $\pm 0.1 \text{ eV}$ introduced by the Lorentzian smearing. Also, we note that the plasmon red shift in Si ($\delta\omega_p \simeq 1 \text{ eV}$) is more significant than in Al ($\delta\omega_p \simeq 0.1 \text{ eV}$). In addition, the results for Si and Al indicate that the red shift of the plasmon energy due to thermal excitations is a generic effect for both semiconductors and metals.

Finally, we consider the direction [111], which was extensively studied at ambient conditions experimentally and theoretically [3, 56, 89]. In the bottom panel of Fig. 7, we provide results for the DSF in the direction [111] at $q = 1.5 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$. The main broad peak of the DSF in the bottom panel of Fig. 7 is usually referred to as a plasmon, although it has some fine structure due to band-structure effects at $q > q_c$. The second peak to the left of the plasmon is the so-called plasmon-Fano resonance at around 15 eV originating from local-field effects [3, 56, 89]. The thermal excitations broaden the width of the plasmon and the plasmon-Fano resonance but do not have a noteworthy effect on their positions. At low energies $\omega < 5 \text{ eV}$, one can clearly see a signature induced by thermal excitations. This increase in the DSF at low energies due to thermal effects at $T = 1 \text{ eV}$ ($T = 4 \text{ eV}$) reaches approximately 10% (20%) of the magnitude of the main plasmon peak. This is in contrast to the DSF in the [001] direction shown in Fig. 6, where these thermal features are rather weak and do not exceed 5% of the magnitude of the plasmon peak. Physically, these features might originate from transitions between accumulated states located closely above the Fermi level since heating results in partial occupation of these levels, allowing new excitation features to emerge. Recently, we reported a similar effect for copper [91].

As we discuss in Sec. IV, the thermally-induced features at low frequencies in the [111] direction can be observed in experiments, e.g., at European XFEL, where a beam with a width of $\Omega < 1$ eV can be produced for XRTS diagnostics [29, 39, 92].

IV. THE POSSIBILITY OF DETECTING THERMAL EXCITATION INDUCED SIGNALS AT A FEW ELECTRONVOLTS

A system of hot electrons in a crystal structure of ions can be produced, e.g., using an FEL pulse with a duration of $\sim 10 - 100$ fs. In the case of self-scattering experiments, scattering from a range of electron temperatures takes place. We therefore expect that the plasmon red shift in Al will not be directly observable in self-scattering experiments due to how small of a shift it is. However, the plasmon red shift in Si is much larger (about ~ 1 eV), and so the overall change in the plasmon shape may be more readily observed. Though the spectrum is time- (and temperature-) integrated, it is anticipated that, for a sufficiently narrow beam, the second peak in the double-plasmon signature (top panel of Fig. 5) may be observable given that it is fairly distinguishable from the primary plasmon position. Similarly, the thermally-induced small- ω excitation in Si (see Fig. 7) should develop as an additional bump near or on the elastic peak. The aforementioned issues of self-scattering experiments can be entirely avoided by driving electrons to high temperatures using a 400 nm optical short-pulse laser on thin targets, and tuning the delay of the FEL probe, as was reported in Refs. [93, 94].

Second, probing the thermal signatures of electrons in an ionic crystal structure requires a sufficiently narrow beam. We anticipate that the self-seeding technique creating a seeded beam with a FWHM of $\Omega \lesssim 1$ eV [24] could allow one to detect the double-plasmon signature in Al and the plasmon red-shift as well as the small- ω thermal excitations in Si. Additionally, we note that to further improve the probe quality, a monochromator can be placed in the beam to cut out the SASE pedestal while retaining the seeded spike.

Third, besides of the beam quality, an equally important aspect of the XRTS diagnostics is the detector (spectrometer) resolution. The signals reported in this work can be measured by using high-resolution diced crystal analyzers with resolutions $\delta\omega \simeq 40-50$ meV fielded at FEL facilities [29, 39, 92]. Indeed, this was recently demonstrated for the XRTS measurement with ultrahigh resolution of the plasmon dispersion in Al at ambient conditions [95].

We conclude that the current capabilities at the European XFEL provide a possibility to detect the plasmon red shift in Si, the low energy excitations in the XRTS of Si, and the double-plasmon signature in Al. The plasmon red shift in Al could be inferred from the plasmon dispersion at different q vectors. Indeed, as shown in Fig. 3,

the plasmon dispersion is well-described by the Bohm-Gross dispersion relation, Eq. 6. Therefore, by making measurements at several different scattering angles and fitting the Bohm-Gross relationship to the measured dispersion, the plasma frequency at $q = 0$ can be inferred.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have performed a systematic investigation of the effect of thermal excitations on the DSF of electrons in Al and Si based on LR-TDDFT simulations within the ALDA. The results show that there is a wealth of interesting excitation signatures that can be observed at XFEL facilities such as the European XFEL. For Al, LR-TDDFT predicts a thermal excitation induced red shift of the plasmon energy up to $\delta\omega \simeq 0.1$ eV at $T \lesssim 5$ eV. This red shift is also predicted for Si at $T \lesssim 4$ eV, with the largest value reaching $\delta\omega \simeq 1$ eV. Furthermore, the simulation results show that an increase in the temperature leads to the formation of a double-plasmon peak in Al, which is caused by the shift of the Landau damping region to smaller wavenumbers. Finally, we observe the emergence of a local peak in the DSF of Si at low energies $\omega < 5$ eV, with a magnitude of between about 10% and 20% of the plasmon peak at $1 \leq T \leq 4$ eV.

We provided a discussion of the possibility of measuring these effects in both considered elements. For Si, the plasmon red shift and the increase in the DSF value at small frequencies are within the range of the experimental capabilities at the European XFEL. Similarly, the formation of the double-plasmon peak due to thermal effects in Al should be measurable as well. The direct observation of the 0.1 eV plasmon red shift in Al at small wavenumbers will be problematic. However, by fitting the Bohm-Gross dispersion relation at several q values with temperature might allow one to measure the onset of the plasmon red shift.

The results presented here can be refined using more complicated approximations for the XC kernel, if the results of experiments on isochorically heated electrons will render the ALDA approximation used here insufficiently accurate. In this way, the controlled set-up and highly accurate diagnostics at modern XFEL facilities constitute an important opportunity to rigorously benchmark dynamic simulation methods for WDM research.

We believe that there are many more interesting phenomena to be explored by XRTS experiments in X-ray driven materials. In Al and Si that have been considered in this work, the electronic coupling parameter is about $r_s \simeq 2$, with $r_s \sim n^{-1/3}$ being defined by the ratio of the Wigner-Seitz radius to the first Bohr radius. The electronic structure is known to have more features in the DSF at lower densities due to stronger inter-particle correlations, e.g., in alkali metals. For instance, in cesium electrons have a negative plasmon dispersion and form a so-called roton minimum [2, 96]. Another example are lithium-based materials, such as lithium hydride,

where electrons are strongly correlated with $r_s \sim 10$ [1]. Therefore, the study of quantum-many-body effects arising from the interplay between thermal excitations and inter-particle correlations in isochorically heated electrons in an ion crystal lattice remains a largely unexplored promising research field for future studies.

On a final note, we observe that an ideal experiment would employ distinct X-ray pump and X-ray probe beams that are separated in colour and time. Good colour separation would allow one to measure the scattering from the probe beam while avoiding collecting the scattering signal from the pump beam. Meanwhile, good time separation between the beams would allow heating to be complete by the pump before the probe is incident. We would therefore avoid the aforementioned limitations of self-scattering experiments. Furthermore, varying the time separation between the two pulses would allow investigation into the establishment of electron thermal equilibrium in femtosecond X-ray experiments. Such X-ray pump X-ray probe capabilities have been demonstrated at existing XFEL facilities, such as the at European XFEL [97] and at SACLA in Japan [98, 99], through the use of an electron chicane. In this setup, the pump and probe beams travel along the same beam-line, and therefore monochromation – which is necessary to observe the thermal excitation signals reported in this work – would not be currently possible. Additional care would also be needed in the choice of photon energies for the pump and probe in order to still be able to focus the beams using existing beryllium compound refractive lenses. Nevertheless, we anticipate that the further de-

velopment of X-ray pump X-ray probe systems with high resolution would open up new avenues for exploring the details of nonequilibrium transient states.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partially supported by the Center for Advanced Systems Understanding (CASUS), financed by Germany’s Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) and the Saxon state government out of the State budget approved by the Saxon State Parliament. This work has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2022 research and innovation programme (Grant agreement No. 101076233, "PREX-TREME"). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the authors only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Research Council Executive Agency. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them. Computations were performed on a Bull Cluster at the Center for Information Services and High-Performance Computing (ZIH) at Technische Universität Dresden, at the Norddeutscher Verbund für Hoch- und Höchstleistungsrechnen (HLRN) under grant mvp00024, and on the HoreKa supercomputer funded by the Ministry of Science, Research and the Arts Baden-Württemberg and by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research.

-
- [1] C. A. Burns, P. Abbamonte, E. D. Isaacs, and P. M. Platzman, “Plasmons in lithium ammonia,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 2390–2393 (1999).
 - [2] F. Aryasetiawan and K. Karlsson, “Energy loss spectra and plasmon dispersions in alkali metals: Negative plasmon dispersion in cs,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73**, 1679–1682 (1994).
 - [3] Hans-Christian Weissker, Jorge Serrano, Simo Huotari, Eleonora Luppi, Marco Cazzaniga, Fabien Bruneval, Francesco Sottile, Giulio Monaco, Valerio Olevano, and Lucia Reining, “Dynamic structure factor and dielectric function of silicon for finite momentum transfer: Inelastic x-ray scattering experiments and ab initio calculations,” *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 085104 (2010).
 - [4] F. Graziani, M. P. Desjarlais, R. Redmer, and S. B. Trickey, eds., *Frontiers and Challenges in Warm Dense Matter* (Springer, International Publishing, 2014).
 - [5] M. Bonitz, T. Dornheim, Zh. A. Moldabekov, S. Zhang, P. Hamann, H. Kählert, A. Filinov, K. Ramakrishna, and J. Vorberger, “Ab initio simulation of warm dense matter,” *Physics of Plasmas* **27**, 042710 (2020).
 - [6] T. Dornheim, S. Groth, and M. Bonitz, “The uniform electron gas at warm dense matter conditions,” *Phys. Reports* **744**, 1–86 (2018).
 - [7] R.P. Drake, *High-Energy-Density Physics: Foundation of Inertial Fusion and Experimental Astrophysics*, Graduate Texts in Physics (Springer International Publishing, 2018).
 - [8] Alessandra Benuzzi-Mounaix, Stéphane Mazevet, Alessandra Ravasio, Tommaso Vinci, Adrien Denoeud, Michel Koenig, Nourou Amadou, Erik Brambrink, Floriane Festa, Anna Levy, Marion Harmand, Stéphanie Brygoo, Gael Huser, Vanina Recoules, Johan Bouchet, Guillaume Morard, François Guyot, Thibaut de Resseguier, Kohei Myanishi, Norimasa Ozaki, Fabien Dorchies, Jérôme Gaudin, Pierre Marie Leguay, Olivier Peyrusse, Olivier Henry, Didier Raffestin, Sebastien Le Pape, Ray Smith, and Riccardo Musella, “Progress in warm dense matter study with applications to planetology,” *Phys. Scripta* **T161**, 014060 (2014).
 - [9] A. Becker, W. Lorenzen, J. J. Fortney, N. Nettelmann, M. Schöttler, and R. Redmer, “Ab initio equations of state for hydrogen (h-reos.3) and helium (he-reos.3) and their implications for the interior of brown dwarfs,” *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser* **215**, 21 (2014).
 - [10] S. X. Hu, B. Militzer, V. N. Goncharov, and S. Skupsky, “First-principles equation-of-state table of deuterium for inertial confinement fusion applications,” *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 224109 (2011).
 - [11] R. Betti and O. A. Hurricane, “Inertial-confinement fu-

- sion with lasers,” *Nature Physics* **12**, 435–448 (2016).
- [12] Torben Ott, Hauke Thomsen, Jan Willem Abraham, Tobias Dornheim, and Michael Bonitz, “Recent progress in the theory and simulation of strongly correlated plasmas: phase transitions, transport, quantum, and magnetic field effects,” *The European Physical Journal D* **72**, 84 (2018).
 - [13] R. Betti, “A milestone in fusion research is reached,” *Nature Reviews Physics* **5**, 6–8 (2023).
 - [14] Mark L. Brongersma, Naomi J. Halas, and Peter Nordlander, “Plasmon-induced hot carrier science and technology,” *Nature Nanotechnology* **10**, 25–34 (2015).
 - [15] D. Kraus, A. Ravasio, M. Gauthier, D. O. Gericke, J. Vorberger, S. Frydrych, J. Helfrich, L. B. Fletcher, G. Schaubmann, B. Nagler, B. Barbreil, B. Bachmann, E. J. Gamboa, S. Göde, E. Granados, G. Gregori, H. J. Lee, P. Neumayer, W. Schumaker, T. Döppner, R. W. Falcone, S. H. Glenzer, and M. Roth, “Nanosecond formation of diamond and lonsdaleite by shock compression of graphite,” *Nature Communications* **7**, 10970 (2016).
 - [16] A. Lazicki, D. McGonegle, J. R. Rygg, D. G. Braun, D. C. Swift, M. G. Gorman, R. F. Smith, P. G. Heighway, A. Higginbotham, M. J. Suggit, D. E. Fratanduono, F. Coppari, C. E. Wehrenberg, R. G. Kraus, D. Erskine, J. V. Bernier, J. M. McNaney, R. E. Rudd, G. W. Collins, J. H. Eggert, and J. S. Wark, “Metastability of diamond ramp-compressed to 2 terapascals,” *Nature* **589**, 532–535 (2021).
 - [17] T. Döppner, O.L. Landen, H.J. Lee, P. Neumayer, S.P. Regan, and S.H. Glenzer, “Temperature measurement through detailed balance in x-ray thomson scattering,” *High Energy Density Physics* **5**, 182–186 (2009).
 - [18] Tobias Dornheim, Maximilian Böhme, Dominik Kraus, Tilo Döppner, Thomas R. Preston, Zhandos A. Moldabekov, and Jan Vorberger, “Accurate temperature diagnostics for matter under extreme conditions,” *Nature Communications* **13**, 7911 (2022).
 - [19] Oliver Hoidn and Gerald T. Seidler, “Nonlocal heat transport and improved target design for x-ray heating studies at x-ray free electron lasers,” *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 035145 (2018).
 - [20] Jean-Claude Kieffer, “The need for speed,” *FACETS* **6**, 1390–1408 (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1139/facets-2021-0011>.
 - [21] Jan Vorberger, Thomas R. Preston, Nikita Medvedev, Maximilian P. Böhme, Zhandos A. Moldabekov, Dominik Kraus, and Tobias Dornheim, “Revealing non-equilibrium and relaxation in warm dense matter,” (2023), [10.48550/ARXIV.2302.11309](https://arxiv.org/abs/10.48550/ARXIV.2302.11309).
 - [22] L. Waldecker, R. Berton, R. Ernstorfer, and J. Vorberger, “Electron-phonon coupling and energy flow in a simple metal beyond the two-temperature approximation,” *Phys. Rev. X* **6**, 021003 (2016).
 - [23] Chongjie Mo, Zhenguo Fu, Wei Kang, Ping Zhang, and X. T. He, “First-principles estimation of electronic temperature from x-ray thomson scattering spectrum of isochorically heated warm dense matter,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 205002 (2018).
 - [24] Ulf Zastrau, Karen Appel, Carsten Baehtz, Oliver Baehr, Lewis Batchelor, Andreas Berghäuser, Mohammadreza Banjafar, Erik Brambrink, Valerio Cerantola, Thomas E. Cowan, Horst Damker, Steffen Dietrich, Samuele Di Dio Cafiso, Jörn Dreyer, Hans-Olaf Engel, Thomas Feldmann, Stefan Findeisen, Manon Foese, Daniel Fulla-Marsa, Sebastian Göde, Mohammed Hassan, Jens Hauser, Thomas Herrmannsdörfer, Hauke Höppner, Johannes Kaa, Peter Kaefer, Klaus Knöfel, Zuzana Konôpková, Alejandro Laso García, Hanns-Peter Liermann, Jona Mainberger, Mikako Makita, Eike-Christian Martens, Emma E. McBride, Dominik Möller, Motoaki Nakatsutsumi, Alexander Pelka, Christian Plueckthun, Clemens Prescher, Thomas R. Preston, Michael Röper, Andreas Schmidt, Wolfgang Seidel, Jan-Patrick Schwinkendorf, Markus O. Schoelmerich, Ulrich Schramm, Andreas Schropp, Cornelius Stroh, Konstantin Sukharnikov, Peter Talkovski, Ian Thorpe, Monika Toncian, Toma Toncian, Lennart Wollenweber, Shingo Yamamoto, and Thomas Tschentscher, “The High Energy Density Scientific Instrument at the European XFEL,” *Journal of Synchrotron Radiation* **28**, 1393–1416 (2021).
 - [25] Thomas Tschentscher, Christian Bressler, Jan Grünert, Anders Madsen, Adrian P. Mancuso, Michael Meyer, Andreas Scherz, Harald Sinn, and Ulf Zastrau, “Photon Beam Transport and Scientific Instruments at the European XFEL,” *Applied Sciences* **7**, 592 (2017).
 - [26] Y. Ding, A. Brachmann, F.-J. Decker, D. Dowell, P. Emma, J. Frisch, S. Gilevich, G. Hays, Ph. Hering, Z. Huang, R. Iverson, H. Loos, A. Miahnahri, H.-D. Nuhn, D. Ratner, J. Turner, J. Welch, W. White, and J. Wu, “Measurements and simulations of ultralow emittance and ultrashort electron beams in the linac coherent light source,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 254801 (2009).
 - [27] L. B. Fletcher, H. J. Lee, T. Döppner, E. Galtier, B. Nagler, P. Heimann, C. Fortmann, S. LePape, T. Ma, M. Millot, A. Pak, D. Turnbull, D. A. Chapman, D. O. Gericke, J. Vorberger, T. White, G. Gregori, M. Wei, B. Barbreil, R. W. Falcone, C.-C. Kao, H. Nuhn, J. Welch, U. Zastrau, P. Neumayer, J. B. Hastings, and S. H. Glenzer, “Ultrabright x-ray laser scattering for dynamic warm dense matter physics,” *Nature Photonics* **9**, 274–279 (2015).
 - [28] Adrien Descamps, Benjamin K. Ofori-Okai, Oliviero Bistoni, Zhijiang Chen, Eric Cunningham, Luke B. Fletcher, Nicholas J. Hartley, Jerome B. Hastings, Dimitri Khaghani, Mianzhen Mo, Bob Nagler, Vanina Recoules, Ronald Redmer, Maximilian Schörner, Debbie G. Senesky, Peihao Sun, Hai-En Tsai, Thomas G. White, Siegfried H. Glenzer, and Emma E. McBride, “Evidence for phonon hardening in laser-excited gold using x-ray diffraction at a hard x-ray free electron laser,” *Science Advances* **10**, eadh5272 (2024), <https://www.science.org/doi/pdf/10.1126/sciadv.adh5272>.
 - [29] A. Descamps, B. K. Ofori-Okai, K. Appel, V. Cerantola, A. Comley, J. H. Eggert, L. B. Fletcher, D. O. Gericke, S. Göde, O. Humphries, O. Karnbach, A. Lazicki, R. Loetzsch, D. McGonegle, C. A. J. Palmer, C. Plueckthun, T. R. Preston, R. Redmer, D. G. Senesky, C. Stroh, I. Uschmann, T. G. White, L. Wollenweber, G. Monaco, J. S. Wark, J. B. Hastings, U. Zastrau, G. Gregori, S. H. Glenzer, and E. E. McBride, “An approach for the measurement of the bulk temperature of single crystal diamond using an x-ray free electron laser,” *Scientific Reports* **10**, 14564 (2020).
 - [30] A. Ng, P. Celliers, G. Xu, and A. Forsman, “Electron-ion equilibration in a strongly coupled plasma,” *Phys. Rev. E* **52**, 4299–4310 (1995).
 - [31] Matthieu Nicoul, Uladzimir Shymanovich, Alexander

- Tarasevitch, Dietrich von der Linde, and Klaus Sokolowski-Tinten, “Picosecond acoustic response of a laser-heated gold-film studied with time-resolved x-ray diffraction,” *Applied Physics Letters* **98**, 191902 (2011), <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3584864>.
- [32] T. G. White, P. Mabey, D. O. Gericke, N. J. Hartley, H. W. Doyle, D. McGonegle, D. S. Rackstraw, A. Higginbotham, and G. Gregori, “Electron-phonon equilibration in laser-heated gold films,” *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 014305 (2014).
- [33] S. H. Glenzer and R. Redmer, “X-ray thomson scattering in high energy density plasmas,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 1625 (2009).
- [34] J. Sheffield, D. Froula, S.H. Glenzer, and N.C. Luhmann, *Plasma Scattering of Electromagnetic Radiation: Theory and Measurement Techniques* (Elsevier Science, 2010).
- [35] D. Kraus, B. Bachmann, B. Barbel, R. W. Falcone, L. B. Fletcher, S. Frydrych, E. J. Gamboa, M. Gauthier, D. O. Gericke, S. H. Glenzer, S. Göde, E. Granados, N. J. Hartley, J. Helfrich, H. J. Lee, B. Nagler, A. Ravasio, W. Schumaker, J. Vorberger, and T. Döppner, “Characterizing the ionization potential depression in dense carbon plasmas with high-precision spectrally resolved x-ray scattering,” *Plasma Phys. Control Fusion* **61**, 014015 (2019).
- [36] T. Döppner, M. Bethkenhagen, D. Kraus, P. Neumayer, D. A. Chapman, B. Bachmann, R. A. Baggott, M. P. Böhme, L. Divol, R. W. Falcone, L. B. Fletcher, O. L. Landen, M. J. MacDonald, A. M. Saunders, M. Schörner, P. A. Sterne, J. Vorberger, B. B. L. Witte, A. Yi, R. Redmer, S. H. Glenzer, and D. O. Gericke, “Observing the onset of pressure-driven k-shell delocalization,” *Nature* (2023), [10.1038/s41586-023-05996-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-05996-8).
- [37] G. Gregori, S. H. Glenzer, W. Rozmus, R. W. Lee, and O. L. Landen, “Theoretical model of x-ray scattering as a dense matter probe,” *Phys. Rev. E* **67**, 026412 (2003).
- [38] Zhibin Lin, Leonid V. Zhigilei, and Vittorio Celli, “Electron-phonon coupling and electron heat capacity of metals under conditions of strong electron-phonon nonequilibrium,” *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 075133 (2008).
- [39] L. Wollenweber, T. R. Preston, A. Descamps, V. Cerantola, A. Comley, J. H. Eggert, L. B. Fletcher, G. Geloni, D. O. Gericke, S. H. Glenzer, S. Göde, J. Hastings, O. S. Humphries, A. Jenei, O. Karnbach, Z. Konopkova, R. Loetzsch, B. Marx-Glowna, E. E. McBride, D. McGonegle, G. Monaco, B. K. Ofori-Okai, C. A. J. Palmer, C. Plückthun, R. Redmer, C. Strohm, I. Thorpe, T. Tschentscher, I. Uschmann, J. S. Wark, T. G. White, K. Appel, G. Gregori, and U. Zastrau, “High-resolution inelastic x-ray scattering at the high energy density scientific instrument at the European X-Ray Free-Electron Laser,” *Review of Scientific Instruments* **92**, 013101 (2021).
- [40] P.-F. Loos and P. M. W. Gill, “The uniform electron gas,” *Comput. Mol. Sci* **6**, 410–429 (2016).
- [41] G.D. Mahan, *Many-Particle Physics*, Physics of Solids and Liquids (Springer US, 1990).
- [42] G. Giuliani and G. Vignale, *Quantum Theory of the Electron Liquid* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008).
- [43] Keun-Ho Lee and K. J. Chang, “First-principles study of the optical properties and the dielectric response of al,” *Phys. Rev. B* **49**, 2362–2367 (1994).
- [44] Carsten A. Ullrich, *Time-Dependent Density-Functional Theory: Concepts and Applications* (Oxford University Press, 2011).
- [45] B J B Crowley and G Gregori, “X-ray scattering by many-particle systems,” *New Journal of Physics* **15**, 015014 (2013).
- [46] J. Vorberger and D. O. Gericke, “Ab initio approach to model x-ray diffraction in warm dense matter,” *Phys. Rev. E* **91**, 033112 (2015).
- [47] Maximilian P. Böhme, Luke B. Fletcher, Tilo Döppner, Dominik Kraus, Andrew D. Baczewski, Thomas R. Preston, Michael J. MacDonald, Frank R. Graziani, Zhandos A. Moldabekov, Jan Vorberger, and Tobias Dornheim, “Evidence of free-bound transitions in warm dense matter and their impact on equation-of-state measurements,” (2023), [arXiv:2306.17653](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.17653) [physics.plasm-ph].
- [48] R Kubo, “The fluctuation-dissipation theorem,” *Reports on Progress in Physics* **29**, 255 (1966).
- [49] I. G. Gurtubay, J. M. Pitarke, Wei Ku, A. G. Eguluz, B. C. Larson, J. Tischler, P. Zschack, and K. D. Finkelstein, “Electron-hole and plasmon excitations in 3d transition metals: Ab initio calculations and inelastic x-ray scattering measurements,” *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 125117 (2005).
- [50] Richard M. Martin, Lucia Reining, and David M. Ceperley, *Interacting Electrons: Theory and Computational Approaches* (Cambridge University Press, 2016).
- [51] Young-Moo Byun, Jiuyu Sun, and Carsten A Ullrich, “Time-dependent density-functional theory for periodic solids: assessment of excitonic exchange–correlation kernels,” *Electronic Structure* **2**, 023002 (2020).
- [52] Mark S. Hybertsen and Steven G. Louie, “Ab initio static dielectric matrices from the density-functional approach. i. formulation and application to semiconductors and insulators,” *Phys. Rev. B* **35**, 5585–5601 (1987).
- [53] E. K. U. Gross and Walter Kohn, “Local density-functional theory of frequency-dependent linear response,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **55**, 2850–2852 (1985).
- [54] Andrew A. Quong and Adolfo G. Eguluz, “First-principles evaluation of dynamical response and plasmon dispersion in metals,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 3955–3958 (1993).
- [55] Marco Cazzaniga, Hans-Christian Weissker, Simo Huotari, Tuomas Pytkänen, Paolo Salvestrini, Giulio Monaco, Giovanni Onida, and Lucia Reining, “Dynamical response function in sodium and aluminum from time-dependent density-functional theory,” *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 075109 (2011).
- [56] Hans-Christian Weissker, Jorge Serrano, Simo Huotari, Fabien Bruneval, Francesco Sottile, Giulio Monaco, Michael Krisch, Valerio Olevano, and Lucia Reining, “Signatures of short-range many-body effects in the dielectric function of silicon for finite momentum transfer,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 237602 (2006).
- [57] P. Sperling, E. J. Gamboa, H. J. Lee, H. K. Chung, E. Galtier, Y. Omarbakiyeva, H. Reinholz, G. Röpke, U. Zastrau, J. Hastings, L. B. Fletcher, and S. H. Glenzer, “Free-electron x-ray laser measurements of collisional-damped plasmons in isochorically heated warm dense matter,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 115001 (2015).
- [58] Zhandos Moldabekov, Maximilian Böhme, Jan Vorberger, David Blaschke, and Tobias Dornheim, “Ab Initio Static Exchange–Correlation Kernel across Jacob’s Ladder without Functional Derivatives,” *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* **19**, 1286–1299

- (2023).
- [59] Zhandos A. Moldabekov, Michele Pavanello, Maximilian P. Böhme, Jan Vorberger, and Tobias Dornheim, “Linear-response time-dependent density functional theory approach to warm dense matter with adiabatic exchange-correlation kernels,” *Phys. Rev. Res.* **5**, 023089 (2023).
 - [60] Zhandos A. Moldabekov, Jan Vorberger, Mani Lokamani, and Tobias Dornheim, “Averaging over atom snapshots in linear-response TDDFT of disordered systems: A case study of warm dense hydrogen,” *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **159**, 014107 (2023).
 - [61] Zhandos A. Moldabekov, Mani Lokamani, Jan Vorberger, Attila Cangi, and Tobias Dornheim, “Non-empirical Mixing Coefficient for Hybrid XC Functionals from Analysis of the XC Kernel,” *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters* **14**, 1326–1333 (2023).
 - [62] S. Sharma, J. K. Dewhurst, A. Sanna, and E. K. U. Gross, “Bootstrap approximation for the exchange-correlation kernel of time-dependent density-functional theory,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 186401 (2011).
 - [63] Martin Panholzer, Matteo Gatti, and Lucia Reining, “Nonlocal and nonadiabatic effects in the charge-density response of solids: A time-dependent density-functional approach,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 166402 (2018).
 - [64] A. D. Baczewski, L. Shulenburger, M. P. Desjarlais, S. B. Hansen, and R. J. Magyar, “X-ray thomson scattering in warm dense matter without the chihara decomposition,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 115004 (2016).
 - [65] J. J. Mortensen, L. B. Hansen, and K. W. Jacobsen, “Real-space grid implementation of the projector augmented wave method,” *Physical Review B* **71**, 035109 (2005).
 - [66] J Enkovaara, C Rostgaard, J J Mortensen, J Chen, M Dułak, L Ferrighi, J Gavnholt, C Glinsvad, V Haikola, H A Hansen, H H Kristoffersen, M Kuisma, A H Larsen, L Lehtovaara, M Ljungberg, O Lopez-Acevedo, P G Moses, J Ojanen, T Olsen, V Petzold, N A Romero, J Stausholm-Møller, M Strange, G A Tritsarlis, M Vanin, M Walter, B Hammer, H Häkkinen, G K H Madsen, R M Nieminen, J K Nørskov, M Puska, T T Rantala, J Schiøtz, K S Thygesen, and K W Jacobsen, “Electronic structure calculations with GPAW: a real-space implementation of the projector augmented-wave method,” *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **22**, 253202 (2010).
 - [67] Michael Walter, Hannu Häkkinen, Lauri Lehtovaara, Martti Puska, Jussi Enkovaara, Carsten Rostgaard, and Jens Jørgen Mortensen, “Time-dependent density-functional theory in the projector augmented-wave method,” *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **128**, 244101 (2008), <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2943138>.
 - [68] Jun Yan, Jens. J. Mortensen, Karsten W. Jacobsen, and Kristian S. Thygesen, “Linear density response function in the projector augmented wave method: Applications to solids, surfaces, and interfaces,” *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 245122 (2011).
 - [69] Ask Hjorth Larsen, Jens Jørgen Mortensen, Jakob Blomqvist, Ivano E Castelli, Rune Christensen, Marcin Dułak, Jesper Friis, Michael N Groves, Bjørk Hammer, Cory Hargus, Eric D Hermes, Paul C Jennings, Peter Bjerre Jensen, James Kermode, John R Kitchin, Esben Leonhard Kolsbjerg, Joseph Kubal, Kristen Kaasbjerg, Steen Lysgaard, Jón Bergmann Maronsson, Tristan Maxson, Thomas Olsen, Lars Pastewka, Andrew Peterson, Carsten Rostgaard, Jakob Schiøtz, Ole Schütt, Mikkel Strange, Kristian S Thygesen, Tejs Vegge, Lasse Vilhelmsen, Michael Walter, Zhenhua Zeng, and Karsten W Jacobsen, “The atomic simulation environment—a Python library for working with atoms,” *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **29**, 273002 (2017).
 - [70] S. R. Bahn and K. W. Jacobsen, “An object-oriented scripting interface to a legacy electronic structure code,” *Computing in Science & Engineering* **4**, 56–66 (2002).
 - [71] P. E. Blöchl, “Projector augmented-wave method,” *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 17953–17979 (1994).
 - [72] Ralph W. G Wyckoff, *Crystal Structures* (Interscience Publishers, New York, 1948).
 - [73] John P. Perdew and Yue Wang, “Accurate and simple analytic representation of the electron-gas correlation energy,” *Phys. Rev. B* **45**, 13244–13249 (1992).
 - [74] R. G. Dandrea, N. W. Ashcroft, and A. E. Carlsson, “Electron liquid at any degeneracy,” *Phys. Rev. B* **34**, 2097–2111 (1986).
 - [75] L. D. Landau, “On the vibrations of the electronic plasma,” *Acad. Sci. USSR. J. Phys.* **10**, 25–34 (1946).
 - [76] R. Tsu, “Landau damping and dispersion of phonon, plasmon, and photon waves in polar semiconductors,” *Phys. Rev.* **164**, 380–383 (1967).
 - [77] M. Bonitz, *Quantum kinetic theory* (Springer, Heidelberg, 2016).
 - [78] Siegfried H. Glenzer and Ronald Redmer, “X-ray thomson scattering in high energy density plasmas,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 1625–1663 (2009).
 - [79] Zhandos Moldabekov, Tim Schoof, Patrick Ludwig, Michael Bonitz, and Tlek Kabul Ramazanov, “Statically screened ion potential and Bohm potential in a quantum plasma,” *Physics of Plasmas* **22**, 102104 (2015), <https://pubs.aip.org/aip/pop/article-pdf/doi/10.1063/1.4932051/13527324/102104.1.online.pdf>.
 - [80] Zhandos A. Moldabekov, Tobias Dornheim, and Michael Bonitz, “Screening of a test charge in a free-electron gas at warm dense matter and dense non-ideal plasma conditions,” *Contributions to Plasma Physics* **62**, e202000176 (2022), <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/ctpp.202000176>.
 - [81] Paul Hamann, Jan Vorberger, Tobias Dornheim, Zhandos A. Moldabekov, and Michael Bonitz, “Ab initio results for the plasmon dispersion and damping of the warm dense electron gas,” *Contributions to Plasma Physics* **60**, e202000147 (2020).
 - [82] D. Bohm and E. P. Gross, “Theory of plasma oscillations. a. origin of medium-like behavior,” *Phys. Rev.* **75**, 1851–1864 (1949).
 - [83] Iurii Timrov, Nathalie Vast, Ralph Gebauer, and Stefano Baroni, “turboeels—a code for the simulation of the electron energy loss and inelastic x-ray scattering spectra using the liouville–lanczos approach to time-dependent density-functional perturbation theory,” *Computer Physics Communications* **196**, 460–469 (2015).
 - [84] J. Sprösser-Prou, A. vom Felde, and J. Fink, “Aluminum bulk-plasmon dispersion and its anisotropy,” *Phys. Rev. B* **40**, 5799–5801 (1989).
 - [85] B.C. Larson, J.Z. Tischler, A. Fleszar, and A.G. Eguluz, “Correlation and band structure effects in the electronic energy loss spectra of al: an experimental perspective,” *Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids* **61**, 391–396 (2000).

- [86] W. Schülke, H. Schulte-Schrepping, and J. R. Schmitz, “Dynamic structure of electrons in Al metal studied by inelastic x-ray scattering,” *Phys. Rev. B* **47**, 12426–12436 (1993).
- [87] Rana Biswas and Vinay Ambegaokar, “Phonon spectrum of a model of electronically excited silicon,” *Phys. Rev. B* **26**, 1980–1988 (1982).
- [88] V. Recoules, J. Cléroutin, G. Zérah, P. M. Anglade, and S. Mazevet, “Effect of intense laser irradiation on the lattice stability of semiconductors and metals,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 055503 (2006).
- [89] W. Schülke, J. R. Schmitz, H. Schulte-Schrepping, and A. Kaprolat, “Dynamic and static structure factor of electrons in Si: Inelastic x-ray scattering results,” *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, 11721–11732 (1995).
- [90] Giovanni Onida, Lucia Reining, and Angel Rubio, “Electronic excitations: density-functional versus many-body Green’s-function approaches,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **74**, 601–659 (2002).
- [91] Zhandos Moldabekov, Thomas D. Gawne, Sebastian Schwalbe, Thomas R. Preston, Jan Vorberger, and Tobias Dornheim, “Ultrafast heating induced suppression of *d*-band dominance in the electronic excitation spectrum of cuprum,” (2024), [arXiv:2403.17815 \[physics.chem-ph\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.17815).
- [92] E. E. McBride, T. G. White, A. Descamps, L. B. Fletcher, K. Appel, F. P. Condamine, C. B. Curry, F. Dallari, S. Funk, E. Galtier, M. Gauthier, S. Goede, J. B. Kim, H. J. Lee, B. K. Ofori-Okai, M. Oliver, A. Rigby, C. Schoenwaelder, P. Sun, Th. Tschentscher, B. B. L. Witte, U. Zastra, G. Gregori, B. Nagler, J. Hastings, S. H. Glenzer, and G. Monaco, “Setup for meV-resolution inelastic X-ray scattering measurements and X-ray diffraction at the Matter in Extreme Conditions endstation at the Linac Coherent Light Source,” *Review of Scientific Instruments* **89**, 10F104 (2018).
- [93] M. Z. Mo, Z. Chen, R. K. Li, M. Dunning, B. B. L. Witte, J. K. Baldwin, L. B. Fletcher, J. B. Kim, A. Ng, R. Redmer, A. H. Reid, P. Shekhar, X. Z. Shen, M. Shen, K. Sokolowski-Tinten, Y. Y. Tsui, Y. Q. Wang, Q. Zheng, X. J. Wang, and S. H. Glenzer, “Heterogeneous to homogeneous melting transition visualized with ultrafast electron diffraction,” *Science* **360**, 1451–1455 (2018), <https://www.science.org/doi/pdf/10.1126/science.aar2058>.
- [94] Z. Chen, B. Holst, S. E. Kirkwood, V. Sametoglu, M. Reid, Y. Y. Tsui, V. Recoules, and A. Ng, “Evolution of AC conductivity in nonequilibrium warm dense gold,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 135001 (2013).
- [95] Thomas Gawne, Zhandos A. Moldabekov, Oliver S. Humphries, Karen Appel, Carsten Bähz, Victorien Bouffetier, Erik Brambrink, Attila Cangi, Sebastian Göde, Zuzana Konôpková, Mikako Makita, Mikhail Mishchenko, Motoaki Nakatsutsumi, Kushal Ramakrishna, Lisa Randolph, Sebastian Schwalbe, Jan Vorberger, Lennart Wollenweber, Ulf Zastra, Tobias Dornheim, and Thomas R. Preston, “Ultrahigh resolution x-ray Thomson scattering measurements of electronic structures,” (2024), [arXiv:2403.02776 \[physics.plasm-ph\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.02776).
- [96] A. vom Felde, J. Sprösser-Prou, and J. Fink, “Valence-electron excitations in the alkali metals,” *Phys. Rev. B* **40**, 10181–10193 (1989).
- [97] Shan Liu, Christian Grech, Marc Guetg, Suren Karabekyan, Vitali Kocharyan, Naresh Kujala, Christoph Lechner, Tianyun Long, Najmeh Mirian, Weilun Qin, Svitozar Serkez, Sergey Tomin, Jiawei Yan, Suren Abeghyan, Jayson Anton, Vladimir Blank, Ulrike Boesenberg, Frank Brinker, Ye Chen, Winfried Decking, Xiaohao Dong, Steve Kearney, Daniele La Civita, Anders Madsen, Theophilos Maltezopoulos, Angel Rodriguez-Fernandez, Evgeni Saldin, Liubov Samoylova, Matthias Scholz, Harald Sinn, Vivien Sleziona, Deming Shu, Takanori Tanikawa, Sergey Terentiev, Andrei Trebushinin, Thomas Tschentscher, Maurizio Vannoni, Torsten Wohlenberg, Mikhail Yakopov, and Gianluca Geloni, “Cascaded hard x-ray self-seeded free-electron laser at megahertz repetition rate,” *Nature Photonics* **17**, 984–991 (2023).
- [98] Toru Hara, Yuichi Inubushi, Tetsuo Katayama, Takahiro Sato, Hitoshi Tanaka, Takashi Tanaka, Tadashi Togashi, Kazuaki Togawa, Kensuke Tono, Makina Yabashi, *et al.*, “Two-colour hard x-ray free-electron laser with wide tunability,” *Nature communications* **4**, 2919 (2013).
- [99] Ichiro Inoue, Taito Osaka, Toru Hara, and Makina Yabashi, “Two-color x-ray free-electron laser consisting of broadband and narrowband beams,” *Journal of Synchrotron Radiation* **27**, 1720–1724 (2020).