

Enhancement of bubble transport in porous electrodes and catalysts

Thomas Scheel,^{1,2} Paolo Malgaretti,¹ and Jens Harting^{1,3}

¹*Helmholtz Institute Erlangen-Nürnberg for Renewable Energy, Forschungszentrum Jülich, Cauerstr. 1, D-91058 Erlangen, Germany*

²*Department of Physics, Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Cauerstr. 1, D-91058 Erlangen, Germany*

³*Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering and Department of Physics, Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Cauerstr. 1, D-91058 Erlangen, Germany*

(Dated: April 29, 2024)

We investigate the formation and transport of gas bubbles across a model porous catalyst/electrode using lattice Boltzmann simulations. This approach enables us to systematically examine the influence of a wide range of morphologies, flow velocities, and reaction rates on the efficiency of gas production. By exploring these parameters, we identify critical parameter combinations that significantly contribute to an enhanced yield of gas output. Our simulations reveal the existence of an optimal pore geometry for which the product output is maximized. Intriguingly, we also observe that lower flow velocities improve gas production by leveraging on coalescence-induced bubble detachment from the catalyst.

I. INTRODUCTION

The formation and transport of gas bubbles play a pivotal role in a wide range of catalytic reactors and electrolyzers. Many of these applications utilize porous materials, either as catalyst supports or as electrodes themselves¹⁻⁵. Therefore, it is crucial to optimize the morphology of the porous material to enhance the efficient transport of both reactants and products, aiming at maximizing the overall chemical yield⁶⁻⁸. The case where reactants are in liquid phase and products in gas phase is particularly challenging due to the formation of bubbles, which exhibit dynamics that can be sensitive to the morphology of the porous materials⁹⁻¹².

A prominent example for a chemical reaction forming gas bubbles in a liquid phase is the generation of oxygen and hydrogen in an electrolyzer. This process holds significant importance as hydrogen, produced by renewable energy, is a promising alternative to fossil fuels and is expected to play a key role in the transition to a carbon-free economy¹³. Nevertheless, the current hydrogen production process is still energy-intensive, and further improvements are necessary to increase its efficiency. Hence, ongoing research focuses on advancements in the development of efficient catalysts to accelerate reaction rates. Building on this, in particular, where the boundaries of material optimization are reached, the search for an optimal electrode morphology takes precedence. However, the design of an efficient electrode microstructure faces a significant challenge: on the one hand maximizing the electrode's surface area is essential to accommodate a large number of gas evolution reactions, while on the other hand, the electrode's morphology must ensure excellent permeability to facilitate the unimpeded transport of gas bubbles away from the electrode's reactive sites to prevent clogging and reduce Ohmic resistances.

Numerous studies have explored the impact of the porous electrode structure on gas bubble nucleation, growth, detachment and transport aiming at understanding how these factors influence the efficiency of electrolysis¹⁴⁻¹⁹. As gas bubble nucleation primarily occurs at the electrode's surface, a common approach to enhance gas output is the

maximization of electrode surface area. To achieve this, electrodes are frequently constructed from porous materials, ranging from nanotubes²⁰⁻²², nanowires^{23,24}, nanorods^{25,26} or nanospheres²⁷⁻²⁹ to microfibers^{30,31} and macroporous foams^{32,33}. These structures cover various length scales, from the nano- to millimeter-scale, combining the advantages of high electrochemical activity and high permeability^{34,35}. After nucleation, the bubbles grow by further absorbing dissolved gas via diffusion before they eventually coalesce with neighboring bubbles³⁶. This process continues until either the entire reactive site is covered by a gas bubble causing the gas evolution reaction to cease due to the depletion of reactants. Or, alternatively, if the surface tension force attaching the bubble to the electrode is weaker than buoyancy and/or than the viscous stress force exerted on the bubble by a surrounding flow, the gas bubble detaches and is advected away¹⁶. In terms of optimizing the electrode efficiency, it is crucial to prevent the formation of bubbles blocking the reactive sites¹⁵ and instead to promote their effective removal. Therefore, a frequently utilized approach for improving bubble removal, especially in flow cells, is to apply a flow field in parallel with or even through the porous electrode structure^{31,37-39}. Another strategy to improve gas bubble detachment encompasses the tuning of electrode wettability, which includes the design of electrode microstructures that promote high contact angles^{20,40-43}. By doing so, the contact area between the gas bubble and the electrode is reduced, which diminishes the surface tension force binding the gas bubble to the electrode and facilitates the detachment of the gas bubble.

Once gas bubbles detach from the surface, the focus shifts to their efficient transport within the porous electrode structure^{33,44-47}. To explore the impact of geometry on transport efficiency, the electrode microstructure is frequently assessed using averaged parameters like porosity, tortuosity, and permeability. These parameters serve as critical factors in the optimization of the gas transport⁴⁸. Nevertheless, comparatively little attention has been devoted to understanding the influence of the morphology of the vacant electrode space, where gas bubble transport occurs, on electrolytic efficiency⁴⁶. This is attributed not least to the high computational demands that

have so far prevented a systematic optimization of the 3-dimensional porous electrode structure.

Considering all of these aspects, it becomes evident that an increased surface area, along with a higher reaction rate, enhances gas production only if the generated gas can be transported away from the electrode fast enough to prevent gas bubbles obstructing the reactive surface. An optimized electrode structure has to account for both, a large electrode surface area and at the same time a high permeability, but their intricate interdependency makes simultaneous optimization challenging. This challenge arises from the fact that achieving a larger surface area often results in increased resistance to flux whereas conversely, a planar geometry with high permeability and low tortuosity tends to exhibit a lower surface area. Adding to this complexity is the involvement of length scales spanning several orders of magnitude, making the optimization a very difficult task. Until today, it is not clear how the optimal electrode morphology should be designed.

To address this challenge we employ the lattice Boltzmann method (LBM) and simulate the electrocatalytic process using a sinusoidal unit cell as a model of the porous electrode or support structure Fig. 1. The sinusoidal pore model simplifies the flow geometry to a few essential parameters, enabling a systematic investigation of the impact of morphology, flow velocities, and reaction rates on the catalytic output. This approach allows us to optimize relevant system parameters and identify critical parameter combinations that significantly contribute to an enhanced yield of produced gas.




Figure 1: Schematic representation of the porous model structure used in our simulations.

The subsequent sections of this paper are organized as follows: In Section II we outline our numerical methodology and simulation conditions. Section III comprises a summary of our simulation results, investigating the impact of diverse pore geometries, flow velocities, and reaction rates on catalytic efficiency. The final section provides conclusions and a brief outlook on future research directions.

II. NUMERICAL METHOD AND SIMULATION CONDITIONS

The simulation of the electrolysis process requires an algorithm with the capability to accurately model the intricate interplay of phenomena such as phase separation and chemical

reactions with hydrodynamics. We have selected the lattice Boltzmann algorithm as our model of choice, as it excels in meeting these requirements and it has recently been used in similar studies^{47,49}.

We conduct our lattice Boltzmann simulations on a three-dimensional lattice with 19 discrete velocities (D3Q19)⁵⁰. The evolution of the discrete distribution function $f_i^k(\vec{x}, t)$ for each fluid component k is described by the lattice Boltzmann equation

$$f_i^k(\vec{x} + \vec{c}_i \Delta t, t + \Delta t) = f_i^k(\vec{x}, t) + \Omega_i^k(\vec{x}, t), \quad (1)$$

where Ω_i^k is the collision operator, $i = 1, \dots, 19$ specifies the lattice direction and $k \in \{1, 2\}$ the fluid component. For clarity without loss of generality, we set the time step $\Delta t = 1$, the lattice constant $\Delta x = 1$ and the unit mass $m_0 = 1$ for the subsequent analysis. The fluid density ρ^k is derived from the zeroth moment of the distribution function

$$\rho^k(\vec{x}, t) = \sum_i f_i^k(\vec{x}, t), \quad (2)$$

and the macroscopic fluid velocity $\vec{u}^k(\vec{x}, t)$ from the first moment of the distribution function

$$\vec{u}^k(\vec{x}, t) = \frac{\sum_i f_i^k(\vec{x}, t) \vec{c}_i}{\rho^k(\vec{x}, t)}. \quad (3)$$

Phase separation is implemented using the color gradient method (CG) which introduces an interaction between different fluid components achieving phase separation in three steps⁵¹⁻⁵⁴. In the first step, the direction of steepest increase in the density of the respective fluid component (color gradient) is calculated

$$\vec{F}^k(\vec{x}, t) = \nabla \left(\frac{\rho^\zeta(\vec{x}, t) - \rho^\xi(\vec{x}, t)}{\rho^\zeta(\vec{x}, t) + \rho^\xi(\vec{x}, t)} \right), \quad (4)$$

where $\zeta, \xi \in \{1, 2\}$ for a two component fluid and $\zeta > \xi$.

In the subsequent perturbation step, populations collinear to the gradient of the density field of the respective fluid component k are increased, whereas populations perpendicular to it are diminished, resulting in the emergence of surface tension:

$$\left(\Omega_i^k \right)^{\text{pert}} f_i^k(\vec{x}, t) = f_i^k(\vec{x}, t) + \frac{A_k}{2} |\vec{F}^k(\vec{x}, t)| \left(w_i \cos^2(\phi_i^k) - B_i \right), \quad (5)$$

with w_i being the lattice weights

$$w_i = \begin{cases} 1/3 & i = 1 \\ 1/18 & i = 2, \dots, 7 \\ 1/36 & i = 8, \dots, 19 \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

and ϕ_i^k the angle between the color gradient \vec{F}^k and the lattice direction \vec{c}_i . The strength of the surface tension is controlled using the free parameter A_k , while B_i is selected to ensure mass conservation:

$$B_i = \begin{cases} -2/9 & i = 1 \\ 1/54 & i = 2, \dots, 7 \\ 1/27 & i = 8, \dots, 19 \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

In the final step, known as the recoloring step, the two phases are separated by distributing the two fluid populations in opposite directions.

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\Omega_i^\zeta\right)^{\text{recol}} f_i(\vec{x}, t) &= \frac{\rho^\zeta}{\rho} f_i(\vec{x}, t) \\ &+ \beta \frac{\rho^\zeta \rho^\xi}{\rho^2} \cos(\phi_i) \sum_{k=\zeta, \xi} f_i^{k,eq}(\vec{x}, t) (\rho^k, 0), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where β controls the interface thickness ($\beta = 0.99$ in all our simulations to obtain sharp interfaces), $f_i = \sum_k f_i^k$. The local equilibrium distribution $f_i^{k,eq}$ is derived from a Taylor expansion of the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution to the second order

$$f_i^{k,eq}(\vec{x}, t) = \rho^k \left[\phi_i^k + \varphi_i \bar{\alpha} + w_i \left(\frac{\vec{c}_i \cdot \vec{u}}{c_s^2} + \frac{(\vec{c}_i \cdot \vec{u})^2}{2c_s^4} - \frac{\vec{u}^2}{2c_s^2} \right) \right], \quad (9)$$

with c_s being the lattice speed of sound, φ_i a lattice dependent weight and $\bar{\alpha}$ the density weighted average of parameter α_k , which sets the equilibrium density for each fluid component⁵⁵. The total collision operator of the CG method Ω_i^k extends the standard Bhatnagar-Gross-Krook (BGK) collision operator⁵⁶

$$\left(\Omega_i^k\right)^{\text{BGK}} f_i^k(\vec{x}, t) = f_i^k(\vec{x}, t) - \omega_k \left(f_i^k(\vec{x}, t) - f_i^{k,eq}(\vec{x}, t) \right). \quad (10)$$

The BGK collision operator relaxes the population f_i^k to its local equilibrium with a relaxation rate $\omega_k = 1/\tau_k$, with $\tau_k = 5.5$ in our simulations. The relationship between the relaxation time τ_k and the kinematic viscosity ν_k of fluid k is derived from the Chapman-Enskog expansion to second order. This relationship is expressed as

$$\nu_k = c_s^2 \left(\tau_k - \frac{1}{2} \right). \quad (11)$$

Finally, the BGK collision operator is extended by incorporating the perturbation and recoloring operators to yield the CG collision operator Ω_i^k ,

$$\Omega_i^k = \left(\Omega_i^k\right)^{\text{recol}} \circ \left(\Omega_i^k\right)^{\text{pert}} \circ \left(\Omega_i^k\right)^{\text{BGK}}. \quad (12)$$

The CG collision operator is constructed by sequentially applying the BGK, perturbation, and recoloring operators, in this order, and conserves all collisional invariants like mass and total momentum for each fluid component.

We carefully validated our simulation framework by successfully reproducing phenomena such as Neumann angles, the equation of Young-Laplace and the behavior of oscillating droplets, consistent with the data presented in⁵⁷. Furthermore, we achieved outstanding results in simulating the coalescence of liquid lenses across wide ranges of surface tensions and viscosities, as demonstrated in⁵⁸.

Chemical reactions are introduced through reactive boundary conditions at lattice nodes adjacent to the reactive boundary, converting all 19 fluid populations of one fluid species

into another governed by the reaction rate ω . To minimize the influence of unequilibrated flow, reactions in our simulation occur solely at the boundaries of the middle pore. We set up our simulations with periodic boundary conditions to prevent the introduction of artificial boundary effects at the channel's inflow and outflow. However, this choice necessitates the removal of gas bubbles at the domain outlet. To achieve this, we skip the recoloring step of the color gradient method in the vicinity of the outlet and instead assign the total population of the perturbation step to the fluid phase, ensuring a bubble-free fluid at the channel outlet. Nonetheless, we allocate one-quarter of the entire domain at both the inlet and the outlet as flow equilibration sections. These domains serve to eliminate boundary effects and prevent interference from the retransformation of gas bubbles into the fluid phase. To ensure the accuracy of our measurements and mitigate biases caused by transient effects, we start our measurements only after a sufficient number of time steps had elapsed so that the simulation could reach either a limit cycle or a steady state (in the case of clogging).

We choose the amplitude A of the sinusoidal channel boundary, the channel diameter D_0 , the reaction rate ω , and flow velocity induced by an acceleration a on the fluid as key variables to tune the electrolytic efficiency. Further simulation parameters are selected in such a way that they are as similar as possible to the real parameters of water electrolysis, i.e. we aim for low capillary numbers $Ca = \mu U / \sigma < 1$ and low Reynolds numbers $Re = \rho U D / \mu \approx 1$. (The low capillary number accounts for the high surface tension of gas in water compared to its low viscosity and a low Reynolds number sets the size of our pores to millimeter scales and below.)

In our set of simulations we varied the amplitudes between $A \in [0; 24]$, the channel diameter in the range of $D \in [31; 62]$, the applied acceleration by one order of magnitude $a \in [10^{-3}; 10^{-4}]$ and the reaction rate between $\omega \in [10^{-5}; 0.003]$ in lattice units. This results in relatively low Reynolds numbers, $Re \in [0.001; 2.9]$ and Capillary numbers, $Ca \in [0.001; 1.3]$.

We express our parameters and observables in non-dimensional form (denoted by an asterisk (*) hereafter) to eliminate the dependencies on specific units and physical scales. We choose half of the wavelength of the sinusoidal corrugation $\lambda/2$ (see Fig. 1), as our reference length scale. Consequently, the non-dimensionalized amplitude (pore aspect ratio) $A^* = 2A/\lambda$ represents the ratio of pore depth ($2A$) to pore diameter (λ), controlling the fluid flow through the pore. Similarly, normalizing the channel diameter with the reference length yields $D_0^* = 2D_0/\lambda$. Since our simulations take place in the regime of low Reynolds numbers, where viscous forces dominate over inertial forces, we further choose the viscous time $t_c = \lambda^2/(4\nu)$ (with ν representing the kinematic viscosity) as our time scale for non-dimensionalization. Consequently, the non-dimensionalized applied acceleration is given by $a^* = a\lambda^3/(8\nu^2)$ and the non-dimensionalized reaction rate by $\omega^* = \omega t_c$. Further, we chose the time-averaged amount of gas leaving the system \bar{J}_{gas} as observable to measure the system efficiency. It is non-dimensionalized by dividing through the maximum outflow observed in all our simula-

tions $\bar{J}_{gas}^* = \bar{J}_{gas} / \bar{J}_{gas}^{max}$.

Finally, it should be noted that our freedom of parameter choice is limited by the simulation method. Spurious currents^{57,59–61} are inherent to most lattice Boltzmann multiphase methods and are generally proportional to the surface tension σ . To ensure that they do not distort the physical flow field, surface tension forces must be small compared to inertial forces. Conversely, the upper limit of fluid velocities u is constrained by the requirement of our LBM implementation to stay within the limit of low Mach numbers.

III. SIMULATION RESULTS

Our investigation focuses on the optimization of relevant system parameters and the identification of critical parameter combinations to enhance the electrocatalytic efficiency of electrolyzers. Specifically, we focus on exploring the effects of pore morphology described by corrugation amplitude A and channel diameter D_0 , flow velocity within the pore, and reaction rate ω .


These four parameters play a crucial role in optimizing the efficiency of the catalytic process, as underscored by their key contribution to determining the dimensionless Damköhler number $Da = \omega l / u$, where ω represents the reaction rate, u is the characteristic velocity in the channel, and l is a characteristic length of the system. The Damköhler number serves as an important descriptor of the process efficiency, which signifies the relative importance of the production time scale compared to the advection time scale. The reaction rate, a key parameter in our study, directly influences the Da number. Similarly, when associating the critical length with the length of the reacting boundary, the corrugation amplitude A also influences Da directly. The flow velocity, as the third factor in the Da number, undergoes an intricate interplay between the applied acceleration and pore morphology, as elucidated by our simulations.

In the following, we examine the influence of each parameter in detail, also considering how variations in other parameters can alter the observed effects through cross-dependencies.


A. Variation of geometry

We commence our investigation by examining how geometry influences gas output. To this end we systematically modify the geometry of the porous structure by varying the pore depth controlled by the amplitude A of the sinusoidal perturbation and by changing the channel diameter D_0 . An increase in A results in a simultaneous increase of the reactive boundary where gas evolution reactions occur (see Fig. 2). In our simulation, the reactive surface area S increases by approximately 38% for the highest corrugation amplitude compared to a flat channel. Thus, the geometry with the largest amplitude has the potential to produce the greatest amount of hydrogen.

However, the full realization of this potential depends on the efficiency of the gas removal process. It is crucial to keep the reactive sites free of reaction products, requiring sufficient



(a) surface area



(b) permeability

Figure 2: Influence of geometric properties on reacting surface area S normalized by the surface area of the flat channel S_0 (a) and permeability k normalized by the permeability of the flat channel (with lowest diameter) k_0 (b).

permeability of the geometry and, even more critically, adequate advection in proximity to the reactive surface S . In a first step, we simulated the flow of a single-phase fluid through the pore geometries and determined their permeabilities using Darcy's law^{62,63}. Taking the permeability of the flat channel with minimal channel diameter k_0 as reference, we observe a decrease in permeability by approximately 64% for the maximal amplitude. Furthermore, enlarging the channel diameter resulted in a nearly 3-fold enhancement of permeability. Combining the effects of surface area and permeability suggests an increase in gas production with increasing amplitude until reaching a maximum. Beyond this point the diminishing permeability becomes predominant, resulting in a decline in gas output.

We can confirm this expectation through our simulations. As illustrated in Fig. 4 (top panel), a flat channel geometry




Figure 3: Mean gas output dependent on channel amplitude A^* and channel diameter D_0^* ($a^* = 2.4$, $\omega^* = 2.0 \times 10^3$).

demonstrates already a good level of gas output for a wide range of accelerations, reaction rates, and channel diameters. This is attributed to the inherent efficiency of a flat geometry, facilitating effective gas transport and minimizing the likelihood of clogging. However, we observe that especially under elevated reaction rates (Fig. 4a), accelerations that avoid clogging (Fig. 4b) or small channel diameters (Fig. 4c) the gas output can be increased by increasing the corrugation amplitude. Under these conditions, the gas output reaches an optimum for a pore aspect ratio $A^* \approx 1/3$. Interestingly, in many cases, the increase in production exceeds the increase in surface area (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3), indicating that the catalytic area alone is insufficient for predicting the overall production. However, by increasing amplitudes beyond the optimal threshold, the higher amplitudes extend so deeply into the channel that they impede the efficient transport of gas bubbles, leading to a decrease in gas output. Simultaneously, the increased depth of pores leads to the entrapment and accumulation of gas bubbles, consequently diminishing the number of active reactive sites (Fig. 5a). With further increasing amplitudes, the de-

cline in gas output continues until the bubble sizes become comparable to the channel diameter, leading to clogging of the channel with gas bubbles (Fig. 4b, diamond symbol and Fig. 5b).

Concerning the relationship between gas output and channel diameter, we observe that small channel diameters are generally favorable for most of the parameter space (Fig. 4, bottom panel). Nevertheless, it is crucial to ensure that the channel diameter is sufficiently large compared to the bubble diameter to prevent channel clogging (Fig. 4e, diamond symbol). Depending on the flow velocity in the channel, we observe that channel clogging starts when bubble radii exceed approximately 0.1 to 0.5 times the minimal diameter of the channel.

Furthermore, we frequently observe a second local optimum at medium channel diameters, which, in special cases like in a flat channel with high reaction rates and high acceleration (Fig. 4f, sphere symbol), becomes the global optimum for this parameter combination.

Experiments also indicate that enhancing the electrode surface through corrugation, such as using a 3D-printed NiFe-LDH pyramid structure, results in higher OER performance compared to a flat electrode⁶⁴. Additionally, experiments confirm that the electrode with the best balance between surface area and bubble permeability exhibits the best performance³¹.

Taking all factors into consideration, we can derive generic design principles for the geometric configuration of the channel from our simulation results. Firstly, small yet non-clogging channel diameters prove to be favorable. As for the amplitude, our second geometric parameter, either a flat channel or moderate corrugation amplitudes are advantageous, depending on the other non-geometric parameters, i.e., the acceleration and reaction rate. We will discuss the influence of these parameters in the following.

B. Variation of applied pressure drop

We now focus on elucidating the relationship between the applied pressure drop, modeled via a constant applied acceleration on the fluids, and output of reaction products \bar{J}_{gas} . For a given channel height, we can vary the acceleration by approximately one order of magnitude. At lower accelerations, we encounter limitations due to clogging, while at higher accelerations that result in flow velocities approaching 1/3 of the lattice speed, stability issues with the lattice Boltzmann algorithm become the primary constraint.

In a simple system with no phase separation and flat geometry, the catalytic output can be enhanced by increasing the velocity within the channel. This improvement continues until a point is reached where all reaction products are promptly transported away, leading to a plateau in the output. However, this line of reasoning is not applicable in situations involving phase separation. In such cases, an intriguing phenomenon arises: reducing the flow velocity within the channel can actually lead to an increase in gas output. While this might initially appear counterintuitive, a closer examination of the simulation data unveils the underlying rationale for this behavior.






Figure 4: Representative one-dimensional slices of the phase space illustrating the dependency of gas output on the corrugation amplitude A^* (top panel) and the channel diameter D_0^* (bottom panel). The left panel varies acceleration, the center panel varies the reaction rate ω^* , and the right panel varies the channel diameter D_0^* or amplitude A^* , respectively.




(a) blocked pore




(b) clogged channel

Figure 5: Representative depictions of a blocked pore (a) and a clogged channel (b).



(a) $a^* = 3.82$



(b) $a^* = 0.96$

Figure 6: Representative depiction of the impact of accelerations on bubble sizes within a flat channel.

When flow velocities are decreased, larger bubble radii are observed due to a reduction in drag forces (Fig. 6).

By further reducing the flow velocity, these bubbles eventually grow to a size where they come into contact with neigh-

boring bubbles. Consequently, these larger bubbles exhibit a higher frequency of coalescence. The process of coalescence results in the detachment of bubbles from the reactive boundaries, thus freeing up the reactive sites for subsequent reac-

tions. Experimental evidence also supports the detachment of bubbles through coalescence, as observed in^{65–67}. The efficiency is not solely enhanced by freeing reactive sites through coalescence-induced bubble detachment, but is also complemented by the observation that the detached bubbles tend to move toward the center of the flow channel. In this region, flow velocities are higher compared to those near the surface, resulting in enhanced transport efficiency. This also manifests as a consistent trend in our simulations: reducing acceleration is associated with an increase in gas output across all parameter combinations (Fig. 7 and Fig 11).


However, this trend only persists until a critical threshold is reached, below which the small acceleration leads to channel clogging. Two factors contribute to this phenomenon: firstly, the low drag forces promote the formation of large gas bubbles with diameters comparable to the channel diameter, eventually leading to clogging of the channel and secondly, the low flow velocities are then insufficient to clear blockages. As illustrated in Fig. 7, clogging can be observed for small accelerations $a^* < 0.96$ and corrugated geometries with amplitudes $A^* \geq 0.06$. Hence, the optimal point for maximizing gas production is generally found at accelerations just before clogging occurs.

Referring to Fig. 8, three general strategies exist to prevent channel clogging under low accelerations. Firstly, the channel diameter can be chosen sufficiently large to avoid clogging at a given reaction rate. Conversely, the reaction rate can be reduced to a level where bubbles are small enough to prevent channel blocking. Both strategies, however, result in a diminished gas output. Notably, the choice of a flat channel geometry stands out as the most favorable configuration for accommodating low accelerations without compromising gas production (Fig. 8a, sphere symbol). Additionally, analogous to the simulations with varying channel geometry, we also observe an increase in the gas output for moderate amplitudes in all non-clogging cases when varying the acceleration (Fig. 7a and Fig. 8a).


C. Variation of reaction rate

Finally, we explore the impact of the reaction rate ω on gas output (see Fig. 9). As expected, enhancing the reaction rate results in a corresponding increase in the gas output. For very high reaction rates the gas output smoothly reaches a plateau (Fig. 10), as the reaction rate becomes so substantial that more gas is produced than can be effectively advected in the channel. Two notable cases arise: firstly, when reaction rate compared to advection becomes too large, resulting in pore blockage (Fig. 10b, diamond symbol), and secondly, when the reaction rate increases to the extent that bubbles with sizes are produced that are comparable to the channel diameter, leading to channel clogging (Fig. 10a, diamond symbol).

In accordance with previous observations, the output increases as the channel amplitude rises with a maximum output at a pore aspect ratio of $A^* \approx 1/3$. Similarly, consistent with previous observations, gas output increases for lower accelerations (Fig. 10a) and smaller channel diameters (Fig. 10c)



(a) Gas output versus acceleration a^* and amplitudes A^*



(b) Clogging fraction ϕ versus acceleration a^* and amplitudes A^*

Figure 7: Average gas output (a) and fraction of time that the channel is clogged denoted as ϕ (b) dependent on channel amplitude A and applied pressure gradient (acceleration). All data was simulated with a channel height of $D_0^* = 1.8$ and a reaction rate of $\omega^* = 4.1 \times 10^3$.

provided no channel clogging occurs.

To conclude, we broaden the perspective by providing a representative overview of the four-dimensional parameter space spanned by amplitude A^* , diameter D_0^* , applied acceleration a^* and reaction rate ω^* as depicted in Fig. 11.

It confirms the trends which we discussed in the previous sections across a wide spectrum of parameters. The overall gas output can be enhanced by reducing the applied acceleration or by increasing the reaction rate, provided channel clogging is avoided. Specifically, we observe channel clogging for $Ca \lesssim 0.1$. In most cases a small channel diameter is superior to large channel diameters and for most of the parameter space the efficiency can be improved by choosing an optimal corrugation amplitude around $A^* \approx 1/3$. Conversely,




Figure 8: Representative one-dimensional slices of the phase space, illustrating the variation of gas output in dependence on the acceleration a^* for different values of the amplitude (a), the channel diameter D_0^* (b), and the reaction rate ω^* (c).




Figure 9: Mean gas output dependent on channel amplitude A and reaction rate ω^* ($D_0^* = 1.6, a^* = 4.8$).

a flat channel geometry ($A^* = 0$) proves beneficial for high accelerations in combination with low reaction rates (Fig. 11 h-i). The flat channel geometry stands also out as particularly suited for low accelerations coupled with large reaction rates, as alternative configurations tend to result in channel clogging. Notably, this latter parameter combination yields the highest gas output across all simulations (see Tab. I).

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

In our simulations, we investigated how the yield of gas output can be increased by optimizing the geometry of the porous electrode structure under different flow velocities and reaction rates. To identify beneficial parameter combinations, we sampled the relevant parameter space with more than 2700 simulations. Our results affirm the presence of advantageous parameter combinations that significantly enhance gas output.

In many cases, gas output can be augmented by increas-

	\bar{J}_{gas}^*	A^*	D_0^*	ω^*	a^*
1	1.000	0.0	1.6	4.0×10^3	0.5
2	0.928	0.0	1.2	4.0×10^3	1.0
3	0.906	0.0	1.4	4.0×10^3	0.5
4	0.897	0.0	1.4	3.6×10^3	0.5
5	0.878	0.0	1.6	3.6×10^3	0.5
6	0.871	0.3	1.4	4.0×10^3	1.0
7	0.869	0.3	1.6	4.0×10^3	1.0
8	0.859	0.3	1.4	4.0×10^3	1.0
9	0.848	0.3	1.2	4.0×10^3	2.4
10	0.841	0.3	1.4	4.0×10^3	1.4

Table I: Most efficient parameter combinations based on a sampling of the parameter space with more than 2700 simulations.

ing the channel corrugation amplitude A , thereby leveraging a higher number of reacting sites. However, this trend is effective only up to a point where the corrugation becomes so pronounced that gas starts to accumulate in the pores, rendering reactive sites inactive. Simultaneously, the amplitudes then extend so far into the channel that permeability deteriorates and the efficient advection of gas bubbles is impeded. For very low accelerations or low reaction rates, a flat channel geometry is found to be optimal.

Further, it generally proves to be beneficial to reduce the flow velocity within the channel, while avoiding complete clogging. This promotes the formation of larger bubbles and capitalizes on the coalescence-induced drop detachment effect. Consequently, the number of active reactive sites is increased and bubbles are directed toward the center of the channel, where higher flow velocities enhance efficient gas bubble transport.

As long as clogging is prevented, higher reaction rates typically result in increased gas output. This is attributed not only to the increased gas production, but also to the fact that higher reaction rates lead to the formation of larger gas bubbles. In analogy to the reasoning for lower accelerations, these larger bubbles are more efficient due to coalescence-induced bubble detachment.

Despite employing a simplified 2D model, we still en-




Figure 10: Representative one-dimensional slices of the phase space, illustrating the variation of gas output dependent on the reaction rate ω^* for different values of acceleration a^* (a), amplitude A^* (b), and the channel diameter D_0^* (c). Channel clogging (a)-diamond symbol and pore blockage (b)-diamond symbol can be observed.

counter the challenge of dealing with an extensive number of possible parameter combinations, resulting in substantial computational cost in exploring the relevant parameter space. Hence, a preemptive exploration of the high-dimensional parameter space was impractical. Future efforts could focus on further exploring optimal parameter combinations, employing techniques such as gradient descent methods or neural networks. Another parameter of great interest for future studies is the wettability of the porous structure, which significantly influences the detachment of gas bubbles. Furthermore, investigating the influence of additional geometrical parameters would allow, e.g., to understand the influence of the tortuosity on the transport in realistic morphologies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge funding by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation)—Project-ID 431791331—SFB 1452. Furthermore, we acknowledge the Helmholtz Association of German Research Centers (HGF) and the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF), Germany for supporting the Innovation Pool project “Solar H_2 : Highly Pure and Compressed”. We thank the Jülich Supercomputing Center for providing the required supercomputing resources and acknowledge Marcello Sega, Tilman Richter, Johannes Hielscher, and Manuel Hegelheimer for fruitful discussions and technical support.

The data that support the findings of this study are openly available at doi:10.5281/zenodo.10723216.

REFERENCES

- H. Li, J. Xiao, Q. Fu, and X. Bao, “Confined catalysis under two-dimensional materials,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **114**, 5930–5934 (2017).
- T. A. Shifa and A. Vomiero, “Confined catalysis: Progress and prospects in energy conversion,” *Advanced Energy Materials* **9**, 1902307 (2019).
- G.-R. Zhang, L.-L. Shen, P. Schmatz, K. Krois, and B. J. Etxold, “Cathodic activated stainless steel mesh as a highly active electrocatalyst for the oxygen evolution reaction with self-healing possibility,” *Journal of Energy Chemistry* **49**, 153–160 (2020).
- Y. Shen, X. Wang, J. Lei, S. Wang, Y. Hou, and X. Hou, “Catalytic confinement effects in nanochannels: from biological synthesis to chemical engineering,” *Nanoscale Advances* **4**, 1517 (2022), publisher: Royal Society of Chemistry.
- D. McLaughlin, M. Bierling, B. Mayerhöfer, G. Schmid, and S. Thiele, “Digital twin of a hierarchical CO_2 electrolyzer gas diffusion electrode,” *Advanced Functional Materials* **33**, 2212462 (2023).
- Modeling and Simulation of Heterogeneous Catalytic Reactions: From the Molecular Process to the Technical System* (Wiley, Berlin, 2021).
- B. Detmann, “Modeling chemical reactions in porous media: a review,” *Continuum Mechanics and Thermodynamics* **33**, 2279 (2021).
- M. Bierling, D. McLaughlin, B. Mayerhöfer, and S. Thiele, “Toward understanding catalyst layer deposition processes and distribution in anodic porous transport electrodes in proton exchange membrane water electrolyzers,” *Advanced Energy Materials* **13**, 2203636 (2023), <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/aenm.202203636>.
- A. Angulo, P. van der Linde, H. Gardeniers, M. Modestino, and D. F. Rivas, “Influence of bubbles on the energy conversion efficiency of electrochemical reactors,” *Joule* **4**, 555–579 (2020).
- M. Schlüter, S. Herres-Pawlis, U. Nienke, U. Tuttlies, and D. Bothe, “Small-scale phenomena in reactive bubbly flows: Experiments, numerical modeling, and applications,” *Annual Review of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering* **12**, 625–643 (2021).
- T. Solymosi, M. Geißelbrecht, S. Mayer, M. Auer, P. Leicht, M. Terlinden, P. Malgaretti, A. Bösmann, P. Preuster, J. Harting, M. Thommes, N. Vogel, and P. Wasserscheid, “Nucleation as a rate-determining step in catalytic gas generation reactions from liquid phase systems,” *Science Advances* **8**, eade3262 (2022).
- B. T. Sangtam and H. Park, “Review on bubble dynamics in proton exchange membrane water electrolysis: Towards optimal green hydrogen yield,” *Micromachines* **14** (2023), 10.3390/mi14122234.
- M. Ivanova, R. Peters, M. Müller, S. Haas, F. Seidler, G. Mutschke, K. Eckert, P. Röse, S. Calnan, R. Bagacki, R. Schlatmann, C. Grosseindemann, L.-A. Schäfer, N. H. Menzler, A. Weber, R. van de Krol, F. Liang, F. Abdi, S. Brendelberger, N. Neumann, J. Grobbel, M. Roeb, C. Sattler, I. Duran, B. Dietrich, C. Hofberger, L. Stoppel, N. Uhlenbruck, T. Wetzel, D. Rauner, A. Hecimovic, U. Fantz, N. Kulyk, J. Harting, and O. Guillon, “Technological pathways to produce compressed and highly pure hydrogen from solar power,” *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2023**, e202218850 (2023).
- P. van der Linde, Á. Moreno Soto, P. Peñas-López, J. Rodríguez-Rodríguez, D. Lohse, H. Gardeniers, D. van der Meer, and D. Fernández Rivas, “Electrolysis-driven and pressure-controlled diffusive growth of successive bubbles on microstructured surfaces,” *Langmuir* **33**, 12873–12886 (2017).
- T. Kou, S. Wang, R. Shi, T. Zhang, S. Chiovoloni, J. Q. Lu, W. Chen, M. A. Worsley, B. C. Wood, S. E. Baker, E. B. Duoss, R. Wu, C. Zhu, and Y. Li,




Figure 11: Visualization of the parameter space, where the color coding indicates the corresponding gas output \bar{J}_{gas}^* .

- “Periodic porous 3D electrodes mitigate gas bubble traffic during alkaline water electrolysis at high current densities,” *Advanced Energy Materials* **10**, 2002955 (2020).
- ¹⁶G. Duhar and C. Colin, “Dynamics of bubble growth and detachment in a viscous shear flow,” *Physics of Fluids* **18**, 077101 (2006).
- ¹⁷D. Fernández, P. Maurer, M. Martine, J. M. D. Coey, and M. E. Möbius, “Bubble formation at a gas-evolving microelectrode,” *Langmuir* **30**, 13065–13074 (2014).
- ¹⁸H. Ito, T. Maeda, A. Nakano, A. Kato, and T. Yoshida, “Influence of pore structural properties of current collectors on the performance of proton exchange membrane electrolyzer,” *Electrochimica Acta* **100**, 242–248 (2013).
- ¹⁹S. Yuan, C. Zhao, X. Cai, L. An, S. Shen, X. Yan, and J. Zhang, “Bubble evolution and transport in PEM water electrolysis: Mechanism, impact, and management,” *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science* **96**, 101075 (2023).
- ²⁰C. Meng, B. Wang, Z. Gao, Z. Liu, Q. Zhang, and J. Zhai, “Insight into the role of surface wettability in electrocatalytic hydrogen evolution reactions using light-sensitive nanotubular TiO₂ supported Pt electrodes,” *Scientific Reports* **7**, 41825 (2017).
- ²¹L. Assaud, J. Schumacher, A. Tafel, S. Bochmann, S. Christiansen, and J. Bachmann, “Systematic increase of electrocatalytic turnover at nanoporous platinum surfaces prepared by atomic layer deposition,” *J. Mater. Chem. A* **3**, 8450–8458 (2015).
- ²²K. Inoue, A. Matsuda, and G. Kawamura, “Tube length optimization of titania nanotube array for efficient photoelectrochemical water splitting,” *Scientific Reports* **13**, 103 (2023).
- ²³Z. Xie, S. Yu, G. Yang, K. Li, L. Ding, W. Wang, D. A. Cullen, H. M. Meyer, S. T. Retterer, Z. Wu, J. Sun, P.-X. Gao, and F.-Y. Zhang, “Ultra-thin platinum nanowire based electrodes for high-efficiency hydrogen generation in practical electrolyzer cells,” *Chemical Engineering Journal* **410**, 128333 (2021).
- ²⁴S. Zhang, C. Tan, R. Yan, X. Zou, F.-L. Hu, Y. Mi, C. Yan, and S. Zhao, “Constructing built-in electric field in heterogeneous nanowire arrays for efficient overall water electrolysis,” *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **62**, e202302795 (2023).
- ²⁵J. Huang, Y. Su, Y. Zhang, W. Wu, C. Wu, Y. Sun, R. Lu, G. Zou, Y. Li, and J. Xiong, “FeOx/FeP hybrid nanorods neutral hydrogen evolution electrocatalysis: insight into interface,” *J. Mater. Chem. A* **6**, 9467–9472 (2018).
- ²⁶J. Cen, L. Wu, Y. Zeng, A. Ali, Y. Zhu, and P. K. Shen, “Heterogeneous nifecop/nf nanorods as a bifunctional electrocatalyst for efficient water electrolysis,” *ChemCatChem* **13**, 4602–4609 (2021).
- ²⁷J. Wang, H. Yang, F. Li, L. Li, J. Wu, S. Liu, T. Cheng, Y. Xu, Q. Shao, and

- X. Huang, "Single-site Pt-doped RuO₂ hollow nanospheres with interstitial C for high-performance acidic overall water splitting," *Science Advances* **8**, eabl9271 (2022).
- ²⁸W. Zheng, X. Ma, H. Sun, X. Li, Y. Zhang, Z. Yin, W. Chen, and Y. Zhou, "Fe-Ni-Co trimetallic oxide hierarchical nanospheres as high-performance bifunctional electrocatalysts for water electrolysis," *New J. Chem.* **46**, 13296–13302 (2022).
- ²⁹J. Bi, X. Zhai, J. Chi, X. Wu, S. Chen, X. Wang, and L. Wang, "Ultrafine CoPt₃ nanoparticles encapsulated in nitrogen-doped carbon nanospheres for efficient water electrolysis," *Electrochemical Science Advances* **2**, e2100082 (2022).
- ³⁰Y. Gu, I. Kim, and Y. S. Nam, "Thin-layered cobalt-based catalysts on stainless-steel microfibers for the efficient electrolysis of water," *Chem-CatChem* **9**, 3814–3820 (2017).
- ³¹F. Yang, M. J. Kim, M. Brown, and B. J. Wiley, "Alkaline water electrolysis at 25 A cm⁻² with a microfibrillar flow-through electrode," *Advanced Energy Materials* **10**, 2001174 (2020).
- ³²Y.-H. Chang, C.-T. Lin, T.-Y. Chen, C.-L. Hsu, Y.-H. Lee, W. Zhang, K.-H. Wei, and L.-J. Li, "Highly efficient electrocatalytic hydrogen production by MoS_x grown on graphene-protected 3D Ni foams," *Advanced Materials* **25**, 756–760 (2013).
- ³³F. Rocha, R. Delmelle, C. Georgiadis, and J. Proost, "Effect of pore size and electrolyte flow rate on the bubble removal efficiency of 3D pure Ni foam electrodes during alkaline water electrolysis," *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering* **10**, 107648 (2022).
- ³⁴M. Fang, G. Dong, R. Wei, and J. C. Ho, "Hierarchical nanostructures: Design for sustainable water splitting," *Advanced Energy Materials* **7**, 1700559 (2017).
- ³⁵R. Li, X. Liu, W. Liu, Z. Li, K. C. Chan, and Z. Lu, "Design of hierarchical porosity via manipulating chemical and microstructural complexities in high-entropy alloys for efficient water electrolysis," *Advanced Science* **9**, 2105808 (2022).
- ³⁶F. J. Higuera, "Evolution of hydrogen bubbles at the surface of a porous horizontal cathode," *Phys. Rev. Fluids* **7**, 113602 (2022).
- ³⁷M. I. Gillespie, F. van der Merwe, and R. Kriek, "Performance evaluation of a membraneless divergent electrode-flow-through (DEFT) alkaline electrolyser based on optimisation of electrolytic flow and electrode gap," *Journal of Power Sources* **293**, 228–235 (2015).
- ³⁸D. Pletcher, R. A. Green, and R. C. D. Brown, "Flow electrolysis cells for the synthetic organic chemistry laboratory," *Chemical Reviews* **118**, 4573–4591 (2018).
- ³⁹S. Abdelghani-Idrissi, N. Dubouis, A. Grimaud, P. Stevens, G. Toussaint, and A. Colin, "Effect of electrolyte flow on a gas evolution electrode," *Scientific Reports* **11**, 4677 (2021).
- ⁴⁰A. Nouri-Khorasani, E. Tabu Ojong, T. Smolinka, and D. P. Wilkinson, "Model of oxygen bubbles and performance impact in the porous transport layer of PEM water electrolysis cells," *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* **42**, 28665–28680 (2017).
- ⁴¹G. Sakuma, Y. Fukunaka, and H. Matsushima, "Nucleation and growth of electrolytic gas bubbles under microgravity," *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* **39**, 7638–7645 (2014).
- ⁴²Z. Lu, W. Zhu, X. Yu, H. Zhang, Y. Li, X. Sun, X. Wang, H. Wang, J. Wang, J. Luo, X. Lei, and L. Jiang, "Ultra-high hydrogen evolution performance of under-water "superaerophobic" MoS₂ nanostructured electrodes," *Advanced Materials* **26**, 2683–2687 (2014).
- ⁴³D. Jeon, J. Park, C. Shin, H. Kim, J.-W. Jang, D. W. Lee, and J. Ryu, "Superaerophobic hydrogels for enhanced electrochemical and photoelectrochemical hydrogen production," *Science Advances* **6**, eaaz3944 (2020).
- ⁴⁴F. Arbabi, H. Montazeri, R. Abouatallah, R. Wang, and A. Bazylak, "Three-dimensional computational fluid dynamics modelling of oxygen bubble transport in polymer electrolyte membrane electrolyzer porous transport layers," *Journal of The Electrochemical Society* **163**, F3062 (2016).
- ⁴⁵J. Lopata, Z. Kang, J. Young, G. Bender, J. W. Weidner, and S. Shimpalee, "Effects of the transport/catalyst layer interface and catalyst loading on mass and charge transport phenomena in polymer electrolyte membrane water electrolysis devices," *Journal of The Electrochemical Society* **167**, 064507 (2020).
- ⁴⁶Y. J. Kim, A. Lim, J. M. Kim, D. Lim, K. H. Chae, E. N. Cho, H. J. Han, K. U. Jeon, M. Kim, G. H. Lee, G. R. Lee, H. S. Ahn, H. S. Park, H. Kim, J. Y. Kim, and Y. S. Jung, "Highly efficient oxygen evolution reaction via facile bubble transport realized by three-dimensionally stack-printed catalysts," *Nature Communications* **11**, 4921 (2020).
- ⁴⁷G. Li, "Lattice Boltzmann simulations of gas bubble transport in proton exchange membrane water electrolysis cells," *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* **2280**, 012048 (2022).
- ⁴⁸M. Espinoza, M. Andersson, and B. Sundén, "Predicting transport parameters in PEFC gas diffusion layers considering micro-architectural variations using the lattice Boltzmann method," *International Journal of Energy Research* **41**, 565–578 (2017).
- ⁴⁹G. Falcucci, S. Succi, A. Montessori, S. Melchionna, P. Prestininzi, C. Barroo, D. C. Bell, M. M. Biener, J. Biener, B. Zugic, and E. Kaxiras, "Mapping reactive flow patterns in monolithic nanoporous catalysts," *Microfluidics and Nanofluidics* **20**, 105 (2016).
- ⁵⁰R. Benzi, S. Succi, and M. Vergassola, "The lattice Boltzmann equation: theory and applications," *Physics Reports* **222**, 145–197 (1992).
- ⁵¹A. K. Gunstensen, D. H. Rothman, S. Zaleski, and G. Zanetti, "Lattice Boltzmann model of immiscible fluids," *Phys. Rev. A* **43**, 4320–4327 (1991).
- ⁵²S. Leclaire, M. Reggio, and J.-Y. Trépanier, "Progress and investigation on lattice Boltzmann modeling of multiple immiscible fluids or components with variable density and viscosity ratios," *J. Comput. Phys.* **246**, 318–342 (2013).
- ⁵³A. Montessori, M. Lauricella, M. La Rocca, S. Succi, E. Stolovicki, R. Zibat, and D. Weitz, "Regularized lattice Boltzmann multicomponent models for low capillary and Reynolds microfluidic flows," *Computers & Fluids* **167**, 33–39 (2018).
- ⁵⁴K. P. N. Datadien, G. Staso, H. M. A. DiWijshoff, and F. Toschi, "A quantitative comparison of physical accuracy and numerical stability of lattice boltzmann color gradient and pseudopotential multicomponent models for microfluidic applications," *Communications in Computational Physics* **32**, 450 (2022).
- ⁵⁵S. Leclaire, A. Parmigiani, O. Malaspinas, B. Chopard, and J. Latt, "Generalized three-dimensional lattice Boltzmann color-gradient method for immiscible two-phase pore-scale imbibition and drainage in porous media," *Phys. Rev. E* **95**, 033306 (2017).
- ⁵⁶P. L. Bhatnagar, E. P. Gross, and M. Krook, "A model for collision processes in gases. I. Small amplitude processes in charged and neutral one-component systems," *Phys. Rev.* **94**, 511–525 (1954).
- ⁵⁷S. Leclaire, M. Reggio, and J.-Y. Trépanier, "Isotropic color gradient for simulating very high-density ratios with a two-phase flow lattice Boltzmann model," *Comput. Fluids* **48**, 98–112 (2011).
- ⁵⁸T. Scheel, Q. Xie, M. Sega, and J. Harting, "Viscous to inertial coalescence of liquid lenses: A lattice Boltzmann investigation," *Phys. Rev. Fluids* **8**, 074201 (2023).
- ⁵⁹K. Connington and T. Lee, "A review of spurious currents in the lattice Boltzmann method for multiphase flows," *Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology* **26**, 3857–3863 (2012).
- ⁶⁰I. Ginzbourg and P. M. Adler, "Surface tension models with different viscosities," *Transport in Porous Media* **20**, 37–76 (1995).
- ⁶¹H. Liu, Q. Kang, C. R. Leonardi, S. Schmieschek, A. Narvaez Salazar, B. D. Jones, J. R. Williams, A. J. Valocchi, and J. Harting, "Multiphase lattice Boltzmann simulations for porous media applications - a review," *Computational Geosciences* **20**, 777–805 (2016).
- ⁶²A. Narvaez Salazar, T. Zauner, F. Raischel, R. Hilfer, and J. Harting, "Quantitative analysis of numerical estimates for the permeability of porous media from lattice-Boltzmann simulations," *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: theory and experiment* **2010**, P11026 (2010).
- ⁶³A. Narvaez Salazar, K. Yazdchi, S. Luding, and J. Harting, "From creeping to inertial flow in porous media: a lattice Boltzmann - finite element study," *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: theory and experiment* **2013**, P02038 (2013).
- ⁶⁴J. Ahn, Y. S. Park, S. Lee, J. Yang, J. Pyo, J. Lee, G. H. Kim, S. M. Choi, and S. K. Seol, "3D-printed NiFe-layered double hydroxide pyramid electrodes for enhanced electrocatalytic oxygen evolution reaction," *Scientific Reports* **12**, 346 (2022).
- ⁶⁵P. Lv, P. Peñas, H. Le The, J. Eijkel, A. van den Berg, X. Zhang, and D. Lohse, "Self-propelled detachment upon coalescence of surface bubbles," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 235501 (2021).
- ⁶⁶R. Iwata, L. Zhang, Z. Lu, S. Gong, J. Du, and E. N. Wang, "How coalescence

ing bubbles depart from a wall,” *Langmuir* **38**, 4371–4377 (2022).

⁶⁷M. Q. Raza, M. v. Köckritz, J. Sebilliau, C. Colin, M. Zupancic, M. Bucci, T. Troha, and I. Golobic, “Coalescence-induced jumping of bubbles in

shear flow in microgravity,” *Physics of Fluids* **35**, 023333 (2023).