Travelling waves in a minimal go-or-grow model of cell invasion

Carles Falcó^a, Rebecca M. Crossley^a, Ruth E. Baker^a

^aMathematical Institute, University of Oxford, OX2 6GG, Oxford, United Kingdom

Abstract

We consider a minimal go-or-grow model of cell invasion, whereby cells can either proliferate, following logistic growth, or move, via linear diffusion, and phenotypic switching between these two states is density-dependent. Formal analysis in the fast switching regime shows that the total cell density in the two-population go-or-grow model can be described in terms of a single reaction-diffusion equation with density-dependent diffusion and proliferation. Using the connection to single-population models, we study travelling wave solutions, showing that the wave speed in the go-or-grow model is always bounded by the wave speed corresponding to the well-known Fisher-KPP equation.

Keywords: travelling waves, go-or-grow, reaction-diffusion, fast reaction limit

1. Introduction

Reaction-diffusion equations are often used to describe the dynamics of invading species, such as cells, and often exhibit travelling wave solutions. A classic example of this is the Fisher-Kolmogorov-Petrovsky-Piskunov (FKPP) equation, which assumes that cells move via linear diffusion and proliferate following logistic growth [1, 2]. In the last decade however, so-called go-or-grow models [3, 4, 5, 6, 7] have been suggested to describe the dichotomous behaviour observed in experiments whereby an individual cell either proliferates slowly and migrates quickly, or proliferates quickly and migrates slowly [8, 9]. Under the go-or-grow hypothesis, cells are also able to transition between the migratory and proliferative states via a phenotypic switch. The mechanism underlying this switching behaviour is not yet fully understood [10, 11], but could be due to a variety of factors such as surrounding cell density, pressure or environmental features, amongst others. One way to model heterogeneous populations of cells under the go-or-grow hypothesis is to use systems of reaction-diffusion equations. For specific forms of the phenotypic switching functions, previous works study approximations of the travelling wave solutions by combining the method introduced by Canosa [12] with qualitative theory and numerical simulations [5, 6]. However, these studies also revealed notable differences between the minimum travelling wave speed predicted analytically and the speed observed numerically. In addition, minimal progress has been made to understand how invasion phenomena depend on the switching functions more generally [7].

In this work, we study invasion phenomena in a minimal go-or-grow model, whereby cells either proliferate or migrate, and switching between phenotypic states occurs via general switching functions. After presenting this model in Section 2, we first demonstrate, via a formal fast reaction limit, the connection between a model involving heterogeneous populations and a model consisting of a single population of cells, with density-dependent diffusion and proliferation terms. Interestingly, this reveals a connection between multiple phenotype models with density-dependent switching functions, and degenerate diffusion models consisting of a single phenotype, for which the standard linear stability analysis does not yield accurate travelling wave speed predictions [13, 14]. We then proceed in Section 3 to perform a travelling wave analysis of the minimal

^{*}Corresponding author.

Email addresses: falcoigandia@maths.ox.ac.uk (Carles Falcó), rebecca.crossley@maths.ox.ac.uk (Rebecca M. Crossley), ruth.baker@maths.ox.ac.uk (Ruth E. Baker)

go-or-grow model presented in this work and show that the minimum travelling wave speed emitted from the model does not exceed that of the FKPP equation. Furthermore, we demonstrate that for specific forms of the phenotypic switching function, solutions of the multiple phenotype model do not attain this minimum travelling wave speed. This provides an analytical explanation for the observed discrepancies in prior studies between the minimum wave speed predicted analytically and the numerically observed travelling wave speed [8].

2. A minimal go-or-grow model

We consider a heterogeneous cell population consisting of cells which are either proliferative or invasive. The density of the proliferative population is represented by $\rho_1(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and the density of the invasive population is given by $\rho_2(\mathbf{x}, t)$, for $(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, +\infty)$. For the sake of simplicity, we assume that invasive cells move via linear diffusion, and can switch to the proliferative phenotype at a rate given by the switching function $\Gamma_1(\rho)$, which depends on the total cell density $\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2$. On the other hand, we assume that the proliferative cells follow logistic growth, and can switch to the invasive phenotype at a rate given by $\Gamma_2(\rho)$. With this, the model reads

$$\partial_t \rho_1 = \Delta \rho_1 - \rho_1 \Gamma_1(\rho) + \rho_2 \Gamma_2(\rho) ,$$

$$\partial_t \rho_2 = \rho_2 (1 - \rho) + \rho_1 \Gamma_1(\rho) - \rho_2 \Gamma_2(\rho) .$$
(1)

Following from standard rescaling of space and time, the diffusion coefficient and the intrinsic proliferation rate in Eqs. (1) have been set to one without loss of generality. We also note that this model does not account for cell death, however apoptosis can be readily incorporated into the model, as demonstrated in [5, 6], without altering the analysis. The results in this work generalise directly to this scenario.

The model given in Eqs. (1) is studied in [5, 6] for specific choices of switching functions, which facilitate analytic tractability. Here, and unless stated otherwise, we keep $\Gamma_1(\rho)$ and $\Gamma_2(\rho)$ general. Following the biological intuition that regions of high cell density lead to contact inhibition or nutrient limitation, and hence to inhibition of proliferation [15, 16], typical switching functions are based on the assumption that $\Gamma_1(\rho)$ is non-increasing, and $\Gamma_2(\rho)$ is non-decreasing. While the model presented here is simpler, it remains closely related to those examined in [3, 4], which consider density-dependent diffusion, and in [7], which further incorporates the influence of the extracellular matrix on cell invasion.

2.1. Connecting heterogeneous to single-population models

We investigate the fast phenotypic switching limit of Eqs. (1). In other words, we assume $\Gamma_1(\rho) = \Gamma_1(\rho)/\epsilon$, and $\Gamma_2(\rho) = \tilde{\Gamma}_2(\rho)/\epsilon$ where $\tilde{\Gamma}_1(\rho)$, $\tilde{\Gamma}_2(\rho) \sim O(1)$ for $\epsilon \ll 1$. Upon formally taking the limit $\epsilon \to 0$ in Eqs. (1), we obtain $\rho_1 \tilde{\Gamma}_1(\rho) = \rho_2 \tilde{\Gamma}_2(\rho)$. Consider now the equation governing the evolution of the total cell density over time,

$$\partial_t \rho = \Delta \rho_1 + \rho_2 (1 - \rho) \,. \tag{2}$$

In the limit $\epsilon \to 0$, the two cell densities ρ_1 and ρ_2 can be related to the total cell density via

$$\rho_1 = \frac{\Gamma_2(\rho)}{\Gamma_1(\rho) + \Gamma_2(\rho)} \rho, \quad \rho_2 = \frac{\Gamma_1(\rho)}{\Gamma_1(\rho) + \Gamma_2(\rho)} \rho.$$
(3)

Combining Eqs. (2) and (3) we obtain the following equation for the evolution of the total cell density

$$\partial_t \rho = \nabla \cdot (D(\rho)\nabla\rho) + r(\rho)\rho, \qquad (4)$$

with the density-dependent diffusion coefficient $D(\rho)$, and proliferation rate $r(\rho)$ given by

$$D(\rho) = \frac{\Gamma_1(\rho)\Gamma'_2(\rho) - \Gamma'_1(\rho)\Gamma_2(\rho)}{(\Gamma_1(\rho) + \Gamma_2(\rho))^2} \rho + \frac{\Gamma_2(\rho)}{\Gamma_1(\rho) + \Gamma_2(\rho)}, \qquad r(\rho) = \frac{\Gamma_1(\rho)(1-\rho)}{\Gamma_1(\rho) + \Gamma_2(\rho)}.$$

In this case, assuming that Γ_1 is a non-increasing function of the total cell density is sufficient to show that the diffusion coefficient does not become negative, i.e. $D(\rho) \ge 0$ for any $\rho \ge 0$; and also that the per capita proliferation rate is a non-increasing function of density, i.e. $r'(\rho) \le 0$. As mentioned earlier, this assumption on Γ_1 aligns with basic biological intuition. Moreover, under the assumption that cells remain proliferative at low densities, so that $\Gamma_2(0) = 0$, we obtain that the diffusion coefficient follows $D(\rho) \sim 2\Gamma'_2(0)/\Gamma_1(0)\rho + O(\rho^2)$, which resembles typical non-linear equations with degenerate diffusion.

Finally, we highlight that in the case of constant switching rates $(\Gamma'_1 = \Gamma'_2 = 0)$ we recover the well-known FKPP equation [13]

$$\partial_t \rho = \theta \Delta \rho + (1 - \theta) \rho (1 - \rho) , \qquad (5)$$

where $\theta \in (0, 1)$ is determined by the constant ratio Γ_1/Γ_2 . Equations of this type often exhibit travelling wave solutions $\rho(\mathbf{x}, t) = U(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{x} - ct)$, where \mathbf{r} is the direction of propagation, and c corresponds to the wave speed. In particular, the FKPP equation (Eq. (5)) admits travelling wave solutions with constant speed $c \ge c_{\min} = 2\sqrt{\theta(1-\theta)}$. We note that $c_{\min} \le 1$ for $\theta \in (0, 1)$, which we use as a reference to compare with the speed of propagation of travelling wave solutions to Eqs. (1).

3. Travelling wave analysis for heterogeneous populations

We now revisit Eqs. (1) to analyse travelling wave solutions of the form: $\rho_1(\mathbf{x}, t) = U_1(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{x} - ct)$, $\rho_2(\mathbf{x}, t) = U_2(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{x} - ct)$. Fig. 1 shows travelling wave solutions of Eqs. (1) subject to different choices of the switching functions. By defining $z = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{x} - ct \in (-\infty, +\infty)$, and writing Eqs. (1) in travelling wave coordinates we obtain

$$c\frac{\mathrm{d}U_1}{\mathrm{d}z} + \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 U_1}{\mathrm{d}z^2} - U_1 \Gamma_1(U) + U_2 \Gamma_2(U) = 0,$$

$$c\frac{\mathrm{d}U_2}{\mathrm{d}z} + U_2(1-U) + U_1 \Gamma_1(U) - U_2 \Gamma_2(U) = 0,$$
(6)

where $U(z) = U_1(z) + U_2(z)$. Next, we look at steady states of the system (6).

First note that the origin $(U_1, U_2) = (0, 0)$ is always a steady state, and we assume $U_1, U_2 \to 0$ as $z \to +\infty$. The other steady states, corresponding to $z \to -\infty$, depend on the choice of phenotypic switching functions (see Fig. 1) and belong to the set $\{(U_1, U_2) \in [0, 1]^2 : U_1\Gamma_1(U) = U_2\Gamma_2(U), U_2(1 - U) = 0\}$. In particular, a steady state with $U_2 = 0$ can only occur if there exists a $U^* > 0$ such that $\Gamma_1(U^*) = 0$. In this case, we obtain

$$(U_1, U_2) = (U^*, 0).$$

If $\Gamma_1(U) > 0$ for all U > 0, then we obtain

$$(U_1, U_2) = \left(\frac{\Gamma_2(1)}{\Gamma_1(1) + \Gamma_2(1)}, \frac{\Gamma_1(1)}{\Gamma_1(1) + \Gamma_2(1)}\right).$$



Figure 1: Numerical solutions to Eqs. (1) subject to the initial conditions: $\rho_1(x,0) = \rho_2(x,0) = 0.2$ for x < 100, and $\rho_1(x,0) = \rho_2(x,0) = 0$ for $x \ge 100$, and for different choices of the switching functions Γ_1 , Γ_2 . Solutions are plotted at times t = 200, 300, 400, 500. Chosen switching functions are as follows: (a) $\Gamma_1(\rho) = 0.5$, $\Gamma_2(\rho) = 1$; (b) $\Gamma_1(\rho) = 0.5$, $\Gamma_2(\rho) = 1.5\rho$; (c) $\Gamma_1(\rho) = 0.5(1-\rho)$, $\Gamma_2(\rho) = 1.5\rho$; (d) $\Gamma_1(\rho) = 0.5(1-\rho^2/(0.5^2+\rho^2))$, $\Gamma_2(\rho) = 1.5\rho^2/(0.5^2+\rho^2)$.

3.1. Dispersion relation and minimum travelling wave speed

When seeking an expression for the travelling wave speed, c, it is often commonplace to perform a linear stability analysis centred around the origin, which often yields a lower bound on the speed: $c \ge c_{\min}$, for some minimum wave speed $c_{\min} \ge 0$. Unfortunately, for the model presented in this work, analytical progress following this approach is limited and instead we shift the investigation to identify the dispersion relation, which relates the travelling wave speed with the dynamics at the leading front. We observe that at the leading front, cell densities are small, and hence we can linearise Eqs. (6) to give

$$c\frac{dU_1}{dz} + \frac{d^2U_1}{dz^2} - \gamma_1 U_1 + \gamma_2 U_2 = 0,$$

$$c\frac{dU_2}{dz} + \gamma_1 U_1 + (1 - \gamma_2) U_2 = 0,$$
(7)

where we denote $\gamma_i = \Gamma_i(0)$ for i = 1, 2. By substituting the ansatz $U_1(z) \sim Ae^{-\sigma z}$, $U_2(z) \sim Be^{-\sigma z}$, for $z \gg 1$ and $A, B \ge 0$ constants, we obtain

$$\left(\underbrace{\begin{array}{cc} -c\sigma + \sigma^2 - \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 \\ \gamma_1 & -c\sigma + 1 - \gamma_2 \\ M \end{array}}_{M}\right) \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

In order to ensure A, $B \neq 0$, we require det M = 0, which gives

$$c^{2} - c\left(\sigma + \frac{1 - \gamma_{1} - \gamma_{2}}{\sigma}\right) + 1 - \gamma_{2} - \frac{\gamma_{1}}{\sigma^{2}} = 0.$$

The travelling wave speed, c, can then be solved for as a function of σ , giving the dispersion relation:

$$c(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \left(f(\sigma) + \sqrt{f(\sigma)^2 - 4(1 - \gamma_2) + \frac{4\gamma_1}{\sigma^2}} \right), \quad f(\sigma) = \sigma + \frac{1 - \gamma_1 - \gamma_2}{\sigma}.$$
 (8)

The expression above predicts the existence of a minimum travelling wave speed, c_{\min} for $\sigma = \sigma^*$, such that $c_{\min} = c(\sigma^*)$. Finding the minimum speed analytically seems challenging; instead we observe that c(1) = 1, and by differentiating $c(\sigma)$ with respect to σ and evaluating at $\sigma = 1$, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}c}{\mathrm{d}\sigma}\right)_{\sigma=1} = \frac{\gamma_2 - \gamma_1}{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}$$

Thus, for $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$, $\sigma^* = 1$ yields the minimum wave speed, and in this case it is given by $c_{\min} = 1$. On the other hand, when $\gamma_1 > \gamma_2$ we have $(dc/d\sigma)_{\sigma=1} < 0$, and $\sigma^* > 1$ with $c_{\min} < 1$. An analogous argument yields $\sigma^* < 1$ and $c_{\min} < 1$ for $\gamma_1 < \gamma_2$. Hence, the minimum travelling wave speed displayed by Eqs. (1) is, at most, the minimum travelling wave speed corresponding to the FKPP model, Eq. (5). Fig. 2a shows an excellent agreement between the numerically observed speed and the prediction from Eq. (8) for a fully linear model with constant switching functions. Next, we explore whether this agreement holds for more general nonlinear models.

3.2. Explicit expressions for the minimum travelling wave speed

Fully analytical expressions for c_{\min} can be obtained under the assumption that $\gamma_1 = 0$ or $\gamma_2 = 0$. We present first the $\gamma_2 = 0$ case as it represents a more biologically realistic scenario where cells remain proliferative at low densities. In this case, Eq. (8) simplifies to

$$c(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sigma + \frac{1 - \gamma_1}{\sigma} + \frac{\left| \sigma^2 - 1 - \gamma_1 \right|}{\sigma} \right) \,.$$



Figure 2: Relationship between the estimated numerical speed (dots) of travelling wave solutions to Eqs. (1), and the minimum wave speed predicted by Eq. (8) (dashed lines). Numerical wave speed estimated using a trapezoidal rule applied to the expression $c = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} U_2(z)(1 - U(z)) dz$, which follows from Eq. (6). Eqs. (1) are solved on a domain of length 7000, for a maximum simulation time of 6500, and initial conditions given by $\rho_1(x, 0) = \rho_2(x, 0) = 0.2$ if x < 100 and $\rho_1(x, 0) = \rho_2(x, 0) = 0$ if $x \ge 100$. Chosen switching functions are as follows: (a) $\Gamma_1(\rho) = \gamma_1, \Gamma_2(\rho) = \gamma_2$; (b) $\Gamma_1(\rho) = \gamma_1, \Gamma_2(\rho) = \beta\rho$; (c) $\Gamma_1(\rho) = \gamma_1(1 - \rho^2/(0.5^2 + \rho^2)), \Gamma_2(\rho) = \beta\rho^2/(0.5^2 + \rho^2).$

Note then that $c(\sigma)$ increases for $\sigma > \sqrt{1 + \gamma_1}$, and decreases for $\sigma < \sqrt{1 + \gamma_1}$. Hence, $\sigma^* = \sqrt{1 + \gamma_1}$, and

$$c_{\min} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\gamma_1}} \,. \tag{9}$$

When $\gamma_1 = 0$, a similar argument yields the minimum wave speed

$$c_{\min} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{1 - \gamma_2} & \text{for } \gamma_2 < 1 \,, \\ 0 & \text{for } \gamma_2 > 1 \,. \end{cases}$$

3.3. The minimum wave speed is not attainable when $\Gamma_2(0) = 0$

Based on the behaviour observed in Fig. 2b-c, we focus on the case $\gamma_2 = \Gamma_2(0) = 0$, with $\Gamma_2 \neq 0$ to ensure that travelling wave solutions exist. By linearising Eqs. (6) subject to $\Gamma_2(0) = 0$, representing the region near the invading front where cell densities are low, we obtain

$$c\frac{\mathrm{d}U_1}{\mathrm{d}z} + \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 U_1}{\mathrm{d}z^2} - \gamma_1 U_1 = 0,$$

$$c\frac{\mathrm{d}U_2}{\mathrm{d}z} + \gamma_1 U_1 + U_2 = 0.$$

Solving this system of equations, subject to $U_1, U_2 \to 0$ as $z \to \infty$, yields

$$U_1(z) = Ae^{-\lambda(c)z}, \quad U_2(z) = \frac{\gamma_1}{c\lambda(c) - 1} Ae^{-\lambda(c)z}, \quad \lambda(c) = \frac{1}{2} \left(c + \sqrt{c^2 + 4\gamma_1} \right),$$

for an arbitrary constant A > 0. Positivity of travelling wave solutions (i.e. $U_1, U_2 \ge 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{R}$) requires $c\lambda(c) - 1 > 0$, which is only satisfied when $c > c_{\min}$ (as in Eq. (9)). In particular, we obtain that travelling wave solutions for $\Gamma_2(0) = 0$ exhibit a wave speed exceeding c_{\min} , i.e. $c > c_{\min}$ when $\Gamma_2(0) = 0$.

4. Discussion and open problems

In this work, we examined a minimal go-or-grow model, where cells can either proliferate following logistic growth or move through linear diffusion. Via a formal fast reaction limit in the fast phenotypic switching regime, we revealed a connection between heterogeneous models and single-population models. This relationship implies an approximate equivalence between discrete-state representations of cell populations and models where diffusion and proliferation rates are determined by density. Moreover, our analysis suggests that the invasion speed in travelling wave solutions of heterogeneous models is bounded by the wave speed of solutions to single-populations models. We highlight that this phenomenon is likely to change when energy or resource constraints associated to diffusion and proliferation are taken into account [7]. More research is needed, however, to better understand how to model such energy constraints and their impact on cell invasion.

Furthermore, our travelling wave analysis also reveals a lower bound on the invasion speed of travelling wave solutions to go-or-grow models. This estimate, based on a linear stability analysis, breaks down for nonlinear models (see Fig. 2b-c), as observed in similar models [5, 14, 17, 18]. Numerically, we observe that $c_{\min} \leq c \leq 1$ for the model given by Eqs. (6), and that $c \to 1$ as the nonlinear switching rates increase. Although this behaviour intuitively makes sense when considering the connection to single-population models, we do not currently have a rigorous proof. We leave these conjectures for further investigation.

Acknowledgments

RMC is supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EP/T517811/1) and the Oxford-Wolfson-Marriott scholarship at Wolfson College, University of Oxford. This work was supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (MP-SIP-00001828, REB).

References

- [1] R. A. Fisher. The wave of advance of advantageous genes. Annals of Eugenics, 7(4):355–369, 1937.
- [2] A. N. Kolmogorov, I. Petrovskii, and N. S. Piskunov. A study of the equation of diffusion with increase in the quantity of matter, and its application to a biological problem. *Moskovskogo University Bulletin of Mathematics*, 1(6):1–25, 1937.
- P. Gerlee and S. Nelander. The impact of phenotypic switching on glioblastoma growth and invasion. PLoS Computational Biology, 8(6):e1002556, 2012.
- [4] P. Gerlee and S. Nelander. Travelling wave analysis of a mathematical model of glioblastoma growth. Mathematical Biosciences, 276:75–81, 2016.
- [5] T. L. Stepien, E. M. Rutter, and Y. Kuang. Traveling waves of a go-or-grow model of glioma growth. SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics, 78(3):1778–1801, 2018.
- [6] A. Tursynkozha, A. Kashkynbayev, B. Shupeyeva, E. M. Rutter, and Y. Kuang. Traveling wave speed and profile of a "go or grow" glioblastoma multiforme model. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, 118:107008, 2023.
- [7] R. M. Crossley, K. J. Painter, T. Lorenzi, P. K. Maini, and R. E. Baker. Phenotypic switching mechanisms determine the structure of cell migration into extracellular matrix under the 'go-or-grow' hypothesis. arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.07279, 2024.
- [8] A. M. Stein, T. Demuth, D. Mobley, M. Berens, and L. M. Sander. A mathematical model of glioblastoma tumor spheroid invasion in a three-dimensional in vitro experiment. *Biophysical Journal*, 92(1):356–365, 2007.
- [9] K. S. Hoek, O. M. Eichhoff, N. C. Schlegel, U. Döbbeling, N. Kobert, L. Schaerer, S. Hemmi, and R. Dummer. In vivo switching of human melanoma cells between proliferative and invasive states. *Cancer Research*, 68(3):650–656, 2008.
- [10] D. Tosh and J. M. W. Slack. How cells change their phenotype. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology, 3(3):187–194, 2002.
- P. J. Quesenberry and J. M. Aliotta. Cellular phenotype switching and microvesicles. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, 62(12):1141–1148, 2010.
- [12] J. Canosa. On a nonlinear diffusion equation describing population growth. IBM Journal of Research and Development, 17(4):307–313, 1973.
- [13] J. D. Murray. Mathematical Biology I: An Introduction. Springer New York, 2001.
- [14] M. El-Hachem, S. W. McCue, and M. J. Simpson. Travelling wave analysis of cellular invasion into surrounding tissues. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 428:133026, 2021.
- [15] A. Puliafito, L. Hufnagel, P. Neveu, S. Streichan, A. Sigal, D. K. Fygenson, and B. I. Shraiman. Collective and single cell behavior in epithelial contact inhibition. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(3):739–744, 2012.
- [16] C. Falcó, D. J. Cohen, J. A. Carrillo, and R. E. Baker. Mechanical constraints and cell cycle regulation in models of collective cell migration. arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.08805, 2024.
- [17] C. Colson, F. Sánchez-Garduño, H. M. Byrne, P. K. Maini, and T. Lorenzi. Travelling-wave analysis of a model of tumour invasion with degenerate, cross-dependent diffusion. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A*, 477(2256):20210593, 2021.
- [18] R. M. Crossley, P. K. Maini, T. Lorenzi, and R. E. Baker. Traveling waves in a coarse-grained model of volume-filling cell invasion: Simulations and comparisons. *Studies in Applied Mathematics*, 151(4):1471–1497, 2023.