An improvement and generalization of Rotfel'd type inequalities for sectorial matrices

Fanghong Nan¹, Teng Zhang²

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, China

Abstract

By using equivalence conditions for sectorial matrices obtained by Alakhrass and Sababheh in 2020, we improve a Rotfel'd type inequality for sectorial matrices derived by P. Zhang in 2015 and generalize a result derived by Y. Mao et al. in 2024.

Keywords: Polar decomposition, Rotfel'd theorem, sectorial matrix. 2010 MSC: 15A45, 15A60

1. Introduction

Let \mathbb{M}_n be the set of all $n \times n$ complex matrices. The set of $n \times n$ positive semidefinite matrices is denoted by \mathbb{M}_n^+ . A norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{M}_n is unitarily invariant if $\|UAV\| = \|A\|$ for any $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$ and unitary matrices $U, V \in \mathbb{M}_n$. For $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$, we denote by A^* and $|A| = (A^*A)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ the conjugate transpose and the modulus of A, respectively. We denote the j-th largest singular value of A by $\sigma_j(A)$. If Ais Hermitian, then its eigenvalues of A are real and its j-th largest eigenvalue is written as $\lambda_j(A)$. Note that $\sigma_j(A) = \lambda_j(|A|), j = 1, \dots, n$. For two Hermitian matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{M}_n$, we write $A \leq B$ to mean $B - A \in \mathbb{M}_n^+$.

For $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$, we can write

$$A = \Re A + i\Im A,$$

Preprint submitted to Elsevier

¹Email: nfh1108@stu.xjtu.edu.cn

²Email: teng.zhang@stu.xjtu.edu.cn

where

$$\Re A = \frac{1}{2}(A + A^*), \quad \Im A = \frac{1}{2i}(A - A^*).$$

This is called the Cartesian decomposition of A.

The numerical range of $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$ is defined by

$$W(A) = \{ x^* A x | x \in \mathbb{C}^n, x^* x = 1 \}.$$

Sectorial matrices were defined in [3] as an extension of the definition of the positive matrices. For $\alpha \in [0, \pi/2)$, we define a sector on the complex plane

$$S_{\alpha} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n | \Re z \ge 0, |\Im z| \le (\Re z) \tan \alpha \}.$$

A matrix $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$ whose numerical range is a subset of a sector S_α , for some $\alpha \in [0, \pi/2)$, is called a sectorial matrix. Relevant studies of sectorial matrices can be found in Drury and Lin [5], Zhang [12] and references therein. Note that if $\alpha = 0$, then a sectorial matrix A is a positive matrix. It is obvious, from the definition of sectorial matrices that if $W(A) \subseteq S_\alpha$ for some α , then $\Re A \in \mathbb{M}_n^+$.

Consider a partitioned matrix $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$ in the form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where diagonal blocks } A_{11} \text{ and } A_{22} \text{ are square.}$$
(1)

Let A, B be positive semidefinite matrices and let f(x) be a non-negative concave function on $[0, \infty)$. In [10], Rotfel'd proved a subadditivity result for concave functions of sums of singular values of operators. In [8], Lee proved the following result which is considered as an extension of the classic Rotfel'd theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Let $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$ be positive semidefinite and be partitioned as in (1), and let $f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a concave function. Then for any unitarily invariant norm $\|\cdot\|$ it holds

$$||f(A)|| \le ||f(A_{11})|| + ||f(A_{22})||.$$

In [13], Zhang considered sectorial matrices and obtained the following extension of Lee's result. **Theorem 1.2.** [13, Theorem 3.4] Let $f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a concave function and let A with $W(A) \subseteq S_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in [0, \pi/2)$ be partitioned as in (1). Then

$$||f(|A|)|| \le ||f(|A_{11}|)|| + ||f(|A_{22}|)|| + 2(||f(\tan(\alpha)|A_{11}|)|| + ||f(\tan(\alpha)|A_{22}|)||).$$

Zhang also obtained the following result:

Theorem 1.3. [13, Corollary 3.3] Let $f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a concave function and let A with $W(A) \subseteq S_{\pi/4}$ be partitioned as in (1). Then

$$||f(|A|)|| \le 2(||f(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}|A_{11}|)|| + ||f(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}|A_{22}|)||).$$

Zhang [13] asked whether the coefficient 2 in Theorem 1.3 can be replaced by 1. Several authors have considered this problem and given solutions under certain conditions. In [7], Hou and Zhang gave an answer to the problem when $\Im A \in \mathbb{M}_n^+$. In [14], when A is normal, Zhao and Ni affirmed this problem. They proved that

Theorem 1.4. [14, Theorem 3.4] Let A be partitioned as in (1). Suppose $f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is a concave function and let A with $W(A) \subseteq S_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in [0, \pi/2)$ and A is normal. and Then

$$||f(|A|)|| \le ||f(|A_{11}|)|| + ||f(|A_{22}|)|| + ||f(\tan(\alpha)|A_{11}|)|| + ||f(\tan(\alpha)|A_{22}|)||.$$

Recently, Yang, Lu and Chen [11] improved Theorem ??. They proved

Theorem 1.5. [11, Theorem 3.1] Let $f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a concave function and let A with $W(A) \subseteq S_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in [0, \pi/2)$ and assume A is normal, and let Abe partitioned as in (1). Then

$$||f(|A|)|| \le ||f(\sec \alpha |A_{11}|)|| + ||f(\sec \alpha |A_{22}|)||.$$

Fu and Liu [6] also obtained the following generalization of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.6. [6, Theorem 3] Let $f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a concave function and let A with $W(A) \subseteq S_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in [0, \pi/2)$ be partitioned as in (1). Then

$$||f(|A|)|| \le ||f(\sec^2 \alpha |A_{11}|)|| + ||f(\sec^2 \alpha |A_{22}|)||.$$
(2)

In [9], the authors gave a generalization of Theorem 1.3 to a general angle $\alpha \in [0, \pi/2)$.

Theorem 1.7. [9, Theorem 2.7] Let $f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a concave function and let A with $W(A) \subseteq S_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in [0, \pi/2)$ be partitioned as in (1). Then for any unitarily invariant norm $\|\cdot\|$ it holds

$$\|f(|A|)\| \le 2\left(\|f\left(\frac{\sec \alpha}{2}|A_{11}|\right)\| + \|f\left(\frac{\sec \alpha}{2}|A_{22}|\right)\|\right).$$

In this paper, we improve Theorem 1.2 and give a generalization of Theorem 1.7.

2. Improvements of Rotfel'd theorem for sectorial matrices

In this section, we first list a few lemmas that are useful to derive our main results.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\begin{bmatrix} A & X \\ X^* & B \end{bmatrix}$ partitioned into four blocks in \mathbb{M}_n be positive semidefinite. Then exists some unitary matrix U, for every s > 0,

$$|X| \le \frac{s}{2}U^*AU + \frac{1}{2s}B_s$$

and exists some unitary matrix V, for every s > 0,

$$|X^*| \le \frac{s}{2}A + \frac{1}{2s}V^*BV.$$

Proof. Let the polar decomposition of X^* be $X^* = U|X^*|$ and s > 0. Notice that

$$\begin{bmatrix} sI & -U^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & X \\ X^* & B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} sI \\ -U \end{bmatrix} = s^2 A - sU^* X^* - sXU + U^* BU$$
$$= s^2 A - 2s |X^*| + U^* BU \ge 0.$$

That is,

$$|X^*| \le \frac{s}{2}A + \frac{1}{2s}U^*BU.$$
 (3)

Note that

Note that

$$\begin{bmatrix} B & X^* \\ X & A \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & X \\ X^* & B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix} \ge 0,$$
Applying (3) to
$$\begin{bmatrix} B & X^* \\ X & A \end{bmatrix}$$
 and replacing *s* by 1/*s* yield the desired result.

Lemma 2.2. [2, Theorem 2.2] Let $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$ and $\alpha \in [0, \pi/2)$. Then the following relations are equivalent:

(1)
$$W(A) \subseteq S_{\alpha}$$
.
(2) $\begin{bmatrix} \sec(\alpha)\Re A & A^* \\ A & \sec(\alpha)\Re A \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$.
(3) $\begin{bmatrix} \tan(\alpha)\Re A & \Im A \\ \Im A & \tan(\alpha)\Re A \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$.

Lemma 2.3. [4, Theorem III.5.6] Let $A, B \in \mathbb{M}_n$. Then there exist two unitary matrices U, V such that

$$|A + B| \le U|A|U^* + V|B|V^*.$$

Lemma 2.4. [1, Theorem 2.1] Let $f: [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ be a concave function and A, $B \in \mathbb{M}_n^+$. Then there exist two unitary matrices $U, V \in \mathbb{M}_n$ such that

$$f(A+B) \le U^* f(A)U + V^* f(B)V.$$

A celebrated result due to Fan and Hoffman (see, e.g.[4, p.73]) is as follows.

Lemma 2.5. Let $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$. Then

$$\lambda_j(\Re A) \le \sigma_j(A), \quad j = 1, \cdots, n.$$

Equivalently,

$$\Re A \le U|A|U^*,$$

for some unitary matrix $U \in \mathbb{M}_n$.

Lemma 2.6. Let $A \ge B \ge 0$ and $f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a concave function. Then

$$||f(A)|| \ge ||f(B)||.$$

Proof. Note that $f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is a concave function implies f is nondecreasing. By using Weyl Monotonicity Theorem [4, p. 63], we have $\lambda_j(f(A)) = f(\lambda_j(A)) \ge f(\lambda_j(B)) = \lambda_j f((B)), 1 \le j \le n$. Thus, $||f(A)|| \ge ||f(B)||$.

It immediately follows from 2.5 that

Corollary 2.7. Let A be a sectorial matrix and $f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a concave function. Then

$$||f(\Re A)|| \le ||f(|A|)||.$$

Next, we present our main results.

Theorem 2.8. Let $f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a concave function and let A with $W(A) \subseteq S_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in [0, \pi/2)$ be partitioned as in (1). Then for every s > 0,

$$\|f(|A|)\| \le \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left(\|f(|A_{ii}|)\| + \|f(\frac{\sin\alpha}{2}|A_{ii}|)\| + \|f(\frac{\tan\alpha}{2s}|A_{ii}|\| \right)$$

Proof. Let the Cartesian decomposition of A be $A = \Re A + i \Im A$ and s > 0. Then from Lemma 2.2, we know that $\begin{bmatrix} \tan(\alpha) \Re A & \Im A \\ \Im A & \tan(\alpha) \Re A \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$. So by Theorem 2.1, we have

$$|\Im A| \le \frac{s \tan \alpha}{2} \Re A + \frac{\tan \alpha}{2s} U^* \Re A U \tag{4}$$

for some unitary matrix $U \in \mathbb{M}_n$. Thus,

$$|A| = |\Re A + i\Im A|$$

$$\leq U_1 \Re A U_1^* + V_1 |\Im A| V_1^* \text{ (By Lemma 2.3)}$$

$$\leq U_1 \Re A U_1^* + \frac{\tan \alpha}{2} V_1 (s \Re A + s^{-1} U^* \Re A U) V_1^* \text{ (By (4))}$$
(5)

for two unitary matrices $U_1, V_1 \in \mathbb{M}_n$.

By Lemma 2.4, there exists three unitary matrices $U_2, V_2, V \in \mathbb{M}_n$ such that

$$f(U_1 \Re A U_1^* + \frac{\tan \alpha}{2} V_1(s \Re A + s^{-1} U^* \Re A U) V_1^*) \le U_2 f(\Re A) U_2^* + V_2 f(\frac{s \tan \alpha}{2} \Re A) V_2^* + V f(\frac{\tan \alpha}{2s} \Re A) V^*.$$
(6)

Since $f:[0,\infty)\to [0,\infty)$ is concave, then f is non-decreasing. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(|A|)\| &\leq \|f(U_1 \Re A U_1^* + \frac{\tan \alpha}{2} V_1(s \Re A + s^{-1} U^* \Re A U) V_1^*)\| \text{ (By (5) and Lemma 2.6)} \\ &\leq \|U_2 f(\Re A) U_2^* + V_2 f(\frac{s \tan \alpha}{2} \Re A) V_2^* + V f(\frac{\tan \alpha}{2s} \Re A) V^*\| \text{ (By (6) and Lemma 2.6))} \\ &\leq \|f(\Re A)\| + \|f(\frac{s \tan \alpha}{2} \Re A)\| + \|f(\frac{\tan \alpha}{2s} \Re A)\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\|f(\Re A_{ii})\| + \|f(\frac{s \tan \alpha}{2} \Re A_{ii})\| + \|f(\frac{\tan \alpha}{2s} \Re A_{ii})\| \right) \\ &\qquad \text{(Since } \Re A = \left[\frac{\Re A_{11}}{\frac{A_{12}^* + A_{21}}{2}} \Re A_{22} \right] \geq 0, \text{ then by Theorem 1.1)} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\|f(|A_{ii}|)\| + \|f(\frac{s \tan \alpha}{2} |A_{ii}|)\| + \|f(\frac{\tan \alpha}{2s} |A_{ii})\| \right) \text{ (By Corollary 2.7)} \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.9. When $s \in [1, 2]$, Theorem 2.8 is stronger than Theorem 1.2. For instance, under same assumptions of Theorem 1.2, in Theorem 2.8, for s = 1, we have

$$\|f(|A|)\| \le \|f(|A_{11}|)\| + \|f(|A_{22}|)\| + 2\left(\|f(\frac{\tan\alpha}{2}|A_{11}|)\| + \|f(\frac{\tan\alpha}{2}|A_{22}|)\|\right);$$

for s = 2, we have

$$\|f(|A|)\| \leq \|f(|A_{11}|)\| + \|f(|A_{11}|)\| + \|f(\tan \alpha |A_{11}|)\| + \|f(\tan \alpha |A_{22}|)\|$$

$$+ \|f(\frac{\tan \alpha}{4} |A_{11}|)\| + \|f(\frac{\tan \alpha}{4} |A_{22}|)\|.$$

Corollary 2.10. Let $f(t) = t^p$, 0 . For <math>s = 1 and let A with $W(A) \subseteq S_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in [0, \pi/2)$ be partitioned as in (1). Then we have

$$|||A|^p|| \le (1 + 2^{1-p} (\tan \alpha)^p) (|||A_{11}|^p|| + |||A_{22}|^p||).$$

Similarly, we can also get a generalization of Theorem 1.7 along a different path from Y. Mao in [9].

Theorem 2.11. Let $f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a concave function and let A with $W(A) \subseteq S_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in [0, \pi/2)$ be partitioned as in (1). Then for any s > 0,

$$\|f(|A|)\| \le \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left(\|f\left(\frac{s \sec \alpha}{2} |A_{ii}|\right)\| + \|f\left(\frac{\sec \alpha}{2s} |A_{ii}|\right)\| \right).$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, we know

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sec(\alpha) \Re A & A^* \\ A & \sec(\alpha) \Re A \end{bmatrix} \ge 0.$$

By using Lemma 2.1, it implies that

$$|A| \le \frac{\sec \alpha}{2} (s \Re A + s^{-1} U^* \Re A U), \text{ for any } s > 0.$$

$$\tag{7}$$

So by Lemma 2.4, there exists two unitary matrices $U_1, V_1 \in \mathbb{M}_n$ such that

$$f(\frac{\sec\alpha}{2}(s\Re A + s^{-1}U^*\Re AU)) \le U_1f(\frac{s\sec\alpha}{2}\Re A)U_1^* + V_1f(\frac{\sec\alpha}{2s}\Re A)V_1^*.$$
 (8)

Since $f:[0,\infty)\to [0,\infty)$ is concave, then f is non-decreasing. Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \|f(|A|)\| &\leq \|f(\frac{\sec \alpha}{2}(s\Re A + s^{-1}U^*\Re AU))\| \text{ (By (7)) and Lemma 2.6)} \\ &\leq \|U_1f(\frac{s\sec \alpha}{2}\Re A)U_1^* + V_1f(\frac{\sec \alpha}{2s}\Re A)V_1^*\| \text{ (By (8)) and Lemma 2.6)} \\ &\leq \|f(\frac{s\sec \alpha}{2}\Re A)\| + \|f(\frac{\sec \alpha}{2s}\Re A)\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\|f(\frac{s\sec \alpha}{2}\Re A_{ii})\| + \|f(\frac{\sec \alpha}{2s}\Re A_{ii})\|\right) \\ &\text{ (Since } \Re A = \left[\frac{\Re A_{11}}{\frac{A_{12}^* + A_{21}}{2}}{\Re A_{22}}\right] \geq 0, \text{ then by Theorem 1.1)} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\|f(\frac{s\sec \alpha}{2}|A_{ii}|)\| + \|f(\frac{\sec \alpha}{2s}|A_{ii}|)\|\right) \text{ (By Corollary 2.7)} \end{split}$$

Remark 2.12. For s = 1 in Theorem 2.11, we obtain the result in 1.7.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank professor M. Lin for introducing this topic and discussing with us heartily.

References

- J.S. Aujla, J.-C. Bourin, Eigenvalues inequalities for convex and log-convex functions, Linear Algebra Appl. 424 (2007) 25-35.
- [2] M. Alakhrass, M. Sababheh, Lieb functions and sectorial matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 586 (2020) 308-324.
- [3] Yu.M. Arlinski, A.B. Popov, On sectorial matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 370 (2003) 133-146.
- [4] R. Bhatia, Matrix Analysis, Springer, 1997.
- [5] S. Drury, M. Lin, Singular value inequalities for matrices with numerical ranges in a sector, Oper. Matrices 8 (2014) 1143-1148.
- [6] X. Fu, Y. Liu, Rotfel'd inequality for partitioned matrices with numerical ranges in a sector, Linear Multilinear Algebra 64 (2016) 105-109.
- [7] L. Hou, D. Zhang, Concave functions of partitioned matrices with numerical ranges in a sector, Math Inequal. Appl. 20 (2017) 583-589.
- [8] E.-Y. Lee, Extension of Rotfel'd theorem, Linear Algebra Appl. 435 (2011) 735-741.
- [9] Y. Mao, G. Ji, On Rotfel'd type inequalities for sectorial matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 691 (2024) 123-132.
- [10] S. Ju. Rotfel'd, The singular values of a sum of completely continuous operators, Top. Math. Phys., vol. 3, Consultants Bureau, 1969, pp. 73-78.
- [11] J. Yang, L. Lu, Z. Chen, A refinement of Rotfel'd type inequality for partitioned matrices with numerical ranges in a sector, Linear Multilinear Algebra 67 (2019) 1719-1726.
- [12] F. Zhang, A matrix decomposition and its applications, Linear Multilinear Algebra 63 (2015) 2033-2042.

- [13] P. Zhang, A further extension of Rotfel'd theorem, Linear Multilinear Algebra 63 (2015) 2511-2517.
- [14] J. Zhao, K. Ni, Some extensions of Rotfel'd theorem, Linear Multilinear Algebra 66 (2018) 410-417.