MODIFIED BERGMAN SPACES ON THE UNIT BALL OF \mathbb{C}^n AND APPLICATIONS

HAJER BEN AMOR AND NOUREDDINE GHILOUFI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce new spaces of holomorphic functions on the unit ball \mathbb{B}_n of \mathbb{C}^n generalizing the classical Bergman spaces. The main results include the properties of some operators and integrals representations such as Bergman-type projections, and Berezin transform.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

The early 1970s marked the beginning of Bergman space notion, which found more and more applications in mathematics, especially in complex analysis of both one and several complex variables, and geometry. A fundamental concept is the reproducing kernel for Hilbert spaces, which is named after Stefan Bergman. Moreover, the above notation plays an essential role in the topological properties of Bergman spaces.

In recent years, the classical Bergman spaces have been extended to what we call modified Bergman spaces, so in this paper, we will study some properties of these spaces for the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n by introducing a new set of holomorphic functions related to a specific probability measure $d\mu_{a,b}$, for a > -1 and b > -n, such that if we take b = 0, we re-obtain the classical case developed in [15].

This paper is divided into five parts. In the first, we introduce some notations and results that we will use in the rest of the paper. Then, we present some preliminary results on the reproducing kernel $\mathbb{K}_{a,b}$ of the modified Bergman space $\mathcal{A}_{a,b}^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$, and the boundedness of the projection from $L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{a,b})$ onto $\mathcal{A}_{a,b}^p(\mathbb{B}_n)$ for $1 \leq p < +\infty$. In the 3rd section, we provide some properties for the Berezin transform $\mathbf{B}_{a,b}$, which was originally introduced by Berezin to solve certain physical problems. In the 4th section, we review the Bergman-Poincaré metric and introduce the concept of BMO (bounded mean oscillation) functions. In the last section, we investigate the location of the zeros of the hypergeometric function $Q_{a,b}$ by considering some special cases of the parameters a and b.

Throughout the paper, for every $z = (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n)$, $w = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ we set $\langle z, w \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j \overline{w}_j$ the Euclidean inner product on \mathbb{C}^n and $|z| = \sqrt{\langle z, z \rangle}$ the Euclidean norm. We denote by $B(z_0, r_0)$ the ball of \mathbb{C}^n with center z_0 and radius $r_0 > 0$. i.e. $B(z_0, r_0) := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n, |z - z_0| < r_0\}$. For the unit ball, we use \mathbb{B}_n instead of B(0, 1). If $z = (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ is a multi-indexes of non-negative integers $(\alpha_j \ge 0)$, we set $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$, $\alpha! = \alpha_1! \ldots \alpha_n!$ and $z^{\alpha} = z_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots z_n^{\alpha_n}$.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 47G10, 32A25, 32A36, 32A37.

Key words and phrases. modified Bergman spaces, Reproducing Kernels, Berezin transform, BMO..

Many results will be described in terms of the hypergeometric functions $_qF_s$ defined by

$${}_{q}F_{s}\begin{pmatrix}c_{1},\ldots,c_{q}\\d_{1},\ldots,d_{s}\end{vmatrix}z = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\frac{(c_{1})_{n}\ldots(c_{q})_{n}}{(d_{1})_{n}\ldots(d_{s})_{n}}\frac{z^{n}}{n!}$$

where $(c)_n$ is the Pochhammer symbol given by $(c)_n = 1$ if n = 0 and $(c)_n = c(c+1) \dots (c+n-1)$ for every $n \ge 1$. We claim that for every $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}^-$, $(c)_n = \frac{\Gamma(c+n)}{\Gamma(c)}$.

For every a > -1 and b > -n, we consider the positive measure $d\mu_{a,b}(z)$ on \mathbb{B}_n defined by:

$$d\mu_{a,b}(z) = \frac{(n-1)!}{\pi^n \beta(a+1,b+n)} |z|^{2b} (1-|z|^2)^a d\lambda_n(z),$$

where λ_n is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C}^n and β is the beta-function defined by

$$\beta(s,t) = \int_0^1 x^{s-1} (1-x)^{t-1} dx = \frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(t)}{\Gamma(s+t)} \quad \forall s,t > 0.$$

The constants above are chosen so that $d\mu_{a,b}(z)$ becomes a probability measure on \mathbb{B}_n . For every $0 , we denote by <math>\mathcal{A}^p_{a,b}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ the Bergman-type space defined as the set of holomorphic functions on \mathbb{B}_n that belong to the space

 $L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{a,b}) = \{f : \mathbb{B}_n \to \mathbb{C} \text{ measurable function such that } \|f\|_{a,b,p} < +\infty\},\$

where

$$||f||_{a,b,p}^p := \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|^p d\mu_{a,b}(z).$$

If there is no ambiguity, we denote $||f||_p$ instead of $||f||_{a,b,p}$.

Remark 1. Suppose $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ is a multi-index of nonnegative integers. Then

(1.1)
$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |\xi^{\alpha}|^2 d\sigma_n(\xi) = \frac{2\pi^n \alpha!}{(|\alpha| + n - 1)!}$$

Proposition 1.1. For any $0 < r_0 < 1$, there exists $c(r_0) = c_{a,b,n}(r_0)$ such that for any 0 $and <math>f \in \mathcal{A}_{a,b}^p(\mathbb{B}_n)$, we have

$$\sup_{z \in \overline{B}(0,r_0)} |f(z)|^p \le \frac{n\beta(a+1,b+n)}{c(r_0)} ||f||_p^p.$$

It is possible to choose

$$c(r_0) = r_1^{2n} \mathfrak{a}(r_0) \mathfrak{b}(r_0) \quad with \quad r_1 = \frac{1}{2} \min(r_0, 1 - r_0),$$
$$\mathfrak{a}(r_0) := \begin{cases} \left(1 - (r_0 + r_1)^2\right)^a & \text{if} \quad a \ge 0\\ \left(1 - (r_0 - r_1)^2\right)^a & \text{if} \quad -1 < a < 0. \end{cases}$$

$$\mathfrak{b}(r_0) := \begin{cases} (r_0 - r_1)^{2b} & \text{if } b \ge 0, \\ (r_0 + r_1)^{2b} & \text{if } -n < b < 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $0 < r_0 < 1$, $0 be two fixed real numbers and <math>f \in \mathcal{A}^p_{a,b}(\mathbb{B}_n)$. Then there exists z_0 with $|z_0| = r_0$ such that

$$\sup_{B(0,r_0)} |f|^p = |f(z_0)|^p.$$

In view of the sub-harmonicity of $|f|^p$, we obtain

$$|f(z_0)|^p \le \frac{n!}{\pi^n r_1^{2n}} \int_{B(z_0, r_1)} |f(w)|^p d\lambda_n(w), \text{ where } r_1 = \frac{1}{2} \min(r_0, 1 - r_0),$$

then

$$|f(z_0)|^p \le \frac{n\beta(a+1,b+n)}{r_1^{2n}} \int_{B(z_0,r_1)} \frac{|f(w)|^p}{|w|^{2b}(1-|w|^2)^a} d\mu_{a,b}(w).$$

If $w \in B(z_0, r_1)$, then $r_0 - r_1 < |w| < r_0 + r_1$. Thus we obtain

$$|w|^{2b} \ge \mathfrak{b}(r_0) := \begin{cases} (r_0 - r_1)^{2b} & \text{if } b \ge 0, \\ (r_0 + r_1)^{2b} & \text{if } -n < b < 0. \end{cases}$$

and

$$(1 - |w|^2)^a \ge \mathfrak{a}(r_0) := \begin{cases} \left(1 - (r_0 - r_1)^2\right)^a & \text{if } a \ge 0, \\ \left(1 - (r_0 + r_1)^2\right)^a & \text{if } -1 < a < 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$|f(z_0)|^p \le \frac{n\beta(a+1,b+n)}{r_1^{2n}\mathfrak{a}(r_0)\mathfrak{b}(r_0)} \int_{B(z_0,r_1)} |f(w)|^p d\mu_{a,b}(w)$$

$$\le \frac{n\beta(a+1,b+n)}{r_1^{2n}\mathfrak{a}(r_0)\mathfrak{b}(r_0)} ||f||_p^p.$$

Corollary 1.2. For every a > 0, b > -n and $0 , the space <math>\mathcal{A}_{a,b}^{p}(\mathbb{B}_{n})$ is closed in $L^{p}(\mathbb{B}_{n}, d\mu_{a,b})$, and for any $z \in \mathbb{B}_{n}$, the linear form $\delta_{z} : \mathcal{A}_{a,b}^{p}(\mathbb{B}_{n}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\delta_{z}(f) = f(z)$ is bounded on $\mathcal{A}_{a,b}^{p}(\mathbb{B}_{n})$.

Proof. Let $(f_n)_n \subset \mathcal{A}^p_{a,b}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ be a sequence that converges to $f \in L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{a,b})$. Thanks to the previous proposition, the sequence $(f_n)_n$ converges to f uniformly on every compact subset of \mathbb{B}_n . Hence, the function f is holomorphic on \mathbb{B}_n , and we conclude that $f \in \mathcal{A}^p_{a,b}(\mathbb{B}_n)$. In addition, we have from the previous proposition:

$$|\delta_z(f)| = |f(z)| \le C ||f||_p$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{A}_{a,b}^{p}(\mathbb{B}_{n})$. This shows the continuity of the Dirac form δ_{z} on $\mathcal{A}_{a,b}^{p}(\mathbb{B}_{n})$.

2. Reproducing Kernel of $\mathcal{A}^2_{a,b}(\mathbb{B}_n)$

Since $\mathcal{A}_{a,b}^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$ is closed in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{a,b})$ then we conclude that $\mathcal{A}_{a,b}^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$ is also a Hilbert space. Moreover, as the Dirac operator δ_z is continuous on $\mathcal{A}_{a,b}^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$ then thanks to the Riesz representation theorem, we deduce that for every $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$, there exists $\mathbb{K}_{a,b}(., z) \in \mathcal{A}_{a,b}^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$ such that for every $f \in \mathcal{A}_{a,b}^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$, $\delta_z(f) = \langle f, \mathbb{K}_{a,b}(., z) \rangle_{a,b}$. i.e.

$$f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(w) \overline{\mathbb{K}_{a,b}(w,z)} d\mu_{a,b}(w).$$

The function $\mathbb{K}_{a,b}$ is called the reproducing (Bergman) kernel of $\mathcal{A}_{a,b}^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$.

Theorem 2.1. The reproducing Bergman Kernel $\mathbb{K}_{a,b}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{a,b}^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$ is given by $\mathbb{K}_{a,b}(w, z) = \mathcal{K}_{a,b}(\langle z, w \rangle)$ where

$$\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(\xi) = {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\begin{matrix} n, \ a+b+n+1 \\ b+n \end{matrix} \middle| \xi \end{matrix} \right) = \frac{1}{(1-\xi)^{a+n+1}} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\begin{matrix} b, \ -(a+1) \\ b+n \end{matrix} \middle| \xi \end{matrix} \right).$$

Proof. The last equality is due to a formula on page 47 in [16]. For the first one, we claim that if we set

$$e_{\alpha}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{(|\alpha|+n-1)!\Gamma(b+n)\Gamma(|\alpha|+b+n+a+1)}{(n-1)!\alpha!\Gamma(a+b+n+1)\Gamma(|\alpha|+b+n)}} z^{\alpha}$$

for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, the sequence $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n}$ is a Hilbert basis of $\mathcal{A}^2_{a,b}(\mathbb{B}_n)$. Hence the reproducing kernel of $\mathcal{A}^2_{a,b}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ is given by

$$\mathbb{K}_{a,b}(w,z) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} e_{\alpha}(w) \overline{e_{\alpha}(z)}$$

= $\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{(|\alpha|+n-1)!\Gamma(b+n)\Gamma(|\alpha|+b+n+a+1)}{(n-1)!\alpha!\Gamma(a+b+n+1)\Gamma(|\alpha|+b+n)} w^{\alpha} \overline{z^{\alpha}}$
= $\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(k+n-1)!\Gamma(b+n)}{(n-1)!\Gamma(a+b+n+1)} \frac{\Gamma(k+b+n+a+1)}{\Gamma(k+b+n)k!} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{k!}{\alpha!} w^{\alpha} \overline{z^{\alpha}}.$

Since

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{k!}{\alpha!} w^{\alpha} \overline{z^{\alpha}} = \langle w, z \rangle^k,$$

then

$$\mathbb{K}_{a,b}(w,z) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(n)_k (a+b+n+1)_k}{(b+n)_k} \frac{\langle w, z \rangle^k}{k!}$$
$$= {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} n, \ a+b+n+1\\ b+n \end{matrix} \middle| \langle w, z \rangle \right)$$
$$=: \mathcal{K}_{a,b}(\langle w, z \rangle).$$

Г	-	-	-	
L				
L				
۲	-	-	-	

Corollary 2.2. If $\mathbb{P}_{a,b}$ denotes the orthogonal projection from $L^2(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{a,b})$ onto $\mathcal{A}^2_{a,b}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ then for every $f \in L^2(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{a,b})$,

(2.1)
$$\mathbb{P}_{a,b}f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(w)\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(\langle z, w \rangle)d\mu_{a,b}(w).$$

Remark 2. Using the density of $L^2(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{a,b})$ in $L^1(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{a,b})$, one can deduce that the integral formula (2.1), defines $\mathbb{P}_{a,b}$ as a linear operator on $L^1(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{a,b})$.

Now, we will establish the boundedness of the Bergman projection $\mathbb{P}_{a,b}$ on certain L^p spaces, and for this, we need the following lemmas:

Lemma 2.3. For every $-1 < a < +\infty$ and b > -n, we set

$$I_s(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^a |w|^{2b}}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{a+n+1+s}} d\lambda_n(w)$$

= $\frac{\pi^n}{(n-1)!} \beta(a+1, b+n) {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} \gamma, \ \gamma, \ b+n \\ n, \ a+b+n+1 \end{matrix} \middle| |z|^2 \right)$

where $\gamma = (a + n + 1 + s)/2$. In particular, we have the following asymptotic properties

- (1) If s < 0, I_s is bounded in \mathbb{B}_n .
- (2) If s = 0, then

$$I_s(z) \approx \log\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^2}\right), \qquad as \ |z| \to 1^-.$$

(3) If s > 0, then

$$I_s(z) \approx \frac{1}{(1-|z|^2)^s}, \quad as \ |z| \to 1^-.$$

Here we use the notation $\theta_1 \approx \theta_2$ to indicate that there exists $0 < c_1 < c_2$ such that we have $c_1\theta_1(z) \leq \theta_2 \leq c_2\theta_1(z)$. i.e. $\theta_1 \leq \theta_2$ and $\theta_2 \leq \theta_1$.

Proof. Let $\gamma = (a + n + 1 + s)/2$, then

$$\frac{1}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{a+n+1+s}} = \sum_{k,j=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+\gamma)}{k!\Gamma(\gamma)} \frac{\Gamma(j+\gamma)}{j!\Gamma(\gamma)} \langle z,w\rangle^k \langle w,z\rangle^j.$$

Hence

$$I_s(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |w|^2)^a |w|^{2b} \sum_{k,j=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+\gamma)}{k! \Gamma(\gamma)} \frac{\Gamma(j+\gamma)}{j! \Gamma(\gamma)} \langle z, w \rangle^k \langle w, z \rangle^j d\lambda_n(w)$$

we integrate in polar coordinates to obtain

$$I_s(z) = \sum_{k,j=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+\gamma)}{k!\Gamma(\gamma)} \frac{\Gamma(j+\gamma)}{j!\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^1 (1-r^2)^a r^{2b+2n-1+k+j} dr \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \langle z,\xi \rangle^k \langle \xi,z \rangle^j d\sigma_n(\xi).$$

We assume that $U\xi = Y$, where U is a unitary matrix such that the first coordinate of Y is $y_1 = \frac{\langle \xi, z \rangle}{|z|}$. Then

$$I_{s}(z) = \sum_{k,j=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+\gamma)}{k!\Gamma(\gamma)} \frac{\Gamma(j+\gamma)}{j!\Gamma(\gamma)} |z|^{j} |z|^{k} \int_{0}^{1} (1-r^{2})^{a} r^{2b+2n-1+k+j} dr \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n}} y_{1}^{j} \overline{y_{1}}^{k} d\sigma_{n}(Y).$$

Using Equation (1.1), one can see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} y_1^j \overline{y_1}^k d\sigma_n(Y) = \frac{2\pi^n k!}{(k+n-1)!} \delta_{k,j},$$

with $\delta_{k,j}$ is the Kronecker delta symbol given by $\delta_{k,j} = 1$ or 0 according to k = j or $k \neq j$. It follows that

$$I_{s}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+\gamma)^{2}}{k!\Gamma(\gamma)^{2}} \frac{2\pi^{n}}{(n-1+k)!} |z|^{2k} \int_{0}^{1} (1-r^{2})^{a} r^{2b+2n+2k-1} dr$$

$$= \frac{\pi^{n}}{(n-1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+\gamma)^{2}}{k!\Gamma(\gamma)^{2}} \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-1+k)!} \frac{\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(b+n+k)}{\Gamma(a+1+b+n+k)} |z|^{2k}$$

$$= \frac{\pi^{n}}{(n-1)!} \frac{\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(b+n)}{\Gamma(a+1+b+n)} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_{k}(\gamma)_{k}}{(n)_{k}} \frac{(b+n)_{k}}{(a+b+n+1)_{k}} \frac{|z|^{2k}}{k!}$$

$$= \frac{\pi^{n}}{(n-1)!} \frac{\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(b+n)}{\Gamma(a+1+b+n)} {}_{3}F_{2} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma, & \gamma, & b+n \\ n, & a+b+n+1 \end{pmatrix}} |z|^{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, by applying Stirling's formula, we conclude that

$$I_{s}(z) \approx \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (k+1)^{2\gamma+b+n-(a+b+n+1)-n-1} |z|^{2k}$$
$$\approx \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (k+1)^{2\gamma-a-n-2} |z|^{2k}$$
$$\approx \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (k+1)^{s-1} |z|^{2k},$$

as $|z| \to 1^-$. This completes the proof of the Lemma.

Using the same techniques used in the previous lemma, one can prove the following lemma: Lemma 2.4. For every $-1 < a, c < +\infty$ and d, b > -n, we set

$$J_{s}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_{n}} \frac{|Q_{a,b}(\langle z, w \rangle)|(1 - |w|^{2})^{c}|w|^{2d}}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{c+n+1+s}} d\lambda_{n}(w) \quad where \quad Q_{a,b}(\xi) = {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\begin{matrix} b, & -(a+1) \\ & b+n \end{matrix} \right| \xi \right).$$

If $Q_{a,b}$ has no zero 1 in the closed unit disk $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ of \mathbb{C} then

$$J_s(z) \approx \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s < 0, \\ \log\left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^2}\right) & \text{if } s = 0 \\ \frac{1}{(1-|z|^2)^s} & \text{if } s > 0 \end{cases}$$

 $as \ |z| \to 1^-.$

¹Some comments about this technical assumption will be added at the end of the paper.

Theorem 2.5. Let $-1 < a, c < +\infty$ and d, b > -n. We assume that $Q_{a,b}$ has no zero in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. We define the two integral operators T and S by

$$Tf(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(w) \mathcal{K}_{a,b}(\langle z, w \rangle) d\mu_{a,b}(w) = \frac{\beta(c+1,d+n)}{\beta(a+1,b+n)} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{f(w) Q_{a,b}(\langle z, w \rangle)}{(1-\langle z, w \rangle)^{a+n+1}} (1-|w|^2)^{a-c} |w|^{2b-2d} d\mu_{c,d}(w)$$

and

$$Sf(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{f(w)|Q_{a,b}(\langle z, w \rangle)|}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{a+n+1}} (1 - |w|^2)^{a-c} |w|^{2b-2d} d\mu_{c,d}(w).$$

Then for $1 \leq p < +\infty$, the following assertions are equivalent:

- (1) T is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{c,d})$.
- (2) S is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{c,d})$.
- (3) The following conditions (C) are satisfied

(C)
$$c+1 < p(a+1)$$
 and $\begin{cases} d+n < p(b+n) & \text{if } p > 1, \\ d \le b & \text{if } p = 1 \end{cases}$

Proof. It's clear that the boundedness of S on $L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{c,d})$ implies the boundedness of T on $L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{c,d})$. To prove that if T is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{c,d})$ then S is bounded too, it suffices to use the following transformation:

$$\psi_z f(w) = \frac{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{a+n+1} |Q_{a,b}(\langle z, w \rangle)|}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{a+n+1} Q_{a,b}(\langle z, w \rangle)} f(w).$$

Now, we assume that S is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{c,d})$. If $1 , and <math>\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, the boundedness of S on $L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{c,d})$ is equivalent to the boundedness of the adjoint operator of S on $L^q(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{c,d})$. It is easy to see that

$$S^*f(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^{a-c} |z|^{2b-2d} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{f(w)|Q_{a,b}(\langle z, w \rangle)|}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{a+n+1}} d\mu_{c,d}(w)$$

We apply S^* to the function $f_N = (1 - |z|^2)^N$, for N sufficiently large, so we obtain that

$$\|S^*f_N\|_{c,d,q}^q = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} M(1-|z|^2)^{q(a-c)+c} |z|^{q(2b-2d)+2d} \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|Q_{a,b}(\langle z, w \rangle)|(1-|w|^2)^{c+N} |w|^{2d}}{|1-\langle z, w \rangle|^{a+n+1}} d\lambda_n(w)\right)^q d\lambda_n(z)$$

is finite, where $M = \left(\frac{(n-1)!}{\pi^n \beta(c+1,d+n)}\right)^{q+1}$. So according to the previous Lemma, we conclude that c+1 < p(a+1) and d+n < p(b+n)

If p = 1, S is bounded on $L^1(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{c,d})$. This gives that S^* is bounded on $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{c,d})$. By applying S^* to the constant function $f \equiv 1$, we find

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{a-c} |z|^{2b-2d} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|Q_{a,b}(\langle z, w \rangle)|(1 - |w|^2)^c |w|^{2d}}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{a+n+1}} d\lambda_n(w) < +\infty.$$

Then, by applying the previous Lemma, we find that a - c > 0, and $b - d \ge 0$. It remains to prove that (3) implies (2). We first consider the case p = 1. Assume that

$$a-c>0$$
 and $d\leq b$.

We have

$$\begin{split} \|Sf\|_{c,d,1} &\leq \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} (1-|z|^2)^c |z|^{2d} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|f(w)|(1-|w|^2)^2 |w|^{2b}}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{a+n+1}} d\lambda_n(w) d\lambda_n(z) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(w)|(1-|w|^2)^a |w|^{2b} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^c |z|^{2d}}{|1-\langle z,w\rangle|^{a+n+1}} d\lambda_n(z) d\lambda_n(w) \qquad (Fubini). \end{split}$$

Using the previous lemma, we find that

$$||Sf||_{c,d,1} \le M ||f||_{c,d,1}$$

where M is a constant. So the boundedness of S on $L^1(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{c,d})$.

For p > 1, we appeal to Schur's test, such that the details can be found extensively in [15] page 45. Thus we assume that 1 , and a positive function <math>h(z) on \mathbb{B}_n that will satisfy the assumptions in Schur's test, which. It turns out that such a function exists in the form

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{(1 - |z|^2)^s |z|^{2t}}, \quad s, t \in \mathbb{R}$$

In fact if we write

$$Sf(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{f(w) |Q_{a,b}(\langle z, w \rangle)|}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{a+n+1}} (1 - |w|^2)^{a-c} |w|^{2b-2d} d\mu_{c,d}(w)$$

then the conditions that the number s has to satisfy become

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|Q_{a,b}(\langle z, w \rangle)|}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{a+n+1}} (1 - |w|^2)^a |w|^{2b} h(w)^q d\lambda_n(w) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|Q_{a,b}(\langle z, w \rangle)|}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{a+n+1}} (1 - |w|^2)^{a-qs} |w|^{2b-2qt} d\lambda_n(w) \\ &\leq \frac{C_1}{(1 - |z|^2)^{sq} |z|^{2qt}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_n \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|Q_{a,b}(\langle z, w \rangle)|}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{a+n+1}} (1 - |w|^2)^{a-c} |w|^{2b-2d} h(z)^p d\mu_{c,d}(z) \\ &= (1 - |w|^2)^{a-c} |w|^{2b-2d} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|Q_{a,b}(\langle z, w \rangle)|}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{a+n+1}} (1 - |z|^2)^{c-sp} |z|^{2d-2pt} d\lambda_n(z) \\ &\leq \frac{C_2}{(1 - |w|^2)^{sp} |w|^{2pt}} \end{split}$$

where q is the conjugate exponent of p and C is some positive constant. According to the previous lemma, these estimates hold if

$$0 < s < \frac{a+1}{q}, \qquad 0 < t < \frac{b+n}{q}$$

and

$$\frac{c-a}{p} < s < \frac{c+1}{p}, \qquad \frac{d-b}{p} < t < \frac{d+n}{p}$$

Hypothesis (3) gives that

$$\left] 0, \frac{b+n}{q} \right[\cap \left] \frac{d-b}{p}, \frac{d+n}{p} \right[\neq \emptyset \\ \right] 0, \frac{a+1}{q} \left[\cap \right] \frac{c-a}{p}, \frac{c+1}{p} \left[\neq \emptyset \right]$$

This shows that the desired s, t exist, and so the operator S is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{c,d})$.

Remark 3. The condition that $Q_{a,b}$ does not vanish on the unit disk in the previous result is a technical assumption and the result may be true without it. This condition was used exactly in the proof of (2) implies (3).

Corollary 2.6. Let $-1 < a, c < +\infty$, d, b > -n and $1 \le p < +\infty$. If the following conditions are satisfied

c + 1 < p(a + 1) and

$$\begin{cases} d+n < p(b+n) & \text{if } p > 1, \\ d \le b & \text{if } p = 1 \end{cases}$$

then the projection $\mathbb{P}_{a,b}$ is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{c,d})$ onto $\mathcal{A}^p_{c,d}(\mathbb{B}_n)$.

Conversely, if $Q_{a,b}$ has no zero in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{a,b}$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{c,d})$ then the conditions (C) are satisfied.

Proof. It's an immediate consequence of the previous Theorem.

Theorem 2.7. For every $-1 < a < +\infty$, b > -n and $1 , the topological dual of <math>\mathcal{A}^p_{a,b}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ is the space $\mathcal{A}^q_{a,b}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ under the integral pairing

$$\langle f,g\rangle_{a,b} = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(z)\overline{g(z)}d\mu_{a,b}(z), \qquad \forall f \in \mathcal{A}^p_{a,b}(\mathbb{B}_n), \quad g \in \mathcal{A}^q_{a,b}(\mathbb{B}_n),$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

Proof. If $g \in \mathcal{A}^{q}_{a,b}(\mathbb{B}_{n})$, then using Hölder's inequality, one can show that the linear form defined by g:

$$f \longmapsto \langle f, g \rangle_{a,b} = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(z) \overline{g(z)} d\mu_{a,b}(z)$$

is bounded on $\mathcal{A}_{a,b}^{p}(\mathbb{B}_{n})$. Conversely, if F is a bounded linear form on $\mathcal{A}_{a,b}^{p}(\mathbb{B}_{n})$, then by Hahn-Banach extension theorem, F can be extended to a bounded linear functional on $L^{p}(\mathbb{B}_{n}, d\mu_{a,b})$, (still denoted F), by duality, there exists $h \in L^{q}(\mathbb{B}_{n}, d\mu_{a,b})$ such that

$$F(f) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(z)\overline{h(z)}d\mu_{a,b}(z), \quad \forall f \in L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{a,b})$$

The previous corollary guarantees the continuity of the projection from $L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{a,b})$ onto $\mathcal{A}^p_{a,b}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ which implies that for every $f \in \mathcal{A}^p_{a,b}(\mathbb{B}_n)$,

$$F(f) = \langle f, h \rangle_{a,b} = \langle \mathbb{P}_{a,b}f, h \rangle_{a,b} = \langle f, \mathbb{P}_{a,b}^*h \rangle_{a,b}.$$

If we set $g = \mathbb{P}_{a,b}^*h$, then $g \in \mathcal{A}_{a,b}^q(\mathbb{B}_n)$ and $F(f) = \langle f, g \rangle_{a,b}$ for every $f \in \mathcal{A}_{a,b}^p(\mathbb{B}_n)$.

3. BEREZIN TRANSFORM

We introduce some notations which will be useful in the sequel of this section. For $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$ we consider the automorphism ϕ_z given by

(3.1)
$$\phi_z(\zeta) = \frac{z - P_z(\zeta) - (1 - |z|^2)^{1/2} Q_z(\zeta)}{1 - \langle \zeta, z \rangle}, \qquad \zeta \in \mathbb{B}_n$$

where $P_z(\zeta) = \frac{\langle \zeta, z \rangle}{|z|^2} z$ is the orthogonal projection onto the subspace generated by z if $z \neq 0$, and $Q_z(\zeta) = \zeta - P_z(\zeta)$. If z = 0 we take $\phi_0(\zeta) = -\zeta$. The automorphism ϕ_z verifies the following properties:

- $\phi_z \circ \phi_z(\zeta) = \zeta$, for every $\zeta \in \mathbb{B}_n$.
- The real Jacobian determinant $J_{\mathbb{R}}(\phi_z)$ is given by

$$J_{\mathbb{R}}(\phi_z)(\zeta) = \left(\frac{1-|z|^2}{|1-\langle\zeta,z\rangle|^2}\right)^{n+1}$$

• and the following identity:

(3.2)
$$1 - |\phi_z(\zeta)|^2 = \frac{(1 - |z|^2)(1 - |\zeta|^2)}{|1 - \langle \zeta, z \rangle|^2}.$$

Definition 3.1. For every a > -1, b > -n and f a measurable function on the unit ball \mathbb{B}_n , the Berezin transform $\mathbf{B}_{a,b}$ of f is given by

$$\mathbf{B}_{a,b}f(z) = \langle f\kappa_z, \kappa_z \rangle_{a,b} = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(w) \frac{|\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(\langle w, z \rangle)|^2}{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(|z|^2)} d\mu_{a,b}(w),$$

where κ_z is the normalized reproducing kernel of $\mathcal{A}^2_{a,b}(\mathbb{B}_n)$:

$$\kappa_z(\zeta) = \frac{|\mathbb{K}_{a,b}(\zeta, z)|^2}{\|\mathbb{K}_{a,b}(., z)\|_{a,b,2}^2} = \frac{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(\langle \zeta, z \rangle)}{\sqrt{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(|z|^2)}}.$$

This is because

$$\|\mathbb{K}_{a,b}(.,z)\|_{a,b,2}^{2} = \mathbb{K}_{a,b}(z,z) = \mathcal{K}_{a,b}(|z|^{2}) = \frac{Q_{a,b}(|z|^{2})}{(1-|z|^{2})^{a+n+1}}.$$

One can see that $\mathbf{B}_{a,b}$ is well defined on $L^1(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{a,b})$.

Proposition 3.1. The Berezin transform $\mathbf{B}_{a,b}$ is one-to-one on $L^1(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{a,b})$.

Proof. Let $f \in Ker(\mathbf{B}_{a,b})$, i.e. $f \in L^1(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{a,b})$ with $\mathbf{B}_{a,b}f = 0$. If we set

$$H(z) = \mathcal{K}_{a,b}(|z|^2)\mathbf{B}_{a,b}f(z) = \int_{\mathbf{B}_n} f(w)\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(\langle w, z \rangle)\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(\langle z, w \rangle)d\mu_{a,b}(w).$$

then $H \equiv 0$ on \mathbb{B}_n gives

$$\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+|\gamma|}H}{\partial z^{\alpha}\partial\overline{z}^{\gamma}}(0) = \frac{(n)_{|\alpha|}(a+b+n+1)_{|\alpha|}}{(b+n)_{|\alpha|}}\frac{(n)_{|\gamma|}(a+b+n+1)_{|\gamma|}}{(b+n)_{|\gamma|}}\int_{\mathbb{B}_n}f(w)\overline{w^{\alpha}}w^{\gamma}d\mu_{a,b}(w) = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(w) \overline{w^{\alpha}} w^{\gamma} d\mu_{a,b}(w) = 0$$

for every $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n), \ \gamma = (\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$. The density of polynomials in $L^1(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{a,b})$ gives that f = 0 and the proposition is proved.

Theorem 3.2. Let a, c > -1 and d, b > -n. The Berezin transform $\mathbf{B}_{a,b} : L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{c,d}) \longrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{c,d})$ is bounded if and only if

$$c+1 < p(a+1) \qquad and \qquad \begin{cases} d+n < p(b+n) & \text{if } p > 1, \\ d \le b & \text{if } p = 1 \end{cases}$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.5 without the condition on the term $Q_{a,b}$.

If we denote by $\mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{B}}_n)$ the space of continuous functions on $\overline{\mathbb{B}}_n$ and $\mathcal{C}_0(\overline{\mathbb{B}}_n)$ the subspace of continuous functions on $\overline{\mathbb{B}}_n$ that vanish at the boundary of \mathbb{B}_n then we have the following proposition:

Proposition 3.3. If $f \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{B}}_n)$ then $\mathbf{B}_{a,b}f \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{B}}_n)$ and $f - \mathbf{B}_{a,b}f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\overline{\mathbb{B}}_n)$.

Proof. By making the change of variable $w = \phi_z(\zeta)$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{B}_{a,b}f(z) &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(|z|^2)} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(w) |\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(\langle w, z \rangle)|^2 d\mu_{a,b}(w) \\ &= \frac{(1-|z|^2)^{a+n+1}}{Q_{a,b}(|z|^2)} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(w) \frac{|Q_{a,b}(\langle w, z \rangle)|^2}{|1-\langle w, z \rangle|^{2(a+n+1)}} d\mu_{a,b}(w) \\ &= C \frac{(1-|z|^2)^{a+n+1}}{Q_{a,b}(|z|^2)} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{f(\phi_z(\zeta))|Q_{a,b}(\langle \phi_z(\zeta), z \rangle)|^2}{|1-\langle \phi_z(\zeta), z \rangle|^{2(a+n+1)}} (1-|\phi_z(\zeta)|^2)^a |\phi_z(\zeta)|^{2b} \left(\frac{1-|z|^2}{|1-\langle \zeta, z \rangle|^2}\right)^{n+1} d\lambda_n(\zeta) \end{split}$$

where $C = \frac{(n-1)!}{\pi^n \beta(a+1,b+n)}$. Hence, using Formula (3.2) and that

$$|1 - \langle \phi_z(\zeta), z \rangle|^{2(a+n+1)} = \left(\frac{1 - |z|^2}{|1 - \langle \zeta, z \rangle|}\right)^{2(a+n+1)}$$

we obtain

$$\mathbf{B}_{a,b}f(z) = \frac{C}{Q_{a,b}(|z|^2)} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(\phi_z(\zeta)) |Q_{a,b}(\langle \phi_z(\zeta), z \rangle)|^2 (1 - |\zeta|^2)^a |\phi_z(\zeta)|^{2b} d\lambda_n(\zeta).$$

Since for every $\xi \in \mathbb{S}_n = \partial \mathbb{B}_n$, we have $\phi_z(\zeta) \to \xi$ as $z \to \xi$, for every $\zeta \in \mathbb{B}_n$, then

$$\lim_{z \to \xi} \mathbf{B}_{a,b} f(z) = CQ_{a,b}(1)f(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |\zeta|^2)^a d\lambda_n(\zeta)$$

It is easy to see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |\zeta|^2)^a d\lambda_n(\zeta) = \frac{\pi^n}{(n-1)!} \beta(a+1, n).$$

Using a formula on page 40 in [16], one can see that

$$Q_{a,b}(1) = \frac{\Gamma(b+n)\Gamma(a+n+1)}{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(b+a+n+1)}.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{z \to \xi} \mathbf{B}_{a,b} f(z) = f(\xi)$$

- 11	г	-	_	
	L			
	L			
	_	_	_	

4. BMO IN BERGMAN-POINCARÉ METRIC

To start, if we set $\psi_{a,b}(z) = \log \mathcal{K}_{a,b}(|z|^2)$, then it is easy to see that $\psi_{a,b}$ is plurisubharmonic and smooth on \mathbb{B}_n . Hence its Hessian matrix

$$A(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 \psi_{a,b}}{\partial z_1 \partial \overline{z}_1}(z) & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 \psi_{a,b}}{\partial z_1 \partial \overline{z}_n}(z) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 \psi_{a,b}}{\partial z_n \partial \overline{z}_1}(z) & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 \psi_{a,b}}{\partial z_n \partial \overline{z}_n}(z) \end{pmatrix}.$$

is positive and Hermitian. In particular, we have

$$\langle A(z).\xi,\xi\rangle = \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 \psi_{a,b}}{\partial z_j \partial \overline{z}_k} (z)\xi_j \overline{\xi}_k \ge 0 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

A simple computation shows that

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi_{a,b}}{\partial z_j \partial \overline{z}_k}(z) = \left[\frac{\mathcal{K}''_{a,b}(|z|^2)}{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(|z|^2)} - \left(\frac{\mathcal{K}'_{a,b}(|z|^2)}{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(|z|^2)}\right)^2\right] \overline{z}_j z_k + \frac{\mathcal{K}'_{a,b}(|z|^2)}{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(|z|^2)} \delta_{j,k}$$

So that

(4.1)
$$\langle A(z).\xi,\xi\rangle = \left[\frac{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}''(|z|^2)}{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(|z|^2)} - \left(\frac{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}'(|z|^2)}{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(|z|^2)}\right)^2\right] |\langle z,\xi\rangle|^2 + \frac{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}'(|z|^2)}{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(|z|^2)}|\xi|^2, \quad \forall \ (z,\xi) \in \mathbb{B}_n \times \mathbb{C}^n.$$

It follows that we can define a metric on \mathbb{B}_n (called Bergman-Poincaré metric) as follows; for any two points z and w in \mathbb{B}_n , let $\mathbf{d}_{a,b}(z, w)$ be the infimum of lengths $\ell_{a,b}(\gamma)$ of piecewise smooth curves $\gamma : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{B}_n$ with $\gamma(0) = z$ and $\gamma(1) = w$, where

$$\ell_{a,b}(\gamma) = \int_0^1 \langle A(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t), \gamma'(t)\rangle^{1/2} dt.$$

The space $(\mathbb{B}_n, \mathbf{d}_{a,b})$ is a complete metric space.

Now, we can introduce BMO functions.

Definition 4.1. For every $f \in L^2(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{a,b})$, the mean oscillation of f at $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$ is

$$MO(f)(z) = \left(\mathbf{B}_{a,b}(|f|^2)(z) - |\mathbf{B}_{a,b}f(z)|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

We say that $f \in BMO$ if

$$||f||_{BMO} := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} MO(f)(z) < +\infty.$$

The square root in the previous definition has a sense since

$$(MO(f)(z))^{2} = \frac{1}{2\mathcal{K}_{a,b}^{2}(|z|^{2})} \int_{\mathbb{B}_{n}} \int_{\mathbb{B}_{n}} |f(u) - f(v)|^{2} |\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(\langle z, u \rangle)|^{2} |\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(\langle z, v \rangle)|^{2} d\mu_{a,b}(u) d\mu_{a,b}(v).$$

Our aim here is to extend a result due to Békollé et al. in [1] to our setting. Namely, we will prove the following theorem: **Theorem 4.1.** For every $f \in BMO$, we have

$$|\mathbb{B}_{a,b}f(z) - \mathbb{B}_{a,b}f(w)| \le 2||f||_{BMO}\mathbf{d}_{a,b}(z,w)$$

for all $z, w \in \mathbb{B}_n$.

The crucial step of the proof is the following proposition. In what follows, for every $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$ we consider the orthogonal projection \mathscr{P}_z from $\mathcal{A}^2_{a,b}(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{a,b})$ onto the one-dimensional subspace spanned by the normalized reproducing kernel κ_z . Therefore

$$\mathscr{P}_z f(\zeta) = \langle f, \kappa_z \rangle \kappa_z(\zeta) = \frac{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(\langle \zeta, z \rangle)}{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(|z|^2)} f(z).$$

Proposition 4.2. Let $\gamma(t)$ be a smooth curve in \mathbb{B}_n . Then

$$\left\| (I - \mathscr{P}_{\gamma(t)}) \left(\frac{d}{dt} \kappa_{\gamma(t)} \right) \right\|_{2,a,b}^2 = \langle A(\gamma(t)) \gamma'(t), \gamma'(t) \rangle$$

Proof. Since we have

$$\kappa_z(\zeta) = rac{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(\langle \zeta, z \rangle)}{\sqrt{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(|z|^2)}}$$

then

(4.2)
$$\frac{d}{dt}\kappa_{\gamma(t)}(\zeta) = \frac{\langle \zeta, \gamma'(t) \rangle \mathcal{K}'_{a,b}(\langle \zeta, \gamma(t) \rangle)}{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}^{\frac{1}{2}}(|\gamma(t)|^2)} - \frac{\Re\langle \gamma'(t), \gamma(t) \rangle \mathcal{K}'_{a,b}(|\gamma(t)|^2)}{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}^{\frac{3}{2}}(|\gamma(t)|^2)} \mathcal{K}_{a,b}(\langle \zeta, \gamma(t) \rangle).$$

It follows that

(4.3)
$$\mathscr{P}_{\gamma(t)}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\kappa_{\gamma(t)}\right)(\zeta) = \frac{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(\langle\zeta,\gamma(t)\rangle)}{\sqrt{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(|\gamma(t)|^2)}}\frac{d}{dt}\kappa_{\gamma(t)}(\gamma(t))$$
$$= \frac{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(\langle\zeta,\gamma(t)\rangle)\mathcal{K}'_{a,b}(|\gamma(t)|^2)}{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}^{\frac{3}{2}}(|\gamma(t)|^2)}\left(\langle\gamma(t),\gamma'(t)\rangle - \Re\langle\gamma'(t),\gamma(t)\rangle\right).$$

Using (4.2) and (4.3), we obtain

$$(I - \mathscr{P}_{\gamma(t)}) \left(\frac{d}{dt} \kappa_{\gamma(t)}\right) = \frac{\langle \zeta, \gamma'(t) \rangle \mathcal{K}'_{a,b}(\langle \zeta, \gamma(t) \rangle)}{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}^{\frac{1}{2}}(|\gamma(t)|^2)} - \frac{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(\langle \zeta, \gamma(t) \rangle)\mathcal{K}'_{a,b}(|\gamma(t)|^2)}{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}^{\frac{3}{2}}(|\gamma(t)|^2)} =: H_t(\zeta) - G_t(\zeta).$$

Thus

$$\left\| \left(I - \mathscr{P}_{\gamma(t)} \right) \left(\frac{d}{dt} \kappa_{\gamma(t)} \right) \right\|_{2,a,b}^2 = \left\| H_t \right\|_{a,b,2}^2 + \left\| G_t \right\|_{a,b,2}^2 - 2\Re \langle H_t, G_t \rangle_{a,b}.$$

It's easy to see that

(4.4)
$$\|G_t\|_{a,b,2}^2 = \langle H_t, G_t \rangle_{a,b} = \left(\frac{\mathcal{K}'_{a,b}(|\gamma(t)|^2)}{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(|\gamma(t)|^2)}\right)^2 |\langle \gamma(t), \gamma'(t) \rangle|^2,$$

where we use the fact that $\zeta \mapsto \langle \zeta, \gamma'(t) \rangle \mathcal{K}'_{a,b}(\langle \zeta, \gamma(t) \rangle)$ is in $\mathcal{A}^2_{a,b}(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu_{a,b})$. Hence

$$\left\| (I - \mathscr{P}_{\gamma(t)}) \left(\frac{d}{dt} \kappa_{\gamma(t)} \right) \right\|_{2,a,b}^2 = \|H_t\|_{a,b,2}^2 - \|G_t\|_{a,b,2}^2.$$

Using both the polar coordinates and orthogonality, we can obtain the result below

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_t\|_{a,b,2}^2 &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(|\gamma(t)|^2)} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |\langle \zeta, \gamma'(t) \rangle|^2 |\mathcal{K}'_{a,b}(\langle \zeta, \gamma(t) \rangle)|^2 d\mu_{a,b}(\zeta) \\ &= \frac{C(a,b,n)}{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(|\gamma(t)|^2)} \int_0^1 r^{2+2b+2n-1} (1-r^2)^a \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |\langle \xi, \gamma'(t) \rangle|^2 |\mathcal{K}'_{a,b}(r\langle \xi, \gamma(t) \rangle)|^2 dr d\sigma_n(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

where $C(a, b, n) = \frac{(n-1)!}{\pi^n \beta(a+1, b+n)}$ as used before. Let

$$I(t) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |\langle \xi, \gamma'(t) \rangle|^2 |\mathcal{K}'_{a,b}(r\langle \xi, \gamma(t) \rangle)|^2 d\sigma_n(\xi)$$

and Set

$$v_1(t) = \frac{\gamma(t)}{|\gamma(t)|}, \qquad v_2(t) = \frac{\phi(t)}{|\phi(t)|}$$

where $\phi(t) = \gamma'(t) - \langle \gamma'(t), v_1(t) \rangle v_1(t)$ and completing v_3, \ldots, v_n to obtain an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^n . In this basis, we have $u_1 = \langle \xi, v_1(t) \rangle$ is the first coordinate and $u_2 = \langle \xi, v_2(t) \rangle$ is the second one, hence we obtain

$$\langle \xi, \gamma(t) \rangle = |\gamma(t)| \langle \xi, v_1(t) \rangle = |\gamma(t)| u_1$$
 and $\langle \xi, \gamma'(t) \rangle = \langle v_1(t), \gamma'(t) \rangle u_1 + |\phi(t)| u_2$

By a change of variables, we find

$$I(t) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |\langle v_1(t), \gamma'(t) \rangle u_1 + |\phi(t)| u_2|^2 |\mathcal{K}'_{a,b}(r|\gamma(t)|u_1)|^2 d\sigma_n(u).$$

It follows that

$$I(t) = \sum_{k,j=0}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{n(a+b+n+1)}{b+n} \right)^2 \frac{(n+1)_k (n+1)_j (a+b+n+2)_k (a+b+n+2)_j}{(b+n+1)_k (b+n+1)_j} r^{k+j} |\gamma(t)|^{k+j} \\ \times \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \left(|\langle v_1(t), \gamma'(t) \rangle|^2 |u_1|^2 + |\phi(t)|^2 |u_2|^2 - 2|\phi(t)| \Re(\langle v_1, \gamma'(t) \rangle u_1 \overline{u}_2) \right) u_1^k \overline{u_1}^j d\sigma_n(u).$$

Using now the orthogonality and (1.1), we can deduce that

$$\begin{split} \|H_t\|_{a,b,2}^2 &= \frac{(n-1)!}{\pi^n \beta(a+1,b+n)} 2\pi^n \left(\frac{n(a+b+n+1)}{b+n}\right)^2 \frac{1}{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(|\gamma(t)|^2)} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(n+1)_k^2 (a+b+n+2)_k^2}{(b+n+1)_k^2 k!} |\gamma(t)|^{2k} \\ &\times \left[\frac{k}{|\gamma(t)|^2} |\langle \gamma'(t), \gamma(t) \rangle|^2 + |\gamma'(t)|^2\right] \int_0^1 r^{2k+2b+2n+1} (1-r^2)^a dr \\ &= \frac{n(a+b+n+1)}{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(|\gamma(t)|^2)(b+n)} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(n+1)_k (a+b+n+2)_k}{(b+n+1)_k k!} |\gamma(t)|^{2k} \left[\frac{k}{|\gamma(t)|^2} |\langle \gamma'(t), \gamma(t) \rangle|^2 + |\gamma'(t)|^2\right]. \end{split}$$

This is exactly

(4.5)
$$\|H_t\|_{a,b,2}^2 = \frac{\mathcal{K}''_{a,b}(|\gamma(t)|^2)}{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(|\gamma(t)|^2)} |\langle \gamma'(t), \gamma(t) \rangle|^2 + \frac{\mathcal{K}'_{a,b}(|\gamma(t)|^2)}{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(|\gamma(t)|^2)} |\gamma'(t)|^2$$

Using (4.4), (4.5) and (4.1), we conclude that

$$\begin{split} & \left\| (I - \mathscr{P}_{\gamma(t)}) \left(\frac{d}{dt} \kappa_{\gamma(t)} \right) \right\|_{2,a,b}^{2} = \|H_{t}\|_{a,b,2}^{2} - \|G_{t}\|_{a,b,2}^{2} \\ & = \left[\frac{\mathcal{K}''_{a,b}(|\gamma(t)|^{2})}{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(|\gamma(t)|^{2})} - \left(\frac{\mathcal{K}'_{a,b}(|\gamma(t)|^{2})}{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(|\gamma(t)|^{2})} \right)^{2} \right] |\langle \gamma(t), \gamma'(t) \rangle|^{2} + \frac{\mathcal{K}'_{a,b}(|\gamma(t)|^{2})}{\mathcal{K}_{a,b}(|\gamma(t)|^{2})} |\gamma'(t)|^{2} \\ & = \langle A(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t), \gamma'(t) \rangle. \end{split}$$

Lemma 4.3. Let $f \in BMO$ and $\gamma : [0,1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}_n$ be a smooth curve. Then

(4.6)
$$\left|\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{B}_{a,b}f(\gamma(t))\right| \le 2MO(f)(\gamma(t))\langle A(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t),\gamma'(t)\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof. Differentiation under the integral sign gives

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{B}_{a,b}f(\gamma(t)) = 2\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(w)\Re\left[\frac{d}{dt}\kappa_{\gamma(t)}(w)\overline{\kappa_{\gamma(t)}(w)}\right]d\mu_{a,b}(w).$$

After making a few simple modifications, we can use the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.22 in [14], to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{B}_{a,b} f(\gamma(t)) \right| &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left| f(w) - \mathbf{B}_{a,b} f(\gamma(t)) \right| \left| \kappa_{\gamma(t)}(w) \right| \left| (I - \mathscr{P}_{\gamma(t)}) \left(\frac{d}{dt} \kappa_{\gamma(t)} \right) (w) \right| d\mu_{a,b}(w) \\ &\leq 2 \| f - \mathbf{B}_{a,b} f(\gamma(t)) \kappa_{\gamma(t)} \|_{2,a,b} \left\| (I - \mathscr{P}_{\gamma(t)}) \left(\frac{d}{dt} \kappa_{\gamma(t)} \right) \right\|_{2,a,b} \\ &\leq 2 MO(f) (\gamma(t)) \langle A(\gamma(t)) \gamma'(t), \gamma'(t) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that

$$\|f - \mathbf{B}_{\alpha,\beta}f(\gamma(t))\kappa_{\gamma(t)}\|_{2,a,b} = MO(f)(\gamma(t)).$$

Now we can deduce the proof of Theorem 4.1:

Proof. Let $f \in BMO$ and $z, w \in \mathbb{B}_n$. Let $\gamma : [0, 1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}_n$ be a piecewise smooth curve with $\gamma(0) = z$ and $\gamma(1) = w$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that γ is smooth on [0, 1]. By Lemma 4.3,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{B}_{a,b}f(z) - \mathbf{B}_{a,b}f(w)| &= \left| \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{B}_{a,b}f(\gamma(t))dt \right| \\ &\leq 2 \int_{0}^{1} MO(f)(\gamma(t)) \langle A(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t),\gamma'(t)\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} dt \\ &\leq 2 ||f||_{BMO} \int_{0}^{1} \langle A(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t),\gamma'(t)\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} dt \\ &\leq 2 ||f||_{BMO} \ell_{a,b}(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

To conclude the result, it suffices to take the infimum over all piecewise smooth curves γ .

5. Comments and concluding remarks

In this concluding section, we discuss the zero set $\mathcal{Z}_{Q_{a,b}}$ of $Q_{a,b}$ in \mathbb{D} . Indeed, the condition $\mathcal{Z}_{Q_{a,b}} = \emptyset$ was used in many results. We claim that the function $Q_{a,b}$ is a particular case of hypergeometric functions studied in many papers (see for examples papers of Duren and his collaborators in [3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]). Until now there is no complete answer for these functions. To clarify the situation, we will restrict our work to the case n = 1 to use a result due to Duren and Guillou [10] in the last situation when a is a large integer and b is proportional to a.

We inspect first the relationship between zeros of $Q_{a,b}$ and those of the modified Bergman kernel $\mathbb{K}_{a,b}$, then we look into the cases when b is near 0 or -n and finally we consider the case b large enough.

(1) Since $Q_{a,b}$ is holomorphic on \mathbb{D} then $\mathcal{Z}_{Q_{a,b}}$ is a discret set. Moreover, since

$$\mathbb{K}_{a,b}(z,w) = \mathcal{K}_{a,b}(\langle z,w\rangle) = \frac{Q_{a,b}(\langle z,w\rangle)}{(1-\langle z,w\rangle)^{a+n+1}}$$

then the set of zeros of $\mathbb{K}_{a,b}$ is given by

$$\bigcup_{\xi \in \mathcal{Z}_{Q_{a,b}}} \left\{ (z,w) \in \mathbb{B}_n \times \mathbb{B}_n; \ \langle z,w \rangle = \xi \right\} =: \bigcup_{\xi \in \mathcal{Z}_{Q_{a,b}}} A_{\xi}$$

a discreet union of sets A_{ξ} where each A_{ξ} is a submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{4n} with real dimension 4n-2. Moreover, A_{ξ} is a Cauchy-Riemann submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{4n} with Cauchy-Riemann dimension 4n-4 (see [2] for more details about Cauchy-Riemann submanifolds).

- (2) We claim that the set $\mathcal{Z}_{Q_{a,b}}$ can be empty, finite, or infinite according to the values of a and b. Indeed, using Rouché theorem, one can easily show that there exist two real constants $-n < b_1(a) < b_2(a) < 0$ depending on a such that for every $b \in]-n, b_1(a)[$, the function $Q_{a,b}$ has at most n (simple) zeros in \mathbb{D} and it has no zero in \mathbb{D} for every $b \in]b_2(a), -b_2(a)[$ (see Figure 1).
- (3) We claim that if b = -n + k where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that k < n then $Q_{a,b}$ is a polynomial with degree at most n k. Thus it has at most n k zeros in \mathbb{D} . By Taking for example b = -1, then we obtain $Q_{a,-1}(z) = 1 + \frac{a+1}{n-1}z$. It follows that the unique zero of $Q_{a,-1}$ in \mathbb{C} is $-\frac{n-1}{a+1}$.
- (4) Now for b large enough, namely if n = 1, a = m 1 and $b = \tau m + 1$ for some integer m and a parameter $\tau > 0$, then thanks to Duren and Guillou [10] (say Boggs and Duren [3] when $\tau \in \mathbb{N}$), the zeros (in \mathbb{C}) of the hypergeometric polynomial $Q_{m-1,\tau m+1}$ cluster on the loop of the lemniscate

$$\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z^{\tau}(z-1)| = \frac{\tau^{\tau}}{(1+\tau)^{1+\tau}}\right\} \quad \text{with} \quad \Re z > \frac{\tau}{\tau+1}$$

when $m \to +\infty$. Hence the set $\mathcal{Z}_{Q_{a,b}}$ can be infinite in \mathbb{D} . (see figure 2).

It is interesting to study $\mathcal{Z}_{Q_{a,b}}$ for *b* large and $n \geq 2$. The well-known methods that can be used here are the quadratic differentials and their short trajectories or the saddle point method. We think that the second method is more suitable for this case. Each one of these methods requires many computations that cannot be developed in this paper. It may be the main subject of a new paper.



FIGURE 1. Zeros of $Q_{10,b}$ with n = 2 and b = -0.01 at left and b = -1.9 at right.



FIGURE 2. Zeros of the polynomial $Q_{m-1,\tau m+1}$ with n = 1, m = 50 and $\tau = 2$.

References

- D. Békollé, C. A. Berger, L. A. Coburn and K. H. Zhu, BMO in the Bergman Metric on Bounded Symmetric Domains, Journal of Functional Analysis, 93 (1990), 310-350.
- [2] A. Boggess, CR manifolds and tangential Cauchy-Riemann complex, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, (1991).
- [3] K. Boggs and P. Duren, Zeros of Hypergeometric Functions, Computational Methods, and Function Theory, Vol. 1 (2001), No. 1, 275-287.
- [4] S.B. Connor and C.J. Fewster, Integrals of incomplete beta functions, with applications to order statistics, random walks and string enumeration, Preprint arXiv:2104.12216v2, (2021) 1-14.
- [5] M. Dostanić, Norm of Berezin transform on L^p space, Journal d'Analyse Mathématique, 104 (2008) 13-23.
- [6] K. Driver and P. Duren, Asymptotic zero distribution of hypergeometric polynomials, Numerical Algorithms 21 (1999), 147-156.

- [7] K. Driver and P. Duren, Zeros of hypergeometric polynomials F(-n, b; 2b; z), Indag. Math. 11 (2000), 43-51.
- [8] K. Driver and P. Duren, Trajectories of the zeros of hypergeometric polynomials F(-n, b; 2b; z) for $b < -\frac{1}{2}$, Constr. Approx. 17 (2001), 169-179.
- [9] K. Driver and M. Möller, Zeros of the hypergeometric polynomials F(-n, b; -2n; z), J. Approx. Theory 110 (2001), 74-87.
- [10] P. Duren and B. J. Guillou, Asymptotic properties of zeros of hypergeometric polynomials, J. Approx. Theory 111 (2001), 329-343.
- [11] A. Ghanmi and S. Snoun, Integral Representations of Bargmann Type for the β -Modified Bergman Space on Punctured Unit Disc, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2022) 45, 1367-1381.
- [12] N. Ghiloufi and S. Snoun, Zeros of new Bergman kernels, J. Korean Math. Soc. 59 (2022), 449-468.
- [13] N. Ghiloufi and M. Zaway, Meromorphic Bergman spaces, Ukrainian Mathematical Journal 74 (2023), 1209-1224.
- [14] H. Hedenmalm, B. Korenblum and K. Zhu, Theory of Bergman spaces, Graduate texts in Mathematics, 199 (2000).
- [15] K. Zhu. Spaces of holomorphic functions in the unit ball, volume 226 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, (2005).
- [16] W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, R. P. Soni, Formulas and Theorems for the Special Functions of Mathematical Physics, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg GmbH (1966).

Email address: ben.amor.hajer120gmail.com, noureddine.ghiloufi0fsg.rnu.tn

UNIVERSITY OF GABES, FACULTY OF SCIENCES OF GABES, LR17ES11 MATHEMATICS AND APPLICATIONS LABORATORY, 6072, GABES, TUNISIA.

This figure "fig1.PNG" is available in "PNG" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/2404.13483v1

This figure "fig2.PNG" is available in "PNG" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/2404.13483v1