

Equational theory of ordinals with addition and left multiplication by ω

Christian Choffrut
IRIF, Université Paris Cité

April 23, 2024

Abstract

We show that the equational theory of the structure $\langle \omega^\omega : (x, y) \mapsto x + y, x \mapsto \omega x \rangle$ is finitely axiomatizable and give a simple axiom schema when the domain is the set of transfinite ordinals.

1 Introduction

Consider $A_n = \{a_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, $n \leq \infty$ and let W_n denote the set of labeled ordinal words obtained from the empty word and each letter a by closing under concatenation \cdot ($(u, v) \rightarrow u \cdot v$) and ω -iteration ($u^\omega = u \cdot u \cdot \dots$), example $((ab)c)^\omega bc \in W_3$. Let $\mathcal{W}_n = \langle W_n : 1, \cdot, {}^\omega \rangle$ denote the resulting algebra. It satisfies the following infinite (but reasonably simple) set Σ of axioms

$$x \cdot (y \cdot z) = (x \cdot y) \cdot z \tag{1}$$

$$(x \cdot y)^\omega = x \cdot (y \cdot x)^\omega \tag{2}$$

$$(x^p)^\omega = x^\omega \quad p \geq 1 \tag{3}$$

$$x \cdot 1 = x \tag{4}$$

$$1 \cdot x = x \tag{5}$$

$$1^\omega = 1 \tag{6}$$

It is known that W_n is isomorphic to the free algebra with n generators in the variety generated by the equalities and that for $n > 1$, its equational theory is axiomatized by the system Σ , cf. [1]. The algebra \mathcal{W}_1 satisfies identities that do not hold in \mathcal{W}_n with $n \geq 2$, for example $xyyx = yxyx$. Actually \mathcal{W}_1 is isomorphic to the set of ordinals less than ω^ω with the sum and right multiplication by ω ($x \rightarrow x\omega$). In [2] it is shown that for the structure $\langle \omega^\omega : 0, 1, (x, y) \mapsto x + y, x \mapsto x\omega \rangle$ (in particular all constants less than ω^ω are allowed) equality between two terms is polynomial. Furthermore the more general algebra of all transfinite ordinals satisfies the same equalities.

Other different collections of operations on linearly ordered labeled words have been studied in the literature. By adding the ω^{opp} -iteration ($u^{\omega^{\text{opp}}} = \dots u \cdot u$) we get the set W'_n and

the corresponding algebra $\mathcal{W}'_n = \langle W'_n : 1, \cdot, {}^\omega, {}^{\omega^*} \rangle$. It is shown that for all $n \geq 1$ \mathcal{W}'_n is isomorphic to the free n -generated algebra in the variety defined by a system of equations which is an axiomatization of the equational theory [3, Theorem 3.18]. In [4] a further operation is added, the *shuffle* operator, resulting in the set W''_n and the corresponding algebra $\mathcal{W}''_n = \langle W'_n : 1, \cdot, {}^\omega, {}^{\omega^*}, \eta \rangle$. Here again for all $n \geq 1$ \mathcal{W}''_n is isomorphic to the free n -generated algebra in the variety defined by a system of equations which is an axiomatization of the equational theory

Summarising the situation if $\text{Eq}(\mathcal{A})$ denotes the system of equations satisfied by an algebra \mathcal{A} , we have $\text{Eq}(\mathcal{W}'_n) = \text{Eq}(\mathcal{W}'_1)$ and $\text{Eq}(\mathcal{W}''_n) = \text{Eq}(\mathcal{W}''_1)$ because \mathcal{W}'_n and \mathcal{W}''_n are embeddable in \mathcal{W}'_1 and \mathcal{W}''_1 respectively, [3, Proposition 86]. Yet $\text{Eq}(\mathcal{W}_n)$ is strictly included in $\text{Eq}(\mathcal{W}_1)$ if $n > 1$ and an axiom schema is missing for \mathcal{W}_1 . A semantic approach was considered in [2] Indeed, the right and left handsides of an identity containing n variables may be viewed as mappings of $(\omega^\omega)^n$ into ω^ω . It is proved that an identity holds in \mathcal{W}_1 if and only if the two functions associated with the two handsides coincide over some so-called “test sets” such as all the powers ω^n with $n < \omega$ along with 0, for example. Refining this result allows one to exhibit a polynomial time algorithm which determines whether or not two expressions with the same set of variables define the same mapping, thus hold in \mathcal{W}_1 . It is also proved that over ω^ω and the transfinite ordinals the equational theories are the same.

The algebra investigated here differs from \mathcal{W}_1 in that it considers the left (and not the right) multiplication by ω , i.e., we consider the signature $\langle (x, y) \mapsto x + y, x \mapsto \omega x \rangle$. We show that it is finitely axiomatizable in ω^ω and that the more general algebra over the same signature but with universe the transfinite ordinals satisfies a different set of equations of which we give a simple axiom schema.

2 Ordinals

We recall the elementary properties needed to understand this paper and refer to the numerous standard handbooks such as [5, 6] for a more thorough exposition of the theory. In particular each nonzero ordinal α is uniquely represented by its so-called Cantor normal form.

$$\omega^{\alpha_n} a_n + \cdots + \omega^{\alpha_0} a_0$$

$0 < a_0, \dots, a_n < \omega$ and $\alpha_n > \cdots > \alpha_0$ (a strictly decreasing sequence of ordinals). The *degree* of α denoted $\partial(\alpha)$ is α_n , its *valuation* denoted $\nu(\alpha)$ is α_0 and its *length* $|\alpha|$ is the sum $a_0 + \cdots + a_n$ and the length of 0 is 0.

The sum $\alpha + \beta$ of two nonnull ordinals α and β of Cantor normal forms $\alpha = \sum_{i=p}^{i=0} \omega^{\alpha_i} a_i$

and $\beta = \sum_{j=q}^{j=0} \omega^{\beta_j} b_j$ is the ordinal whose Cantor normal form is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{i=p}^{i=\ell+1} \omega^{\alpha_i} a_i \right) + \omega^{\beta_1} (a_\ell + b_q) + \left(\sum_{j=q-1}^{j=0} \omega^{\beta_j} b_j \right) \quad \text{if } \beta_q = \alpha_\ell \text{ for some } \ell \\ & \left(\sum_{i=p}^{i=\ell} \omega^{\alpha_i} a_i \right) + \left(\sum_{j=q}^{j=0} \omega^{\beta_j} b_j \right) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \beta_q < \alpha_\ell \text{ for some } \ell \\ \text{and either } \ell = 0 \\ \text{or } \alpha_{\ell-1} < \beta_q \end{array} \\ & \left(\sum_{j=q}^{j=0} \omega^{\beta_j} b_j \right) \quad \text{if } \beta_q > \alpha_p \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(\alpha + \beta) &= \max(\partial(\alpha), \partial(\beta)) \\ \nu(\alpha + \beta) &= \text{if } \beta > 0 \text{ then } \nu(\beta) \text{ else } \nu(\alpha) \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Addition is associative but not commutative: $\omega = 1 + \omega \neq \omega + 1$.

If $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = \alpha + \gamma$ we say that β *does not count* which occurs exactly when $\partial(\beta) < \partial(\gamma)$.

Remark 1. $\partial(\beta_1), \partial(\beta_2) < \nu(\alpha_1) = \nu(\alpha_2)$ and $\alpha_1 + \beta_1 = \alpha_2 + \beta_2$ implies $\beta_1 = \beta_2$

Observe that by applying the rules of the addition if $\partial(\alpha_1) = \dots = \partial(\alpha_n) \leq \delta(\beta) + 1$ then for all permutations σ over the set of indices it holds

$$\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n + \beta = \alpha_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + \alpha_{\sigma(n)} + \beta$$

The left multiplication by ω is distributive over the sum

$$\omega(\omega^{\alpha_1} a_1 + \dots + \omega^{\alpha_n} a_n) = \omega^{1+\alpha_1} a_1 + \dots + \omega^{1+\alpha_n} a_n$$

Observe that for all α, β we have

$$\alpha \neq \beta \leftrightarrow \omega\alpha \neq \omega\beta \tag{8}$$

3 Equational axiomatization for $\langle \omega^\omega : (x, y) \mapsto x + y, x \mapsto \omega x \rangle$

We consider the signature with one binary operation $+$ and one unary operation ω which we interpret in the structures $\mathcal{S} = \langle \omega^\omega : (x, y) \mapsto x + y, x \mapsto \omega x \rangle$ and $\mathcal{O} = \langle \text{Ord} : (x, y) \mapsto x + y, x \mapsto \omega x \rangle$ whose universes are respectively the set of all ordinals less than ω^ω and the set of transfinite ordinals.

Let X be a fixed infinite countable set of elements called variables. The family of *terms* is inductively defined: variables and 0 are terms, if E and F are terms then $E + F$ is a term

and if E is a term then ωE is a term. We will avoid unnecessary parentheses by adopting the usual conventions. We write $\sum_{i=1}^{i=k} E_i$ in place of $((\dots(E_1 + E_2) + \dots) + E_{k-1}) + E_k$ and $\omega^k E$ in place of $(\omega(\dots((\omega E \dots)))$. In case $k = 0$ we let $\omega^0 E$ be E .

We write $E(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ when we need to make explicit the variables on which the term is inductively constructed. When working in ω^ω we will also consider $E(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ as a function from $(\omega^\omega)^n$ into ω^ω in the natural way. Two terms E and F over the same set of variables are *equivalent* and we write $E \equiv F$ if they are equal as functions from $(\omega^\omega)^n$ into ω^ω . If ϕ is an assignment of the variables in ω^ω we write $\phi(E)$ to mean $E(\phi(x_1), \dots, \phi(x_n))$. The notion of being equivalent in Ord is defined similarly.

An *identity* is a pair $(E(x_1, \dots, x_n), F(x_1, \dots, x_n))$ which we write $E(x_1, \dots, x_n) = F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in order to comply with the tradition (not to be confused with the equality as well-formed sequences of symbols over the vocabulary consisting of the variables and $0, +, \omega$). An identity is *satisfied* in the structure if E and F define the same functions.

A set Σ of identities called *axioms* is an *axiomatization* of the equational theory of \mathcal{S} if all pairs of equivalent terms E, F are derivable from the axioms by the rules of equational logic and we write $E \equiv_{\text{Ax}} F$.

Definition 2. We consider the following set Σ of identities.

$$x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z \quad (9)$$

$$\omega(x + y) = \omega x + \omega y \quad (10)$$

$$x + y + \omega x = y + \omega x \quad (11)$$

$$x + y + z + x + t + y = y + x + z + x + t + y \quad (12)$$

$$x + 0 = x \quad (13)$$

$$0 + x = x \quad (14)$$

$$\omega 0 = 0 \quad (15)$$

It is routine to verify that these identities are satisfied in \mathcal{S} . Observe the consequence of 11

$$\omega^p x + y + \omega^q x = y + \omega^q x \quad p < q \quad (16)$$

Indeed,

$$\omega x^p + y + \omega^q x = \omega x^p + y + \omega^{p+1} x \cdots + \omega^q x = y + \omega^{p+1} x \cdots + \omega^q x = y + \omega^q x$$

3.1 Elementary properties

Given a variable x an *x-monomial* is a term of the form $\omega^e x$ where e is a nonnegative integer and ω^e its *coefficient*. We simply speak of monomial when there is no need to specify which variable.

Lemma 3. Via the axioms 10 and 11, every term in \mathcal{S} is equivalent to a term of the form

$$\sum_{i=n}^1 \omega^{e_i} x_i, \quad e_i \geq 0 \quad (17)$$

with $i > j$ and $x_i = x_j$ implies $e_i \geq e_j$.

Proof. Trivial by induction and identity 16. \square

A term as in 17 is said *flat*. Since a flat term can be considered as a sequence of monomials, the slightly abusive expression “suffix of a term” has to be understood in the natural way.

Example 1. The flat term corresponding to $E = \omega(x + \omega(\omega x + y + \omega x) + y) + x + x$ is $\omega^3 x + \omega^2 y + \omega y + \omega^3 x + \omega y + x + x$ which can be identified to the sequence $\omega^3 x, \omega^2 y, \omega y, \omega^3 x, \omega y, x, x$.

Lemma 4. If two flat terms are equivalent, for every $x \in X$ their subsums consisting of the subsequence of their x monomials are equal.

Proof. Put $y = 0$ for all $y \neq x$. It suffices to observe that two terms with a unique variable x are equivalent if and only if they are equal. \square

A decomposition $E = E_k + \dots + E_j + \dots + E_1$ of a nonzero flat term E as in 17 is defined by a subsequence $n = i_k > i_{k-1} \dots > i_1 > i_0 = 0$ and by grouping successive monomials

$$E_j = \sum_{i=i_j}^{i_{j-1}+1} \omega^{e_i} x_i \quad (18)$$

We will use two types of decomposition in lemma 5 and in theorem in 8.

Lemma 5. Consider the decomposition of a flat term

$$E = F + \omega^e x + G$$

with $e > 0$ where G contains no occurrence of $\omega^e x$. Let $F' + \omega^e x + G'$ be the decomposition of an equivalent flat term where G' contains no occurrence of $\omega^e x$. Then G and G' contain the same set of occurrences of y -monomials for all variables y .

Proof. Observe that the statement makes sense because by lemma 4 if E and F are equivalent they have the same different monomials. It suffices to prove that the set of y -monomials in G with maximal coefficient is an invariant of the equivalence class of E . The statement is clearly true for $y = x$ because we are dealing with flat terms, so we assume $y \neq x$. Without loss of generality we may assume that the term contains no z -monomials for z different from x and y . If there is no occurrence of an y -monomial we are done. Let ω^f be the greatest coefficient of an x -monomial in G if such an occurrence exist and ω^g the greatest coefficient of a y -monomial in G . Set $H = F + \omega^e x$ and let a, b be two integers such that $a + f = b + g$. Then $\nu(H(\omega^a, \omega^b)) = e + a$ and $\partial(G(\omega^a, \omega^b)) = \omega^{a+f} \cdot n + \alpha$ where $\partial(\alpha) < a + f$ and n is the number of occurrences of $\omega^f x$ plus the number of occurrences of $\omega^g y$. If G contains no x -monomial, then $\nu(H(\omega^g, 1)) = e + g$ and $G(\omega^g, 1) = \omega^g \cdot n + \alpha$ where $\partial(\alpha) < g$ and n is the number of occurrences of $\omega^g y$. \square

Definition 6. With the notations of 17 the new monomial decomposition (NMD) of E is the sum $E = E_n + E_{n-1} + \dots + E_1$ where $E_i = E'_i + \omega^{e_i} x_i$ for some E'_i such that $\omega^{e_i} x_i$ does not occur in $E_{i-1} + \dots + E_1$ (by convention $E_0 = 0$).

Observe that n is the number of different monomials in E . The idea is to record the moment when a new monomial appears in a scan from right to left.

Lemma 7. *Let E and F be two flat terms and their NMD*

$$\begin{aligned} E &= E'_n + \omega^{e_n}x_n + \cdots + E'_1 + \omega^{e_1}x_1 \\ F &= F'_m + \omega^{f_m}y_m + \cdots + F'_1 + \omega^{f_1}y_1 \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

If $E \equiv F$ then $n = m$, $\omega^{e_i}x_i = \omega^{f_i}y_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and for $i = 1, \dots, n$ E'_i and F'_i differ by a permutation of their monomials.

Proof. Clearly $n = m$ because E and F have the same different monomials by lemma 4. The last claim is a consequence of lemma 5. \square

Theorem 8. Σ is an axiomatization of \mathcal{S} .

Proof. Consider the new monomial decompositions 19. By definition 6 for all consecutive monomials in E'_i (resp. in F'_i) there exists an occurrence of these monomials in $\omega^{e_i}x_i + \cdots + E'_1 + \omega^{e_1}x_1$ resp. in $\omega^{f_i}y_i + \cdots + F'_1 + \omega^{f_1}y_1$. Then $E \equiv_{\text{Ax}} F$ by repetitive applications of axiom 12 \square

4 Equational axiomatization for $\langle \text{Ord} : (x, y) \mapsto x + y, x \mapsto \omega x \rangle$

Beyond ω^ω axiom 11 no longer holds. Indeed since $\omega\omega^\omega = \omega^{1+\omega} = \omega^\omega$.

$$\omega^\omega \cdot 2 = \omega^\omega + \omega\omega^\omega \neq \omega\omega^\omega = \omega^\omega \quad (20)$$

We consider the system Σ' consisting of the axioms of Σ except axiom 11 along with the following new axioms.

$$\omega^p x + y + \omega^q x = x + y + \omega^q x \quad 0 < p < q \quad (21)$$

$$x + \omega^r y + t + \omega^p x + u + \omega^q y = \omega^r y + x + t + \omega^p x + u + \omega^q y \quad p > 0 \text{ or } q = r, \quad (22)$$

$$x + \omega^r y + t + \omega^q y + u + \omega^p x = \omega^r y + x + t + \omega^q y + u + \omega^p x \quad p > 0 \text{ or } q = r \quad (23)$$

Lemma 9. *Identities 21, 22 hold in the structure $\langle \text{Ord}, (x, y) \mapsto x + y, x \mapsto \omega x \rangle$.*

Proof. Indeed, 21 holds in ω^ω . If $x \geq \omega^\omega$ we decompose $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ as in expression ?? and we have $\omega^p \cdot \alpha = \alpha_1 + \omega^p \cdot \alpha_2$ thus for all β we have

$$\omega^p \alpha + \beta + \omega^q \alpha = \alpha_1 + \omega^p \cdot \alpha_2 + \beta + \omega^q \cdot \alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta + \omega^q \cdot \alpha = \alpha + \beta + \omega^q \cdot \alpha$$

Concerning the last identity it is clear that it holds if both x and y are greater than or equal ω^ω . Consider first 22. If $p = 0$ then this is axiom 12. If $p > 0$ and $x < \omega^\omega$ the leftmost x does not count and if $x \geq \omega^\omega$ then $\omega^r y$ does not count. Identity 23 is proved similarly. \square

Because of identity 21 every term is equivalent to a term of the form

$$E = \sum_{k=m}^1 \omega^{e_k} x_k, \quad e_k \geq 0 \quad (24)$$

where $i > j$, $x_i = x_j$ and $e_i, e_j > 0$ implies $e_i \geq e_j$ which we call *pseudo flat*. An occurrence of a variable x (i.e., a monomial with coefficient 1) to the left of some monomial $\omega^e x$ with $e > 0$ is called *hidden* since it does not count.

Example 2. In

$$z + \omega x + \underline{x} + \underline{y} + \omega y + \omega x + y + \omega x + y + x$$

the unique hidden occurrences are the leftmost underlined occurrences of x and y .

The definition 6 of new monomial decomposition extends naturally to the present structure.

Example 3. (Example 2 continued) With the notations of definition 6 we have

$$(z) + (\omega x + x + y + \omega y) + (\omega x + y + \omega x) + (y) + (x)$$

with $\omega^{e_1} x_1 = x$, $\omega^{e_2} x_2 = y$, $\omega^{e_3} x_3 = \omega x$, $\omega^{e_4} x_4 = \omega y$, $\omega^{e_5} x_5 = z$.

The following is obtained by simple adaptation of lemma 7.

Lemma 10. Consider the new monomial decomposition of two equivalent pseudo-flat terms E and F . Then

$$\begin{aligned} E &= E'_n + \omega^{e_n} x_n + \cdots + E'_1 + \omega^{e_1} x_1 \\ F &= F'_n + \omega^{e_n} x_n + \cdots + F'_1 + \omega^{e_1} x_1 \end{aligned}$$

where for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ E'_i and F'_i contain the same occurrences of nonhidden monomials.

Now instead of splitting accordingly to a “new monomial” we split according to a “new variable” (have in mind a scan from right to left).

Definition 11. With the notations of 24 the new variable decomposition (NVD) of a pseudo-flat E is the sum $E = E_n + E_{n-1} + \cdots + E_1$ where for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ $E_i = E'_i + \omega^{e_i} x_i$ such that no x_i -monomial appears in $E_{i-1} + \cdots + E_1$ with the convention $E_0 = 0$.

Observe that no hidden occurrence can be the right-most monomial of some E_i and that the number of subterms in the decomposition equals the number of variables in the term.

Example 4. (Example 2 continued). The new variable decomposition is a sum of three subterms.

$$(z) + (\omega x + x + y + \omega y + \omega x + y + \omega x + y) + (x)$$

Lemma 12. Let $E(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be two equivalent pseudo-flat terms and consider their new variable decompositions $E = E_n + E_{n-1} + \cdots + E_1$ and $F = F_m + F_{m-1} + \cdots + F_1$. Then $n = m$ and for all $i \leq j$ the number of hidden occurrences of each x_i in E_j is equal to the number of hidden occurrences x_i in F_j .

Proof. Equality $n = m$ is obvious because it is the number of variables of the two equivalent terms E and F . By possibly renaming the variables we may assume that for $i = 1, \dots, n$ the rightmost monomial in E_i is $\omega^{e_i} x_i$ for some $e_i \geq 0$. By definition a hidden occurrence of x_i can only belong to the set of monomials in $E_n + \dots + E_i$. We set for all $i \leq j \leq n+1$

- $H_{i,j}$ the number of hidden occurrences of x_i in $E_{j-1} + \dots + E_i$
- $N_{i,j}$ the number occurrences of nonhidden x_i -monomials in $E_{j-1} + \dots + E_i$

Consider the assignment $\phi(x_i) = 1$, $\phi(x_j) = \omega^a$ and $\phi(x_\ell) = 0$ for $\ell \neq i, j$. For a greater than the exponents of all x_i -monomials we have $\phi(E) = \alpha + \beta$ where $\nu(\alpha) \geq a > \partial(\beta)$. Then $N_{i,j} = |\beta|$. Now consider the assignment $\phi(x_i) = \omega^\omega$, $\phi(x_j) = \omega^{\omega+1}$ and $\phi(x_\ell) = 0$ for $\ell \neq i, j$. Then we have $\phi(E) = \omega^{\omega+1}c + \omega^\omega d$, $c, d < \omega$ and $H_{i,j} = d - N_{i,j}$. Then the number of hidden occurrences of x_i in E_j is equal to $H_{i,j+1} - H_{i,j}$ \square

Theorem 13. *The system of identities Σ' is an axiomatization of the equational theory of the structure $\langle \text{Ord} : (x, y) \mapsto x + y, x \mapsto \omega x \rangle$*

Proof. Let E and F be two equivalent pseudo-flat terms and let $E = E_n + E_{n-1} + \dots + E_1$ and $F = F_n + F_{n-1} + \dots + F_1$ be their NVD. We show that we have $E \equiv \widehat{E}$ where $\widehat{E} = F_n + E_{n-1} + \dots + E_1$. By lemma 12 E_n and F_n have the same number of hidden occurrences for all variables. We now consider their NMD. By lemma 10 we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_n &= E'_{n,s} + \omega^{e_{n,s}} x_{n,s} + \dots + E'_{n,1} + \omega^{e_{n,1}} x_{n,1} \\ F_n &= F'_{n,s} + \omega^{e_{n,s}} x_{n,s} + \dots + F'_{n,1} + \omega^{e_{n,1}} x_{n,1} \end{aligned}$$

where for $j = s, \dots, 1$, $E'_{n,j}$ and $F'_{n,j}$ have the same set of occurrences of nonhidden monomials. By equations 22, 23 (with $r = q$) and 12, all occurrences of nonhidden monomials in $E'_{n,j}$ commute pairwise and with the hidden monomials. So we have with $R = E_{n-1} + \dots + E_1$

$$\begin{aligned} &E'_{n,s} + \omega^{e_{n,s}} x_{n,s} + \dots + E'_{n,1} + \omega^{e_{n,1}} x_{n,1} + R \\ \equiv_{\text{Ax}} &E''_{n,s} + \omega^{e_{n,s}} x_{n,s} + \dots + E''_{n,1} + \omega^{e_{n,1}} x_{n,1} + R \end{aligned}$$

where for $j = s, \dots, 1$, $E''_{n,j}$ and $F'_{n,j}$ have the same sequence of nonhidden monomials. They may only differ in the number and positions of the hidden monomials. Since all hidden monomials commute by 12 with all monomials in $E''_{n,s} + \omega^{e_{n,s}} x_{n,s} + \dots + E''_{n,1} + \omega^{e_{n,1}} x_{n,1} + R$ and since the number of hidden monomials in F_n and $E''_{n,s} + \omega^{e_{n,s}} x_{n,s} + \dots + E''_{n,1} + \omega^{e_{n,1}} x_{n,1}$ are the same for all variables, we have

$$F_n \equiv_{\text{Ax}} E''_{n,s} + \omega^{e_{n,s}} x_{n,s} + \dots + E''_{n,1} + \omega^{e_{n,1}} x_{n,1} + R$$

Now $E \equiv \widehat{E}$ implies $E \equiv \widehat{E}$ thus $\widehat{E} \equiv F$ and finally by cancelation $E_{n-1} + \dots + E_1 \equiv F_{n-1} + \dots + F_1$ which allows us to conclude by induction. \square

5 Complexity

We briefly sketch how to evaluate the complexity of determining the equivalence of two terms E and F .

The flattening of a term can be achieved as follows. Construct in linear time the syntactic tree whose nodes are of arity 1 for the left multiplication by ω and of arity 2 for the addition. The leaves of the tree are labeled by the different variables occurring in the term. Then a depth-first search assigns to each variable its coefficients ω^i .

Concerning the equivalence of two flat terms in \mathcal{S} , it is convenient to consider flat terms as sequences scanned from right to left of monomials. By setting $A = \{\omega^{e_i} x_j : i < \omega, j \geq 1\}$ we view flat terms as elements in A^* . With every $u \in A^*$ define $C(u)$ as the set of monomials u and $MC(u)$ as its multiset. Consider the *subalphabet factorization* $u = u_n u_{n-1} \cdots u_1$ where $|C(u_i u_{i-1} \cdots u_1)| = i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Given two sequences u and v and $u_n u_{n-1} \cdots u_1$ and $v_m v_{m-1} \cdots v_1$ their subalphabet factorizations, determine whether or not $n = m$ and for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, $C(u_i u_{i-1} \cdots u_1) = C(v_i v_{i-1} \cdots v_1)$ and $MC(u_i u_{i-1} \cdots u_1) = MC(v_i v_{i-1} \cdots v_1)$. This can be done by scanning the two inputs in parallel and by incrementing a counter for each occurrence of a letter.

If we have to determine the equivalence in Ord we must factorize $u = u_n u_{n-1} \cdots u_1$ into k blocks if k is the number of variables in E and F corresponding to the NVD. This can be done in linear time by computing the number of hidden monomials in each block.

References

- [1] S. L. Bloom and C. Choffrut, Long words: the theory of concatenation and ω -power, *Theoret. Comp. Sci.*, 259(2001, 533–548.
- [2] C. Choffrut and S. Grigorieff, Test sets for equality of terms in the additive structure of ordinals augmented with right multiplication by ω , *Algebra Universalis*, 81, 45, 2020.
- [3] Stephen L. Bloom and Zoltán Ésik. Axiomatizing omega and omega-op powers of words. *RAIRO Theor. Informatics Appl.*, 38, 1, 3–17, 2004.
- [4] Stephen L. Bloom and Zoltán Ésik. The equational theory of regular words. *Inf. Comput.*, 197, 1-2, 55–89, 2005.
- [5] J.G. Rosenstein, *Linear ordering*, Academic Press, New-York, 1982
- [6] W. Sierpiński, *Cardinal and Ordinal Numbers*, 2nd ed., PWN-Polish Scientific Publishers, 1965.