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#### Abstract

Let $\underline{x}$ denote a system of elements of a commutative ring $R$. For an $R$-module $M$ we investigate when $\underline{x}$ is $M$-pro-regular resp. $M$-weakly pro-regular as generalizations of $M$-regular sequences. This is done in terms of Čech co-homology resp. homology, defined by $H^{i}\left(\check{C}_{\underline{x}} \otimes_{R} \cdot\right)$ resp. by $H_{i}\left(\operatorname{RHom} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(\check{C}_{\underline{x}}, \cdot\right)\right) \cong H_{i}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\underline{x}}, \cdot\right)\right)$, where $\check{C}_{\underline{x}}$ denotes the Čech complex and $\mathcal{L}_{\underline{x}}$ is a bounded free resolution of it as constructed in [17] resp. [16]. The property of $\underline{x}$ being $M$-proregular resp. $M$-weakly pro-regular follows by the vanishing of certain Čech co-homology resp. homology modules, which is related to completions. This extends previously work by Greenlees and May (see [5]) and Lipman et al. (see [1]). This contributes to a further understanding of Čech (co-)homology in the non-Noetherian case. As a technical tool we use one of Emmanouil's results (see [4]) about the inverse limits and its derived functor. As an application we prove a global variant of the results with an application to prisms in the sense of Bhatt and Scholze (see [3]).


## 1. Introduction

Let $R$ denote a commutative ring with $\underline{x}=x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ a system of elements. For an $R$-module $M$ we study generalizations of a $M$-regular sequence called $M$-pro-regular sequence and $M$ weakly pro-regular sequence. To this end we denote by $\check{C}_{\underline{x}}$ the Čech complex with respect to $\underline{x}$ (see e.g. $[17,6.1]$ ). It is a bounded complex of flat $R$-modules. For an $R$-module $M$ we write $\check{C}_{\underline{x}}(M)=\check{C}_{\underline{x}} \otimes_{R} M$. We call $\check{H}_{\underline{x}}^{i}(M)=H^{i}\left(\check{C}_{\underline{x}}(M)\right), i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the Čech cohomology of $M$. Dually we look at the complex $\mathrm{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(\check{C}_{\underline{x}}, M\right)$ in the derived category. There is a free resolution of $\check{C}_{\underline{x}}$ by a bounded complex $\mathcal{L}_{\underline{x}}$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\underline{x}}, M\right)$ is a representative of $\mathrm{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(\check{C}_{\underline{x}}, M\right)$ (see [17] and [16]). We define $\check{H}_{i}^{\underline{x}}(M)=H_{i}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\underline{x}}, M\right)\right) \cong H_{i}\left(\operatorname{RHom}_{R}\left(\check{C}_{\underline{x}}, M\right)\right), i \in \mathbb{Z}$, as the Čech homology of $M$. For the case of $R$ a Noetherian ring let $\mathfrak{a}=\underline{x} R$ then it follows that $\check{H}_{\underline{x}}^{i}(M) \cong$ $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i}(M)$, the $i$-th local cohomology of $M$ with support in $\mathfrak{a}$. At first this was established by Grothendieck (see [6] and [7]). Dually, for Noetherian rings $R$ we have $\check{H}_{i}^{\underline{x}}(M) \cong \Lambda_{i}^{\mathfrak{q}}(M)$, where $\Lambda_{i}^{\mathfrak{a}}(\cdot)$ denotes the left derived functors of the completion $\Lambda^{\mathfrak{a}}(\cdot)$. Contributions were done by Matlis (see [9]), Simon (see [18]), Greenlees and May (see [5]) and others.

Starting with Greenlees and May (see [5]) and Lipman et al. (see [1]) there were extensions to non-Noetherian rings with sequences $\underline{x}$ that are called pro-regular resp. weakly pro-regular (see below for the definitions). In particular, when $\underline{x}$ is weakly pro-regular the isomorphisms $\check{H}_{\underline{x}}^{i}(M) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i}(M)$ and $\check{H}_{i}^{\underline{x}}(M) \cong \Lambda_{i}^{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ hold for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any $R$-module $M$ and more generally for any complex $X \in D(R)$ (see [11], [12] , [14] and [17] for more details).

In the situation of $\underline{x}$ an $R$-regular sequence there is a corresponding property of $\underline{x}$ being an $M$-regular sequence (see e.g. [10]). This is a challenge for the study of the relative version that

[^0]$\underline{x}$ is weakly $M$-regular for modules instead of $M=R$. Namely, $\underline{x}$ is called an $M$-weakly proregular sequence (see also [17,7.3.1]) provided the inverse system $\left\{H_{i}\left(\underline{x}^{(n)} ; M\right)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is pro-zero for $i=1, \ldots, r$, i.e. for each $n$ there is an integer $m \geq n$ such that the natural map $H_{i}\left(\underline{x}^{(m)} ; M\right) \rightarrow$ $H_{i}\left(\underline{x}^{(n)} ; M\right)$ is zero. Here $\underline{x}^{(n)}=x_{1}^{n}, \ldots, x_{r}^{n}$ and $H_{i}\left(\underline{x}^{(n)} ; M\right)$ denotes the Koszul homology. An $R-$ weakly pro-regular sequence is called weakly pro-regular. For a first description of $M$-weakly pro-regular sequences see [15, Theorem 4.2]. Let $\widehat{M} \underline{\underline{x}}=\Lambda^{\underline{x}}(M)$ denote the $\underline{x}$-adic completion of M.

Theorem 1.1. For an $R$-module $M$ and a sequence $\underline{x}=x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ the following is equivalent:
(i) $\underline{x}$ is $M$-weakly pro-regular.
(ii) $\check{C}_{\underline{x}}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, I)\right)$ is a right resolution of $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(\Lambda \underline{x}(M), I)$ for any injective $R$-module $I$.
(iii) $\overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{R}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\underline{x}}, M \otimes_{R} F\right)$ is a left resolution of $\Lambda^{\underline{x}}\left(M \otimes_{R} F\right)$ for any free $R$-module $F$.
(iv) $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\underline{x}}^{\underline{x}}, X\right)$ is a left resolution of $\Lambda \underline{x}(X)$ for $X=M, M[T]$.
(v) $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\underline{x}}, M[T]\right)$ is a left resolution of $\Lambda^{\underline{x}}(M[T])$.

Note that the equivalence of (i), (iii) and (iv) in the particular case of $M=R$ was shown by Positselski (see [12, Theorem 3.6]), that is in the case when $\underline{x}$ is $R$-weakly pro-regular (or weakly pro-regular for short). Then the complexes $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\underline{x}}, X\right)$ and $\mathrm{L} \Lambda \underline{x}(X)$ are isomorphic in the derived category for all $X \in D(R)$ (see [11] generalizing the case of bounded complexes shown in [16]). For the proof of 1.1 and the notion of left/right resolution see the comments after 3.6.

The notion of a weakly pro-regular sequence $\underline{x}=x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ is defined in terms of the Koszul homology of the whole sequence $\underline{x}$. An $M$-regular sequence is defined by the vanishing of $\underline{x}_{i-1} M:_{M} x_{i} / \underline{x}_{i-1} M$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$, where $\underline{x}_{i-1}=x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}$. As a generalization of that Greenlees and May (see [5]) resp. Lipman et al. (see [1]) invented the notion of an M-proregular sequence. Note that both of the definitions are equivalent (see [15, Proposition 2.2]). A sequence $\underline{x}$ is called $M$-pro-regular if the inverse system $\left.\left\{\underline{x}_{i-1}^{(n)} M:_{M} x_{i}^{n} / \underline{x}_{i-1}^{(n)} M\right)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ with multiplication by $x_{i}^{n}$ is pro-zero for $i=1, \ldots, r$. Note that if $\underline{x}$ is $M$-regular it is also $M$-weakly pro-regular since $\underline{x}_{i-1}^{(n)} M:_{M} x_{i}^{n} / \underline{x}_{i-1}^{(n)} M=0$ (see [10, 16.1]). A characterization of pro-regular sequences in terms of Čech cohomology is known (see [15, Theorem 3.2] and 4.4). Here there is a description in the terms of Čech homology. See 4.5 for the following:

Theorem 1.2. Let $\underline{x}=x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ denote a sequence of elements of $R$. For an $R$-module $M$ the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) The sequence $\underline{x}$ is $M$-pro-regular.
(ii) $\check{H}_{0}^{x_{i}}\left(\Lambda^{x_{i-1}}\left(M \otimes_{R} F\right)\right) \cong \Lambda^{x_{i}}\left(M \otimes_{R} F\right)$ and $\check{H}_{1}^{x_{i}}\left(\Lambda^{x_{i-1}}\left(M \otimes_{R} F\right)\right)=0$ for $i=1, \ldots$, rand any free $R$-module $F$.
(iii) $\breve{H}_{0}^{x_{i}}(X) \cong \Lambda^{\underline{x_{i}}}(X)$ and $\check{H}_{1}^{\underline{x}_{i}}(X)=0$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$ and $X=M, M[T]$.
(iv) $\Lambda^{x_{i-1}}(M[T])$ is of bounded $x_{i}$-torsion for $i=1, \ldots, r$.

In the final section we apply the previous results to a global situation. To this end we consider a pair $(\mathcal{I}, x)$ consisting of an effective Cartier divisor $\mathcal{I} \subseteq R$ and an element $x \in R$ (see 5.1 for the definitions). We call it pro-regular whenever the inverse system $\left\{H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; R / \mathcal{I}^{n}\right)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is prozero. Then our investigations (see 5.5) yield the following:

Corollary 1.3. With the previous notation the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $R / \mathcal{I}$ is of bounded $x$-torsion.
(ii) $(\mathcal{I}, x)$ is pro-regular.
(iii) $\left.\check{H}_{0}^{x}\left(\Lambda^{\mathcal{I}}(F)\right) \cong \Lambda^{(x, \mathcal{I})}(F)\right)$ and $\check{H}_{1}^{x}\left(\Lambda^{\mathcal{I}}(F)\right)=0$ for any free $R$-module $F$.
(iv) $\Lambda^{\mathcal{I}}(R)$ and $\Lambda^{\mathcal{I}}(R[T])$ are of bounded $x$-torsion.

As shown in [15] this has applications to prisms in the sense of Bhatt and Scholze (see [3]). The equivalent conditions in 1.3 are improvements of the results shown in [15, Corollary 5.7].

In the paper we start with recollections about inverse limits. In particular we include a different proof of one of Emmanouil's results (see [4]) about inverse systems needed in the paper. In the third section we prove additional statements about weakly pro-regular sequences, extending those known before. In section 4 we study pro-regular sequences, continuing the results shown in [15]. Moreover, we prove a necessary and sufficient condition for the isomorphism $\Lambda^{x}\left(\Lambda^{\mathcal{I}}(M)\right) \cong \Lambda^{(x, \mathcal{I})}(M)$ for an ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset R$ and an element $x \in R$ generalizing a result by Greenlees and May (see [5, Lemma 1.6]). Finally in section 5 we study when a pair ( $\mathcal{I}, x$ ) consisting of an effective Cartier divisor $\mathcal{I}$ and an element $x \in R$ is pro-regular. Finally we apply these results to prisms in the sense of [3] generalizing partial results of [15].

In the terminology we follow that of [17]. In our approach we prefer to work in the category of modules instead of the derived category. For that reason we use a bounded free resolution of the Čech complex (see 3.1).

## 2. Recollections about inverse limits

Notation 2.1. (A) Let $R$ denote a commutative ring. Let $\left\{M_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ be an inverse system of $R$-modules with $\phi_{n, m}: M_{m} \rightarrow M_{n}$ for all $m \geq n$. Then there is an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \lim _{\longleftarrow} M_{n} \rightarrow \prod_{n \geq 0} M_{n} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \prod_{n \geq 0} M_{n} \rightarrow \lim _{\longleftarrow}^{1} M_{n} \rightarrow 0,
$$

where $\Phi$ denotes the transition map and $\lim _{\rightleftarrows}{ }^{1} M_{n}$ is the first left derived functor of the inverse limit (see e.g. [20, 3.5] or [17, 1.2.2]).
(B) Let $M$ denote an $R$-module. Let $T$ be a variable over $R$. In the following we use $M[|T|]$, the formal power series $R$-module over $M$. That is, the $R$-module $M[|T|]$ consists of all formal series $\sum_{i \geq 0} x_{i} T^{i}$ with $x_{i} \in M$ for all $i \geq 0$. Correspondingly, the $R$-module $M[T]$ consists of all polynomials over $M$. Therefore, $\sum_{i \geq 0} x_{i} T^{i} \in M[T]$ if only finitely many $x_{i}$ are non-zero. Whence there is an injection $0 \rightarrow M[T] \rightarrow M[|T|]$ of $R$-modules.
(C) The inverse system $\left\{M_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ is called pro-zero if for each $n$ there is an integer $m \geq n$ such that the homomorphism $\phi_{n, m}: M_{m} \rightarrow M_{n}$ is zero. If $\left\{M_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ is pro-zero, then it is well known that $\lim _{\leftrightarrows} M_{n}=\lim ^{1} M_{n}=0$ since $\Phi$ is an isomorphism (see e.g. [17, 1.2.4]).
(D) Let $\left\{M_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ be an inverse system. Then clearly $\operatorname{Im} \phi_{n, m^{\prime}} \subseteq \operatorname{Im} \phi_{n, m} \subseteq M_{n}$ for all $m^{\prime} \geq$ $m \geq n$. We say that $\left\{M_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies the Mittag-Leffler condition if for each $n$ the sequence of submodules $\left\{\operatorname{Im} \phi_{n, m} \mid m \geq n\right\}$ stabilizes. For instance, this holds if the maps $\phi_{n, m}$ are surjective or $\left\{M_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ is an inverse system of Artinian $R$-modules. It is well-known that $\lim ^{1} M_{n}=0$ if $\left\{M_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies the Mittag-Leffler condition (see e.g. [17, 1.2.3]).

For more details about inverse systems we refer to Jensen's exposition in [8] and to [4]. It is remarkable that the vanishing in 2.1 (C) does not imply that $\left\{M_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ is pro-zero. To this end see the example [17, 1.2.5] or the following generalization:

Example 2.2. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ denote a complete local Noetherian ring with $x \in R$ a non-unit. We consider the direct system $\left\{R_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ with $R_{n}=R$ and $\psi_{n, n+1}: R_{n} \rightarrow R_{n+1}$ the multiplication by $x$. Then $\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } R_{n} \cong R_{x}$ and there is a short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \oplus_{n \geq 0} R_{n} \rightarrow \oplus_{n \geq 0} R_{n} \rightarrow R_{x} \rightarrow 0
$$

Now we apply $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(\cdot, R)$ and obtain the inverse system $\left\{M_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ with $M_{n}=\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(R_{n}, R\right)$ and with the multiplication $M_{n+1} \xrightarrow{x} M_{n}$ ．By applying $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(\cdot, R)$ to the previous short exact sequence it yields the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(R_{x}, R\right) \rightarrow \prod_{n \geq 0} M_{n} \rightarrow \prod_{n \geq 0} M_{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}\left(R_{x}, R\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

Since $R$ is also $x R$－complete $\underset{\leftarrow}{\lim } M_{n}=\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(R_{x}, R\right)=0$ and $\lim ^{1} M_{n}=\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}\left(R_{x}, R\right)=0$（see ［17，3．1．10］）while the inverse system $\left\{M_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ is neither pro－zero nor satisfies the Mittag－Leffler condition．

In the following we shall discuss necessary and sufficient conditions for an inverse system to be pro－zero．This extends known results．We need a technical construction．

Remark 2．3．An $R$－module $M$ induces a short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow M[T] \xrightarrow{T} M[T] \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0,
$$

where $T$ denote the shift operator defined by $\sum_{n \geq 0}^{k} x_{n} T^{n} \mapsto \sum_{n \geq 0}^{k} x_{n} T^{n+1}$ ．The inverse system $\left\{M_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ induces a short exact sequence of inverse systems

$$
0 \rightarrow\left\{M_{n}[T]\right\}_{n \geq 0} \xrightarrow{T}\left\{M_{n}[T]\right\}_{n \geq 0} \rightarrow\left\{M_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0} \rightarrow 0,
$$

induced by the shift operator．Then we have the six－term long exact sequence associated to the inverse limit
（see e．g．［17，1．2．2］）．
By the Example 2.2 it follows that the vanishing of $\lim ^{1} M_{n}$ is necessary but not sufficient for the Mittag－Leffler condition of the inverse system $\left\{{\widetilde{M_{n}}}_{n}{ }_{n \geq 0}\right.$ ．A characterization of the Mittag－ Leffler condition was shown by Emmanouil（see［4］）．For our purposes we recall part of Em－ manouil＇s result（see［4，Corollary 6］）．In our argument we use a certain exact sequence（see the proof of 2．4）and modify an idea of［19，tag 0CQA］as new ingredients．
Lemma 2．4．Let $\left\{M_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ denote an inverse system of $R$－modules．Then the following conditions are equivalent：
（i）$\left\{M_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies the Mittag－Leffler condition．
（ii）$\left\{M_{n}[T]\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies the Mittag－Leffler condition．
（iii） $\lim ^{1} M_{n}=0$ and $\lim ^{1} M_{n}[T]=0$ ．
（iv）${\underset{\underset{~}{\lim }}{ }}^{1} M_{n}[T]=0$ ．
Proof．（i）$\Longrightarrow$（ii）：This follows since the inverse system $\left\{M_{n}[T]\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies the Mittag－Leffler condition too．
（ii）$\Longrightarrow$（iv）：This holds trivially．
（iii）$\Longleftrightarrow$（iv）：This is a consequence of the six－term exact sequence in 2．3．
（iii）$\Longrightarrow$（i）：The injections $0 \rightarrow M_{n}[T] \rightarrow M_{n}[|T|]$ induce a short exact sequence of inverse systems

$$
0 \rightarrow\left\{M_{n}[T]\right\}_{n \geq 0} \rightarrow\left\{M_{n}[|T|]\right\}_{n \geq 0} \rightarrow\left\{M_{n}[|T|] / M_{n}[T]\right\}_{n \geq 0} \rightarrow 0
$$

By passing to the inverse limit it provides an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \lim _{\leftarrow} M_{n}[T] \rightarrow \underset{亡}{\lim } M_{n}[|T|] \rightarrow \underset{亡}{\lim } M_{n}[|T|] / M_{n}[T] \rightarrow{\underset{幺}{\lim }}^{1} M_{n}[T] .
$$

Now suppose that $\left\{M_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ does not satisfy the Mittag-Leffler condition. Then there is an integer $m$ such that the sequence of submodules $\left\{\operatorname{Im} \phi_{m, k} \mid k \geq m\right\}$ of $M_{m}$ does not stabilize. Whence there is an infinite sequence $m=m_{0}<m_{1}<\ldots<m_{i}<\ldots$ and elements $x_{i} \in M_{m_{i}}$ such that $\phi_{m, m_{i}}\left(x_{i}\right) \in M_{m} \backslash \phi_{m, m_{i}+1}\left(M_{m_{i}+1}\right)$. Now we define $F=\left(f_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0} \in \prod_{n \geq 0} M_{n}[|T|]$ with $f_{n}=\sum_{i \geq n} z_{n, i} T^{i}$ where we put

$$
z_{n, i}= \begin{cases}\phi_{n, m_{i}}\left(x_{i}\right) & \text { if } m_{i} \geq n \\ 0 & \text { else. }\end{cases}
$$

As easily seen $f_{n}-\phi_{n, n+1}\left(f_{n+1}\right) \in M_{n}[T]$ and $F$ defines an element $F^{\prime} \in \lim _{\check{L}} M_{n}[|T|] / M_{n}[T]$. Suppose $F^{\prime}$ has a preimage $G=\left(g_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0} \in \lim _{M_{n}}[|T|]$ with $g_{n}=\sum_{i \geq 0} y_{n, i} T^{i}$ and $y_{n, i} \in M_{n}$ for all $i \geq 0$. We have that $y_{n, i}=\phi_{n, n+k}\left(y_{n+k, i}\right)$ for all $k, i \geq 0$ and therefore $y_{n, i} \in \phi_{n, n+k}\left(M_{n+k}\right)$. That is, $y_{m, i} \in \phi_{m, m_{i}+1}\left(M_{m_{i}+1}\right)$ and $y_{m, i} \neq \phi_{m, m_{i}}\left(x_{i}\right)$ since $\phi_{m, m_{i}}\left(x_{i}\right) \in M_{m} \backslash \phi_{m, m_{i}+1}\left(M_{m_{i}+1}\right)$. Therefore

$$
f_{m}-g_{m}=\sum_{i \geq 0}\left(\phi_{m, m_{i}}\left(x_{i}\right)-y_{m, i}\right) T^{i} \notin M_{m}[T]
$$

and $G$ can not be a preimage of $F^{\prime}$, a contradiction to the vanishing of $\lim ^{1} M_{n}[T]$.
As a consequence of 2.4 a characterization of pro-zero inverse systems follows. The vanishing $\lim _{\leftarrow} M_{n}=\lim ^{1} M_{n}=0$ is not sufficient for $\left\{M_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ being pro-zero (see 2.2). As shown next it follows by the vanishing $\lim M_{n}[T]=\lim ^{1} M_{n}[T]=0$ (see 2.5). For the proof we modify Weibel's argument (see the proof [20, 3.5.7]). For an $R$-module $M$ and a set $S$ we define $M^{(S)}=\oplus_{s \in S} M_{s}$ with $M_{s}=M$. Then it is clear that conditions (iii) and (iv) hold also for the inverse system $\left\{\left(M_{n}\right)^{(S)}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ when they hold for $\left\{M_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$.
Corollary 2.5. Let $\left\{M_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ denote an inverse system of $R$-modules. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $\left\{M_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ is pro-zero.
(ii) $\left\{M_{n}[T]\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ is pro-zero.
(iii) $\underset{\rightleftarrows}{\lim } M_{n}=\lim _{\leftrightarrows}^{1} M_{n}=0$ and $\underset{\leftarrow}{\lim } M_{n}[T]=\lim ^{1} M_{n}[T]=0$.
(iv) $\underset{\leftarrow}{\lim } M_{n}[T]=\lim _{\leftrightarrows}{ }^{1} M_{n}[T]=0$.

Proof. (i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii): Because $\left\{M_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ is pro-zero this holds also for the induced inverse system $\left\{M_{n}[T]\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ as easily seen.
(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iv): This is obviously true because $\left\{M_{n}[T]\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ is pro-zero.
(iii) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (iv): This is a consequence of the six-term exact sequence in 2.3.
(iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i): By view of 2.4 the inverse system $\left\{M_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ satisfies the Mittag-Leffler condition. We define $N_{n}=\operatorname{Im} \phi_{n, m}$ where $m=m(n)$ is choosen such that $\left\{\operatorname{Im} \phi_{n, k}\right\}_{k \geq n}$ becomes stable. Then $\left\{N_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ becomes an inverse system with surjective maps. Because the inverse system $\left\{M_{n} / N_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is pro-zero the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N_{n} \rightarrow M_{n} \rightarrow M_{n} / N_{n} \rightarrow 0$ implies $\lim _{¿} N_{n}=$ $\lim _{\rightleftarrows} M_{n}=0$ and therefore $N_{n}=0$.

## 3. Weakly pro-regular sequences

We start with a few recalls of results and definitions of [17] and [16]. As above $R$ denotes a commutative ring.
Notation 3.1. (A) For a system of elements $\underline{x}=x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ of $R$ let $\check{C}_{\underline{x}}$ denote the Čech complex

$$
\check{C}_{\underline{x}}:=\check{C}_{x_{1}} \otimes_{R} \cdots \otimes_{R} \check{C}_{x_{r}}
$$

where $\check{C}_{x_{i}}: 0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow R_{x_{i}} \rightarrow 0$ (see e.g. [7] or [17, 6.1]). In the following we look at the complex $R \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(\check{C}_{\underline{x}}, M\right)$ for an $R$-module $M$ in the derived category. By virtue of [5] there is a finite free resolution of $\check{C}_{\underline{x}}$. We follow here the one $\mathcal{L}_{\underline{x}}$ as given in [16]. Whence $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\underline{x}}, M\right)$ is a representative of $\operatorname{RHom}_{R}\left(\check{C}_{\underline{x}}, M\right)$. Define the Čech homology $\check{H_{i}^{\prime}} \underline{\underline{x}}(M)=H^{-i}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\underline{x}}, M\right)\right)$ and the Čech cohomology $\bar{H}_{\underline{x}}^{i}(M)=H^{i}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\underline{x}} \otimes_{R} M\right)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ (see [17] and [16] for more details).
(B) Let $\underline{U}=U_{1}, \ldots, U_{r}$ denote a sequence of $r$ variables over $R$. For an $R$-module $M$ we denote, as above, by $M[|\underline{U}|]$ the module of formal power series in the variables $\underline{U}$. Clearly $M[|\underline{U}|]=$ $\lim ^{\omega} M[\underline{U}] / \underline{U}^{(n)} M[\underline{U}]$, where $\underline{U}^{(n)}=U_{1}^{n}, \ldots, U_{r}^{n}$ and $M[\underline{U}]$ is the polynomial module over $M$. For the sequence $\underline{x}=x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ we define the sequence $\underline{x}-\underline{U}=x_{1}-U_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}-U_{r}$. As one of the main results of the paper [17, Section 8] the following isomorphisms are shown

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\underline{x}}, M\right) \cong K_{\bullet}(\underline{x}-\underline{U} ; M[|\underline{U}|]) \cong \lim _{\check{ }} K_{\bullet}\left(\underline{x}-\underline{U} ; M[\underline{U}] / \underline{U}^{(n)} M[\underline{U}]\right)
$$

where $K_{\bullet}(\underline{x}-\underline{U} ; \cdot)$ denotes the Koszul complex with respect to the sequence $\underline{x}-\underline{U}$. Moreover there are isomorphisms

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\underline{x}} \otimes_{R} M \cong K^{\bullet}\left(\underline{x}-\underline{U} ; M\left[\underline{U}^{-1}\right]\right) \cong \underline{\lim } K^{\bullet}\left(\underline{x}-\underline{U} ; M[\underline{U}] / \underline{U}^{(n)} M[\underline{U}]\right),
$$

where $M\left[\underline{U}^{-1}\right]$ denotes the module of inverse polynomials and $K^{\bullet}(\underline{x}-\underline{U} ; \cdot)$ is the Koszul cocomplex (see [16, 4.1] for all of the details).

In the following there is technical result for the computation of $\check{H}_{i}^{\underline{x}}(M)$ and $\check{H}_{\underline{x}}^{i}(M)$ resp.
Lemma 3.2. We fix the notation of 3.1. Furthermore let $\underline{x}^{(n)}=x_{1}^{n}, \ldots, x_{r}^{n}$ and let $H_{i}\left(\underline{x}^{(n)} ; M\right)$ denote the Koszul homology and $H^{i}\left(\underline{x}^{(n)} ; M\right)$ the Koszul cohomology.
(a) There are isomorphisms $\check{H}_{\underline{x}}^{i}(M) \cong \underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } H^{i}\left(\underline{x}^{(n)} ; M\right)$ and short exact sequences
for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(b) For $i>0$ we have $\check{H}_{i}^{\underline{x}}(M)=0$ if and only if $\lim _{\leftrightarrows}^{1} H_{i+1}\left(\underline{x}^{(n)} ; M\right)=\lim _{\leftrightarrows}^{\leftrightarrows} H_{i}\left(\underline{x}^{(n)} ; M\right)=0$ and $\check{H}_{0}^{\underline{x}}(M) \cong \Lambda^{\underline{x}}(M)$ if and only if $\varliminf_{\rightleftarrows}^{1} H_{1}\left(\underline{x}^{(n)} ; M\right)=0$.
Proof. For the proof of (a) we refer to [17, 6.1.4, 8.1.7] or [16, 5.6]. Then (b) is a consequence of the exact sequences in (a).

Next we shall give a further characterization for an element $x \in R$ such that an $R$-module $M$ is of bounded $x$-torsion.
Definition 3.3. (A) Let $M$ denote an $R$-module and $x \in R$ an element. Then $M$ is called of bounded $x$-torsion if the family of increasing submodules $\left\{0:_{M} x^{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ stabilizes, that is

$$
0:_{M} x^{n}=0:_{M} x^{n+1} \text { for all } n \gg 0 .
$$

Note that this is equivalent to the fact that the inverse system $\left\{0::_{M} x^{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ with the multiplication map $0:_{M} x^{m} \xrightarrow{x^{m-n}} 0:_{M} x^{n}, m \geq n$, being pro-zero.
(B) It is obvious that $M$ is of bounded $x$-torsion if and only if the inverse system of Koszul homology modules $\left\{H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; M\right)\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ with the multiplication map $H_{1}\left(x^{m} ; M\right) \xrightarrow{x^{m-n}} H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; M\right)$ is pro-zero. With this in mind Lipman (see [2]) introduced the generalization of a weakly proregular sequence for a ring $R$. For a generalization to an $R$-module $M$ see [17, 7.3.1]. That is, a sequence $\underline{x}=x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ is called $M$-weakly pro-regular, if for $i>0$ the inverse system
$\left\{H_{i}\left(\underline{x}^{n} ; M\right)\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ is pro-zero, where $H_{i}\left(\underline{x}^{m} ; M\right) \rightarrow H_{i}\left(\underline{x}^{n} ; M\right), m \geq n$, denotes the natural map induced by the Koszul complexes. A first systematic study of $R$-weakly pro-regular sequences has been done in [14].

For a characterization of $M$-weakly pro-regular sequences see [16]. In fact, this is an extension of $R$-weakly pro-regular sequences shown in [11] which extended the results of [14] to unbounded complexes. Here we shall prove another characterization of $M$-weakly pro-regular sequences. It is a slight extension of Potsitselski's result see [12, Section 3]) to the case of an $R$ module $M$. As above, for an $R$-module $M$ and a set $S$ we define $M^{(S)}=\oplus_{s \in S} M_{s}$ with $M_{s}=M$. Note that $M[T] \cong M^{(\mathbb{N})}$. Moreover, $\Lambda \underline{x}(M)=\widehat{M} \underline{x}=\lim M / \underline{x}^{(n)} M$ denotes the $\underline{x} R$-adic completion of an $R$-module $M$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $\underline{x}=x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ denote a sequence of elements of $R$. For an $R$-module $M$ the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $\underline{x}$ is M-weakly pro-regular.
(ii) For any set $S$ it holds $\check{H}_{i}^{\underline{x}}\left(M^{(S)}\right)=0$ for all $i>0$ and $\check{H}_{0}^{\underline{x}}\left(M^{(S)}\right)=\Lambda^{\underline{x}}\left(M^{(S)}\right)$.
(iii) $\check{H}_{i}^{\underline{x}}(M[T])=\check{H}_{i}^{\underline{x}}(M)=0$ for all $i>0$ and $\check{H}_{0}^{\underline{x}}(M[T])=\Lambda \underline{\underline{x}}(M[T])$ and $\check{H}_{0}^{\underline{x}}(M)=\Lambda \underline{x}(M)$.
(iv) $\check{H}_{i}^{\underline{x}}(M[T])=0$ for all $i>0$ and $\check{H}_{0}^{\underline{x}}(M[T])=\Lambda \underline{x}(M[T])$.

Proof. (i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii): It is clear that for $i>0$ the inverse system $\left\{H_{i}\left(\underline{x}^{(n)} ; M^{(S)}\right)\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ is pro-zero too. Then $\lim H_{i}\left(\underline{x}^{(n)} ; M^{(S)}\right)=\lim ^{1} H_{i}\left(\underline{x}^{(n)} ; M^{(S)}\right)=0$ for $i>0$ and (ii) is a consequence of 3.2.
(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iv): These hold obviously.
(iv) $\Longrightarrow$ (i): By view of 3.2 the assumptions imply that

$$
\lim _{\leftrightarrows} H_{i}\left(\underline{x}^{(n)} ; M[T]\right)=\lim _{\check{1}}{ }^{1} H_{i}\left(\underline{x}^{(n)} ; M[T]\right)=0 \text { for } i>0 .
$$

By 2.5 this completes the proof because of $H_{i}\left(\underline{x}^{(n)} ; M[T]\right) \cong H_{i}\left(\underline{x}^{(n)} ; M\right)[T]$.
In the following example we show that it is not sufficient to assume $S$ to be finite in 3.4 for the characterization of weakly pro-regular sequences (see also [15, Example 3.3]).
Example 3.5. Let $R=\mathbb{k}[|x|]$ denote the formal power series ring in the variable $x$ over the field $\mathbb{k}$. Then define $A=\prod_{n>1} R / x^{n} R$. By the component wise operations $A$ becomes a commutative ring. The natural map $R \rightarrow A, r \rightarrow\left(r+x^{n} R\right)_{n \geq 1}$, is a ring homomorphism with $x \mapsto \mathbf{x}:=\left(x+x^{n} R\right)_{n \geq 1}$. As a direct product of $x R$-complete modules $A$ is an $x R$ complete $R$-module (see [17, 2.2.7]). Since $R$ is a Noetherian ring $x$ is $R$-weakly pro-regular and $\check{H}_{i}^{x}(A) \cong H_{i}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(\mathcal{L}_{x}, A\right)\right)=0$ for $i>0$ and $\check{H}_{0}^{x}(A) \cong H_{0}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(\mathcal{L}_{x}, A\right)\right) \cong A$. Moreover, by the change of rings there is an isomorphism $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(\mathcal{L}_{x}, A\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{x}}, A\right)$. That is, $\check{H}_{i}^{\mathrm{x}}(A)=0$ for $i>0$ and $\check{H}_{0}^{\mathrm{x}}(A) \cong A$. Now note that $A$ is not of bounded x-torsion as easily seen. It follows that the equivalent conditions in 3.4 do not hold for $A$ and $A[T]$. To be more precise, recall $H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; A\right)=\prod_{i \geq 1}\left(x^{i-n} R / x^{i} R\right)$ with $x^{i-n} R=R$ for $i \leq n$, that is

$$
H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; A\right)=(\underbrace{R / x R, \ldots, R / x^{n} R}_{i \leq n}, \underbrace{x R / x^{n+1}, \ldots, x^{i-n} R / x^{i} R, \ldots}_{i>n}) .
$$

Therefore $H_{1}\left(x^{m} ; A\right)$ does not stabilize under the multiplication by $x^{m-n}$ in $H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; A\right)$. Note that the $i$-component of the image of $H_{1}\left(x^{m} ; A\right)$ under the multiplication by $x^{m-n}$ in $H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; A\right)$ is zero for $i \leq m-n<m$ and non-zero for $i=m-n+1$. Whence $\left\{H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; A\right)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ does not satisfy the Mittag-Leffler condition. By view of 2.4 we have $\lim ^{1} H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; A[T]\right) \neq 0$ and $\Lambda_{0}^{x}(A[T]) \cong \check{H}_{0}^{x}(A[T]) \rightarrow \Lambda^{x}(A[T])$ is not an isomorphism (see 3.2 (a)).

As an application we have another characterization that an $R$-module $M$ is of bounded $x$ torsion for an element $x \in R$. Note that (iii) in 3.6 is the analogue to 3.4 (iv).
Corollary 3.6. For an element $x \in R$ and an $R$-module $M$ the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $M$ is of bounded $x$-torsion.
(ii) $\check{H}_{1}^{x}(M[T])=\check{H}_{1}^{x}(M)=0$ and $\check{H}_{0}^{x}(M[T]) \cong \Lambda^{x}(M[T])$ and $\check{H}_{0}^{x}(M) \cong \Lambda^{x}(M)$.
(iii) $\lim 0:_{M[T]} x^{n}=\lim _{\leftarrow}^{1} 0:_{M[T]} x^{n}=0$.

Proof. The equivalence of the first two conditions is a particular case of 3.4. The equivalence of the first and third condition is a particular case of 2.5.

Moreover, the proof of Theorem 1.1 follows by 3.4 and [16, Proposition 5.3]. To this end note that $\check{H}_{i}^{\underline{x}}(M)=H_{i}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\underline{x}}, M\right)\right)$. For an $R$-module $X$ we call a complex $X .: \ldots \rightarrow X_{1} \rightarrow$ $X_{0} \rightarrow 0$ a left resolution whenever $X . \xrightarrow{\sim} M$. A co-complex $Y: 0 \rightarrow Y^{0} \rightarrow Y^{1} \rightarrow \ldots$ is called a right resolution of $X$ provided $X \xrightarrow{\sim} Y$.

With the previous results we have the following slight generalization of Potsitselski's result (see [12, Theorem 3.6]). Note that $\underline{x}$ is $R$-weakly pro-regular if it is $R[T]$-weakly pro-regular as easily seen.
Corollary 3.7. For a sequence $\underline{x}=x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ of a ring $R$ the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $\underline{x}$ is $R$-weakly pro-regular.
(ii) $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\underline{x}}, M\right)$ is a left resolution of $\Lambda \underline{x}(M)$ for any free $R$-module $M$.
(iii) $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\underline{x}}^{-}, R[T]\right)$ is a left resolution of $\Lambda \underline{x}(R[T])$.

Remark 3.8. While the property of $R$-regular and $M$-regular sequences are quite "symmetric" this is not the case for the notion of weakly pro-regularity. Let $\underline{x}$ denote a sequence of elements of $R$. If it is $R$-weakly pro-regular it follows that $\breve{H}_{0}^{\underline{x}}(M) \cong \Lambda \frac{x}{0}(M)$ for any $R$-module $M$ (see e.g. [17, Chapter 7]). Let $\underline{x}$ be $M$-weakly pro-regular, then $\check{H}_{0}^{\underline{x}}(M) \cong \Lambda \frac{x}{\underline{x}}(M)$ as shown in 3.4. Note that the homomorphism $\Lambda_{0}^{\frac{x}{0}}(M) \rightarrow \Lambda^{\underline{x}}(M)$ is onto (see [17, 2.5.1]) but in general not an isomorphism (see e.g. Example 3.5).

## 4. Pro-regular sequences

Before we shall investigate pro-regular sequences we need technical results about pro-zero inverse systems. To this end let $M$ denote an $R$-module with $\left\{M_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ a decreasing sequence of submodules of $M$, i.e. $M_{n+1} \subseteq M_{n}$ for $n \geq 1$. Then $\mathcal{M}=\left\{M / M_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ forms an inverse system with surjective maps $M / M_{n+1} \rightarrow M / M_{n}$. Moreover, let $\Lambda(\mathcal{M})=\underset{\rightleftarrows}{\lim } M / M_{n}$. For a sequence of elements $\underline{x}=x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r} \in R$ we consider the induced filtration $\left\{\left(\underline{x}^{(n)} M, M_{n}\right)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$, where $\underline{x}^{(n)}=x_{1}^{n}, \ldots, x_{r}^{n}$. We write $\Lambda(\mathcal{M} / \underline{x} \mathcal{M}):=\lim _{\leftrightarrows} M /\left(\underline{x}^{(n)} M, M_{n}\right)$ for the inverse limit of the induced filtration. Then there is a natural homomorphism $\Lambda^{\underline{x}}(\Lambda(\mathcal{M})) \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathcal{M} / \underline{x} \mathcal{M})$. In the following we will discuss when it is an isomorphism.
Lemma 4.1. With the previous notation there is a short exact sequence

Therefore $\Lambda^{\underline{x}}(\Lambda(\mathcal{M})) \cong \Lambda(\mathcal{M} / \underline{x} \mathcal{M})$ if and only if $\varliminf_{\leftarrow} \lim _{n} \lim _{m}^{1} H_{1}\left(\underline{x}^{(n)} ; M / M_{m}\right)=0$.
Proof. Let $m, n$ denote positive integers. We investigate the inverse system of Koszul complexes $\left\{K \bullet\left(\underline{x}^{(n)} ; M / M_{m}\right)\right\}_{m \geq 1}$. For its inverse limit there are isomorphisms

$$
\lim _{m} K \cdot\left(\underline{x}^{(n)}, M / M_{m}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(K^{\bullet}\left(\underline{x}^{(n)}\right), \Lambda(\mathcal{M})\right) \cong K \cdot\left(\underline{x}^{(n)} ; \Lambda(\mathcal{M})\right) .
$$

The inverse system $\left\{K_{\bullet}\left(\underline{x}^{(n)} ; M / M_{m}\right)\right\}_{m \geq 1}$ is degree-wise surjective. Whence for its 0-th homology there is a short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \lim _{\check{c}}{ }_{m}^{1} H_{1}\left(\underline{x}^{(n)} ; M / M_{m}\right) \rightarrow H_{0}\left(\underline{x}^{(n)} ; \Lambda(\mathcal{M})\right) \rightarrow \varliminf_{\check{m}} H_{0}\left(\underline{x}^{(n)} ; M / M_{m}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

(see [17, 1.2.8]). It forms an exact sequence of inverse systems on $n$. By passing to the inverse limit it provides the short exact sequence of the statement since $\lim _{n}^{1} \lim _{n}^{1} H_{1}\left(\underline{x}^{(n)} ; M / M_{m}\right)=$ 0 because of the underlying bi-countable indexed system (see the spectral sequence in [13]). Whence the statement follows.

The previous result is an extension of [5, Lemma 1.6] to the case of a sequence of elements and a more general filtration. Namely, it was shown by Greenlees and May that the vanishing of $\lim _{n} \lim _{{ }_{m}^{1}}^{{ }_{m}} H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; M / \mathcal{I}^{m} M\right)$ implies the isomorphism $\Lambda^{x}\left(\Lambda^{\mathcal{I}}(M)\right) \cong \Lambda^{(x, \mathcal{I})}(M)$. By 4.1 the vanishing is also necessary for the isomorphism.

For any set $S$ we define also $\Lambda\left(\mathcal{M}^{(S)}\right)=\lim _{\longleftarrow} M^{(S)} / M_{n}^{(S)} \cong \lim _{\longleftarrow}\left(\left(M / M_{n}\right)^{(S)}\right)$. For an element $x \in R$ we put - as before -

$$
\Lambda\left((\mathcal{M} / x \mathcal{M})^{(S)}\right)=\lim M^{(S)} /\left(x M, M_{n}\right)^{(S)} \cong \underset{\rightleftarrows}{\lim }\left(\left(M /\left(x M, M_{n}\right)^{(S)}\right)\right) .
$$

Moreover, we study when the inverse system $\left\{M_{n}:_{M} x^{n} / M_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ with the multiplication by $x$ is pro-zero. That is, when for each $n \geq 1$ there is an $m \geq n$ such that the multiplication map

$$
M_{m}:_{M} x^{m} / M_{m} \xrightarrow{x^{m-n}} M_{n}:_{M} x^{n} / M_{n}
$$

is zero. This is equivalent to the inverse system $\left\{H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; M / M_{n}\right)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ being pro-zero, where $H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; M / M_{n}\right)$ denotes the Koszul homology of $M / M_{n}$ with respect to the element $x^{n}$. In other words, for each integer $n \geq 1$ there is an $m \geq n$ such that $M_{m}:_{M} x^{m} \subseteq M_{n}:_{M} x^{m-n}$. Note that, if $M_{n}=: N$ for all $n \geq 1$, then $\left\{H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; M / N\right)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is pro-zero if and only if $M / N$ is of bounded $x$-torsion. With this in mind we shall continue with an extension of 3.6.

Theorem 4.2. With the previous notation the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) The inverse system $\left\{H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; M / M_{n}\right)\right\}_{n>1}$ is pro-zero.
(ii) $\check{H}_{1}^{x}\left(\Lambda\left(\mathcal{M}^{(S)}\right)\right)=0$ and $\check{H}_{0}^{x}\left(\Lambda\left(\mathcal{M}^{(S)}\right)\right) \cong \Lambda\left((\mathcal{M} / x \mathcal{M})^{(S)}\right)$ for any set $S$.
(iii) Condition (ii) holds for $S$ a set of a single element and $S=\mathbb{N}$.
(iv) $\lim _{\leftrightarrows} H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; Y_{n}\right)=\lim ^{1} H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; Y_{n}\right)=0$ for both $Y_{n}=M / M_{n}$ and $Y_{n}=M / M_{n}[T]$.
(v) $\varliminf_{\longleftarrow} H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; M / M_{n}[T]\right)={\underset{\longleftarrow}{\leftrightarrows}}^{1} H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; M / M_{n}[T]\right)=0$.

Proof. (i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii): We put $X=M^{(S)}$ and $X_{n}=\left(M_{n}\right)^{(S)}$. Then it follows that $\left\{H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; X / X_{n}\right)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is pro-zero too since the Koszul homology commutes with direct sums, therefore

$$
\lim _{\check{ }} H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; X / X_{n}\right)=\lim _{\check{1}}{ }^{1} H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; X / X_{n}\right)=0 .
$$

Furthermore there are isomorphisms

$$
{\underset{m}{l}}_{\lim _{m}} H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; X / X_{m}\right) \cong \underset{m}{\lim _{m}} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(R / x^{n} R, X / X_{m}\right) \cong H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; \Lambda(X)\right)
$$

for all $n \geq 1$. We have the bi-indexed system $\left\{H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; X / X_{m}\right)\right\}_{n \geq 1, m \geq 1}$ and the diagonal system $\left\{H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; X / X_{n}\right)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ cofinal in it. There are the isomorphisms and the vanishing

By virtue of Roos' spectral sequence (see [13] or [20,5.8.7]) there is a short exact sequence

and a similar one with $m, n$ reversed．This implies the vanishing $\lim _{\leftrightarrows}^{1} H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; \Lambda(X)\right)=0$ and also $\lim _{n} \lim _{m}^{1} H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; X / X_{m}\right)=0$ ．By view of 3.2 and 4.1 this proves the claim．
（ii）$\Longrightarrow$（iii）：This holds trivially．
（iii）$\Longrightarrow$（iv）：By 3.2 the assumption implies that $\lim _{\leftrightarrows} H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; \Lambda(\mathcal{X})\right)=\lim ^{1} H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; \Lambda(\mathcal{X})\right)=0$ for $\mathcal{X}=\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}[T]$ ．Put $X / X_{m}=\mathcal{M}_{m}$ ．Because $\Lambda(\mathcal{X}) \cong \lim _{m} X / X_{m}$ and since the inverse limit commutes（as above）with the first Koszul homology it follows that

$$
\underset{n}{\lim } \underset{m}{\lim _{m}} H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; X / X_{m}\right)={\underset{n}{\gtrless}}_{\lim _{m}^{1}}^{{\underset{l}{m}}^{\lim }} H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; X / X_{m}\right)=0 .
$$

The first vanishing implies that $\lim H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; M / M_{n}\right)=0$ ．In order to continue note that the isomorphism of the assumption $\overleftarrow{H_{0}^{x}}(\Lambda(\mathcal{X})) \cong \Lambda(\mathcal{X} / x \mathcal{X})$ factors through

$$
\check{H}_{0}^{x}(\Lambda(\mathcal{X})) \xrightarrow{\beta} \Lambda^{x}(\Lambda(\mathcal{X})) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \Lambda(\mathcal{X} / x \mathcal{X})
$$

surjections $\beta$（see 3．2）and $\gamma$（see 4．1）．Whence $\Lambda^{x}(\Lambda(\mathcal{X})) \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathcal{X} / x \mathcal{X})$ is an isomorphism and $\lim _{n} \lim _{\leftrightarrows}^{{ }_{m}^{1}} H_{1}\left(\underline{x}^{(n)} ; M / M_{m}\right)=0$（see 4．1）．Therefore

$$
\lim _{幺}{ }_{n}^{1} \lim _{幺} H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; X / X_{m}\right)=\lim _{幺} \lim _{幺}^{1} H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; X / X_{m}\right)=0 .
$$

By Roos＇exact sequence above（see（\＃）） $\lim ^{1}{ }^{1} H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; M / M_{n}\right)=0$ ，as required．
（iv）$\Longrightarrow(\mathrm{v})$ ：This is obvious．
$(\mathrm{v}) \Longrightarrow$（i）：The Koszul homology commutes with direct sums．Therefore the implication fol－ lows by virtue of 2.5 ．

The implication（i）$\Longrightarrow$（ii）in 4.2 is a generalization of［5，Proposition 1．7］．Furthermore，a certain generalization of bounded torsion to the study of sequences was invented by Greenlees and May（see［5］）and Lipman et al．（see［1］），namely：
Definition 4．3．（A）Let $\underline{x}=x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ denote a sequence of elments of $R$ ．For an $R$－module $M$ it is called $M$－pro－regular if the inverse systems with the multiplication map by $x_{i}^{n}$

$$
\left\{\left(x_{1}^{n}, \ldots, x_{i-1}^{n}\right) M:_{M} x_{i}^{n} /\left(x_{1}^{n}, \ldots, x_{i-1}^{n}\right) M\right\}_{n \geq 1}, \quad i=1, \ldots, r,
$$

are pro－zero．This is equivalent to saying that the inverse systems $\left\{H_{1}\left(x_{i}^{(n)} ; M / \underline{x}_{i-1}^{(n)} M\right)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ are pro－zero for $i=1, \ldots, r$ ．For a sequence of elements $\underline{x}=x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ we specify the subsystems $\underline{x}_{i}=x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i}$ for $i=0, \ldots, r-1$ ．
（B）The notion of pro－zero is equivalent to say that for $i=1, \ldots, r$ and any positive integer $n$ there is an integer $m \geq n$ such that

$$
\left(x_{1}^{m}, \ldots, x_{i-1}^{m}\right) M:_{M} x_{i}^{m} \subseteq\left(x_{1}^{n}, \ldots, x_{i-1}^{n}\right) M:_{M} x_{i}^{m-n} .
$$

Note that an element $x \in R$ is $M$－pro－regular if and only if $M$ is of bounded $x$－torsion．
For a discussion of the notions of pro－regularity of Greenlees and May（see［5］）resp．Lipman （see［1］）we refer to［15］．Moreover，it follows that an $M$－pro－regular sequence is also $M$－weakly pro－regular（see e．g．［15，Theorem 2．4］），while the converse does not hold（see［2］）．For a ho－ mological characterization of $M$－pro－regular sequences in terms of injective modules we refer to［15，Theorem 2．1］．Here we add a slight extension of［15，Theorem 2．1］．

Theorem 4．4．Let $\underline{x}=x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ denote an ordered sequence of elements of $R$ ．Let $M$ denote an $R$－module．Then the following conditions are equivalent．
（i）The sequence $\underline{x}$ is $M$－pro－regular．
（ii）The sequence $\underline{x}$ is $\left(M \otimes_{R} F\right)$－pro－regular for any flat $R$－module $F$ ．
(iii) $\check{H}_{x_{i}}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{\underline{x}_{i-1}}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, I)\right)=0\right.$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$ and any injective $R$-module I.
(iv) $\check{H}_{\underline{x}_{i}}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, I)\right)=0$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$ and any injective $R$-module $I$.

Proof. For the equivalence of the first three conditions we refer to [15, Theorem 2.1]. For the proof of (iii) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (iv) we put $X=\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, I)$ and recall the following short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \check{H}_{x_{i}}^{1}\left(\check{H}_{\underline{x}_{i-1}}^{0}(X)\right) \rightarrow \check{H}_{\underline{x}_{i}}^{1}(X) \rightarrow \check{H}_{x_{i}}^{0}\left(\check{H}_{\underline{x}_{i-1}}^{1}(X)\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, r$, (see $[17,6.1 .11]$ or $[16,8.1$ (b) $]$ ). Then note that $\Gamma_{\underline{x}_{i-1}}(X) \cong \check{H}_{\underline{x}_{i-1}}^{0}(X)$. If (iv) holds the claim in (iii) follows easily. For the converse we have $\check{H}_{\underline{x}_{i}}^{1}(X) \cong \check{H}_{x_{i}}^{1}\left(\check{H}_{\underline{x}_{i-1}}^{0}(X)\right)=0$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$ and inductively the vanishing of $\check{H}_{\underline{x}_{i}}^{1}(X)$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$, recall that $\check{H}_{\underline{x}_{i-1}}^{1}(X)=0$ by the inductive step. This proves (iii).

Recall that 4.4 provides a characterization of $M$-pro-regular sequences in terms of Čech cohomology. In the following we shall prove a characterization in terms of Čech homology. This depends upon the results of pro-zero inverse systems as shown above.

Theorem 4.5. Let $\underline{x}=x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ denote a sequence of elements of $R$. For an $R$-module $M$ the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) The sequence $\underline{x}$ is $M$-pro-regular.
(ii) $\check{H}_{0}^{x_{i}}\left(\Lambda^{\underline{x}_{i-1}}\left(M^{(S)}\right)\right) \cong \Lambda^{\underline{x}_{i}}\left(M^{(S)}\right)$ and $\check{H}_{1}^{x_{i}}\left(\Lambda^{\underline{x}_{i-1}}\left(M^{(S)}\right)\right)=0$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$ and any set $S$.
(iii) $\check{H}_{0}^{x_{i}}\left(\Lambda^{x_{i-1}}(X)\right) \cong \Lambda^{\underline{x}_{i}}(X)$ and $\check{H}_{1}^{x_{i}}\left(\Lambda^{x_{i-1}}(X)\right)=0$ for $i=1, \ldots$, and $X=M, M[T]$.
(iv) $\check{H}_{0}^{\underline{x}_{i}}(X) \cong \Lambda^{\underline{x}_{i}}(X)$ and $\check{H}_{1}^{\underline{x}_{i}}(X)=0$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$ and $X=M, M[T]$.
(v) $\Lambda^{x_{i-1}}(X)$ is of bounded $x_{i}$-torsion for $i=1, \ldots, r$ and $X=M, M[T]$.

Proof. First note that $\underline{x}$ is $M^{(S)}$-pro-regular for any set $S$. It turns out since $R / \underline{x}_{i}^{(n)} R$ is finitely generated and $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(R / \underline{x}_{i}^{(n)} R, \cdot\right)$ commutes with direct sums. Because of

$$
\underline{x}_{i-1}^{(n)} M^{(S)}:_{M^{(s)}} x_{i}^{n} / \underline{x}_{i-1}^{(n)} M^{(S)} \cong H_{1}\left(x_{i}^{n} ; H_{0}\left(\underline{x}_{i-1}^{(n)} ; M^{(S)}\right)\right)
$$

for all $n \geq 0$ and $i=1, \ldots, r$, it follows that the corresponding inverse systems are isomorphic and pro-zero. Note that $H_{0}\left(\underline{x}_{i-1}^{(n)} ; M^{(S)}\right) \cong M^{(S)} / \underline{x}_{i-1}^{(n)} M^{(S)}$. Moreover the condition and Theorem 4.2 proves the equivalence of the first three statements.
(iii) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (iv) : By view of $[16,8.1]$ there are short exact sequences

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \check{H}_{0}^{x_{i}}\left(\check{H}_{j}^{\underline{x}_{i-1}}(X)\right) \rightarrow \check{H}_{j}^{x_{i}}(X) \rightarrow \check{H}_{1}^{x_{i}}\left(\check{H}_{j-1}^{\underline{x}_{i-1}}(X)\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{†}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, r$ and $j=0,1$. Then the equivalence is easily seen by the exact sequences. More precisely, (iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iv) follows by increasing induction on $i$ starting at $i=1$. The converse follows similarly.
(v) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii): The assumption in (v) implies the vanishing

$$
\lim _{\leftarrow} H_{1}\left(x_{i}^{n} ; \Lambda^{\underline{x}_{i-1}}(X)\right)=\lim _{\leftarrow}^{1} H_{1}\left(x_{i}^{n} ; \Lambda^{\underline{x}_{i-1}}(X)\right)=0 .
$$

By virtue of 3.2 it follows that $\check{H}_{1}^{\underline{x_{i}}}\left(\Lambda^{\underline{x_{i-1}}}(X)\right)=0$ and $\check{H}_{0}^{x_{i}}\left(\Lambda^{\underline{x}_{i-1}}(X)\right) \cong \lim _{\succsim} H_{0}\left(x_{i}^{n} ; \Lambda^{\underline{x_{i-1}}}(X)\right)$. Now we have $\lim _{\leftarrow} H_{0}\left(x_{i}^{n} ; \Lambda^{x_{i-1}}(X)\right) \cong \lim _{n} \lim _{m} X /\left(x_{i}^{n}, \underline{x}_{i-1}^{(m)}\right) X \cong \Lambda^{x_{i}}(X)$, which proves the claim in (iii).
(iii) $\Longrightarrow(v)$ : The statement yields $\lim _{\rightleftarrows}^{\rightleftarrows} H_{1}\left(x_{i}^{n} ; \Lambda^{\underline{x_{i-1}}}(X)\right)=\lim ^{1} H_{1}\left(x_{i}^{n} ; \Lambda^{\underline{x_{i-1}}}(X)\right)=0$. For a fixed $n$ and $j=0,1$ we have the short exact sequences

$$
0 \rightarrow \lim _{幺}{ }_{m}^{1} H_{j+1}\left(x_{i}^{n} ; X / \underline{x}_{i-1}^{(m)} X\right) \rightarrow H_{j}\left(x_{i}^{n} ; \Lambda^{x_{i-1}}(X)\right) \rightarrow \lim _{幺} H_{j}\left(x_{i}^{n} ; X / \underline{x}_{i-1}^{(m)} X\right) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

This follows since the inverse system for $\lim _{m} K_{\bullet}\left(x_{i}^{n} ; X / \underline{x}_{i-1}^{(m)} X\right) \cong K_{\bullet}\left(x_{i}^{n} ; \Lambda^{\underline{x}_{i-1}}(X)\right)$ has degree wise surjective maps．For $j=1$ it yields that

$$
0=\lim _{幺} H_{1}\left(x_{i}^{n} ; \Lambda^{x_{i-1}}(X)\right) \cong \lim _{幺} \lim _{幺} H_{1}\left(x_{i}^{n} ; X / \underline{x}_{i-1}^{(m)} X\right) \cong \lim _{\curvearrowleft} H_{1}\left(x_{i}^{n} ; X / \underline{x}_{i-1}^{(n)} X\right) .
$$

It remains to show the vanishing of $\lim _{{ }_{n}^{1}}^{1} H_{1}\left(x_{i}^{n} ; X / \underline{x}_{i-1}^{(n)} X\right)$ ．First note that the above short exact sequence for $j=1$ provides that $\lim _{n}^{1} \lim _{m} H_{1}\left(x_{i}^{n} ; X / \underline{x}_{i-1}^{(m)} X\right)=0$ ．The same sequence for $j=0$ yields that $\lim _{\curvearrowleft} \lim _{m}^{1} H_{1}\left(x_{i}^{n} ; X / \underline{x}_{i-1}^{(m)} X\right)=0$ ．Then the above sequence（\＃）（see proof of 4．2）with $m, n$ reversed proves the vanishing $\lim _{{ }_{n}^{1}}^{1} H_{1}\left(x_{i}^{n} ; X / \underline{x}_{i-1}^{(n)} X\right)=0$ ．

## 5．A GLOBAL VARIATION

As before，let $R$ denote a commutative ring．For an element $f \in R$ we write $D(f)=\operatorname{Spec} R \backslash$ $V(f)$ ．Note that $D(f)$ is an open set in the Zariski topology of Spec $R$ ．For $f \in R$ there is a natural map Spec $R_{f} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} R$ that induces a homeomorphism between Spec $R_{f}$ and $D(f)$ ． Since Spec $R=\cup_{f \in R} D(f)$ and since $\operatorname{Spec} R$ is quasi－compact there are finitely many $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r} \in$ $R$ such that $\operatorname{Spec} R=\cup_{i=1}^{r} D\left(f_{i}\right)$ ．Then we recall the following definitions（see［15］）．
Definition 5．1．（A）A sequence $f=f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$ of elements of $R$ is called a covering sequence if Spec $R=\cup_{i=1}^{r} D\left(f_{i}\right)$ ．This is equivalent to saying that $R=f R$ ．Moreover，if $f$ is a covering sequence then the natural map $M \rightarrow \oplus_{i=1}^{r} M_{f_{i}}$ is injective for $\overline{\text { any }} R$－module $M$ as easily seen． （B）An ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset R$ is called an effective Cartier divisor if there is a covering sequence $f=$ $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$ such that $\mathcal{I} R_{f_{i}}=x_{i} R_{f_{i}}, i=1, \ldots, r$ ，for non－zerodivisors $x_{i} / 1$ of $R_{f_{i}}$ with $x_{i} \in \bar{R}$ ．It follows that $\mathcal{I} \subseteq\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right) R$ ．
（C）Let $\mathcal{I}$ denote an effective Cartier divisor and $x \in R$ ．The pair $(\mathcal{I}, x)$ is called pro－regular if for any integer $n$ there is an integer $m \geq n$ such that $\mathcal{I}^{m}: x^{m} \subseteq \mathcal{I}^{n}: x^{m-n}$ ．This is consistent with the definition in［5］（see 4．3）and is equivalent to the fact that for each $n$ there is an integer $m \geq n$ such that the multiplication map $\mathcal{I}^{m}:_{R} x^{m} / \mathcal{I}^{m} \xrightarrow{x^{m-n}} \mathcal{I}^{n}:_{R} x^{n} / \mathcal{I}^{n}$ is the zero map． Moreover，the pair $(\mathcal{I}, x)$ is pro－regular if and only if the inverse system $\left\{H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; R / \mathcal{I}^{n}\right)\right\}_{n>1}$ is pro－zero．

For the following we need a technical result about Cartier divisors and their relation to pro－ regularity．

Lemma 5．2．Let $\mathcal{I} \subseteq R$ be an effective Cartier divisor with the covering sequence $f=f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$ such that $\mathcal{I} R_{f_{i}}=x_{i} R_{f_{i}}, i=1, \ldots, r$ ，for non－zerodivisors $x_{i} / 1$ of $R_{f_{i}}$ ．For an element $\bar{x} \in R$ the following conditions are equivalent：
（i）$R / \mathcal{I}$ is of bounded $x$－torsion．
（ii）$R_{f_{i}} / x_{i} R_{f_{i}}$ is of bounded $x / 1$－torsion for $i=1, \ldots, r$ ．
（iii）$x_{i} / 1, x / 1$ is pro－regular in $R_{f_{i}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$ in the sense of 4．3．
（iv）$(\mathcal{I}, x)$ is pro－regular in the sense of 5．1．
Proof．（i）$\Longleftrightarrow$（ii）：For each pair of integers $m \geq n \geq 1$ we have the following commutative diagram where the horizontal maps are injections

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{I}::_{R} x^{m} / \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \oplus_{j=1}^{r}\left(x_{i} R_{f_{i}}: R_{f_{i}} x^{m} / 1\right) / x_{i} R_{f_{i}} \\
& \downarrow^{x^{m-n}} \quad \downarrow^{\oplus\left(x^{m-n} / 1\right)} \\
& \mathcal{I}: R x^{n} / \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \oplus_{j=1}^{r}\left(x_{i} R_{f_{i}}: R_{f_{i}} x^{n} / 1\right) / x_{i} R_{f_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the equivalence.
(ii) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (iii): Note that $x_{i} / 1, x / 1$ is pro-regular if and and only if $R_{f_{i}} / x_{i}^{k} R_{f_{i}}$ is of bounded $x / 1$ torsion for all $k \geq 1$. The equivalence follows easily: First note that $x_{i} / 1$ is $R_{f_{i}}$-regular. Then use induction on the short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow x_{i}^{k} R_{f_{i}} / x_{i}^{k+1} R_{f_{i}} \rightarrow R_{f_{i}} / x_{i}^{k+1} R_{f_{i}} \rightarrow R_{f_{i}} / x_{i}^{k} R_{f_{i}} \rightarrow 0
$$

and recall that $x_{i}^{k} R_{f_{i}} / x_{i}^{k+1} R_{f_{i}} \cong R_{f_{i}} / x_{i} R_{f_{i}}$.
(iii) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (iv): The equivalence comes out by the following modification of the above commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{I}^{m}:_{R} x^{m} / \mathcal{I}^{m} & \rightarrow & \oplus_{j=1}^{r}\left(x_{i}^{m} R_{f_{i}:}: R_{f_{j}} x^{m} / 1\right) / x_{i}^{m} R_{f_{i}} \\
\downarrow x^{m-n} & & \downarrow\left(x^{m-n} / 1\right) \\
\mathcal{I}^{n}: & :_{R} x^{n} / \mathcal{I}^{n} & \rightarrow \\
\hline
\end{array} \oplus_{j=1}^{r}\left(x_{i}^{n} R_{f_{i}}: R_{f_{i}} x^{n} / 1\right) / x_{i}^{n} R_{f_{i}} .
$$

Recall that the horizontal maps are injective (see also [15]).
Next we apply the previous investigations to the case when the pair $(\mathcal{I}, x)$ is pro-regular in the sense of 5.1.
Lemma 5.3. Let $\mathcal{I} \subseteq R$ be an effective Cartier divisor with the covering sequence $f=f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$ such that $\mathcal{I} R_{f_{i}}=x_{i} R_{f_{i}}, i=1, \ldots, r$, for non-zerodivisors $x_{i} / 1$ of $R_{f_{i}}$. For an element $\bar{x} \in R$ the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $R / \mathcal{I}$ is of bounded $x$-torsion.
(ii) $\check{H}_{1}^{x}((R / \mathcal{I})[T])=0$ and $\check{H}_{0}^{x}((R / \mathcal{I})[T]) \cong \Lambda^{x}((R / \mathcal{I})[T])$.
(iii) $\check{H}_{1}^{x}\left(\Lambda^{\mathcal{I}}(X)\right)=0$ and $\check{H}_{0}^{x}\left(\Lambda^{\mathcal{I}}(X)\right) \cong \Lambda^{(x, \mathcal{I})}(X)$ for $X=R, R[T]$.
(iv) $\Lambda^{\mathcal{I}}(R)$ and $\Lambda^{\mathcal{I}}(R[T])$ are of bounded $x$-torsion.

Proof. First note that by $5.2\left\{H_{1}\left(x^{n} ; R / \mathcal{I}\right)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is pro-zero if and only if $\left\{H_{1}\left(x^{k} ; R / \mathcal{I}^{k}\right)\right\}_{k \geq 1}$ is pro-zero. Then the equivalence of (i) and (ii) follows by 3.4. Moreover, by 4.2 the pro-zero property of the second inverse system above implies the equivalence to (iii). Finally the equivalence


In the following we shall give a comment of the previous investigations to the recent work of Bhatt and Scholze (see [3]) completing the results of [15]. To this end let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ denote a prime number and let $\mathbb{Z}_{p}:=\mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the localization at the prime ideal $(p)=\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$. In the following let $R$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-algebra.

Definition 5.4. (see [3, Definition 1.1]) A prism is a pair $(R, \mathcal{I})$ consisting of a $\delta$-ring $R$ (see [3, Remark 1.2]) and a Cartier divisor $\mathcal{I}$ on $R$ satisfying the following two conditions.
(a) The ring $R$ is $(p, \mathcal{I})$-adic complete.
(b) $p \in \mathcal{I}+\phi_{R}(\mathcal{I}) R$, where $\phi_{R}$ is the lift of the Frobenius on $R$ induced by its $\delta$-structure (see [3, Remark 1.2]).

With the previous definition there is the following application of our results.
Corollary 5.5. Let $(R, \mathcal{I})$ denote a prism. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $\mathcal{I}$ is of bounded $p$-torsion.
(ii) The pair $(\mathcal{I}, p)$ is pro-regular in the sense of 5.1.
(iii) $\check{H}_{x}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R / \mathcal{I}, I)\right)=0$ for any injective $R$-module $I$.
(iv) $\check{H}_{0}^{p R}\left(\Lambda^{\mathcal{I}}\left(R^{(S)}\right) \cong \Lambda^{(p R, \mathcal{I})}\left(R^{(S)}\right)\right)$ and $\check{H}_{1}^{p R}\left(\Lambda^{\mathcal{I}}\left(R^{(S)}\right)=0\right.$ for any set $S$.
(v) $\Lambda^{\mathcal{I}}\left(R^{(S)}\right)$ and $\Lambda^{\mathcal{I}}\left(R^{(S)}\right)$ are of bounded $p$-torsion for any set $S$..
(vi) $\Lambda^{\mathcal{I}}(R)$ and $\Lambda^{\mathcal{I}}(R[T])$ are of bounded $p$-torsion.

Proof. This is a consequence of 5.2,5.3 and 4.4.
Note that 5.5 is an essential improvement of [15, Corollary 4.5], where it was shown that (i) implies the equivalent conditions (ii) and (iii).
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