A NOTE ON STEIN FILLABILITY OF CIRCLE BUNDLES OVER SYMPLECTIC MANIFOLDS

TAKAHIRO OBA

ABSTRACT. We show that, given a closed integral symplectic manifold (Σ, ω) of dimension $2n \ge 4$, for every integer $k > \int_{\Sigma} \omega^n$, the Boothby–Wang bundle over $(\Sigma, k\omega)$ carries no Stein fillable contact structure. This negatively answers a question raised by Eliashberg. A similar result holds for Boothby–Wang orbibundles. As an application, we prove the non-smoothability of some isolated singularities.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of contact topology has revealed both flexible and rigid aspects of contact structures. According to Eliashberg [Eli89] and Borman–Eliashberg–Murphy [BEM15], the existence and classification of overtwisted contact structures essentially reduce to algebraic topology; as a result, every almost contact structure is realized as an overtwisted contact structure. In contrast, there exist almost contact structures that cannot be represented by tight contact structures (e.g. [EH01] and [LS07]). This implies that tight contact structures are more rigid. Our primary interest lies in *Stein fillable* contact structures, which form a special class of tight contact structures (see Section 2.1 for the definition).

Circle bundles over symplectic manifolds provide important examples of contact manifolds. Let (Σ, ω) be a closed integral symplectic manifold of dimension 2n, i.e., $[\omega] \in H^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{R})$ has an integral lift. (Throughout the paper, we always assume Σ to be oriented so that ω^n is a positively oriented volume form and an integral lift of $[\omega]$ to be fixed.) The *Boothby–Wang bundle* over (Σ, ω) is a principal circle bundle $p: M \to \Sigma$ with Euler class $e(M) = -[\omega]$, which is uniquely determined up to isomorphism. A connection 1-form on M with curvature form $2\pi\omega$ defines a contact structure ξ on M. We call (M, ξ) the *Boothby–Wang contact manifold* associated with (Σ, ω) . Boothby–Wang bundles naturally appear in the study of contact and symplectic topology. For example, they are related to symplectic submanifolds constructed by Donaldson [Don96], as explained in [DL19] and [Gir17] (see also Remark 2.6).

From the fillability viewpoint, every Boothby–Wang contact manifold (M, ξ) is strongly fillable since the disk bundle associated with $p: M \to \Sigma$ serves as a strong symplectic filling of (M, ξ) . This leads to the following question, as posed in [Bir05, Section 4.1.2] and [BCS14, Problem 6.6]: Is the contact manifold (M, ξ) Stein fillable? More generally, one may ask whether the Boothby–Wang bundle M admits a Stein fillable contact structure or not. There are examples of Boothby–Wang bundles admitting no Stein fillable contact

Date: April 23, 2024.

structures (e.g. [EKP06] and [PP08]); see also [KO23] for non-Stein fillable Boothby– Wang contact manifolds. Furthermore, Bowden–Crowley–Stipsicz [BCS14] provided a characterization of an almost contact structure that is represented by a Stein fillable contact structure. However, applying this characterization to a specific case is yet challenging in practice, as it is expressed in terms of cobordism theory.

The main theorem in this paper, stated below, gives a new class of Boothby–Wang bundles without Stein fillable contact structures. In particular, this negatively answers the question raised by Eliashberg [Cou12, p. 4].

Theorem 1.1. Let (Σ, ω) be a closed integral symplectic manifold of dimension $2n \ge 4$. Then, for every integer $k > \int_{\Sigma} \omega^n$, the Boothby–Wang bundle M_k over the symplectic manifold $(\Sigma, k\omega)$ carries no Stein fillable contact structure.

The proof of this theorem is inspired by a topological argument in [PP08]. What we really show is that the Boothby–Wang bundle M_k with $k > \int_{\Sigma} \omega^n$ does not bound a compact manifold with the homotopy type of a CW complex of dimension $\leq n + 1$ (see Proposition 2.2). Together with a result on the homotopy types of Stein domains, this completes the proof of the theorem in Section 2.2.

Here are some remarks on the above theorem. Suppose that Σ is a closed Riemann surface with an integral symplectic form ω . The Boothby–Wang bundle M_k over $(\Sigma, k\omega)$ carries a Stein fillable contact structure if $\chi(\Sigma) < k \int_{\Sigma} \omega$, where $\chi(\Sigma)$ denotes the Euler characteristic of Σ (see [GS99] for example). Thus, Theorem 1.1 does not hold true for surfaces in general since $\chi(\Sigma)$ is at most 2. We also remark that the condition on k in the above theorem cannot be dropped (see Remark 2.5). Additionally, readers who are familiar with approximately holomorphic techniques might wonder at first glance if the theorem contradicts a result of Giroux [Gir17]. Remark 2.6 verifies the consistency of the theorem with his result.

A similar result to Theorem 1.1 holds for Boothby–Wang orbibundles (see Theorem 2.7). Even though the main theorem is involved in the orbibundle case, for simplicity we primarily discuss the usual bundle case, namely the case where the base space of a circle bundle is a manifold.

In Section 3, we present an application of Theorem 1.1 to singularity theory. As a result, we establish an obstruction to the smoothability of certain isolated singularities.

It is worth mentioning results on another fillability. As already observed, every Boothby–Wang contact manifold is strongly fillable. The real projective space \mathbb{RP}^{2n+1} of dimension 2n + 1 can be regarded as the Boothby–Wang bundle over ($\mathbb{CP}^n, 2\omega_{FS}$), where ω_{FS} denotes the Fubini–Study form on \mathbb{CP}^n . In view of this, we equip \mathbb{RP}^{2n+1} with a contact structure ξ_n defined by a connection 1-form on the circle bundle; this in fact can be seen as the reduction of the standard contact structure on S^{2n+1} by the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -action. It had been conjectured in [Cou12] that ($\mathbb{RP}^{2n+1}, \xi_n$) ($n \geq 2$) is not exactly fillable. Zhou [Zho21, Zho24] recently showed that some Boothby–Wang contact manifolds of dimension ≥ 5 , which appear as links of quotient singularities, including real projective spaces, are not exactly fillable. This brings us the following question. **Question 1.2.** Let (Σ, ω) be a closed integral symplectic manifold of dimension $2n \ge 4$. Does the Boothby–Wang bundle over the symplectic manifold $(\Sigma, k\omega)$ carry an exactly fillable contact structure if $k > \int_{\Sigma} \omega^n$?

2. Non-Stein fillability of Boothby–Wang bundles

2.1. Stein fillable contact manifolds. We begin by recalling necessary definitions related to Stein fillability. The reader is referred to [CE12] for more details.

A Stein domain is a compact complex manifold (W, J) with boundary that admits a strictly plurisubharmonic function $f: W \to \mathbb{R}$ with $\partial W = f^{-1}(\max f)$.

Definition 2.1. A (cooriented) contact manifold (M, ξ) is said to be *Stein fillable* if there exists a Stein domain (W, J), called a *Stein filling* of (M, ξ) , such that $\partial W = M$ as oriented manifold and $T\partial W \cap JT\partial W = \xi$.

2.2. Proof of the main theorem. Now we shall prove Theorem 1.1, which immediately follows from the next proposition.

Proposition 2.2. Let (Σ, ω) be a closed integral symplectic manifold of dimension $2n \geq 4$. Then, for every integer $k > \int_{\Sigma} \omega^n$, the Boothby–Wang bundle M_k over the integral symplectic manifold $(\Sigma, k\omega)$ does not bound a compact manifold with the homotopy type of a CW complex of dimension $\leq n + 1$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose that the Boothby–Wang bundle M_k over the symplectic manifold $(\Sigma, k\omega)$ of dimension $2n \ge 4$ carries a Stein fillable contact structure. Then, it bounds a Stein domain, which has the homotopy type of a CW complex of dimension $\le n + 1$ by [Mil63, Theorem 7.2]. This however gives a contradiction to Proposition 2.2 when $k > \int_{\Sigma} \omega^n$.

To show Proposition 2.2, we prove one lemma.

Lemma 2.3. Let M be a closed manifold of dimension $2n + 1 \ge 5$. Suppose that there exists a second cohomology class $a \in H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$ such that $a^n \ne 0 \in H^{2n}(M;\mathbb{Z})$, and moreover, if dim M = 5, the class a is a torsion element of $H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$. Then, M does not bound a compact manifold with the homotopy type of a CW complex of dimension $\le n + 1$.

Proof. Suppose, on the contrary, that there is a compact manifold W such that $\partial W = M$ and W has the homotopy type of a CW complex of dimension $\leq n+1$. Then, for $n \geq 3$, $H_{2n-1}(W;\mathbb{Z}) = 0$, and for n = 2, $H_3(W;\mathbb{Z})$ is free. In view of the Poincaré duality, $H^3(W,M;\mathbb{Z})$ also satisfies the same property as $H_{2n-1}(W;\mathbb{Z})$. Hence, combining this with the exact sequence

 $\cdots \to H^2(W;\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^2(M;\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\varphi} H^3(W,M;\mathbb{Z}) \to \cdots$

shows the existence of a lift $\tilde{a} \in H^2(W; \mathbb{Z})$ of the element $a \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ with respect to the map i^* . Here *i* denotes the inclusion $M = \partial W \hookrightarrow W$. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^2(W;\mathbb{Z})^{\otimes n} & \xrightarrow{(i^*)^{\otimes n}} & H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})^{\otimes n} \\ & & & \downarrow \\ & & & \downarrow \\ H^{2n}(W;\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H^{2n}(M;\mathbb{Z}), \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are the cup product. This diagram shows that

$$\tilde{a}^{*}(\tilde{a}^{n}) = (i^{*}\tilde{a})^{n} = a^{n} \neq 0 \in H^{2n}(M;\mathbb{Z}),$$

and hence $\tilde{a}^n \neq 0 \in H^{2n}(W; \mathbb{Z})$. However, as $2n > n+1 = \dim W/2$, we have $H^{2n}(W; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for any $n \geq 2$, leading to a contradiction.

Remark 2.4. For the case dim $M = 2n + 1 \ge 7$, i.e., $n \ge 3$, the above lemma can alternatively be obtained from [PP08, Theorem 3.1].

Proof of Proposition 2.2. Let $\pi_k \colon M_k \to \Sigma$ denote the bundle projection of the Boothby– Wang bundle over $(\Sigma, k\omega)$. It suffices to show that $\pi_k^*[\omega]$ meets the assumption on a second cohomology class $a \in H^2(M_k; \mathbb{Z})$ in Lemma 2.3 for every integer $k > \int_{\Sigma} \omega^n$.

We first check that $(\pi_k^*[\omega])^n \neq 0 \in H^{2n}(M_k;\mathbb{Z})$. Consider the Gysin exact sequence

(2.1)
$$\cdots \to H^{2n-2}(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{k[\omega] \smile} H^{2n}(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\pi_k^*} H^{2n}(M_k; \mathbb{Z}) \to \cdots$$

Since ω is a symplectic form on the closed manifold Σ of dimension 2n, we have $[\omega^n] \neq 0 \in H^{2n}(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$. Identifying $H^{2n}(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$ with \mathbb{Z} via $\alpha \mapsto \alpha([\Sigma])$, the image $\operatorname{Im}(k[\omega] \smile)$ lies in the subgroup $k\mathbb{Z} \subset H^{2n}(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. This proves that for $k > \omega^n([\Sigma]) = \int_{\Sigma} \omega^n$, the cohomology class $[\omega^n]$ is not contained in $\operatorname{Im}(k[\omega] \smile)$. Therefore, in view of the exactness of the sequence (2.1), we have

$$(\pi_k^*[\omega])^n = \pi_k^*([\omega^n]) \neq 0 \in H^{2n}(M_k;\mathbb{Z}).$$

What is left is to check that $\pi_k^*[\omega]$ is a torsion for the case dim $M_k = 5$. (Note that the proof below actually shows that this is true even if dim $M_k \ge 5$.) Again by the Gysin exact sequence

(2.2)
$$H^0(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{k[\omega] \smile} H^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\pi_k^*} H^2(M_k; \mathbb{Z}) \to \cdots,$$

we see that $k(\pi_k^*[\omega]) = \pi_k^*(k[\omega]) = 0 \in H^2(M_k; \mathbb{Z})$. This completes the proof.

The following example explains that the condition on k in Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 2.2 cannot be dropped.

Example 2.5. Consider $S^2 \times S^2$ equipped with the symplectic from $\omega_1 + \omega_2$, where ω_i denotes the pull-back of an area form on S^2 with total area 1 under the projection $S^2 \times S^2 \to S^2$ to the *i*th factor. We claim that for k = 1, 2 the Boothby–Wang contact

manifold (M_k, ξ_k) associated with $(S^2 \times S^2, k(\omega_1 + \omega_2))$ is Stein fillable. We will see here this claim for the case k = 2 (see [KO23, Section 2.2.2] for the case k = 1). The symplectic manifold $(S^2 \times S^2, \omega_1 + \omega_2)$ can be symplectically embedded into $(\mathbb{CP}^3, \omega_{\rm FS})$ as the quadric

$$Q = \{ (z_0 : x_1 : z_2 : z_3) \in \mathbb{CP}^3 \mid z_0^2 + z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2 = 0 \}.$$

It is straightforward to check that with the standard complex structure J on \mathbb{CP}^3 , the tuple ($\mathbb{CP}^3, \omega_{\text{FS}}, J; Q$) is a smoothly polarized Kähler manifold of degree 2 in the sense of [Bir01, Definitions 2.3.A] (see also [Bir01, Section 3.1.2]). This shows that for some tubular neighborhood ν_Q of Q in \mathbb{CP}^3 , the boundary of the complement $\mathbb{CP}^3 \setminus \nu_Q$ carries a contact structure, with which the boundary is contactomorphic to (M_2, ξ_2) . Moreover, the complement ($\mathbb{CP}^3 \setminus \nu_Q, J|_{\mathbb{CP}^3 \setminus \nu_Q}$) serves as a Stein filling of this contact manifold. This example implies that even if the cohomology class of a symplectic form is non-primitive, the associated Boothby–Wang bundle may have a Stein fillable contact structure.

Notice that the condition $k > \int_{\Sigma} \omega^n$ in Theorem 1.1 is sharp for the symplectic manifold $(S^2 \times S^2, \omega_1 + \omega_2)$ since

$$\int_{S^2 \times S^2} (\omega_1 + \omega_2)^2 = 2,$$

and the Boothby–Wang contact manifold (M_k, ξ_k) , with k = 1, 2, is Stein fillable as observed above.

Remark 2.6. Here we shall verify that Theorem 1.1 is consistent with a theorem of Giroux, who enhanced the result of Donaldson [Don96, Theorem 1] to [Gir17, Theorem 1] as follows: given a closed integral symplectic manifold (X, Ω) of dimension 2n+2, for any sufficiently large integer k > 0, the Poincaré dual $k[\Omega] \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ can be represented by a symplectic submanifold $\Sigma \subset X$, and the complement $X \setminus \Sigma$ admits a Stein structure. By [Gir17, Proposition 5], the complement $X \setminus \nu_{\Sigma}$ of a tubular neighborhood ν_{Σ} of Σ has contact boundary, which is contactomorphic to the Boothby–Wang contact manifold (M_k, ξ_k) associated with $(\Sigma, k\Omega|_{\Sigma})$. Moreover, similarly to Example 2.5, this complement serves as a Stein filling of (M_k, ξ_k) ; hence, it is Stein fillable.

The integer k in [Gir17, Theorem 1] does not satisfy the assumption of Theorem 1.1, even if it is extremely large. In fact, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma} (\Omega|_{\Sigma})^n = [\Omega]^n \smile \mathrm{PD}[\Sigma] = k \int_X \Omega^{n+1} \ge k,$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that $[\Omega]$ is a second cohomology class with *integer* coefficients. Thus, the consistency of the theorem has been verified.

2.3. Boothby–Wang orbibundles. Theorem 1.1 generalizes to Boothby–Wang orbibundles. We refer the reader to [BG08] and [ALR07] for orbifolds, orbibundles and orbifold cohomology; see also [KL21] for Boothby–Wang orbibundles. In what follows, an orbifold always means a smooth effective orbifold.

Theorem 2.7. Let (Σ, ω) be a closed integral symplectic orbifold of dimension $2n \ge 4$, and also let k be an integer such that $k > \int_{\Sigma} \omega^n$ and the Boothby–Wang orbibundle M_k over the symplectic orbifold $(\Sigma, k\omega)$ is a manifold. Then, M_k carries no Stein fillable contact structure.

Proof. The proof is similar to Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 2.2. It suffices to show that $\pi_k^*[\omega^n] \neq 0$ and that $\pi_k^*[\omega]$ is a torsion element of $H^2(M_k; \mathbb{Z})$ for the given orbibundle $\pi_k \colon M_k \to \Sigma$.

The Gysin exact sequence also holds for the orbibundle $\pi_k \colon M_k \to \Sigma$ with orbifold cohomology. Thus, replace the exact sequences (2.1) and (2.2) by

(2.3)
$$\cdots \to H^{2n-2}_{\mathrm{orb}}(\Sigma;\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{k[\omega] \smile} H^{2n}_{\mathrm{orb}}(\Sigma;\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\pi_k^*} H^{2n}_{\mathrm{orb}}(M_k;\mathbb{Z}) \to \cdots$$

and

(2.4)
$$H^0_{\mathrm{orb}}(\Sigma;\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{k[\omega] \smile} H^2_{\mathrm{orb}}(\Sigma;\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\pi^*_k} H^2_{\mathrm{orb}}(M_k;\mathbb{Z}) \to \cdots,$$

respectively. Note that $H^*_{\text{orb}}(M_k;\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(M_k;\mathbb{Z})$ since M_k is a manifold.

In view of (2.4), it is straightforward to check that $k(\pi_k^*[\omega]) = 0$. To prove $\pi_k^*[\omega^n] \neq 0$, consider the natural homomorphism

 $\phi \colon H^{2n}_{\mathrm{orb}}(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}) \to H^{2n}_{\mathrm{orb}}(\Sigma; \mathbb{R}).$

Combining [BG08, Corollary 4.3.8] with the de Rham theorem for orbifolds yields

 $H^{2n}_{\rm orb}(\Sigma;\mathbb{R}) \cong H^{2n}(\Sigma;\mathbb{R}) \cong H^{2n}_{\rm dR}(\Sigma).$

By the Poincaré duality [ALR07, p. 35], the last de Rham cohomology group is isomorphic to \mathbb{R} via $[\eta] \mapsto \int_{\Sigma} \eta$. Under these identifications, the image Im (ϕ) of ϕ lies in $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$, and hence the image Im $(\phi \circ (k[\omega] \smile))$ of the composition $\phi \circ (k[\omega] \smile)$ lies in $k\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$. Since ω is a symplectic form on the orbifold Σ , we have $\phi([\omega^n]) \neq 0 \in H^{2n}_{\text{orb}}(\Sigma; \mathbb{R})$. Thus, for $k > \int_{\Sigma} \omega^n$, we conclude that $\phi([\omega^n]) \notin \text{Im}(\phi \circ (k[\omega] \smile))$ and $[\omega^n] \notin \text{Im}(k[\omega] \smile)$, which implies that $\pi_k^*[\omega^n] \neq 0$.

Remark 2.8. Given a closed integral symplectic orbifold (Σ, ω) of dimension 2n, necessary and sufficient conditions under which the Boothby–Wang orbibundle over (Σ, ω) is a manifold are known. For instance, according to [KL21, Theorem 1.3], one such condition is that the homomorphism

$$[\omega] \smile : H^i_{\mathrm{orb}}(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}) \to H^{i+2}_{\mathrm{orb}}(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism for every $i \ge 2n+1$.

3. Application to smoothability of singularities

In this short section, we give an application of the main theorem to singularity theory.

We first briefly recall some basic material of singularity theory. (For more details see e.g. [GLS07], [Gre20] or [PP16].) A singularity (X, x) is a complex space germ, and it is said to be smoothable if there exists a 1-dimensional deformation $\phi: (\mathcal{X}, x) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ of (X, x), called a smoothing of (X, x), such that for every $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, the fiber $\mathcal{X}_t = \phi^{-1}(t)$ is smooth. Now let $(X, x) \subset (\mathbb{C}^N, \mathbf{0})$ be an isolated singularity. Then there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that the intersection of (X, x) with the sphere $S_{\epsilon}^{2N-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ of radius $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$ becomes a smooth manifold, and its diffeomorphism type is independent of the choice of ϵ ; this intersection is called the *link* of the singularity (X, x). It inherits a contact structure ξ from the standard contact structure on S_{ϵ}^{2N-1} . Suppose that an isolated singularity (X, x) is smoothable. Then, by [GLS07, Proposition 1.5], a smoothing $\phi: (\mathcal{X}, x) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ factors as

$$(\mathcal{X}, x) \stackrel{i}{\hookrightarrow} (\mathbb{C}^N, \mathbf{0}) \times (\mathbb{C}, 0) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{pr}_2} (\mathbb{C}, 0),$$

where i is a closed embedding and pr_2 the second projection. For any $t \in \mathbb{C}$ sufficiently close to 0, the intersection

$$\mathcal{X}_t \cap (S^{2N-1}_{\epsilon} \times \{t\})$$

is regarded as a contact manifold similarly to the above, which is contactomorphic to $(X \cap S_{\epsilon}^{2N-1}, \xi)$ by the Gray stability theorem. Moreover, the Milnor fiber $\phi^{-1}(t) \cap D_{\epsilon}^{2N}$, with $t \neq 0$, serves as a Stein filling of this contact manifold. Thus, $(X \cap S_{\epsilon}^{2N-1}, \xi)$ is Stein fillable if (X, x) is smoothable. Note that examples of non-smoothable singularities are known; see e.g. [Gre20, pp. 418–420] and the references therein.

Singularities we are interested in are derived from negative line bundles over projective manifolds. Let Σ be a projective manifold and $\pi: L \to \Sigma$ a negative holomorphic line bundle, that is, a holomorphic line bundle with $c_1(L) = -[\omega]$, where ω is a Kähler form on Σ . According to the result of Grauert [Gra62, Satz 5 on p. 350], contracting the zero-section of L yields an affine variety $(X, \mathbf{0}) \subset (\mathbb{C}^N, \mathbf{0})$ with an isolated singular point at the origin. The question is whether this singularity $(X, \mathbf{0})$ is smoothable or not. In his paper [PP08, Section 4], Popescu-Pampu established an obstruction to smoothability. Here we present a different obstruction to smoothability.

Proposition 3.1. Let $\pi: L \to M$ be a negative holomorphic line bundle over a projective manifold Σ of complex dimension ≥ 2 and $(X^{(k)}, \mathbf{0})$ the isolated singularity obtained by contracting the zero-section of $\pi^{\otimes k}: L^{\otimes k} \to \Sigma$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for every integer $k > \langle (-c_1(L))^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\Sigma}, [\Sigma] \rangle$, the link of the singularity $(X^{(k)}, \mathbf{0})$ with the canonical contact structure ξ is not Stein fillable. In particular, the singularity $(X^{(k)}, \mathbf{0})$ is not smoothable.

Proof. By construction, the contraction $\psi: L^{\otimes k} \to X^{(k)} \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ is biholomorphic away from the zero-section, and the image of each fiber of $\pi^{\otimes k}: L^{\otimes k} \to \Sigma$ is a complex line in \mathbb{C}^N passing through the origin. Therefore, the restriction of the standard inner product on \mathbb{C}^N to Im ψ defines a hermitian metric on $L^{\otimes k}$, and the link of the singularity $(X^{(k)}, \mathbf{0})$ is diffeomorphic to the principal circle bundle $M(L^{\otimes k}) \to \Sigma$ associated with $\pi^{\otimes k}: L^{\otimes k} \to \Sigma$. This circle bundle can be regarded as the Boothby–Wang bundle over $(\Sigma, k\omega)$, where ω is a Kähler form on Σ satisfying $c_1(L) = -[\omega]$. Thus, by Proposition 2.2, if $k > \int_{\Sigma} \omega^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \Sigma} = \langle (-c_1(L))^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \Sigma}, [\Sigma] \rangle$, the manifold $M(L^{\otimes k})$ does not bound a compact manifold with the homotopy type of a CW complex of dimension $\leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \Sigma + 1$. Hence, the link of the singularity $(X^{(k)}, \mathbf{0})$ is not Stein fillable in this case. The last assertion follows immediately from the relationship between smoothability and Stein fillability observed above.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Yakov Eliashberg for useful comments on his question [Cou12, p. 4] and Zhengyi Zhou for helpful comments on the first draft, especially pointing out that Theorem 1.1 generalizes to orbibundles. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 20K22306, 22K13913.

References

- [ALR07] Alejandro Adem, Johann Leida, and Yongbin Ruan. Orbifolds and stringy topology, volume 171 of Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [BCS14] Jonathan Bowden, Diarmuid Crowley, and András I. Stipsicz. The topology of Stein fillable manifolds in high dimensions I. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3), 109(6):1363–1401, 2014.
- [BEM15] Matthew Strom Borman, Yakov Eliashberg, and Emmy Murphy. Existence and classification of overtwisted contact structures in all dimensions. Acta Math., 215(2):281–361, 2015.
- [BG08] Charles P. Boyer and Krzysztof Galicki. Sasakian geometry. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008.
- [Bir01] Paul Biran. Lagrangian barriers and symplectic embeddings. Geom. Funct. Anal., 11(3):407– 464, 2001.
- [Bir05] Paul Biran. Symplectic topology and algebraic families. In European Congress of Mathematics, pages 827–836. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2005.
- [CE12] Kai Cieliebak and Yakov Eliashberg. From Stein to Weinstein and back, volume 59 of American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012. Symplectic geometry of affine complex manifolds.
- [Cou12] Sylvain Courte. AIM workshop contact topology inhigher dimensions: Questions and open problems. available at https://aimath.org/WWN/contacttop/notes_contactworkshop2012.pdf, 2012.
- [DL19] Luís Diogo and Samuel T. Lisi. Symplectic homology of complements of smooth divisors. J. Topol., 12(3):967–1030, 2019.
- [Don96] Simon K. Donaldson. Symplectic submanifolds and almost-complex geometry. J. Differential Geom., 44(4):666–705, 1996.
- [EH01] John B. Etnyre and Ko Honda. On the nonexistence of tight contact structures. Ann. of Math. (2), 153(3):749–766, 2001.
- [EKP06] Yakov Eliashberg, Sang Seon Kim, and Leonid Polterovich. Geometry of contact transformations and domains: orderability versus squeezing. *Geom. Topol.*, 10:1635–1747, 2006.
- [Eli89] Y. Eliashberg. Classification of overtwisted contact structures on 3-manifolds. Invent. Math., 98(3):623–637, 1989.
- [Gir17] Emmanuel Giroux. Remarks on Donaldson's symplectic submanifolds. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.*, 13(3):369–388, 2017.
- [GLS07] G.-M. Greuel, C. Lossen, and E. Shustin. *Introduction to singularities and deformations*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [Gra62] Hans Grauert. Über Modifikationen und exzeptionelle analytische Mengen. Math. Ann., 146:331–368, 1962.
- [Gre20] Gert-Martin Greuel. Deformation and smoothing of singularities. In Handbook of geometry and topology of singularities. I, pages 389–448. Springer, Cham, [2020] ©2020.
- [GS99] Robert E. Gompf and András I. Stipsicz. 4-manifolds and Kirby calculus, volume 20 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [KL21] Marc Kegel and Christian Lange. A Boothby-Wang theorem for Besse contact manifolds. Arnold Math. J., 7(2):225–241, 2021.
- [KO23] Myeonggi Kwon and Takahiro Oba. Rational ruled surfaces as symplectic hyperplane sections. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 376(7):4811–4833, 2023.
- [LS07] Paolo Lisca and András I. Stipsicz. Ozsváth-Szabó invariants and tight contact three-manifolds. II. J. Differential Geom., 75(1):109–141, 2007.
- [Mil63] J. Milnor. Morse theory. Based on lecture notes by M. Spivak and R. Wells. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 51. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1963.

- [PP08] Patrick Popescu-Pampu. On the cohomology rings of holomorphically fillable manifolds. In Singularities II, volume 475 of Contemp. Math., pages 169–188. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
- [PP16] Patrick Popescu-Pampu. Complex singularities and contact topology. Winter Braids Lect. Notes, 3:Exp. No. 3, 74, 2016. Zhengyi Zhou. $(\mathbb{RP}^{2n-1}, \xi_{std})$ is not exactly fillable for $n \neq 2^k$. Geom. Topol., 25(6):3013–3052,
- [Zho21] 2021.
- Zhengyi Zhou. On fillings of contact links of quotient singularities. J. Topol., 17(1):Paper No. [Zho24] e12329, 53, 2024.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, TOYONAKA, OSAKA 560-0043, JAPAN

Email address: taka.oba@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp