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#### Abstract

Let $X$ be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension $n$, and let $T$ be a closed positive $(1,1)$-current in a nef cohomology class on $X$. We establish an optimal upper bound for the volume of components of Lelong upper level sets of $T$ in terms of cohomology classes of non-pluripolar self-products of $T$.
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## 1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to investigate the singularities of closed positive currents on compact Kähler manifolds. Let $X$ be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension $n$, and let $T$ be a closed positive (1,1)-current on $X$. We are interested in understanding the set of points where $T$ has a strictly positive Lelong numbers. By the celebrated upper semicontinuity of Lelong numbers by Siu [12], we know that this set is a countable union of proper analytic subsets on $X$. Our goal is to estimate the size of this upper level set. We note that by [13], for every closed positive current $R$ on $X$, there always exists a closed positive (1,1)-current whose Lelong numbers coincide with those of $R$. Thus, to study questions about Lelong numbers in this paper, it suffices to consider only currents of bi-degree $(1,1)$. To delve into details, let us first introduce some necessary notions.

Let $\omega$ be a fixed smooth Kähler form on $X$. We equip $X$ with the Riemannian metric induced by $\omega$. Let $S$ be a closed positive $(p, p)$-current for some $0 \leq p \leq n$. We define the mass $\|S\|$ of $S$ to be equal to $\int_{X} S \wedge \omega^{n-p}$. For an analytic set $V$ of pure dimension $l$ in $X$, we recall that

$$
\operatorname{vol}(V)=\frac{1}{l!} \int_{\operatorname{Reg} V} \omega^{l},
$$

where $\operatorname{Reg} V$ is the regular locus of $V$. Given a closed positive $(p, p)$-current $R$, we denote by $\{R\} \in H^{p, p}(X, \mathbb{R})$ the cohomology class of $R$. We say $\alpha \in H^{p, p}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is pseudoeffective if $\alpha=\{R\}$ for some closed positive $(p, p)$-current $R$. Let $\alpha, \beta \in H^{p, p}(X, \mathbb{R})$. We say $\alpha \geq \beta$ if $\alpha-\beta$ is a pseudoeffective class.

For every $x \in X$, let $\nu(T, x)$ denote the Lelong number of $T$ at $x$. For every irreducible analytic subset $V$ in $X$, we recall that the generic Lelong number $\nu(T, V)$ of $T$ along $V$ is defined as $\inf _{x \in V}\{\nu(T, x)\}$. The Lelong number is a notion measuring the singularities of $T$. We refer to [4] for the basics of Lelong numbers. For every constant $c>0$, we denote $E_{c}(T):=\{x \in X \mid \nu(T, x) \geq c\}$ and $E_{+}:=\{x \in X \mid \nu(T, x)>0\}$. By [12], $E_{c}(T)$ is a proper analytic subset in $X$, and $E_{+}(T)=\cup_{m \in \mathbb{N}^{*}} E_{1 / m}(T)$ is a countable union of analytic sets.

Let $W$ be an irreducible analytic subset of dimension $m$ in $X$. We denote by $E_{+}^{W}(T):=$ $\{x \in W \mid \nu(T, x)>\nu(T, W)\}$ the Lelong upper level set of $T$ on $W$, which is also a countable union of proper analytic subsets in $W$. Let $V \subset E_{+}^{W}(T)$ be an irreducible analytic set. We say that $V$ is maximal if there is no irreducible analytic subset $V^{\prime}$ of $E_{+}^{W}(T)$ such that $V$ is a proper subset of $V^{\prime}$. We call $V$ a component of the Lelong upper level set of $T$ along $W$, and let $\mathscr{V}_{T, W}$ be the set of such components $V$. Observe that $\mathscr{V}_{T, W}$ has at most countably many elements. For $0 \leq l \leq m$, we denote by $\mathscr{V}_{l, T, W}$ the set of $V \in \mathscr{V}_{T, W}$ such that $\operatorname{dim} V=l$.

Write $T=d d^{c} u$ locally, where $u$ is a plurisubharmonic (psh in short) function. We define $\left.T\right|_{\operatorname{Reg} W}$ to be $d d^{c}\left(\left.u\right|_{\operatorname{Reg} W}\right)$ if $u \not \equiv-\infty$ on $\operatorname{Reg} W$, and $\left.T\right|_{\operatorname{Reg} W}:=0$ otherwise. One sees that this definition is independent of the choice of $u$. Thus, $\left.T\right|_{\operatorname{Reg} W}$ is a current on Reg $W$. Here is our main result in the paper.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\alpha$ be a nef ( 1,1 )-class and let $W$ be an irreducible analytic subset of dimension $m$ in $X$. Let $T$ be a closed positive current in $\alpha$ such that $\nu(T, W)=0$. Let $1 \leq m^{\prime} \leq m$ be an integer. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{V \in \mathscr{Y}_{m-m^{\prime}, T, W}} \nu(T, V)^{m^{\prime}} \operatorname{vol}(V) \leq \frac{1}{\left(m-m^{\prime}\right)!} \int_{\operatorname{Reg} W}\left(\alpha^{m^{\prime}}-\left\langle\left(\left.T\right|_{\operatorname{Reg} W}\right)^{m^{\prime}}\right\rangle\right) \wedge \omega^{m-m^{\prime}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where in the integral, we identify $\alpha$ with a smooth closed form in $\alpha$.
We have some comments on (1.1). To see why the term

$$
I:=\int_{\operatorname{Reg} W}\left(\alpha^{m^{\prime}}-\left\langle\left(\left.T\right|_{\operatorname{Reg} W}\right)^{m^{\prime}}\right\rangle\right) \wedge \omega^{m-m^{\prime}}
$$

is non-negative, one can consider the case where $W$ is smooth. Then, by a monotonicity of non-pluripolar products (see Theorem 3.3 below), the cohomology class $\left(\left.\alpha\right|_{W}\right)^{m^{\prime}}-$ $\left\{\left\langle\left(\left.T\right|_{W}\right)^{m^{\prime}}\right\rangle\right\}$ is pseudoeffective. Hence the integral in the right-hand side of (1.1) is nonnegative. In the general case where $W$ is singular, one can use either a desingularisation of $W$ or interpret $I$ as the mass of some non-pluripolar product relative to [ $W$ ] (the current of integration along $W$ ); see Lemma 3.2 below. We underline however that in order to prove Theorem 1.1, it is not possible to use desingularisation of $W$ to reduce to the case where $W$ is smooth. The reason is that in the process of desingularisation, one has to blow up submanifolds of $W$ which in general could be some of components of the Lelong upper level sets of $T$ on $W$.

In [8], a less precise upper bound of volume of components of the Lelong upper level set was given in terms of the volume of $W$ and the mass of $T$; see also Theorem 3.7 for a more general statement. The estimate (1.1) is indeed optimal. To see this, consider $n=m=m^{\prime}=1$ and $T$ is a sum of Dirac masses. In this case, (1.1) becomes an equality.

If we consider $W=X$, then the generic Lelong number of $T$ along $W$ is zero. Thus, by Theorem 1.1, we have the following result.

Corollary 1.2. Let $\alpha$ be a nef (1,1)-class, and $T$ be a closed positive current in $\alpha$. For $0 \leq l \leq n$, let $\mathscr{V}_{l, T}$ be the set of $V \in \mathscr{V}_{T, X}$ such that $\operatorname{dim} V=l$. Let $1 \leq m^{\prime} \leq n$ be an integer. Then, we have

$$
\sum_{V \in \mathscr{Y}_{n-m^{\prime}, T}} \nu(T, V)^{m^{\prime}} \operatorname{vol}(V) \leq \frac{1}{\left(n-m^{\prime}\right)!} \int_{X}\left(\alpha^{m^{\prime}}-\left\{\left\langle T^{m^{\prime}}\right\rangle\right\}\right) \wedge \omega^{n-m^{\prime}}
$$

Corollary 1.2 generalizes [5, Corollary 7.6] by Demailly in which it was assumed additionally that the components of the upper Lelong level set of $T$ are only of dimension 0 (hence the cohomology class of $T$ is necessarily nef, see [5, Lemma 6.3]). The feature of Corollary 1.2 is that it holds for any current in a nef class.

This paper refines and substitutes [16]. The proof of Theorem 1.1] requires both the theory of density currents in [7] and relative non-pluripolar products in [17] (see also [1, 2]). One of the keys is Theorem 3.6 below following from a general comparison of Lelong numbers for density currents (see Corollary 2.6).

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we recall basic properties of density currents from [7]. In Section 3, we discuss the connection between the nonpluripolar product and density currents, and prove Theorem 1.1.
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## 2 Density currents

We first recall some basic properties of density currents introduced by Dinh-Sibony in [7].

Let $X$ be a complex Kähler manifold of dimension $n$, and $V$ a smooth complex submanifold of $X$ of dimension $l$. Let $T$ be a closed positive $(p, p)$-current on $X$, where $0 \leq p \leq n$. Denote by $\pi: E \rightarrow V$ the normal bundle of $V$ in $X$ and $\bar{E}:=\mathbb{P}(E \oplus \mathbb{C})$ the projective compactification of $E$. By abuse of notation, we also use $\pi$ to denote the natural projection from $\bar{E}$ to $V$.

Let $U$ be an open subset of $X$ with $U \cap V \neq \varnothing$. Let $\tau$ be a smooth diffeomorphism from $U$ to an open neighborhood of $V \cap U$ in $E$ which is identity on $V \cap U$ such that the restriction of its differential $d \tau$ to $\left.E\right|_{V \cap U}$ is identity. Such a map is called an admissible map. Note that in [7], to define an admissible map, it is required furthermore that $d \tau$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear at every point of $V$. This difference doesn't affect what follows. When $U$ is a small enough tubular neighborhood of $V$, there always exists an admissible map $\tau$ by [7, Lemma 4.2]. In general, $\tau$ is not holomorphic. When $U$ is a small enough local chart, we can choose a holomorphic admissible map by using suitable holomorphic coordinates on $U$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$, let $A_{\lambda}: E \rightarrow E$ be the multiplication by $\lambda$ on fibers of $E$. Here is the first fundamental result for density currents.

Theorem 2.1. ([7] Theorem 4.6]) Let $\tau$ be an admissible map defined on a tubular neighborhood of $V$. Then, the family $\left(A_{\lambda}\right)_{*} \tau_{*} T$ is of mass uniformly bounded in $\lambda$ on compact subsets in $E$, and if $S$ is a limit current of the last family as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, then $S$ is a current on $E$ which can be extended trivially through $\bar{E} \backslash E$ to be a closed positive current on $\bar{E}$ such that the cohomology class $\{S\}$ of $S$ in $\bar{E}$ is independent of the choice of $S$, and $\left.\{S\}\right|_{V}=\left.\{T\}\right|_{V}$, and $\|S\| \leq C\|T\|$ for some constant $C$ independent of $S$ and $T$, where $\left.\{S\}\right|_{V}$ denotes the pull-back of $\{S\}$ under the natural inclusion map from $V$ to $\bar{E}$.

We call $S$ a tangent current to $T$ along $V$, and its cohomology class is called the total tangent class of $T$ along $V$ and is denoted by $\kappa^{V}(T)$. Tangent currents are not unique in general. By [7, Theorem 4.6] again, if

$$
S=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(A_{\lambda_{k}}\right)_{*} \tau_{*} T
$$

for some sequence $\left(\lambda_{k}\right)_{k}$ converging to $\infty$, then for every open subset $U^{\prime}$ of $X$ and every admissible map $\tau^{\prime}: U^{\prime} \rightarrow E$, we also have

$$
S=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(A_{\lambda_{k}}\right)_{*} \tau_{*}^{\prime} T .
$$

This is equivalent to saying that tangent currents are independent of the choice of the admissible map $\tau$.

Definition 2.2. ([7] Definition 3.1]) Let $F$ be a complex manifold and $\pi_{F}: F \rightarrow V$ a holomorphic submersion. Let $S$ be a positive current of bi-degree $(p, p)$ on $F$. The h-dimension of $S$ with respect to $\pi_{F}$ is the biggest integer $q$ such that $S \wedge \pi_{F}^{*} \theta^{q} \neq 0$ for some Hermitian metric $\theta$ on $V$.

By a bi-degree reason, the h-dimension of $S$ is in $[\max \{l-p, 0\}, \min \{\operatorname{dim} F-p, l\}]$. We have the following description of currents with minimal h-dimension.

Lemma 2.3. ([7] Lemma 3.4]) Let $\pi_{F}: F \rightarrow V$ be a submersion. Let $S$ be a closed positive current of bi-degree $(p, p)$ on $F$ of h-dimension $(l-p)$ with respect to $\pi_{F}$. Then $S=\pi^{*} S^{\prime}$ for some closed positive current $S^{\prime}$ on $V$.

By [7, Lemma 3.8], the h-dimensions of tangent currents to $T$ along $V$ are the same and this number is called the tangential $h$-dimension of $T$ along $V$.

Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer. Let $T_{j}$ be a closed positive current of bi-degree $\left(p_{j}, p_{j}\right)$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$ on $X$ and let $T_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{m}$ be the tensor current of $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}$ which is a current on $X^{m}$. A density current associated to $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}$ is a tangent current to $\otimes_{j=1}^{m} T_{j}$ along the diagonal $\Delta_{m}$ of $X^{m}$. Let $\pi_{m}: E_{m} \rightarrow \Delta$ be the normal bundle of $\Delta_{m}$ in $X^{m}$. Denote by $[V]$ the current of integration along $V$. When $m=2$ and $T_{2}=[V]$, the density currents of $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are naturally identified with the tangent currents to $T_{1}$ along $V$ (see [14, Lemma 2.3]).

The unique cohomology class of density currents associated to $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}$ is called the total density class of $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}$. We denote the last class by $\kappa\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}\right)$. The tangential h -dimension of $T_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{m}$ along $\Delta_{m}$ is called the density $h$-dimension of $T_{1}, \ldots T_{m}$.

Lemma 2.4. ([7] Section 5]) Let $T_{j}$ be a closed positive current of bi-degree $\left(p_{j}, p_{j}\right)$ on $X$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{m} p_{j} \leq n$. Assume that the density $h$-dimension of $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}$ is minimal, i.e, equals to $n-\sum_{j=1}^{m} p_{j}$. Then the total density class of $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}$ is equal to $\pi_{m}^{*}\left(\wedge_{j=1}^{m}\left\{T_{j}\right\}\right)$.

Let $h_{\bar{E}}$ be the Chern class of the dual of the tautological line bundle of $\bar{E}$. By [7, Page 535], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa^{V}(T)=\sum_{j=\max \{0, l-p\}}^{\min \{l, n-p-1\}} \pi^{*}\left(\kappa_{j}^{V}(T)\right) \wedge h_{\bar{E}}^{p-(l-j)} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi: \bar{E} \rightarrow V$ is the natural projection and $\kappa_{j}^{V}(T) \in H^{2 l-2 j}(V, \mathbb{R})$. The tangential $h$-dimension of $T$ along $V$ is exactly equal to the maximal $j$ such that $\kappa_{j}^{V}(T) \neq 0$, and it was known that the class $\kappa_{j}^{V}(T)$ is pseudoeffective ([7, Lemma 3.15]).

Theorem 2.5. ([7, Proposition 4.13]) Let $V^{\prime}$ be a submanifold of $V$ and let $T$ be a closed positive current on $X$. Let $T_{\infty}$ be a tangent current to $T$ along $V$. Let $s$ be the tangential $h$-dimension of $T_{\infty}$ along $V^{\prime}$. Then, the tangential $h$-dimension of $T$ along $V^{\prime}$ is at most $s$, and we have

$$
\kappa_{s}^{V^{\prime}}(T) \leq \kappa_{s}^{V^{\prime}}\left(T_{\infty}\right)
$$

As a consequence, we obtain the following result generalizing the well-known lower bound of Lelong numbers of intersection of (1,1)-currents due to Demailly [6, Page 169] in the compact setting. It is probably the first result dealing with comparison of Lelong numbers for intersection of currents of arbitrary bi-degree.

Corollary 2.6. Let $T_{j}$ be a closed positive current on $X$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. Then, for every $x \in X$ and for every density current $S$ associated to $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu(S, x) \geq \nu\left(T_{1}, x\right) \cdots \nu\left(T_{m}, x\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $x \in X$. Let $\Delta_{m}$ be the diagonal of $X^{m}$. Let $\pi: E \rightarrow \Delta_{m}$ be the natural projection from the normal bundle of the diagonal $\Delta_{m}$ of $X^{m}$ in $X^{m}$. We identify $x$ with a point in $\Delta_{m}$ via the natural identification $\Delta_{m}$ with $X$. Put $T:=\otimes_{j=1}^{m} T_{j}$ and $V^{\prime}:=\{x\}$. By [11, Lemma 2.4], we have $\nu(T, x) \geq \nu\left(T_{1}, x\right) \cdots \nu\left(T_{m}, x\right)$. By [7, Proposition 5.6], we have

$$
\kappa_{0}^{V^{\prime}}(S)=\nu(S, x) \delta_{x}, \quad \kappa_{0}^{V^{\prime}}(T)=\nu(T, x) \delta_{x}
$$

where $\delta_{x}$ is the Dirac measure on $x$ (notice here $\operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}=0$ ). This combined with Theorem 2.5 applied to $X^{m}, T:=\otimes_{j=1}^{m} T_{j}, \Delta_{m}$ the diagonal of $X^{m}$ and $V^{\prime}:=\{x\}$ implies

$$
\nu(S, x) \geq \nu(T, x) \geq \nu\left(T_{1}, x\right) \cdots \nu\left(T_{m}, x\right)
$$

Hence, the desired inequality follows. The proof is finished.

## 3 Relative non-pluripolar products

We first recall basic facts about the relative non-pluripolar product of currents and discuss its connection with the density current.

Let $X$ be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension $n$. Let $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}$ be closed positive $(1,1)$-currents on $X$, and $T$ be a closed positive $(p, p)$-current. By [17], the $T$-relative non-pluripolar product $\left\langle\wedge_{j=1}^{m} T_{j} \dot{\wedge} T\right\rangle$ is defined in a way similar to that of the usual nonpluripolar products in [1, 2]. For readers' convenience, we explain briefly how to do it.

Write $T_{j}=d d^{c} u_{j}+\theta_{j}$, where $\theta_{j}$ is a smooth form and $u_{j}$ is a $\theta_{j}$-psh function. Put

$$
R_{k}:=\mathbf{1}_{\cap_{j=1}^{m}\left\{u_{j}>-k\right\}} \wedge_{j=1}^{m}\left(d d^{c} \max \left\{u_{j},-k\right\}+\theta_{j}\right) \wedge T
$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By the strong quasi-continuity of bounded psh functions ([17, Theorems 2.4 and 2.9]), we have

$$
R_{k}=\mathbf{1}_{\cap_{j=1}^{m}\left\{u_{j}>-k\right\}} \wedge_{j=1}^{m}\left(d d^{c} \max \left\{u_{j},-l\right\}+\theta_{j}\right) \wedge T
$$

for every $l \geq k \geq 1$. One can check that $R_{k}$ is positive (see [17, Lemma 3.2]).
As in [2], we have that $R_{k}$ is of mass bounded uniformly in $k$ and $\left(R_{k}\right)_{k}$ converges to a closed positive current as $k \rightarrow \infty$. This limit is denoted by $\left\langle\wedge_{j=1}^{m} T_{j} \dot{\wedge} T\right\rangle$. We refer to [17, Proposition 3.5] for properties of relative non-pluripolar products.

For every closed positive (1,1)-current $P$, we denote by $I_{P}$ the set of $x \in X$ so that local potentials of $P$ are equal to $-\infty$ at $x$. Note that $I_{P}$ is a complete pluripolar set. It is clear from the definition that $\left\langle\wedge_{j=1}^{m} T_{j} \dot{\wedge} T\right\rangle$ has no mass on $\cup_{j=1}^{m} I_{T_{j}}$. Furthermore, for every locally complete pluripolar set $A$ (i.e, $A$ is locally equal to $\{\psi=-\infty\}$ for some psh function $\psi$ ), if $T$ has no mass on $A$, then so does $\left\langle\wedge_{j=1}^{m} T_{j} \dot{\wedge} T\right\rangle$. The following is deduced from [17, Proposition 3.5].
Proposition 3.1. (i) For $R:=\left\langle\wedge_{j=l+1}^{m} T_{j} \dot{\wedge} T\right\rangle$, we have $\left\langle\wedge_{j=1}^{m} T_{j} \dot{\wedge} T\right\rangle=\left\langle\wedge_{j=1}^{l} T_{j} \dot{\wedge} R\right\rangle$.
(ii) For every complete pluripolar set $A$, we have

$$
\mathbf{1}_{X \backslash A}\left\langle T_{1} \wedge T_{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge T_{m} \dot{\wedge} T\right\rangle=\left\langle T_{1} \wedge T_{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge T_{m} \dot{\wedge}\left(\mathbf{1}_{X \backslash A} T\right)\right\rangle .
$$

In particular, the equality

$$
\left\langle\wedge_{j=1}^{m} T_{j} \dot{\wedge} T\right\rangle=\left\langle\wedge_{j=1}^{m} T_{j} \dot{\wedge} T^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

holds, where $T^{\prime}:=\mathbf{1}_{X \backslash \cup j=1}^{m} I_{T_{j}} T$.
Let $V$ be an irreducible analytic set in $X$. For the case $T=[V]$, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. ([18, Lemma 2.3]) Let $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}$ be closed positive (1,1)-currents on $X$. Then the following properties hold:
(i) If $V$ is contained in $\cup_{j=1}^{m} I_{T_{j}}$, then $\left\langle T_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge T_{m} \dot{\wedge}[V]\right\rangle=0$ and there is $1 \leq j_{0} \leq m$ so that $V \subset I_{T_{j_{0}}}$.
(ii) If $V$ is not contained in $\cup_{j=1}^{m} I_{T_{j}}$, then

$$
\left\langle\wedge_{j=1}^{m} T_{j} \dot{\wedge}[V]\right\rangle=i_{*}\left\langle T_{1, V} \wedge \cdots \wedge T_{m, V}\right\rangle
$$

where $i: \operatorname{Reg}(V) \rightarrow X$ is the natural inclusion, and $T_{j, V}:=d d^{c}\left(\left.u_{j}\right|_{\operatorname{Reg}(V)}\right)$ if $d d^{c} u_{j}=T_{j}$ locally.

Let $T, T^{\prime}$ be closed positive $(1,1)$-currents in the same cohomology class on $X . T^{\prime}$ is said to be less singular than $T$ if for every local potentials $u$ of $T$ and $u^{\prime}$ of $T^{\prime}, u \leq u^{\prime}+O(1)$. Here is a crucial property of relative non-pluripolar products.
Theorem 3.3. ([17, Theorem 1.1]) Let $T_{j}^{\prime}$ be closed positive ( 1,1 )-current in the cohomology class of $T_{j}$ on $X$ such that $T_{j}^{\prime}$ is less singular than $T_{j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. Then we have

$$
\left\{\left\langle T_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge T_{m} \dot{\wedge} T\right\rangle\right\} \leq\left\{\left\langle T_{1}^{\prime} \wedge \cdots \wedge T_{m}^{\prime} \dot{\wedge} T\right\rangle\right\}
$$

Weaker versions of the above result were proved in [2, 3, 19]. Let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}$ be big $(1,1)$-classed of $X$. A current $T_{j, \min } \in \alpha_{j}$ is said to have minimal singularities if it is less singular than any closed positive current in $\alpha_{j}$.

By Theorem 3.3, the class $\left\{\left\langle T_{1, \min } \wedge \cdots \wedge T_{m, \min } \dot{\wedge} T\right\rangle\right\}$ is a well-defined pseudoeffective class which is independent of the choice of $T_{j, \min }$. We denote the last class by $\left\{\left\langle\alpha_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\alpha_{m} \dot{\wedge} T\right\rangle\right\}$. When $T \equiv 1$, we simply write $\left\langle\alpha_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_{m}\right\rangle$ for $\left\{\left\langle\alpha_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_{m} \dot{\wedge} T\right\rangle\right\}$. In this case, the product $\left\langle\alpha_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_{m}\right\rangle$ was introduced in [2].

Regarding the relation between relative non-pluripolar products and density currents, the following fact was proved in [15, Theorem 3.5], see also [9, 10].
Theorem 3.4. Let $R_{\infty}$ be a density current associated to $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}, T$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{m+1}^{*}\left\langle\wedge_{j=1}^{m} T_{j} \dot{\wedge} T\right\rangle \leq R_{\infty} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi_{m+1}$ is the natural projection from the normal bundle of the diagonal $\Delta$ of $X^{m+1}$ to $\Delta$, and as usual we identified $\Delta$ with $X$.

We will need the following to estimate the density h-dimension of currents.
Proposition 3.5. ([15, Proposition 3.6]) Let $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}, T$ be as above. Let $A$ be a Borel subset of $X$. Assume that for every $J \subset\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and for every density current $R_{J}$ associated to $\left(T_{j}\right)_{j \in J}, T$ with $m_{J}:=|J|<m$, we have that $R_{J}$ has no mass on the set

$$
\pi_{m_{J}+1}^{*}\left(\cap_{j \notin J}\left\{x \in A: \nu\left(T_{j}, x\right)>0\right\}\right) .
$$

Then for every density current $S$ associated to $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}, T$, the $h$-dimension of the current $\mathbf{1}_{A} S$ is equal to $n-p-m$, where $\mathbf{1}_{A}$ denotes the characteristic function of $A$.

For every pseudoeffective $(p, p)$-class $\gamma$ on $X$, we put $\|\gamma\|:=\int_{X} \Theta \wedge \omega^{n-p}$, where $\Theta$ is any closed smooth form in $\gamma$. This definition is independent of the choice of $\Theta$ and is nonnegative because of the pseudoeffectivity of $\gamma$.

Theorem 3.6. Let $P$ and $T$ be closed positive currents of bi-degree $(1,1)$ and $(p, p)$ respectively on $X$, where $1 \leq p \leq n-1$. Assume that $T$ has no mass on $I_{P}$. Then, the cohomology class

$$
\gamma:=\{P\} \wedge\{T\}-\{\langle P \dot{\wedge} T\rangle\}
$$

is pseudoeffective and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\gamma\| \geq \sum_{V} \nu(P, V) \nu(T, V) n_{V}!\operatorname{vol}(V), \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is taken over every irreducible subset $V$ of dimension at least $n-p-1$ in $X$, and $n_{V}:=\operatorname{dim} V$.

Proof. Let $\mathscr{V}$ be the set of irreducible analytic subsets $V$ of dimension at least $n-p-1$ in $X$ such that $\nu(T, V)>0$ and $\nu(P, V)>0$. We note that in (3.2), it is enough to consider $V \in \mathscr{V}$. We will see below that $\mathscr{V}$ has at most countable elements.

Observe that if $\nu(P, x)>0$, then $x \in I_{P}$. Hence, by hypothesis, the trace measure of $T$ has no mass on the set $\{x \in X: \nu(P, x)>0\}$. This allows us to apply Proposition 3.5 to $P$ and $T$ to obtain that the density h-dimension of $P$ and $T$ is minimal. Using this and Lemma 2.4 gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa(P, T)=\pi^{*}(\{P\} \wedge\{T\}) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi$ is the natural projection from the normal bundle of the diagonal $\Delta$ of $X^{2}$ to $\Delta$.
Let $S$ be a density current associated to $P$ and $T$. Since the h-dimension of $S$ is minimal, using Lemma 2.3, we get that there exists a current $S^{\prime}$ on $X$ such that $S=\pi^{*} S^{\prime}$ (recall $\Delta$ is identified with $X$ ). Since the relative non-pluripolar product is dominated by density currents (Theorem 3.4), the current $S^{\prime}-\langle P \dot{\wedge} T\rangle$ is closed and positive. Moreover, by (3.3), the cohomology class of the last current is equal to $\gamma$. It follows that $\gamma$ is pseudoeffective.

It remains to prove (3.2). Let $V \in \mathscr{V}$. By definition, the generic Lelong number of $T$ along $V$ is positive. Since $T$ is of bi-degree $(p, p)$, the dimension of $V$ must be at most $n-p$. Hence, we have two possibilities: either $\operatorname{dim} V=n-p-1$ or $\operatorname{dim} V=n-p$. The latter case cannot happen. If $T$ has no mass on $V$, then $\nu(T, V)=0$, which leads to a contradiction. If $T$ has mass on $V$, which is contained in $I_{P}$ (for $\nu(P, V)>0$ ), then this contradicts the hypothesis that $T$ has no mass on $I_{P}$.

Let $V \in \mathscr{V}$. Since the Lelong numbers are preserved by submersion maps ([11, Proposition 2.3]), by applying Corollary 2.6 to $P, T$ and generic $x \in V$, we obtain

$$
\nu\left(S^{\prime}, V\right)=\nu(S, V) \geq \nu(P, V) \nu(T, V)
$$

This combined with the fact that $\operatorname{dim} V=n-p-1$ implies $S^{\prime} \geq \nu(P, V) \nu(T, V)[V]$. We deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{\prime} & \geq\langle P \dot{\wedge} T\rangle+\mathbf{1}_{I_{P}} S^{\prime} \\
& \geq\langle P \dot{\wedge} T\rangle+\sum_{V \in \mathscr{V}} \nu(P, V) \nu(T, V)[V]
\end{aligned}
$$

The second inequality comes from Siu's decomposition theorem ([6, 2.18]), and this also shows that $\mathscr{V}$ has at most countable elements. The desired assertion follows and the proof is finished.

We now prove Theorem 1.1.
Proof of Theorem [1.1] It suffices to consider the case where $\alpha$ is Kähler by using $\alpha+$ $\epsilon\{\omega\}, T+\epsilon \omega$ instead of $\alpha, T$ and letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Hence we assume from now on that $\alpha$ is Kähler. By abuse of notation, we also denote by $\alpha$ a smooth Kähler form in $\alpha$. By Lemma 3.2, the right hand-hand side of (1.1) can be written as

$$
\frac{1}{\left(m-m^{\prime}\right)!} \int_{\operatorname{Reg} W}\left(\alpha^{m^{\prime}}-\left\langle\left(\left.T\right|_{\operatorname{Reg} W}\right)^{m^{\prime}}\right\rangle\right) \wedge \omega^{m-m^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{\left(m-m^{\prime}\right)!}\left\|\left\langle\alpha^{m^{\prime}} \dot{\lambda}[W]\right\rangle-\left\langle T^{m^{\prime}} \dot{\wedge}[W]\right\rangle\right\| .
$$

Step 1. We first focus on the case that $T$ has analytic singularities. Set $S=\left\langle T^{m^{\prime}-1} \dot{\lambda}[W]\right\rangle$. Since $\alpha$ is Kähler, by the monotonicity of non-pluripolar product (Theorem 3.3) and Proposition 3.1(i), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\left\langle\alpha^{m^{\prime}} \dot{\wedge}[W]\right\rangle-\left\langle T^{m^{\prime}} \dot{\wedge}[W]\right\rangle\right\| & \geq\left\|\left\langle\alpha \wedge T^{m^{\prime}-1} \dot{\wedge}[W]\right\rangle-\left\langle T^{m^{\prime}} \dot{\wedge}[W]\right\rangle\right\|  \tag{3.4}\\
& =\|\alpha \wedge S-\langle T \dot{\wedge} S\rangle\|
\end{align*}
$$

We now show that $S$ has no mass on $I_{T}$. For $m^{\prime}>1$, this directly follows from the definition of non-pluripolar product. For $m^{\prime}=1$, the current $S$ is just [ $W$ ]. Since we assume that $T$ has analytic singularities, the polar locus $I_{T}$ is an analytic subset and it doesn't contain $W$. Hence, $[W]$ also has no mass on $I_{T}$. Therefore, we can apply Lemma 3.6 to $T, S$, and get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\alpha \wedge\{S\}-\{\langle T \dot{\wedge} S\rangle\}\| \geq\left(m-m^{\prime}\right)!\sum_{V \in \mathscr{V}_{m-m^{\prime}, T, W}} \nu(T, V) \nu(S, V) \operatorname{vol}(V) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $V \in \mathscr{V}_{m-m^{\prime}, T, W}$ and let $\operatorname{Sing}\left(I_{T} \cap W\right)$ be the singular locus of the analytic set $I_{T} \cap W$. Put $X^{\prime}:=X \backslash \operatorname{Sing}\left(I_{T} \cap W\right)$ which is an open subset in $X$. Now, we claim that the classical intersection $T^{m^{\prime}-1} \wedge[W]$ is well-defined on some open neighborhood $U$ of $V \backslash \operatorname{Sing}\left(I_{T} \cap W\right)$ in $X^{\prime}$, in the sense given in [4, Chapter III]. Since $T$ has analytic singularities, the Lelong number $\nu(T, x)$ is strictly positive if and only if $x$ belongs to $I_{T}$. This implies that $V$ is contained in $I_{T} \cap W$. Let $K_{1}, \ldots, K_{s}$ be the irreducible components of $I_{T} \cap W$. Since $V$ is a maximal irreducible proper analytic set such that $\nu(T, V)>0, V$ must be one of the irreducible components. By rearranging the index, we may assume $V=K_{1}$. Let $U$ be an open neighborhood of $V \backslash \operatorname{Sing}\left(I_{T} \cap W\right)$ such that

$$
U \cap K_{j}=\emptyset, \text { for } j=2, \ldots, s
$$

Notice that $V \backslash \operatorname{Sing}\left(I_{T} \cap W\right)$ is contained in $\operatorname{Reg}(V)$, and is of dimension $m-m^{\prime}$. Consequently, for $0 \leq j^{\prime} \leq m^{\prime}-1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{H}_{2 m-2 j^{\prime}+1}\left(\left.L(T)\right|_{U} \cap W\right) & =\mathscr{H}_{2 m-2 j^{\prime}+1}\left(I_{T} \cap W \cap U\right) \\
& =\mathscr{H}_{2 m-2 j^{\prime}+1}\left(V \backslash \operatorname{Sing}\left(I_{T} \cap W\right)\right) \\
& =0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $L(T)$ is the set of $x \in X$ such that the local potential of $T$ is unbounded on any neighborhood of $x$. This allows us to apply [4, Chapter III, Theorem 4.5] and get the well-definedness of $T^{m^{\prime}-1} \wedge[W]$ on $U$. By [17, Proposition 3.6], we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T^{m^{\prime}-1} \dot{\wedge}[W]\right\rangle=\mathbf{1}_{U \backslash I_{T}} T^{m^{\prime}-1} \wedge[W] . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Actually, the equality also holds on $U \cap I_{T}$. To show this, we need to check that $T^{m^{\prime}-1} \wedge[W]$ has no mass on $U \cap I_{T}$. Since $\operatorname{dim}\left(U \cap I_{T} \cap W\right)=m-m^{\prime}$ and $T^{m^{\prime}-1} \wedge[W]$ is of bi-dimension ( $m-m^{\prime}+1, m-m^{\prime}+1$ ), the current $T^{m^{\prime}-1} \wedge[W]$ must have no mass on $U \cap I_{T} \cap W$. Also, by the fact $\operatorname{Supp}\left(T^{m^{\prime}-1} \wedge[W]\right) \subset W$, the current $T^{m^{\prime}-1} \wedge[W]$ also has no mass on $\left(U \cap I_{T}\right) \backslash W$. Therefore, the equality (3.6) extends to $U$. This implies that the Lelong number $\nu(S, V)$ equals $\nu\left(T^{m^{\prime}-1} \wedge[W], V \backslash \operatorname{Sing}\left(I_{T} \cap W\right)\right.$ ) (remember that we consider the current $T^{m^{\prime}-1} \wedge[W]$ on $U$, and $V \backslash \operatorname{Sing}\left(I_{T} \cap W\right)$ is an analytic subset in $U$ ), and we then have

$$
\begin{align*}
\nu(S, V) & =\nu\left(T^{m^{\prime}-1} \wedge[W], V \backslash \operatorname{Sing}\left(I_{T} \cap W\right)\right) \\
& \geq \nu\left(T, V \backslash \operatorname{Sing}\left(I_{T} \cap W\right)\right)^{m^{\prime}-1} \nu\left([W], V \backslash \operatorname{Sing}\left(I_{T} \cap W\right)\right) \\
& \geq \nu(T, V)^{m^{\prime}-1} . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.4), (3.5) and (3.7), the desired inequality follows in the case where $T$ has analytic singularities.

Step 2. Now, we remove the assumption that $T$ has analytic singularities. First, we write $T=d d^{c} u+\theta$, where $\theta$ is a closed smooth $(1,1)$ - form and $u \in \operatorname{PSH}(X, \theta)$. Demailly's analytic approximation theorem (see [6, Corollary 14.13]) allows us to construct a sequence $u_{k}^{D} \in \operatorname{PSH}\left(X, \theta+\epsilon_{k} \omega\right)$, where $\epsilon_{k}$ decreases to 0 , such that
(1) $u_{k}^{D} \geq u$ and $u_{k}^{D}$ converges to $u$ in $L^{1}$.
(2) $u_{k}^{D}$ has analytic singularities.
(3) $\nu\left(T_{k}, x\right)$ converges to $\nu(T, x)$ uniformly on $X$, where $T_{k}=d d^{c} u_{k}^{D}+\left(\theta+\epsilon_{k} \omega\right)$.

By the monotonicity property of non-pluripolar product (Theorem[3.3), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\left\langle\alpha^{m^{\prime}} \dot{\wedge}[W]\right\rangle-\left\langle T^{m^{\prime}} \dot{\wedge}[W]\right\rangle\right\| & =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left\langle\left(\alpha+\epsilon_{k} \omega\right)^{m^{\prime}} \dot{\wedge}[W]\right\rangle-\left\langle\left(T+\epsilon_{k} \omega\right)^{m^{\prime}} \dot{\wedge}[W]\right\rangle\right\|  \tag{3.8}\\
& \geq \limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left\langle\left(\alpha+\epsilon_{k} \omega\right)^{m^{\prime}} \dot{\wedge}[W]\right\rangle-\left\langle T_{k}^{m^{\prime}} \dot{\wedge}[W]\right\rangle\right\|
\end{align*}
$$

For every constant $r>0$, set $A_{r}:=\left\{V \in \mathscr{V}_{m-m^{\prime}, T, W} \mid \nu(T, V) \geq r\right\}$. Observe that $A_{r}$ increases to $\mathscr{V}_{m-m^{\prime}, T, W}$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. Since $\nu\left(T_{k}, x\right)$ converges to $\nu(T, x)$ uniformly and $T_{k}$ is less singular than $T$, for every fixed $r>0$ we have

$$
A_{r} \subset \mathscr{V}_{m-m^{\prime}, T_{k}, W}
$$

when $k$ is large enough. By Step 1, we therefore have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left\langle\left(\alpha+\epsilon_{k} \omega\right)^{m^{\prime}} \dot{\wedge}[W]\right\rangle-\left\langle T_{k}^{m^{\prime}} \dot{\wedge}[W]\right\rangle\right\| & \geq\left(m-m^{\prime}\right)!\sum_{V \in \mathscr{Y}_{m-m^{\prime}, T_{k}, W}} \nu\left(T_{k}, V\right)^{m^{\prime}} \operatorname{vol}(V) \\
& \geq\left(m-m^{\prime}\right)!\sum_{V \in A_{r}} \nu\left(T_{k}, V\right)^{m^{\prime}} \operatorname{vol}(V)
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ and using (3.8) give

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left\langle\alpha^{m^{\prime}} \dot{\wedge}[W]\right\rangle-\left\langle T^{m^{\prime}} \dot{\wedge}[W]\right\rangle\right\| & \geq\left(m-m^{\prime}\right)!\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{V \in A_{r}} \nu\left(T_{k}, V\right)^{m^{\prime}} \operatorname{vol}(V) \\
& =\left(m-m^{\prime}\right)!\sum_{V \in A_{r}} \nu(T, V)^{m^{\prime}} \operatorname{vol}(V),
\end{aligned}
$$

for every constant $r>0$. Letting $r \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the desired estimate.
For the general case where $\nu(T, W)>0$. We couldn't directly compare the volume of Lelong upper level sets of $T$ on $W$ and the mass of $\left.\left\{\left\langle\alpha^{m^{\prime}} \dot{\wedge}[W]\right\rangle\right\}-\left\{\left\langle T^{m^{\prime}} \dot{\wedge}[W]\right\rangle\right\}\right\}$. In this case, we have the following modified inequality which is stronger than [8, Theorem 1.1].

Theorem 3.7. Let $\alpha$ be a nef $(1,1)$-class. Let $W$ be an irreducible analytic subset in $X$. Let $T$ be a closed positive current in $\alpha$. Let $1 \leq m^{\prime} \leq m$ be an integer. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(m-m^{\prime}\right)!\sum_{V \in \mathscr{V}_{m-m^{\prime}, T, W}}(\nu(T, V)-\nu(T, W))^{m^{\prime}} \operatorname{vol}(V) \leq \\
&\left\|(\alpha+c\{\omega\})^{m^{\prime}} \wedge\{[W]\}-\left\{\left\langle(T+c \omega)^{m^{\prime}} \dot{\wedge}[W]\right\rangle\right\}\right\| \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c=c_{1} \cdot \nu(T, W)$ and $c_{1}>0$ is a constant independent of $\alpha, T, W$. In particular, there is a constant $c_{2}>0$ independent of $\alpha, T, W$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{V \in \mathscr{V}_{m-m^{\prime}, T, W}}(\nu(T, V)-\nu(T, W))^{m^{\prime}} \operatorname{vol}(V) \leq c_{2} \operatorname{vol}(W)\|T\|^{m^{\prime}} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The desired inequality (3.10) follows directly from (3.9). We prove now (3.9). For convenience, set $c_{3}:=\nu(T, W)>0$. The regularization theorem of currents introduced in [5] allows us to cut down the Lelong upper level set $\left\{x \in X \mid \nu(T, V) \geq c_{3}\right\}$ from $T$. More precisely, by [5, Theorem 1.1], there exists a sequence of almost positive closed (1,1)-currents $T_{c_{3}, k}$ in $\alpha$ such that
(1) $T_{c_{3}, k} \geq-\left(c_{1} \cdot c_{3}+\epsilon_{k}\right) \omega$, where $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_{k}=0$ and $c_{1}>0$ is a constant independent of $\alpha, T$ and $W$.
(2) The global potentials of $T_{c_{3}, k}$ decreases to the global potential of $T$.
(3) $\nu\left(T_{c_{3}, k}, x\right)=\max \left\{\nu(T, x)-c_{3}, 0\right\}$.

Set $\widetilde{T}_{c_{3}, k}=T_{c_{3}, k}+\left(c_{1} \cdot c_{3}+\epsilon_{k}\right) \omega$, which is a closed positive $(1,1)$-current. By Theorem 3.3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(\alpha+c_{1} \cdot c_{3}\{\omega\}\right)^{m^{\prime}} \wedge\{[W]\}-\left\langle\left(T+c_{1} \cdot c_{3} \omega\right)^{m^{\prime}} \dot{\wedge}[W]\right\rangle\right\| \\
& \geq \limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(\alpha+\left(c_{1} \cdot c_{3}+\epsilon_{k}\right)\{\omega\}\right)^{m^{\prime}} \wedge\{[W]\}-\left\langle\widetilde{T}_{c_{3}, k}^{m^{\prime}} \dot{\wedge} \dot{ }[W]\right\rangle\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\nu\left(\widetilde{T}_{c_{3}, k}, W\right)=0$, we can apply Theorem 1.1 to the right-hand side of the above inequality and get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left(\alpha+\left(c_{1} \cdot c_{3}+\epsilon_{k}\right)\{\omega\}\right)^{m^{\prime}} \wedge\{[W]\}-\left\langle\widetilde{T}_{c_{3}, k}^{m^{\prime}} \dot{\wedge}[W]\right\rangle\right\| \\
& \geq\left(m-m^{\prime}\right)!\sum_{V \in \mathscr{V}_{m-m^{\prime}, \tilde{T}_{c 3}, k, W}} \nu\left(\widetilde{T}_{c_{3}, k}, V\right)^{m^{\prime}} \operatorname{vol}(V), \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

By the above properties of $T_{c_{3}, k}$, we have

$$
\mathscr{V}_{m-m^{\prime}, \tilde{C}_{c 3}, k, W}=\mathscr{V}_{m-m^{\prime}, T, W} .
$$

Therefore, the right-hand side of (3.11) is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(m-m^{\prime}\right)!\sum_{V \in \mathscr{Y}_{m-m^{\prime}, T, W}} \nu\left(\widetilde{T}_{c_{2}, k}, V\right)^{m^{\prime}} \operatorname{vol}(V) \\
& =\left(m-m^{\prime}\right)!\sum_{V \in \mathscr{Y}_{m-m^{\prime}, T, W}}(\nu(T, V)-\nu(T, W))^{m^{\prime}} \operatorname{vol}(V) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
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