

# ON GORENSTEINNESS OF ASSOCIATED GRADED RINGS OF FILTRATIONS

MEGHANA BHAT, SAIPRIYA DUBEY, SHREEDEVI K. MASUTI, TOMOHIRO OKUMA, JUGAL K. VERMA,  
KEI-ICHI WATANABE, AND KEN-ICHI YOSHIDA

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Gorenstein local ring, and  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  a Hilbert filtration. In this paper, we give a criterion for Gorensteinness of the associated graded ring of  $\mathcal{F}$  in terms of the Hilbert coefficients of  $\mathcal{F}$  in some cases. As a consequence we recover and extend a result proved in [19]. Further, we present ring-theoretic properties of the normal tangent cone of the maximal ideal of  $A = S/(f)$  where  $S = K[[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m]]$  is a formal power series ring over an algebraically closed field  $K$ , and  $f = x_0^a - g(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ , where  $g$  is a polynomial with  $g \in (x_1, \dots, x_m)^b \setminus (x_1, \dots, x_m)^{b+1}$ , and  $a, b, m$  are integers. We show that the normal tangent cone  $\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m})$  is Cohen-Macaulay if  $A$  is normal and  $a \leq b$ . Moreover, we give a criterion of the Gorensteinness of  $\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m})$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring of dimension  $d$  with an infinite residue field. An  $F_1$ -good filtration,  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a descending chain  $A = F_0 \supseteq F_1 \supseteq \dots$  of ideals satisfying  $F_i F_j \subseteq F_{i+j}$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $F_n = A$  for all  $n \leq 0$ , and  $F_{n+1} = F_1 F_n$  for all  $n \gg 0$ . In addition, if  $F_1$  is an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal, then  $\mathcal{F}$  is called a *Hilbert filtration* (c.f. [1]). We write  $G(\mathcal{F}) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} F_n / F_{n+1}$  for the associated graded ring of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Studying the homological properties of  $G(\mathcal{F})$ , in particular, the Cohen-Macaulay property, has been an active topic of research since long time, see for instance [4, 8, 13].

In this paper we study the Gorenstein property of  $G(\mathcal{F})$  with particular attention to the filtration  $\{\overline{I^n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  where  $\overline{J}$  denotes the integral closure of  $J$ . The Gorenstein property of  $G(\mathcal{F})$  when  $\mathcal{F} = \{I^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  has been studied extensively (eg. [20, 10, 3, 12, 24, 22, 23]).

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Hilbert filtration. It is well known that the Hilbert function of  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $H_{\mathcal{F}}(n) := \ell(A/F_n)$  coincides with a polynomial of degree  $d$  for large  $n$  (see [15]). We denote this polynomial by  $P_{\mathcal{F}}(n)$  and write it as

$$P_{\mathcal{F}}(n) = e_0(\mathcal{F}) \binom{n+d-1}{d} - e_1(\mathcal{F}) \binom{n+d-2}{d-1} + \dots + (-1)^d e_d(\mathcal{F}).$$

Here  $e_i(\mathcal{F})$  are integers called the *Hilbert coefficients* of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Recall that  $Q$  is said to be a reduction of  $\mathcal{F}$  if  $Q \subseteq F_1$  and  $F_{n+1} = QF_n$  for  $n \gg 0$ . A reduction  $Q$  of  $I$  is said to be a minimal reduction of  $\mathcal{F}$ , if  $Q$  is a

---

*Date:* April 23, 2024.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 13D40; Secondary: 13H10.

*Key words and phrases.* Gorenstein rings, Normal tangent cone, Cohen-Macaulay ring, normal reduction number, normal Hilbert coefficients, Zariski hypersurface.

MB is supported by Prime Minister's Research Fellowship (PMRF), Govt. of India. SD is supported by CRG grant CRG/2022/007572, funded by SERB, Govt. of India. SKM is supported by INSPIRE faculty award funded by Department of Science and Technology, Govt. of India. She is also supported by CRG grant CRG/2022/007572 and MATRICS grant MTR/2022/000816 funded by SERB, Govt. of India. TO is partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) Grant Number 21K03215. KW is partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) Grant Number 23K03040. KY is partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) Grant Number 19K03430.

reduction of  $\mathcal{F}$  and is minimal with respect to the inclusion. The reduction number of  $\mathcal{F}$  with respect to a minimal reduction  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ ,

$$r_Q(\mathcal{F}) := \min\{r \mid F_{n+1} = QF_n \text{ for all } n \geq r\},$$

and the *reduction number* of  $\mathcal{F}$  is

$$r(\mathcal{F}) := \min\{r_Q(\mathcal{F}) \mid Q \text{ is a minimal reduction of } \mathcal{F}\}.$$

It is easy to observe that if  $I$  is an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal in  $A$ , then  $\mathcal{F} = \{I^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a Hilbert filtration. In this case we write  $G(I)$  for  $G(\mathcal{F})$ ,  $e_i(I)$  for  $e_i(\mathcal{F})$ ,  $r_Q(I)$  for  $r_Q(\mathcal{F})$  and  $r(I)$  for  $r(\mathcal{F})$ .

There is another interesting example of a filtration that appears in the study of resolution of singularities, namely  $\mathcal{F} = \{\overline{I}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ .

In [21] Rees showed that if  $A$  is an analytically unramified local ring and  $I$  is an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal in  $A$ , then  $\mathcal{F} = \{\overline{I}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a Hilbert filtration. In this case we write  $\overline{G}(I)$  for  $G(\mathcal{F})$ . We denote  $\overline{e}_i(I)$  for  $e_i(\mathcal{F})$ ,  $\overline{r}_Q(I)$  for  $r_Q(\mathcal{F})$ , and  $\overline{r}(I)$  for  $r(\mathcal{F})$  and call them as the *normal Hilbert coefficients*, *normal reduction number with respect to a minimal reduction  $Q$  of  $\{\overline{I}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$*  and *normal reduction number*, respectively.

It has been observed that  $G(I)$  and  $\overline{G}(I)$  are rarely Cohen-Macaulay even if  $A$  is Cohen-Macaulay. A large attention in the literature is devoted to obtaining criteria for  $G(\mathcal{F})$  to be Cohen-Macaulay in terms of Hilbert coefficients and/or reduction number with particular attention to the filtration  $\{I^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\{\overline{I}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . For instance, it is well-known that if  $r(\mathcal{F}) \leq 1$  in a Cohen-Macaulay local ring, then  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Cohen-Macaulay (c.f. [15]). Also, it is known that if  $e_1(\mathcal{F}) = 0$  in a Cohen-Macaulay local ring, then  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Cohen-Macaulay (c.f. [15]). For these reasons, a huge research is devoted to obtaining a sharp bound on the Hilbert coefficients and reduction number, and studying the behavior of  $G(\mathcal{F})$  when these bounds are extremal. This was also a theme studied by several mathematicians, for example, Huneke, Goto, Lipman, Sally among others.

In this paper, we are interested in obtaining the Gorenstein property of  $G(\mathcal{F})$  in terms of the Hilbert coefficients. As mentioned before this has also a rich history. Now, we focus on the content of this paper.

In [5] Heinzer, Kim, and Ulrich established a criterion for Gorensteinness of  $G(I)$  when  $A$  is an Artinian Gorenstein local ring. This has been extended for Hilbert filtrations in [6].

They proved that  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Gorenstein if and only if the  $h$ -vector of  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is symmetric. In this paper we extend this result for any  $d$ -dimensional Gorenstein local ring (Theorem 3.1). We use this result to characterize the Gorensteinness of  $G(\mathcal{F})$  in terms of the Hilbert coefficients.

A first result in this direction is obtained when  $r(\mathcal{F}) \leq 2$  (Theorems 3.4 and 4.2). We make an additional assumption when  $r(\mathcal{F}) = 2$ , namely: there exists a minimal reduction  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $r_Q(\mathcal{F}) = 2$  and  $F_2 \cap Q = QF_1$ . This condition ensures that  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Cohen-Macaulay (Lemma 3.2), and helps to compute the Hilbert series of  $G(\mathcal{F})$ . Notice that this condition is not restrictive. For instance, the filtration  $\mathcal{F} = \{\overline{I}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathfrak{m}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  satisfies this criterion. As a consequence of our result, we obtain a criterion for Gorensteinness of  $\overline{G}(I)$  (Corollary 4.3). This recovers and extends a recent result by Okuma, Watanabe, and Yoshida in [19].

We next investigate the case  $r(\mathcal{F}) > 2$ . A difficulty in this case is the computation of the Hilbert series of  $G(\mathcal{F})$ . In order to compute this we assume that the *relative reduction number is maximal*. We define this term below.

In section 2, we introduce the *relative reduction number*  $\text{nr}(\mathcal{F})$  for Hilbert filtration  $\mathcal{F}$ . This is inspired by the definition of relative reduction number for normal filtrations defined in [18] in dimension two. The

*relative reduction number* of  $\mathcal{F}$  with respect to a minimal reduction  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined as

$$\mathrm{nr}_Q(\mathcal{F}) := \min\{r \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \mid F_{r+1} = QF_r\}.$$

The *relative reduction number* of  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined as

$$\mathrm{nr}(\mathcal{F}) := \min\{\mathrm{nr}_Q(\mathcal{F}) \mid Q \text{ is a minimal reduction of } \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Observe that  $\mathrm{nr}(\mathcal{F}) \leq r(\mathcal{F})$  and the inequality can be strict in general (see [16, Example 2.8]). If  $\mathcal{F} = \{I^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , then  $\mathrm{nr}_Q(\mathcal{F}) = r_Q(\mathcal{F})$  for any minimal reduction  $Q$  of  $I$  and hence  $\mathrm{nr}(\mathcal{F}) = r(\mathcal{F})$ . For  $\mathcal{F} = \{\overline{I}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , we denote  $\mathrm{nr}_Q(\mathcal{F})$  by  $\mathrm{nr}_Q(I)$  and  $\mathrm{nr}(\mathcal{F})$  by  $\mathrm{nr}(I)$  and the latter is called the *relative normal reduction number*. Note that  $\mathrm{nr}(I)$  does not refer to the relative reduction number of the filtration  $\mathcal{F} = \{I^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ .

In Theorem 2.3 we derive an upper bound for  $\mathrm{nr}(\mathcal{F})$  in a  $d$ -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring when  $\mathrm{depth} G(\mathcal{F}) \geq d - 1$ . We prove that

$$(1.1) \quad \mathrm{nr}(\mathcal{F}) \leq e_1(\mathcal{F}) - e_0(\mathcal{F}) + \ell(A/F_1) + 1.$$

In [16] the inequality (1.1) was proved for  $\mathcal{F} = \{\overline{I}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  in a two-dimensional normal excellent local domain. We also examine the conditions under which  $\mathrm{nr}(\mathcal{F})$  achieves the upper bound, which serves as a crucial technical assumption for the computation of the Hilbert series of  $G(\mathcal{F})$ . We say  $\mathcal{F}$  has *maximal relative reduction number* if  $\mathrm{nr}(\mathcal{F}) = e_1(\mathcal{F}) - e_0(\mathcal{F}) + \ell(A/F_1) + 1$ .

We are able to compute the Hilbert series of  $G(\mathcal{F})$  when  $r(\mathcal{F}) > 2$  and the relative reduction number is maximal in dimensions one and two. Since these computations are slightly different, we separate these cases. We consider the dimension one case in Section 3 and the dimension two case in Section 5. As a consequence we obtain criteria for  $G(\mathcal{F})$  to be Gorenstein when  $r(\mathcal{F}) > 2$  and  $\mathrm{nr}(\mathcal{F})$  is maximal in dimensions one and two in Theorems 3.4 and 5.4, respectively. These criteria stated above enable us to understand the Gorenstein property of  $\overline{G}(I)$  even without having the information on the explicit expression of  $\overline{I}^n$ .

We include some interesting examples of semigroup rings in dimension one in Section 3 and use our criteria to detect Gorensteinness of  $\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m})$ .

In Section 6 and Section 7 we study Gorensteinness of  $\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m})$  in hypersurface rings  $A = K[[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m]]/(f)$ . The standard graded ring defined by

$$G(\mathfrak{m}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{m}^n / \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$$

is called the *tangent cone* of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . It is well-known that for a local ring  $A$ ,  $G(\mathfrak{m})$  is a hypersurface (and thus Gorenstein) with the multiplicity of  $G(\mathfrak{m})$  is equal to  $\mathrm{ord}(f) = r_Q(\mathfrak{m}) + 1$ .

A criterion for Gorensteinness of  $\overline{G}(I)$  in terms of  $\overline{r}_Q(I)$  and the other geometric properties is given in [19, 17]. In [16, Example 2.8] an explicit example of an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary integrally closed ideal  $I \subset A$  is given such that  $\overline{r}_Q(I) > \mathrm{nr}_Q(I)$ . Such an ideal  $I$  gives an example for which  $\overline{G}(I)$  is *not* Cohen-Macaulay. However, the ring  $A$  is *not* Gorenstein in this example. So it is natural to ask the following:

**Question.** Let  $A$  be a reduced hypersurface. Then

- (1) Is  $\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m})$  a hypersurface?
- (2) Is  $\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m})$  Gorenstein?
- (3) Is  $\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m})$  Cohen-Macaulay?

This question (2) has a negative answer. Surprisingly, there exist many examples of Brieskorn hypersurfaces for which  $\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m})$  is NOT Gorenstein (see [19]). However, we have no negative answers to Question (3). So the main aim of Sections 6 and 7 is to prove the Cohen-Macaulayness of  $\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m})$  for certain hypersurfaces, and to give a criterion for Gorensteinness for such  $\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m})$ . Furthermore, we compute  $\bar{r}_Q(\mathfrak{m})$  in this case.

Let  $K$  be an algebraically closed field, and let  $m \geq 1, 2 \leq a \leq b$  be integers, and put  $S = K[[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m]]$  be a formal power series ring with  $(m+1)$ -variables over  $K$ . Put  $x = x_0$  and  $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_m$ . Let  $g, f$  be polynomials such that

$$\begin{aligned} g &= g(\underline{x}) \in (\underline{x})^b \setminus (\underline{x})^{b+1}, \\ f &= f(x, \underline{x}) = x^a - g(\underline{x}). \end{aligned}$$

Then a hypersurface  $A = S/(f)$  is called “Zariski-type hypersurface” if  $a \neq 0$  in  $K$ .

The main result of these sections is the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $m \geq 1, 2 \leq a \leq b$  be integers. Let  $A = S/(x^a - g(\underline{x}))$  be a normal Zariski-type hypersurface as above, and  $\mathfrak{m}$  be its unique maximal ideal. Then*

- (a)  $\bar{r}_Q(\mathfrak{m}) = \lfloor \frac{(a-1)b}{a} \rfloor$ .
- (b)  $\overline{G} := \overline{G}(\mathfrak{m})$  is Cohen-Macaulay with  $\dim \overline{G} = m$  and  $e_0(\overline{G}) = a$ .
- (c)  $\overline{G}$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $b \equiv 0$  or  $d \pmod{a}$ , where  $d = \gcd(a, b)$ .
- (d)  $\overline{G}$  has maximal embedding dimension if and only if either (i)  $a = 2$  or (ii)  $b \equiv a - 1 \pmod{a}$ .

We refer [2] for undefined terms.

## 2. A BOUND ON RELATIVE REDUCTION NUMBER

In this section, we obtain an upper bound for the relative reduction number  $\text{nr}(\mathcal{F})$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  when the depth of  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is almost maximal. In general for an arbitrary filtration  $\mathcal{F}$ , we have  $\text{nr}_Q(\mathcal{F}) \leq r_Q(\mathcal{F})$ , and hence  $\text{nr}(\mathcal{F}) \leq r(\mathcal{F})$ . In [16, Example 2.8] the authors gave an example for which the inequality is strict for the filtration  $\mathcal{F} = \{\overline{I}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . In the following proposition we show that if  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Cohen-Macaulay, then  $\text{nr}(\mathcal{F}) = r(\mathcal{F})$  for any Hilbert filtration  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension  $d$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a Hilbert filtration. If  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Cohen-Macaulay, then  $\text{nr}_Q(\mathcal{F}) = r_Q(\mathcal{F})$  for any minimal reduction  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ . In particular,  $\text{nr}(\mathcal{F}) = r(\mathcal{F})$ .*

*Proof.* We always have  $\text{nr}_Q(\mathcal{F}) \leq r_Q(\mathcal{F})$ . Let  $Q$  be a minimal reduction of  $F_1$  such that  $\mu(Q) = d$ . Since  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Cohen-Macaulay, by [7, Proposition 3.5]  $Q \cap F_n = QF_{n-1}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $m = \text{nr}_Q(\mathcal{F})$ . Then  $F_{m+1} = QF_m$ . Hence  $F_{m+2} \subseteq F_{m+1} \subseteq Q$ . Therefore

$$F_{m+2} = F_{m+2} \cap Q = QF_{m+1}.$$

Continuing this successively we get  $F_{k+1} = QF_k$  for all  $k \geq m$ . This implies that  $r_Q(\mathcal{F}) \leq m = \text{nr}_Q(\mathcal{F})$ . Thus  $r_Q(\mathcal{F}) = \text{nr}_Q(\mathcal{F})$ .  $\square$

In general, the reduction number  $r_Q(\mathcal{F})$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  and the relative reduction number  $\text{nr}_Q(\mathcal{F})$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  are dependent on the minimal reduction  $Q$ . It is well-known that if  $\text{depth } G(\mathcal{F}) \geq d - 1$ , then  $r_Q(\mathcal{F})$  is independent of the minimal reduction  $Q$ . In the following proposition we show that  $\text{nr}_Q(\mathcal{F})$  is also independent of  $Q$  if

$\text{depth } G(\mathcal{F}) \geq d - 1$ . Recall that if  $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is a numerical function, then  $\Delta(f) : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is a numerical function defined by

$$\Delta(f)(n) := f(n) - f(n - 1).$$

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a  $d$ -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring, and  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  a Hilbert filtration. If  $\text{depth } G(\mathcal{F}) \geq d - 1$ , then  $\text{nr}_Q(\mathcal{F})$  is independent of any minimal reduction  $Q$ .*

*Proof.* Using [15, Theorem 3.6] for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$\Delta^d(P_{\mathcal{F}}(n) - H_{\mathcal{F}}(n)) = \ell(F_n/QF_{n-1}).$$

Since the expression on the left-hand side is independent of  $Q$ , we get  $\ell(F_n/QF_{n-1})$  is independent of  $Q$ . Hence it is easy to verify that  $\text{nr}_Q(\mathcal{F})$  is independent of  $Q$ .  $\square$

If  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension two and  $\mathcal{F} = \{\overline{I}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , then  $\text{depth } G(\mathcal{F}) \geq 1$  by [15, Proposition 3.25]. Hence by Proposition 2.2  $\text{nr}_Q(I)$  is independent of  $Q$  and thus  $\text{nr}(I) = \text{nr}_Q(I)$ . This is a reason that the authors use the notation  $\text{nr}(I)$  (without suffix) for the relative normal reduction number in [16].

In the next theorem we extend a result by Okuma, Rossi, Watanabe, and Yoshida. In [16, Theorem 2.7] they showed that if  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  is an excellent two-dimensional normal local domain containing an algebraically closed field  $A/\mathfrak{m}$ , then for an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal  $I$

$$\text{nr}(I) \leq \overline{e}_1(I) - \overline{e}_0(I) + \ell(A/\overline{I}) + 1.$$

They also provided a criterion for the equality to hold. In the following theorem we prove an analogue bound for any Hilbert filtration in a  $d$ -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring, provided  $G(\mathcal{F})$  has almost maximal depth.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a  $d$ -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring, and  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  a Hilbert filtration. If  $\text{depth } G(\mathcal{F}) \geq d - 1$ , then*

$$\text{nr}(\mathcal{F}) \leq e_1(\mathcal{F}) - e_0(\mathcal{F}) + \ell(A/F_1) + 1.$$

*Moreover, the equality holds if and only if  $\ell(F_n/QF_{n-1}) = 1$  for all  $2 \leq n \leq \text{nr}(\mathcal{F})$  for any minimal reduction  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\text{nr}(\mathcal{F}) = r(\mathcal{F})$ . Also,  $e_i(\mathcal{F}) = \binom{\text{nr}(\mathcal{F})}{i}$  for  $2 \leq i \leq d$ .*

*Proof.* Using Proposition [7, Proposition 4.6], for any minimal reduction  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  we have,

$$\begin{aligned} e_1(\mathcal{F}) &= \sum_{n \geq 1}^{r(\mathcal{F})} \ell(F_n/QF_{n-1}) \\ &= \ell(F_1/Q) + \sum_{n \geq 2}^{r(\mathcal{F})} \ell(F_n/QF_{n-1}) \\ (2.1) \quad &= e_0(\mathcal{F}) - \ell(A/F_1) + \sum_{n \geq 2}^{r(\mathcal{F})} \ell(F_n/QF_{n-1}) \quad (\text{as } e_0(\mathcal{F}) = \ell(A/Q)) \end{aligned}$$

We observe that  $\ell(F_n/QF_{n-1}) \geq 1$  for  $2 \leq n \leq \text{nr}(\mathcal{F})$ . Therefore by (2.1)

$$(2.2) \quad \text{nr}(\mathcal{F}) - 1 \leq \sum_{n \geq 2}^{r(\mathcal{F})} \ell(F_n/QF_{n-1}) = e_1(\mathcal{F}) - e_0(\mathcal{F}) + \ell(A/F_1),$$

and hence  $\text{nr}(\mathcal{F}) \leq e_1(\mathcal{F}) - e_0(\mathcal{F}) + \ell(A/F_1) + 1$ .

Suppose  $\text{nr}(\mathcal{F}) = e_1(\mathcal{F}) - e_0(\mathcal{F}) + \ell(A/F_1) + 1$ . Then by (2.2)  $\ell(F_n/QF_{n-1}) = 0$  for  $n \geq \text{nr}_Q(\mathcal{F}) + 1$  and  $\ell(F_n/QF_{n-1}) = 1$  for  $2 \leq n \leq \text{nr}_Q(\mathcal{F})$ . Therefore  $\text{nr}_Q(\mathcal{F}) = r_Q(\mathcal{F})$ , which yields  $\text{nr}(\mathcal{F}) = r(\mathcal{F})$ . Again using [7, Proposition 4.6] we have, for  $i \geq 2$ ,

$$e_i(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_{n \geq i}^{\text{nr}(\mathcal{F})} \binom{n-1}{i-1} = \binom{\text{nr}(\mathcal{F})}{i}.$$

□

Using Theorem 2.3 for the filtration  $\mathcal{F} = \{\bar{I}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  in dimension two, we recover and extend the result [16, Theorem 2.7] by Okuma, Rossi, Watanabe and Yoshida.

**Corollary 2.4** ((cf. [16, Theorem 2.7])). *Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a two-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay analytically unramified local ring, and  $I$  an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal. Let  $Q$  a minimal reduction of  $I$ . Then*

$$\text{nr}(I) \leq \bar{e}_1(I) - e_0(I) + \ell(A/\bar{I}) + 1.$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if  $\ell(\bar{I}^n/Q\bar{I}^{n-1}) = 1$  for all  $2 \leq n \leq \text{nr}(I)$  and  $\text{nr}(I) = \bar{r}(I)$ . Also,  $\bar{e}_2(I) = \binom{\text{nr}(I)}{2}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\text{depth } \bar{G}(I) \geq 1$  by [15, Proposition 3.25], the result follows from Theorem 2.3. □

### 3. GORENSTEINNESS OF $G(\mathcal{F})$ IN DIMENSION ONE

In this section, we discuss the Gorenstein property of  $G(\mathcal{F})$  of a Hilbert filtration  $\mathcal{F}$  in a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring.

In [6] Heinzer, Kim and Ulrich gave criteria for  $G(\mathcal{F})$  to be Gorenstein for an Artinian Gorenstein local ring. In the following theorem, we extend this result for any  $d$ -dimensional Gorenstein local ring. This plays a very important role in determining the Gorenstein property of the associated graded ring in terms of the Hilbert coefficients of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a  $d$ -dimensional Gorenstein local ring,  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  a Hilbert filtration. Let*

$$HS_{G(\mathcal{F})}(z) = \frac{h_0 + h_1 z + \cdots + h_s z^s}{(1-z)^d},$$

where  $h_s \neq 0$  be the Hilbert series of  $G(\mathcal{F})$ . Suppose  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Cohen-Macaulay. Then  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $h_{s-i} = h_i$  for all  $i = 0, 1, \dots, s$ .

*Proof.* Let  $Q$  be a minimal reduction of  $\mathcal{F}$ . By [9, Theorem 8.6.3] there exists a generating set  $a_1, \dots, a_d$  of  $Q$  such that  $a_1, \dots, a_d$  is a superficial sequence. Since  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Cohen-Macaulay,  $a_1^*, \dots, a_d^*$  is a  $G(\mathcal{F})$ -regular sequence by [7, Lemma 2.1], where  $a_i^* = a_i + F_2$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$ . Therefore  $G(\mathcal{F})/(a_1^*, \dots, a_d^*) \cong G(\mathcal{F}/Q)$ . Since  $a_1^*, \dots, a_d^*$  is a regular sequence in  $G(\mathcal{F})$ ,

$$HS_{G(\mathcal{F})/(a_1^*, \dots, a_d^*)}(t) = h_0 + h_1 t + \cdots + h_s t^s.$$

Hence

$$HS_{G(\mathcal{F}/Q)}(t) = h_0 + h_1 t + \cdots + h_s t^s.$$

Therefore by [6, Theorem 4.2]  $G(\mathcal{F}/Q)$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $h_{s-i} = h_i$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, s$ . Now the result follows because  $a_1^*, \dots, a_d^*$  is a regular sequence in  $G(\mathcal{F})$  and  $G(\mathcal{F})/(a_1^*, \dots, a_d^*) \cong G(\mathcal{F}/Q)$ . □

Our goal is to use Theorem 3.1 to obtain criteria for  $G(\mathcal{F})$  to be Gorenstein in terms of the Hilbert coefficients. For this, first of all we should know whether  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Cohen-Macaulay. There is a huge research in the literature on obtaining criteria for  $G(\mathcal{F})$  to be Cohen-Macaulay in terms of numerical invariants such as Hilbert coefficients and reduction number. Below we present a well-known criteria for  $G(\mathcal{F})$  to be Cohen-Macaulay in terms of reduction number. We remark that the condition in (b) is not restrictive. For instance, the filtration  $\mathcal{F} = \{\bar{I}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathfrak{m}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  satisfies this criterion.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a  $d$ -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring and  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  a Hilbert filtration. Then  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Cohen-Macaulay in each of the following cases:*

- (a)  $r(\mathcal{F}) = 1$ ;
- (b) *there exists a minimal reduction  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $r_Q(\mathcal{F}) = 2$  and  $F_2 \cap Q = QF_1$ .*

*Proof.* The proof follows by [7, Proposition 3.5]. □

Now in order to provide conditions for  $G(\mathcal{F})$  to be Gorenstein, we need to compute the Hilbert series of  $G(\mathcal{F})$ . This computation is slightly different for the one-dimensional case. In this section, we compute the Hilbert series of  $G(\mathcal{F})$  in dimension one, and using this, we obtain criteria for  $G(\mathcal{F})$  to be Gorenstein in terms of Hilbert coefficients of  $\mathcal{F}$ . We consider  $d \geq 2$  in the next section.

The computation of the Hilbert series of  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is not an easy task in general. In the following proposition we compute the Hilbert series of  $G(\mathcal{F})$  in the case  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies the conditions (a), (b) of Lemma 3.2, and under certain additional assumptions when  $r(\mathcal{F}) \geq 3$ . For this, we recall the following definition: For a Hilbert filtration  $\mathcal{F}$ , the *postulation number* of  $\mathcal{F}$  is given by

$$n(\mathcal{F}) := \sup\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid H_{\mathcal{F}}(n) \neq P_{\mathcal{F}}(n)\}.$$

If  $\mathcal{F} = \{\bar{I}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , then  $n(\mathcal{F})$  is denoted by  $\bar{n}(I)$ . We also recall the result [15, corollary 3.8] that will be used frequently in the remainder of the paper. Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a  $d$ -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with infinite residue field and  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  a Hilbert filtration of ideals. Suppose  $\text{depth } G(\mathcal{F}) \geq d - 1$ . Then

$$(3.1) \quad r(\mathcal{F}) = n(\mathcal{F}) + d.$$

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring and  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  a Hilbert filtration.*

- (a) *If  $r(\mathcal{F}) = 1$ , then  $HS_{G(\mathcal{F})}(t) = \frac{(e_0(\mathcal{F}) - e_1(\mathcal{F})) + e_1(\mathcal{F})t}{(1-t)}$ .*
- (b) *Suppose there exists a minimal reduction  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $r_Q(\mathcal{F}) = 2$  and  $F_2 \cap Q = QF_1$ . Then*

$$HS_{G(\mathcal{F})}(t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)} \left( \ell(A/F_1) + ((\ell(F_1/F_2) - \ell(A/F_1)))t + (e_1(\mathcal{F}) - e_0(\mathcal{F}) + \ell(A/F_1))t^2 \right).$$

- (c) *Suppose  $r(\mathcal{F}) \geq 3$  and  $\text{nr}(\mathcal{F})$  is maximal. Then*

$$HS_{G(\mathcal{F})}(t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)} \left( \ell(A/F_1) - t(e_0(\mathcal{F}) - \ell(A/F_1) - 1) + t^{r(\mathcal{F})} \right).$$

*Proof.* (a) By Lemma 3.2  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Cohen-Macaulay. Hence using Equation (3.1) we get  $n(\mathcal{F}) = 1 - 1 = 0$ . Hence for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $P_{\mathcal{F}}(n) = H_{\mathcal{F}}(n)$ . Taking  $n = 1$ , we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad \ell(A/F_1) = e_0(\mathcal{F}) - e_1(\mathcal{F}).$$

Also,

$$(3.3) \quad \ell(F_n/F_{n+1}) = \ell(A/F_{n+1}) - \ell(A/F_n) = e_0(\mathcal{F}) \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
HS_{G(\mathcal{F})}(t) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \ell(F_n/F_{n+1})t^n \\
&= \ell(A/F_1) + \sum_{n \geq 1} \ell(F_n/F_{n+1})t^n \\
&= \ell(A/F_1) - e_0(\mathcal{F}) + \sum_{n \geq 0} e_0(\mathcal{F})t^n \quad (\text{by Equation (3.3)}) \\
&= -e_1(\mathcal{F}) + \frac{e_0(\mathcal{F})}{(1-t)} \quad (\text{by Equation (3.2)}) \\
&= \frac{(e_0(\mathcal{F}) - e_1(\mathcal{F})) + e_1(\mathcal{F})t}{(1-t)}.
\end{aligned}$$

(b) By Lemma 3.2  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Cohen-Macaulay. Hence using Equation (3.1)  $n(\mathcal{F}) = 2 - 1 = 1$ . Hence for all  $n \geq 2$ ,  $P_{\mathcal{F}}(n) = H_{\mathcal{F}}(n)$ . Taking  $n = 2$ , we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad \ell(A/F_2) = 2e_0(\mathcal{F}) - e_1(\mathcal{F}).$$

The Hilbert series of  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
HS_{G(\mathcal{F})}(t) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \ell(F_n/F_{n+1})t^n \\
&= \ell(A/F_1) + \ell(F_1/F_2)t + \sum_{n \geq 2} \ell(F_n/F_{n+1})t^n \\
&= \ell(A/F_1) - e_0(\mathcal{F}) + (\ell(F_1/F_2) - e_0(\mathcal{F}))t + \sum_{n \geq 0} e_0(\mathcal{F})t^n \\
&= \frac{1}{(1-t)} ((\ell(A/F_1) - e_0(\mathcal{F}))(1-t) + (\ell(F_1/F_2) - e_0(\mathcal{F}))t(1-t) + e_0(\mathcal{F})) \\
&= \frac{1}{(1-t)} (\ell(A/F_1) + (\ell(F_1/F_2) - \ell(A/F_1))t + (e_0(\mathcal{F}) - \ell(F_1/F_2))t^2) \\
&= \frac{1}{(1-t)} (\ell(A/F_1) + (\ell(F_1/F_2) - \ell(A/F_1))t + (e_0(\mathcal{F}) - \ell(A/F_2) + \ell(A/F_1))t^2) \\
&= \frac{1}{(1-t)} (\ell(A/F_1) + (\ell(F_1/F_2) - \ell(A/F_1))t + (e_1(\mathcal{F}) - e_0(\mathcal{F}) + \ell(A/F_1))t^2),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Equation (3.4).

(c) Let  $Q = (x)$  a minimal reduction of  $\mathcal{F}$ . By Theorem 2.3 we have  $r(\mathcal{F}) = \text{nr}(\mathcal{F})$  and  $\ell(F_n/QF_{n-1}) = 1$  for all  $2 \leq n \leq r(\mathcal{F})$ . Let  $r = r(\mathcal{F})$ . Observe that for  $1 \leq n \leq r-1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\ell(F_n/F_{n+1}) &= \ell(A/F_{n+1}) - \ell(A/F_n) \\
&= \ell(A/xF_n) - \ell(F_{n+1}/xF_n) - \ell(A/F_n) \\
&= \ell(A/(x)) + \ell((x)/xF_n) - \ell(F_{n+1}/xF_n) - \ell(A/F_n) \\
&= \ell(A/(x)) + \ell(A/F_n) - \ell(F_{n+1}/xF_n) - \ell(A/F_n) \quad (as(x)/xF_n \cong A/F_n) \\
(3.5) \quad &= e_0(\mathcal{F}) - 1 \quad (\text{since } e_0(\mathcal{F}) = \ell(A/(x))).
\end{aligned}$$



Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
HS_{G(\mathcal{F})}(t) &= \ell(A/F_1) + \sum_{n=1}^{r-1} \ell(F_n/F_{n+1})t^n + \sum_{n \geq r} e_0(\mathcal{F})t^n \\
&= \ell(A/F_1) - e_0(\mathcal{F}) + \sum_{n=1}^{r-1} (\ell(F_n/F_{n+1}) - e_0(\mathcal{F}))t^n + \frac{e_0(\mathcal{F})}{(1-t)} \\
&= \frac{1}{(1-t)} \left( \ell(A/F_1) - t(\ell(A/F_1) - e_0(\mathcal{F})) - (1-t) \sum_{n=1}^{r-1} t^n \right) \quad (\text{by equation (3.5)}) \\
&= \frac{1}{(1-t)} \left( \ell(A/F_1) + t(e_0(\mathcal{F}) - \ell(A/F_1)) - t(1-t^{r-1}) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(1-t)} (\ell(A/F_1) + t(e_0(\mathcal{F}) - \ell(A/F_1) - 1) + t^r).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Using Proposition 3.3 we provide criteria for  $G(\mathcal{F})$  to be Gorenstein in terms of the Hilbert coefficients.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a one-dimensional Gorenstein local ring and  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  a Hilbert filtration.*

- (a) *Let  $r(\mathcal{F}) = 1$ . Then  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $e_0(\mathcal{F}) = 2e_1(\mathcal{F})$ .*
- (b) *Suppose there exists a minimal reduction  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $r_Q(\mathcal{F}) = 2$  and  $F_2 \cap Q = QF_1$ . Then  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $e_0(\mathcal{F}) = e_1(\mathcal{F})$ .*
- (c) *Suppose  $r(\mathcal{F}) \geq 3$ ,  $\text{nr}(\mathcal{F})$  is maximal, and  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Cohen-Macaulay. Then  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $F_1 = \mathfrak{m}$  and  $e_0(\mathcal{F}) = 2$ .*

*Proof.* (a) By Lemma 3.2  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Cohen-Macaulay. By Proposition 3.3, we have

$$HS_{G(\mathcal{F})}(t) = \frac{e_0(\mathcal{F}) - e_1(\mathcal{F}) + e_1(\mathcal{F})t}{(1-t)}.$$

Note that  $e_1(\mathcal{F}) \neq 0$ . Using Theorem 3.1, we obtain  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $e_0(\mathcal{F}) = 2e_1(\mathcal{F})$ .

(b) By Lemma 3.2  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Cohen-Macaulay. Using Proposition 3.3, we have

$$HS_{G(\mathcal{F})}(t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)} \left( \ell(A/F_1) + (\ell(F_1/F_2) - \ell(A/F_1))t + (e_1(\mathcal{F}) - e_0(\mathcal{F}) + \ell(A/F_1))t^2 \right).$$

By Theorem 3.1, we obtain  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $e_0(\mathcal{F}) = e_1(\mathcal{F})$ .

(c) By Proposition 3.3(c),

$$HS_{G(\mathcal{F})}(t) = \frac{\ell(A/F_1) + (e_0(\mathcal{F}) - \ell(A/F_1) - 1)t + t^{r(\mathcal{F})}}{1-t}.$$

Using Theorem 3.1 we get  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $F_1 = \mathfrak{m}$  and  $e_0(\mathcal{F}) = 2$ .

□

Following is an easy consequence of Theorem 3.4.

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a one-dimensional analytically unramified Gorenstein local ring,  $I$  an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal in  $A$ .*

- (a) *Let  $\bar{r}(I) = 1$ . Then  $\bar{G}(I)$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $e_0(I) = 2\bar{e}_1(I)$ .*
- (b) *Let  $\bar{r}(I) = 2$ . Then  $\bar{G}(I)$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $e_0(I) = \bar{e}_1(I)$ .*
- (c) *Suppose  $\bar{r}(I) = r \geq 3$  and  $\text{nr}(I)$  is maximal. Then  $\bar{G}(I)$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $\bar{I} = \mathfrak{m}$  and  $e_0(I) = 2$ .*

Note that if  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  is a positive dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring and  $I$  is an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal, then  $\text{depth } \overline{G}(I) \geq 1$  by [15, Proposition 3.25]. In particular, if  $\dim A = 1$ , then  $\overline{G}(I)$  is Cohen-Macaulay.

Next, we give examples of one-dimensional semigroup rings and apply our results.

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_n$  be positive integers with  $\gcd(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n) = 1$ , and  $S$  be the semigroup generated by  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n$ . Then the Fröbenius number  $F(S)$  of  $S$  is defined as the smallest integer  $k$  such that  $n \in S$  for all  $n > k$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** Let  $A = K[[t^{m_1}, t^{m_2}, \dots, t^{m_n}]]$  be a semigroup ring of the semigroup generated by  $m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_n$  with  $\gcd(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n) = 1$ . Then  $\tilde{r}(\mathfrak{m}) = \left\lceil \frac{F(S)}{m_1} \right\rceil$ .

*Proof.* We note that  $Q = (t^{m_1})$  is a minimal reduction of  $\mathfrak{m} = (t^{m_1}, t^{m_2}, \dots, t^{m_n})$ , the maximal ideal of  $A$ . By [6, Example 7.3] we have  $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^i = \{\alpha \in A \mid \text{ord}(\alpha) \geq m_1 i\}$  where  $\text{ord}(\alpha)$  is the degree of the initial form of  $\alpha$ . Indeed, the natural inclusion map  $A \rightarrow K[[t]]$  is an integral extension. We have  $\overline{I} = \overline{IK[[t]]} \cap A$  for any ideal  $I$  in  $A$ . Since  $K[[t]]$  is a PID,  $(t^{m_1})K[[t]]$  is integrally closed. As  $Q = (t^{m_1})$  is a minimal reduction of  $\mathfrak{m}$ ,  $\overline{Q}^i = \overline{\mathfrak{m}}^i$ . Let  $k$  be the smallest integer such that  $m_1 k > F(S)$ .

Consider  $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^k = (t^{m_1 k}, t^{m_1 k+1}, \dots)$ . Hence

$$Q\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^k = (t^{m_1(k+1)}, t^{m_1(k+1)+1}, \dots) = \overline{\mathfrak{m}}^{k+1}.$$

Therefore  $\tilde{r}(\mathfrak{m}) \leq k$ .

By the definition of the Fröbenius number  $m_1(k-1) \neq F(S)$ . Hence,  $m_1(k-1) < F(S)$ . Hence  $F(S) + m_1 > m_1 k$ . Therefore  $t^{F(S)+m_1} \in \overline{\mathfrak{m}}^k$ . Suppose  $t^{F(S)+m_1} \in Q\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^{k-1}$ . Then  $t^{F(S)+m_1} = t^{m_1} b$  where  $b \in A$ . Since  $t^{m_1}$  is a regular element in  $k[[t]]$ , we obtain  $t^{F(S)} = b \in A$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $t^{F(S)+m_1} \in \overline{\mathfrak{m}}^k \setminus Q\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^{k-1}$ . Therefore  $\tilde{r}(\mathfrak{m}) = k$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.8.** Let  $A = K[[t^3, t^4]]$  be a semigroup ring generated by  $t^3$  and  $t^4$ . The Fröbenius number  $F(S)$  of the semigroup  $S = \mathbb{N}3 + \mathbb{N}4$  is 5. Since  $S$  is symmetric,  $A$  is Gorenstein. Also,  $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^i = \{\alpha \in A \mid \text{ord}(\alpha) \geq 3i\}$ . Note that  $Q = (t^3)$  is a minimal reduction of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Hence by Lemma 3.7  $\tilde{r}(\mathfrak{m}) = 2$ . Since  $\text{depth } \overline{G}(\mathfrak{m}) \geq 1$ ,  $\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m})$  is Cohen-Macaulay. Using equation 3.1,  $\bar{n}(\mathfrak{m}) = 2 - 1 = 1$ . Hence  $\overline{P}_{\mathfrak{m}}(n) = \overline{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}(n)$  for all  $n \geq 2$ . Therefore to calculate  $e_0(\mathfrak{m})$  and  $\overline{e}_1(\mathfrak{m})$  we solve the following two equations:

$$2e_0(\mathfrak{m}) - \overline{e}_1(\mathfrak{m}) = \ell(A/\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^2)$$

$$3e_0(\mathfrak{m}) - \overline{e}_1(\mathfrak{m}) = \ell(A/\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^3).$$

Since  $\ell(A/\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^2) = 3$ ,  $\ell(A/\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^3) = 6$ , we obtain  $e_0(\mathfrak{m}) = 3$  and  $\overline{e}_1(\mathfrak{m}) = 3$ . Since  $e_0(\mathfrak{m}) = \overline{e}_1(\mathfrak{m})$ , by Theorem 3.4  $\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m})$  is Gorenstein.

**Example 3.9.** Let  $A = K[[t^2, t^m]]$  be a semigroup ring generated by  $t^2$  and  $t^m$  where  $m$  is an odd integer  $\geq 3$ . The Fröbenius number  $F(S)$  of the semigroup  $S = \mathbb{N}2 + \mathbb{N}m$  is  $m - 2$ . Since  $S$  is symmetric,  $A$  is Gorenstein. Also,  $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^i = \{\alpha \in A \mid \text{ord}(\alpha) \geq 2i\}$ . Note that  $Q = (t^2)$  is a minimal reduction of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Hence by Lemma 3.7  $\tilde{r}(\mathfrak{m}) = (m - 1)/2$ . Therefore  $\overline{P}_{\mathfrak{m}}(n) = \overline{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}(n)$  for all  $n \geq (m - 1)/2$ .

Also,

$$\ell(A/\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^{(m-1)/2}) = (m - 3)/2 + 1 = (m - 1)/2,$$

$$\ell(A/\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^{(m+1)/2}) = (m - 3)/2 + 3 = (m + 3)/2.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} e_0(\mathfrak{m})((m-1)/2) - \bar{e}_1(\mathfrak{m}) &= (m-1)/2, \\ e_0(\mathfrak{m})((m+1)/2) - \bar{e}_1(\mathfrak{m}) &= (m+3)/2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $e_0(\mathfrak{m}) = 2$  and  $\bar{e}_1(\mathfrak{m}) = (m-1)/2$ . Hence  $\bar{r}(\mathfrak{m}) = \bar{e}_1(\mathfrak{m}) - e_0(\mathfrak{m}) + \ell(A/\bar{\mathfrak{m}}) + 1$ . Therefore by Corollary 3.5  $\bar{G}(\mathfrak{m})$  is Gorenstein.

**Example 3.10.** Let  $A = K[[t^4, t^5, t^6]]$  be a semigroup ring generated by 4, 5 and 6. The Fröbenius number of the semigroup  $F(S) = 7$ . Since  $S$  is symmetric,  $A$  is Gorenstein. Let  $Q = (t^4)$ . Then  $Q$  is a minimal reduction of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . By Lemma 3.7 we get  $\bar{r}(\mathfrak{m}) = 2$ . Using similar arguments as above we obtain  $e_0(\mathfrak{m}) = 4$  and  $\bar{e}_1(\mathfrak{m}) = 4$ . Hence by Corollary 3.5  $\bar{G}(\mathfrak{m})$  is Gorenstein.

The following example is from [6, Example 7.3]. They prove that  $\bar{G}(\mathfrak{m})$  is not Gorenstein. We also prove the same by using our techniques.

**Example 3.11.** Let  $A = K[[t^4, t^6, t^7]]$  be a semigroup ring generated by 4, 6 and 7. The Fröbenius number of the semigroup  $F(S) = 9$  and the semigroup is symmetric. Hence  $A$  is a one-dimensional Gorenstein local ring. Let  $\mathfrak{m} = (t^4, t^6, t^7)$ . Then  $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^i = \{\alpha \in A \mid \text{ord}(\alpha) \geq 4i\}$ , and  $Q = (t^4)$  is a minimal reduction of  $\mathfrak{m}$  with reduction number  $\bar{r}(\mathfrak{m}) = 3$  by Lemma 3.7. Since the depth  $\bar{G}(\mathfrak{m}) \geq 1$ ,  $\bar{G}(\mathfrak{m})$  is Cohen-Macaulay. By equation 3.1  $\bar{n}(\mathfrak{m}) = 3 - 1 = 2$ . Hence  $\bar{P}_{\mathfrak{m}}(n) = \bar{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}(n)$  for all  $n \geq 3$ . Therefore to calculate  $e_0(\mathfrak{m})$  and  $\bar{e}_1(\mathfrak{m})$  we solve the following two equations:

$$\begin{aligned} 3e_0(\mathfrak{m}) - \bar{e}_1(\mathfrak{m}) &= \ell(A/\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^3) \\ 4e_0(\mathfrak{m}) - \bar{e}_1(\mathfrak{m}) &= \ell(A/\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^4). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\ell(A/\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^3) = 7$  and  $\ell(A/\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^4) = 11$ , we get  $e_0(\mathfrak{m}) = 4$  and  $\bar{e}_1(\mathfrak{m}) = 5$ . Since  $\bar{G}(\mathfrak{m})$  is Cohen-Macaulay, by Theorem 2.3  $\text{nr}(\mathfrak{m}) = \bar{r}(\mathfrak{m})$ . We have

$$\bar{e}_1(\mathfrak{m}) - e_0(\mathfrak{m}) + \ell(A/\mathfrak{m}) + 1 = 5 - 4 + 1 + 1 = 3 = \text{nr}(\mathfrak{m}).$$

Since  $e_0(\mathfrak{m}) = 4$ , by Theorem 3.5  $\bar{G}(\mathfrak{m})$  is not Gorenstein.

#### 4. GORENSTEINNESS OF $G(\mathcal{F})$ IN DIMENSION $d \geq 2$ AND $r(\mathcal{F}) \leq 2$

Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a  $d$ -dimensional Gorenstein local ring where  $d \geq 2$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  a Hilbert filtration. In this section, we determine criteria for  $G(\mathcal{F})$  to be Gorenstein in terms of the Hilbert coefficients in arbitrary dimension  $d \geq 2$  when  $r(\mathcal{F}) \leq 2$ . A reason for the assumption  $r(\mathcal{F}) \leq 2$  is that we are able to compute the Hilbert series of  $G(\mathcal{F})$  in this case, and hence use Theorem 3.1. As a consequence we recover the criterion proved in [19, Theorem 2.1].

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a  $d$ -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring where  $d \geq 2$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  a Hilbert filtration.*

- (a) *If  $r(\mathcal{F}) = 1$ , then  $HS_{G(\mathcal{F})}(t) = \frac{(e_0(\mathcal{F}) - e_1(\mathcal{F})) + e_1(\mathcal{F})t}{(1-t)}$ .*
- (b) *Suppose there exists a minimal reduction  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $r_Q(\mathcal{F}) = 2$  and  $F_2 \cap Q = QF_1$ . Then*

$$HS_{G(\mathcal{F})}(t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)^d} \left( [e_0(\mathcal{F}) - e_1(\mathcal{F}) + e_2(\mathcal{F})] + (e_1(\mathcal{F}) - 2e_2(\mathcal{F}))t + e_2(\mathcal{F})t^2 \right).$$

*Proof.* (a) By Lemma 3.2  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Cohen-Macaulay. Hence using Equation (3.1) we obtain that  $n(\mathcal{F}) = 1 - d \leq -1$ . Therefore  $P_{\mathcal{F}}(n) = H_{\mathcal{F}}(n)$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Since  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Cohen-Macaulay and  $r(\mathcal{F}) = 1$ , by [7, Proposition 4.6]  $e_i(\mathcal{F}) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 2$ . Therefore for all  $n \geq 0$

$$(4.1) \quad \ell(F_n/F_{n+1}) = \ell(A/F_{n+1}) - \ell(A/F_n) = e_0(\mathcal{F}) \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} - e_1(\mathcal{F}) \binom{n+d-2}{d-2}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} HS_{G(\mathcal{F})}(t) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \ell(F_n/F_{n+1}) t^n \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \left[ e_0(\mathcal{F}) \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} - e_1(\mathcal{F}) \binom{n+d-2}{d-2} \right] t^n \quad (\text{by Equation (4.1)}) \\ &= \frac{e_0(\mathcal{F})}{(1-t)^d} - \frac{e_1(\mathcal{F})}{(1-t)^{d-1}} \\ &= \frac{[e_0(\mathcal{F}) - e_1(\mathcal{F})] + e_1(\mathcal{F})t}{(1-t)^d}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) By Lemma 3.2  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Cohen-Macaulay.

**Case 1:  $d = 2$ .** Then  $n(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ . Therefore  $P_{\mathcal{F}}(n) = H_{\mathcal{F}}(n)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . In particular, when  $n = 1$  we have

$$(4.2) \quad \ell(A/F_1) = e_0(\mathcal{F}) - e_1(\mathcal{F}) + e_2(\mathcal{F}).$$

The Hilbert series of  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} HS_{G(\mathcal{F})}(t) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \ell(F_n/F_{n+1}) t^n \\ &= \ell(A/F_1) - e_0(\mathcal{F}) + e_1(\mathcal{F}) + \sum_{n \geq 0} (e_0(\mathcal{F})(n+1) - e_1(\mathcal{F})) t^n \\ &= e_2(\mathcal{F}) + \frac{e_0(\mathcal{F})}{(1-t)^2} - \frac{e_1(\mathcal{F})}{(1-t)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \left( e_2(\mathcal{F})(1-t)^2 + (e_0(\mathcal{F}) - e_1(\mathcal{F})) + e_1(\mathcal{F})t \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \left( (e_0(\mathcal{F}) - e_1(\mathcal{F}) + e_2(\mathcal{F})) + (e_1(\mathcal{F}) - 2e_2(\mathcal{F}))t + e_2(\mathcal{F})t^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

**Case 2:  $d \geq 3$ .** Then  $n(\mathcal{F}) \leq -1$ . Hence  $P_{\mathcal{F}}(n) = H_{\mathcal{F}}(n)$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , and

$$P_{\mathcal{F}}(n) = e_0(\mathcal{F}) \binom{n+d-1}{d} - e_1(\mathcal{F}) \binom{n+d-2}{d-1} + e_2(\mathcal{F}) \binom{n+d-3}{d-2}.$$

The Hilbert series of  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 HS_{G(\mathcal{F})}(t) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \ell(F_n/F_{n+1})t^n \\
 &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \left[ e_0(\mathcal{F}) \binom{n+d-1}{d-1} - e_1(\mathcal{F}) \binom{n+d-2}{d-2} + e_2(\mathcal{F}) \binom{n+d-3}{d-3} \right] t^n \\
 &= \frac{e_0(\mathcal{F})}{(1-t)^d} - \frac{e_1(\mathcal{F})}{(1-t)^{d-1}} + \frac{e_2(\mathcal{F})}{(1-t)^{d-2}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{(1-t)^d} \left( e_0(\mathcal{F}) - e_1(\mathcal{F})(1-t) + e_2(\mathcal{F})(1-t)^2 \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{(1-t)^d} \left( (e_0(\mathcal{F}) - e_1(\mathcal{F}) + e_2(\mathcal{F})) + (e_1(\mathcal{F}) - 2e_2(\mathcal{F}))t + e_2(\mathcal{F})t^2 \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a  $d$ -dimensional Gorenstein local ring of dimension  $d \geq 2$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  a Hilbert filtration.

- (a) Let  $r(\mathcal{F}) = 1$ . Then  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $e_0(\mathcal{F}) = 2e_1(\mathcal{F})$ .
- (b) Suppose there exists a minimal reduction  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $r_Q(\mathcal{F}) = 2$  and  $F_2 \cap Q = QF_1$ . Then  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $e_0(\mathcal{F}) = e_1(\mathcal{F})$ .

*Proof.* In both the cases, by Lemma 3.2  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Cohen-Macaulay.

(a) By Proposition 4.1,

$$HS_{G(\mathcal{F})}(t) = \frac{[e_0(\mathcal{F}) - e_1(\mathcal{F})] + e_1(\mathcal{F})t}{(1-t)^d}.$$

By Theorem 3.1,  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $e_0(\mathcal{F}) - e_1(\mathcal{F}) = e_1(\mathcal{F})$ . Hence the result follows.

(b) Using Proposition 4.1,

$$HS_{G(\mathcal{F})}(t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)^d} \left( e_0(\mathcal{F}) - e_1(\mathcal{F}) + e_2(\mathcal{F}) + (e_1(\mathcal{F}) - 2e_2(\mathcal{F}))t + e_2(\mathcal{F})t^2 \right).$$

By Theorem 3.1,  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $e_0(\mathcal{F}) - e_1(\mathcal{F}) + e_2(\mathcal{F}) = e_2(\mathcal{F})$ . Hence the result follows. □

Now we recover the result in [19, Theorem 2.1]. Moreover, we extend it for any  $d$ -dimensional analytically unramified Gorenstein local ring.

**Corollary 4.3.** Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a  $d$ -dimensional analytically unramified Gorenstein local ring of dimension  $d \geq 2$ , and  $I$  an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal in  $A$ .

- (a) If  $\bar{r}(I) = 1$ , then  $\bar{G}(I)$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $e_0(I) = 2\bar{e}_1(I)$ .
- (b) If  $\bar{r}(I) = 2$ , then  $\bar{G}(I)$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $e_0(I) = \bar{e}_1(I)$ .

*Proof.* (a) If  $\bar{r}(I) = 1$ , then the result follows by Theorem 4.2.

(b) Let  $\bar{r}(I) = 2$ . By [11, Theorem 1], we obtain  $Q \cap \bar{I}^2 = Q\bar{I}$ . By Theorem 4.2, the result follows. □

Below we provide criteria for  $G(\mathcal{F})$  to be Gorenstein under the additional assumption that  $\text{nr}(\mathcal{F})$  is maximal.

**Corollary 4.4.** Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a  $d$ -dimensional Gorenstein local ring of dimension  $d \geq 2$ , and  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  a Hilbert filtration. Suppose  $\text{nr}(\mathcal{F})$  is maximal.

- (a) If  $r(\mathcal{F}) = 1$ , then  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $e_0(\mathcal{F}) = 2\ell(A/F_1)$ .
- (b) Suppose there exists a minimal reduction  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $r_Q(\mathcal{F}) = 2$  and  $F_2 \cap Q = QF_1$ . Then  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $F_1 = \mathfrak{m}$ .

*Proof.* (a) By Lemma 3.2  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Cohen-Macaulay. Hence by Theorem 2.3,  $\text{nr}(\mathcal{F}) = r(\mathcal{F})$ . Therefore by assumption

$$(4.3) \quad e_1(\mathcal{F}) = e_0(\mathcal{F}) - \ell(A/F_1).$$

By Theorem 4.2,  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $e_0(\mathcal{F}) = 2e_1(\mathcal{F})$ . Substituting  $e_1(\mathcal{F})$  from equation 4.3, we obtain the result.

(b) By Lemma 3.2  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Cohen-Macaulay. Hence by Theorem 2.3,  $\text{nr}(\mathcal{F}) = r(\mathcal{F})$ . Therefore by assumption

$$(4.4) \quad e_1(\mathcal{F}) - e_0(\mathcal{F}) + \ell(A/F_1) = 1.$$

By Theorem 4.2,  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $e_0(\mathcal{F}) = e_1(\mathcal{F})$ . Hence by equation 4.4, we obtain the result.  $\square$

Applying the above result for the filtration  $\{\overline{I}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  we obtain the following result:

**Corollary 4.5.** *Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a  $d$ -dimensional analytically unramified Gorenstein local ring, and  $I$  an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal in  $A$ . Suppose  $\text{nr}(I)$  is maximal.*

- (a) If  $\bar{r}(I) = 1$ , then  $\bar{G}(I)$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $\bar{e}_0(I) = 2\ell(A/\bar{I})$ .
- (a) If  $\bar{r}(I) = 2$ , then  $\bar{G}(I)$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $\bar{I} = \mathfrak{m}$ .

*Proof.* Follows from Corollary 4.4.  $\square$

## 5. GORENSTEINNESS OF $G(\mathcal{F})$ IN DIMENSION TWO

In this section we present results on Gorensteinness of  $G(\mathcal{F})$  in two-dimensional Gorenstein local rings. If  $r(\mathcal{F}) \leq 2$ , the Gorensteinness of  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is characterized in Section 4. In this section we consider the case  $r(\mathcal{F}) \geq 3$ . In order to use Theorem 3.1 we need to compute the Hilbert series of  $G(\mathcal{F})$ . This is not easy when  $r(\mathcal{F}) \geq 3$  even in dimension two. In this section we compute the Hilbert series of  $G(\mathcal{F})$  in dimension two under the assumption that the relative reduction number is maximal. As a consequence we characterize the Gorensteinness of  $G(\mathcal{F})$  in terms of the Hilbert coefficients of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a two-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring, and  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  a Hilbert filtration. Let  $\text{depth } G(\mathcal{F}) \geq 1$ . Then we can find  $a, b \in F_1 \setminus \mathfrak{m}F_1$  such that  $Q = (a, b)$  a minimal reduction of  $F_1$  where  $a^*$  is regular in  $G(\mathcal{F})$  (see [15, Proposition A.2.4] and [7, Lemma 2.1]).

We need the following lemmas in order to compute the Hilbert series of  $G(\mathcal{F})$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a two-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring, and  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  a Hilbert filtration. Let  $\text{depth } G(\mathcal{F}) \geq 1$ , and  $Q = (a, b)$  a minimal reduction of  $F_1$  where  $a^*$  is regular in  $G(\mathcal{F})$ . Then for all  $n \geq 1$ ,*

$$\ell(Q/QF_n) = 2\ell(A/F_n) - \ell(A/F_{n-1}).$$

*Proof.* Consider the  $A$ -module homomorphism

$$f : A/F_n \oplus A/F_n \rightarrow Q/QF_n$$

defined by  $f(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \overline{ax + by}$  for  $x, y \in A$  where  $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$  denote the image of  $x, y \in A/F_n$  and  $\overline{ax + by}$  is the image of  $ax + by \in Q/QF_n$ . Then  $\ker(f) = \{(\bar{r}, \bar{s}) \mid ra + sb \in QF_n\}$ . Let  $(\bar{r}, \bar{s}) \in \ker(f)$ , then  $ra + sb = ap + bq$  for some  $p, q \in F_n$ . Hence

$$(5.1) \quad a(r - p) + b(s - q) = 0.$$

Since  $a, b$  is a regular sequence in  $A$ , we get  $r - p = bt$  for some  $t \in A$ . Substituting in equation (5.1) we obtain  $s - q = -at$ , hence  $(\bar{r}, \bar{s}) = t(\bar{b}, -\bar{a})$ . Therefore  $\ker(f) = (\bar{b}, -\bar{a})A$ .

Consider  $\varphi : A \rightarrow (\bar{b}, -\bar{a})A$  an  $A$ -module homomorphism given by

$$\varphi(r) = (r\bar{b}, -r\bar{a}).$$

Then  $\varphi$  is surjective and  $\ker(\varphi) = (F_n : a) \cap (F_n : b)$ . Note that  $F_{n-1} = (F_n : a)$ , since  $a^*$  is a nonzerodivisor in  $G(\mathcal{F})$ . Indeed, if  $x \in (F_n : a)$ , then  $xa \in F_n \cap (a) = aF_{n-1}$  by [7, Proposition 3.5]. Moreover, since  $a$  is a nonzerodivisor in  $A$ ,  $x \in F_{n-1}$ . Clearly  $F_{n-1} \subseteq (F_n : b)$ . Thus  $\ker(\varphi) = F_{n-1}$ . Hence we have  $(\bar{b}, -\bar{a})A \cong A/F_{n-1}$ . Therefore

$$0 \longrightarrow A/F_{n-1} \longrightarrow A/F_n \oplus A/F_n \longrightarrow Q/QF_n \longrightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence and the result follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a two-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring, and  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  a Hilbert filtration. Let  $\text{depth } G(\mathcal{F}) \geq 1$ . Suppose  $\text{nr}(\mathcal{F})$  is maximal. Then for all  $1 \leq n \leq \text{nr}(\mathcal{F}) - 1$ ,*

$$f(n) := \ell(F_n/F_{n+1}) = n(e_0(\mathcal{F}) - 1) + \ell(A/F_1).$$

*Proof.* We first claim that  $\ell(F_n/F_{n+1}) = e_0(\mathcal{F}) - 1 + \ell(F_{n-1}/F_n)$  for all  $1 \leq n \leq \text{nr}(\mathcal{F}) - 1$ . Let  $Q = (a, b)$  be a minimal reduction of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $a^*$  is a nonzerodivisor on  $G(\mathcal{F})$ . Since

$$QF_n \subseteq F_{n+1} \subseteq F_n \subseteq A \quad \text{and} \quad QF_n \subseteq Q \subseteq A,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(F_n/F_{n+1}) &= \ell(A/QF_n) - \ell(A/F_n) - \ell(F_{n+1}/QF_n) \\ &= e_0(\mathcal{F}) + \ell(Q/QF_n) - \ell(A/F_n) - \ell(F_{n+1}/QF_n) \\ &= e_0(\mathcal{F}) + 2\ell(A/F_n) - \ell(A/F_{n-1}) - \ell(A/F_n) - \ell(F_{n+1}/QF_n) \\ &= e_0(\mathcal{F}) + \ell(A/F_n) - \ell(A/F_{n-1}) - \ell(F_{n+1}/QF_n) \\ &= e_0(\mathcal{F}) + \ell(F_{n-1}/F_n) - \ell(F_{n+1}/QF_n) \end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 2.3 we have  $\ell(F_{n+1}/QF_n) = 1$  for all  $1 \leq n \leq \text{nr}(\mathcal{F}) - 1$ . Hence the claim follows. Therefore, for  $1 \leq n \leq \text{nr}(\mathcal{F}) - 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &= e_0(\mathcal{F}) - 1 + f(n-1) \\ f(n-1) &= e_0(\mathcal{F}) - 1 + f(n-2) \\ &\vdots \\ f(1) &= e_0(\mathcal{F}) - 1 + \ell(A/F_1). \end{aligned}$$

Adding all the equalities above, we obtain  $f(n) = n(e_0(\mathcal{F}) - 1) + \ell(A/F_1)$ .  $\square$

Now we compute the Hilbert series of  $G(\mathcal{F})$  when  $\text{nr}(\mathcal{F})$  is maximal.

**Proposition 5.3.** *Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a two-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring, and  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  a Hilbert filtration. Let  $\text{depth } G(\mathcal{F}) \geq 1$ , and  $\text{nr}(\mathcal{F}) = r \geq 3$ . Suppose  $\text{nr}(\mathcal{F})$  is maximal. Then*

$$HS_{G(\mathcal{F})}(t) = \frac{\ell(A/F_1) + (e_1(\mathcal{F}) - r)t + t^r}{(1-t)^2}$$

*Proof.* Since  $\text{nr}(\mathcal{F}) = e_1(\mathcal{F}) - e_0(\mathcal{F}) + \ell(A/F_1) + 1$ , by Theorem 2.3 we get,  $\text{nr}(\mathcal{F}) = r(\mathcal{F})$ . Let  $r(\mathcal{F}) = r$ . By equation 3.1,  $n(\mathcal{F}) = r - 2$ ,  $P_{\mathcal{F}}(n) = H_{\mathcal{F}}(n)$  for all  $n \geq r - 1$ . Therefore, for all  $n \geq r - 1$ ,

$$\ell(F_n/F_{n+1}) = P_{\mathcal{F}}(n+1) - P_{\mathcal{F}}(n).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} HS_{G(\mathcal{F})}(t) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \ell(F_n/F_{n+1})t^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{r-2} \ell(F_n/F_{n+1})t^n + \sum_{n \geq r-1} \ell(F_n/F_{n+1})t^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{r-2} (n(e_0(\mathcal{F}) - 1) + \ell(A/F_1) - e_0(\mathcal{F})(n+1) + e_1(\mathcal{F}))t^n \\ &\quad + \sum_{n \geq 0} (e_0(\mathcal{F})(n+1) - e_1(\mathcal{F}))t^n \quad [\text{by Lemma 5.2}] \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{r-2} (-n + \ell(A/F_1) - e_0(\mathcal{F}) + e_1(\mathcal{F}))t^n + \frac{e_0(\mathcal{F})}{(1-t)^2} - \frac{e_1(\mathcal{F})}{(1-t)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{r-2} (-nt^n)(1-t)^2 + \sum_{n=0}^{r-2} (\ell(A/F_1) - e_0(\mathcal{F}) + e_1(\mathcal{F}))t^n(1-t)^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} (e_0(\mathcal{F}) - e_1(\mathcal{F})(1-t)) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{r-2} (-nt^n)(1-t)^2 + (\ell(A/F_1) - e_0(\mathcal{F}) + e_1(\mathcal{F}))(1-t^{r-1})(1-t) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} (-e_1(\mathcal{F})(1-t) + e_0(\mathcal{F})) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{r-2} (-nt^n)(1-t)^2 + (r-1)(1-t^{r-1})(1-t) - e_1(\mathcal{F})(1-t) + e_0(\mathcal{F}) \right). \end{aligned}$$



Now for further simplification consider the  $h$ -vector,

$$\begin{aligned}
h(t) &= \sum_{n=1}^{r-2} (-nt^n)(1-t)^2 + (r-1)(1-t^{r-1})(1-t) + e_0(\mathcal{F}) - e_1(\mathcal{F})(1-t) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{r-2} (-nt^n) + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{r-2} nt^{n+1} - \sum_{n=1}^{r-2} nt^{n+2} + (r-1)(1-t^{r-1})(1-t) + e_0(\mathcal{F}) - e_1(\mathcal{F})(1-t) \\
&= -t + \sum_{n=2}^{r-2} -nt^n + 2 \sum_{n=2}^{r-2} (n-1)t^n + 2(r-2)t^{r-1} + \sum_{n=2}^{r-2} -(n-2)t^n - (r-3)t^{r-1} - (r-2)t^r \\
&\quad + (r-1)(1-t^{r-1}-t+t^r) + e_0(\mathcal{F}) - e_1(\mathcal{F})(1-t) \\
&= (e_0(\mathcal{F}) - e_1(\mathcal{F}) + r-1) + (-1-r+1+e_1(\mathcal{F}))t + (2r-4-r+3-r+1)t^{r-1} \\
&\quad + (-r+2+r-1)t^r \\
&= \ell(A/F_1) + (e_1(\mathcal{F}) - r)t + t^r.
\end{aligned}$$

□

As a consequence, we obtain:

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a two-dimensional Gorenstein local ring,  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  a Hilbert filtration. Let  $G(\mathcal{F})$  be Cohen-Macaulay, and  $\text{nr}(\mathcal{F}) = r \geq 3$ . Suppose  $\text{nr}(\mathcal{F})$  is maximal. Then  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $F_1 = \mathfrak{m}$  and  $e_0(\mathfrak{m}) = 2$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\text{nr}(\mathcal{F}) = e_1(\mathcal{F}) - e_0(\mathcal{F}) + \ell(A/F_1) + 1$ , by Theorem 2.3  $\text{nr}(\mathcal{F}) = r(\mathcal{F})$ . By Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 5.3, we get  $G(\mathcal{F})$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $\ell(A/F_1) = 1$  and  $e_1(\mathcal{F}) = r(\mathcal{F})$  if and only if  $F_1 = \mathfrak{m}$  and  $e_0(\mathcal{F}) = 2$ . □

Applying Theorem 5.4 for the filtration  $\{\overline{I}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  we get the following result.

**Corollary 5.5.** *Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a two-dimensional analytically unramified Gorenstein local ring, and  $I$  an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal in  $A$ . Let  $\text{nr}(I) \geq 3$ . Suppose  $\text{nr}(I)$  is maximal, and  $\overline{G}(I)$  is Cohen-Macaulay. Then  $\overline{G}(I)$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $\overline{I} = \mathfrak{m}$  and  $e_0(\mathfrak{m}) = 2$ .*

*Proof.* Follows from Theorem 5.4. □

## 6. GORENSTEINNESS OF $\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m})$ IN ZARISKI-TYPE HYPERSURFACE RINGS

Consider the following setup in the rest of the paper. Let  $K$  be an algebraically closed field, and let  $m \geq 1$ ,  $2 \leq a \leq b$  be integers, and put  $S = K[[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m]]$  be a formal power series ring with  $(m+1)$ -variables over  $K$ . Then  $S$  is a complete regular local ring with the unique maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_S = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m)$ . Put  $x = x_0$  and  $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_m$ . Let  $g, f$  be polynomials such that

$$\begin{aligned}
g &= g(\underline{x}) \in (\underline{x})^b \setminus (\underline{x})^{b+1}, \\
f &= f(x, \underline{x}) = x^a - g(\underline{x}).
\end{aligned}$$

Then a hypersurface  $A = S/(f)$  is called “Zariski-type hypersurface” if  $a \neq 0$  in  $K$ . Let  $Q = (\underline{x})A$ . Note that  $Q$  is a minimal reduction of  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_S A$ . Indeed,  $\mathfrak{m}^a = Q\mathfrak{m}^{a-1}$ . In this section, we compute  $\bar{r}(\mathfrak{m})$  and

prove the Cohen-Macaulayness of  $\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m})$ . The proof is a slight generalization of the proof in [18]. We fix the following notation for the rest of the paper:

$$d = \gcd(a, b), \quad a' = \frac{a}{d}, \quad b' = \frac{b}{d} \quad \text{and} \quad n_k = \lfloor \frac{kb}{a} \rfloor \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, a-1.$$

For all  $n \geq 1$ , we define the following two ideals:

$$\begin{aligned} J_n &:= Q^n + xQ^{n-n_1} + x^2Q^{n-n_2} + \dots + x^{a-1}Q^{n-n_{a-1}}, \\ I_n &:= \left( x^k x_1^{i_1} \dots x_m^{i_m} \mid kb' + (i_1 + \dots + i_m)a' \geq n, k, i_1, \dots, i_m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right) A. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 6.1.**  $J_n = I_{na'}$  for every  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* First we prove  $J_n \subset I_{na'}$ . It is enough to show  $x^k Q^{n-n_k} \subset I_{na'}$  for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots, a-1$ . For each  $k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq a-1$ , if  $i_1 + \dots + i_m \geq n - n_k$ , then

$$kb' + (i_1 + \dots + i_m)a' \geq kb' + (n - n_k)a' \geq kb' + \left(n - \frac{kb'}{a'}\right)a' = na',$$

as required. Next we prove the converse. If  $x^k x_1^{i_1} \dots x_m^{i_m} \in I_{na'}$ , then  $kb' + (i_1 + \dots + i_m)a' \geq na'$ . Thus

$$n_k = \lfloor \frac{kb'}{a'} \rfloor \geq n - (i_1 + \dots + i_m), \quad \text{that is, } i_1 + \dots + i_m \geq n - n_k.$$

Hence  $x^k x_1^{i_1} \dots x_m^{i_m} \in x^k Q^{n-n_k}$ . This yields  $I_{na'} \subset J_n$ . □

**Proposition 6.2.** Under the notation above,

- (a) For every  $k, n \geq 1$ ,  $x^k \in \overline{Q^n}$  if and only if  $n \leq n_k$ .
- (b)  $Q^n \subset J_n \subset \overline{Q^n}$ , that is,  $\overline{J_n} = \overline{Q^n}$  for each  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* (a) We first show that if  $n \leq n_k$  then  $x^k \in \overline{Q^n}$ . By definition of  $n_k$ , we get

$$(x^k)^a = x^{ka} \in (Q^b)^k = Q^{kb} \subset Q^{an_k} = (Q^{n_k})^a.$$

Hence  $x^k \in \overline{Q^{n_k}} \subset \overline{Q^n}$ .

Suppose  $x^k \in \overline{Q^n}$ . We will show  $n \leq n_k$ . By assumption, there exists a nonzero divisor  $c \in A$  such that  $c(x^k)^\ell \in Q^{n\ell}$  for sufficiently large  $\ell$ . By Artin-Rees' lemma, we can take an integer  $\ell_0 \geq 1$  such that if  $\ell \gg 0$ , then  $Q^\ell \cap cA = c(Q^\ell : c) \subset cQ^{\ell-\ell_0}$ . Thus  $Q^\ell : c \subset Q^{\ell-\ell_0}$  because  $c$  is a nonzero divisor.

Now suppose that  $n \geq n_k + 1$ . By the choice of  $n_k$ , we have

$$\frac{kb}{a} + \frac{1}{a} \leq n_k + 1 \leq n.$$

Then  $kb + 1 \leq a(n_k + 1) \leq an$ . If  $\ell \geq \ell_0 + 1$ , then

$$g^{k\ell} = x^{ak\ell} \in Q^{an\ell} : c \subset Q^{an\ell-\ell_0} \subset Q^{(kb+1)\ell-\ell_0} \subset Q^{kb\ell+1}.$$

Moreover, since  $g \in Q^b \setminus Q^{b+1}$ , if necessary, by replacing  $\underline{x} = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m$  with  $\underline{y} = y_1, \dots, y_m$ , the other minimal generators of  $Q$ , we may assume that  $g \equiv x_1^b \pmod{(x_2, \dots, x_m)A}$ . Hence  $x_1^{bk\ell} \in (x_1^{kb\ell+1}, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ . This contradicts the fact that  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m$  forms a regular sequence on  $A$ .

(b) It is enough to show  $x^k x_1^{i_1} \dots x_m^{i_m} \in \overline{Q^n}$  if and only if  $i_1 + \dots + i_m \geq n - n_k$ . Since  $\text{depth } \overline{G}(Q) \geq 1$ , we have  $\overline{Q^n} : x_j = \overline{Q^{n-1}}$  for every  $n, j$ . So one can easily see that

$$x^k x_1^{i_1} \dots x_m^{i_m} \in \overline{Q^n} \iff x^k \in \overline{Q^{n-(i_1+\dots+i_m)}}.$$

By (1), the last condition means that  $i_1 + \dots + i_m \geq n - n_k$ . Hence we get the required inclusions. □

Set  $\mathcal{I} = \{I_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , which is a filtration of ideals in  $A$  introduced above and,  $I_n = A$  for every integer  $n \leq 0$ . We put

$$\mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{I}) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} I_n t^n \subset A[t, t^{-1}], \quad G(\mathcal{I}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I_n / I_{n+1} \cong \mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{I}) / t^{-1} \mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{I}).$$

In the following, we set  $\underline{x}t^k = x_1 t^k, \dots, x_m t^k$  and  $\underline{Y} = Y_1, \dots, Y_m$ .

**Lemma 6.3.** *Write  $g = g_b + g_{b+1} + \dots + g_c$ , where  $g_i$  denotes a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $i = b, b+1, \dots, c$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{I}) \cong A[xt^{b'}, \underline{x}t^{a'}, t^{-1}]$  and  $G(\mathcal{I}) \cong K[X, \underline{Y}] / (X^a - g_b(\underline{Y}))$ .*

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{I}) &= \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} I_n t^n \\ &= \sum_{k, i_1, \dots, i_m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} x^k x_1^{i_1} \dots x_m^{i_m} t^{kb' + (i_1 + \dots + i_m)a'} + \sum_{n \geq 0} A t^{-n} \\ &= \sum_{k, i_1, \dots, i_m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} (xt^{b'})^k (x_1 t^{a'})^{i_1} \dots (x_m t^{a'})^{i_m} + \sum_{n \geq 0} A t^{-n} \\ &= A[xt^{b'}, x_1 t^{a'}, \dots, x_m t^{a'}, t^{-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Put  $X = xt^{b'}$ ,  $Y_i = x_i t^{a'}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $U = t^{-1}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} X^a &= x^a t^{ab'} = x^a t^{a'b} \\ &= g_b(x_1, \dots, x_m) t^{a'b} + g_{b+1}(x_1, \dots, x_m) t^{a'b} + \dots + g_c(x_1, \dots, x_m) t^{a'b} \\ &= g_b(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m) + g_{b+1}(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m) U^{-a'} + \dots + g_c(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m) U^{-(c-b)a'} \\ &= g_b(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m) + g_{b+1}(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m) U^{a'} + \dots + g_c(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m) U^{(c-b)a'}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} G(\mathcal{I}) &= \mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{I}) / t^{-1} \mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{I}) \\ &= K[X, Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m] / (X^a - g_b(Y_1, \dots, Y_m)). \end{aligned}$$

□

The normality of  $A$  is needed in the following proposition.

**Proposition 6.4.** *Suppose that  $A$  is a Zariski-type normal hypersurface. Under the notation as above,*

- (a)  $G(\mathcal{I})$  is reduced.
- (b)  $\overline{I_n} = I_n$  for every  $n \geq 1$ .
- (c)  $\overline{J_n} = J_n$  for every  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* (a) By Lemma 6.3, since  $G(\mathcal{I}) \cong K[X, \underline{Y}] / (X^a - g_b(\underline{Y}))$  is a hypersurface, it satisfies the Serre condition  $(S_1)$ .

Put  $f = X^a - g_b(\underline{Y})$ . Then

$$\text{ht} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial X}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial Y_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial Y_m}, f \right) \geq \text{ht}(X, g_b(\underline{Y})) \geq 1.$$

Hence  $G(\mathcal{I})$  satisfies  $(R_0)$  and it is reduced.

(b) It follows from [14, Lemma 3.1].

(c) Since  $J_n = I_{na'}$  for every  $n \geq 1$  by Proposition 6.1,  $J_n$  is also integrally closed by (b).  $\square$

The following theorem generalizes [18, Theorem 3.1].

**Theorem 6.5.** *Under the notation as above,*

- (a)  $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^n = \overline{Q}^n = Q^n + xQ^{n-n_1} + x^2Q^{n-n_2} + \dots + x^{a-1}Q^{n-n_{a-1}}$ .
- (b)  $\bar{r}_Q(\mathfrak{m}) = \text{nr}_Q(\mathfrak{m}) = n_{a-1} = \lfloor \frac{(a-1)b}{a} \rfloor$ .
- (c)  $\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m})$  is Cohen-Macaulay.

*Proof.* (a) It follows from Proposition 6.2(b) and Proposition 6.4(c).

(b) It immediately follows from (a).

(c) By Proposition 6.4,  $G(\mathcal{I})$  is a hypersurface. Therefore it is Cohen-Macaulay. Hence  $\mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{I})$  is Cohen-Macaulay.

On the other hand,  $\overline{\mathcal{R}'}(\mathfrak{m}) = \mathcal{R}'(\{J_n\}) = \mathcal{R}'(\{I_{na'}\})$  by Propositions 6.1 and 6.4(c). Then  $\overline{\mathcal{R}'}(\mathfrak{m})$  is also Cohen-Macaulay since  $\overline{\mathcal{R}'}(\mathfrak{m})$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of  $\mathcal{R}'(\mathcal{I})$ . Therefore  $\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m})$  is Cohen-Macaulay.  $\square$

Next, we give a criterion for  $\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m})$  to be Gorenstein in the case  $A$  is a Zariski-type hypersurface. First, we need the following criterion from [17]. We put  $L_n = Q + \overline{\mathfrak{m}}^n$  and  $\ell_n = \ell_A(A/L_n)$  for every  $n \geq 1$ .

**Lemma 6.6.** *Put  $r = \bar{r}_Q(\mathfrak{m})$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m})$  is Gorenstein.
- (b)  $Q: L_n = L_{r+1-n}$  for every  $n = 1, 2, \dots, r$ .
- (c)  $\ell_n + \ell_{r+1-n} = a$  for every  $n = 1, 2, \dots, \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil$ .

As an application of Proposition 6.4, we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 6.7.** *Under the same notation as above, we have*

$$\ell_n = \min\{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \mid n \leq n_k\}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $n > n_{k-1}$  and  $n \leq n_k$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} L_n &= Q + \overline{\mathfrak{m}}^n \\ &= Q + (Q^n + xQ^{n-n_1} + \dots + x^{k-1}Q^{n-n_{k-1}} + x^kQ^{n-n_k} + \dots) \\ &= Q + (x^k). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\ell_n = \ell_A(K[x]/(x^k)) = k$ .  $\square$

The following theorem is a main result in this section. Recall  $d = \gcd(a, b)$ .

**Theorem 6.8.**  $\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m})$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $b \equiv 0, d \pmod{a}$ . Moreover,

- (a) If  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{a}$ , then  $\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m})$  is a reduced, hypersurface.
- (b) If  $b \equiv 1 \pmod{a}$ , then  $\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m})$  is a non-reduced, hypersurface.
- (c) If  $b \equiv d \pmod{a}$  and  $d > 1$ , then  $\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m})$  is a complete intersection but not a hypersurface.

In what follows, we prove the above theorem in several steps.

**Proposition 6.9.** *If  $a$  divides  $b$ , then  $\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m})$  is a reduced hypersurface, and thus Gorenstein. In fact,*

$$\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m}) \cong K[X, \underline{Y}] / (X^a - g_b(\underline{Y})).$$

*Proof.* One can easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{R}}'(\mathfrak{m}) &= K[xt, \underline{x}t, \{x^k t^{n_k}\}_{1 \leq k \leq a-1}, t^{-1}] \\ &= K[xt^{n_1}, \underline{x}t, t^{-1}] \\ &\cong K[X, \underline{Y}, U] / (X^a - g_b(\underline{Y}) - \cdots - g_c(\underline{Y})U^{c-b}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $X = xt^{n_1}$ ,  $Y_i = x_i t$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ), and  $U = t^{-1}$ .

Moreover, it immediately follows from the fact that  $\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m}) \cong \overline{\mathcal{R}}'(\mathfrak{m}) / t^{-1} \overline{\mathcal{R}}'(\mathfrak{m})$ . □

The case  $1 \leq d < a$ . First, we consider the case of  $d = 1$  and  $b \equiv 1 \pmod{a}$ .

**Proposition 6.10.** *If  $d = 1$  and  $b = n_1 a + 1$ , then  $\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m})$  is a non-reduced hypersurface, and thus Gorenstein. In fact,*

$$\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m}) \cong K[X, \underline{Y}] / (X^a) \cong G(\mathfrak{m}).$$

*Proof.* For every  $k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq a - 1$ , we have

$$n_k = \lfloor \frac{kb}{a} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{k(n_1 a + 1)}{a} \rfloor = kn_1 + \lfloor \frac{k}{a} \rfloor = kn_1.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{R}}'(\mathfrak{m}) &= K[xt, \underline{x}t, \{x^k t^{n_k}\}_{1 \leq k \leq a-1}, t^{-1}] \\ &= K[xt, \underline{x}t, xt^{n_1}, t^{-1}] \\ &\cong K[X, \underline{Y}, U] / (X^a - g_b(\underline{Y})U - \cdots - g_c(\underline{Y})U^{c-b+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, put  $X = xt^{n_1}$ ,  $Y_i = x_i t$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $U = t^{-1}$ . Then  $xt = (xt^{n_1})(t^{-1})^{n_1-1}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} X^a = (xt^{n_1})^a &= (g_b + g_{b+1} + \cdots + g_c)t^{an_1} \\ &= (g_b + g_{b+1} + \cdots + g_c)t^{b-1} \\ &= (g_b t^b)U + g_{b+1} t^{b+1}U^2 + \cdots + g_c t^c U^{c-b+1} \\ &= g_b(\underline{Y})U + \cdots + g_c(\underline{Y})U^{c-b+1}. \end{aligned}$$

The other assertion follows from this. □

Next, we consider the case  $1 < d < a'$ ,  $b' \equiv 1 \pmod{a'}$ .

**Proposition 6.11.** *If  $1 < d < a'$  and  $b' = n_1 a' + 1$ , then  $\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m})$  is a complete intersection, and thus Gorenstein. In fact,*

$$\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m}) \cong K[X, \underline{Y}, Z] / (X^{a'}, Z^d - g_b(\underline{Y})).$$

*Proof.* Note that  $b' \equiv 1 \pmod{a'}$ . One can easily see that  $n_k = kn_1$  for  $1 \leq k \leq a' - 1$ , and  $n_{a'} = b'$ . For any integer  $p$  ( $1 \leq p \leq d - 1$ ), if  $pa' + 1 \leq k \leq pa' + a' - 1$ , then

$$n_k = \lfloor \frac{k(n_1 a' + 1)}{a'} \rfloor = kn_1 + \lfloor \frac{k}{a'} \rfloor = kn_1 + p = pb' + (k - pa')n_1.$$

Hence  $(k, n_k) = p(a', b') + (k - pa')(1, n_1)$ . Similarly, if  $k = pa'$  ( $2 \leq p \leq d - 1$ ), then  $(k, n_k) = p(a', b')$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\mathcal{R}'}(\mathfrak{m}) &= K[xt, x_1t, \dots, x_mt, xt^{n_1}, x^{a'}t^{b'}, t^{-1}] \\ &\cong K[X, \underline{Y}, Z, U] / (X^{a'} - ZU, Z^d - g_b(\underline{Y}) - \dots - g_c(\underline{Y})U^{c-b}),\end{aligned}$$

where  $X = xt^{n_1}$ ,  $Y_i = x_it$ ,  $Z = x^{a'}t^{b'}$ , and  $U = t^{-1}$ . Indeed,

$$X^{a'} = x^{a'}t^{n_1a'} = (x^{a'}t^{b'})(t^{-1})^{b'-n_1a'} = (x^{a'}t^{b'})(t^{-1}) = ZU.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}Z^d &= (x^{a'}t^{b'})^d \\ &= x^{a'd}t^{b'd} \\ &= g_b(x_1, \dots, x_m)t^b + \dots + g_c(x_1, \dots, x_m)t^c \cdot t^{b-c} \\ &= g_b(\underline{Y}) + \dots + g_c(\underline{Y})U^{c-b}.\end{aligned}$$

The other assertion follows from this. □

In the rest of this section, we consider the case of  $1 < d < a$  and  $b' \equiv \delta \pmod{a'}$  for some  $\delta$  ( $2 \leq \delta \leq a' - 1$ ). Note that

$$\bar{r}(\mathfrak{m}) = n_{a-1} = \lfloor \frac{(a-1)b'}{a'} \rfloor = (a-1)n_1 + d\delta - 1.$$

In order to prove Theorem 6.8, it suffices to prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 6.12.** *In the above notation, if we put  $k_1 = \lceil \frac{a'}{\delta} \rceil$  and  $t_1 = (k_1 - 1)n_1 + 1$ , then*

$$\ell_{t_1} + \ell_{r+1-t_1} = a + 1 (\neq a).$$

*In particular,  $\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m})$  is not Gorenstein.*

*Proof.* We first prove the following claim.

**Claim 1.**  $\lfloor \frac{k\delta}{a'} \rfloor = 0$ ,  $\lceil \frac{k\delta}{a'} \rceil = 1$  for each  $k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq k_1 - 1$ ).

By definition,  $k \leq k_1 - 1 < \frac{a'}{\delta}$ . Thus  $0 < \frac{k\delta}{a'} < 1$ . Hence  $\lfloor \frac{k\delta}{a'} \rfloor = 0$  and  $\lceil \frac{k\delta}{a'} \rceil = 1$ .

**Claim 2.**  $\lfloor \frac{k_1\delta}{a'} \rfloor = 1$ ,  $\lceil \frac{k_1\delta}{a'} \rceil = 2$ .

By definition,  $k_1 - 1 < \frac{a'}{\delta} \leq k_1$ . Since  $(a', \delta) = 1$ ,  $\frac{a'}{\delta}$  is not an integer. Hence  $\frac{a'}{\delta} < k_1$ , that is,  $\frac{k_1\delta}{a'} > 1$ . If  $\frac{k_1\delta}{a'} \geq 2$ , then one has

$$\frac{2a'}{\delta} - 1 \leq k_1 - 1 < \frac{a'}{\delta}.$$

This yields  $a' < \delta$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $1 < \frac{k_1\delta}{a'} < 2$ . The claim follows from here.

**Claim 3.**  $n_k = kn_1$  for every  $k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq k_1 - 1$ ) and  $n_{k_1} = k_1n_1 + 1$ .

Indeed, for every  $k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq k_1 - 1$ ), Claim 1 implies

$$n_k = \lfloor \frac{kb'}{a'} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{k(n_1a' + \delta)}{a'} \rfloor = kn_1 + \lfloor \frac{k\delta}{a'} \rfloor = kn_1.$$

On the other hand, Claim 2 implies

$$n_{k_1} = \lfloor \frac{k_1b'}{a'} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{k_1(n_1a' + \delta)}{a'} \rfloor = k_1n_1 + \lfloor \frac{k_1\delta}{a'} \rfloor = k_1n_1 + 1.$$

**Claim 4.**  $n_{a-k} = (a-k)n_1 + d\delta - 1$  for each  $k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq k_1 - 1$ ) and  $n_{a-k_1} = (a-k_1)n_1 + d\delta - 2$ .

For every  $k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq k_1 - 1$ ), Claim 1 implies

$$n_{a-k} = \lfloor \frac{(a-k)(n_1a' + \delta)}{a'} \rfloor = (a-k)n_1 + d\delta - \lceil \frac{k\delta}{a'} \rceil = (a-k)n_1 + d\delta - 1.$$

On the other hand, Claim 2 implies

$$n_{a-k_1} = \lfloor \frac{(a-k_1)(n_1a' + \delta)}{a'} \rfloor = (a-k_1)n_1 + d\delta - \lceil \frac{k_1\delta}{a'} \rceil = (a-k_1)n_1 + d\delta - 2.$$

**Claim 5.**  $\ell_{t_1} + \ell_{r+1-t_1} = a + 1$ .

By Claim 3,  $n_{k_1-1} + 1 = t_1 \leq n_{k_1}$ . Hence  $\ell_{t_1} = k_1$  by Proposition 6.7. By Claim 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} n_{a-k_1} + 1 &= (a-k_1)n_1 + d\delta - 1 = r + 1 - t_1 \\ n_{a-k_1+1} &= (a-k_1+1)n_1 + d\delta - 1 > r + 1 - t_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\ell_{r+1-t_1} = a - k_1 + 1$  and thus  $\ell_{t_1} + \ell_{r+1-t_1} = (a - k_1 + 1) + k_1 = a + 1$ .  $\square$

**Example 6.13.** Let  $m \geq 1$  be an integer, and let  $A = \mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m] / (x_0^3 + x_1^5 + \dots + x_m^5)$ . Put  $\mathfrak{m} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m)$  and  $x_0 = x$ . Then

- (a)  $A$  is a rational singularity if and only if  $m \geq 4$ .
- (b)  $\overline{\mathfrak{m}^2} = \mathfrak{m}^2$ ,  $\overline{\mathfrak{m}^3} = \mathfrak{m}^3 + (x^2)$ , and  $\overline{\mathfrak{m}^4} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)\overline{\mathfrak{m}^3}$ .
- (c)  $\overline{\mathcal{R}'}(\mathfrak{m}) = K[xt, x_1t, x_2t, \dots, x_mt, x^2t^3, t^{-1}]$ .
- (d)  $\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\mathfrak{m})$  is a Cohen-Macaulay ring of  $e_0(\overline{\mathcal{G}}) = 3$  and CM type 2.

If we put  $X = xt$ ,  $Y_i = x_it$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) and  $Z = x^2t^3$ , and  $U = t^{-1}$ , then

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}'}(\mathfrak{m}) \cong K[X, \underline{Y}, Z, U] / (X^2 - ZU, XZ + \underline{Y}^5U, Z^2 + X\underline{Y}^5).$$

In particular,

$$\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\mathfrak{m}) \cong \overline{\mathcal{R}'}(\mathfrak{m}) / t^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{R}'}(\mathfrak{m}) \cong K[X, \underline{Y}, Z] / (X^2, XZ, Z^2 + X\underline{Y}^5),$$

where  $\underline{Y}^5 = Y_1^5 + \dots + Y_m^5$ .

**Example 6.14.** Let  $A = K[[t^a, t^b]]$  be a semigroup ring generated by the positive integers  $a \leq b$  such that  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ . We know that  $A \cong k[[x, y]] / (x^a - y^b)$  is a hypersurface. By Theorem 6.5  $\tilde{r}_Q(\mathfrak{m}) = \lfloor \frac{(a-1)b}{a} \rfloor$ . By Theorem 6.8  $\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\mathfrak{m})$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $b \equiv 1 \pmod{a}$ .

The examples 3.8 and 3.9 can also be verified using Theorem 6.8.

## 7. NORMAL TANGENT CONES OF MAXIMAL EMBEDDING DIMENSION

Let  $\mathfrak{M}_{\overline{G}}$  denote the unique graded maximal ideal of  $\overline{G} = \overline{G}(\mathfrak{m})$ . Then  $\text{embdim}(\overline{G})$ , the *embedding dimension* of  $\overline{G}$ , is the number of minimal system of generators of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\overline{G}}$ . Since  $\overline{G}$  is Cohen-Macaulay,

$$(7.1) \quad \text{embdim}(\overline{G}) \leq e_0(\overline{G}) + \dim \overline{G} - 1 = a + m - 1$$

If equality holds in Eq.(7.1), then  $\overline{G}$  is said to have *maximal embedding dimension* (in the sense of Sally). Note that  $\mathfrak{M}_{\overline{G}}$  is generated by  $\{x^k t^{n_k}\}_{k=1,2,\dots,a-1}$ , and  $x_1 t, x_2 t, \dots, x_m t$ .

We can characterize those  $\overline{G}$  in terms of  $a$  and  $b$ .

**Proposition 7.1.** *Let  $A = S/(x^a - g(\underline{x}))$  be a Zariski-type hypersurface with  $b = \text{ord}(g) \geq a$ . Then  $\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m})$  has maximal embedding dimension if and only if one of the following cases occurs:*

- (a)  $a = 2$ .
- (b)  $a \geq 3$ ,  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$  and  $b \equiv a - 1 \pmod{a}$ .

When this is the case,  $\overline{G}(\mathfrak{m})$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $a = 2$ .

*Proof.* In the case of  $a = 2$ , since  $\overline{G}$  is a hypersurface of  $e_0(\overline{G}) = 2$ , it is a Gorenstein ring having maximal embedding dimension. So we may assume that  $a \geq 3$ .

If part: We may compute  $n_k$  as follows:

$$n_k = \lfloor \frac{k(n_1 a + a - 1)}{a} \rfloor = kn_1 + k + \lfloor \frac{-k}{a} \rfloor = kn_1 + k - \lceil \frac{k}{a} \rceil = kn_1 + k - 1$$

for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots, a - 1$ . Then a sequence

$$x t^{n_1}, x^2 t^{2n_1+1}, x^3 t^{3n_1+2}, \dots, x^{a-1} t^{(a-1)n_1+a-2}, x_1 t, \dots, x_m t$$

forms a minimal system of generators of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\overline{G}}$ , we have

$$\text{embdim}(\overline{G}) = a + m - 1 = e_0(\overline{G}) + \dim \overline{G} - 1.$$

Only if part: In order to complete the proof, we prove the following two lemmata.

**Lemma 7.2.** *If  $d > 1$  and  $a \geq 3$ , then  $\overline{G}$  does not have maximal embedding dimension.*

*Proof.* We note that  $\mathfrak{M}_{\overline{G}} = (\{x^k t^{n_k}\}_{k=1,2,\dots,a-1}, x_1 t, \dots, x_m t)$ . For every  $s$  ( $1 \leq s \leq d$ ),  $\delta$  ( $1 \leq \delta \leq a' - 1$ ), we have

$$n_{sa'+\delta} = \lfloor \frac{(sa' + \delta)b}{a} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{(sa' + \delta)b'}{a'} \rfloor = sb' + \lfloor \frac{\delta b'}{a'} \rfloor = sn_{a'} + n_{\delta},$$

and hence

$$x^{sa'+\delta} t^{n_{sa'+\delta}} = x^{sa'+\delta} t^{sn_{a'}+n_{\delta}} = (x^{a'} t^{n_{a'}})^s \cdot (x^{\delta} t^{n_{\delta}}) \in \mathfrak{M}_{\overline{G}}^2.$$

Moreover, if  $s \geq 2$ , then

$$x^{sa'} t^{n_{sa'}} = x^{sa'} t^{sn_{a'}} = (x^{a'} t^{n_{a'}})^s \in \mathfrak{M}_{\overline{G}}^2.$$

Hence  $\mathfrak{M}_{\overline{G}} = (\{x^k t^{n_k}\}_{k=1,2,\dots,a'}, x_1 t, \dots, x_m t)$ . Since  $a \geq 3$  and  $d \geq 2$ , we obtain that

$$\text{embdim}(\overline{G}) \leq a' + m \leq \frac{a}{2} + m < (a - 1) + m = a + m - 1,$$

as required. □

**Lemma 7.3.** *If  $d = 1$  and  $b \equiv \delta \pmod{a}$  with  $1 \leq \delta \leq a - 2$ , then  $\overline{G}$  does not have maximal embedding dimension.*



*Proof.* For each  $k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq a-1$ ), if we put  $m_k = n_k - kn_1$ , we have

$$m_k = n_k - kn_1 = \lfloor \frac{kb}{a} \rfloor - kn_1 = \lfloor \frac{k(n_1a + \delta)}{a} \rfloor - kn_1 = \lfloor \frac{k\delta}{a} \rfloor.$$

Since  $1 \leq \delta \leq a-2$  and  $a \geq 3$ , we get

$$m_{a-1} = \lfloor \frac{(a-1)\delta}{a} \rfloor \leq \lfloor \frac{(a-1)(a-2)}{a} \rfloor = a-3 + \lfloor \frac{2}{a} \rfloor = a-3.$$

Then since  $0 \leq m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \dots \leq m_{a-1} \leq a-3$ , there exists an integer  $i$  with  $2 \leq i \leq a-1$  such that  $m_{i-1} = m_i$  by Pigeonhole principle. Since  $n_1 + n_{i-1} = n_1 + \{(i-1)n_1 + m_{i-1}\} = in_1 + m_i = n_i$ , we get

$$x^i t^{n_i} = x^i t^{n_1 + n_{i-1}} = (x t^{n_1})(x^{i-1} t^{n_{i-1}}) \in \mathfrak{M}_{\overline{G}}^2.$$

This implies  $\text{embdim}(\overline{G}) \leq a + m - 2 < e_0(\overline{G}) + \dim \overline{G} - 1$ , that is,  $\overline{G}$  does not have maximal embedding dimension.  $\square$

By the lemmata as above, we complete the proof of Proposition 7.1.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank M. E. Rossi for helpful discussions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. Blancafort. *Hilbert functions: Combinatorial and Homological aspects*. Ph. D. Thesis, Universitat de Barcelona, 1997.
- [2] W. Bruns and H. J. Herzog. *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*. Cambridge University Press, Revised edition, 1998.
- [3] S. Goto and S.-I. Iai. Gorenstein graded rings associated to ideals. *Journal of Algebra*, 294(2):373–407, 2005.
- [4] S. Goto and K. Nishida. *The Cohen-Macaulay and Gorenstein Rees algebras associated to filtrations*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1994. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **110** (1994), no. 526.
- [5] W. Heinzer, M.-K. Kim, and B. Ulrich. The Gorenstein and complete intersection properties of associated graded rings. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 201(1):264–283, 2005.
- [6] W. Heinzer, M.-K. Kim, and B. Ulrich. The Cohen–Macaulay and Gorenstein properties of rings associated to filtrations. *Communications in Algebra*, 39(10):3547–3580, 2011.
- [7] S. Huckaba and T. Marley. Hilbert coefficients and the depths of associated graded rings. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 56(1):64–76, 1997.
- [8] C. Huneke. Hilbert functions and symbolic powers. *Michigan Math. J.*, 34(2):293–318, 1987.
- [9] C. Huneke and I. Swanson. *Integral closure of ideals, rings, and modules*, volume 336 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [10] E. Hyry. On the Gorenstein property of the associated graded ring of a power of an ideal. *Manuscripta Mathematica*, 80(1):13–20, 1993.
- [11] S. Itoh. Integral closures of ideals generated by regular sequences. *Journal of Algebra*, 117(2):390–401, 1988.
- [12] B. Johnston and J. Verma. Local cohomology of Rees algebras and Hilbert functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 123(1):1–10, 1995.
- [13] J. Lipman. Cohen-Macaulayness in graded algebras. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 1(2):149–157, 1994.
- [14] M. Mandal, S. Masuti, and J. K. Verma. Normal Hilbert polynomials : A survey. Preprint available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1205.3342>, 2012.
- [15] T. Marley. *Hilbert functions of ideals in Cohen-Macaulay rings*. Ph. D. Thesis, Purdue University, 1989.
- [16] T. Okuma, M. E. Rossi, K.-i. Watanabe, and K. Yoshida. Normal Hilbert coefficients and elliptic ideals in normal two-dimensional singularities. *Nagoya Mathematical Journal*, 248:779–800, 2022.
- [17] T. Okuma, K.-i. Watanabe, and K. Yoshida. Gorenstein normal tangent cones. In preparation.
- [18] T. Okuma, K.-i. Watanabe, and K. Yoshida. Normal reduction numbers for normal surface singularities with application to elliptic singularities of Brieskorn type. *Acta Mathematica Vietnamica*, 44(1):87–100, 2019.

- [19] T. Okuma, K.-i. Watanabe, and K. Yoshida. Gorensteinness for normal tangent cones of elliptic ideals. Preprint available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.07991>, 2023.
- [20] A. Ooishi. On the Gorenstein property of the associated graded ring and the Rees algebra of an ideal. *Journal of Algebra*, 155(2):397–414, 1993.
- [21] D. Rees. A note on analytically unramified local rings. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, s1-36(1):24–28, 1961.
- [22] J. D. Sally. Cohen-Macaulay local rings of maximal embedding dimension. *Journal of Algebra*, 56(1):168–183, 1979.
- [23] J. D. Sally. Cohen-macaulay local rings of embedding dimension  $e + d - 2$ . *Journal of Algebra*, 83(2):393–408, 1983.
- [24] J. D. Sally. Reductions, local cohomology and Hilbert functions of local rings. pages 231–241. Cambridge University Press, 1 edition, 1983.

(Meghana Bhat) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DHARWAD, WALMI CAMPUS, PB ROAD, DHARWAD - 580011, KARNATAKA, INDIA  
*Email address:* mbhat.math@gmail.com

(Saipriya Dubey) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DHARWAD, WALMI CAMPUS, PB ROAD, DHARWAD - 580011, KARNATAKA, INDIA  
*Email address:* saipriya721@gmail.com

(Shreedevi K. Masuti) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DHARWAD, WALMI CAMPUS, PB ROAD, DHARWAD - 580011, KARNATAKA, INDIA  
*Email address:* shreedevi@iitdh.ac.in

(Tomohiro Okuma) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, YAMAGATA UNIVERSITY, YAMAGATA, 990-8560, JAPAN.  
*Email address:* okuma@sci.kj.yamagata-u.ac.jp

(Jugal K. Verma) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BOMBAY, POWAI, MUMBAI, MAHARASHTRA-400076, INDIA  
*Email address:* verma.jugal@gmail.com

(Kei-ichi Watanabe) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF HUMANITIES AND SCIENCES, NIHON UNIVERSITY, SETAGAYA-KU, TOKYO, 156-8550, JAPAN AND ORGANIZATION FOR THE STRATEGIC COORDINATION OF RESEARCH AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTIES, MEIJI UNIVERSITY  
*Email address:* watnbkei@gmail.com

(Ken-ichi Yoshida) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF HUMANITIES AND SCIENCES, NIHON UNIVERSITY, SETAGAYA-KU, TOKYO, 156-8550, JAPAN  
*Email address:* yoshida.kennichi@nihon-u.ac.jp