

Universität Wien

DISSERTATION / DOCTORAL THESIS

Titel der Dissertation / Title of the Doctoral Thesis

**“A generators and relations derivation of
Khovanov homology of 2-strand braid links”**

verfasst von / submitted by

Omid Hurson, BSc MSc

angestrebter akademischer Grad / in partial fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of Doktor der Naturwissenschaften (Dr. rer. nat.)

Wien, 2018 / Vienna 2018

Studienkennzahl lt. Studienblatt /
degree programme code as it appears on the student record sheet: A 796 605 405

Dissertationsgebiet lt. Studienblatt /
field of study as it appears on the student record sheet: Mathematik

Betreut von /
Supervisor: Univ.-Prof. Domenico Fiorenza, PhD; Univ.-Prof. Nils Carqueville, PhD

Abstract

In the first part of the Thesis, we reformulate the Murakami-Ohtsuki-Yamada state-sum description of the level n Jones polynomial of an oriented link in terms of a suitable braided monoidal category whose morphisms are $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$ -linear combinations of oriented trivalent planar graphs, and give a corresponding description for the HOMFLY-PT polynomial.

In the second part, we extend this construction and express the Khovanov-Rozansky homology of an oriented link in terms of a combinatorially defined category whose morphisms are equivalence classes of formal complexes of (formal direct sums of shifted) oriented plane graphs. By working combinatorially, one avoids many of the computational difficulties involved in the matrix factorization computations of the original Khovanov-Rozansky formulation: one systematically uses combinatorial relations satisfied by these matrix factorizations to simplify the computation at a level that is easily handled. By using this technique, we are able to provide a computation of the level n Khovanov-Rozansky invariant of the 2-strand braid link with k crossings, for arbitrary n and k , confirming and extending previous results and conjectural predictions by Anokhina-Morozov, Carqueville-Murfet, Dolotin-Morozov, Gukov-Iqbal-Kozcaz-Vafa, and Rasmussen.

Contents

1	Introduction	5
2	The tangles category	13
2.1	Ideals and quotients in tensor categories	13
2.2	The tangles category	14
2.2.1	The tangles category as a ribbon category	15
2.2.2	Links invariants from quotients of TD	17
2.2.3	The skein relation of the HOMFLY polynomial	20
3	The MOY category	25
3.1	The category of oriented planar trivalent diagrams	25
3.2	The MOY category as a braided category	28
3.2.1	The level n Jones polynomial via the MOY category	35
3.2.2	The Jones polynomial of the 2-strand k -fold braid link	36
3.3	A MOY category for the HOMFLY-PT polynomial	39
3.3.1	The HOMFLY-PT polynomial via the MOY category	45
3.3.2	The universality of the category $\mathbf{MOY}_{\alpha,\zeta}$	47
3.3.3	The Anokhina-Dolotin-Morozov conjecture	51
4	The category \mathbf{KR} of formal complexes of MOY graphs	57
4.1	Formal direct sums of shifted MOY-type graphs	57
4.2	Morphisms between formal direct sums	59
4.2.1	Elementary morphisms	59
4.2.2	Composite morphisms	62
4.2.3	Relations between the elementary morphisms	63
4.2.4	Example: a commutative diagram	73
4.2.5	Another example	73
4.3	Formal complexes of MOY graphs	74
4.4	The category \mathbf{KR}	77
4.4.1	The braidings in \mathbf{KR}	78
4.4.2	Invariance by Reidemeister 2 (first kind)	80
4.4.3	Invariance by Reidemeister 2 (second kind)	82
4.4.4	Invariance by Reidemeister 3	86
4.5	From \mathbf{KR} to \mathbf{Jones}_n	90
4.5.1	Comparison to Khovanov-Rozansky homology	95
5	The Kh_n invariant of 2-strand braid links	97
5.1	Preliminary lemmas	97
5.2	The computation	107

Chapter 1

Introduction

A classical topic in low dimensional topology is the study of knots, i.e., of topological embeddings


$$k: S^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$$

and, more generally, of links, i.e., of topological embeddings

$$l: S^1 \sqcup S^1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup S^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3,$$

where \sqcup denotes the disjoint union. One considers two knots or two links to be equivalent if one can continuously deform one in the other. More formally, two knots $k_0, k_1: S^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ will be considered equivalent if there is an isotopy joining them, i.e., if there is a continuous family of topological embeddings $k_t: S^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ with t ranging from 0 to 1 which at $t = 0$ gives k_0 and at $t = 1$ gives k_1 (and the same for links). So what one is ultimately interested in is the set of knots (or links) up to equivalence. Moreover, as S^1 is orientable, one can take care or discard this orientation, and so consider equivalence classes of oriented or unoriented knots and links. In this thesis we will be concerned with the first class, i.e., we will only consider oriented knots and links.

A very convenient way is to visualize knots and links by “drawing them in the plane”, i.e., by considering the images of regular projections: linear projections $\pi: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\pi \circ k: S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is an immersion with only double crossings (and similarly for links). These images are called knot and link diagrams. Here are a few examples:



where the arrows denote the orientations on the knot or on the link components (in the example above all the diagrams represent knots, except the third one, which represents a 2-components link).

This leads to the natural question: if two knots or links are isotopy equivalent, what can we say about their knot diagrams? can we have different diagrams for “the same” knot? The answer to the second question is easily seen to be: Yes! For instance, both



are diagrams for the “unknot”. So the real question is: how are two diagrams for the same knot related? The answer comes from Reidemeister’s theorem: let D_1 and D_2 be plane diagrams for links l_1 and l_2 . Then l_1 is in the same isotopy class as l_2 if and only if we can get from D_1 to D_2 with a sequence of

- plane isotopies
- combinatorial moves called Reidemeister moves



By Reidemeister's theorem, the set of equivalence classes we are interested in, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{L} = \{\text{oriented links}\} / \{\text{isotopies}\}$$

is the same as

$$\{\text{oriented link diagrams in } \mathbb{R}^2\} / \{\text{plane isotopies} + \text{Reidemeister moves}\}$$

It would be nice if we could label any link in such a way that isotopic links get the same label (and so links with different labels are surely not isotopic). This leads to the definition of link invariant: a function $f: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow S$, where S is some set of labels. In interesting examples, S will be more structured, e.g., it will have a group or a ring structure. A remarkable fact is that, by Reidemeister's theorem recalled above, a link invariant is the same thing as a function

$$f: \{\text{oriented link diagrams in } \mathbb{R}^2\} / \{\text{plane isotopies}\} \rightarrow S$$

which is invariant with respect to the Reidemeister moves. A celebrated example is the level n Jones polynomial

$$Jones_n: \{\text{oriented link diagrams in } \mathbb{R}^2\} / \{\text{plane isotopies}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$$

defined by the rules:

1. $Jones_n(\bigcirc) = [n]_q := \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}} = q^{1-n} + q^{3-n} + \dots + q^{n-3} + q^{n-1}$
2. $Jones_n(L_1 \sqcup L_2) = Jones_n(L_1) Jones_n(L_2)$
3. $Jones_n$ satisfies the skein relation

$$q^{-n} Jones_n(\text{crossing}) - q^n Jones_n(\text{crossing}) = (q^{-1} - q) Jones_n(\text{cup and cap})$$

The Jones polynomial is actually an instance of a general construction of links invariant out of rigid braided monoidal categories: Reshetikhin and Turaev [RT90, RT91] show that choosing an object X in a rigid braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} uniquely defines a braided monoidal functor

$$Z_X: \{\text{orient. tangle diagrams in } \mathbb{R}^2\} / \{\text{isotopies} + \text{Reidemeister moves}\} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

with

$$Z_X(\uparrow) = \{\text{id}_X: X \rightarrow X\}.$$

On the left hand side, equivalence classes of oriented tangle diagrams are a rigid braided monoidal category with the tensor product given by juxtaposition of tangle diagrams, composition given by gluing a tangle diagram on the top of on another if their top and bottom endpoints match, while the identity, the evaluation, coevaluation and braidings for the object \uparrow are given by the tangle diagrams



On morphisms, Z_X acts by interpreting a tangle diagram as a string diagram in \mathcal{C} , i.e., by the rules

$$Z_X\left(\text{cup}\right) = ev_X: X^\vee \otimes X \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}},$$

where X^\vee is the dual of X and $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the unit object of \mathcal{C} , or

$$Z_X \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array} \right) = \sigma_{X,X}^+ : X \otimes X \rightarrow X \otimes X,$$

etc. The level n Jones polynomial is originally recovered as a particular case of this, by choosing \mathcal{C} to be a certain braided monoidal subcategory of the category $\text{Rep}(U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n))$ of (finite dimensional) representations of the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$, and as X the fundamental representation.

This is a highly nontrivial algebraic structure, but inspired by the work of Murakami, Ohtsuki and Yamada [MOY98], one can also use a very simple combinatorial category **MOY** based on trivalent planar graphs instead. Namely, Murakami, Ohtsuki and Yamada are able to express the level n Jones polynomial of a link as a sum over trivalent graphs (with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$):

$$\text{Jones}_n(L) = \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_L} a_\Gamma \langle \Gamma \rangle,$$

where $a_\Gamma \in \mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$, and \mathcal{G}_L is a collection of planar trivalent graphs associated to the oriented link L by the rule

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array} \rangle &= q^{n-1} \left(\langle \begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \end{array} \rangle \langle \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \\ \diagup \end{array} \rangle - q \langle \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} \rangle \right) \\ \langle \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} \rangle &= q^{1-n} \left(\langle \begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \end{array} \rangle \langle \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \\ \diagup \end{array} \rangle - q^{-1} \langle \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} \rangle \right) \end{aligned}$$

Notice how this implies the skein relation for the Jones polynomial. The bracket $\langle - \rangle$ appearing in the Murakami-Ohtsuki-Yamada formula is a $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$ -linear function

$$\langle - \rangle : \mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]\{\text{plane trivalent diagrams}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$$

(where on the left we mean the $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$ -module generated by plane trivalent diagrams) satisfying certain combinatorial relations such as

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \downarrow \end{array} = [2]_q \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} ; \quad \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \downarrow \end{array} = [n-1]_q \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} ; \end{array}$$

now called MOY relations. The MOY bracket $\langle - \rangle$ is therefore a $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$ -linear function

$$\langle - \rangle : \{\text{oriented planar trivalent diagrams}\} / \{\text{MOY relations}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$$

In Chapter 3 of this Thesis we interpret this construction in terms of braided tensor categories by introducing a combinatorially defined rigid braided monoidal category **MOY** with

Objects(MOY)=finite sequences of upgoing and downgoing arrows labeled by the integer 1

Morphisms(MOY)= $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$ -linear combinations of oriented trivalent graphs connecting the source sequence of arrows to the target sequence of arrows, modulo the Murakami-Ohtsuki-Yamada relations. Edges of this graphs are labelled so that at each vertex the sum of the incoming labels equals the sum of the outgoing labels.

For instance, (the equivalence class of)

$$(2 + q^4) \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} + (q^2 - 7q^{-3}) \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array}$$

is a morphism from $\uparrow^1 \uparrow^1$ to $\uparrow^1 \uparrow^1$ in **MOY**.

Composition in **MOY** is given by gluing one trivalent graph on top of the other (and then extending this by $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$ -linearity); tensor product is given by juxtaposition (again, extended by $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$ -linearity). The category **MOY** is rigid with the evaluations and coevaluations given by the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array}$$

and it is braided with the braidings

$$\sigma^+ = q^{n-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} - q \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right),$$

$$\sigma^- = q^{1-n} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} - q^{-1} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right)$$

In terms of the rigid braided monoidal category **MOY**, the definition of the MOY bracket $\langle - \rangle$ can be elegantly expressed through Reshetikhin-Turaev theorem: it is the unique braided monoidal functor

$$\langle - \rangle: \mathbf{TD} \rightarrow \mathbf{MOY}$$

with

$$\langle \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \uparrow \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} \rangle = \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \end{array}$$

where

$$\mathbf{TD} = \{\text{oriented tangle diagrams in } \mathbb{R}^2\} / \{\text{isotopies} + \text{Reidemeister moves}\}.$$

In terms of the MOY rules one easily computes the level n Jones polynomial. For instance,

$$\begin{aligned} Jones_n \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} \right) &= q^{2(n-1)} \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \bigcirc \quad \bigcirc \end{array} - 2q \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} + q^2 \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} \right\rangle \\ &= q^{2(n-1)} (q^{1-n} + q^3 [n-1]_q) [n]_q \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we show that a generalized version $\mathbf{MOY}_{\alpha,\zeta}$ of the category \mathbf{MOY} can be constructed, leading to the HOMFLY-PT polynomial with parameters α and $z = \zeta^{-1} - \zeta$. The main result from Chapter 3 is then that the functor

$$Z_{\alpha,\zeta}: \mathbf{TD} \rightarrow \mathbf{MOY}_{\alpha,\zeta}$$

identifies $\mathbf{MOY}_{\alpha,\zeta}$ with the universal rigid braided untwisted category \mathcal{C} generated by one object whose braidings, evaluation and coevaluation morphism satisfy the skein relation

$$\alpha \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \searrow \\ \nwarrow \nearrow \end{array} - \alpha^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \nwarrow \nearrow \\ \nearrow \searrow \end{array} = z \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{array}$$

as well as the normalization condition

$$\bigcirc = \frac{\alpha - \alpha^{-1}}{z} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}$$

A nontrivial refinement of the Jones polynomial has been obtained by Khovanov and Rozansky [KR08]. Their link invariant is a polynomial in *two* variables q, t and their inverses, which specializes to the Jones polynomial when it is evaluated at $t = -1$. For instance

$$KR_n \left(\bigcirc \right) = q^{2(n-1)} (q^{1-n} + t^2 q^3 [n-1]_q) [n]_q$$

The Khovanov-Rozansky invariant is generally very hard to compute, as it does not satisfy a skein relation, and actually the original definition of the Khovanov-Rozansky is rather complicated: it involves complexes of matrix factorizations of certain Landau-Ginzburg potentials (polynomials in some set of variables with no constant or linear term, with finite dimensional Jacobian algebra). On the other hand, the construction of the combinatorial category \mathbf{MOY} and the way it replaced the more apparently less trivial category $\text{Rep}(U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n))$ with a simple combinatorial one suggests the following question: is it possible to replace also this rather complicated algebraic category of Landau-Ginzburg potentials and matrix factorizations with a simple purely combinatorial category defined in terms of planar trivalent graphs?

The main result of this Thesis consists in giving a positive answer to this question. In Chapter 4 we define a braided monoidal category \mathbf{KR} of formal complexes of (formal direct sums of shifted) \mathbf{MOY} -type graphs, that encodes combinatorially all of the features of the category of complexes of matrix factorizations that are used by Khovanov and Rozansky in order to construct their link invariant. More in detail, in [KR08], Khovanov and Rozansky consider a monoidal category which could be called the “homotopy category of chain complexes in the Landau-Ginzburg category” and therefore denoted by the symbol $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{LG})$. Objects of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{LG})$ are Landau-Ginzburg potentials, i.e., polynomials $W(\vec{x})$ in the variables $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ over the field \mathbb{Q} (or an extension of it), such that the Jacobian ring $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, \dots]/(\partial_1 W, \partial_2 W, \dots)$ is finite dimensional. Morphisms between $W_1(\vec{x})$ and $W_2(\vec{y})$ are homotopy equivalence classes of complexes of matrix factorizations of $W_1(\vec{x}) - W_2(\vec{y})$. The category $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{LG})$ is rigid, and it is expected to be braided.¹ Even without knowing for sure whether $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{LG})$ is braided or not, Khovanov and Rozansky are nevertheless able to define a rigid monoidal functor

$$KH_n: \mathbf{TD} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{LG})$$

with $KH_n(\uparrow) = x^{n+1}$, thus realizing $W(x) = x^{n+1}$ as a *braided object* in $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{LG})$. For a link Γ the complex of matrix factorizations $KH_n(\Gamma)$ is a complex of matrix factorizations of the potential 0, and so it is simply a bicomplex of graded \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces. Up to homotopy, this is identified by the cohomology of its total complex. This is a finite dimensional bigraded \mathbb{Q} -vector space, called the Khovanov-Rozansky cohomology of the link Γ . As the only invariant of a finite dimensional bigraded vector space is its graded dimension, the datum of the Khovanov-Rozansky cohomology of Γ is equivalently the datum of a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}, t, t^{-1}]$. This is precisely the Khovanov-Rozansky invariant mentioned above: $KR_n(\Gamma) = \dim_{q,t} KH_n(\Gamma)$.

¹Unfortunately, we are not aware of any reference proving (or disproving) this fact. Our impression is that most experts are quite certain that $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{LG})$ is indeed a braided monoidal category.

As one could expect, the construction of KH is in two steps: first, Khovanov and Rozansky define a rigid monoidal functor $KH_n: \mathbf{PTD} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{LG})$ with $KH_n(\uparrow) = x^{n+1}$, where \mathbf{PTD} denotes the rigid monoidal category of plane tangle diagrams modulo plane homotopies, and then they show that KH is invariant with respect to the Reidemeister moves thus inducing a braided monoidal functor $KH_n: \mathbf{TD} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{LG})$. The proof of this fact is quite nontrivial and uses subtle properties of the complexes of matrix factorizations involved with the definition of KH_n . What we do in Chapter 4 is precisely to extract from Khovanov and Rozansky's proof all the properties of these complexes of matrix factorizations actually used, as well as additional properties demonstrated by Rasmussen in [Ra07], and change them into defining properties. By this, one tautologically has that the monoidal functor KH factors as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{TD} & \xrightarrow{Kh_n} & \mathbf{KR} \xrightarrow{\text{mf}} \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{LG}) \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \\ & & KH_n \end{array} \quad (*)$$

for some monoidal functor $\text{mf}: \mathbf{KR} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{LG})$ with $\text{mf}(\uparrow) = x^{n+1}$, where ‘mf’ stands for ‘matrix factorizations’. Moreover mf is compatible with shifts and direct sums. This implies that it commutes with the tensor product by graded \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces (with a fixed basis).

Unfortunately we are not able to prove whether mf is an embedding of categories. Clearly, it is injective on objects, but its faithfulness on morphisms is elusive: there could be nonequivalent formal complexes of MOY-type graphs leading to homotopy equivalent complexes of matrix factorizations. Actually, our opinion is that mf should not be expected to be faithful: it is likely that in $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{LG})$ there are many more relations than those involved in the proof of the Reidemeister invariance of KH_n by Khovanov and Rozansky and those evidenced by Rasmussen. Yet, if for a link Γ we have

$$Kh_n(\Gamma) = [V_\bullet] \otimes \emptyset,$$

where \emptyset is the empty diagram and

$$V_\bullet = \left(\cdots \rightarrow V_{i-1} \xrightarrow{0} V_i \xrightarrow{0} V_{i+1} \cdots \right),$$

is some complex of graded \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces with trivial differentials, then the commutativity of the diagram $*$ implies that we have

$$KH_n(\Gamma) = \oplus_i V_i,$$

with the graded \mathbb{Q} -vector space V_i in “horizontal” degree i . This precisely means that, for those links such that $Kh_n(\Gamma) = [V_\bullet] \otimes \emptyset$, the functor Kh_n computes the Khovanov-Rozansky homology. This fact is extensively used in Chapter 5 to compute the level n Khovanov-Rozansky polynomial for all 2-strands braid links with k crossings (this is actually a knot when k is odd and a 2-component link when k is even). Namely, we obtain

$$Kh_n \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{diagram with } 2k+1 \text{ crossings} \end{array} \right) \right) = q^{(n-1)(2k+1)} \left(q^{1-n} [n]_q + (q^{-n} + tq^n) \frac{t^2 q^4 - t^{2k+2} q^{4k+4}}{1 - t^2 q^4} [n-1]_q \right)$$

and

$$Kh_n \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{diagram with } 2k \text{ crossings} \end{array} \right) \right) = q^{(n-1)(2k)} \left(q^{1-n} [n]_q + (q^{-n} + tq^n) \frac{t^2 q^4 - t^{2k} q^{4k}}{1 - t^2 q^4} [n-1]_q + t^{2k} q^{4k-1} [n]_q [n-1]_q \right)$$

This perfectly matches (and so confirms and extends) the results obtained or predicted for this kind of knots and links by:

- Rasmussen for the odd k 's and $n \geq 4$ [Ra07];
- Carqueville and Murfet for low values of k and n (computer assisted computation) [CM14];
- Gukov-Iqbal-Kozçaz-Vafa for $k = 2$ and all n (string theory based prediction) [GIKV10];
- Anokhina, Dolotin and Morozov for all k and n (algebraic combinatorics based prediction) [AM14, DM14].

Chapter 2

The tangles category

2.1 Ideals and quotients in tensor categories

For \mathbf{C} a category, we write $\mathbf{C}(a, b)$ or $\mathbf{C}_{a,b}$ for the set of morphisms from the object a to the object b in \mathbf{C} . Throughout this chapter, R will be a fixed commutative ring with unit, which we will assume to be an integral domain.

Definition 2.1.1. We say that \mathbf{C} is enriched in R -modules¹ if each hom-set $\mathbf{C}_{a,b}$ has the structure of R -module, and if these structures are compatible with the category structure of \mathbf{C} .

For instance, we require that the composition

$$\mathbf{C}_{a,b} \times \mathbf{C}_{b,c} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{a,c}$$

is R -bilinear, and so it is a morphism of R -modules

$$\mathbf{C}_{a,b} \otimes_R \mathbf{C}_{b,c} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{a,c}.$$

Definition 2.1.2. An *ideal* \mathbf{I} of a category \mathbf{C} enriched in R -modules is a collection of sub- R -modules

$$\mathbf{I}_{a,b} \subseteq \mathbf{C}_{a,b},$$

for each pair of objects a and b in \mathbf{C} such that the composition induces morphisms

$$\mathbf{I}_{a,b} \otimes_R \mathbf{C}_{b,c} \rightarrow \mathbf{I}_{a,c}$$

and

$$\mathbf{C}_{a,b} \otimes_R \mathbf{I}_{b,c} \rightarrow \mathbf{I}_{a,c}.$$

Definition 2.1.3. If \mathbf{I} is an ideal of \mathbf{C} we have a well defined category \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{I} , enriched in R -modules, whose hom-spaces are

$$(\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{I})_{a,b} = \mathbf{C}_{a,b}/\mathbf{I}_{a,b}.$$

Remark 2.1.1. It is immediate to see that \mathbf{C} is an ideal, and that the intersection of ideals is again an ideal. Therefore, given an arbitrary collection \mathcal{I} of morphisms in \mathbf{C} one can consider the ideal $\langle \mathcal{I} \rangle$ generated by \mathcal{I} : this will be the intersection of all the ideals of \mathbf{C} containing \mathcal{I} .

When \mathbf{C} is a tensor category, we have in addition a tensor product and compatibility with the R -module enrichment is expressed by requiring that the tensor product of maps

$$\otimes: \mathbf{C}_{a,b} \times \mathbf{C}_{c,d} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{a \otimes c, b \otimes d}$$

is A -bilinear, thus giving a morphism of R -modules

$$\otimes: \mathbf{C}_{a,b} \otimes_R \mathbf{C}_{c,d} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{a \otimes c, b \otimes d}.$$

¹As R is commutative, every R -module is naturally an R - R -bimodule.

Definition 2.1.4. An ideal \mathbf{I} of \mathbf{C} will be called a *tensor ideal* if the tensor product morphisms induce morphisms

$$\otimes: \mathbf{I}_{a,b} \otimes_R \mathbf{C}_{c,d} \rightarrow \mathbf{I}_{a \otimes c, b \otimes d}.$$

and

$$\otimes: \mathbf{C}_{a,b} \otimes_R \mathbf{I}_{c,d} \rightarrow \mathbf{I}_{a \otimes c, b \otimes d}.$$

Remark 2.1.2. If \mathbf{I} is a tensor ideal, then \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{I} is naturally a tensor category, with tensor product induced by that of \mathbf{C} .

Remark 2.1.3. Again, is immediate to see that \mathbf{C} is a tensor ideal, and that the intersection of tensor ideals is again a tensor ideal. It follows that, given an arbitrary collection \mathcal{I} of morphisms in \mathbf{C} one can consider the tensor ideal $\langle \mathcal{I}^\otimes \rangle$ generated by \mathcal{I} : this will be the intersection of all the tensor ideals of \mathbf{C} containing \mathcal{I} . Moreover, if \mathbf{C} is rigid (i.e., one has a notion of duals for objects), then so is \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{I} , and if \mathbf{C} is also “balanced”, i.e., if each object is naturally isomorphic with its double dual in a way compatible with dualities and tensor product of objects, then so is also \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{I} .

2.2 The tangles category

As an example of the general construction sketched in the previous section, we will now describe the category of A -linear combinations of tangles as a tensor category enriched in R -modules. We start by considering the category **PTD** of (A -linear combinations of) planar tangle diagrams.

Definition 2.2.1. The category **PTD** of (A -linear combinations of) planar tangle diagrams is the category enriched in R -modules whose objects are (possibly empty) finite sequences of upgoing or downgoing arrows. Morphisms between two objects \vec{i} and \vec{j} are given by R -linear combinations of plane tangle diagrams with oriented edges with incoming boundary data given by the sequence \vec{i} and outgoing boundary data given by the sequence \vec{j} .

The composition of morphisms is given by vertical concatenation of diagrams, extended by R -bilinearity to R -linear combinations of diagrams.

Example 2.2.1. For instance, an object in **PTD** could be the following:

$$\uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow$$

Example 2.2.2. We draw the source object on the bottom and the target object on the top. For instance, if $R = \mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$,

$$(q^{-2} + 7q^3) \text{ (diagram of a crossing with a loop) }$$

is a morphism from $\uparrow \uparrow \downarrow$ to \uparrow . An example of composition is the following:

$$q \text{ (diagram of a loop) } \circ (q^{-1} + q) \text{ (diagram of a crossing with a loop) } = (1 + q^2) \text{ (diagram of a crossing with a loop) }$$

Notation 2.2.1. The identity morphism is given by the planar link diagram with just straight vertical lines connecting the bottom boundary with the top boundary. For instance, the identity of $\uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow$ is

$$\uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow$$

Remark 2.2.1. The category **PTD** has a natural monoidal structure, with tensor product of objects and morphisms given by horizontal juxtaposition. For instance, if $R = \mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$, we have:

$$(q^{-2} - q) \text{ (diagram of a loop) } \otimes (1 + 2q) \text{ (diagram of a crossing with a loop) } = (q^{-2} + 2q^{-1} - q - 2q^2) \text{ (diagram of a loop and a crossing with a loop) }$$

The unit element is the empty sequence.

Remark 2.2.2. It is manifest by the definition of the objects and of the tensor product that **PTD** is monoidally generated by the objects \uparrow and \downarrow .

Remark 2.2.3. The monoidal category **PTD** also enjoys a natural structure of rigid monoidal category: the left and right dual of \uparrow is \downarrow and vice versa. The duality morphisms are given as follows:




Since **PTD** is monoidally generated by $\{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$, these duality morphisms suffice to define duality morphisms for arbitrary objects in **PTD**. In particular we see that each object in **PTD** is naturally identified with its double dual. This natural identification $\delta_{\vec{i}} = \text{id}_{\vec{i}}: \vec{i} \xrightarrow{\sim} \vec{i}^{\vee\vee} = \vec{i}$ is compatible with dualities and with the tensor product of objects, i.e., it satisfies

$$\delta_{\vec{i} \otimes \vec{j}} = \delta_{\vec{i}} \otimes \delta_{\vec{j}}; \quad \delta_{\emptyset} = \text{id}_{\emptyset}; \quad \delta_{\vec{i}^{\vee}} = (\delta_{\vec{i}}^{\vee})^{-1}.$$

Therefore, **PTD** is a “balanced” rigid category.

Definition 2.2.2. Let \mathcal{R} be the following set of morphisms in **PTD**:



as well as those obtained by reversing the orientations on the tangle diagrams or in the ambient \mathbb{R}^2 , and let $\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{R}} = \langle \mathcal{R}^{\otimes} \rangle$ be the tensor ideal generated by \mathcal{R} in **PTD**. Finally, let **TD** be the monoidal category defined by

$$\mathbf{TD} = \mathbf{PTD} / \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{R}}.$$

We call **TD** the category of (R -linear combinations of) tangle diagrams.

By the above discussion, we have the following.

Proposition 2.2.1. The category **TD** is a balanced rigid category.

Remark 2.2.4. As we are going to show in the next section, the balanced rigid category **TD** enjoys also an additional property: it is *braided*. Therefore it is a *ribbon* category in the terminology of Reshetikhin and Turaev [RT90, RT91]. As in every ribbon category, the balancing isomorphisms $\delta_{\vec{i}}$ can be encoded into suitable *twist* morphisms $\theta_{\vec{i}}: \vec{i} \xrightarrow{\sim} \vec{i}$. We are going to see that these morphisms are trivial, i.e. $\theta_{\vec{i}} = \text{id}_{\vec{i}}$, for the ribbon category **TD**.

2.2.1 The tangles category as a ribbon category

We now want to define a braiding on **TD**. Since **TD** is monoidally generated by the object \uparrow together with its dual, all we have to do is to define the braiding for this object, i.e., we have to define a morphism

$$\sigma: (\uparrow \uparrow) \rightarrow (\uparrow \uparrow)$$

such that

- σ is an isomorphism;

- the braid relation

$$(\sigma \otimes \text{id}_\uparrow) \circ (\text{Id}_\uparrow \otimes \sigma) \circ (\sigma \otimes \text{id}_\uparrow) = (\text{id}_\uparrow \otimes \sigma) \circ (\sigma \otimes \text{id}_\uparrow) \circ (\text{id}_\uparrow \otimes \sigma)$$

is satisfied.

Remark 2.2.5. Equivalently, this can be expressed by requiring that there exists a pair of morphisms

$$\sigma^+ : (\uparrow \uparrow) \rightarrow (\uparrow \uparrow) ; \quad \sigma^- : (\uparrow \uparrow) \rightarrow (\uparrow \uparrow)$$

such that

$$\sigma^+ \circ \sigma^- = \sigma^- \circ \sigma^+ = \text{id}_{\uparrow \uparrow} \quad (\text{R2a})$$

$$(\sigma^+ \otimes \text{id}_\uparrow) \circ (\text{id}_\uparrow \otimes \sigma^+) \circ (\sigma^+ \otimes \text{id}_\uparrow) = (\text{id}_\uparrow \otimes \sigma^+) \circ (\sigma^+ \otimes \text{id}_\uparrow) \circ (\text{id}_\uparrow \otimes \sigma^+) \quad (\text{R3})$$

Namely, σ^+ and σ^- can be defined by $\sigma^+ = \sigma$ and $\sigma^- = \sigma^{-1}$ and vice versa these equations define σ from the pair (σ^+, σ^-) .

Remark 2.2.6. As **TD** is a rigid category, it is natural to require that it is *rigid braided*. This requires the following compatibility between the braiding σ and the evaluation and coevaluation morphisms:

$$(\text{id}_\uparrow \otimes \text{ev}_\uparrow \otimes \text{id}_\downarrow) \circ (\sigma^+ \otimes (\sigma^-)^\vee) \circ (\text{id}_\uparrow \otimes \text{coev}_\uparrow \otimes \text{id}_\downarrow) = \text{coev}_\uparrow \circ \text{ev}_\uparrow. \quad (\text{R2b})$$

Definition 2.2.3. We set

$$\sigma^+ = \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array}$$

and

$$\sigma^- = \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array}$$

Then we have the following.

Proposition 2.2.2. The monoidal category **TD** is rigid braided.

Proof. This is immediate, as the relations (R2a), (R2b) and (R3) precisely correspond to the second (of type (a) and (b))

$$\begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \uparrow \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \quad \downarrow \\ \downarrow \quad \uparrow \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ \downarrow \quad \downarrow \end{array}$$

and to the third

$$\begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array}$$

Reidemeister relation, respectively, and these are satisfied in **TD** as we have obtained it from **PTD** by quotienting out the ideal generated by Reidemeister relations. \square

Definition 2.2.4. We say that a ribbon category is *untwisted* if the canonical twists are trivial.

We have the following refinement of Proposition 2.2.2.

Proposition 2.2.3. The rigid braided monoidal category **TD** is untwisted.

Proof. For **TD** the triviality of the twists amounts to the following equation:

$$(\text{ev}_\uparrow \otimes \text{id}_\uparrow) \circ (\text{id}_\downarrow \otimes \sigma^+) \circ (\text{coev}_\downarrow \otimes \text{id}_\uparrow) = \text{id}_\uparrow. \quad (\text{R1})$$

This is satisfied as for **TD** the relation (R1) is precisely given by the first Reidemeister relation

A diagram illustrating a simplification rule. On the left, a vertical line with an arrow pointing upwards has a small loop on its left side. This is followed by an equals sign, and then a straight vertical line with an arrow pointing upwards.

We conclude this section by recalling the main property of the category **TD**: its universality.

Theorem 1 (Reshetikhin-Turaev, [RT90, RT91]). *The category \mathbf{TD} is the universal untwisted rigid braided category on one object. That is, if \mathbf{C} is an untwisted rigid braided category (enriched in R -modules) and X is an object of \mathbf{C} , then there exists and it is unique a rigid braided monoidal functor $Z_X: \mathbf{TD} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ with $Z(\uparrow) = X$.*

Remark 2.2.7. The fact that Z_X is a rigid braided monoidal functor means that evaluations, coevaluations and braidings in **TD** are mapped to evaluations, coevaluations and braidings involving the object X and its dual X^* in **C**.

Remark 2.2.8. Since **TD** is a quotient of **PTD**, the category **PTD** is “more free” than **TD**: to give a monoidal functor from **PTD** to a balanced rigid monoidal category **C** is equivalent to giving an object X of **C** together with pairs of morphism (not necessarily isomorphisms) $\phi^+, \phi^- : X \otimes X \rightarrow X \otimes X$. The morphisms ϕ^+, ϕ^- are required to satisfy no relation: they can be chosen in a completely arbitrary way.

2.2.2 Links invariants from quotients of TD

In the language of the previous section, the celebrated result by Reidemeister on the relation between oriented² tangles in \mathbb{R}^3 (with endpoints on parallel planes $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}$ and $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{1\}$) up to ambient isotopies (fixing the endpoints) and planar tangle diagrams can be stated by saying that drawing an oriented tangle in \mathbb{R}^3 as a planar tangle diagram defines an injective map

$$\{\text{tangles in } \mathbb{R}^3\}/\text{isotopy} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{TD}.$$

This map is the *tautological* oriented tangle invariant: every oriented tangle is mapped to itself, seen as a equivalence class of tangle diagrams. To get something more interesting, let us add more relations to quotient by, in addition to Reidemeister moves.

For *every* ideal I of \mathbf{TD} we can consider the ideal $\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{R}} + I$ and therefore we can consider the quotient $\mathbf{PTD}/(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{R}} + I) \cong \mathbf{TD}/((\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{R}} + I)/\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{R}})$. This comes with a projection map

$$\mathbf{PTD}/\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{R}} \cong \mathbf{TD} \xrightarrow{\pi_I} \mathbf{TD}/((\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{R}} + I)/\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{R}}) \cong \mathbf{PTD}_n/(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{R}} + I)$$

which is a braided monoidal functor. As a consequence we get the following.

Remark 2.2.9. For every ideal I of **PTD** we get a (not necessarily injective) map ϕ_I as the composition

$$\phi_I: \{\text{tangles in } \mathbb{R}^3\}/\text{isotopy} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{TD} \xrightarrow{\pi_I} \mathbf{PTD}_n/(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{R}} + I).$$

Let us focus our attention on the quotient map

$$\text{PTD}_{\emptyset, \emptyset} \longrightarrow (\text{PLD}_n / \mathbf{I}_R)_{\emptyset, \emptyset} \xrightarrow{\pi_I} (\text{PTD} / (\mathbf{I}_R + I))_{\emptyset, \emptyset}$$

on *closed* tangle diagrams, i.e., on (oriented) *links*.

²All tangles in this Thesis will be oriented. So we will often just write ‘tangle’ to mean ‘oriented tangle’.

Remark 2.2.10. As $\mathbf{PTD}_{\emptyset, \emptyset} = \text{End}_{\mathbf{PTD}}(\emptyset)$, we have that $\mathbf{PTD}_{\emptyset, \emptyset}$ is an algebra. In particular, it is a R -algebra with unit element given by the empty diagram, so we have a canonical homomorphism of R -algebras

$$R \rightarrow \mathbf{PTD}_{\emptyset, \emptyset}$$

mapping the element 1 of R to the empty link \emptyset (as a morphism from the empty sequence \emptyset to itself). As a consequence, each ideal I of \mathbf{PTD} determines an homomorphism of A -algebras as the composition

$$\rho_I: R \rightarrow \mathbf{PTD}_{\emptyset, \emptyset} \rightarrow \mathbf{PTD}/(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{R}} + I)_{\emptyset, \emptyset},$$

and so an injective homomorphism of R -algebras

$$\tilde{\rho}_I: R/\ker \rho_I \rightarrow \mathbf{PTD}/(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{R}} + I)_{\emptyset, \emptyset}.$$

Lemma 2.2.1. The category $\mathbf{PTD}/(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{R}} + I)$ is enriched in $R/\ker \rho_I$ -modules.

Proof. We need to show that for any $\Gamma \in \mathbf{PTD}_{\vec{i}, \vec{j}}$ and every $a \in \ker \rho_I$, we have $a[\Gamma] = 0$. As $\Gamma \cong \emptyset \otimes \Gamma$, we have $a[\Gamma] = a([\emptyset] \otimes [\Gamma]) = (a[\emptyset]) \otimes \Gamma = 0$, as $a[\emptyset] = 0$ by definition of $\ker \rho_I$. \square

The case of interest for us will be when the relations coming from the Reidemeister moves and the ideal I allow to reduce every link to a scalar multiple of the empty link. This is usually achieved by a set of relations that allow first to reduce each link to an R -linear combination of unknotted disjoint copies of S^1 in the plane, and then two disjoint copies of unknotted S^1 's to a scalar multiple of a single copy of an unknotted S^1 , so that iteratively one ends up with a scalar multiple of a single copy of an unknotted S^1 . Finally one needs a further “normalization” move that identifies an “unknot” with a scalar multiple of the empty link.

As we are going to see, this is precisely what happens with the so called skein relations. Other examples of this construction are Kauffman brackets relations [Kau87]. More generally, when this happens, the morphism ρ_I is surjective and so $\tilde{\rho}_I$ is an isomorphism. The following is immediate.

Lemma 2.2.2. Assume $\rho_I: R \rightarrow \mathbf{PTD}/(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{R}} + I)_{\emptyset, \emptyset}$ is surjective, and let $\tilde{p}_I: \mathbf{PTD}/(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{R}} + I)_{\emptyset, \emptyset} \rightarrow R/\ker \rho_I$ be the inverse of $\tilde{\rho}_I$. Then we have a canonical morphism of A -algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & p_I & & \\ & \searrow & \text{---} & \nearrow & \\ \mathbf{PTD}_{\emptyset, \emptyset} & \xrightarrow{[\]} & \mathbf{PTD}/(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{R}} + I)_{\emptyset, \emptyset} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\tilde{p}_I} & A/\ker \rho_I \end{array}$$

mapping a closed tangle diagram Γ to the unique element $p_I(\Gamma)$ in $A/\ker \rho_I$ such that $\tilde{\rho}_I(p_I(\Gamma)) = [\Gamma]$, where $[\Gamma]$ is the equivalence class of Γ in $\mathbf{PTD}_{\emptyset, \emptyset}$.

Remark 2.2.11. The morphisms in Lemma 2.2.2 fit into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & [\] & & & \\ & & & \text{---} & & & \\ R & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{PTD}_{\emptyset, \emptyset} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{PTD}/\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{R}}_{\emptyset, \emptyset} & \xrightarrow{\pi_I} & \mathbf{PTD}/(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{R}} + I)_{\emptyset, \emptyset} \\ & \searrow p_I & & \nearrow \tilde{p}_I & & & \\ & & R/\ker \rho_I & \xleftarrow{\tilde{\rho}_I} & & & \end{array}$$

In other words, $p_I(\Gamma)$ in $R/\ker \rho_I$ is the image of in $R/\ker \rho_I$ of an element λ_{Γ} in R such that

$$[\Gamma] = \lambda_{\Gamma} [\emptyset].$$

Namely, as we are assuming ρ_I to be surjective, for every link diagram Γ there exists λ_{Γ} in R such that $[\Gamma] = \rho_I(\lambda_{\Gamma}) = \lambda_{\Gamma} \rho_I(1) = \lambda_{\Gamma} [\emptyset]$. Let $\tilde{\lambda}_{\Gamma}$ be the image of λ_{Γ} in $A/\ker \rho_I$. Then $\tilde{\rho}_I(\tilde{\lambda}_{\Gamma}) = [\Gamma] = \tilde{\rho}_I(p_I(\Gamma))$, and so $p_I(\Gamma) = \tilde{\lambda}_{\Gamma}$ as $\tilde{\rho}_I$ is an isomorphism.

Remark 2.2.12. The equation $[\Gamma] = \lambda_\Gamma [\emptyset]$ uniquely determines λ_Γ up to a term in $\ker \rho_I$. Indeed, if $\lambda_\Gamma [\emptyset] = \lambda'_\Gamma [\emptyset]$, then $\rho_I(\lambda_\Gamma - \lambda'_\Gamma) [\emptyset] = [\Gamma] - [\Gamma] = 0$.

In the above hypothesis, the map ϕ_I of Remark 2.2.9 is naturally identified with a map

$$\phi_I: \{\text{links in } \mathbb{R}^3\}/\text{isotopy} \rightarrow R/\ker \rho_I,$$

and so to get a nontrivial link invariant we only need to be able to choose an ideal I such that $\ker \rho_I \neq R$. If we have been able to do so, then up to changing R with $R/\ker \rho_I$, by Lemma 2.2.1 we may assume that $\ker \rho_I = \{0\}$. This leads us to the following.

Proposition 2.2.4. The datum of a multiplicative link invariant

$$\{\text{links in } \mathbb{R}^3\}/\text{isotopy} \rightarrow R$$

is equivalent to the datum of an ideal I of **PTD** such that

$$\mathbf{PTD}/(\mathbf{I}_\mathcal{R} + I)_{\emptyset, \emptyset} \cong R.$$

Proof. We have seen above as the datum of an ideal I as in the assumptions of the statement induces a links invariant

$$\phi_I: \{\text{links in } \mathbb{R}^3\}/\text{isotopy} \rightarrow R$$

which, by construction is multiplicative, i.e., is such that

$$\phi_I(\Gamma_1 \sqcup \Gamma_2) = \phi_I(\Gamma_1) \phi_I(\Gamma_2).$$

Vice versa, as isotopy classes of links are R -linear generators for $\mathbf{TD}_{\emptyset, \emptyset}$ any multiplicative R -valued links invariant is induced by an R -algebra homomorphism $p: \mathbf{PTD}_{\emptyset, \emptyset} \rightarrow R$ factoring through $\mathbf{TD}_{\emptyset, \emptyset}$, i.e., such that $p(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{R}, \emptyset, \emptyset}) = 0$. Let I be an ideal of **PTD** such that $\ker(p) = (\mathbf{I}_\mathcal{R} + I)_{\emptyset, \emptyset}$. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & R & \\ \rho_{I_p} \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow \text{id} \\ & \mathbf{PTD}_{\emptyset, \emptyset} & \xrightarrow{p} R \\ & \downarrow & \nearrow \tilde{p} \\ & \mathbf{PTD}_n/(\mathbf{I}_\mathcal{R} + I)_{\emptyset, \emptyset} & \end{array}$$

where \tilde{p} is the injective homomorphism induced by the fact that p factors through the quotient. Namely, since the morphism $R \rightarrow R$ given by the composition $R \rightarrow \mathbf{PTD}_{\emptyset, \emptyset} \xrightarrow{p} R$ in the diagram is a homomorphism of R -algebras with unit, it is necessarily the identity. This in particular implies that ρ_{I_p} is injective and that \tilde{p} is surjective. Since \tilde{p} is also injective, this shows that both ρ_{I_p} and \tilde{p} are R -algebra isomorphisms, and they are inverse each other. \square

Remark 2.2.13. By definition of the quotient $\mathbf{PTD}/(\mathbf{I}_\mathcal{R} + I)$, to give an R -algebra homomorphism

$$p: \mathbf{PTD}/(\mathbf{I}_\mathcal{R} + I)_{\emptyset, \emptyset} \rightarrow R$$

is equivalent to giving an R -algebra homomorphism

$$p: \mathbf{PTD}_{\emptyset, \emptyset} \rightarrow R$$

such that

- $p(\mathbf{I}_\mathcal{R}) = 0$, i.e., p is invariant by the Reidemeister moves;
- $p(I) = 0$, i.e., p is invariant by the relations in the ideal I .

2.2.3 The skein relation of the HOMFLY polynomial

A skein relation defined on the ring R is a local relation on R -linear combination of oriented planar tangle diagrams saying that one can trade an overcrossing for an undercrossing by paying a price in the form of an error term which contains no crossings, and vice versa. More formally, we have the following.

Definition 2.2.5. A skein relation defined on the ring R is a linear relation in $\mathbf{PTD}_{\uparrow\uparrow,\uparrow\uparrow}$ of the form

$$\begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagdown \diagup \end{array} = a \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagup \diagdown \end{array} + b \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagup \diagup \end{array} \quad (2.2.1)$$

with $a \in R^\times$, and $b \in R$, where $R^\times \subseteq R$ is the group of invertible elements of R .

Remark 2.2.14. The condition $a \in R^\times$ is not always included in the definition of skein relation, which is sometimes more generally given without requiring a to be invertible. We imposed invertibility of a as this is what is needed to get the other way round relation

$$\begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagdown \diagup \end{array} = a^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagup \diagdown \end{array} + a^{-1}b \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagup \diagup \end{array} \quad (2.2.2)$$

Moreover, if $b \in R^\times$ we can also write

$$\begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagup \\ \diagdown \diagdown \end{array} = b^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagup \diagdown \end{array} - ab^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagdown \diagup \end{array} \quad (2.2.3)$$

The first two skein relations (2.2.1-2.2.2) and Reidemeister relations allow one to reduce any closed link diagram to a R -linear combination of disjoint copies of unknot diagrams. Moreover, the third skein relation (2.2.3) shows that two disjoint unknot diagrams are equivalent (under Reidemeister moves) to a certain R -scalar multiple of a single unknot, and so inductively that an arbitrary R -linear combination of disjoint copies of unknot diagrams is ultimately equivalent to some scalar multiple of a single unknot diagram. Then, adding a single relation of the form

$$\bigcirc = c\emptyset \quad (2.2.4)$$

for some $c \in R$ (a normalization condition), we see that every closed link diagram is equivalent to a scalar multiple of the empty link diagram. Clearly if $c = 0$ then this scalar multiple is zero, so in order to get a nontrivial result, let us assume $c \neq 0$. The above discussion together with the results of the previous section amounts to a proof of the following.

Proposition 2.2.5. Let us denote by $\mathbf{I}_{a,b,c}$ the ideal of \mathbf{PTD} defined by the Reidemeister moves and by the skein relation (2.2.1) with both a and b in R^\times . There exists and it is unique a multiplicative link invariant link invariant

$$\phi_{a,b,c} : \{\text{oriented links in } \mathbb{R}^3\} / \text{isotopy} \rightarrow R / \ker \rho_{\mathbf{I}_{a,b,c}},$$

induced by a morphism

$$p_{a,b,c} : \mathbf{PTD}_{\emptyset,\emptyset} \rightarrow R$$

with

•

$$p_{a,b,c}(\Gamma) = [0]$$

for any Γ in $\mathbf{PTD}_{\emptyset,\emptyset}$ which is in the tensor ideal generated by the element

$$\begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagdown \diagup \end{array} - a \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagup \diagdown \end{array} - b \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagup \diagup \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagup \\ \diagdown \diagdown \end{array}$$

•

$$p_{a,b,c} \left(\bigcirc \right) = [c];$$

•

$$p_{a,b,c}(\emptyset) = [1].$$

Definition 2.2.6 (The HOMFLY-PT polynomial, [FYHLM085]). The multiplicative links invariant $\phi_{a,b,c}$ of Proposition 2.2.5 is called the HOMFLY-PT polynomial with parameters a, b, c .

Example 2.2.3 (The Jones polynomial). For $R = \mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}, (q - q^{-1})^{-1}]$, the algebra of Laurent polynomials with \mathbb{Q} -coefficients in the variable q where we have additionally inverted the element $q - q^{-1}$, the choice $a = q^{-4}$, $b = q^{-1} - q^{-3}$ and $c = q + q^{-1}$ leads to the celebrated Jones polynomial [Jon85, Jon87]. This generalizes to the level n Jones polynomial for any $n \geq 2$, the case of the classical Jones polynomial corresponding to $n = 2$, as follows. Notice how the somehow bizarre skein relation of the Jones polynomial, i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagdown \diagup \end{array} = q^{-4} \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagdown \diagup \end{array} + (q^{-1} - q^{-3}) \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagdown \\ \diagup \end{array} \right)$$

can be more symmetrically written as

$$(q - q^{-1}) \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagdown \\ \diagup \end{array} \right) = q^2 \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagdown \diagup \end{array} - q^{-2} \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \diagup \\ \diagup \diagdown \end{array}$$

and that the choice $c = q + q^{-1}$ can be rewritten as $c = \frac{q^2 - q^{-2}}{q - q^{-1}}$. The generalization to the level n Jones polynomial is then given by the skein relation

$$(q - q^{-1}) \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagdown \\ \diagup \end{array} \right) = q^n \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagdown \diagup \end{array} - q^{-n} \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \diagup \\ \diagup \diagdown \end{array}$$

(corresponding to the choice $a = q^{-2n}$ and $b = q^{1-n} - q^{-1-n}$) with the normalization condition

$$c = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

It is customary to call the polynomial

$$\frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}} = q^{1-n} + q^{2-n} + \dots + q^{n-2} + q^{n-1}$$

a q -integer and to denote it by the symbol $[n]_q$. That is, for the level n Jones polynomial we have

$$Jones_n \left(\bigcirc \right) = [n]_q.$$

Remark 2.2.15. If Γ is a link, the level n Jones polynomial $Jones_n(\Gamma)$ is actually a Laurent polynomial in the variable q , i.e., $Jones_n$ actually takes its values in the subalgebra $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$ of $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}, (q - q^{-1})^{-1}]$. Namely, the two skein relations

$$\begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagdown \diagup \end{array} = q^{-4} \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagdown \diagup \end{array} + (q^{-1} - q^{-3}) \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagdown \\ \diagup \end{array} \right)$$

and

$$\begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagdown \diagup \end{array} = q^4 \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagdown \diagup \end{array} - (q^3 - q) \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagdown \\ \diagup \end{array} \right)$$

and Reidemeister relations allow one to reduce any closed link diagram to a $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$ -linear combination of disjoint copies of unknot diagrams. Each of these unknot diagrams is mapped to $[n]_q$, which is again an element in $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$. By multiplicativity the disjoint union of unknots is mapped to a power of $[n]_q$, and so ultimately we get a $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$ -linear combination of powers of $[n]_q$, which is an element in $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$.

The skein relation imposes a constraint on c in order for $\ker \rho_{\mathbf{I}_{a,b,c}}$ to be zero, and so for the HOMFLY-PT polynomial $\phi_{a,b,c}$ to be actually a links invariant

$$\phi_{a,b,c}: \{\text{oriented links in } \mathbb{R}^3\}/\text{isotopy} \rightarrow R.$$

This is the content of the following

Lemma 2.2.3. Assume $\ker \rho_{\mathbf{I}_{a,b,c}} = 0$. Then $c = (1 - a)b^{-1}$.

Proof. From

$$b \left(\text{disjoint union of two unknots} \right) = (1 - a) \left(\text{single unknot} \right)$$

we see that b times the disjoint union of two unknots is equivalent to $(1 - a)$ times a single unknot:

$$b \left(\text{two circles} \right) = \left(\text{figure-eight} \right) - a \left(\text{figure-eight} \right) = (1 - a) \left(\text{one circle} \right).$$

By applying $p_{a,b,c}: \mathbf{PTD}_{\emptyset, \emptyset} \rightarrow A$ to both sides, we get the equation $[bc^2 - c(1 - a)] = 0$ in $A/\ker \rho_{\mathbf{I}_{a,b,c}}$. As we are assuming $\ker \rho_{\mathbf{I}_{a,b,c}} = 0$, this gives

$$c(bc - (1 - a)) = 0.$$

If R is an integral domain this gives $c = 0$ or $bc = (1 - a)$. Since we are assuming $c \neq 0$, we are left with the single equation $bc = (1 - a)$. As b is invertible in R , this gives $c = (1 - a)b^{-1}$. \square

Remark 2.2.16. In an integral domain the equation $bc = (1 - a)$ uniquely determines c , unless $b = 0$. More precisely, if $b \neq 0$ there will be at most a unique c satisfying the equation $bc = (1 - a)$. This happens precisely when $(1 - a)$ is a multiple of b , and in that case c is determined as $c = \frac{1-a}{b}$. This observation allows in some cases to relax the condition on the invertibility of b , requiring only that b divides $(1 - a)$. This is what happens, for instance, when one wants to define the Jones polynomial directly as taking values in the algebra $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$ rather than in the larger algebra $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}, (q - q^{-1})^{-1}]$, see Remark 2.2.15.

Example 2.2.4. In the Jones polynomial from Example 2.2.3, we had $a = q^{-4}$, $b = q^{-1} - q^{-3}$ and $c = q + q^{-1}$, and indeed $q + q^{-1} = (1 - q^{-4})/(q^{-1} - q^{-3})$. More generally, for the level n Jones polynomial we had $a = q^{-2n}$, $b = q^{1-n} - q^{-1-n}$ and $c = [n]_q$, and indeed $(1 - q^{-2n})/(q^{1-n} - q^{-1-n}) = (q^n - q^{-n})/(q - q^{-1})$.

Remark 2.2.17. A remarkable fact is that, when A is an integral domain, the necessary condition $c = (1 - a)/b$ from Lemma 2.2.3 is also sufficient to have a trivial kernel $\ker \rho_{\mathbf{I}_{a,b,c}}$ and so to have a multiplicative link invariant

$$\phi_{a,b,c}: \{\text{oriented links in } \mathbb{R}^3\}/\text{isotopy} \rightarrow A.$$

In the original paper [FYHLM085] defining the HOMFLY-PT invariant, one finds outlines of four distinct proofs of the sufficiency of the condition $c = (1 - a)/b$. We are going to provide a fifth one through MOY calculus in the following chapter.

Remark 2.2.18. In the original definition of the HOMFLY-PT polynomial one does not have the normalization $\phi_{a,b,c}(\bigcirc) = c$ but rather the normalization $P_{a,b,c}(\bigcirc) = 1$. In other words one has $P_{a,b,c} = c^{-1}\phi_{a,b,c}$. As a consequence of this, the HOMFLY-PT polynomial $P_{a,b,c}$ is not multiplicative on split unions of closed links but rather satisfies

$$P_{a,b,c}(\Gamma_1 \otimes \Gamma_2) = c P_{a,b,c}(\Gamma_1) P_{a,b,c}(\Gamma_2).$$

Written this way, the relation is meaningful even in the case $c = 0$. In this case one has a link invariant which is *not* multiplicative (and which can not be made multiplicative by a rescaling), but which is identically zero on splittable closed links. The most well-known example is probably the Alexander-Conway polynomial, corresponding to the choice $a = 1$ and $b = q - q^{-1}$.

Remark 2.2.19. Up to passing to a quadratic extension of A , we may assume there exists an element ℓ in R such that $\ell^{-2} = -a$. Setting $m = -b\ell$, the skein relation can then be rewritten as

$$\ell \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagdown \diagup \end{array} \right) + \ell^{-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagdown \diagup \\ \diagup \diagdown \end{array} \right) + m \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagup \\ \diagdown \diagdown \end{array} \right) = 0,$$

which is the form commonly encountered in the literature. Expressed in the variables ℓ, m , the compatibility condition for the normalization becomes

$$c = -\frac{\ell + \ell^{-1}}{m}$$

and so on split unions one has the relation

$$P_{\ell,m}(\Gamma_1 \otimes \Gamma_2) = -\frac{\ell + \ell^{-1}}{m} P_{\ell,m}(\Gamma_1) P_{\ell,m}(\Gamma_2).$$

for the HOMFLY-PT polynomial with parameters ℓ, m . Another customary convention is to denote by α an element of R such that $\alpha^{-2} = a$ (again, one can always assume that such an element exists up to passing to a quadratic extension of R) and to set $z = \alpha b$, so that the skein relation reads

$$\alpha \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagdown \diagup \end{array} \right) - \alpha^{-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagdown \diagup \\ \diagup \diagdown \end{array} \right) = z \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagup \\ \diagdown \diagdown \end{array} \right) \quad (2.2.5)$$

and the normalization condition is $c = (\alpha - \alpha^{-1})/z$. For instance, for the Jones polynomial one has $\alpha = q^2$ and $z = q - q^{-1}$. More generally, for the level n Jones polynomial one has $\alpha = q^n$ and $z = q - q^{-1}$, so that the skein relation (2.2.5) is

$$q^n \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagdown \diagup \end{array} \right) - q^{-n} \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagdown \diagup \\ \diagup \diagdown \end{array} \right) = (q - q^{-1}) \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagup \\ \diagdown \diagdown \end{array} \right)$$

and $c = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}$ (see Example 2.2.3).

It is convenient to summarize all of the above discussion in a definition

Definition 2.2.7. For $\alpha, z \in R^\times$ the **HOMFLY-PT** $_{\alpha,z}$ category is the rigid balanced untwisted braided category enriched in R -modules defined by

$$\mathbf{HOMFLY-PT}_{\alpha,z} = \mathbf{TD} \left/ \left\langle \alpha \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagdown \diagup \end{array} \right) + \alpha^{-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagdown \diagup \\ \diagup \diagdown \end{array} \right) - z \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagup \\ \diagdown \diagdown \end{array} \right) \right\rangle, \left(\bigcirc - \frac{\alpha - \alpha^{-1}}{z} \emptyset \right) \right\rangle,$$

where $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ denotes the tensor ideal generated by the set of morphisms \mathcal{S} . For $R = \mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}, (q - q^{-1})^{-1}]$ and any $n \geq 2$, we set

$$\mathbf{Jones}_n = \mathbf{HOMFLY-PT}_{q^n, q - q^{-1}}.$$

Remark 2.2.20. The above definitions, together with Proposition 2.2.4 imply that the HOMFLY-PT polynomial $\phi_{\alpha,z}$ is defined by the isomorphism

$$\mathbf{HOMFLY-PT}_{\alpha,z,\emptyset,\emptyset} \cong R$$

via the equation

$$[\Gamma] = \phi_{\alpha,z}(\Gamma) [\emptyset],$$

where $[\Gamma]$ denotes the equivalence class of the link Γ in $\mathbf{HOMFLY-PT}_{\alpha,z,\emptyset,\emptyset}$. In particular, the level n Jones polynomial is defined by the equation

$$[\Gamma] = \mathbf{Jones}_n(\Gamma) [\emptyset],$$

where $[\Gamma]$ denotes the equivalence class of the link Γ in $\mathbf{Jones}_{n,\emptyset,\emptyset}$

Chapter 3

The MOY category

As a second example of the construction of a monoidal category as a quotient, we will now describe a tensor category enriched in $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$ -modules, where $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$ is the \mathbb{Q} -algebra of Laurent polynomials in the variable q which is implicit in the work by Murakami, Ohtsuki and Yamada on the Jones polynomial [MOY98]. For this reason we are going to call this monoidal category the MOY category. With respect to [MOY98], we are going to emphasize the language of braided rigid tensor categories and to prove a universal property of the MOY category.

3.1 The category of oriented planar trivalent diagrams

We start by considering the category **TrPD** of trivalent planar diagrams. Its objects are the same as for the category **PTD** of planar tangle diagrams, but now we decorate each source or target arrow by the integer 1. While, this is a trivial decoration, as all objects get a unique decoration given by a set of 1's, labelling the source and target arrows in this way is useful in view of the definition of the morphisms in **TrPD**. Here is a formal definition.

Definition 3.1.1. The category **TrPD** of ($\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$ -linear combinations of) oriented trivalent planar diagrams is the category enriched in $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$ -modules whose objects are (possibly empty) finite sequences of upgoing or downgoing arrows. Morphisms between two objects \vec{i} and \vec{j} are given by $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$ -linear combinations of plane trivalent graphs with oriented edges labeled by positive integers in the set $\{1, 2\}$ and with incoming boundary data given by the sequence \vec{i} and outgoing boundary data given by the sequence \vec{j} . At each vertex exactly two occurring edges are incoming and one outgoing or vice versa; moreover the sum of the incoming labels is required to be equal to the sum of the outgoing labels.

The composition of morphisms is given by vertical concatenation of diagrams, extended by $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$ -bilinearity to $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$ -linear combinations of diagrams.

Example 3.1.1. The following is a morphism from \uparrow^1, \uparrow^1 to \uparrow^1, \uparrow^1 in **TrPD**:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ (5q^{-12} + 11q^4) \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ (7q^{-3} + 3q^2 + q^3) \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \\
 \end{array}
 - (7q^{-3} + 3q^2 + q^3)
 \begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad \quad \quad \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \\
 \end{array}
 + (q^{-8} - 3q^4)
 \begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \quad \quad \quad \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \\
 \end{array}
 .$$

Example 3.1.2. The following is a composition of morphisms in **TrPD**:

$$(1+q) \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \circ (1-q) \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} = (1-q^2) \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array}.$$

Notation 3.1.1. The identity morphism is given by the planar link diagram with just straight vertical lines connecting the bottom boundary with the top boundary. For instance, the identity of $\uparrow^1 \downarrow^1 \uparrow^1 \uparrow^1$ is

$$\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array}$$

Remark 3.1.1. The category **TrPD** has a natural monoidal structure, with tensor product of objects and morphisms given by horizontal juxtaposition. For instance,

$$(q^{-2} - q) \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \otimes (1+2q) \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} = (q^{-2} + 2q^{-1} - q - 2q^2) \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array}$$

The unit element is the empty sequence. It is manifest by the definition of the objects and of the tensor product that **TrPD** is monoidally generated by the objects \uparrow^1 and \downarrow^1 .

Remark 3.1.2. The monoidal category **TrPD** also enjoys a natural structure of rigid monoidal category, with “the same” duality morphisms as **PTD**. More precisely, the duality morphisms on the generating objects are:

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{cap} \quad \text{cup} \quad \text{coev} \quad \text{ev} \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array}$$

These make **TrPD** a balanced rigid category.

Notation 3.1.2. We write id_k for the identity morphism

$$\text{id}_k: \underbrace{\text{id}_{\uparrow^1} \otimes \text{id}_{\uparrow^1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \text{id}_{\uparrow^1}}_{k \text{ times}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \underbrace{\text{id}_{\uparrow^1} \otimes \text{id}_{\uparrow^1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \text{id}_{\uparrow^1}}_{k \text{ times}}.$$

Notice that we have

$$\text{id}_{k_1+k_2} = \text{id}_{k_1} \otimes \text{id}_{k_2}.$$

Also we write ev_k and coev_k for the evaluation and coevaluation morphisms for the object $\underbrace{\uparrow^1 \otimes \uparrow^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \uparrow^1}_{k \text{ times}}$ and write simply ev and coev for ev_1 and coev_1 , respectively. Finally, we write ev_{-k} and coev_{-k} for the evaluation and coevaluation morphisms for the object $\underbrace{\downarrow_1 \otimes \downarrow_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \downarrow_1}_{k \text{ times}}$.

Remark 3.1.3. One easily sees that morphism in **TrPD** are generated via tensor product and composition by identities, evaluations, coevaluations and by the morphism

$$S: \uparrow^1 \otimes \uparrow^1 \rightarrow \uparrow^1 \otimes \uparrow^1$$

given by

$$S = \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array}$$

together with their duals.

The above remark can be restated and emphasized as follows.

Proposition 3.1.1. The category **TrPD** is the free $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$ -linear rigid monoidal category generated by a single object endowed with a distinguished endomorphism of the tensor product of this generating object with itself: for any $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$ -linear rigid monoidal category \mathcal{C} , the datum of a rigid $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$ -linear monoidal functor $Z: \mathbf{TrPD} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is equivalent to the choice of an object X of \mathcal{C} together with the choice of a morphism $\varphi: X \otimes X \rightarrow X \otimes X$.

Notation 3.1.3. For any nonnegative integer j , the q -integer $[j]_q$ is the element of $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$ defined by

$$[j] + q = \frac{q^j - q^{-j}}{q - q^{-1}} = q^{j-1} + q^{j-3} + \cdots + q^{3-j} + q^{1-j}$$

The q -factorial $[j]_q!$ is the element of $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$ defined by

$$[j]_q! = [j]_q [j-1]_q \cdots [1]_q; \quad [0]_q! = 1.$$

Finally, for any two nonnegative integers j and k , the q -binomial $\begin{bmatrix} j \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$ is the element of $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$ defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} j \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{[j]_q!}{[k]_q! [j-k]_q!}.$$

Definition 3.1.2. For a fixed nonnegative integer $n \geq 2$, let \mathbf{I}_{MOY} be the tensor ideal in **TrPD** generated by the following relations¹ (and their duals):

1. $\text{ev} \circ \text{coev} = [n]_q$, i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{c} \bigcirc \\ 1 \end{array} = [n]_q \emptyset \quad (\text{moy-1})$$

2. $S \circ S = (q + q^{-1})S$, i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} = (q + q^{-1}) \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \quad (\text{moy-2})$$

3. $(\text{ev} \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id}^\vee \otimes S) \circ (\text{coev} \otimes \text{id}) = [n-1]_q \text{id}$, i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ \bigcirc \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} = [n-1]_q \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad (\text{moy-3})$$

¹By a little abuse of notation, we say that a relation $a = b$ is a generator for an ideal I to mean that the element $a - b$ is a generator for that ideal.

$$4. (\text{id} \otimes \text{ev} \otimes \text{id}^\vee) \circ (S \otimes S^\vee) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \text{coev} \otimes \text{id}^\vee) = \text{coev} \circ \text{ev} + [n-2]_q \text{id} \otimes \text{id}^\vee, \text{ i.e.,}$$

$$\text{(moy-4)}$$

$$5. (S \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes S) \circ (S \otimes \text{id}) + (\text{id} \otimes S) = (\text{id} \otimes S) \circ (S \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes S) + (S \otimes \text{id}), \text{ i.e.,}$$

$$\text{(moy-5)}$$

The *MOY category* \mathbf{MOY} the monoidal category enriched in $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}, (q - q^{-1})^{-1}]$ -modules defined by

$$\mathbf{MOY}_n = \mathbf{TrPD}/\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{MOY}}.$$

By the discussion in the previous chapter, \mathbf{MOY} is a balanced rigid category. As we are going to show in the next section, the balanced rigid category \mathbf{MOY} enjoys also an additional property: it is *braided*. Therefore it is a *ribbon* category in the terminology of Reshetikhin and Turaev.

Remark 3.1.4. Notice that $[2]_q = q^{-1} + q$, so that we could have written (moy-2) as

$$S \circ S = [2]_q S.$$

We don't do this since, as we are going to see in the next section, the coefficient in (moy-2) generalizes in a different way with respect to the coefficients in (moy-1), (moy-3) and (moy-4) as we move to a more general coefficient ring than $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$.

3.2 The MOY category as a braided category

Definition 3.2.1. The morphism

$$\sigma^+, \sigma^- : \uparrow^1 \otimes \uparrow^1 \rightarrow \uparrow^1 \otimes \uparrow^1$$

in \mathbf{MOY} are defined as (the equivalence classes of)

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^+ &= q^{n-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow^1 \\ \uparrow \\ \uparrow^1 \end{array} \right) - q^n \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow^1 \quad \uparrow^1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \uparrow^2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \uparrow^1 \quad \uparrow^1 \end{array} \right) = q^{n-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow^1 \\ \uparrow \\ \uparrow^1 \end{array} \right) - q \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow^1 \quad \uparrow^1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \uparrow^2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \uparrow^1 \quad \uparrow^1 \end{array} \right), \\ \sigma^- &= q^{1-n} \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow^1 \\ \uparrow \\ \uparrow^1 \end{array} \right) - q^{-n} \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow^1 \quad \uparrow^1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \uparrow^2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \uparrow^1 \quad \uparrow^1 \end{array} \right) = q^{1-n} \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow^1 \\ \uparrow \\ \uparrow^1 \end{array} \right) - q^{-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow^1 \quad \uparrow^1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \uparrow^2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \uparrow^1 \quad \uparrow^1 \end{array} \right), \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.2.1. The category \mathbf{MOY} is a rigid braided and untwisted monoidal category with the braidings defined by σ^+ and σ^- from Definition 3.2.1.

Proof. We begin by checking the first Reidemeister move. It is the identity

$$\begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ 1 \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array}$$

i.e., the identity

$$(\text{ev} \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id}^\vee \otimes \sigma^+) \circ (\text{coev} \otimes \text{id}) = \text{id}$$

(and similarly with σ^+ replaced by σ^-). By definition of the braidings, evaluations and coevaluations in **MOY** this corresponds to the identity

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{cap} \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \circ \left(\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} \otimes \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \right) - q^n \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{cross} \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \circ \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{cup} \\ 1 \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array}$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{cap} \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \circ \left(\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} \otimes \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \right) - q^n \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{cross} \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \circ \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{cup} \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \\ &= \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{cap} \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \circ \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \right) - q^n \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{cross} \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \circ \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{cup} \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \\ &= q^{n-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{circle} \\ 1 \end{array} \right) - q^n \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{cup} \\ 1 \end{array} \right) = q^{n-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \right) - q^n \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \\ &= (q^{n-1} - q^n) \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \end{aligned}$$

where we have used relations (moy-1) and (moy-3), and the identity

$$q^{n-1} - q^n = \frac{q^{n-1}(q^n - q^{-n}) - q^n(q^{n-1} - q^{1-n})}{q - q^{-1}} = \frac{-q^{-1} + q}{q - q^{-1}} = 1.$$

When σ^+ is replaced by σ^- we need to prove

$$(\text{ev} \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id}^\vee \otimes \sigma^-) \circ (\text{coev} \otimes \text{id}) = \text{id},$$

i.e, by reasoning as above,

$$q^{1-n} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{circle} \\ 1 \end{array} \right) - q^{-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{cup} \\ 1 \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
q^{1-n} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{circle} \\ \text{1} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \text{1} \end{array} - q^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \text{cup} \\ \text{1} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \text{2} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \text{1} \end{array} \right) &= q^{1-n} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{1} \\ [n]_q \\ \text{1} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \text{1} \end{array} - q^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \text{1} \\ [n-1]_q \\ \text{1} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \text{1} \end{array} \right) \\
&= (q^{1-n}[n]_q - q^{-n}[n-1]_q) \begin{array}{c} \text{1} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{1} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{1} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{1} \end{array}
\end{aligned}$$

where again we used relations (moy-1) and (moy-3), and the now the identity

$$q^{1-n}[n]_q - q^{-n}[n-1]_q = \frac{q^{1-n}(q^n - q^{-n}) - q^{-n}(q^{n-1} - q^{1-n})}{q - q^{-1}} = \frac{q - q^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} = 1.$$

Next, we check the second Reidemeister move of the first kind. This is the identity

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{crossing} \\ \text{1} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{parallel} \\ \text{1} \end{array}$$

and so the identity

$$\sigma^+ \circ \sigma^- = \text{id}_2$$

(as well as with the role of σ^+ and σ^- interchanged. In **MOY** this is the identity

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{1} \\ q^{n-1} \\ \text{1} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \text{1} \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{1} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{1} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{1} \\ \text{2} \\ \text{1} \end{array} \right) \circ \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{1} \\ q^{1-n} \\ \text{1} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \text{1} \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{1} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{1} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{1} \\ \text{2} \\ \text{1} \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{c} \text{1} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{1} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{1} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{1} \end{array}$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ q^{n-1} \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad -q^n \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ 2 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \circ \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ q^{1-n} \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad -q^{-n} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ 2 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \\
&= \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad -q^{-1} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ 2 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad -q \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ 2 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad + \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ 2 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ 1 \end{array} \\
&= \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad - (q^{-1} + q) \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ 2 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad + (q + q^{-1}) \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ 2 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ 1 \end{array} \\
&= \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used equation (moy-2).

Next we come to the second Reidemeister move of the second kind is the identity

$$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \end{array}$$

and so the identity

$$(\text{id} \otimes \text{ev} \otimes \text{id}^\vee) \circ (\sigma^+ \otimes (\sigma^-)^\vee) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \text{coev} \otimes \text{id}^\vee) = \text{coev} \circ \text{ev}.$$

In **MOY** this is the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \circ \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ q^{n-1} \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad -q^n \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ 2 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \otimes \\
& \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ q^{1-n} \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad -q^{-n} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ 2 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \circ \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \\
&= \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array}
\end{aligned}$$

By using equations (moy-1), (moy-2) and (moy-4), we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \circ \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{c} q^{n-1} \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} - q^n \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \diagup \diagdown \\ 2 \\ \diagdown \diagup \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \otimes \\
& \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{c} q^{1-n} \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} - q^{-n} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \diagup \diagdown \\ 2 \\ \diagdown \diagup \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \circ \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowleft \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \\
& = \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \circ \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} - q^{-1} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \diagup \diagdown \\ 2 \\ \diagdown \diagup \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \\
& - q \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \diagup \diagdown \\ 2 \\ \diagdown \diagup \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \diagup \diagdown \\ 2 \\ \diagdown \diagup \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \circ \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowleft \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \\
& \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bigcirc \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} - q^{-1} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bigcirc \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} - q \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bigcirc \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \diagup \diagdown \\ 2 \\ \diagdown \diagup \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \\
& = [n]_q \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} - (q + q^{-1})[n-1]_q + \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} + [n-2]_q \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \\
& = ([n]_q - (q + q^{-1})[n-1]_q + [n-2]_q) \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \\
& = \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \diagup \diagdown \\ 2 \\ \diagdown \diagup \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array},
\end{aligned}$$

by the identities

$$[n]_q + [n-2]_q = \frac{q^n + q^{n-2} - q^{2-n} - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}$$

and

$$(q + q^{-1})[n-1]_q = (q + q^{-1}) \frac{q^{n-1} - q^{1-n}}{q - q^{-1}} = \frac{q^n - q^{2-n} + q^{n-2} - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}},$$

giving

$$[n]_q - (q + q^{-1})[n-1]_q + [n-2]_q = 0.$$

Finally, we check the third Reidemeister move. This is the identity

i.e., the identity

$$(\sigma^+ \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \sigma^+) \circ (\sigma^+ \otimes \text{id}) = (\text{id} \otimes \sigma^+) \circ (\sigma^+ \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \sigma^+) \quad (3.2.1)$$

(as well as with the role of σ^+ and σ^- interchanged). In **MOY**, this is the identity

We compute the left hand side of equation (3.2.1) first. We have

34

Computing in the same way the right hand side of equation (3.2.1), we find that it equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& q^{3n-3} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} - q^{3n-2} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \swarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \searrow \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} - q^{3n-2} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \uparrow \quad \downarrow \\ 1 \quad 2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \\
& + q^{3n-1} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \swarrow \quad \downarrow \\ 1 \quad 2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} + q^{3n-1} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \swarrow \quad \downarrow \\ 1 \quad 2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} + q^{3n} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \uparrow \quad \downarrow \\ 1 \quad 2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \swarrow \quad \downarrow \\ 1 \quad 2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

So, in order for the left and the right hand side of equation (3.2.1) to be equal, we need

$$\begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \swarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \searrow \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \swarrow \quad \downarrow \\ 1 \quad 2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \uparrow \quad \downarrow \\ 1 \quad 2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \swarrow \quad \downarrow \\ 1 \quad 2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array}$$

and this is precisely the content of equation (moy-5). \square

3.2.1 The level n Jones polynomial via the MOY category

As **MOY** is a rigid braided untwisted monoidal category enriched in $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}, (q - q^{-1})^{-1}]$ -modules it receives a distinguished morphism from **TD** (enriched in $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}, (q - q^{-1})^{-1}]$ -modules), by Theorem 1. More precisely, there exists a unique rigid braided monoidal functor

$$Z_{MOY} : \mathbf{TD} \rightarrow \mathbf{MOY}$$

such that $Z(\uparrow) = \uparrow^1$.

Proposition 3.2.2. The functor Z_{MOY} factors through **Jones_n**.

Proof. By definition of **Jones_n** (Definition 2.2.7) we only need to prove that the braidings, the evaluation and the coevaluation of \uparrow^1 in **MOY** satisfy the skein relation

$$q^{-n} \begin{array}{c} \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \downarrow \quad \uparrow \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} - q^n \begin{array}{c} \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \downarrow \quad \uparrow \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} = (q^{-1} - q) \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array}$$

as well as the normalization condition

$$\begin{array}{c} \bigcirc \\ 1 \end{array} = [n]_q \emptyset.$$

The normalization condition is ensured by equation (moy-1). Concerning the skein relation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
q^{-n} \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagdown \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} - q^n \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \diagup \\ \diagup \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} &= \\
&= q^{-n} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ q^{n-1} \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \\ \downarrow \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} - q^n \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right) - q^n \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ q^{1-n} \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \\ \downarrow \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} - q^{-n} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right) \\
&= (q^{-1} - q) \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array}.
\end{aligned}$$

□


Corollary 3.2.1. The level n Jones polynomial of a link Γ is computed via the MOY category by the equation

$$Z_{MOY}(\Gamma) = Jones_n(\Gamma) \emptyset.$$

Remark 3.2.1. The skein relation used in the above proof actually is obtained from the skein relation in Definition 2.2.7 by the change of variables $q \leftrightarrow q^{-1}$. This is due to the fact that different conventions in the definition of the Jones polynomial, precisely related by the involution $q \leftrightarrow q^{-1}$, are adopted in the literature.

3.2.2 The Jones polynomial of the 2-strand k -fold braid link

As an example, we compute the Jones polynomial of the 2-strand k -fold braid link via the **MOY** category. By definition, such a link is the closure of a k -fold braiding:



The heart of the computation consist in computing the k -fold braiding $(\sigma^+)^k: \uparrow^1 \otimes \uparrow^1 \rightarrow \uparrow^1 \otimes \uparrow^1$ in **MOY**. Before computing $(\sigma^+)^k$ for an arbitrary k , let us compute $(\sigma^+)^2$ as a warm up.

Lemma 3.2.1. We have

$$(\sigma^+)^2 = q^{2(n-1)} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} - q(1 - q^2) \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right)$$

Proof. As

$$\sigma^+ = q^{n-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} - q \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\sigma^+)^2 &= q^{2n-2} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} - q \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right) \circ \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} - q \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right) \\
&= q^{2n-2} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} - 2q \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} + q^2 \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right) \\
&= q^{2n-2} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} - 2q \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} + q^2(q^{-1} + q) \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right) \\
&= q^{2(n-1)} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} - q(1 - q^2) \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.2.2. For any $k \geq 2$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}
(\sigma^+)^k &= q^{k(n-1)} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} - q(1 - q^2 + q^4 - q^6 + \dots - (-1)^{k-1} q^{2(k-1)}) \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right) \\
&= q^{k(n-1)} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} - q \frac{1 - (-q^2)^k}{1 + q^2} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on k . The base of the induction is the case $k = 2$ proved in Lemma 3.2.1

above. Now assume the statement is true for $k = k_0$ and let us prove it for $k_0 + 1$. We have

$$(\sigma^+)^{k_0+1} = (\sigma^+)^{k_0} \circ \sigma^+$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= q^{k_0(n-1)} q^{n-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \quad -q \frac{1 - (-q^2)^{k_0}}{1 + q^2} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right) \circ \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \quad -q \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right) \\
&= q^{(k_0+1)(n-1)} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \quad -q \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \quad -q \frac{1 - (-q^2)^{k_0}}{1 + q^2} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \quad + q^2 \frac{1 - (-q^2)^{k_0}}{1 + q^2} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right) \\
&= q^{(k_0+1)(n-1)} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \quad -q \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \quad -q \frac{1 - (-q^2)^{k_0}}{1 + q^2} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \quad + q^2 (q^{-1} + q) \frac{1 - (-q^2)^{k_0}}{1 + q^2} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right) \\
&= q^{(k_0+1)(n-1)} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \quad -q \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \quad + q^3 \frac{1 - (-q^2)^{k_0}}{1 + q^2} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right) \\
&= q^{(k_0+1)(n-1)} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \quad + \frac{-q - q^3 + q^3 - q^3(-q^2)^{k_0}}{1 + q^2} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right) \\
&= q^{(k_0+1)(n-1)} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \quad -q \frac{1 - (-q^2)^{k_0+1}}{1 + q^2} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.2.3. We have

$$\begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} = [n]_q [n-1]_q \emptyset$$

Proof. By equations (moy-3) and (moy-1), we have

$$\begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} = [n-1]_q \begin{array}{c} \bigcirc \\ 1 \end{array} \\
= [n-1]_q [n]_q \emptyset$$

□

As an immediate corollary, we recover the known expression for the Jones polynomial of the 2-strand k -fold braid link [FW87].

Corollary 3.2.2. For any $k \geq 2$, the Jones polynomial of the 2-strand k -fold braid link is

$$q^{k(n-1)}[n]_q \left(\frac{q^2 - (-q^2)^k}{1 + q^2} [n]_q + q^{1-n} \frac{1 - (-q^2)^k}{1 + q^2} \right)$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.2.2 and Lemma 3.2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{MOY} \left(\left(\text{diagram of } k \text{ crossings} \right) \right) &= q^{k(n-1)} \left(\text{diagram of two loops} - q \frac{1 - (-q^2)^k}{1 + q^2} \text{diagram of two loops with crossings} \right) \\ &= q^{k(n-1)} \left(([n]_q)^2 \emptyset - q \frac{1 - (-q^2)^k}{1 + q^2} [n]_q [n-1]_q \emptyset \right) \\ &= q^{k(n-1)} [n]_q \left([n]_q - q \frac{1 - (-q^2)^k}{1 + q^2} [n-1]_q \right) \emptyset \\ &= q^{k(n-1)} [n]_q \left([n]_q - \frac{1 - (-q^2)^k}{1 + q^2} ([n]_q - q^{1-n}) \right) \emptyset \\ &= q^{k(n-1)} [n]_q \left(\frac{q^2 - (-q^2)^k}{1 + q^2} [n]_q + q^{1-n} \frac{1 - (-q^2)^k}{1 + q^2} \right) \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

where we used equation (moy-1). The conclusion now follows from Corollary 3.2.1. □

3.3 A MOY category for the HOMFLY-PT polynomial

Looking at the proof of the **MOY** category being braided one may notice that the ring $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}, (q - q^{-1})^{-1}]$ actually plays very little role. What really did matter in the proof have been the algebraic identities

$$\begin{aligned} q^{n-1}[n] - q^n[n-1] &= 1 \\ q^{1-n}[n] - q^{-n}[n-1] &= 1 \\ [n] - (q + q^{-1})[n-1] + [n-2] &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This paves the way to the following generalization.

Notation 3.3.1. Let R be a commutative ring, and let α and z be elements in R such that $\alpha, z \in R^\times$, and let $\zeta \in R^\times$ be such that $\zeta^{-1} - \zeta = z$. We can always assume that such an element exists, up to passing to a quadratic extension of R . Finally, let n be a fixed positive integer. For any nonnegative integer k , the symbol $[k]_{\alpha, \zeta}$ will denote the element

$$[k]_{\alpha, \zeta} = \frac{\alpha^{-1}(-\zeta)^{k-n} - \alpha(-\zeta)^{n-k}}{\zeta - \zeta^{-1}}.$$

of R .

Lemma 3.3.1. The following identities hold:

$$\zeta^{-1}[n]_{\alpha, \zeta} + [n-1]_{\alpha, \zeta} = \alpha \tag{3.3.1}$$

$$\zeta[n]_{\alpha, \zeta} + [n-1]_{\alpha, \zeta} = \alpha^{-1} \tag{3.3.2}$$

$$[n]_{\alpha, \zeta} + (\zeta + \zeta^{-1})[n-1]_{\alpha, \zeta} + [n-2]_{\alpha, \zeta} = 0. \tag{3.3.3}$$

Proof. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta^{-1}[n]_{\alpha,\zeta} + [n-1]_{\alpha,\zeta} &= \frac{\alpha^{-1}\zeta^{-1} - \alpha\zeta^{-1}}{\zeta - \zeta^{-1}} - \frac{\alpha^{-1}\zeta^{-1} - \alpha\zeta}{\zeta - \zeta^{-1}} = \alpha \\ \zeta[n]_{\alpha,\zeta} + [n-1]_{\alpha,\zeta} &= \frac{\alpha^{-1}\zeta - \alpha\zeta}{\zeta - \zeta^{-1}} - \frac{\alpha^{-1}\zeta^{-1} - \alpha\zeta}{\zeta - \zeta^{-1}} = \alpha^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}[n]_{\alpha,\zeta} + (\zeta + \zeta^{-1})[n-1]_{\alpha,\zeta} + [n-2]_{\alpha,\zeta} &= \frac{\alpha^{-1} - \alpha}{\zeta - \zeta^{-1}} - \zeta \frac{\alpha^{-1}\zeta^{-1} - \alpha\zeta}{\zeta - \zeta^{-1}} \\ &\quad - \zeta^{-1} \frac{\alpha^{-1}\zeta^{-1} - \alpha\zeta}{\zeta - \zeta^{-1}} + \frac{\alpha^{-1}\zeta^{-2} - \alpha\zeta^2}{\zeta - \zeta^{-1}} \\ &= \frac{\alpha^{-1} - \alpha}{\zeta - \zeta^{-1}} - \frac{\alpha^{-1} - \alpha\zeta^2}{\zeta - \zeta^{-1}} - \frac{\alpha^{-1}\zeta^{-2} - \alpha}{\zeta - \zeta^{-1}} + \frac{\alpha^{-1}\zeta^{-2} - \alpha\zeta^2}{\zeta - \zeta^{-1}} \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

□

The above relations suggest that it should be possible to define a generalization $\mathbf{MOY}_{\alpha,\zeta}$ of the category \mathbf{MOY} , related to the HOMFLY-PT polynomial with parameters α, z the same way \mathbf{MOY} is related to the level n Jones polynomial. That it should be possible to define such a rigid braided monoidal untwisted tensor category can be read through the lines of the seminal paper [MOY98], on which this chapter is mainly based. However details are not provided in [MOY98], so we give a detailed construction here. The construction closely follows the construction of the category \mathbf{MOY} in the previous sections, so the reader can safely skip all the proofs: they are only provided for the sake of completeness.

Definition 3.3.1. Let R, α, z and ζ as above. For a fixed nonnegative integer $n \geq 2$, let $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{MOY}_{\alpha,\zeta}}$ be the tensor ideal in \mathbf{TrPD} generated by the following relations (and their duals):

1. $\text{ev} \circ \text{coev} = [n]_{\alpha,\zeta}$, i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \bigcirc \text{---} \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} = [n]_{\alpha,\zeta} \emptyset \quad (\text{moy-1}_{\alpha,\zeta})$$

2. $S \circ S = -(\zeta + \zeta^{-1})S$, i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} = -(\zeta + \zeta^{-1}) \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \end{array} \quad (\text{moy-2}_{\alpha,\zeta})$$

3. $(\text{ev} \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id}^\vee \otimes S) \circ (\text{coev} \otimes \text{id}) = [n-1]_{\alpha,\zeta} \text{id}$, i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} = [n-1]_{\alpha,\zeta} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \end{array} \quad (\text{moy-3}_{\alpha,\zeta})$$

4. $(\text{id} \otimes \text{ev} \otimes \text{id}^\vee) \circ (S \otimes S^\vee) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \text{coev} \otimes \text{id}^\vee) = \text{coev} \circ \text{ev} + [n-2]_{\alpha,\zeta} \text{id} \otimes \text{id}^\vee$, i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \quad 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} + [n-2]_{\alpha,\zeta} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} \end{array} \quad (\text{moy-4}_{\alpha,\zeta})$$

5. $(S \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes S) \circ (S \otimes \text{id}) + (\text{id} \otimes S) = (\text{id} \otimes S) \circ (S \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes S) + (S \otimes \text{id})$, i.e.,

The *HOMFLY-PT* category $\mathbf{MOY}_{\alpha, \zeta}$ the monoidal category enriched in R -modules defined by

$$\mathbf{MOY}_{\alpha, \zeta} = \mathbf{TrPD} / \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{MOY}_{\alpha, \zeta}}.$$

Remark 3.3.1. Notice that

$$[2]_{\alpha, \zeta} = \frac{\alpha^{-1}(-\zeta)^{2-n} - \alpha(-\zeta)^{n-2}}{\zeta - \zeta^{-1}} \neq -(\zeta + \zeta^{-1}).$$

Remark 3.3.2. When $R = \mathbb{Q}[\alpha, \alpha^{-1}, \zeta, \zeta^{-1}, (\zeta - \zeta^{-1})^{-1}]$, the morphism of rings $R \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}, (q - q^{-1})^{-1}]$ given by $\alpha \mapsto -q^{-n}$ and $\zeta \mapsto -q$ induces a morphism $\mathbf{MOY}_{\alpha, \zeta} \rightarrow \mathbf{MOY}$. This way one recovers that the level n Jones polynomial is a particular case of the HOMFLY polynomial. Notice that the image of $[k]_{\alpha, \zeta}$ under this homomorphism is the element $[k]$ in $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}] \subseteq \mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}, (q - q^{-1})^{-1}]$, as

$$\frac{(-q^n)(-(-q))^{k-n} - (-q^n)^{-1}(-(-q))^{n-k}}{(-q) - (-q)^{-1}} = \frac{-q^n q^{k-n} + q^{-n} q^{n-k}}{-q + q^{-1}} = \frac{q^k - q^{-k}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

By complete analogy with \mathbf{MOY} , we have the following

Proposition 3.3.1. The category $\mathbf{MOY}_{\alpha, \zeta}$ is a rigid braided and untwisted monoidal category with the braidings

$$\sigma^+, \sigma^- : \uparrow^1 \otimes \uparrow^1 \rightarrow \uparrow^1 \otimes \uparrow^1$$

defined by

$$\sigma^+ = \alpha^{-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow^1 \\ \zeta^{-1} \\ \uparrow^1 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \uparrow^1 \quad \uparrow^1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \uparrow^2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \uparrow^1 \quad \uparrow^1 \end{array} \right)$$

and

$$\sigma^- = \alpha \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow^1 \\ \zeta \\ \uparrow^1 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \uparrow^1 \quad \uparrow^1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \uparrow^2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \uparrow^1 \quad \uparrow^1 \end{array} \right)$$

Proof. As it is a quotient of \mathbf{TrPD} , the category $\mathbf{MOY}_{\alpha, \zeta}$ is rigid monoidal. So we only need checking it is braided untwisted, i.e., that Reidemeister relations are satisfied. This is done by verbatim repeating the proof of Proposition 3.2.1. Here we provide a fully detailed proof, to show how we correctly generalized the quantum integers $[k]_q$ to the elements $[k]_{\alpha, \zeta}$ and the relations (moy-1-moy-5) to the relations (moy-1- α, ζ -moy-5- α, ζ).

By definition of the braidings, evaluations and coevaluations in $\mathbf{MOY}_{\alpha, \zeta}$ invariance with respect to the first Reidemeister move for an overcrossing corresponds to the identity

We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& \alpha^{-1} \left(\text{diagram 1} \right) \circ \left(\text{diagram 2} \otimes \left(\zeta^{-1} \text{diagram 3} + \text{diagram 4} \right) \right) \circ \left(\text{diagram 5} \right) \\
&= \alpha^{-1} \left(\zeta^{-1} \text{diagram 6} + \text{diagram 7} \right) = \alpha^{-1} \left(\zeta^{-1} [n]_{\alpha, \zeta} + [n-1]_{\alpha, \zeta} \right) = \text{diagram 8}
\end{aligned}$$

thanks to relations (moy-1_{α,ζ}), (moy-3_{α,ζ}), and (3.3.1). For an undercrossing, we need to prove

$$\alpha \left(\zeta \text{diagram 9} + \text{diagram 10} \right) = \text{diagram 11}$$

By relations (moy-1_{α,ζ}) and (moy-3_{α,ζ}), the left hand side is

$$\alpha \left(\zeta [n]_{\alpha, \zeta} + [n-1]_{\alpha, \zeta} \right) = \alpha (\zeta [n]_{\alpha, \zeta} + [n-1]_{\alpha, \zeta})$$

and the conclusion follows from equation (3.3.2). Checking the second Reidemeister move of the first kind in **MOY**_{α,ζ} amounts to proving the identity

$$\left(\zeta^{-1} \text{diagram 12} + \text{diagram 13} \right) \circ \left(\zeta \text{diagram 14} + \text{diagram 15} \right) = \text{diagram 16}$$

By (moy-2_{α,ζ}), the left hand side of the above equation is

$$\text{diagram 17} + (\zeta^{-1} + \zeta) \text{diagram 18} + \text{diagram 19} = \text{diagram 20}$$

Next, the invariants with respect to the second Reidemeister move of the second kind in **MOY**_{α,ζ} is the

identity

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\text{Diagram 1} \right) \circ \left(\zeta^{-1} + \text{Diagram 2} \right) \otimes \\
& \left(\zeta + \text{Diagram 3} \right) \circ \left(\text{Diagram 4} \cup \text{Diagram 5} \right) \\
& = \text{Diagram 6}
\end{aligned}$$

The left hand side of the above identity is

By equations (moy-1 $_{\alpha,\zeta}$), (moy-2 $_{\alpha,\zeta}$) and (moy-4 $_{\alpha,\zeta}$), this is

$$([n]_{\alpha, \zeta} + (\zeta + \zeta^{-1})[n-1]_{\alpha, \zeta} + [n-2]_{\alpha, \zeta}) \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ \text{cup} \\ \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ \text{cap} \\ \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array},$$

by (3.3.3). Finally, the invariance with respect to the third Reidemeister move in $\mathbf{MOY}_{\alpha, \zeta}$ amounts to the

identity

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} \zeta^{-1} \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \end{array} \right) + \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 2 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \otimes \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \circ \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \otimes \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \zeta^{-1} \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 2 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \\
& \circ \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} \zeta^{-1} \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \end{array} \right) + \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 2 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \otimes \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \\
& = \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \otimes \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} \zeta^{-1} \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \right) + \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 2 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \end{array} \right) \circ \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} \zeta^{-1} \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \right) + \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 2 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \end{array} \right) \otimes \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \\
& \circ \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \otimes \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} \zeta^{-1} \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \right) + \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 2 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \end{array} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By (moy-2 $_{\alpha, \zeta}$), the left hand side is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \zeta^{-3} \left(\text{Diagram 1} \right) + \zeta^{-2} \left(\text{Diagram 2} \right) + 2\zeta^{-2} \left(\text{Diagram 3} \right) \\
& + \zeta^{-1} \left(\text{Diagram 4} \right) + \zeta^{-1} \left(\text{Diagram 5} \right) + \zeta^{-1} \left(\text{Diagram 6} \right) + \zeta^{-1} \left(\text{Diagram 7} \right) \\
& = \zeta^{-3} \left(\text{Diagram 1} \right) + \zeta^{-2} \left(\text{Diagram 2} \right) + \zeta^{-2} \left(\text{Diagram 3} \right) \\
& + \zeta^{-1} \left(\text{Diagram 4} \right) + \zeta^{-1} \left(\text{Diagram 5} \right) + \left(- \text{Diagram 6} + \text{Diagram 7} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

By computing in the same way the right hand side, we find that it equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& \zeta^{-3} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} + \zeta^{-2} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 2 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} + \zeta^{-2} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \\
& + \zeta^{-1} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 2 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} + \zeta^{-1} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} + \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 2 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and we conclude by (moy-5_{α,ζ}). \square

3.3.1 The HOMFLY-PT polynomial via the MOY category

As it is to be expected, we can perfectly parallel the construction from Section 3.2.1.

Proposition 3.3.2. Let R be a commutative ring, and let α and z be elements in R such that $\alpha, z \in R^\times$, and let $\zeta \in R^\times$ be such that $\zeta^{-1} - \zeta = z$. There exists a unique rigid braided monoidal functor

$$Z_{\alpha,\zeta}: \mathbf{TD} \rightarrow \mathbf{MOY}_{\alpha,\zeta}$$

such that $Z_{\alpha,\zeta}(\uparrow) = \uparrow^1$. Moreover, the functor $Z_{\alpha,\zeta}$ factors through $\mathbf{HOMFLY-PT}_{\alpha,z}$.

Proof. The existence and uniqueness of $Z_{\alpha,\zeta}$ comes from Theorem 1. To conclude, by definition of $\mathbf{HOMFLY-PT}_{\alpha,z}$ (Definition 2.2.7) we only need to prove that the braidings, the evaluation and the coevaluation of \uparrow^1 in \mathbf{MOY} satisfy the skein relation

$$\alpha \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} - \alpha^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} = z \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array}$$

as well as the normalization condition

$$\begin{array}{c} \bigcirc \\ 1 \end{array} = \frac{\alpha - \alpha^{-1}}{z} \emptyset.$$

The normalization condition is ensured by equation (moy-1_{α,ζ}), as

$$[n]_{\alpha,\zeta} = \frac{\alpha^{-1} - \alpha}{\zeta - \zeta^{-1}} = \frac{\alpha - \alpha^{-1}}{z}.$$

Concerning the skein relation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \alpha \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} - \alpha^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} = \\
& = \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \zeta^{-1} \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 2 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right) - \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \zeta \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right) \\
& = (\zeta^{-1} - \zeta) \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} = z \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.3.1. The HOMFLY-PT polynomial with parameters α, z of a link Γ is computed via the category $\mathbf{MOY}_{\alpha, \zeta}$ by the equation

$$Z_{\alpha, \zeta}(\Gamma) = \phi_{\alpha, z}(\Gamma) \emptyset.$$

Example 3.3.1. Reasoning as we did for the Jones polynomial in Section 3.2.1, we can compute the HOMFLY polynomial of the 2-strand k -fold braid link. Namely, in $\mathbf{MOY}_{\alpha, \zeta}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma^+)^k &= \alpha^{-k} \zeta^{-k} \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \uparrow \end{array} + \zeta(1 - \zeta^2 + \zeta^4 - \zeta^6 + \dots - (-1)^{k-1} \zeta^{2(k-1)}) \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagdown \diagup \end{array} \right) \\ &= \alpha^{-k} \zeta^{-k} \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \uparrow \end{array} + \zeta \frac{1 - (-\zeta^2)^k}{1 + \zeta^2} \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagdown \diagup \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for any $k \geq 2$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\alpha, z} \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram with } k \text{ crossings} \end{array} \right) \right) &= \alpha^{-k} \zeta^{-k} \left([n]_{\alpha, \zeta} + \zeta \frac{1 - (-\zeta^2)^k}{1 + \zeta^2} [n-1]_{\alpha, \zeta} \right) [n]_{\alpha, \zeta} \\ &= \alpha^{-k} \zeta^{-k} \left([n]_{\alpha, \zeta} + \frac{1 - (-\zeta^2)^k}{1 + \zeta^2} (\alpha \zeta - [n]_{\alpha, \zeta}) \right) [n]_{\alpha, \zeta} \\ &= \alpha^{-k} \zeta^{-k} \left(\frac{\zeta^2 + (-\zeta^2)^k}{1 + \zeta^2} [n]_{\alpha, \zeta} + \frac{1 - (-\zeta^2)^k}{1 + \zeta^2} \alpha \zeta \right) [n]_{\alpha, \zeta} \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side seems to depend on ζ rather than on z , but it is actually not so. For instance, for $k = 1$ we have

$$\alpha^{-1} \zeta^{-1} (\alpha \zeta) [n]_{\alpha, \zeta} = \frac{\alpha - \alpha^{-1}}{z}$$

while for $k = 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{-2} \zeta^{-2} \left(\frac{\zeta^2 + \zeta^4}{1 + \zeta^2} [n]_{\alpha, \zeta} + \frac{1 - \zeta^4}{1 + \zeta^2} \alpha \zeta \right) [n]_{\alpha, \zeta} &= \alpha^{-2} \zeta^{-2} (\zeta^2 [n]_{\alpha, \zeta} + (1 - \zeta^2) \alpha \zeta) [n]_{\alpha, \zeta} \\ &= \alpha^{-2} \zeta^{-2} (\zeta^2 [n]_{\alpha, \zeta} + (\zeta z) \alpha \zeta) [n]_{\alpha, \zeta} \\ &= \alpha^{-2} ([n]_{\alpha, \zeta} + z \alpha) [n]_{\alpha, \zeta} \\ &= \alpha^{-2} \left(\frac{\alpha - \alpha^{-1}}{z} + z \alpha \right) \frac{\alpha - \alpha^{-1}}{z} \end{aligned}$$

To see that in general

$$\alpha^{-k} \zeta^{-k} \left(\frac{\zeta^2 + (-\zeta^2)^k}{1 + \zeta^2} [n]_{\alpha, \zeta} + \frac{1 - (-\zeta^2)^k}{1 + \zeta^2} \alpha \zeta \right) [n]_{\alpha, \zeta}$$

is a function of z rather than of ζ , we show that it is invariant under the change of variable $\zeta \mapsto -\zeta^{-1}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{-k} (-\zeta)^k \left(\frac{\zeta^{-2} + (-\zeta^{-2})^k}{1 + \zeta^{-2}} [n]_{\alpha, -\zeta^{-1}} - \frac{1 - (-\zeta^{-2})^k}{1 + \zeta^{-2}} \alpha \zeta^{-1} \right) [n]_{\alpha, -\zeta^{-1}} \\ &= \alpha^{-k} (-\zeta)^k (-\zeta)^{-2k} \left((-\zeta)^{2k} \frac{1 - (-\zeta^{-2})^{k-1}}{1 + \zeta^2} [n]_{\alpha, -\zeta^{-1}} - (-\zeta)^{2k} \frac{1 - (-\zeta^{-2})^k}{1 + \zeta^2} \alpha \zeta \right) [n]_{\alpha, -\zeta^{-1}} \\ &= \alpha^{-k} \zeta^{-k} \left(\frac{(-\zeta^2)^k + \zeta^2}{1 + \zeta^2} [n]_{\alpha, \zeta} - \frac{(-\zeta^2)^k - 1}{1 + \zeta^2} \alpha \zeta \right) [n]_{\alpha, \zeta}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired identity.

3.3.2 The universality of the category $\mathbf{MOY}_{\alpha,\zeta}$

In the deriving the HOMFLY-PT polynomial from the relations in the category $\mathbf{MOY}_{\alpha,\zeta}$ we have in particular noticed how, given a morphism

$$S = \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} : \uparrow^1 \otimes \uparrow^1 \rightarrow \uparrow^1 \otimes \uparrow^1$$

satisfying relations (moy-1 $_{\alpha,\zeta}$ -moy-5 $_{\alpha,\zeta}$) one gets a braiding satisfying the skein relation

$$\alpha \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} - \alpha^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} = z \begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \\ \diagup \\ 1 \end{array}, \quad (3.3.4)$$

as well as evaluation and coevaluation morphisms satisfying the normalization condition

$$\begin{array}{c} \bigcirc \\ 1 \end{array} = \frac{\alpha - \alpha^{-1}}{z} \emptyset. \quad (3.3.5)$$

Actually also the converse is true, so that the a priori mysterious relations (moy-1 $_{\alpha,\zeta}$ -moy-5 $_{\alpha,\zeta}$) are nothing but a way of encoding the axioms of a nontwisted braided rigid category generated by one object whose braidings satisfy the skein relation (3.3.4) and whose evaluation and coevaluation morphisms satisfy the normalization condition (3.3.5). Namely, we have

Theorem 2. *The morphism*

$$Z_{\alpha,\zeta} : \mathbf{HOMFLY-PT}_{\alpha,z} \rightarrow \mathbf{MOY}_{\alpha,\zeta}$$

is an isomorphism of rigid braided untwisted monoidal categories.

Proof. We define a rigid monoidal functor $\Xi_{\alpha,\zeta} : \mathbf{TrPD} \rightarrow \mathbf{PTD}$ in the obvious way on identities, evaluations and coevaluations, and setting

$$\Xi_{\alpha,\zeta} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right) = \alpha \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} - \zeta^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array}$$

The functor $\Xi_{\alpha,\zeta}$ induces a functor

$$\Xi_{\alpha,\zeta} : \mathbf{MOY}_{\alpha,\zeta} \rightarrow \mathbf{HOMFLY-PT}_{\alpha,z}.$$

To see this, we need to check that $\Xi_{\alpha,\zeta}$ maps the relations (moy-1 $_{\alpha,\zeta}$ -moy-5 $_{\alpha,\zeta}$) into the ideal in \mathbf{PTD} generated by the Reidemeister moves, the skein relation (3.3.4) and the normalization condition (3.3.5). Equivalently, that the relations (moy-1 $_{\alpha,\zeta}$ -moy-5 $_{\alpha,\zeta}$) go to zero via the composition

$$\mathbf{TrPD} \xrightarrow{\Xi_{\alpha,\zeta}} \mathbf{PTD} \rightarrow \mathbf{HOMFLY-PT}_{\alpha,z}.$$

For the relation (moy-1 $_{\alpha,\zeta}$) this is almost tautologically verified. We have

$$\Xi_{\alpha,\zeta} \left(\begin{array}{c} \bigcirc \\ 1 \end{array} - [n]_{\alpha,\zeta} \emptyset \right) = \begin{array}{c} \bigcirc \\ 1 \end{array} - [n]_{\alpha,\zeta} \emptyset = 0$$

by the normalization condition in **HOMFLY-PT** $_{\alpha,z}$. For the relation (moy-2 $_{\alpha,\zeta}$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_{\alpha,\zeta} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ \text{Diagram 2} \end{array} + (\zeta + \zeta^{-1}) \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3} \end{array} \right) &= \left(\alpha \begin{array}{c} \text{Cross} \end{array} - \zeta^{-1} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Loop} \end{array} \right) \circ \left(\alpha \begin{array}{c} \text{Cross} \end{array} - \zeta^{-1} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Loop} \end{array} \right) \\ &\quad + (\zeta + \zeta^{-1}) \left(\alpha \begin{array}{c} \text{Cross} \end{array} - \zeta^{-1} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Loop} \end{array} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the skein relation in **HOMFLY-PT** $_{\alpha,z}$, the right hand side is

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\alpha \begin{array}{c} \text{Cross} \end{array} - \zeta^{-1} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Loop} \end{array} \right) \circ \left(\alpha^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \text{Cross} \end{array} - \zeta \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Loop} \end{array} \right) + (\zeta + \zeta^{-1}) \left(\alpha \begin{array}{c} \text{Cross} \end{array} - \zeta^{-1} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Loop} \end{array} \right) \\ &= 2 \left(-\alpha \zeta \begin{array}{c} \text{Cross} \end{array} - \alpha^{-1} \zeta^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \text{Cross} \end{array} + \alpha \zeta \begin{array}{c} \text{Cross} \end{array} + \alpha \zeta^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \text{Cross} \end{array} - \right) \left(-\zeta^{-2} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Loop} \end{array} \right) \\ &= \zeta^{-1} \left(\alpha \begin{array}{c} \text{Cross} \end{array} - \alpha^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \text{Cross} \end{array} + (\zeta - \zeta^{-1}) \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Loop} \end{array} \right) \\ &= \zeta^{-1} \left(\alpha \begin{array}{c} \text{Cross} \end{array} - \alpha^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \text{Cross} \end{array} - z \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Loop} \end{array} \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider the relation (moy-3 $_{\alpha,\zeta}$). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_{\alpha,\zeta} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ \text{Diagram 2} \end{array} - [n-1]_{\alpha,\zeta} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3} \end{array} \right) &= \alpha \begin{array}{c} \text{Cross} \end{array} - \zeta^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \text{Loop} \end{array} \left(-[n-1]_{\alpha,\zeta} \begin{array}{c} \text{Loop} \end{array} \right) \\ &= (\alpha - \zeta^{-1} [n]_{\alpha,\zeta} - [n-1]_{\alpha,\zeta}) \begin{array}{c} \text{Loop} \end{array} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

by equation (3.3.1). For relation (moy-4_{α,ζ}) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Xi_{\alpha,\zeta} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ \text{Diagram 2} \\ \text{Diagram 3} \end{array} \right) - [n-2]_{\alpha,\zeta} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 4} \\ \text{Diagram 5} \end{array} \right) \\
&= \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 6} \\ \text{Diagram 7} \\ \text{Diagram 8} \end{array} \right) - \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 9} \\ \text{Diagram 10} \end{array} \right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 11} \\ \text{Diagram 12} \end{array} \right) \\
&= (-\alpha\zeta - \alpha^{-1}\zeta^{-1} + [n]_{\alpha,\zeta} - [n-2]_{\alpha,\zeta}) \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 13} \\ \text{Diagram 14} \end{array} \right) \\
&= (-[n]_{\alpha,\zeta} - \zeta[n-1]_{\alpha,\zeta} - [n]_{\alpha,\zeta} - \zeta^{-1}[n-1]_{\alpha,\zeta} + [n]_{\alpha,\zeta} - [n-2]_{\alpha,\zeta}) \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 15} \\ \text{Diagram 16} \end{array} \right) \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

by equations (3.3.1), (3.3.2) and (3.3.3). Finally, for relation (moy-5_{α,ζ}) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Xi_{\alpha,\zeta} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ \text{Diagram 2} \\ \text{Diagram 3} \\ \text{Diagram 4} \end{array} \right) \\
&= \alpha^3 \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 5} \\ \text{Diagram 6} \end{array} \right) - \alpha^2\zeta^{-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 7} \\ \text{Diagram 8} \end{array} \right) \\
&\quad + \alpha(\zeta^{-2} - 1) \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 9} \\ \text{Diagram 10} \end{array} \right) \\
&= -\alpha^2\zeta^{-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 11} \\ \text{Diagram 12} \end{array} \right) + \alpha(\zeta^{-2} - 1) \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 13} \\ \text{Diagram 14} \end{array} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By the skein relation,

$$\alpha^2\zeta^{-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 15} \\ \text{Diagram 16} \end{array} \right) = \zeta^{-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 17} \\ \text{Diagram 18} \end{array} \right) + \alpha(\zeta^{-2} - 1) \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 19} \\ \text{Diagram 20} \end{array} \right),$$

so that

$$-\alpha^2\zeta^{-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 21} \\ \text{Diagram 22} \end{array} \right) + \alpha(\zeta^{-2} - 1) \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 23} \\ \text{Diagram 24} \end{array} \right) = 0.$$

This shows that $\Xi_{\alpha,\zeta}$ is a functor $\Xi_{\alpha,\zeta}: \mathbf{MOY}_{\alpha,\zeta} \rightarrow \mathbf{HOMFLY-PT}_{\alpha,\zeta}$. It is now immediate to check that it is the inverse to $Z_{\alpha,\zeta}$. On the identities, on the coevaluations and on the evaluations this is obvious. On

the additional generating morphisms S , σ^+ and σ^- we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{\alpha, \zeta} \left(\Xi_{\alpha, \zeta} \left(\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \text{1} \quad \text{1} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{2} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{1} \quad \text{1} \end{array} \end{array} \right) \right) &= Z_{\alpha, \zeta} \left(\alpha \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} - \zeta^{-1} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \end{array} \right) \\
&= \alpha \left(\alpha^{-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{1} \\ \zeta^{-1} \uparrow \\ \text{1} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{1} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{1} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \text{1} \quad \text{1} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{2} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{1} \quad \text{1} \end{array} \right) - \zeta^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \text{1} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{1} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{1} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{1} \end{array} \right) \\
&= \begin{array}{c} \text{1} \quad \text{1} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{2} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{1} \quad \text{1} \end{array} \\
\Xi_{\alpha, \zeta} \left(Z_{\alpha, \zeta} \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} \right) \right) &= \Xi_{\alpha, \zeta} \left(\alpha^{-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{1} \\ \zeta^{-1} \uparrow \\ \text{1} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{1} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{1} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \text{1} \quad \text{1} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{2} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{1} \quad \text{1} \end{array} \right) \right) \\
&= \alpha^{-1} \left(\zeta^{-1} \right) \left(+ \alpha \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} - \zeta^{-1} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \end{array} \right) \\
&= \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi_{\alpha, \zeta} \left(Z_{\alpha, \zeta} \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} \right) \right) &= \Xi_{\alpha, \zeta} \left(\alpha \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{1} \\ \zeta \uparrow \\ \text{1} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{1} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{1} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \text{1} \quad \text{1} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{2} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{1} \quad \text{1} \end{array} \right) \right) \\
&= \alpha \left(\zeta \right) \left(+ \alpha \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} - \zeta^{-1} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \end{array} \right) \\
&= \alpha \left(\alpha \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} - z \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \end{array} \right) \\
&= \alpha \left(\alpha^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} \right) \\
&= \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array},
\end{aligned}$$

by the skein relation in **HOMFLY-PT** $_{\alpha, z}$. □

Corollary 3.3.2. The morphism

$$Z: \mathbf{Jones}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{MOY}$$

is an isomorphism of rigid braided untwisted monoidal categories.

3.3.3 The Anokhina-Dolotin-Morozov conjecture

It has been predicted in [GIKV10] and verified up to $n = 11$ by Carqueville and Murfet [CM14] that the Poincaré polynomial of the Khovanov homology of Hopf link (the 2-strand braid link with 2 crossings), i.e., of the link



is

$$\mathcal{P}_{H_{Kh}^\bullet(\text{Hopf})} = q^{2(n-1)} (q^{1-n} + t^2 q^3 [n-1]_q) [n]_q,$$

where as usual $[n]_q = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}$. If we compute the HOMFLY-PT polynomial for the Hopf link with the parameters $(\alpha, z) = (q^{-n} t^{-1}, q^{-1} t^{-1} - qt)$ and choose $\zeta = qt$, we find from Example 3.3.1

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{q^{-n}t, q^{-1}t^{-1}-qt} \left(\text{Hopf link} \right) &= q^{2(n-1)} ([n]_{q^{-n}t, qt} + qt(1 - q^2 t^2) [n-1]_{q^{-n}t, qt}) [n]_{q^{-n}t, qt} \\ &= q^{2(n-1)} (q^{1-n} - t^3 q^3 [n-1]_{q^{-n}t^{-1}, qt}) [n]_{q^{-n}t^{-1}, qt}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the identity (3.3.1):

$$[n]_{q^{-n}t^{-1}, qt} + qt[n-1]_{q^{-n}t^{-1}, qt} = q^{1-n}.$$

Now, this expression is almost the same as $\mathcal{P}_{H_{Kh}^\bullet(\text{Hopf})}$ but not quite. Not only we have the symbols $[k]_{q^{-n}t^{-1}, qt}$ in place of the quantum integers $[k]_q$, but we have a $-t^3$ in the second expression which was $+t^2$ in the first expression. Clearly the two coincide at $t = -1$.

If we do not use the **MOY** $_{q^{-n}t^{-1}, qt}$ relations nor the original **MOY** relations, but the following sort of mix:

1. $\text{ev} \circ \text{coev} = [n]_{q^{-n}t^{-1}, qt}$, i.e.,

$$\text{Diagram: a circle with a dot at the bottom} = [n]_{q^{-n}t^{-1}, qt} \emptyset \quad (\text{moy-1}_M)$$

2. $S \circ S = (q - t^{-1}q^{-1})S$, i.e.,

$$\text{Diagram: two crossings of two strands} = (q - t^{-1}q^{-1}) \text{Diagram: two crossings of two strands} \quad (\text{moy-2}_M)$$

3. $(\text{ev} \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id}^\vee \otimes S) \circ (\text{coev} \otimes \text{id}) = [n-1]_{q^{-n}t^{-1}, qt} \text{id}$, i.e.,

$$\text{Diagram: a loop on a strand} = [n-1]_{q^{-n}t^{-1}, qt} \text{Diagram: a straight strand} \quad (\text{moy-3}_M)$$

4. $(\text{id} \otimes \text{ev} \otimes \text{id}^\vee) \circ (S \otimes S^\vee) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \text{coev} \otimes \text{id}^\vee) = \text{coev} \circ \text{ev} + [n-2]_{q^{-n}t^{-1}, qt} \text{id} \otimes \text{id}^\vee$, i.e.,

$$\text{Diagram: a box with four crossings} = \text{Diagram: two crossings} + [n-2]_{q^{-n}t^{-1}, qt} \text{Diagram: two parallel strands} \quad (\text{moy-4}_M)$$

5. $(S \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes S) \circ (S \otimes \text{id}) + (\text{id} \otimes S) = (\text{id} \otimes S) \circ (S \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes S) + (S \otimes \text{id})$, i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 2 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 2 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 2 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ 2 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \end{array} \quad (\text{moy-5}_M)$$

and consider the same braidings as in $\mathbf{MOY}_{q^{-n}t^{-1}, qt}$, i.e.,

$$\sigma_M^+ = q^n t \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ q^{-1}t^{-1} \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right); \quad \sigma_M^- = q^{-n} t^{-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ qt \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right),$$

then we don't have a braided monoidal category as, for instance,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_M^+ \circ \sigma_M^- &= \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ q^{-1}t^{-1} \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right) \circ \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ qt \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right) \\ &= \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} + (q^{-1}t^{-1} + qt) \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 2 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \\ &= \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} + q(1+t) \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 2 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \\ &\neq \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array}. \end{aligned}$$

Yet,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\sigma_M^+)^2 &= q^{2n} t^2 \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ q^{-1} t^{-1} \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right) \circ \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ q^{-1} t^{-1} \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right) \\
&= q^{2(n-1)} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} + 2qt \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} + q^2 t^2 \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right) \\
&= q^{2(n-1)} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} + 2qt \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} + q^2 t^2 (q - t^{-1} q^{-1}) \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right) \\
&= q^{2(n-1)} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} + qt \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} + q^3 t^2 \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and so if we use relations (moy-1_M-moy-5_M) to compute what the string diagrams calculus would associate to the Hopf link in the above presentation as a planar link diagram² we find

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_M \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Hopf link} \end{array} \right) &= q^{2(n-1)} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{two ovals} \end{array} + qt \begin{array}{c} \text{two ovals with crossing} \end{array} + q^3 t^2 \begin{array}{c} \text{two ovals with crossing} \end{array} \right) \\
&= q^{2(n-1)} \left([n]_{q^{-n}t^{-1}, qt} + qt[n-1]_{q^{-n}t^{-1}, qt} + q^3 t^2 [n-1]_{q^{-n}t^{-1}, qt} \right) [n]_{q^{-n}t^{-1}, qt} \emptyset \\
&= q^{2(n-1)} \left(q^{1-n} + q^3 t^2 [n-1]_{q^{-n}t^{-1}, qt} \right) [n]_{q^{-n}t^{-1}, qt} \emptyset,
\end{aligned}$$

and now the coefficient of this expression formally reproduces the form for the correct expression for the Poincaré polynomial of the Khovanov homology of the Hopf link.

This can be elegantly rephrased as follows. Consider the ring, that we will call the Morozov ring,

$$\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}, q, q^{-1}, [\mathbf{n}], [\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{1}], \dots, [\mathbf{1}]],$$

where $[\mathbf{n}], [\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{1}], \dots, [\mathbf{1}]$ are independent variables and its quotient

$$\tilde{\mathbb{M}} = \mathbb{M}/I_M,$$

where I_M is the ideal generated by a certain set of relations, including the following two:³

$$[\mathbf{n}] + qt[\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{1}] = q^{1-n}$$

²as we are not working in a braided monoidal category, we only have a string diagrams calculus for planar tangle diagrams, not for tangles in \mathbb{R}^3 .

³It should be noticed that as soon as we localize $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}$ at $qt - q^{-1}t^{-1}$, i.e., as soon as we declare $qt - q^{-1}t^{-1}$ to be invertible the two relations $[\mathbf{n}] + qt[\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{1}] = q^{1-n}$ and $[\mathbf{n}] + q^{-1}t^{-1}[\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{1}] = q^{n-1}$ force $[\mathbf{n}] = [n]_{q^{-n}t^{-1}, qt}$ and $[\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{1}] = [n-1]_{q^{-n}t^{-1}, qt}$. Similarly, one has relations in I_M (that we are not expliciting) that force $[\mathbf{k}] = [k]_{q^{-n}t^{-1}, qt}$ as soon as $qt - q^{-1}t^{-1}$ is invertible.

$$[\mathbf{n}] + q^{-1}t^{-1}[\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{1}] = q^{n-1}.$$

Then, on the monoidal category **TrPD** with coefficients in $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}$ introduce the MOY-type relations

1. $\text{ev} \circ \text{coev} = [\mathbf{n}]$, i.e.,

$$\text{ev} \circ \text{coev} = [\mathbf{n}] \emptyset \quad (\text{moy-1}_{\mathbb{M}})$$

2. $S \circ S = (q - t^{-1}q^{-1})S$, i.e.,

$$\text{Diagram 1} = (q - t^{-1}q^{-1}) \text{Diagram 2} \quad (\text{moy-2}_{\mathbb{M}})$$

3. $(\text{ev} \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id}^\vee \otimes S) \circ (\text{coev} \otimes \text{id}) = [\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{1}] \text{id}$, i.e.,

$$\text{Diagram 1} = [\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{1}] \text{Diagram 2} \quad (\text{moy-3}_{\mathbb{M}})$$

4. $(\text{id} \otimes \text{ev} \otimes \text{id}^\vee) \circ (S \otimes S^\vee) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \text{coev} \otimes \text{id}^\vee) = \text{coev} \circ \text{ev} + [\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{2}] \text{id} \otimes \text{id}^\vee$, i.e.,

$$\text{Diagram 1} = \text{Diagram 2} + [\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{2}] \text{Diagram 3} \quad (\text{moy-4}_{\mathbb{M}})$$

5. $(S \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes S) \circ (S \otimes \text{id}) + (\text{id} \otimes S) = (\text{id} \otimes S) \circ (S \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes S) + (S \otimes \text{id})$, i.e.,

$$\text{Diagram 1} + \text{Diagram 2} = \text{Diagram 3} + \text{Diagram 4} \quad (\text{moy-5}_{\mathbb{M}})$$

as well as the braidings

$$\sigma_{\mathbb{M}}^+ = q^n t \left(\text{Diagram 1} + \text{Diagram 2} \right); \quad \sigma_{\mathbb{M}}^- = q^{-n} t^{-1} \left(\text{Diagram 3} + \text{Diagram 4} \right),$$

Now, the above computation precisely tells that by quotienting **TrPD** by the relations (moy-1_M-moy-5_M) we get a rigid monoidal category **MOY** _{$\tilde{\mathbb{M}}$} which is not braided but such that the string diagram computation of the planar link



presenting the Hopf link gives

$$Z_{\mathbb{M}} \left(\left(\text{Hopf link diagram} \right) \right) = q^{2(n-1)} (q^{1-n} + q^3 t^2 [\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{1}]) [\mathbf{n}] \emptyset.$$

The coefficient on the right hand side is an element in the quotient ring $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}$. However, if we consider the representative element $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{M}}(\text{Hopf}) \in \mathbb{M}$ given by

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{M}}(\text{Hopf}) := q^{2(n-1)} (q^{1-n} + q^3 t^2 [\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{1}]) [\mathbf{n}],$$

this has the following remarkable property: the morphism of rings $\mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}, q, q^{-1}]$ given by $[\mathbf{k}] \mapsto [k]_q$ maps it to the Poincaré polynomial of the Khovanov homology of the Hopf link. Notice that the morphism $[\mathbf{k}] \mapsto [k]_q$ does *not* induce a morphism of rings $\tilde{\mathbb{M}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}, q, q^{-1}]$.

This considerations lead to the following conjecture, due to Anokhina, Dolotin and Morozov, who checked it in an enormous number of cases: *for any link Γ there exists an element $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{M}}(\Gamma)$ in \mathbb{M} which is mapped to the Poincaré polynomial of the Khovanov homology of the link Γ by the rings homomorphism $\mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}, q, q^{-1}]$ given by $[\mathbf{k}] \mapsto [k]$, and that is a representative for the element in $\tilde{\mathbb{M}}$ obtained by evaluating a planar link diagram representing Γ according to the string diagrams calculus rules for $\mathbf{MOY}_{\tilde{\mathbb{M}}}$.*

Formulated this way⁴ the conjecture seems to deal with black magic rather than with Mathematics: the rigid category $\mathbf{MOY}_{\tilde{\mathbb{M}}}$ is not braided so there is no reasonable hope one can extract link invariants from it. And indeed, in the papers [AM14]-[DM14] there are a few points where different choices are possible and “one has to do the right choice in order to get the correct result”. Yet, the principle inspiring it is a strong one: it should be possible to compute the Poincaré polynomial of the Khovanov homology of a link Γ directly in terms of combinatorial manipulations of the MOY graphs associated with Γ , and avoiding a representation of these in terms of matrix factorizations as in the original Khovanov-Rozansky work [KR08].

This is the point of view we take in this Thesis, arriving at a formalization that, beyond providing abstractly a link invariant⁵ is suitable for at least simple explicit computations. This way we are able to provide a generators and relations computation of the Poincaré polynomial of the Khovanov homology of the k -crossing 2-strand braid link. Up to our knowledge, such a computation was not previously available in the literature if not in low n cases or in a form which is either conjectural or appealing to Physics arguments. Our result confirms all of these previously available computations or conjectures.

⁴This formulation is our interpretation of a few of the constructions in [AM14]-[DM14]: any inaccuracy should be attributed to us and not to the authors of the articles we took inspiration from.

⁵This is essentially a rewriting of the proofs in Khovanov-Rozansky’s [KR08], changing into axioms the properties of the specific matrix factorizations used there.

Chapter 4

The category \mathbf{KR} of formal complexes of MOY graphs

The aim of this chapter is to define a braided monoidal category \mathbf{KR} generated by an object \uparrow^1 together with a braided monoidal functor

$$\mathcal{P}: \mathbf{KR} \rightarrow \mathbf{MOY}$$

(the “Euler characteristic”) factoring the Reshetikhin-Turaev universal morphism $Z: \mathbf{TD} \rightarrow \mathbf{MOY}$. As we have shown in Chapter 3 that the category \mathbf{MOY} is isomorphic to the category \mathbf{Jones}_n , this construction provides a refinement of the level n Jones polynomial. More precisely, writing

$$Kh_n: \mathbf{TD} \rightarrow \mathbf{KR}$$

for the Reshetikhin-Turaev universal morphism given by the choice of the generating object \uparrow^1 (the “Khovanov-Rozansky invariant”), the factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{TD} & \xrightarrow{Kh_n} & \mathbf{KR} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} & \mathbf{Jones}_n \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\ & & Z & & \end{array}$$

exhibits the level n Jones polynomial of a link Γ as the Euler characteristic of its Khovanov-Rozansky invariant.


4.1 Formal direct sums of shifted MOY-type graphs

Morphisms in the category \mathbf{KR} will be certain formal complexes of formal direct sums of shifted planar directed graphs of MOY type. We will construct these in steps, starting with the graphs.

Definition 4.1.1. The collection of graphs of *MOY type* is the collection of oriented planar diagrams with labelled edges obtained by extending the collection of generating morphisms in the category \mathbf{MOY} with the distinguished morphism


$$T: \uparrow^1 \otimes \uparrow^1 \otimes \uparrow^1 \rightarrow \uparrow^1 \otimes \uparrow^1 \otimes \uparrow^1$$

given by



and its dual (i.e., the same diagram with reversed orientations).

Remark 4.1.1. By saying that we extend the collection of morphism in **MOY** by T in the above definition, we mean that all the planar graphs obtained by the generating morphisms in **MOY** and by copies of T and T^\vee by tensor product or composition is in our collection of MOY-type graphs. That is, a MOY-type graph is a planar directed diagram that can “built” out of the following elementary pieces:



and their duals.

Remark 4.1.2. The auxiliary graph T is introduced to conveniently handle the invariance by the third Reidemeister move. Its introduction may seem a bit artificial and indeed in some sense it is. A more transparent treatment would be obtained by including objects \uparrow^i for any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and consider MOY-type graphs with all possible labels on the edges in the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ subject to the constrain that at each vertex the sum of the incoming labels equals the sum of outgoing labels. These more general graphs already appear in the original paper [MOY98], which then focuses on the particular graphs we used to define the morphisms in the category **MOY**. The general case is investigated in [Wu14], where it is related to the n -coloured Jones polynomial and to a colored version of Khovanov-Rozansky homology. Following [Wu14] one could indeed easily generalize the constructions of the category **MOY** to the construction of a category $\mathbf{MOY}_{\{1,2,\dots,n\}}$. We did not pursue this generalization here, as the corresponding generalization of **KR** seems presently to be out of reach, due to the difficulty of extracting a complete set of elementary morphisms and of relations between them from the complex of matrix factorizations associated to colored MOY-type graphs in [Wu14]

Definition 4.1.2. A *shifted* MOY-type graph is an object of the form $\Gamma\{m\}$ where Γ is a MOY-type graph and m is an integer, which we will call the “shift” in accordance with the terminology in [KR08]. A formal sum of shifted MOY-type graphs is an expression of the form

$$\oplus_i \Gamma_i \{m_i\}$$

where i ranges over a finite set of indices, and all the MOY-type graphs in the above expression have the same source and the same target data (i.e., the same source and target sequences of \uparrow^1 's and \downarrow^1 's).

Remark 4.1.3. In [KR08] the shift numbers correspond to actual degree shifts in complexes of matrix factorizations. Here they are just labels. However we are going to compare the two shifts as we will compare the combinatorial category **KR** the the “derived Ginzburg-Landau category” of homotopy classes of complexes of matrix factorizations in Section 4.5.1.

Notation 4.1.1. The label 0 will usually be omitted, i.e., we will simply write Γ for $\Gamma\{0\}$.

Notation 4.1.2. For every nonnegative integer m , we will write $[m]\Gamma$ for the formal direct sum

$$[m]\Gamma = \Gamma\{1-m\} \oplus \Gamma\{3-m\} \oplus \dots \oplus \Gamma\{m-1\}.$$

When Γ is the empty diagram we will often omit it from the notation, i.e., we will simply write $[m]$ for $[m]\emptyset$. Also, we will write $\mathbb{Q}\{m\}$ for $\emptyset\{m\}$, so that

$$[m] = \mathbb{Q}\{1-m\} \oplus \mathbb{Q}\{3-m\} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Q}\{m-1\}.$$

Remark 4.1.4. We have a natural notion of direct sum of formal sums of shifted MOY-type graphs, as well as a tensor product of formal sums of MOY-type graphs by finite dimensional graded \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces with graded bases (i.e., with a given isomorphism of graded \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces to a graded \mathbb{Q} -vector space of the form

$$\oplus_i \mathbb{Q}^{n_i} \{m_i\},$$

where $\{m_i\}$ denotes an actual degree shift here. The tensor product rule is, clearly,

$$(\mathbb{Q}^k\{m\}) \otimes \Gamma\{l\} = \underbrace{\Gamma\{k+l\} \oplus \Gamma\{k+l\} \oplus \cdots \Gamma\{k+l\}}_{k \text{ times}},$$

extended by bilinearity to formal direct sums of MOY-type graphs and direct sums of graded \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces.

Remark 4.1.5. We can extend the composition and tensor product of MOY-type graphs (defined in the same way as the composition and tensor product of morphisms in **MOY**) to shifted MOY-type graphs by the rule

$$\Gamma\{m\} \circ \Phi\{k\} = \Gamma \circ \Phi\{m+k\}; \quad \Gamma\{m\} \otimes \Phi\{k\} = \Gamma \otimes \Phi\{m+k\}.$$

As all MOY-type graphs in a formal direct sum have the same source sequence and the same target sequence of \uparrow^1 's and \downarrow^1 's, we can extend these operations by bilinearity and define a composition $M \circ N$ and a tensor product $M \otimes N$ of formal direct sums M and N of shifted MOY-type graphs. All the graphs appearing in $M \circ N$ and in $M \otimes N$ will be shifted copies of MOY-type graphs all having the same source sequence and the same target sequence of \uparrow^1 's and \downarrow^1 's; so both $M \circ N$ and $M \otimes N$ will be again formal direct sums of shifted MOY-type graphs.

4.2 Morphisms between formal direct sums

The next step consists in defining a set of morphisms between the formal direct sums of MOY-type graphs introduced in the previous section. As, by analogy with the **MOY** category we may think of formal direct sums of MOY-type graphs as morphisms between sequences of \uparrow^1 's and \downarrow^1 's, this step suggests we are here going towards the construction of a 2-category. This is indeed so. However, we will not be interested in constructing such a 2-category in full detail, as we are going to eventually decategorify it by taking suitable equivalence classes of 2-morphisms. Therefore, we will not need completely constructing the 2-category: we will directly introduce certain equivalence classes of complexes of formal sums of shifted MOY-type graphs which will be our 1-morphisms between sequences of \uparrow^1 's and \downarrow^1 's. These can be thought of as equivalence classes of 2-morphisms, although we actually do not really construct the 2-category. Before introducing complexes of (formal sums of shifted) MOY-type graphs, we need define what the arrows in these complexes (i.e., the morphisms between MOY-type graphs) would be. This is done in several steps.

4.2.1 Elementary morphisms

In this section we introduce a set of generators for the morphisms in a category of MOY-type graphs. We will call these generators “elementary morphism”, although at this stage they are not, of course, yet morphisms in a category. The idea is that the morphisms in the category we are going to describe will be “built up” starting from these “elementary morphisms”. Sources and targets of these elementary morphisms will be formal directed sums of shifted MOY-type graphs as defined in the previous section. Finally n will be a fixed integer with $n \geq 2$.

Definition 4.2.1. The set \mathcal{E} of *elementary morphisms* is the collection of arrows $\{1_\Gamma, i_\Gamma^u, i_{d;\Gamma}, \chi_0, \chi_1, \alpha, \gamma, \lambda, \epsilon, \mu, \varphi, \eta\}$, with Γ ranging among all MOY graphs, where

- 1_Γ is the identity arrow

$$1_\Gamma: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$$

(we will often denote this arrow just by 1 leaving Γ be understood by the context)

- i_Γ^u and $i_{d;\Gamma}$ are two invertible arrows


$$i_\Gamma^u: \Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{id}_{t(\Gamma)} \circ \Gamma; \quad i_{d;\Gamma}: \Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma \circ \text{id}_{s(\Gamma)}$$

where $s(\Gamma)$ and $t(\Gamma)$ denote the source and the target of Γ , respectively. (we will often denote these arrows just by i^u and i_d , leaving Γ be understood by the context)

- χ_0 is a distinguishes arrow

$$\chi_0: \text{id}_2 \rightarrow S\{1\},$$


i.e., in graphical notation,



- χ_1 is a distinguishes arrow

$$\chi_1: S\{-1\} \rightarrow \text{id}_2,$$


i.e., in graphical notation,



- ϵ is a distinguished arrow

$$\text{id}_1\{-1\} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \text{id}_1\{1\},$$


i.e., in graphical notation,



- λ is a distinguished invertible arrow

$$\text{ev} \circ \text{coev} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\lambda} [n] = [n] \emptyset,$$


i.e., in graphical notation,



- μ is a distinguished invertible arrow

$$\mu: (\text{ev} \otimes \text{id}_1) \circ (\text{id}_1 \otimes S) \circ (\text{coev} \otimes \text{id}_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} [n-1] \text{id}_1,$$


i.e., in graphical notation



- φ and ψ are two a distinguished invertible arrows

$$\varphi, \psi: S \circ S \xrightarrow{\sim} S\{-1\} \oplus S\{1\},$$


i.e., in graphical notation



- α, γ are distinguished arrows

$$\alpha, \gamma: S\{-1\} \rightarrow S\{1\},$$


i.e., in graphical notation



- ν is a distinguished invertible arrow

$$\nu: (\text{id}_1 \otimes \text{ev} \otimes \text{id}_1^\vee) \circ (S \otimes S^\vee) \circ (\text{id}_1 \otimes \text{coev} \otimes \text{id}_1^\vee) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{coev} \circ \text{ev} \oplus [n-2] \otimes \text{id}_1 \otimes \text{id}_1^\vee$$


i.e., in graphical notation




- η is a distinguished invertible arrow

$$\eta: (S \otimes \text{id}_1) \circ (\text{id}_1 \otimes S) \circ (S \otimes \text{id}_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} (S \otimes \text{id}_1) \oplus T$$

i.e., in graphical notation



The set \mathcal{E} also contains all the vertical and horizontal reflections of the above arrows, which we will denote by the same symbols. For instance, we also have in \mathcal{E} the distinguished invertible arrow



etc.


We will usually omit the morphisms i^u and i_d from the notation. So, for instance, we will simply write

$$S \xrightarrow{\chi_0 \circ 1} S \circ S\{1\}$$


to mean the composition

$$S \xrightarrow{i_S^u} \text{id}_2 \circ S \xrightarrow{\chi_0 \circ 1} S \circ S\{1\}$$

Graphically, this means that we simply write




to actually mean the composition



Also, when there are no ambiguities, we will also omit the identity morphisms, so for instance we will simply write

$$\bigcirc \{ -1 \} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \bigcirc \{ 1 \}$$

to mean the composition



Finally, if $f: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ is an elementary morphism, we denote by the same symbol its “shifted” versions, i.e., we simply write $f: \Gamma_1\{m\} \rightarrow \Gamma_2\{m\}$ instead of the more precise $f\{m\}: \Gamma_1\{m\} \rightarrow \Gamma_2\{m\}$.

Remark 4.2.1. Notice that if $f: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ is a morphism between (formal direct sums of shifted) MOY-type graphs, then Γ_1 and Γ_2 have the same incoming and the same outgoing sequences of \uparrow^1 's and \downarrow^1 's. This is coherent with the idea we are (partially) constructing a 2-categorification of **MOY** as mentioned at the beginning of this chapter.

4.2.2 Composite morphisms

Starting from the elementary morphisms we can consider the \mathbb{Q} -vector space generated by the elements in \mathcal{E} by \mathbb{Q} -linear combinations, compositions and direct sums. More precisely, we give the following

Definition 4.2.2. The set \mathcal{M} of morphisms between formal direct sums of MOY-type graphs is the smallest set with the following properties:

- $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$;

- if $f, g: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ are in \mathcal{M} , then for any $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$, the element $ag + bg: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ is in \mathcal{M} (\mathcal{M} is a \mathbb{Q} -vector space);
- if $f: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ and $g: \Gamma_2 \rightarrow \Gamma_3$ are in \mathcal{M} , then $gf: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_3$ is in \mathcal{M} (horizontal composition);
- if $f: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ and $g: \Psi_1 \rightarrow \Psi_2$ are in \mathcal{M} , and the compositions $\Psi_i \circ \Gamma_i$ are defined, then $g \circ f: \Psi_1 \circ \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Psi_2 \circ \Gamma_2$ is in \mathcal{M} (vertical composition);
- if $f: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ is in \mathcal{M} and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $f\{m\}: \Gamma_1\{m\} \rightarrow \Gamma_2\{m\}$ is in \mathcal{M} ;
- if $f: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ and $g: \Psi_1 \rightarrow \Psi_2$ are in \mathcal{M} , then $f \oplus g: \Gamma_1 \oplus \Psi_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2 \oplus \Psi_2$ is in \mathcal{M} .

In the above conditions, Γ_i and Ψ_i denote arbitrary formal direct sums of shifted MOY-type graphs.

Remark 4.2.2. By the above definition, the set \mathcal{M} can be recursively built from \mathcal{E} by considering morphisms of increasing complexity.

4.2.3 Relations between the elementary morphisms

As we want to think of \mathcal{M} as the set of morphisms between formal direct sums of MOY-type graphs we need to impose associativity relations and identity element axiom on the horizontal composition in \mathcal{M} , i.e., we impose the relations

$$(fg)h = f(gh); \quad f1_\Gamma = 1_\Phi f = f$$

for any composable $f, g, h, 1_\Gamma, 1_\Phi$ in \mathcal{M} . Also, as we want to think of the above construction as part of the construction of a 2-categorification of **MOY**, we also impose associativity and identity element axiom for the vertical composition as well as the compatibility between vertical and horizontal composition of elements in \mathcal{M} .

Remark 4.2.3. Having imposed the associative, identity and compatibility relations, we are now justified in thinking of \mathcal{M} as a set of morphisms between formal direct sums of MOY-type graphs. If we do not want to think of the elements in \mathcal{M} as morphisms, as we have not fully defined the category of which \mathcal{M} would be the set of morphisms, we can say that \mathcal{M} modulo the associative, identity and compatibility relations is a \mathbb{Q} -linear partial bi-monoid.

In any case, even after having quotiented out the associative, identity and compatibility relations, \mathcal{M} is too big for any meaningful use: it is just the set of morphisms freely generated by our collection of elementary morphisms. To turn it in something that can actually be used to define a rigid braided monoidal category, and so ultimately to define link invariants we impose additional relations on the elements in \mathcal{M} .

Remark 4.2.4. In view of the higher categorical inspiration of the construction, these relations can be thought of as 3-morphism, so in a sense we are going to construct the 1-category **KR** by providing sketches of a 3-category that in the end we decategorify twice.

As \mathcal{M} is generated by \mathcal{E} , in order to impose additional relations on \mathcal{M} we impose a set of additional relations on certain compositions of elementary morphisms. The whole set of the additional relations will be the set generated by these elementary relations.

The set of additional relations between compositions of elementary morphisms that we impose is the following:

- If $\mathbf{0}$ denotes the empty direct sum, then the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \\ \curvearrowright \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \curvearrowleft \\ \Gamma \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{0} \\ \curvearrowright \\ \Gamma \\ \curvearrowleft \\ \mathbf{0} \end{array}$$

commute for any f (i.e., the only morphisms to and from $\mathbf{0}$ are the zero morphism);


- For any two composable MOY graphs, Φ and Γ the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & 1_{\Phi} \circ i_{\Gamma}^u & \\
 \Phi \circ \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Phi \circ \text{id} \circ \Gamma \\
 & i_{d; \Phi} \circ 1 &
 \end{array}$$

- We have $\chi_1 \chi_0 = 0$, i.e., the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{id}_2\{-1\} & \xrightarrow{\chi_0} & S & \xrightarrow{\chi_1} & \text{id}_2\{1\} \\
 & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\
 & 0 & & &
 \end{array}$$

i.e., graphically,



- We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\text{ev} \circ \text{coev})\{-1\} & \xrightarrow{1 \circ (1 \otimes \epsilon) \circ 1} & (\text{ev} \circ \text{coev})\{1\} \\
 \downarrow \lambda \wr & & \downarrow \lambda \wr \\
 [n]\{-1\} & & [n]\{1\} \\
 \parallel & & \parallel \\
 \mathbb{Q}\{-n\} \oplus [n-1] & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} & [n-1] \oplus \mathbb{Q}\{n\}
 \end{array}$$

i.e., in graphical notation,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \bigcirc\{-1\} & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & \bigcirc\{1\} \\
 \downarrow \lambda \wr & & \downarrow \lambda \wr \\
 [n]\{-1\} & & [n]\{1\} \\
 \parallel & & \parallel \\
 \mathbb{Q}\{-n\} \oplus [n-1] & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} & [n-1] \oplus \mathbb{Q}\{n\}
 \end{array} \quad (\text{moy}\epsilon)$$

- We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\text{ev} \circ \text{coev}) \otimes \text{id}_1 & \xrightarrow{1_{\text{id}_1} \otimes \chi_0} & (\text{ev} \otimes \text{id}_1) \circ (\text{id}_1 \otimes S) \circ (\text{coev} \otimes \text{id}_1)\{1\} \\
 \downarrow \lambda \otimes 1 \wr & & \downarrow \wr \mu\{1\} \\
 [n] \otimes \text{id}_1 & & \\
 \parallel & & \\
 \text{id}_1\{1-n\} \oplus [n-1]\text{id}_1\{1\} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} & [n-1]\text{id}_1\{1\}
 \end{array}$$

i.e., in graphical notation, a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{c} \uparrow 1 \\ \text{---} \circ \text{---} \\ \downarrow 1 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{1_{\text{id}_1} \otimes \chi_0} & \begin{array}{c} \uparrow 1 \\ \text{---} \circ \text{---} \{1\} \\ \downarrow 1 \end{array} \\
 \downarrow \lambda \otimes 1 \wr & & \downarrow \wr \mu \{1\} \\
 \begin{array}{c} \uparrow 1 \\ \{1-n\} \oplus [n-1] \\ \downarrow 1 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} & \begin{array}{c} \uparrow 1 \\ \{1\} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} [n-1] \\ \downarrow 1 \end{array}
 \end{array}
 \quad (\text{moy}\chi_0)$$

- We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\text{ev} \otimes \text{id}_1) \circ (\text{id}_1 \otimes S) \circ (\text{coev} \otimes \text{id}_1) \{1\} & \xrightarrow{1_{\text{id}_1} \otimes \chi_1} & (\text{ev} \circ \text{coev}) \otimes \text{id}_1 \\
 \downarrow \wr \mu \{-1\} & & \downarrow \lambda \otimes 1 \wr \\
 [n-1] \text{id}_1 \{1\} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} & \text{id}_1 \{1-n\} \oplus [n-1] \text{id}_1 \{1\}
 \end{array}$$


i.e., in graphical notation, a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{c} \uparrow 1 \\ \text{---} \circ \text{---} \{-1\} \\ \downarrow 1 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{1_{\text{id}_1} \otimes \chi_1} & \begin{array}{c} \uparrow 1 \\ \text{---} \circ \text{---} \\ \downarrow 1 \end{array} \\
 \downarrow \wr \mu \{-1\} & & \downarrow \lambda \otimes 1 \wr \\
 \begin{array}{c} \uparrow 1 \\ [n-1] \{-1\} \\ \downarrow 1 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} & \begin{array}{c} \uparrow 1 \\ [n-1] \{-1\} \oplus \{n-1\} \\ \downarrow 1 \end{array}
 \end{array}
 \quad (\text{moy}\chi_1)$$

- We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S\{-1\} & \xrightarrow{\chi_0 \circ 1} & S \circ S \xrightarrow{1 \circ \chi_1} S\{1\} \\
 & \searrow \alpha & \nearrow
 \end{array}$$

i.e., graphically,




In other words, $\alpha = \chi_0 \circ \chi_1$, i.e., α is the composition of χ_0 and χ_1 . One could object that α is not elementary, as it is a suitable compositions of χ_0 and χ_1 . However, as it will show up several times in what follows, we find it convenient to think of α as an elementary morphism, which additionally enjoys a nice relationship with the other two elementary morphisms χ_0 and χ_1 .

- We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\text{ev} \otimes \text{id}_1) \circ (\text{id}_1 \otimes S) \circ (\text{coev} \otimes \text{id}_1)\{-1\} & \xrightarrow{1_{\text{id}_1} \otimes \alpha} & (\text{ev} \otimes \text{id}_1) \circ (\text{id}_1 \otimes S) \circ (\text{coev} \otimes \text{id}_1)\{1\} \\
 \downarrow \wr \mu\{1\} & & \downarrow \wr \mu\{1\} \\
 [n-1]\text{id}_1\{-1\} & \xrightarrow{0} & [n-1]\text{id}_1\{1\}
 \end{array}$$

i.e., in graphical notation, a commutative diagram



- We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\text{ev} \otimes \text{id}_1) \circ (\text{id}_1 \otimes S) \circ (\text{coev} \otimes \text{id}_1)\{-1\} & \xrightarrow{1_{\text{id}_1} \otimes \gamma} & (\text{ev} \otimes \text{id}_1) \circ (\text{id}_1 \otimes S) \circ (\text{coev} \otimes \text{id}_1)\{1\} \\
 \downarrow \wr \mu\{1\} & & \downarrow \wr \mu\{1\} \\
 [n-1]\text{id}_1\{-1\} & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & [n-1]\text{id}_1\{1\}
 \end{array}$$

i.e., in graphical notation, a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{c} \uparrow 1 \\ \textcircled{1} \text{ } 2 \text{ } \{-1\} \\ \uparrow 1 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{1_{\text{id}_1} \otimes \gamma} & \begin{array}{c} \uparrow 1 \\ \textcircled{1} \text{ } 2 \text{ } \{1\} \\ \uparrow 1 \end{array} \\
 \downarrow \mu\{-1\} \wr & & \downarrow \wr \mu\{1\} \\
 \begin{array}{c} \uparrow 1 \\ [n-1] \text{ } \{-1\} \\ \uparrow 1 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & \begin{array}{c} \uparrow 1 \\ [n-1] \text{ } \{1\} \\ \uparrow 1 \end{array}
 \end{array} \quad (\text{moy}\chi_0\text{b})$$

- We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S\{-1\} & \xrightarrow{1 \circ \chi_0} & S \circ S \\
 \searrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \varphi \\
 & & S\{-1\} \oplus S\{1\}
 \end{array}$$


i.e., in graphical notation, a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{c} 1 \text{ } 1 \\ \diagdown \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \diagdown \\ 1 \text{ } 1 \end{array} \{-1\} & \xrightarrow{1 \circ \chi_0} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \text{ } 1 \\ \diagdown \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \diagdown \\ 1 \text{ } 1 \\ \diagdown \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \diagdown \\ 1 \text{ } 1 \end{array} \\
 \searrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \varphi \\
 \begin{array}{c} 1 \text{ } 1 \\ \diagdown \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \diagdown \\ 1 \text{ } 1 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \text{ } 1 \\ \diagdown \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \diagdown \\ 1 \text{ } 1 \end{array} \{-1\} \oplus \begin{array}{c} 1 \text{ } 1 \\ \diagdown \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \diagdown \\ 1 \text{ } 1 \end{array} \{1\}
 \end{array} \quad (\text{moy}2\text{a})$$


- We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S\{-1\} & \xrightarrow{\chi_0 \circ 1} & S \circ S \\
 \searrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \varphi \\
 & & S\{-1\} \oplus S\{1\}
 \end{array}$$

i.e., in graphical notation, a commutative diagram




- We have a commutative diagram




i.e., we have

$$(1_S \otimes 1) \circ (1 \otimes \chi_0) = 0$$


In graphical notation, this is the commutative diagram



- We have a commutative diagram




i.e., in graphical notation, a commutative diagram



- We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S \circ S & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & S\{-1\} \oplus S\{1\} \\
 1 \circ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 S \circ S\{2\} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & S\{1\} \oplus S\{3\}
 \end{array}$$


i.e., in graphical notation, a commutative diagram



- We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S \circ S & \xrightarrow{\psi} & S\{-1\} \oplus S\{1\} \\
 1 \circ \gamma \downarrow & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 S \circ S\{2\} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & S\{1\} \oplus S\{3\}
 \end{array}$$


i.e., in graphical notation, a commutative diagram



- We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S \circ S & \xrightarrow{1 \circ \chi_1} & S\{1\} \\
 \varphi \downarrow & \nearrow (\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}) & \\
 S\{-1\} \oplus S\{1\} & &
 \end{array}$$

i.e., in graphical notation, a commutative diagram



- We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{id}_1 \otimes (\text{ev}^\vee \otimes \text{id}_{1^\vee}) \circ (\text{id}_{1^\vee} \otimes S^\vee) \circ (\text{coev}^\vee \otimes \text{id}_{1^\vee})\{-1\} & \xrightarrow{\chi \circ \otimes 1} & (\text{id}_1 \otimes \text{ev} \otimes \text{id}_1^\vee) \circ (S \otimes S^\vee) \circ (\text{id}_1 \otimes \text{coev} \otimes \text{id}_1^\vee) \\
 \downarrow 1 \otimes \mu \wr & & \downarrow \nu \wr \\
 [n-1]\text{id}_1 \otimes \text{id}_{1^\vee}\{-1\} & & \text{coev} \circ \text{ev} \oplus [n-2] \otimes \text{id}_1 \otimes \text{id}_1^\vee \\
 \parallel & \xrightarrow{(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix})} & \parallel \\
 (\mathbb{Q}\{1-n\} \oplus [n-2])\text{id}_1 \otimes \text{id}_{1^\vee} & & \text{coev} \circ \text{ev} \oplus [n-2] \otimes \text{id}_1 \otimes \text{id}_1^\vee
 \end{array}$$


i.e., in graphical notation

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1: A vertical line with two upward arrows, labeled 1 at the top and bottom. A loop is attached to the right side, with two downward arrows labeled 1 and 2\{-1\}. } \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\chi_0 \otimes 1} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 2: A central square with four vertices. Each vertex has two outgoing arrows. Top-left: 1 up, 2 left. Top-right: 1 up, 2 right. Bottom-left: 2 left, 1 down. Bottom-right: 2 right, 1 down. } \end{array} & \text{(moy}\nu 1) \\
 \downarrow 1 \otimes \mu \wr & & \downarrow \nu \wr \\
 \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3: Two vertical lines. Left: upward arrow labeled [n-1] at bottom, 1 at top. Right: downward arrow labeled \{-1\} at top, 1 at bottom. } \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 4: Two vertical lines. Left: two upward arrows labeled 1 at top and bottom. Right: two downward arrows labeled 1 at top and bottom. } \end{array} \\
 \parallel & & \parallel \\
 \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 5: Two vertical lines. Left: upward arrow labeled (\mathbb{Q}\{1-n\} \oplus [n-2]) at bottom, 1 at top. Right: downward arrow labeled 1 at top, 1 at bottom. } \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 6: Two vertical lines. Left: two upward arrows labeled 1 at top and bottom. Right: two downward arrows labeled 1 at top and bottom. } \end{array} \\
 \parallel & & \parallel \\
 \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 7: Two vertical lines. Left: upward arrow labeled 1 at top, 1 at bottom. Right: downward arrow labeled 1 at top, 1 at bottom. } \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 8: Two vertical lines. Left: two upward arrows labeled 1 at top and bottom. Right: two downward arrows labeled 1 at top and bottom. } \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

- We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\text{id}_1 \otimes \text{ev} \otimes \text{id}_1^\vee) \circ (S \otimes S^\vee) \circ (\text{id}_1 \otimes \text{coev} \otimes \text{id}_1^\vee) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \chi_1} & (\text{id}_1 \otimes \text{ev}) \circ (S \otimes \text{id}_1) \circ (\text{id}_1 \otimes \text{coev}) \otimes \text{id}_{1^\vee} \{1\} \\
 \downarrow \nu \wr & & \downarrow \mu \otimes 1 \wr \\
 \text{coev} \circ \text{ev} \oplus [n-2] \otimes \text{id}_1 \otimes \text{id}_1^\vee & & [n-1] \text{id}_1 \otimes \text{id}_{1^\vee} \{-1\} \\
 \parallel & & \parallel \\
 \text{coev} \circ \text{ev} \oplus [n-2] \otimes \text{id}_1 \otimes \text{id}_1^\vee & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} & ([n-2] \oplus \mathbb{Q}\{n-1\}) \text{id}_1 \otimes \text{id}_{1^\vee}
 \end{array}$$


i.e., in graphical notation



- We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (S \otimes \text{id}_1) \circ (\text{id}_1 \otimes S) \circ (\text{id}_1 \otimes \text{id}_1 \otimes \text{id}_1) \{-1\} & \xrightarrow{(1 \otimes 1) \circ (1 \otimes 1) \circ (\chi_0 \otimes 1)} & (S \otimes \text{id}_1) \circ (\text{id}_1 \otimes S) \circ (S \otimes \text{id}_1) \\
 & \searrow_{(x_1 \quad 0)} & \downarrow \eta \\
 & & (S \otimes \text{id}_1) \oplus T
 \end{array}$$

i.e., in graphical notation



4.2.4 Example: a commutative diagram


As an illustrative example, we show that

$$\alpha\chi_0 = 0$$

by showing that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{id}_2 & \xrightarrow{\chi_0} & S\{1\} \\ \chi_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\ S\{1\} & \xrightarrow{0} & S\{3\} \end{array}$$


commutes. In graphical notation, and using the definition of α and the relation $\chi_1\chi_0 = 0$, this diagram is




whose commutativity is manifest.

4.2.5 Another example

As a second example, we prove that the composition



is zero. Namely, we have a commutative diagram



where the left vertical arrow is zero by relation (??).

4.3 Formal complexes of MOY graphs

The next step in our construction of the category **KR** is the definition of formal complexes of (formal direct sums of shifted) MOY-type graphs and of a set of equivalence relations on them.

Definition 4.3.1. A bounded formal complex of (formal direct sums of shifted) MOY-type graphs is a sequence

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{A_{i-1}} M_i \xrightarrow{A_i} M_{i+1} \xrightarrow{A_{i+1}} \cdots$$

with $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, where

- the M_i are formal direct sums of shifted MOY-type graphs, all with the same input and the same output sequences of \uparrow^1 's and \downarrow^1 's;
- $A_i: M_i \rightarrow M_{i+1}$ is an element in the quotient $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ of the \mathbb{Q} -linear partial monoid¹ \mathcal{M} with respect to the horizontal composition by the ideal generated by the relations in Section 4.2.3;
- one has $A_{i+1} \circ A_i = 0$, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$;
- there exist two integers i_{\min} and i_{\max} such that $M_i = \mathbf{0}$ for any $i < i_{\min}$ and any $i > i_{\max}$.

We say that the component M_i of M_\bullet is in degree i .

A morphism $\varphi_\bullet: M_\bullet \rightarrow N_\bullet$ of formal complexes of (formal direct sums of shifted) MOY-type graphs is a sequence of morphisms $\varphi_i: M_i \rightarrow N_i$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & M_i & \xrightarrow{A_i} & M_{i+1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow \varphi_i & & \downarrow \varphi_{i+1} & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & N_i & \xrightarrow{B_i} & N_{i+1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

commutes (i.e., $\varphi_{i+1} \circ A_i = B_i \circ \varphi_i$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$).

¹See Remark 4.2.3

Remark 4.3.1. The equation $A_{i+1} \circ A_i = 0$ in Definition 4.3.1 makes sense as \mathcal{M} modulo the ideal generated by the relations in Section 4.2.3 is a \mathbb{Q} -vector space.

Remark 4.3.2. As all the MOY-type graphs appearing in a formal complex have the same input and the same output sequences of \uparrow^1 's and \downarrow^1 's it is meaningful to talk of the source sequence and of the target sequence of a formal complex of (formal direct sums of shifted) MOY-type graphs.

Remark 4.3.3. As an obvious generalization one can consider formal bicomplexes of (formal direct sums of shifted) MOY-type graphs, and one has a totalization functor

$$\text{tot}: \mathbf{Bicomplexes}_{MOY} \rightarrow \mathbf{Complexes}_{MOY},$$

defined as the usual totalization functor from bicomplexes of R -modules to complexes of R -modules for a ring R . Even more in general, one can consider the category $\mathbf{n}\text{-Complexes}_{MOY}$ of n -complexes of (formal direct sums of shifted) MOY-type graphs and the corresponding totalization functor

$$\text{tot}: \mathbf{n}\text{-Complexes}_{MOY} \rightarrow \mathbf{Complexes}_{MOY}.$$

Notice how the fact that all the graphs appearing in an n -complex of (formal direct sums of shifted) MOY-type graphs have the the same input and the same output sequences of \uparrow^1 's and \downarrow^1 's plays an essential role here.

Definition 4.3.2. The *tensor product* of two formal complexes of (formal direct sums of shifted) MOY-type graphs M_\bullet and N_\bullet is the formal complex

$$(M \otimes N)_\bullet := \text{tot}(M_\bullet \otimes N_\bullet),$$

where $M_\bullet \otimes N_\bullet$ is the formal bicomplex

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & M_i \otimes N_{j+1} & \xrightarrow{A_{i+1} \otimes 1_{N_{j+1}}} & M_{i+1} \otimes N_{j+1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \uparrow 1_{M_i} \otimes B_j & & \uparrow 1_{M_{i+1}} \otimes B_j & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & M_i \otimes N_j & \xrightarrow{A_i \otimes 1_{N_j}} & M_{i+1} \otimes N_j & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \end{array}$$

If M_\bullet and N_\bullet are two formal complexes of (formal direct sums of shifted) MOY-type graphs and the output sequence of \uparrow^1 's and \downarrow^1 's of N_\bullet coincides with the input sequence of M_\bullet , then all the compositions $M_i \circ N_j$ are defined and one can give the following definition.

Definition 4.3.3. The *composition* of two formal complexes of (formal direct sums of shifted) MOY-type graphs M_\bullet and N_\bullet such that the output sequence of \uparrow^1 's and \downarrow^1 's of N_\bullet coincides with the input sequence of M_\bullet is the formal complex

$$(M \circ N)_\bullet := \text{tot}(M_\bullet \circ N_\bullet),$$

where $M_\bullet \circ N_\bullet$ is the formal bicomplex

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & \vdots & & \vdots & & \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & M_i \circ N_{j+1} & \xrightarrow{A_{i+1} \circ 1_{N_{j+1}}} & M_{i+1} \circ N_{j+1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
& & \uparrow 1_{M_i} \circ B_j & & \uparrow 1_{M_{i+1}} \circ B_j & & \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & M_i \circ N_j & \xrightarrow{A_i \circ 1_{N_j}} & M_{i+1} \circ N_j & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
& & \vdots & & \vdots & &
\end{array}$$

We introduce on (bounded) formal complexes a sum operation given by direct sum of complexes,

$$M_\bullet \oplus N_\bullet = \left(\cdots \xrightarrow{A_{i-1} \oplus B_{i-1}} M_i \oplus N_i \xrightarrow{A_i \oplus B_i} M_{i+1} \oplus N_{i+1} \xrightarrow{A_{i+1} \oplus B_{i+1}} \cdots \right)$$

as well as the following equivalence relations:

- (eq1) Isomorphic formal complexes are equivalent. That is, if we have a morphism of formal complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & M_i & \xrightarrow{A_i} & M_{i+1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
& & \downarrow \varphi_i & \wr & \downarrow \varphi_{i+1} & & \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & N_i & \xrightarrow{B_i} & N_{i+1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots
\end{array}$$

where all the φ_i 's are invertible (i.e., for each i there exists an element $\psi_i: N_i \rightarrow M_i$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ such that $\varphi_i \circ \psi_i = 1_{N_i}$ and $\psi_i \circ \varphi_i = 1_{M_i}$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$), then

$$\cdots \rightarrow M_i \xrightarrow{A_i} M_{i+1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

is equivalent to

$$\cdots \rightarrow N_i \xrightarrow{B_i} N_{i+1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

- (eq2) A formal complex of the form

$$\cdots \rightarrow M_{i-1} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{i-1} \\ A_{i-1} \end{pmatrix}} N \oplus M_i \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha_i \\ 0 & A_i \end{pmatrix}} N \oplus M_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_{i+1} \end{pmatrix}} M_{i+2} \rightarrow \cdots$$

is equivalent to the formal complex

$$\cdots \rightarrow M_{i-1} \xrightarrow{A_{i-1}} M_i \xrightarrow{A_i} M_{i+1} \xrightarrow{A_{i+1}} M_{i+2} \rightarrow \cdots$$

That is, we “simplify” terms $\Gamma \xrightarrow{1} \Gamma$ if they appear as subcomplexes.

- (eq3) A formal complex of the form

$$\cdots \rightarrow M_{i-1} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} A_{i-1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} M_i \oplus N \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} A_i & \alpha_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} M_{i+1} \oplus N \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} A_{i+1} & \alpha_{i+1} \end{pmatrix}} M_{i+2} \rightarrow \cdots$$

is equivalent to the formal complex

$$\cdots \rightarrow M_{i-1} \xrightarrow{A_{i-1}} M_i \xrightarrow{A_i} M_{i+1} \xrightarrow{A_{i+1}} M_{i+2} \rightarrow \cdots$$

That is, we can “simplify” terms $\Gamma \xrightarrow{1} \Gamma$ if they appear as quotient complexes.

Notation 4.3.1. We denote by \mathbf{FC}_{MOY} the set of equivalence classes of formal complexes of (formal direct sums of shifted) MOY-type graphs, with respect to the equivalence relation generated by the equivalence relations (eq1)-(eq3) above. The equivalence class of the formal complex M_\bullet will be denoted by the symbol $[M_\bullet]$.

By the usual properties of direct sums and tensor product of complexes and totalization functors one immediately sees that the tensor product, the composition and the direct sum operations are compatible with the equivalence relations (eq1)-(eq3) and so induce corresponding operations on \mathbf{FC}_{MOY} . More formally, this is stated as follows.

Proposition 4.3.1. The operations

$$+, \otimes, \circ: \mathbf{FC}_{\text{MOY}} \times \mathbf{FC}_{\text{MOY}} \rightarrow \mathbf{FC}_{\text{MOY}}$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned} [M_\bullet] + [N_\bullet] &= [M_\bullet \oplus N_\bullet] \\ [M_\bullet] \otimes [N_\bullet] &= [M_\bullet \otimes N_\bullet] \\ [M_\bullet] \circ [N_\bullet] &= [M_\bullet \circ N_\bullet] \end{aligned}$$

are well defined and satisfy all the expected associativity, commutativity and distributivity conditions. In particular $(\mathbf{FC}_{\text{MOY}}, +, \otimes)$ is an abelian semiring.

Remark 4.3.4. The operation $([M_\bullet], [N_\bullet]) \mapsto [M_\bullet] \circ [N_\bullet]$ in the above proposition is defined when the common target sequence of \uparrow^1 's and \downarrow^1 's of the MOY-type graphs appearing in N_\bullet coincides with the source sequence MOY-type graphs appearing in M_\bullet . These sequences are well defined, i.e., they do not depend on the particular representatives M_\bullet and N_\bullet chosen for the equivalence classes $[M_\bullet]$ and $[N_\bullet]$.

Remark 4.3.5. The abelian semigroup $(\mathbf{FC}_{\text{MOY}}, +)$ carries a natural action of the semiring $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[q, q^{-1}]$ of Laurent polynomials in the variable q with coefficients in the abelian semiring $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ of nonnegative integers given by

$$q^i \cdot [M_\bullet] = [M_\bullet \{i\}],$$

extended by additivity. This makes $(\mathbf{FC}_{\text{MOY}}, +)$ a *semimodule* for the semiring $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[q, q^{-1}]$. Notice that the $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[q, q^{-1}]$ -action preserves both the source and the target sequences of elements in \mathbf{FC}_{MOY} .

4.4 The category \mathbf{KR}

We are finally in position to define the category \mathbf{KR} announced at the beginning of this section.

Notation 4.4.1. For any two sequences \vec{i} and \vec{j} of \uparrow^1 's and \downarrow^1 's, we write $\mathbf{FC}_{\text{MOY}}(\vec{i}, \vec{j})$ for the subset of \mathbf{FC}_{MOY} consisting of all equivalence classes of formal complexes of (formal direct sums of shifted) MOY-type graphs with input sequence \vec{i} and output sequence \vec{j} .

Definition 4.4.1. The monoidal category \mathbf{KR} is defined as follows:

- objects in \mathbf{KR} are finite sequences of \uparrow^1 's and \downarrow^1 's;
- the set $\mathbf{KR}(\vec{i}, \vec{j})$ of morphisms between two objects \vec{i} and \vec{j} is the $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[q, q^{-1}]$ -semimodule $\mathbf{FC}_{\text{MOY}}(\vec{i}, \vec{j})$.

Composition of morphisms is given by the composition

$$\mathbf{FC}_{\text{MOY}}(\vec{j}, \vec{k}) \times \mathbf{FC}_{\text{MOY}}(\vec{i}, \vec{j}) \rightarrow \mathbf{FC}_{\text{MOY}}(\vec{i}, \vec{k})$$

of Proposition 4.3.1.

The identity morphism is given by the (equivalence class of the) formal complex consisting of the MOY-type diagram with just straight vertical lines connecting the bottom boundary with the top boundary in degree zero, and $\mathbf{0}$ in all the others degrees.

The tensor product of objects is given by concatenation. Tensor product of morphisms is given by the tensor product

$$\mathbf{FC}_{\text{MOY}}(\vec{i}_1, \vec{j}_1) \times \mathbf{FC}_{\text{MOY}}(\vec{j}_1, \vec{j}_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{FC}_{\text{MOY}}(\vec{i}_1 \otimes \vec{i}_2, \vec{j}_1 \otimes \vec{j}_2)$$

of Proposition 4.3.1. The unit object for the tensor product is the empty sequence.

Example 4.4.1. The identity of $\uparrow^1 \downarrow^1 \uparrow^1 \uparrow^1$ is

$$\left[\cdots \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \uparrow \end{array} \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \cdots \right]$$

with $\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \uparrow \end{array}$ in degree zero.

Next, we introduce on **KR** the structure of rigid category. As **KR** is monoidally generated by \uparrow^1 and \downarrow^1 it will suffice defining evaluation and coevaluation morphisms for these.

Proposition 4.4.1. The evaluation and coevaluation morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev}_{\uparrow^1} &= \left[\cdots \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \uparrow \quad \downarrow \\ \text{---} \text{---} \end{array} \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \cdots \right] \\ \text{ev}_{\downarrow^1} &= \left[\cdots \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \\ \downarrow \quad \uparrow \\ \text{---} \text{---} \end{array} \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \cdots \right] \\ \text{coev}_{\uparrow^1} &= \left[\cdots \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \uparrow^1 \quad \uparrow^1 \\ \text{---} \text{---} \end{array} \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \cdots \right] \\ \text{coev}_{\downarrow^1} &= \left[\cdots \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \uparrow^1 \quad \uparrow^1 \\ \text{---} \text{---} \end{array} \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \cdots \right], \end{aligned}$$

where the nonzero graph is in degree zero, endow **KR** with the structure of balanced rigid category and exhibit \uparrow^1 as the (left and right) dual of \downarrow^1 and vice versa.

Proof. As the formal complexes defining the evaluation and coevaluation morphisms in **KR** are concentrated in degree zero, the verification of the Zorro moves is reduced to its verification in **MOY**, where it is immediate. \square

4.4.1 The braidings in KR

Abstracting from [KR08] we now define two morphisms

$$\sigma^+, \sigma^-: \uparrow^1 \uparrow^1 \rightarrow \uparrow^1 \uparrow^1$$

in **KR** which satisfy equations (R1), (R2a), (R2b) and (R3). As **KR** is monoidally generated by \uparrow^1 and its dual \downarrow^1 , this will endow **KR** with the structure of untwisted braided category. This is the content of the following

Theorem 3. *The morphisms*

$$\sigma^+ = \left[\cdots \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \{n-1\} \xrightarrow{\chi^0} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{n\} \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \cdots \right]$$

with $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array}$ $\{n-1\}$ in degree zero, and

$$\sigma^- = \left[\cdots \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \uparrow 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\chi_1} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \{1-n\} \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \cdots \right]$$

with $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array}$ $\{1-n\}$ in degree zero, endow \mathbf{KR} with the structure of untwisted braided category, and so there is a distinguished braided monoidal functor

$$Kh_n: \mathbf{TD} \rightarrow \mathbf{KR}$$

defined by the universal property of \mathbf{TD} (Theorem 1).

Proof. As \mathbf{KR} is a rigid balanced category, there is a unique rigid monoidal functor

$$Kh_n: \mathbf{PTD} \rightarrow \mathbf{KR}$$

with $Kh_n(\uparrow) = \uparrow^1$. So what we want to prove is that Kh_n factors through \mathbf{TD} . This is equivalent to showing that $Kh_n: \mathbf{PTD} \rightarrow \mathbf{KR}$ is invariant with respect to the Reidemeister moves. The proof of this fact, that we present below, is an formal version of the proof given by Khovanov and Rozansky in the context of complexes of matrix factorizations in Section ?? of [KR08]. In the remainder of this proof we will display only the relevant part of (equivalence classes of) formal complexes, i.e., if, for instance we are dealing with a formal complex of the form

$$[\cdots \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{A} N \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \cdots]$$

we will write it simply as

$$[M \xrightarrow{A} N]$$

and will specify the degree of M or N . Also, we will write $\begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array}$ and $\begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array}$ for σ^+ and σ^- .

Invariance by Reidemeister 1

We want to prove that

$$Kh_n \left[\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right] = Kh_n \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \uparrow \end{array} \right).$$

(as well as with the overcrossing replaced by an undercrossing). As

$$\begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} = \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \{n-1\} \xrightarrow{\chi_0} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \uparrow 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{n\} \right]$$

We have

$$Kh_n \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{loop} \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \right) = \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{loop} \uparrow 1 \\ \downarrow 1 \end{array} \xrightarrow{1_{\text{id}_1} \otimes \chi_0} \begin{array}{c} \text{loop} \uparrow 1 \\ \downarrow 1 \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array} \right\} \left\{ n-1 \right\} \right]$$

Therefore, by the commutative diagram ($\text{moy}\chi_0$) and the equivalence relation (eq1) we have

$$Kh_n \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{loop} \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \right) = \left[\begin{array}{c} \uparrow 1 \\ \downarrow 1 \end{array} \left\{ 1-n \right\} \oplus \left\{ n-1 \right\} \left\{ 1 \right\} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} \left\{ n-1 \right\} \left\{ 1 \right\} \right] \left\{ n-1 \right\}$$

By the equivalence relation (eq3) we get

$$Kh_n \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{loop} \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \right) = \left[\begin{array}{c} \uparrow 1 \\ \downarrow 1 \end{array} \left\{ 1-n \right\} \rightarrow 0 \right] \left\{ n-1 \right\} = \left[\begin{array}{c} \uparrow 1 \\ \downarrow 1 \end{array} \right] = Kh_n \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right).$$

The proof for the undercrossing is completely analogous. This proves that Kh_n is invariant under the first Reidemeister move.

4.4.2 Invariance by Reidemeister 2 (first kind)

We want to prove that

$$Kh_n \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{crossing} \\ \uparrow \uparrow \\ \downarrow \downarrow \end{array} \right) = Kh_n \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \uparrow \\ \downarrow \downarrow \end{array} \right)$$

(as well as with the overcrossings exchanged with undercrossings and vice versa). By definition of Kh_n , and recalling that

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{crossing} \\ \uparrow \uparrow \\ \downarrow \downarrow \end{array} = \left[\begin{array}{c} \uparrow 1 \\ \downarrow 1 \end{array} \left\{ n-1 \right\} \xrightarrow{\chi_0} \begin{array}{c} \text{crossing} \\ \uparrow 2 \\ \downarrow 1 \end{array} \left\{ n \right\} \right]$$

and

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{crossing} \\ \uparrow \uparrow \\ \downarrow \downarrow \end{array} = \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{crossing} \\ \uparrow 2 \\ \downarrow 1 \end{array} \left\{ -n \right\} \xrightarrow{\chi_1} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow 1 \\ \downarrow 1 \end{array} \left\{ 1-n \right\} \right]$$

we have

$$Kh_n \left(\begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array} \right) = \left[\text{tot} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \circ \chi_1 \\ \{-1\} \end{pmatrix}} \begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \\ \downarrow \chi_0 \circ 1 \\ \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \xrightarrow{1 \circ \chi_1} \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \end{array} \right]$$

$$= \left[\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \circ \chi_1 \\ \chi_0 \circ 1 \end{pmatrix}} \begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -\chi_0 \circ 1 \\ 1 \circ \chi_1 \end{pmatrix}} \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \end{array} \right],$$

where the term $\begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \{-1\}$ is in degree -1. By MOY relations (moy2b) and (moy2d) we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \{-1\} \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \circ \chi_1 \\ \chi_0 \circ 1 \end{pmatrix}} & \begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -\chi_0 \circ 1 \\ 1 \circ \chi_1 \end{pmatrix}} & \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \{1\} \end{array} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow 1 \oplus \varphi & & \parallel \\ \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \{-1\} \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \circ \chi_1 \\ \alpha \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}} & \begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \{1\} \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \{-1\} \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -\chi_0 \circ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} & \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \{1\} \end{array} \end{array}$$

and so

$$Kh_n \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{crossing} \\ \text{with labels } 1, 2, 1, 1 \end{array} \right) = \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{crossing} \\ \text{with labels } 1, 2, 1, 1 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \circ \chi_1 & 1 \\ \alpha & 1 \end{pmatrix}} \text{vertical line} \oplus \text{crossing} \oplus \text{crossing} \xrightarrow{(-\chi_0 \circ 1 \quad 1 \quad 0)} \text{crossing} \right]$$

By the equivalence relation (eq3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} Kh_n \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{crossing} \\ \text{with labels } 1, 2, 1, 1 \end{array} \right) &= \left[0 \longrightarrow \text{vertical line} \oplus \text{crossing} \xrightarrow{(-\chi_0 \circ 1 \quad 1)} \text{crossing} \right] \\ &= \left[0 \longrightarrow \text{crossing} \oplus \text{vertical line} \xrightarrow{(1 \quad -\chi_0 \circ 1)} \text{crossing} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where in the second equality we have almost trivially used (eq1). By the equivalence relation (eq3) again, we finally get

$$Kh_n \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{crossing} \\ \text{with labels } 1, 2, 1, 1 \end{array} \right) = \left[0 \longrightarrow \text{vertical line} \longrightarrow 0 \right] = \left[\text{vertical line} \right] = Kh_n \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{two parallel vertical lines} \end{array} \right).$$

4.4.3 Invariance by Reidemeister 2 (second kind)

We want to prove that

$$Kh_n \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Reidemeister 2 diagram} \end{array} \right) = Kh_n \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Reidemeister 2 diagram} \end{array} \right)$$

By definition of Kh_n , and omitting the identity morphisms from the notation when there is no ambiguity, we have

$$Kh_n \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Reidemeister 2 diagram} \end{array} \right) = \left[\text{tot} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{diagram 1} \xrightarrow{\chi_0 \otimes 1} \text{diagram 2} \\ \downarrow 1 \otimes \chi_1 \\ \text{diagram 3} \xrightarrow{\chi_0 \otimes 1} \text{diagram 4} \end{array} \right) \right]$$

$$= \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ \text{Diagram 2} \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \chi_0 \otimes 1 \\ 1 \otimes \chi_1 \end{pmatrix}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3} \oplus \text{Diagram 4} \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -1 \otimes \chi_1 & \chi_0 \otimes 1 \end{pmatrix}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 5} \end{array} \right],$$

Diagram 1: A vertical line with a loop on the right. The top and bottom of the loop are labeled 1. The left side of the loop is labeled 2{-1}. The right side of the loop is labeled 1.

Diagram 2: A vertical line with a loop on the left. The top and bottom of the loop are labeled 1. The left side of the loop is labeled 2. The right side of the loop is labeled 1.

Diagram 3: A square with four vertices. The top and bottom vertices are labeled 1. The left and right vertices are labeled 2. The edges are labeled 1.

Diagram 4: A vertical line with a loop on the right. The top and bottom of the loop are labeled 1. The left side of the loop is labeled 1. The right side of the loop is labeled 1.

Diagram 5: A vertical line with a loop on the right. The top and bottom of the loop are labeled 1. The left side of the loop is labeled 2. The right side of the loop is labeled 1. The right side of the loop is labeled {1}.

where the leftmost term is in degree -1. By MOY relations ($\text{moy}\chi_0$ - $\text{moy}\chi_1$) and ($\text{moy}\nu_1$ - $\text{moy}\nu_2$) we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ \text{Diagram 2} \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \chi_0 \otimes 1 \\ 1 \otimes \chi_1 \end{pmatrix}} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3} \oplus \text{Diagram 4} \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -1 \otimes \chi_1 & \chi_0 \otimes 1 \end{pmatrix}} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 5} \end{array} \\ \downarrow 1 \otimes \mu \wr & & \downarrow \nu \oplus \lambda \wr & & \downarrow \mu \otimes 1 \wr \\ \begin{array}{c} [n-1] \\ \text{Diagram 6} \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 7} \oplus [n-2] \\ \text{Diagram 8} \oplus [n] \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} [n-1] \\ \text{Diagram 9} \end{array} \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ \begin{array}{c} (\mathbb{Q}\{1-n\} \oplus [n-2]) \\ \text{Diagram 10} \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 11} \oplus (\mathbb{Q}\{1-n\} \oplus [n-2]^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbb{Q}\{n-1\}) \\ \text{Diagram 12} \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} & \begin{array}{c} [n-2] \oplus \mathbb{Q}\{n-1\} \\ \text{Diagram 13} \end{array} \end{array}$$

Diagram 1: A vertical line with a loop on the right. The top and bottom of the loop are labeled 1. The left side of the loop is labeled 2{-1}. The right side of the loop is labeled 1.

Diagram 2: A vertical line with a loop on the left. The top and bottom of the loop are labeled 1. The left side of the loop is labeled 2. The right side of the loop is labeled 1.

Diagram 3: A square with four vertices. The top and bottom vertices are labeled 1. The left and right vertices are labeled 2. The edges are labeled 1.

Diagram 4: A vertical line with a loop on the right. The top and bottom of the loop are labeled 1. The left side of the loop is labeled 1. The right side of the loop is labeled 1.

Diagram 5: A vertical line with a loop on the right. The top and bottom of the loop are labeled 1. The left side of the loop is labeled 2. The right side of the loop is labeled 1. The right side of the loop is labeled {1}.

Diagram 6: A vertical line with a loop on the right. The top and bottom of the loop are labeled 1. The left side of the loop is labeled [n-1]. The right side of the loop is labeled {-1}.

Diagram 7: A vertical line with a loop on the right. The top and bottom of the loop are labeled 1. The left side of the loop is labeled 1. The right side of the loop is labeled 1.

Diagram 8: A vertical line with a loop on the right. The top and bottom of the loop are labeled 1. The left side of the loop is labeled 1. The right side of the loop is labeled 1.

Diagram 9: A vertical line with a loop on the right. The top and bottom of the loop are labeled 1. The left side of the loop is labeled [n-1]. The right side of the loop is labeled {1}.

Diagram 10: A vertical line with a loop on the right. The top and bottom of the loop are labeled 1. The left side of the loop is labeled [n-1]. The right side of the loop is labeled {-1}.

Diagram 11: A vertical line with a loop on the right. The top and bottom of the loop are labeled 1. The left side of the loop is labeled 1. The right side of the loop is labeled 1.

Diagram 12: A vertical line with a loop on the right. The top and bottom of the loop are labeled 1. The left side of the loop is labeled 1. The right side of the loop is labeled 1.

Diagram 13: A vertical line with a loop on the right. The top and bottom of the loop are labeled 1. The left side of the loop is labeled [n-2]. The right side of the loop is labeled [n-1].

and so, by the equivalence relation (eq1),

$$\begin{aligned}
Kh_n \left(\text{Diagram 1} \right) &= [(\mathbb{Q}\{1-n\} \oplus [n-2])] \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} \rightarrow \\
&\xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} \oplus (\mathbb{Q}\{1-n\} \oplus [n-2]^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbb{Q}\{n-1\}) \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} \\
&\xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} ([n-2] \oplus \mathbb{Q}\{n-1\}) \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} \\
&= [(\mathbb{Q}\{1-n\} \oplus [n-2])] \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} \rightarrow \\
&\xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} (\mathbb{Q}\{1-n\} \oplus [n-2]^{\oplus 2}) \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} \oplus \mathbb{Q}\{n-1\} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} \\
&\xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} ([n-2] \oplus \mathbb{Q}\{n-1\}) \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array}
\end{aligned}$$

By the equivalence relations (eq2) and (eq3) we therefore find

$$\begin{aligned}
Kh_n \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ \text{X} \\ \downarrow \quad \downarrow \end{array} \right) &= \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} \rightarrow \\
&\xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} ([n-2]^{\oplus 2}) \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \text{X} \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \oplus \mathbb{Q}\{n-1\} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} \\
&\xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} ([n-2] \oplus \mathbb{Q}\{n-1\}) \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array}] \\
&= \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} ([n-2]^{\oplus 2}) \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \text{X} \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \xrightarrow{(1 \ -1 \ 0)} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array}]
\end{aligned}$$

As we are working over \mathbb{Q} , we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \text{X} \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{(1 \ -1 \ 0)} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} \\
\parallel & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 1/2 & -1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow 1/2 \\
\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \text{X} \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{(0 \ 1 \ 0)} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array}
\end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are isomorphisms. So, by the equivalence relations (eq1) and (eq2),

$$\begin{aligned}
Kh_n \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ \text{X} \\ \downarrow \quad \downarrow \end{array} \right) &= [0 \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \text{X} \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \xrightarrow{(1 \ 0)} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \downarrow \\ 1 \end{array}] \\
&= [0 \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \text{X} \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \rightarrow 0] = Kh_n \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\ \text{X} \\ \downarrow \quad \downarrow \end{array} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

4.4.4 Invariance by Reidemeister 3

We want to prove that

$$Kh_n \left(\text{diagram} \right) = Kh_n \left(\text{diagram} \right)$$

The proof is not difficult, but a bit long. By definition of Kh_n we have

$$Kh_n \left(\text{diagram} \right) = \left[\text{tot} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{diagram} \\ \text{diagram} \\ \text{diagram} \end{array} \right) \right] \{3n\}$$

where all the arrows are χ_0 's. For instance, the leftmost arrows are, from top to bottom, $(\chi_0 \circ 1 \circ 1) \otimes 1$, $1 \otimes (1 \circ \chi_0 \circ 1)$, and $(1 \circ 1 \circ \chi_0) \otimes 1$. However, to avoid cumbersome notation in what follows we will write them simply as $\chi_0 \otimes 1$, $1 \otimes \chi_0$, and $\chi_0 \otimes 1$. This brings in some ambiguity, as the first $\chi_0 \otimes 1$ is not the same as the second $\chi_0 \otimes 1$ in this list (the morphism χ_0 "happens" at different positions in the graph), but we were forced into preferring readability over rigorous notation here. We hope this will not bring too much confusion in the proof that follows. The term on the right is

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right] \{ -3 \} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \chi_0 \otimes 1 \\ 1 \otimes \chi_0 \\ \chi_0 \otimes 1 \end{pmatrix}} \begin{array}{c} \text{diagram} \\ \text{diagram} \\ \text{diagram} \end{array} \{ -2 \} \oplus \begin{array}{c} \text{diagram} \\ \text{diagram} \end{array} \{ -2 \} \oplus \begin{array}{c} \text{diagram} \\ \text{diagram} \end{array} \{ -2 \} \\ & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -1 \otimes \chi_0 & \chi_0 \otimes 1 & 0 \\ -\chi_0 \otimes 1 & 0 & \chi_0 \otimes 1 \\ 0 & \chi_0 \otimes 1 & -1 \otimes \chi_0 \end{pmatrix}} \begin{array}{c} \text{diagram} \\ \text{diagram} \\ \text{diagram} \end{array} \{ -1 \} \oplus \begin{array}{c} \text{diagram} \\ \text{diagram} \end{array} \{ -1 \} \oplus \begin{array}{c} \text{diagram} \\ \text{diagram} \end{array} \{ -1 \} \xrightarrow{(\chi_0 \otimes 1 \ -1 \otimes \chi_0 \ -\chi_0 \otimes 1)} \begin{array}{c} \text{diagram} \\ \text{diagram} \\ \text{diagram} \end{array} \end{aligned}$$

shifted by $3n$. By (moyz) this is

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right] \{ -3 \} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \chi_0 \otimes 1 \\ 1 \otimes \chi_0 \\ \chi_0 \otimes 1 \end{pmatrix}} \begin{array}{c} \text{diagram} \\ \text{diagram} \\ \text{diagram} \end{array} \{ -2 \} \oplus \begin{array}{c} \text{diagram} \\ \text{diagram} \end{array} \{ -2 \} \oplus \begin{array}{c} \text{diagram} \\ \text{diagram} \end{array} \{ -2 \}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\chi_0 & 0 & \chi_0 \otimes 1 \\ 0 & \chi_0 \otimes 1 & -1 \otimes \chi_0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ \text{Diagram 2} \\ \text{Diagram 3} \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{(0 \ -1 \otimes \chi_0 \ -\chi_0 \otimes 1)} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 4} \end{array} \right]$$

shifted by $3n$. By the equivalence relation (eq1) this is in turn equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \chi_0 \otimes 1 \\ 1 \otimes \chi_0 \\ \chi_0 \otimes 1 \end{pmatrix}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ \text{Diagram 2} \\ \text{Diagram 3} \end{array} \right] \\ & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \chi_0 \otimes 1 & 0 & -\chi_0 \otimes 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \chi_0 \otimes 1 & -1 \otimes \chi_0 \end{pmatrix}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 4} \\ \text{Diagram 5} \\ \text{Diagram 6} \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{(1 \otimes \chi_0 \ 0 \ -\chi_0 \otimes 1)} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 7} \end{array} \right] \{3n\} \end{aligned}$$

By (moy2a), (moy2b) and (moy η), this equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \chi_0 \otimes 1 \\ 1 \otimes \chi_0 \\ \chi_0 \otimes 1 \end{pmatrix}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ \text{Diagram 2} \\ \text{Diagram 3} \end{array} \right] \\ & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -\alpha \\ 0 & \chi_0 \otimes 1 & -1 \otimes \chi_0 \end{pmatrix}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 4} \\ \text{Diagram 5} \\ \text{Diagram 6} \\ \text{Diagram 7} \end{array} \right] \\ & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \chi_1 & \chi_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 8} \\ \text{Diagram 9} \end{array} \right] \{3n\} \\ & = \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \otimes \chi_0 \\ \chi_0 \otimes 1 \end{pmatrix}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 10} \\ \text{Diagram 11} \end{array} \right] \\ & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\alpha \\ \chi_0 \otimes 1 & -1 \otimes \chi_0 \end{pmatrix}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 12} \\ \text{Diagram 13} \\ \text{Diagram 14} \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \chi_1 & \chi_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ \text{Diagram 2} \end{array} \right] \{3n\} \\
& = \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3} \\ \text{Diagram 4} \end{array} \right] \{-3\} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \otimes \chi_0 \\ \chi_0 \otimes 1 \end{pmatrix}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 5} \\ \text{Diagram 6} \end{array} \right] \{-2\} \oplus \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 7} \\ \text{Diagram 8} \end{array} \right] \{-2\} \\
& \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \chi_0 \otimes 1 & -1 \otimes \chi_0 \end{pmatrix}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 9} \\ \text{Diagram 10} \end{array} \right] \{-1\} \oplus \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 11} \\ \text{Diagram 12} \end{array} \right] \{-1\} \xrightarrow{(0 \ 0)} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 13} \\ \text{Diagram 14} \end{array} \right] \{3n\}
\end{aligned}$$

Now let us turn to the computation of $Kh_n \left(\text{Diagram 15} \right)$ which, as it is to be expected, is completely analogous. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
Kh_n \left(\text{Diagram 15} \right) &= \left[\text{tot} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 16} \\ \text{Diagram 17} \\ \text{Diagram 18} \\ \text{Diagram 19} \\ \text{Diagram 20} \end{array} \right) \right] \{3n\} \\
&= \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 21} \\ \text{Diagram 22} \end{array} \right] \{-3\} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \otimes \chi_0 \\ \chi_0 \otimes 1 \\ 1 \otimes \chi_0 \end{pmatrix}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 23} \\ \text{Diagram 24} \end{array} \right] \{-2\} \oplus \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 25} \\ \text{Diagram 26} \end{array} \right] \{-2\} \oplus \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 27} \\ \text{Diagram 28} \end{array} \right] \{-2\} \\
& \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -\chi_0 \otimes 1 & 1 \otimes \chi_0 & 0 \\ -1 \otimes \chi_0 & 0 & 1 \otimes \chi_0 \\ 0 & 1 \otimes \chi_0 & -\chi_0 \otimes 1 \end{pmatrix}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 29} \\ \text{Diagram 30} \\ \text{Diagram 31} \end{array} \right] \{-1\} \oplus \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 32} \\ \text{Diagram 33} \end{array} \right] \{-1\} \oplus \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 34} \\ \text{Diagram 35} \end{array} \right] \{-1\} \xrightarrow{(1 \otimes \chi_0 \ -\chi_0 \otimes 1 \ -1 \otimes \chi_0)} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 36} \\ \text{Diagram 37} \end{array} \right] \{3n\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 \otimes \chi_0 & \chi_0 \otimes 1 \end{pmatrix}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ \text{Diagram 2} \\ \text{Diagram 3} \end{array} \right] \{3n\} \\
& = \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 4} \\ \text{Diagram 5} \\ \text{Diagram 6} \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \otimes \chi_0 \\ \chi_0 \otimes 1 \end{pmatrix}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 7} \\ \text{Diagram 8} \\ \text{Diagram 9} \end{array} \right] \{3n\} \\
& \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \chi_0 \otimes 1 & -1 \otimes \chi_0 \end{pmatrix}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 10} \\ \text{Diagram 11} \\ \text{Diagram 12} \end{array} \right] \{3n\} \\
& = Kh_n \left(\text{Diagram 13} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

□

4.5 From \mathbf{KR} to \mathbf{Jones}_n

The category \mathbf{KR} is a refinement of \mathbf{Jones}_n . More precisely, there is a natural braided monoidal functor

$$\mathcal{P}: \mathbf{KR} \rightarrow \mathbf{Jones}_n$$

factoring the universal morphism $Z: \mathbf{TD} \rightarrow \mathbf{Jones}_n$. The main difficulty in defining \mathcal{P} resides in the fact that among the graphs appearing in \mathbf{KR} we have the additional graph

$$T = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram of graph } T \end{array}$$

not appearing in $\mathbf{MOY} \cong \mathbf{Jones}_n$. To overcome this problem we introduce an auxiliary braided monoidal category $\mathbf{MOY}^{(T)}$.

Definition 4.5.1. The category $\mathbf{TrPD}^{(T)}$ of $(\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$ -linear combinations of) oriented trivalent planar diagrams enhanced with T is the rigid monoidal category enriched in $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$ -modules defines exactly as \mathbf{TrPD} , but where now the graphs are allowed to contain subgraphs of the form T (possibly with the reversed orientation).

Definition 4.5.2. For a fixed nonnegative integer $n \geq 2$, let $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{MOY}}^{(T)}$ be the tensor ideal in $\mathbf{TrPD}^{(T)}$ generated by the following relations (and their duals):

1. $\text{ev} \circ \text{coev} = [n]_q$, i.e.,

$$\text{Diagram of a circle with a dot} = [n]_q \emptyset \quad (\text{moy-1T})$$

2. $S \circ S = (q + q^{-1})S$, i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{1} \quad \text{1} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{2} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{1} \quad \text{1} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{2} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{1} \quad \text{1} \end{array} = (q + q^{-1}) \begin{array}{c} \text{1} \quad \text{1} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{2} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{1} \quad \text{1} \end{array} \quad (\text{moy-2T})$$

3. $(\text{ev} \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id}^\vee \otimes S) \circ (\text{coev} \otimes \text{id}) = [n-1]\text{id}$, i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{1} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{1} \quad \text{2} \\ \downarrow \quad \uparrow \\ \text{1} \end{array} = [n-1]_q \begin{array}{c} \text{1} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{1} \end{array} \quad (\text{moy-3T})$$

4. $(\text{id} \otimes \text{ev} \otimes \text{id}^\vee) \circ (S \otimes S^\vee) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \text{coev} \otimes \text{id}^\vee) = \text{coev} \circ \text{ev} + [n-2]_q \text{id} \otimes \text{id}^\vee$, i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{1} \quad \text{1} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{2} \quad \text{2} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{1} \quad \text{1} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{1} \quad \text{1} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{1} \quad \text{1} \end{array} + [n-2]_q \begin{array}{c} \text{1} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{1} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{1} \\ \downarrow \\ \text{1} \end{array} \quad (\text{moy-4T})$$

5.a. $(S \otimes \text{id}_1) \circ (\text{id}_1 \otimes S) \circ (S \otimes \text{id}_1) = (S \otimes \text{id}_1) + T$, i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{1} \quad \text{1} \quad \text{1} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \uparrow \\ \text{2} \quad \text{1} \quad \text{1} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \downarrow \\ \text{1} \quad \text{2} \quad \text{1} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \uparrow \\ \text{1} \quad \text{1} \quad \text{1} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{1} \quad \text{1} \quad \text{1} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \uparrow \\ \text{2} \quad \text{1} \quad \text{1} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \downarrow \\ \text{1} \quad \text{1} \quad \text{1} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \text{1} \quad \text{1} \quad \text{1} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \uparrow \\ \text{2} \quad \text{3} \quad \text{1} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \downarrow \\ \text{1} \quad \text{1} \quad \text{1} \end{array} \quad (\text{moy-5Ta})$$

5.b. $(\text{id}_1 \otimes S) \circ (S \otimes \text{id}_1) \circ (\text{id}_1 \otimes S) = (S \otimes \text{id}_1) + T$, i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{1} \quad \text{1} \quad \text{1} \\ \uparrow \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{2} \quad \text{1} \quad \text{1} \\ \downarrow \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{2} \quad \text{1} \quad \text{1} \\ \uparrow \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{1} \quad \text{1} \quad \text{1} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{1} \quad \text{1} \quad \text{1} \\ \uparrow \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{2} \quad \text{1} \quad \text{1} \\ \downarrow \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{1} \quad \text{1} \quad \text{1} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \text{1} \quad \text{1} \quad \text{1} \\ \uparrow \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{2} \quad \text{3} \quad \text{1} \\ \downarrow \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{1} \quad \text{1} \quad \text{1} \end{array} \quad (\text{moy-5Tb})$$

The category $\mathbf{MOY}^{(T)}$ the monoidal category enriched in $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$ -modules defined by

$$\mathbf{MOY}^{(T)} = \mathbf{TrPD}^{(\mathbf{T})} / \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{MOY}}^{(T)}.$$

Proposition 4.5.1. The inclusion $j: \mathbf{TrPD} \rightarrow \mathbf{TrPD}^{(\mathbf{T})}$ induces an isomorphism between \mathbf{MOY} and $\mathbf{MOY}^{(T)}$. In particular $\mathbf{MOY}^{(T)}$ is an untwisted braided category with braidings

$$\sigma^+ = q^{n-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{1} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{1} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{1} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{1} \end{array} - q \begin{array}{c} \text{1} \quad \text{1} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{2} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{1} \quad \text{1} \end{array} \right),$$

$$\sigma^- = q^{1-n} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} - q^{-1} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right).$$

Proof. To see that j induces a morphism between \mathbf{MOY} and $\mathbf{MOY}^{(T)}$ we only need to show that j maps the ideal \mathbf{I}_{MOY} into the ideal $\mathbf{I}_{MOY}^{(T)}$. We can check this on the generators. This is trivial for relations (moy-1)-(moy-4) as these exactly coincide with relations (moy-1T)-(moy-4T). For relation (moy-5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 2 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 2 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right) - \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 2 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 2 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right) \\ &= \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 2 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 2 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 2 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right) - \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 2 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 2 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 2 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and so it is an element in $\mathbf{I}_{MOY}^{(T)}$. To show that j is actually an isomorphism, we exhibit the inverse functor $\pi: \mathbf{MOY}^{(T)} \rightarrow \mathbf{MOY}$. We start by defining $\pi: \mathbf{TrPD}^{(T)} \rightarrow \mathbf{TrPD}$ as the identity on the subcategory \mathbf{TrPD} of $\mathbf{TrPD}^{(T)}$ and which on the additional generator T acts as

$$\pi \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 2 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 2 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 2 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array}.$$

The functor π maps the ideal $\mathbf{I}_{MOY}^{(T)}$ into the ideal \mathbf{I}_{MOY} . This is trivial for the generators (moy-1T)-(moy-4T), while for the generators (moy-5Ta) and (moy-5Tb) we have

$$\pi \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 2 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 2 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 2 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right) = \pi \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 2 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 2 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right) - \pi \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 2 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \right) = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ \text{Diagram 2} \\ \text{Diagram 3} \end{array} \right) &= \pi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ \text{Diagram 2} \\ \text{Diagram 3} \end{array} \right) - \pi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 4} \\ \text{Diagram 5} \\ \text{Diagram 6} \end{array} \right) - \pi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 7} \\ \text{Diagram 8} \\ \text{Diagram 9} \end{array} \right) \\
&= \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ \text{Diagram 2} \\ \text{Diagram 3} \end{array} \right) - \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 4} \\ \text{Diagram 5} \\ \text{Diagram 6} \end{array} \right) - \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 7} \\ \text{Diagram 8} \\ \text{Diagram 9} \end{array} \right) \\
&= \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ \text{Diagram 2} \\ \text{Diagram 3} \end{array} \right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 4} \\ \text{Diagram 5} \\ \text{Diagram 6} \end{array} \right) - \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 7} \\ \text{Diagram 8} \\ \text{Diagram 9} \end{array} \right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 10} \\ \text{Diagram 11} \\ \text{Diagram 12} \end{array} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

which is in \mathbf{I}_{MOY} as this is precisely (moy-5). Checking that $j: \mathbf{MOY} \rightarrow \mathbf{MOY}^{(T)}$ and $\pi: \mathbf{MOY}^{(T)} \rightarrow \mathbf{MOY}$ are inverse each other is then straightforward. \square

We can now provide a factorization of the universal morphism $Z: \mathbf{TD} \rightarrow \mathbf{Jones}_n$ through \mathbf{KR} by using the isomorphism $\mathbf{Jones}_n \cong \mathbf{MOY} \cong \mathbf{MOY}^{(T)}$ and providing a braided monoidal functor

$$\mathcal{P}: \mathbf{KR} \rightarrow \mathbf{MOY}^{(T)}$$

factoring Z . The strategy to define \mathcal{P} will consist in first defining it on shifted MOY-type graphs, next in extending this definition to formal direct sums of these, and finally to formal complexes of (formal direct sums of shifted) MOY-type graphs.

Definition 4.5.3. The map

$$\mathcal{P}_0: \{\text{Formal direct sums of shifted MOY-type graphs}\} \rightarrow \mathbf{MOY}^{(T)}$$

is defined by

$$\mathcal{P}_0(\oplus_j \Gamma_j \{m_j\}) = \sum_j q^{m_j} \Gamma_j.$$

The map

$$\mathcal{P}: \mathbf{Complexes}_{MOY} \rightarrow \mathbf{MOY}^{(T)}$$

is defined by

$$\mathcal{P}(M_\bullet) = \sum_i (-1)^i \mathcal{P}_0(M_i).$$

Theorem 4. The map \mathcal{P} induces a braided monoidal functor

$$\mathcal{P}: \mathbf{KR} \rightarrow \mathbf{MOY}^{(T)}$$

factoring the Reshetikhin-Turaev morphism $Z: \mathbf{TD} \rightarrow \mathbf{MOY}^{(T)}$ defined by $Z(\uparrow) = \uparrow^1$.

Next, let us consider the equivalence relation (eq2). We have

That is, if two formal complexes M_\bullet and N_\bullet are equivalent with respect to the equivalence relation (eq2), then $\mathcal{P}(M_\bullet) = \mathcal{P}(N_\bullet)$. Exactly the same argument shows that if two formal complexes M_\bullet and N_\bullet are equivalent with respect to the equivalence relation (eq3), then $\mathcal{P}(M_\bullet) = \mathcal{P}(N_\bullet)$. Therefore we see that the map is well defined. Concerning the functoriality of \mathcal{P} , the fact that \mathcal{P} maps the identities of \mathbf{KR} to identities of $\mathbf{MOY}^{(T)}$ is manifest while the identity

follows by the usual properties of the Poincaré polynomial/Euler characteristic of chain complexes of abelian groups with respect to the tensor product of complexes. Namely, the map \mathcal{P} has been defined in such a way to be an formal version of the Poincaré polynomial/Euler and in particular it enjoys the good behaviour with respect to tensor product-type constructions of its classical counterpart. By the same reason, \mathcal{P} is a monoidal functor, i.e.,

Moreover, \mathcal{P} manifestly preserves the evaluation and coevaluation morphisms. Finally,

and

which shows that \mathcal{P} is braided. Therefore the composition

is a braided monoidal functor and $(\mathcal{P} \circ Kh_n)(\uparrow) = \uparrow^1$. By the universal property of **PT**, we find that $(\mathcal{P} \circ Kh_n)$ is naturally isomorphic to (and actually coincides with) the functor $Z: \mathbf{PT}: \mathbf{Jones}_n$ defining the level n Jones polynomial. \square

4.5.1 Comparison to Khovanov-Rozansky homology

In [KR08], Khovanov and Rozansky consider a monoidal category which could be called the “homotopy category of chain complexes in the Landau-Ginzburg category” and therefore denoted by the symbol $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{LG})$. Objects of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{LG})$ are Landau-Ginzburg *potentials*, i.e., polynomials $W(\vec{x})$ into variables $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ over the field \mathbb{Q} (or an extension of it), such that the Jacobian ring $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, \dots]/(\partial_1 W, \partial_2 W, \dots)$ is finite dimensional. Morphisms between $W_1(\vec{x})$ and $W_2(\vec{y})$ are homotopy equivalence classes of complexes of matrix factorizations of $W_1(\vec{x}) - W_2(\vec{y})$. The category $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{LG})$ is rigid, and it is expected to be braided.² Even without knowing for sure whether $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{LG})$ is braided or not, Khovanov and Rozansky are nevertheless able to define a rigid monoidal functor

$$KH_n: \mathbf{TD} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{LG})$$

with $KH_n(\uparrow) = x^{n+1}$, thus realizing $W(x) = x^{n+1}$ as a *braided object* in $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{LG})$. For a link Γ the complex of matrix factorizations $KH_n(\Gamma)$ is a complex of matrix factorizations of the potential 0, and so it is simply a bicomplex of graded \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces. Up to homotopy, this is identified by the cohomology of its total complex. This is a bigraded \mathbb{Q} -vector space, called the *Khovanov-Rozansky cohomology* of the link Γ .

As one could expect, the construction of KH is in two steps: first, Khovanov and Rozansky define a rigid monoidal functor $KH_n: \mathbf{PTD} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{LG})$ with $KH_n(\uparrow) = x^{n+1}$ and then they show that KH is invariant with respect to the Reidemeister moves. The proof of this fact is quite nontrivial and uses subtle properties of the complexes of matrix factorizations involved with the definition of KH_n . What we did in Section 4.2.3 has been precisely to extract from Khovanov and Rozansky’s proof all the properties of these complexes of matrix factorizations actually used, as well as additional properties demonstrated by Rasmussen in [Ra07], and change them into defining properties. By this, one tautologically has that the monoidal functor KH factors as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{TD} & \xrightarrow{Kh_n} & \mathbf{KR} \xrightarrow{\text{mf}} \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{LG}) \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \\ & & KH_n \end{array} \quad (4.5.1)$$

for some monoidal functor $\text{mf}: \mathbf{KR} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{LG})$ with $\text{mf}(\uparrow) = x^{n+1}$, where ‘mf’ stands for ‘matrix factorizations’. Moreover mf is compatible with shifts and direct sums. This implies that it commutes with the tensor product by graded \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces (with a fixed basis).

Unfortunately we are not able to prove whether mf is an embedding of categories. Clearly, it is injective on objects, but its faithfulness on morphisms is elusive: there could be nonequivalent formal complexes of MOY-type graphs leading to homotopy equivalent complexes of matrix factorizations. Actually, our opinion is that mf should not be expected to be faithful: it is likely that in $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{LG})$ there are many more relations than those involved in the proof of the Reidemeister invariance of KH_n by Khovanov and Rozansky and those evidenced by Rasmussen.

Yet, if for a link Γ we have

$$Kh_n(\Gamma) = [V_\bullet] \otimes \emptyset,$$

for some complex of graded \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces with trivial differentials

$$V_\bullet = \left(\cdots \rightarrow V_{i-1} \xrightarrow{0} V_i \xrightarrow{0} V_{i+1} \cdots \right),$$

then the commutativity of the diagram 4.5.1 implies that we have

$$KH_n(\Gamma) = \oplus_i V_i,$$


with the graded \mathbb{Q} -vector space V_i in “horizontal” degree i . That is, for those links such that $Kh_n(\Gamma) = [V_\bullet] \otimes \emptyset$, we have that KH_n computes the Khovanov-Rozansky homology. This fact will be extensively used in the following chapter.

²Unfortunately, we are not aware of any reference proving (or disproving) this fact. Our impression is that most experts are quite certain that $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{LG})$ is indeed a braided monoidal category.

Chapter 5

The Kh_n invariant of 2-strand braid links

We conclude this Thesis by computing the Khovanov homology of the 2-strand braid link with k crossings, i.e., of the link




This is connected, i.e., it is a knot, for odd k , and has exactly two components for k even. The formula we are going to find for the Poincaré polynomial of the Khovanov homology of the 2-strand braid with k crossings, in the odd case will extend to $n \leq 4$ the results by Rasmussen [Ra07], and will agree with the prediction by Morozov and Anokhina [AM14]. For an even number of crossings, the formula we will find will agree with the prediction by Morozov and Anokhina [AM14], and with the string theory inspired prediction by Gukov, Iqbal, Kozçaz and Vafa for the particular case of two crossings (the Hopf link) [GIKV10]. For low values of n and k , these formulas have been computer checked by Carqueville and Murfet in [CM14]. More precisely, the case of 2 crossings has been computer checked for $n \leq 11$, the case of 3 crossings has been computer checked for $n \leq 11$, the case of 4 crossings for $n \leq 5$, the case of 5 crossings for $n \leq 6$, and the case of 6 crossings for $n \leq 4$.


5.1 Preliminary lemmas

Here we provide a few preliminary results to be used in the computation of the Khovanov homology of the 2-strand braid links. In what follows, “complex” (resp. “bicomplex”) always mean “formal complex (resp., bicomplex) of formal sums of shifted MOY-type graphs”.


Lemma 5.1.1. The bicomplex



is equivalent to the bicomplex




Proof. In the diagram




the morphism φ is an isomorphism and all the subdiagrams commute, due to relations (moy2a) and (moy2b). \square


Lemma 5.1.2. The bicomplex



is equivalent to the bicomplex



Proof. In the diagram



all the subdiagrams commute, thanks to relations (moy2b), (moy2c) and (shift1). As φ and ψ are isomorphisms, the top commutative diagram is equivalent to the outer commutative diagram. \square

Corollary 5.1.1. We have $\gamma\alpha = 0$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.1.2 we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \gamma\alpha \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

\square

Lemma 5.1.3. The total complex of the bicomplex

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\chi_0} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{1\} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{3\} \\
 \downarrow \chi_0 & & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix} & & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} \\
 \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{1\} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{1\} \oplus \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{3\} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{3\} \oplus \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{5\}
 \end{array}$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\chi_0} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{1\} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{3\} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{5\}.
 \end{array}$$

Proof. The total complex of the bicomplex we are considering is

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \chi_0 & 0 \\ \chi_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{1\} \oplus \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{1\} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -\alpha \end{pmatrix}} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{1\} \oplus \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{3\} \oplus \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{3\} \\
 & & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix} & & & \\
 & & & \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{3\} \oplus \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{5\}
 \end{array}$$

By (eq2) applied around the morphism $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -\alpha \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$, this complex is equivalent to the complex

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\chi_0} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{1\} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -\alpha \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{3\} \oplus \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{3\} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix}} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{3\} \oplus \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{5\}
 \end{array}$$

Next, we apply (eq2) applied around the morphism $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix}$ to see that this complex is equivalent to the complex

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\chi_0} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{1\} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{3\} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{5\}
 \end{array}$$

□


Lemma 5.1.4. The bicomplex

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{2\} \\
 \downarrow \chi_0 \circ 1 & & \downarrow \chi_0 \circ 1 \\
 \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{1\} & \xrightarrow{1 \circ \gamma} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{3\}
 \end{array}$$

is equivalent to the bicomplex

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{2\} \\
 \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix} \\
 \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{2\} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{2\} \oplus \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \{4\}
 \end{array}$$

Proof. In the diagram



all the subdiagrams commute, thanks to relations (moy2b), (moy2c) and (shift2). As φ and ψ are isomorphisms, the top commutative diagram is equivalent to the outer commutative diagram. \square


Corollary 5.1.2. We have $\alpha\gamma = 0$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.1.4 we have


$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \alpha\gamma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix} \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

\square

Corollary 5.1.3. The bicomplex




is equivalent to the bicomplex




Proof. Immediate from Lemma 5.1.1, Lemma 5.1.2, Lemma 5.1.4, and their proofs. \square

Lemma 5.1.5. The total complex of the bicomplex



is equivalent to




$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ 1 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\chi_0} & \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \diagdown & \diagup \\ & 2 \\ \diagup & \diagdown \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} & \{1\} \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \diagdown & \diagup \\ & 2 \\ \diagup & \diagdown \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} & \{3\} \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \diagdown & \diagup \\ & 2 \\ \diagup & \diagdown \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} & \{5\} \xrightarrow{-\alpha} \oplus & \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \diagdown & \diagup \\ & 2 \\ \diagup & \diagdown \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} & \{7\}
 \end{array}$$

The figure shows a sequence of seven diagrams representing partitions of the set $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$. The sequence is as follows:

- Diagram 1: A single vertical line with '1' at the top and '1' at the bottom.
- Diagram 2: A single vertical line with '1' at the top and '1' at the bottom, with an arrow pointing upwards.
- Diagram 3: A diagram with two vertical lines. The left line has '1' at the top and '1' at the bottom. The right line has '2' at the top and '1' at the bottom. An arrow labeled χ_0 points from Diagram 2 to Diagram 3.
- Diagram 4: A diagram with two vertical lines. The left line has '1' at the top and '1' at the bottom. The right line has '2' at the top and '1' at the bottom. An arrow labeled α points from Diagram 3 to Diagram 4.
- Diagram 5: A diagram with two vertical lines. The left line has '1' at the top and '1' at the bottom. The right line has '3' at the top and '1' at the bottom. An arrow labeled γ points from Diagram 4 to Diagram 5.
- Diagram 6: A diagram with two vertical lines. The left line has '1' at the top and '1' at the bottom. The right line has '5' at the top and '1' at the bottom. An arrow labeled α points from Diagram 5 to Diagram 6.
- Diagram 7: A diagram with two vertical lines. The left line has '1' at the top and '1' at the bottom. The right line has '7' at the top and '1' at the bottom.

The figure consists of two rows of Feynman diagrams. Each row starts with a bare coupling diagram (a vertical line with an arrow pointing up) and a renormalized coupling diagram (a vertical line with an arrow pointing up, labeled with a 1). The top row shows the flow from the bare coupling to the renormalized coupling at scale 1, with intermediate steps labeled {1}, {3}, and {5}. The bottom row shows the flow from the bare coupling to the renormalized coupling at scale 1, with intermediate steps labeled {1}, {3}, and {5}. The diagrams are connected by arrows labeled with the renormalization group equations.

Proposition 5.1.1. The total complex of the bicomplex


$$\begin{array}{c} | \\ \uparrow \\ | \end{array} \xrightarrow{\chi_0} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ & \diagdown & \diagup \\ & 2 \\ & \diagup & \diagdown \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\{1\} \xrightarrow{\alpha}} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ & \diagdown & \diagup \\ & 2 \\ & \diagup & \diagdown \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\{3\} \xrightarrow{\gamma}} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ & \diagdown & \diagup \\ & 2 \\ & \diagup & \diagdown \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\{5\} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \dots \xrightarrow{\alpha}} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ & \diagdown & \diagup \\ & 2 \\ & \diagup & \diagdown \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\{4k-1\} \xrightarrow{\gamma}} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ & \diagdown & \diagup \\ & 2 \\ & \diagup & \diagdown \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\{4k+1\}}.$$

is equivalent to the complex

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\chi_0} & \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ & 2 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} & \{1\} \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ & 2 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} & \{3\} \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ & 2 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} & \{5\} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \dots \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ & 2 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} & \{4k+1\} \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ & 2 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} & \{4k+3\}.
 \end{array}$$

5.2 The computation

Proposition 5.1.1 immediately leads us to our main result.

Theorem 5. *We have, for any $k \geq 0$,*

$$\begin{array}{c}
 Kh_n \left(\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{diagram of } 2k+1 \text{ crossings} \end{array} \right\} \right) = \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\chi_0} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ & 2 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \{1\} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ & 2 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \{3\} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ & 2 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \{5\} \\
 \xrightarrow{\alpha} \dots \xrightarrow{\alpha} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ & 2 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \{4k-1\} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ & 2 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \{4k+1\} \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ & 2 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ 1 & 1 \end{array}} \right\} \{(n-1)(2k+1)\}
 \end{array}$$

and, for any $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{array}{c}
 Kh_n \left(\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{diagram of } 2k \text{ crossings} \end{array} \right\} \right) = \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\chi_0} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ & 2 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \{1\} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ & 2 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \{3\} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ & 2 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \{5\} \\
 \xrightarrow{\alpha} \dots \xrightarrow{\gamma} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ & 2 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \{4k-3\} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ & 2 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \{4k-1\} \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ & 2 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ 1 & 1 \end{array}} \right\} \{(n-1)(2k)\}
 \end{array}$$

Proof. We prove the statement inductively on the number m of crossings. The base of the induction is $m = 1$. In this case we need to show that

$$Kh_n \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{diagram of 1 crossing} \end{array} \right) = \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\chi_0} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ & 2 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \{1\} \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ & 2 \\ & \nearrow \searrow \\ 1 & 1 \end{array}} \right\} \{n-1\}$$

and this is true by definition of Kh . Assume now the statement has been proven up to $m = m_0$ and let us

prove it for $m = m_0 + 1$. If $m_0 = 2k - 1$ then we know by the inductive hypothesis that

$$Kh_n \left(\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{diagram with } 2k-1 \text{ crossings} \end{array} \right\} \right) = \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{diagram with } 1 \text{ crossing} \\ \vdots \\ \text{diagram with } 1 \text{ crossing} \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\chi_0} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{diagram with } 2 \text{ crossings} \\ \vdots \\ \text{diagram with } 2 \text{ crossings} \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{diagram with } 3 \text{ crossings} \\ \vdots \\ \text{diagram with } 3 \text{ crossings} \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{diagram with } 4 \text{ crossings} \\ \vdots \\ \text{diagram with } 4 \text{ crossings} \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \dots \xrightarrow{\alpha} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{diagram with } 4k-5 \text{ crossings} \\ \vdots \\ \text{diagram with } 4k-5 \text{ crossings} \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{diagram with } 4k-3 \text{ crossings} \\ \vdots \\ \text{diagram with } 4k-3 \text{ crossings} \end{array} \right\} \left\{ (n-1)(2k-1) \right\}$$

As

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{diagram with } 2k \text{ crossings} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{diagram with } 2k-1 \text{ crossings} \end{array} \right\} \circ \text{diagram with } 1 \text{ crossing},$$

we have

$$Kh_n \left(\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{diagram with } 2k \text{ crossings} \end{array} \right\} \right) = Kh_n \left(\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{diagram with } 2k-1 \text{ crossings} \end{array} \right\} \right) \circ Kh_n \left(\text{diagram with } 1 \text{ crossing} \right) =$$

$$= \left[\text{tot} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{diagram with } 1 \text{ crossing} \xrightarrow{\chi_0} \text{diagram with } 2 \text{ crossings} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{diagram with } 3 \text{ crossings} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \text{diagram with } 4 \text{ crossings} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \dots \xrightarrow{\gamma} \text{diagram with } 4k-3 \text{ crossings} \\ \downarrow \chi_0 \circ 1 \\ \text{diagram with } 2 \text{ crossings} \xrightarrow{1 \circ \chi_0} \text{diagram with } 2 \text{ crossings} \xrightarrow{1 \circ \alpha} \text{diagram with } 2 \text{ crossings} \xrightarrow{1 \circ \gamma} \text{diagram with } 2 \text{ crossings} \xrightarrow{1 \circ \alpha} \dots \xrightarrow{1 \circ \gamma} \text{diagram with } 2 \text{ crossings} \end{array} \right) \right] \left\{ (n-1)(2k) \right\}$$

$$= \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{diagram with } 1 \text{ crossing} \xrightarrow{\chi_0} \text{diagram with } 2 \text{ crossings} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{diagram with } 3 \text{ crossings} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \text{diagram with } 4 \text{ crossings} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \dots \xrightarrow{\gamma} \text{diagram with } 4k-3 \text{ crossings} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{diagram with } 4k-1 \text{ crossings} \end{array} \right] \left\{ (n-1)(2k) \right\},$$

by Proposition 5.1.1. Therefore the statement is true for $m = m_0 + 1$ in this case. The proof for the case $m_0 = 2k$ is completely analogous. \square

By “closing the diagrams”, we immediately get the following.

Corollary 5.2.1. We have, for any $k \geq 0$,

$$Kh_n \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{diagram with } 2k+1 \text{ crossings} \end{array} \right) \right) = \left[[n]\{1-n\} \xrightarrow{0} 0 \xrightarrow{0} [n-1]\{4-n\} \xrightarrow{0} [n-1]\{4+n\} \xrightarrow{0} \dots \right. \\ \left. \xrightarrow{0} [n-1]\{4k-n\} \xrightarrow{0} [n-1]\{4k+n\} \right] \{(n-1)(2k+1)\}$$

and, for any $k \geq 1$,

$$Kh_n \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{diagram with } 2k \text{ crossings} \end{array} \right) \right) = \left[[n]\{1-n\} \xrightarrow{0} 0 \xrightarrow{0} [n-1]\{4-n\} \xrightarrow{0} [n-1]\{4+n\} \xrightarrow{0} \dots \right. \\ \left. \xrightarrow{0} [n-1]\{4(k-1)-n\} \xrightarrow{0} [n-1]\{4(k-1)+n\} \xrightarrow{0} [n][n-1]\{4k-1\} \right] \{(n-1)(2k)\}$$

Proof. By Theorem 5 we have, for any $k \geq 0$,

$$Kh_n \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{diagram with } 2k+1 \text{ crossings} \end{array} \right) \right) = \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{diagram with 2 ovals} \xrightarrow{\chi_0} \text{diagram with 2 ovals} \{1\} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{diagram with 2 ovals} \{3\} \\ \xrightarrow{\gamma} \text{diagram with 2 ovals} \{5\} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \dots \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{diagram with 2 ovals} \{4k-1\} \\ \xrightarrow{\gamma} \text{diagram with 2 ovals} \{4k+1\} \end{array} \right] \{(n-1)(2k+1)\}$$

By equation $(\text{moy}\chi_0)$ we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{diagram with 2 ovals} & \xrightarrow{\chi_0} & \text{diagram with 2 ovals} \{1\} \\ \downarrow \lambda \otimes 1 \wr & & \downarrow \wr \mu \{1\} \\ \text{diagram with 1 oval} \{1-n\} \oplus [n-1] \text{diagram with 1 oval} \{1\} & \xrightarrow{(0 \ 1)} & [n-1] \text{diagram with 1 oval} \{1\} \end{array}$$

By equation (moy χ_0 a) we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1: Two loops labeled 1 and 2, with a set } \{-1\} \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 2: Two loops labeled 1 and 2, with a set } \{1\} \end{array} \\
 \downarrow \mu & & \downarrow \mu \\
 [n-1] \text{ } \bigcirc \text{ } \{-1\} & \xrightarrow{0} & [n-1] \text{ } \bigcirc \text{ } \{1\}
 \end{array}$$

By equation (moy χ_0 b) we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1: Two loops labeled 1 and 2, with a set } \{-1\} \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 2: Two loops labeled 1 and 2, with a set } \{1\} \end{array} \\
 \downarrow \mu & & \downarrow \mu \\
 [n-1] \text{ } \bigcirc \text{ } \{-1\} & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & [n-1] \text{ } \bigcirc \text{ } \{1\}
 \end{array}$$

Therefore, by equation (eq1) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 Kh_n \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram with } 2k+1 \text{ crossings} \end{array} \right) \right) &= \left[\bigcirc \text{ } \{1-n\} \oplus [n-1] \bigcirc \text{ } \{1\} \xrightarrow{(0 \ 1)} [n-1] \bigcirc \text{ } \{1\} \right. \\
 &\xrightarrow{0} [n-1] \bigcirc \text{ } \{3\} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} [n-1] \bigcirc \text{ } \{5\} \xrightarrow{0} \dots \xrightarrow{0} [n-1] \bigcirc \text{ } \{4k-1\} \\
 &\left. \xrightarrow{\epsilon} [n-1] \bigcirc \text{ } \{4k+1\} \right] \{(n-1)(2k+1)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

By the isomorphism

$$\lambda: \bigcirc \xrightarrow{\sim} [n]$$

and by equation (moy ϵ) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 Kh_n \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram with } 2k+1 \text{ crossings} \end{array} \right) \right) &= \left[[n] \{1-n\} \oplus [n-1][n] \{1\} \xrightarrow{(0 \ 1)} [n-1][n] \{1\} \right. \\
 &\xrightarrow{0} [n-1](\mathbb{Q}\{4-n\} \oplus [n-1]\{4\}) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} [n-1]([n-1]\{4\} \oplus \mathbb{Q}\{4+n\}) \xrightarrow{0} \dots \\
 &\left. \xrightarrow{0} [n-1](\mathbb{Q}\{4k-n\} \oplus [n-1]\{4k\}) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} [n-1]([n-1]\{4k\} \oplus \mathbb{Q}\{4k+n\}) \right] \{(n-1)(2k+1)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

By changing the orders in the direct sums (and so by (eq1)) we can rewrite this as

$$\begin{aligned}
Kh_n \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram with } 2k+1 \text{ crossings} \end{array} \right) \right) &= \left[[n-1][n]\{1\} \oplus [n]\{1-n\} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} [n-1][n]\{1\} \right. \\
&\xrightarrow{0} [n-1]([n-1]\{4\} \oplus \mathbb{Q}\{4-n\}) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} [n-1]([n-1]\{4\} \oplus \mathbb{Q}\{4+n\}) \xrightarrow{0} \dots \\
&\left. \xrightarrow{0} [n-1]([n-1]\{4k\} \oplus \mathbb{Q}\{4k-n\}) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} [n-1]([n-1]\{4k\} \oplus \mathbb{Q}\{4k+n\}) \right] \{(n-1)(2k+1)\}
\end{aligned}$$

By repeated use of (eq2) we therefore obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
Kh_n \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram with } 2k+1 \text{ crossings} \end{array} \right) \right) &= \left[[n]\{1-n\} \xrightarrow{0} 0 \xrightarrow{0} [n-1]\{4-n\} \xrightarrow{0} [n-1]\{n+4\} \xrightarrow{0} \dots \right. \\
&\left. \xrightarrow{0} [n-1]\{4k-n\} \xrightarrow{0} [n-1]\{n+4k\} \right] \{(n-1)(2k+1)\}
\end{aligned}$$

The proof for the case of an even number of crossing is perfectly analogous. \square

Remark 5.2.1. As the MOY complex associated with an m -crossing 2-stand braid link can be represented by a complex with only graded vector spaces (times \emptyset) as objects and all zero differentials, it is completely encoded into the *Poincaré polynomial* of the graded dimensions, obtained by the rule

$$\left[0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \underbrace{\mathbb{Q}\{i\}}_{\text{horizontal degree } j} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 0 \right] \mapsto q^i t^j$$

This way we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
Kh_n \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram with } 2k+1 \text{ crossings} \end{array} \right) \right) &= q^{(n-1)(2k+1)} (q^{1-n}[n]_q + (t^2 q^{4-n} + t^3 q^{4+n} + \\
&\quad + t^4 q^{8-n} + t^5 q^{8+n} + \dots + t^{2k} q^{4k-n} + t^{2k+1} q^{4k+n}) [n-1]_q) \\
&= q^{(n-1)(2k+1)} (q^{1-n}[n]_q + t^2 q^4 (q^{-n} + tq^n) (1 + t^2 q^4 + (t^2 q^4)^2 + \dots + (t^2 q^4)^{k-1}) [n-1]_q) \\
&= q^{(n-1)(2k+1)} \left(q^{1-n}[n]_q + (q^{-n} + tq^n) \frac{t^2 q^4 - t^{2k+2} q^{4k+4}}{1 - t^2 q^4} [n-1]_q \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
Kh_n \left(\left(\text{Diagram with } 2k \text{ crossings} \right) \right) &= q^{(n-1)(2k)} \left(q^{1-n}[n]_q + (t^2 q^{4-n} + t^3 q^{4+n} + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + t^4 q^{8-n} + t^5 q^{8+n} + \dots + t^{2k-2} q^{4(k-1)-n} + t^{2k-1} q^{4(k-1)+n}) [n-1]_q + t^{2k} q^{4k-1} [n]_q [n-1]_q \right) \\
&= q^{(n-1)(2k+1)} \left(q^{1-n}[n]_q + t^2 q^4 (q^{-n} + t q^n) (1 + t^2 q^4 + \dots + (t^2 q^4)^{k-2}) [n-1]_q + t^{2k} q^{4k-1} [n]_q [n-1]_q \right) \\
&= q^{(n-1)(2k)} \left(q^{1-n}[n]_q + (q^{-n} + t q^n) \frac{t^2 q^4 - t^{2k} q^{4k}}{1 - t^2 q^4} [n-1]_q + t^{2k} q^{4k-1} [n]_q [n-1]_q \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$[m]_q = \dim_q[m] = q^{1-m} + q^{3-m} + \dots + q^{m-3} + q^{m-1} = \frac{q^m - q^{-m}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

Remark 5.2.2. For an odd number $2k+1$ of crossings, the above formula extends to $n \leq 4$ the results by Rasmussen [Ra07], and agrees with the prediction by Morozov and Anokhina [AM14]. For low values of n and k , this formula has been computer checked by Carqueville and Murfet in [CM14]. More precisely, the case of 3 crossings has been computer checked for $n \leq 11$, and the case of 5 crossings for $n \leq 6$. For an even number $2k$ of crossings, the above formula agrees with the prediction by Morozov and Anokhina [AM14], and with the string theory inspired prediction by Gukov, Iqbal, Kozçaz and Vafa for the case of two crossings (the Hopf link) [GIKV10]. For low values of n and k , this formula has been computer checked by Carqueville and Murfet in [CM14]. More precisely, the case of 2 crossings has been computer checked for $n \leq 11$, the case of 4 crossings for $n \leq 5$, and the case of 6 crossings for $n \leq 4$.

Remark 5.2.3. Evaluating at $t = -1$ the above Poincaré polynomials one recovers the known formulas for the level n Jones polynomials of the m -crossings 2-strand braid link, see [FW87]:

$$\begin{aligned}
Jones_n \left(\left(\text{Diagram with } 2k+1 \text{ crossings} \right) \right) &= q^{(n-1)(2k+1)} \left(q^{1-n}[n]_q + (q^{-n} - q^n) \frac{q^4 - q^{4k+4}}{1 - q^4} [n-1]_q \right) \\
Jones_n \left(\left(\text{Diagram with } 2k \text{ crossings} \right) \right) &= q^{(n-1)(2k)} \left(q^{1-n}[n]_q + (q^{-n} - q^n) \frac{q^4 - q^{4k}}{1 - q^4} [n-1]_q + q^{4k-1} [n]_q [n-1]_q \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Example 5.2.1 (The Hopf link). The 2-crossing 2-braid link is known as the *Hopf link*, as collections of pairs of S^1 linked this way arise in the Hopf fibration $S^3 \rightarrow S^2$. Specializing the formula from Remark 5.2.1 to the case $2k = 2$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
Kh_n \left(\left(\text{Diagram of Hopf link} \right) \right) &= q^{2(n-1)} \left(q^{1-n}[n]_q + t^2 q^3 [n]_q [n-1]_q \right) \\
&= q^{2(n-1)} \left(q^{1-n}[n]_q + t^2 q^2 [n]_q ([n]_q - q^{1-n}) \right) \\
&= q^{n-1} \left(\frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}} \right) + q^{2n} \left(\frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}} \right)^2 t^2 - q^{n-1} \left(\frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}} \right) t^2
\end{aligned}$$

This way one sees how our general formula from Remark 5.2.1 specializes to the formula in [CM14].

Example 5.2.2 (The trefoil knot). The 3-crossing 2-braid link is the *trefoil knot*. Specializing the formula from Remark 5.2.1 to the case $2k + 1 = 3$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
Kh_n \left(\left(\text{trefoil knot} \right) \right) &= q^{3(n-1)} (q^{1-n}[n]_q + q^{-n}(q^{-n} + tq^n)t^2q^4[n-1]_q) \\
&= q^{2(n-1)} ([n]_q + [n-1]_q q^{-1}(1 + tq^{2n})t^2q^4) \\
&= q^{2n-2} \left(\frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}} + \frac{q^{n-1} - q^{-n+1}}{q - q^{-1}} q^{-1}(1 + tq^{2n})t^2q^4 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

This way one sees how our general formula from Remark 5.2.1 specializes to the formula in [CM14] (up to the change of variable $t \leftrightarrow t^{-1}$ witnessing the mirror reflection relating the trefoil knot considered here and the trefoil knot considered in [CM14]).

Bibliography

- [FYHLMO85] P. Freyd, D. Yetter, J. Hoste, W. B. R. Lickorish, K. Millett, and A. Ocneanu, *A new polynomial invariant of knots and links*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 12 (1985), no. 2, 239–246.
- [MOY98] Murakami, Hitoshi; Ohtsuki, Tomotada; Yamada, Shuji. Homfly polynomial via an invariant of colored plane graphs. Enseign. Math. (2) 44 (1998), no. 3-4, 325–360.
- [AM14] Anokhina, A.; Morozov, A. Towards \mathcal{R} -matrix construction of Khovanov-Rozansky polynomials I. Primary T -deformation of HOMFLY. J. High Energy Phys. 2014, no. 7, 063, front matter+180 pp.
- [CM14] Carqueville, Nils; Murfet, Daniel. Computing Khovanov-Rozansky homology and defect fusion. Algebr. Geom. Topol. 14 (2014), no. 1, 489–537.
- [DM14] Dolotin, V.; Morozov, A. Introduction to Khovanov homologies. III. A new and simple tensor-algebra construction of Khovanov-Rozansky invariants. Nuclear Phys. B 878 (2014), 12–81.
- [FW87] Franks, John; Williams, R. F. Braids and the Jones polynomial. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 303 (1987), no. 1, 97–108.
- [GIKV10] Gukov, Sergei; Iqbal, Amer; Kozçaz, Can; Vafa, Cumrun. Link homologies and the refined topological vertex. Comm. Math. Phys. 298 (2010), no. 3, 757–785.
- [Jon85] Jones, Vaughan F.R. (1985). A polynomial invariant for knots via von Neumann algebra. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society. (N.S.). 12: 103–111
- [Jon87] Jones, Vaughan F.R. (1987). Hecke algebra representations of braid groups and link polynomials. Annals of Mathematics. (2). 126 (2): 335–388.
- [Kau87] Louis H. Kauffman, State models and the Jones polynomial. Topology 26 (1987), no. 3, 395–407
- [KR08] Khovanov, Mikhail; Rozansky, Lev. Matrix factorizations and link homology. Fund. Math. 199 (2008), no. 1, 1–91
- [MOY98] Murakami, Hitoshi; Ohtsuki, Tomotada; Yamada, Shuji. Homfly polynomial via an invariant of colored plane graphs. Enseign. Math. (2) 44 (1998), no. 3-4, 325–360.
- [Ra07] Rasmussen, Jacob. Khovanov-Rozansky homology of two-bridge knots and links. Duke Math. J. 136 (2007), no. 3, 551–583.
- [RT90] Reshetikhin, N. Yu.; Turaev, V. G. Ribbon graphs and their invariants derived from quantum groups. Comm. Math. Phys. 127 (1990), no. 1, 1–26.
- [RT91] Reshetikhin, N.; Turaev, V. G. Invariants of 3-manifolds via link polynomials and quantum groups. Invent. Math. 103 (1991), no. 3, 547–597.
- [Wu14] Hao Wu, A colored $\mathfrak{sl}(N)$ homology for links in S^3 , Dissertationes Math. 499 (2014), doi:10.4064/dm499-0-1 (217 pages).

Zusammenfassung

Im ersten Teil die Dissertation reformieren wir die Murakami-Ohtsuki-Yamada-Summen-Beschreibung des Levels n Jonespolynomial einer orientierten Link in Bezug auf eine geeignete geflochtene monoidale Kategorie, deren Morphismen $\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$ -lineare Kombinationen orientierte dreiwertige planare Graphen sind und geben Sie eine entsprechende Beschreibung für das HOMFLY-PT-Polynom ein.

Im zweiten Teil erweitern wir diese Konstruktion und bringen die Khovanov-Rozansky-Homologie eines orientierten Links in Form einer kombinatorisch definierten Kategorie zum Ausdruck, deren Morphismen Äquivalenzklassen formaler Komplexe von (formalen direkten Summen verschobenen) orientierte planare Graphen sind. Kombinatorisches Arbeiten vermeidet viele der rechnerischen Schwierigkeiten, die mit den Matrixfaktorisierungsberechnungen der ursprünglichen Khovanov-Rozansky-Formulierung einhergehen: Sie verwendet systematisch kombinatorische Beziehungen, die durch diese Matrixfaktorisierungen befriedigt werden, um die Berechnung auf einer leicht zu handhabenden Ebene zu erleichtern. Durch Verwendung dieser Technik können wir eine Berechnung des Levels n Khovanov-Rozansky-Invariante der zweisträngigen Geflechtverbindung mit k Kreuzungen für beliebiges n und k bereitstellen, um vorherige Ergebnisse und mutmaßliche Vorhersagen von Anokhina-Morozov zu bestätigen und zu erweitern. Carqueville-Murfet, Dolotin- Morozov, Gukov-Iqbal-Kozcaz-Vafa und Rasmussen.