

C_2 -EQUIVARIANT STABLE STEMS

BERTRAND J. GUILLOU AND DANIEL C. ISAKSEN

ABSTRACT. We compute the 2-primary C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy groups $\pi_{s,c}^{C_2}$ for stems between 0 and 25 (i.e., $0 \leq s \leq 25$) and for coweights between -1 and 7 (i.e., $-1 \leq c \leq 7$). Our results, combined with periodicity isomorphisms and sufficiently extensive \mathbb{R} -motivic computations, would determine all of the C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy groups for all stems up to 20. We also compute the forgetful map $\pi_{s,c}^{C_2} \rightarrow \pi_s^{\text{cl}}$ to the classical stable homotopy groups in the same range.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Notation	6
3. Background on C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy theory	8
4. The equivariant Bockstein spectral sequence	12
5. The negative cone and τ -periodicity	16
6. Extensions in E_1^-	18
7. \mathbb{R} -motivic and negative cone Bockstein differentials	21
8. The η -periodic homotopy groups	27
9. Bockstein differentials	30
10. Hidden extensions in the ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence	36
11. Adams differentials	43
12. Hidden ρ -extensions and the cofiber of ρ sequence	52
13. Hidden extensions by h and η	57
14. Charts	62
References	108

1. INTRODUCTION

In any stable homotopy theory, the graded endomorphisms of the unit object play a central computational role. These endomorphisms control the construction of finite complexes because they serve as attaching maps for cells. From another perspective, they are the universal operations for generalized cohomology theories.

Date: April 24, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 55Q91, 55T15, 55Q45.

Key words and phrases. equivariant stable homotopy theory, stable homotopy group, Adams spectral sequence.

The first author was supported by NSF grants DMS-1710379 and DMS-2003204. The second author was supported by NSF grants DMS-1904241 and DMS-2202267.

The goal of this manuscript is to study C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy groups. We analyze the Adams spectral sequence in a range and compute some 2-primary C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy groups. To ensure that the Adams spectral sequence converges, we assume without further discussion that everything is appropriately completed. In other words, we are computing the homotopy groups of the 2-completed C_2 -equivariant sphere spectrum.

There are two essentially different ways to study homotopy groups in the G -equivariant context: \mathbb{Z} -graded homotopy Mackey functors and $RO(G)$ -graded homotopy groups. In the Mackey functor perspective, we consider the fixed-points of G -spectra with respect to various subgroups of G . The homotopy groups of these (non-equivariant) fixed-point spectra assemble into a Mackey functor. On the other hand, the $RO(G)$ -graded homotopy groups are a family of abelian groups indexed by the virtual representations of G .

The Mackey functors are a full set of G -equivariant homotopical invariants, in the sense that they detect equivalences. In general, the $RO(G)$ -graded homotopy groups are not as powerful, in the sense that they do not detect all equivalences. See [Example 3.1](#) for an explicit example of a C_3 -equivariant map that induces an isomorphism on $RO(C_3)$ -graded homotopy groups but is not an isomorphism on Mackey functors.

However, the C_2 -equivariant case is somewhat special. It turns out that the $RO(C_2)$ -graded homotopy groups do detect C_2 -equivariant weak equivalences. See [Section 3.1](#) for further discussion of this phenomenon. In theory, it is therefore possible to translate between Mackey functor computations and $RO(C_2)$ -graded information.

In this manuscript, we focus exclusively on the $RO(C_2)$ -graded homotopy groups. The most important reason for this choice is that our preferred tool, the Adams spectral sequence, converges to the $RO(C_2)$ -graded homotopy groups. In other words, we have chosen to compute what we know how to compute!

Another reason to study $RO(C_2)$ -graded homotopy groups is that they are compatible with \mathbb{R} -motivic homotopy groups. Betti realization is a functor from \mathbb{R} -motivic homotopy theory to C_2 -equivariant homotopy theory. The \mathbb{R} -motivic homotopy groups are a bigraded family of abelian groups, and Betti realization maps these \mathbb{R} -motivic homotopy groups to their corresponding $RO(C_2)$ -graded homotopy groups.

Much is known about the comparison between \mathbb{R} -motivic and C_2 -equivariant homotopy groups [\[BGI\]](#) [\[DI2\]](#). In practice, the \mathbb{R} -motivic Adams spectral sequence [\[BI\]](#) is much more manageable than the more complicated C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence. Therefore, we prefer to compute \mathbb{R} -motivically whenever possible. The Betti realization map $\pi_{s,c}^{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \pi_{s,c}^{C_2}$ is an isomorphism if $c \geq \frac{1}{2}s - 2$ [\[BGI\]](#), where s is the stem and c is the coweight (see [Section 2](#) for more details on grading conventions). Therefore, we concentrate our C_2 -equivariant efforts in the complementary range $c < \frac{1}{2}s - 2$.

On the other hand, the C_2 -equivariant homotopy groups exhibit a periodicity [\[Br1\]](#), [\[L, Proposition 6.1\]](#), [\[AI, Section 3\]](#), to be described in more detail later in [Section 3.3](#). For now, we observe that for $c \leq -2$, the group $\pi_{s,c}^{C_2}$ is isomorphic to the ρ -power torsion subgroup of $\pi_{s,c+k}^{\mathbb{R}}$, where k is a certain value depending only on s .

FIGURE 1.1. The C_2 -equivariant stable stems $\pi_{s,c}^{C_2}$




Figure 1.1 breaks the (s, c) -plane into regions depending on the relationship between \mathbb{R} -motivic and C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy groups. This is similar to Figure 1 of [BS] and Figure 5 of [BGI], using a different choice of grading. In the regions labelled “ \mathbb{R} -motivic”, the \mathbb{R} -motivic and C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy groups are isomorphic [BGI]. In the region labelled “ τ -periodic”, periodicity allows one to deduce C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy groups from \mathbb{R} -motivic computations. The purpose of this manuscript is to study the region labelled “interesting”, in which \mathbb{R} -motivic computations do not immediately determine the C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy groups.

The “interesting” region of the C_2 -equivariant stable stems, as displayed in Figure 1.1, consists of the groups $\pi_{s,c}^{C_2}$ with

$$-1 \leq c \leq \frac{s-5}{2}.$$

In this article, we determine the groups $\pi_{s,c}^{C_2}$ in an initial portion of the “interesting” region. More precisely, we determine the C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy groups in stems $s \leq 25$ and coweights $-1 \leq c \leq 7$. This captures the entire “interesting” region for $s \leq 20$.

Along the way, we also compute C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy groups in stems $s \leq 7$ and coweights $-9 \leq c \leq -2$. This computation is not the main point of our work, but it provides some data that supports our other more extensive computations.

Our philosophy is that one should not just compute C_2 -equivariantly, nor just \mathbb{R} -motivically and C_2 -equivariantly. Rather, one should also compute \mathbb{C} -motivically and classically as well, with information passing amongst the four contexts in all directions.

In this general direction, we compute many values of the underlying homomorphism from C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy groups to classical stable homotopy groups. As explained in [BG, GI2], these values are crucial input for the calculation of Mahowald invariants. Our computation of C_2 -equivariant stable stems goes up to coweight 7, so our work recovers the Mahowald invariants of all stable homotopy elements up to the 7-stem. All such Mahowald invariants are already well-known [B] [MR].

In this range, the Mahowald invariant is almost entirely calculated already by \mathbb{R} -motivic data [BI]. The sole exception is the Mahowald invariant of 8σ . Our C_2 -equivariant computations immediately lead to the conclusion that the Mahowald invariant of 8σ is $\eta^2\eta_4$ in the 18-stem. The main point is that the C_2 -equivariant Adams E_∞ chart in coweight 7 shows that the element $h_1^3h_4$ is ρ -periodic but not ρ -divisible.

Independently of the relevance to Mahowald invariants, we believe that the values of the underlying map carry deep information about both C_2 -equivariant and classical stable homotopy. At the very least, it is tightly linked to the homotopical ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence that starts with classical stable homotopy groups and converges to C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy groups. We leave a further exploration of these ideas to future work.

1.1. Related work. Bredon initiated the computation of C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy groups [Br1] [Br2], mostly focusing on coweight 0. Landweber [L] carried forward Bredon's work. Araki and Iriye [AI] [Ir] computed C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy groups roughly through the range $s \leq 13$ using EHP-style techniques. Their approach determines the abelian group structure of each C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy group in their range. However, their computations can be hard to interpret because they are relatively unstructured. Our work extends the range of the Araki-Iriye computations, but it also independently verifies their computations. Moreover, we provide more structure that makes it easier to understand how the various groups fit together. We also mention [GHIR] and [GI2], which can be viewed as warmups to this manuscript.

By the Segal Conjecture (which now has many proofs), the 2-complete C_2 -equivariant spectrum $S^{0,0}$ is equivalent to its Borel completion $F(EC_{2+}, S^{0,0})$, so the Borel C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence also computes the same C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy groups. Recent work of Sihao Ma [Ma] compares these two approaches, although it has no direct bearing on our work.

1.2. Future work. Our methods are far from exhausted. In order to make the project manageable, we chose an essentially arbitrary range in which to compute. We strongly suspect that the ideas set forth in this manuscript can be applied in a significantly larger range. Further work would be aided significantly by machine computation.

Our philosophy is to separate the τ -periodic phenomena from the non-periodic phenomena, to the extent that is possible. Computation would be greatly aided by some method that independently computes the τ -periodic C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy groups. Having τ -periodic information in hand would simplify the non-periodic part of the computation. It is known that the τ -periodic C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy groups are isomorphic to certain stable homotopy groups of stunted

projective spaces [L]. However, that isomorphism in itself does not provide a tool for extensive computations.

It is natural to ask whether our methods can be generalized to compute G -equivariant stable homotopy groups for finite groups G other than C_2 . It is conceivable that such a generalization can be carried out, although there are real difficulties arising from the more complicated structure of the G -equivariant homology of a point and the G -equivariant dual Steenrod algebra [HKSZ, SW].

From a computational perspective, $(C_2 \times C_2)$ -equivariant stable homotopy groups are particularly intriguing because the appropriate analogue of the second Hopf map ν is not nilpotent. This means that periodicity phenomena in $(C_2 \times C_2)$ -equivariant homotopy theory are both rich and not well-understood. Similarly, the third Hopf map σ is not nilpotent in $(C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2)$ -equivariant homotopy theory.

We also draw attention to [Conjecture 7.14](#), which speculates on a relationship between \mathbb{R} -motivic Bockstein differentials and C_2 -equivariant Bockstein differentials.

1.3. Outline. For readers who are interested in our main computation and wish to skip the technical details, the charts on pages 92–99 display the C_2 -equivariant Adams E_∞ -page, sorted by coweight. The C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy groups (in a range) can be read from these charts in the usual way. See [Section 14.5](#) for a specific key for the symbols and colors that we use.

We now give a slightly more detailed outline of our manuscript. We compute equivariant stable homotopy groups via the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence, whose input is given by Ext groups over the C_2 -equivariant dual Steenrod algebra $\mathcal{A}_*^{C_2}$. In turn, these Ext groups are computed by a ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence, as in [GHIR, GI2]. We rely heavily on the computation of the \mathbb{R} -motivic Bockstein spectral sequence and Adams spectral sequence [BI].

The exposition of our computation relies heavily on detailed notation, which is described in [Section 2](#). The reader is encouraged to cross-reference this section frequently.

[Section 3](#) contains some miscellaneous background information about C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy theory. Our discussion is not meant to be exhaustive. We simply touch on several points that are particularly important for our computation.

Our main program begins in [Section 4](#) where we discuss the ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence that converges to the C_2 -equivariant Ext groups. As discussed in [GHIR, Section 2], the C_2 -equivariant Ext groups split as a sum of the \mathbb{R} -motivic Ext groups as well as another piece arising from the “negative cone”. This splitting arises from a splitting of the C_2 -equivariant \mathbb{F}_2 -homology of a point, as displayed in [Figure 4.1](#). As a result, given the input of [BI], determination of the C_2 -equivariant Ext groups reduces to a computation of the ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence for the negative cone.

[Section 5](#) and [Section 6](#) dig deeper into the structure of the E_1 -page of the ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence for the negative cone. We explain how this E_1 -page can be described completely in terms of \mathbb{C} -motivic Ext groups, which are known in a large range [IWX]. We use a short exact sequence to compute the E_1 -page, but then we must resolve some extension problems associated to this sequence.

Then [Section 7](#) describes some methods for computing ρ -Bockstein differentials. In particular, Bockstein differentials in the negative cone are intimately tied to Bockstein differentials in the positive cone, i.e. \mathbb{R} -motivic Bockstein differentials. This connection suggests deeper structure that we have not yet made precise.

[Section 8](#) is an interlude on η -periodic computations. Experience shows that the η -periodic (or h_1 -periodic in an algebraic context) computations are much easier, but they also detect significant phenomena about the unperiodicized computations. Our later computations are made somewhat easier by having this periodic information in advance.

The η -periodic \mathbb{R} -motivic stable homotopy groups [[GI1](#)] exhibit non-trivial yet fully described structure that is similar to the structure in the classical image of J . Interestingly, this type of phenomenon does not occur C_2 -equivariantly. Rather, C_2 -equivariant η -periodic homotopy is trivial (except in degree 0). At first glance, this vanishing result suggests that η -periodic homotopy carries no useful information for us. However, one can deduce certain η -periodic Adams differentials from the fact that the η -periodic homotopy must vanish. In turn, the η -periodic Adams differentials provide information about unperiodicized Adams differentials.

[Section 9](#) starts the main thrust of stemwise computation in a range. We begin with an exhaustive accounting of Bockstein differentials. From these differentials, we obtain an additive description of the C_2 -equivariant Ext groups. We study some of the multiplicative structure of Ext in [Section 10](#).

Having obtained the C_2 -equivariant Adams E_2 -page, we then proceed to the Adams differentials in [Section 11](#). This gives us the E_∞ -page of the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence.

The final step is to determine some of the multiplicative structure in the C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy groups. In [Section 12](#) and [Section 13](#), we resolve hidden extensions by ρ , by h , and by η . Here h is the zeroth Hopf map that is detected by h_0 . Beware that h is not equal to 2; the latter is detected by $h_0 + \rho h_1$.

[Section 12](#) also provides extensive data on the value of the underlying map on C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy groups. We draw particular attention to the sequence [\(12.1\)](#). Although simple in appearance, the sequence is unexpectedly powerful for deducing structure in C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy groups.

[Section 14](#) presents our main computational results in the standard visual format of Adams charts. We provide a detailed key for each chart.

2. NOTATION

We index C_2 -equivariant stable stems in the form (s, c) , where s is the stem (i.e. the underlying topological dimension) and c is the coweight (i.e. the number of trivial 1-dimensional representations in a virtual representation, also known as the stem minus the weight). Similarly, all homology groups will be indexed as (s, c) .

We index our Ext groups in the form (s, f, c) , where s is the stem (i.e., the internal degree minus the homological degree), f is the Adams filtration (i.e., the homological degree), and c is the coweight.

The degrees s and f correspond to the Cartesian coordinates of a standard Adams chart. Our grading conventions are guided by practical considerations. We find that these conventions allow us to systematically organize our computations into manageable pieces. However, beware that the related articles [[DI1](#), [GHIR](#), [BI](#)] instead grade Ext groups over (s, f, w) , where w is the weight.

Equivariant stable homotopy groups are often graded over the real representation ring $RO(G)$. The representation ring $RO(C_2)$ of C_2 is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}[\sigma]/(\sigma^2 - 1)$, where σ is the 1-dimensional sign representation. The main point of translation is that the representation $p + q\sigma$ is expressed in our convention as $(p + q, p)$. Thus

$S^{1,1}$ is the circle with trivial action, whereas $S^{1,0}$ is S^σ . We warn the reader that this is the opposite of the convention in [BI, BS, DI1, GHIR].

Aside from the change in grading, we employ the same notation as in [GHIR, GI2]. In particular, this includes notation as follows:

- (1) $\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{F}_2[\tau]$ is the motivic homology of \mathbb{C} with \mathbb{F}_2 coefficients, where τ has bidegree $(0, 1)$.
- (2) $\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{F}_2[\tau, \rho]$ is the motivic homology of \mathbb{R} with \mathbb{F}_2 coefficients, where τ and ρ have bidegrees $(0, 1)$ and $(-1, 0)$, respectively.
- (3) $\mathbb{M}_2^{C_2}$ is the bigraded equivariant homology of a point with coefficients in the constant Mackey functor $\underline{\mathbb{F}}_2$. See Section 4 for a description of this algebra.
- (4) NC is the “negative cone” part of $\mathbb{M}_2^{C_2}$. See Section 4 for a precise description. We use the notation $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^j \tau^k}$ to denote the unique non-zero element of NC in degree $(j, -1 - k)$. We require that $j \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$.
- (5) NC_{ρ^n} is the ρ^n -torsion submodule of NC . In concrete terms, it consists of elements of the form $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^j \tau^k}$ such that $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$ and $k \geq 1$.
- (6) \mathcal{A}^{cl} , $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{C}}$, $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{R}}$, and \mathcal{A}^{C_2} are the classical, \mathbb{C} -motivic, \mathbb{R} -motivic, and C_2 -equivariant mod 2 Steenrod algebras. Their duals are $\mathcal{A}_*^{\text{cl}}$, $\mathcal{A}_*^{\mathbb{C}}$, $\mathcal{A}_*^{\mathbb{R}}$, and $\mathcal{A}_*^{C_2}$.
- (7) Ext_{cl} is the bigraded ring $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_*^{\text{cl}}}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$, i.e., the cohomology of \mathcal{A}^{cl} .
- (8) $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the trigraded ring $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_*^{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{C}})$, i.e., the cohomology of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{C}}$.
- (9) $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the trigraded ring $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_*^{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}})$, i.e., the cohomology of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{R}}$.
- (10) Ext_{C_2} is the trigraded ring $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_*^{C_2}}(\mathbb{M}_2^{C_2}, \mathbb{M}_2^{C_2})$, i.e., the cohomology of \mathcal{A}^{C_2} .
- (11) For any $\mathcal{A}_*^{C_2}$ -comodule M , we write $\text{Ext}_{C_2}(M)$ for the trigraded Ext_{C_2} -module $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_*^{C_2}}(\mathbb{M}_2^{C_2}, M)$. We use similar abbreviations in the \mathbb{R} -motivic and \mathbb{C} -motivic contexts.
- (12) For any $\mathcal{A}_*^{C_2}$ -comodule M , let $\Sigma^{t,c}M$ be the shift of M in which the internal degree is increased by t , and the coweight is increased by c .
- (13) Ext_{NC} is the $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}$ -module $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_*^{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}, NC)$.
- (14) E^+ is the \mathbb{R} -motivic ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}[\rho] \Rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

See Section 4.

- (15) E^- is the ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence that converges to Ext_{NC} (see Section 4). Also, E_{1,ρ^n}^- is the ρ^n -torsion submodule of E_1^- (see Lemma 5.7).
- (16) For any τ -torsion class y in $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}$, we define Qy , up to some indeterminacy, to be a particular element of E_1^- . See Notation 5.10 for the exact definition.
- (17) $\frac{\mathbb{F}_2[x]}{x^\infty}\{y\}$ is the infinitely x -divisible module $\text{colim}_n \mathbb{F}_2[x]/x^n$. In practice, we use this notation only with x equal to τ or equal to ρ . The module $\frac{\mathbb{F}_2[\tau]}{\tau^\infty}\{\gamma\}$ consists of elements of the form $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^k}$, with $k \geq 1$, whereas $\frac{\mathbb{F}_2[\rho]}{\rho^\infty}\{\gamma\}$ consists of elements $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^j}$, with $j \geq 0$. See (4) and Remark 2.1.
- (18) $S^{0,0}$ is the C_2 -equivariant sphere spectrum.
- (19) $H_{*,*}^{C_2}(X)$ is the C_2 -equivariant homology of a C_2 -equivariant spectrum X with coefficients in the constant Mackey functor $\underline{\mathbb{F}}_2$.
- (20) $\pi_{*,*}^{C_2}(X)$ is the bigraded C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy groups of a C_2 -spectrum, completed at 2 so that the equivariant Adams spectral sequence converges. In the case of $X = S^{0,0}$, we abbreviate this to $\pi_{*,*}^{C_2} = \pi_{*,*}^{C_2}(S^{0,0})$.

- (21) $(\ker \rho)_{*,*}$ is the subobject of $\pi_{*,*}^{C_2}$ consisting of elements that are annihilated by ρ , and $(\operatorname{coker} \rho)_{*,*}$ is the quotient of $\pi_{*,*}^{C_2}$ by the image of ρ .
- (22) $\pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}}$ are the bigraded \mathbb{R} -motivic stable homotopy groups, completed at 2 so that the \mathbb{R} -motivic Adams spectral sequence converges.
- (23) π_*^{cl} are the classical stable homotopy groups, completed at 2 so that the Adams spectral sequence converges.

Remark 2.1. Beware that our notation for elements of NC is slightly different from the notation used in other manuscripts. The relations $\tau^b \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\tau^b} = 0$ are the fundamental structure in NC . The symbol γ , which does not correspond to an actual element, has degree $(0, -1)$ and “represents” these relations. In practice, our convention makes it easier to state formulas that describe the behavior of the various elements $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^b}$.

Our element $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^a \tau^b}$ is often denoted by $\frac{\theta}{\rho^a \tau^{b-1}}$ elsewhere, such as in [DI2] [MC].

The Betti realization map from \mathbb{R} -motivic to C_2 -equivariant homotopy theory induces a homomorphism from $\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}$ to $\mathbb{M}_2^{C_2}$. In our notation, the elements τ and ρ of $\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}$ map to the elements of $\mathbb{M}_2^{C_2}$ of the same name. The symbols u and a are more traditionally used for τ and ρ in the equivariant context [HHR, Definitions 3.11 and 3.12].

We use the symbol ρ in four distinct but related contexts: for an element of $\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}$; for an element of $\mathbb{M}_2^{C_2}$; for an element of $\pi_{-1,0}^{\mathbb{R}}$ that is detected by ρ ; and for an element of $\pi_{-1,0}^{C_2}$ that is detected by ρ .

We use the symbol h for an element of $\pi_{0,0}^{C_2}$ that is detected by h_0 . This notation reflects that h is the zeroth Hopf map. The group $\pi_{0,0}^{C_2}$ is the (completion of) the Burnside ring $A(C_2)$, which is a free \mathbb{Z} -module whose generators are the two C_2 -orbits, namely the trivial orbit and the free orbit. The element h corresponds to the free orbit C_2/e . From the motivic perspective, $\pi_{0,0}^{\mathbb{R}}$ is the Grothendieck-Witt ring of quadratic forms over \mathbb{R} , and h corresponds to the hyperbolic plane. Beware that h does not equal 2; the latter homotopy element is detected by $h_0 + \rho h_1$.

3. BACKGROUND ON C_2 -EQUIVARIANT STABLE HOMOTOPY THEORY

3.1. Mackey functor homotopy groups versus $RO(G)$ -graded homotopy groups. We begin with a comparison of two perspectives on equivariant stable homotopy groups: \mathbb{Z} -graded homotopy Mackey functors and $RO(G)$ -graded homotopy groups. This issue arose in the introduction, and we provide additional detail here. Strictly speaking, the rest of the manuscript does not depend on this discussion, but it adds important conceptual background to the study of equivariant stable homotopy groups.

First we provide an explicit example that demonstrates that $RO(G)$ -graded homotopy groups are weaker than \mathbb{Z} -graded homotopy Mackey functors.

Example 3.1. Consider the C_3 -equivariant Eilenberg–Mac Lane spectrum $H_{C_3}\mathbb{Q}$, where \mathbb{Q} is the constant C_3 -Mackey functor with value \mathbb{Q} . The underlying spectrum of $H_{C_3}\mathbb{Q}$ is $H\mathbb{Q}$, so there is a corresponding map $C_{3+} \wedge H\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow H_{C_3}\mathbb{Q}$. This map induces an isomorphism on $RO(C_3)$ -graded homotopy groups, yet cannot be a C_3 -equivariant equivalence because the source and target do not agree as underlying spectra. We are indebted to Clover May for this example.

However, the C_2 -equivariant case is somewhat special because the $RO(C_2)$ -graded homotopy groups do detect C_2 -equivariant weak equivalences.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map of C_2 -equivariant spectra. The map f induces an isomorphism on \mathbb{Z} -graded homotopy Mackey functors if and only if it induces an isomorphism on $RO(C_2)$ -graded homotopy groups.*

Proof. The definition of C_2 -equivariant weak equivalences [MM, Definition III.3.2, Theorem III.6.1] says that if f induces an isomorphism on \mathbb{Z} -graded Mackey functors, then f is a C_2 -equivariant equivalence. It follows that f induces an isomorphism on $RO(C_2)$ -graded homotopy groups.

Now assume that f induces an isomorphism on $RO(C_2)$ -graded homotopy groups. There is a C_2 -equivariant cofiber sequence $C_{2+} \rightarrow S^{0,0} \rightarrow S^{1,0}$ which describes a C_2 -CW structure on $S^{1,0}$. (Beware of our grading conventions discussed in Section 2; the sphere associated to the trivial representation is $S^{1,1}$, and the sphere associated to the sign representation is $S^{1,0}$.)

By mapping the $\Sigma^{n,n}$ -suspension of this cofiber sequence into X and Y , we obtain a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & \pi_{n+1,n}^{C_2} X & \longrightarrow & \pi_{n,n}^{C_2} X & \longrightarrow & [C_{2+}, X]_{n,n} \longrightarrow \cdots \\ & & \downarrow f_* & & \downarrow f_* & & \downarrow f_* \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & \pi_{n+1,n}^{C_2} Y & \longrightarrow & \pi_{n,n}^{C_2} Y & \longrightarrow & [C_{2+}, Y]_{n,n} \longrightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

in which the rows are long exact sequences. The left and middle vertical maps are isomorphisms since they are part of the data of $RO(C_2)$ -graded homotopy groups. By the five lemma, the right vertical map is also an isomorphism.

The free-forgetful adjunction implies that the right vertical map is an isomorphism $\pi_n^{\text{cl}} U X \rightarrow \pi_n^{\text{cl}} U Y$, where U is the forgetful functor. On the other hand, the trivial-action-fixed-point adjunction implies that the middle vertical map is an isomorphism $\pi_n^{\text{cl}}(X^{C_2}) \rightarrow \pi_n^{\text{cl}}(Y^{C_2})$, where X^{C_2} and Y^{C_2} are the fixed-point spectra of X and Y respectively. These maps are precisely the components of the natural transformation $f_* : \underline{\pi}_n(X) \rightarrow \underline{\pi}_n(Y)$ of Mackey functors, so f induces an isomorphism of Mackey functor homotopy groups. \square

3.2. The underlying homomorphism and the cofiber of ρ . We write U for the forgetful functor from C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy theory to classical stable homotopy theory. Note that $US^{s,c}$ equals S^s for all s and c , so U induces a homomorphism $\pi_{s,c}^{C_2} \rightarrow \pi_s^{\text{cl}}$. First we restate a well-known proposition about this homomorphism for later use.

Proposition 3.3. *There is a long exact sequence*

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_{s+1,c}^{C_2} \xrightarrow{\rho} \pi_{s,c}^{C_2} \xrightarrow{U} \pi_s^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow \pi_{s,c-1}^{C_2} \xrightarrow{\rho} \pi_{s-1,c-1}^{C_2} \rightarrow \cdots$$

In particular, an element α of $\pi_{s,c}^{C_2}$, is divisible by ρ if and only if its underlying class $U\alpha$ in π_s^{cl} is zero.

Recall the C_2 -equivariant cofiber sequence

$$(3.4) \quad S^{0,-1} \rightarrow C_{2+} \rightarrow S^{0,0} \xrightarrow{\rho} S^{1,0}$$

that displays C_{2+} as a model for (a suspension of) the cofiber of ρ . The free-forgetful adjunction implies that the C_2 -equivariant cohomotopy $[C_{2+}, S^{0,0}]_{*,*}^{C_2}$ of

C_{2+} is isomorphic to $\pi^{\text{cl}}[\tau^{\pm 1}]$. Here we are considering $\pi^{\text{cl}}[\tau^{\pm 1}]$ as a bigraded object by adjoining a formal parameter τ in coweight 1.

Remark 3.5. The Wirthmüller isomorphism implies that C_{2+} is its own Spanier-Whitehead dual. Consequently, its cohomotopy is isomorphic to its more familiar homotopy. However, the cohomotopy of C_{2+} is the object that is naturally relevant here.

Proposition 3.6. *The C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence for $[C_{2+}, S^{0,0}]_{*,*}^{C_2}$ is isomorphic to the classical Adams spectral sequence for π_*^{cl} tensored with $\mathbb{Z}[\tau^{\pm 1}]$.*

Proof. Let \overline{H} be the fiber of the C_2 -equivariant map $S^{0,0} \rightarrow H$, where H is the C_2 -equivariant Eilenberg-Mac Lane object that represents cohomology with coefficients in the constant Mackey functor \mathbb{F}_2 . Then we can build a C_2 -equivariant Adams resolution $R_* \rightarrow S^{0,0}$ in which R_k is $\overline{H}^{\wedge k}$.

The functor U preserves cofiber sequences, respects smash products, and takes C_2 -equivariant Eilenberg-Mac Lane objects to classical Eilenberg-Mac Lane objects. Consequently, $UR_* \rightarrow S^0$ is the classical Adams resolution in which UR_k is $\overline{H}_{\text{cl}}^{\wedge k}$. Here \overline{H}_{cl} is the fiber of the classical map $S^0 \rightarrow H_{\text{cl}}$, and H_{cl} is the classical Eilenberg-Mac Lane object that represents ordinary \mathbb{F}_2 -cohomology.

The C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence for $[C_{2+}, S^{0,0}]_{*,*}^{C_2}$ derives from an exact couple $\oplus[C_{2+}, R_*]_{*,*}$. By the free-forgetful adjunction, this exact couple is isomorphic to the exact couple $\oplus[S^0, UR_*]_{*,*}$, from which the classical Adams spectral sequence is derived. \square

Let $(\ker \rho)_{*,*}$ be the subobject of $\pi_{*,*}^{C_2}$ consisting of elements that are annihilated by ρ . Let $(\text{coker } \rho)_{*,*}$ be the quotient of $\pi_{*,*}^{C_2}$ by the image of ρ .

Proposition 3.7. *There is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow (\text{coker } \rho)_{*,*} \xrightarrow{U} \pi_*^{\text{cl}}[\tau^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow (\ker \rho)_{*,*-1} \rightarrow 0$$

of $\pi_{,*}^{C_2}$ -modules, in which both maps preserve the Adams filtration.*

By “preserve”, we mean that the maps in the short exact sequence may increase, but cannot decrease, the Adams filtration.

Proof. The sequence (3.4) contravariantly induces a long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow \pi_{*,-1,*-1}^{C_2} \xleftarrow{\rho} \pi_{*,*,-1}^{C_2} \leftarrow [C_{2+}, S^{0,0}]_{*,*} \leftarrow \pi_{*,*}^{C_2} \xleftarrow{\rho} \pi_{*+1,*}^{C_2} \leftarrow \dots$$

Combined with our description of $[C_{2+}, S^{0,0}]$, we obtain short exact sequences

$$(\text{coker } \rho)_{*,*} \rightarrow \pi_*^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow (\ker \rho)_{*,*-1}.$$

The claim about Adams filtrations follows from contravariant functoriality of the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence applied to the maps $S^{0,0} \rightarrow C_{2+}$ and $C_{2+} \rightarrow S^{0,1}$ in sequence (3.4). \square

We will rely on Proposition 3.7 many times in Section 11 to establish Adams differentials in the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence and also in Sections 12 and 13 to establish multiplications in $\pi_{*,*}^{C_2}$ that are hidden in the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence.

3.3. τ -periodicity. We next turn to τ -periodicity, as displayed in [Figure 1.1](#). Bredon showed [[Br1](#)] that the C_2 -equivariant stable stems exhibit a periodicity in the coweight direction (see also [[L](#), Proposition 6.1]). We introduce the following notation in order to state the periodicity results.

Notation 3.8. For any $s \geq 0$, let $p_s = 2^{\varphi(s+1)}$, where $\varphi(s+1)$ is the number of positive integers $j \leq s+1$ such that $j \equiv 0, 1, 2, \text{ or } 4 \pmod{8}$.

s	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
p_s	2	4	4	8	8	8	8	16	32	64	64	128	128	128	128	256	512

Landweber demonstrated a periodicity in the elements that are annihilated by a power of ρ . In negative coweight, all classes are ρ -power torsion, and the periodicity result takes the form:

Theorem 3.9. [[Br1](#)] *Let $s \geq 0$ and $c \leq -2$. There is a periodicity isomorphism*

$$T^{-p_s} : \pi_{s,c}^{C_2} \cong \pi_{s,c-p_s}^{C_2},$$

where p_s is as in [Notation 3.8](#).

For example, for $c \leq -2$ we have periodicity operators

$$\begin{aligned} T^{-2} : \pi_{0,c}^{C_2} &\cong \pi_{0,c-2}^{C_2}, & T^{-4} : \pi_{1,c}^{C_2} &\cong \pi_{1,c-4}^{C_2}, \\ T^{-4} : \pi_{2,c}^{C_2} &\cong \pi_{2,c-4}^{C_2}, & T^{-8} : \pi_{3,c}^{C_2} &\cong \pi_{3,c-8}^{C_2}. \end{aligned}$$

In positive coweights, the periodicity result takes the form:

Theorem 3.10. [[Br1](#)] *Let $s \geq 0$ and $c \geq \frac{s-1}{2}$. There is a periodicity isomorphism T^{p_s} from the ρ -power torsion subgroup of $\pi_{s,c}^{C_2}$ to the ρ -power torsion subgroup of $\pi_{s,c+p_s}^{C_2}$, where p_s is as in [Notation 3.8](#).¹*

Moreover, the periodicity identifies periodic stems in negative coweight with the periodic stems in positive coweight:

Proposition 3.11. *Let $s \geq 0$ and $c \leq -2$. If the integer n satisfies $c + np_s \geq \frac{s-1}{2}$, then there is a periodicity isomorphism from $\pi_{s,c}^{C_2}$ to the ρ -power torsion subgroup of $\pi_{s,c+np_s}^{C_2}$.*

Proof of [Theorem 3.9](#), [Theorem 3.10](#), and [Proposition 3.11](#). The cofiber sequence

$$(EC_2)_+ \longrightarrow S^{0,0} \longrightarrow \widetilde{EC}_2$$


gives rise to a long exact sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow \pi_{c+1}^{\text{cl}} \longrightarrow \pi_{s,c}^{C_2}((EC_2)_+) \longrightarrow \pi_{s,c}^{C_2} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \pi_c^{\text{cl}} \longrightarrow \dots$$

Bredon established ([[Br1](#)], but see also [[L](#), Proposition 6.1]) the periodicity of the groups $\pi_{s,c}^{C_2}((EC_2)_+)$. If $c \leq -2$, then both outside groups vanish, giving an isomorphism $\pi_{s,c}^{C_2}((EC_2)_+) \cong \pi_{s,c}^{C_2}$. On the other hand, if $c \geq \frac{s-1}{2}$, then the geometric fixed points homomorphism $\Phi: \pi_{s+1,c+1}^{C_2} \longrightarrow \pi_{c+1}^{\text{cl}}$ is surjective by [[Br1](#)]. In computational terms, Φ has the effect of inverting ρ , so $\pi_{s,c}^{C_2}((EC_2)_+) \longrightarrow \pi_{s,c}^{C_2}$ is an identification with the ρ -power torsion. \square

We use [Proposition 3.11](#) later in the proof of [Proposition 11.2](#) to establish that certain classes are permanent cycles in the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence.

¹In [[BS](#), Theorem 7.4], this is stated in the smaller region $s > 2w$, which corresponds to $c > \frac{s}{2}$.

FIGURE 4.1. The C_2 -equivariant homology of a point

4. THE EQUIVARIANT BOCKSTEIN SPECTRAL SEQUENCE

Recall that $\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\tau, \rho]$ and that $\mathbb{M}_2^{C_2} \cong \mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}} \oplus NC$, where NC is the negative cone. Figure 4.1 displays $\mathbb{M}_2^{C_2}$. Elements of NC are of the form $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^j \tau^k}$, where $j \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$.

The equivariant dual Steenrod algebra $\mathcal{A}_*^{C_2}$ is a Hopf algebraoid over $\mathbb{M}_2^{C_2}$. As an $\mathbb{M}_2^{C_2}$ -algebra, it has generators τ_0, τ_1, \dots and ξ_1, ξ_2, \dots , subject to the relations

$$\tau_i^2 = \tau \xi_{i+1} + \rho \tau_{i+1} + \rho \tau_0 \xi_{i+1}.$$

The right unit is given by

$$\eta_R(\rho) = \rho, \quad \eta_R(\tau) = \tau + \rho \tau_0,$$

and

$$(4.1) \quad \eta_R\left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^j \tau^k}\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\rho^j \tau^k} \cdot \left[\sum_{i \geq 0} \left(\frac{\rho}{\tau} \tau_0\right)^i \right]^k$$

[GHIR, (2-3)], [HK].

As discussed in [GHIR, Proposition 3.1], there is a ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence converging to the groups Ext_{C_2} . The splitting of $\mathbb{M}_2^{C_2}$ extends to a splitting as $\mathcal{A}_*^{\mathbb{R}}$ -comodules and gives rise to a splitting $\text{Ext}_{C_2} \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \text{Ext}_{NC}$ [GHIR, Proposition 2.2], as well as a corresponding splitting of the Bockstein E_1 -page

$$E_1 \cong E_1^+ \oplus E_1^- \Rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \text{Ext}_{NC}.$$

The E_1^+ -page is the E_1 -page of the \mathbb{R} -motivic Bockstein spectral sequence, as discussed in [DI1] and [BI].

The E_1^- -page consists entirely of families of infinitely ρ -divisible elements. Every element of E_1^- is ρ^k -torsion for some k . In less formal terms, ρ -towers extend infinitely in one direction, but they always terminate in the other direction.

Definition 4.2. For $k \leq -1$, the k th stage of the ρ -filtration on E_1^- consists of all elements a such that $\rho^{-k}a$ equals zero.

We frequently refer to the ρ -filtration of a specific element a in E_1^- . In this context, we mean the least value of k such that a belongs to the k th stage of the filtration.

Remark 4.3. The filtration grading of E_1^- is concentrated in negative degrees. We will use the phrases “higher filtration” and “lower filtration” in the strict numerical sense, e.g. filtration -1 is higher than filtration -2 . The ρ -filtration is decreasing in the sense that the $(k+1)$ st stage is a subset of the k th stage. This is compatible with the ρ -filtration on E_1^+ , where the k th stage consists of all multiples of ρ^k . As k tends to $-\infty$, the stages of the filtration on E_1^- get larger, and their union is equal to E_1^- .

Proposition 4.4.

- (1) *If a is nonzero and annihilated by ρ in E_r^- , then the ρ -filtration of a is -1 .*
- (2) *If an element of E_r^- has ρ -filtration less than or equal to $-r$, then it is ρ -divisible.*

We combine the distinct statements of [Proposition 4.4](#) into one result because we prove them simultaneously by induction.

Proof. Write (1: r) and (2: r) for each of the two statements applied to the E_r^- page.

Statement (2: r) follows from (1: $r-1$) and (2: $r-1$). Suppose that a has ρ -filtration less than or equal to $-r$ in E_r^- . By (2: $r-1$), $a = \rho a'$ in E_{r-1}^- for some a' . Then a is also ρ -divisible in E_r^- , unless there is a non-zero differential $d_{r-1}(a') = b$ such that $\rho b = 0$. In that case, (1: $r-1$) would imply that the ρ -filtration of b must be -1 , so the ρ -filtration of a' would be $-r$, and the ρ -filtration of a would be $-r+1$. By contradiction, $d_{r-1}(a')$ must be zero, and a is ρ -divisible in E_r^- .

Statement (1: r) follows from (1: $r-1$) and (2: $r-1$). Suppose that $\rho a = 0$ in E_r^- . If the ρ -filtration of a is less than -1 , then ρa is non-zero in E_{r-1}^- by (1: $r-1$). Therefore, there exists a non-zero differential $d_{r-1}(b) = \rho a$ in E_{r-1}^- . The ρ -filtration of b is at most $-r$, so $b = \rho b'$ by (2: $r-1$). Then $d_{r-1}(b') - a$ is annihilated by ρ in E_{r-1}^- . Again by (1: $r-1$), we conclude that $d_{r-1}(b') = a$, so $a = 0$ in E_r^- . \square

Proposition 4.5. *If a nonzero element of E_r^- is ρ -divisible, then it is uniquely ρ -divisible.*

Proof. Suppose that a has filtration f , so $f \leq -1$. If $a = \rho b$ and $a = \rho b'$, then $b - b'$ is annihilated by ρ and has filtration $f - 1 \leq -2$. According to [Proposition 4.4\(1\)](#), $b - b'$ must be zero. \square

Proposition 4.6. *If $d_r(a)$ is non-zero in E_r^- , then a and $d_r(a)$ are both ρ -divisible.*

Proof. If $d_r(a)$ is non-zero, then the ρ -filtration of a is at most $-r-1$ since d_r increases ρ -filtration by r . Then [Proposition 4.4\(2\)](#) implies that a is ρ -divisible. Let $a = \rho a'$. Then $d_r(a) = \rho d_r(a')$, so $d_r(a)$ is ρ -divisible as well. \square

Remark 4.7. [Proposition 4.4\(1\)](#) and [Proposition 4.6](#) are dual to analogous results about E_r^+ , as stated in [\[DI1, Lemma 3.4\]](#) and [\[BI, Proposition 5.1\]](#). Namely,

- (1) if x is not divisible by ρ in E_r^+ , then the ρ -filtration of x is 0.
- (2) if $d_r(x)$ is non-zero in E_r^+ , then both x and $d_r(x)$ are ρ -free.

Remark 4.8. Proposition 4.5 shows that unique ρ -divisibility is common in E_r^- . If a is ρ^k -divisible, then we may unambiguously use the notation $\frac{1}{\rho^k}a$ for an element such that $\rho^k \cdot \frac{1}{\rho^k}a = a$.

Remark 4.9. The technical statements of Proposition 4.4, Proposition 4.5 and Proposition 4.6 obscure the practical significance of the results. In less formal terms, the propositions describe the structure of E_r^- as an $\mathbb{F}_2[\rho]$ -module. There are two types of summands:

- (1) Infinitely divisible summands consisting of elements $\left\{a, \frac{1}{\rho}a, \frac{1}{\rho^2}a, \dots\right\}$ such that the ρ -filtration of a is -1 .
- (2) Finite sequences of elements $\left\{a, \frac{1}{\rho}a, \dots, \frac{1}{\rho^s}a\right\}$, where the ρ -filtration of a is -1 , the element $\frac{1}{\rho^s}a$ is not ρ -divisible, and $s < r$.

The $\mathbb{F}_2[\rho]$ -modules of the second type are in one-to-one correspondence with infinitely divisible families of d_s differentials. The elements $\frac{1}{\rho^k}a$ for $k \geq s$ support non-zero d_s differentials.

The following is the analogue of [DI1, Proposition 3.2] for the negative cone.

Proposition 4.10. *There are Bockstein differentials*

$$d_1 \left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau} \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^2} \cdot h_0$$

and

$$d_{2^n} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^{2^n} \tau^{2^n}} \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{2^n+2^{n-1}}} \cdot h_n$$

for $n \geq 1$.

Proof. This follows from the formula for the right unit given in (4.1). Indeed,

$$\eta_R \left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau} \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{\tau^2} \cdot \tau_0.$$

It follows that, in the cobar complex, we have $d \left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau} \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^2} \otimes \tau_0$. As h_0 is represented by τ_0 in the cobar complex, this establishes the formula for d_1 . Similarly, for appropriate values of x and y we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_R \left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^{2^n} \tau^{2^n}} \right) &= \frac{\gamma}{\rho^{2^n} \tau^{2^n}} \left(1 + \frac{\rho^{2^n}}{\tau^{2^n}} \tau_0^{2^n} + \rho^{2^{n+1}} \cdot x \right) \\ &= \frac{\gamma}{\rho^{2^n} \tau^{2^n}} \left(1 + \frac{\rho^{2^n}}{\tau^{2^{n-1}}} \xi_1^{2^{n-1}} + \rho^{2^n+2^{n-1}} \cdot y \right) \\ &= \frac{\gamma}{\rho^{2^n} \tau^{2^n}} + \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{2^n+2^{n-1}}} \xi_1^{2^{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives rise to the cobar complex differential $d \left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^{2^n} \tau^{2^n}} \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{2^n+2^{n-1}}} \otimes \xi_1^{2^{n-1}}$. Finally, use that h_n is represented by $\xi_1^{2^{n-1}}$ for $n \geq 1$. \square

Remark 4.11. The differential

$$d_1 \left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau} \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^2} \cdot h_0$$

from [Proposition 4.10](#) implies that

$$d_1 \left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^{2k+1}} \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{2k+2}} \cdot h_0$$

for all $k \geq 1$. This follows from the Leibniz rule applied to the relation

$$\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau} = \frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^{2k+1}} \cdot \tau^{2k}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$d_{2^n} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^{2^n} \tau^{2^{n+1}k+2^n}} \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{2^{n+1}k+2^n+2^{n-1}}} \cdot h_n$$

for $n \geq 1$.

We next show that every nonzero element of Ext_{C_2} is not infinitely divisible by ρ .

Proposition 4.12. *The inverse limit $\lim_{\rho} \text{Ext}_{C_2}$ vanishes.*

Proof. The argument is the same as [[GHIR](#), Proposition 5.2]. It suffices to show that the cobar complex $\text{coB}_{\mathbb{F}_2[\tau]}^* \left(\frac{\mathbb{F}_2[\tau]}{\tau^\infty} \{\gamma\}, \mathbb{F}_2[\tau, x] \right)$ is acyclic, where

$$\eta_R \left(\frac{\gamma}{\tau^k} \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^k} \left[\sum_{i \geq 0} \left(\frac{x}{\tau} \right)^i \right]^k.$$

Filtering by powers of x , we have

$$E_1 \cong \frac{\mathbb{F}_2[\tau]}{\tau^\infty} \{\gamma\} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_2} \mathbb{F}_2[v_0, v_1, \dots],$$

where $v_n = [x^{2^n}]$. We have differentials

$$d_{2^n} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{2^{n+1}k-2^n}} \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{2^{n+1}k}} v_n$$

for all $n \geq 0$, and nothing survives the spectral sequence. \square

[Proposition 4.12](#) is a powerful tool for deducing differentials in E_1^- . It has the following immediate consequence. Let x be any class in E_1^- . Then either:

- x is the target of some Bockstein differential; or
- x is of the form $\rho^k \cdot y$, where y supports a Bockstein differential.

In informal terms, x supports a Bockstein differential “up to ρ -divisibility” in the latter case.

In practice, we often find elements x in E_1^- that cannot be hit by Bockstein differentials. Then we know that x supports a differential up to ρ -divisibility, and it is often possible to determine the exact value of this differential by a process of elimination.

Similarly, we often find elements x in E_1^- that cannot support differentials, even up to ρ -divisibility. Then we know that x must be hit by a differential, and it is often possible to determine the exact source of this differential by a process of elimination.

This situation contrasts to the case of E_1^+ , where many infinite ρ -towers survive the Bockstein spectral sequence. However, the surviving ρ -towers are well-controlled by [[DII](#), Theorem 4.1].

5. THE NEGATIVE CONE AND τ -PERIODICITY

Periodicity with respect to the element τ plays an important role in our computations. For any $n > 0$, the homology of the cofiber $S^{0,0}/\rho^n$ is τ -periodic [MC]. Indeed, $H_{*,*}^{C_2}(S^{0,0}/\rho^n)$ is isomorphic to

$$\frac{\mathbb{F}_2[\rho, \tau^{\pm 1}]}{\rho^n} = \frac{\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}[\tau^{-1}]}{\rho^n}$$

Lemma 5.1. *There is an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{C_2} \left(\frac{\mathbb{M}_2^{C_2}[\tau^{-1}]}{\rho^n} \right) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}[\tau^{-1}]}{\rho^n} \right).$$

Proof. The coaction map for the $\mathcal{A}_*^{C_2}$ -comodule $H_{*,*}^{C_2}(S^{0,0}/\rho^n)$ has target

$$\mathcal{A}_*^{C_2} \otimes_{\mathbb{M}_2^{C_2}} \frac{\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}[\tau^{-1}]}{\rho^n} \cong \frac{\mathcal{A}_*^{C_2}}{\rho^n} \otimes_{\mathbb{M}_2^{C_2}/\rho^n} \frac{\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}[\tau^{-1}]}{\rho^n}.$$

We have an isomorphism of Hopf algebroids

$$\left(\frac{\mathbb{M}_2^{C_2}}{\rho^n}, \frac{\mathcal{A}_*^{C_2}}{\rho^n} \right) \cong \left(\frac{\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}}{\rho^n}, \frac{\mathcal{A}_*^{\mathbb{R}}}{\rho^n} \right)$$

and therefore an isomorphism of the cobar complexes that compute the Ext groups. \square

Let us write NC_{ρ^n} for the ρ^n -torsion submodule of NC . The submodules NC_{ρ^r} are sometimes easier to work with than NC itself. The following [Proposition 5.2](#) shows that in any given computational situation, there is no harm in restricting to NC_{ρ^r} for r sufficiently large.

Proposition 5.2. *The inclusion maps $NC_{\rho^r} \rightarrow NC$ induce an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{NC} \cong \mathrm{colim}_r \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}(NC_{\rho^r}).$$

Proof. The cobar complex that computes Ext_{NC} is the colimit of the cobar complexes that compute $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}(NC_{\rho^r})$, and homology commutes with filtered colimits. \square

We have a short exact sequence

$$(5.3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \frac{\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}}{\rho^n} \xrightarrow{i} \frac{\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}[\tau^{-1}]}{\rho^n} \xrightarrow{q} \Sigma^{1-n,1} NC_{\rho^n} \longrightarrow 0$$


of $\mathcal{A}_*^{\mathbb{R}}$ -comodules, where $q(\tau^{-k}) = \frac{\gamma}{\rho^{n-1}\tau^k}$. See [Figure 5.1](#) for a visualization of the case $n = 4$. An alternative description for the cokernel is

$$(5.4) \quad \Sigma^{1-n,1} NC_{\rho^n} \cong \frac{\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}}{\tau^\infty, \rho^n}.$$

The short exact sequence (5.3) of $\mathcal{A}_*^{\mathbb{R}}$ -comodules yields a long exact sequence (5.5)

$$\longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}^{s,f,c} \left(\frac{\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}}{\rho^n} \right) \xrightarrow{i_*} \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}^{s,f,c} \left(\frac{\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}[\tau^{-1}]}{\rho^n} \right) \xrightarrow{q_*} \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}^{s+n-1,f,c-1} (NC_{\rho^n}) \xrightarrow{\delta}.$$

FIGURE 5.1. The homology ring $H_{*,*}^{C_2}(S^{0,0}/\rho^4) \cong \frac{\mathbb{F}_2[\rho, \tau^{\pm 1}]}{\rho^4}$, displaying the short exact sequence (5.3)



If we forget to $\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{C}}$ -modules, we obtain

$$(5.6) \quad \rightarrow \frac{\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}^{s,f,c}(\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{C}})[\rho]}{\rho^n} \xrightarrow{i_*} \frac{\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}^{s,f,c}(\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{C}}[\tau^{-1}])[\rho]}{\rho^n} \xrightarrow{q_*} \frac{\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}^{s,f,c}(\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{C}}/\tau^\infty)[\rho]}{\rho^n} \xrightarrow{\delta},$$

in which the objects are Bockstein E_1 -pages for the Ext groups in (5.5).

Let E_{1,ρ^n}^- denote the ρ^n -torsion in E_1^- .

Lemma 5.7. *There is a short exact sequence*

$$(5.8) \quad \text{coker}(i_*) \xrightarrow{q_*} E_{1,\rho^n}^- \xrightarrow{\delta} \ker(i_*).$$

Proof. The long exact sequence (5.6) gives a short exact sequence

$$\text{coker}(i_*) \rightarrow \frac{\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{C}}/\tau^\infty)[\rho]}{\rho^n} \rightarrow \ker(i_*).$$

The isomorphism (5.4) gives an identification of $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{C}}/\tau^\infty)[\rho]/\rho^n$ with the ρ^n -torsion in E_1^- . \square

Remark 5.9. The sequence of Lemma 5.7 is the restriction to ρ^n -torsion of the short exact sequence for E_1^- given in [GHIR, Proposition 3.1]

The sequence in Lemma 5.7 gives rise to two types of elements in E_1^- . The first type, corresponding to the cokernel of i_* , consists of elements of the form $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^r \tau^s} x$, where x belongs to $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Such classes arise from the E_1^+ -module structure on E_1^- via formulas of the form $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^r \tau^s} x = x \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^r \tau^s}$. We will typically write such elements in simplified form, meaning that x is not τ -divisible in $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Note that if x is τ -torsion, then the product is zero, as $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^r \tau^s}$ is infinitely τ -divisible. Thus, we need only consider the classes $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^r \tau^s} x$, where x is not τ -divisible and also not τ -torsion in $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

The second type of elements in E_1^- corresponds to the kernel of i_* . As in [GHIR, Definition 7.1], we introduce notation for these classes.

Notation 5.10. Given a class y in $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}$ that is annihilated by some power of τ , let Qy be the subset of the Bockstein E_1 -page E_{1,ρ^n}^- such that $\delta(Qy) = \rho^{n-1}y$ in

$\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{C}})[\rho]/\rho^n$. By the unique ρ -divisibility of [Proposition 4.5](#), we define $\frac{Q}{\rho^k}y$ to be the set of elements such that $\rho^k \cdot \frac{Q}{\rho^k}y = Qy$. Alternatively, we define $\frac{Q}{\rho^k}y$ so that $\delta\left(\frac{Q}{\rho^k}y\right) = \rho^{n-1-k}y$. In particular, $\delta\left(\frac{Q}{\rho^{n-1}}y\right) = y$.

Beware that a class $\frac{Q}{\rho^k}y$ is only well-defined up to the image of the homomorphism q_* , i.e., up to elements of the form $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^r\tau^s}x$.

Remark 5.11. We sometimes use the symbol Qy to refer to the entire set of possible choices, and we sometimes use the same symbol to refer to a single choice of element in the set. See, for example, [Remark 6.4](#) for a description of the set Qy .

Remark 5.12. It follows from the definition that $\frac{Q}{\rho^k}y$ lies in Bockstein filtration $-k - 1$.

We have defined Qy to be a coset in E_{1,ρ^n}^- . Since E_{1,ρ^n}^- is contained in E_1^- , we can consider Qy to be a coset in E_1^- . This coset in E_1^- is independent of the choice of n because of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}^{s,f,c}(\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{C}}/\tau^\infty)[\rho]}{\rho^n} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \frac{\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}^{s+1,f^{-1},c+1}(\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{C}})[\rho]}{\rho^n} \\ \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow \rho \\ \frac{\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}^{s-1,f,c}(\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{C}}/\tau^\infty)[\rho]}{\rho^{n+1}} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \frac{\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}^{s,f^{-1},c}(\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{C}})[\rho]}{\rho^{n+1}}. \end{array}$$

Remark 5.13. If y in $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is annihilated by some power of τ and also τ -divisible, so that $y = \tau \cdot x$, then the product $\tau \cdot Qx$ is contained in $Q(\tau x)$, but the latter set may be larger.

Remark 5.14. In [Section 9](#), we study Bockstein differentials, including differentials on classes of the form $\frac{Q}{\rho^k}y$ (see, for example, [Table 9.1](#)). The statement $d_r\left(\frac{Q}{\rho^r}y\right) = z$ means that the set $\frac{Q}{\rho^r}y$ contains a class that survives to E_r^- and supports the claimed differential.

For example, [Table 9.1](#) implies that $d_4\left(\frac{Q}{\rho^4}h_1^5e_0\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^4}Ph_1e_0$. In E_1^- , the set $\frac{Q}{\rho^4}h_1^5e_0$ in degree $(27,8,7)$ consists of two classes, with indeterminacy given by the element $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4\tau^3}h_0i$. The class $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4\tau^3}h_0i$ supports a Bockstein d_1 differential, so only one of the two classes in the set $\frac{Q}{\rho^4}h_1^5e_0$ survives to E_4^- to support the d_4 differential.

6. EXTENSIONS IN E_1^-

As described at the end of [Section 5](#), there are two types of elements in E_1^- . There are elements of the form $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^i\tau^j}x$, where x is an element of $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}$ that is not divisible by τ and is τ -free. Also, there are elements of the form $\frac{Q}{\rho^i}y$, where y is an element of $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}$ that is annihilated by some power of τ .

Remark 6.1. We draw particular attention to the element named γg in degree $(20,4,7)$. At first glance, this element does not appear to follow our naming conventions. However, recall that τg is an indecomposable element of $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Consequently, we have $\gamma g = \frac{\gamma}{\tau}\tau g$ in E_1^- . We use this notation for its practical convenience.

[Lemma 5.7](#) implies that these two types of elements assemble into a short exact sequence

$$(6.2) \quad \gamma E_1^- \longrightarrow E_1^- \longrightarrow QE_1^-,$$

where γE_1^- is the subobject of E_1^- consisting of the first type of elements, and QE_1^- is the quotient of E_1^- that is detected by the second type of elements [[GHIR](#), Proposition 3.1].

Because E_1^- is equal to $\frac{\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{C}}/\tau^\infty)[\rho]}{\rho^\infty}$, it is a module over $E_1^+ = \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}[\rho]$.

The sequence (6.2) is compatible with E_1^+ -module structures. For the most part, our notation is well-equipped to describe this module structure. For example, we have $z \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^i \tau^j} x = \frac{\gamma}{\rho^i \tau^j} (zx)$. Also, we have $z \cdot Qy$ is contained in $Q(zy)$ when zy is non-zero. Beware that the indeterminacy of $Q(zy)$ can be larger than the indeterminacy of $z \cdot Qy$, so these two expressions are not always equal.

However, when zy does equal zero, it is still possible that the product $z \cdot Qy$ is nonzero in E_1^- . Such products are hidden by the sequence (6.2). In other words, it is possible to have relations of the form $z \cdot Qy = \frac{\gamma}{\rho^i \tau^j} x$, where x, y , and z all belong to $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $zy = 0$.

We make no attempt to exhaustively describe all such products, not even in a range. Instead, we will discuss a few specific examples that are relevant for our later computations. In all of these examples, the element z is either h_0 or h_2 .

In order to obtain these relations, we will, as usual, use higher structure in the form of Massey products. In fact, E_1^- is not merely a module over E_1^+ . It is also a ‘‘Massey module’’. More concretely, there are Massey products of the form $\langle x, a, b \rangle$, where a and b belong to E_1^+ ; x belongs to E_1^- ; and $ab = 0$ and $xa = 0$. These Massey products satisfy the standard shuffling properties

$$\langle x, a, b \rangle c = x \langle a, b, c \rangle$$

and

$$\langle x, a, bc \rangle \subseteq \langle x, ab, c \rangle.$$

In these formulas, the first bracket on the right is a standard Massey product in E_1^+ , i.e. $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}$, while the other three brackets refer to the Massey module structure of E_1^- .

Proposition 6.3. *Suppose that y is an element of $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\tau^i y$ equals zero. Then Qy contains $\langle \frac{\gamma}{\tau^i}, \tau^i, y \rangle$. For sufficiently large N , Qy is equal to $\langle \frac{\gamma}{\tau^N}, \tau^N, y \rangle$.*

[Proposition 6.3](#) is a generalization and slight improvement on [[GHIR](#), Lemma 7.3], which is not entirely precise about indeterminacy. Its proof only shows that Qy and $\langle \frac{\gamma}{\tau}, \tau, y \rangle$ intersect non-trivially.

Proof. The argument in [[GHIR](#), Lemma 7.3] relies on an inspection of the cobar complex. It demonstrates that Qy and $\langle \frac{\gamma}{\tau^i}, \tau^i, y \rangle$ intersect. It remains to analyze the indeterminacies.

The indeterminacy of the bracket equals $E_1^- \cdot y + \frac{\gamma}{\tau^i} \cdot E_1^+$ in the appropriate degree. The first term is zero because E_1^- is zero in the relevant degree $(1, -1, 1)$.

The second term is closely related to the indeterminacy in the definition of Qy given in [Notation 5.10](#). The indeterminacy of Qy consists of all multiples of $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^k}$ for all $k \geq 1$, but the indeterminacy of the bracket consists only of multiples of $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^i}$ for

a fixed value of i . Therefore, the indeterminacy of the bracket is possibly smaller, and the bracket is contained in Qy .

Because E_1^- is finite in each degree, there exists a sufficiently large N such that the indeterminacy of Qy consists of multiples of $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^N}$. Then Qy and $\langle \frac{\gamma}{\tau^N}, \tau^N, y \rangle$ have the same indeterminacy, and they are equal. \square

Remark 6.4. Note the inclusion

$$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\tau^i}, \tau^i, y \right\rangle \subseteq \left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{i+1}}, \tau^{i+1}, y \right\rangle.$$

This is a standard shuffling formula for Massey products, using that $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^i} = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{i+1}} \cdot \tau$. Rather than considering brackets of the form $\langle \frac{\gamma}{\tau^i}, \tau^i, y \rangle$ for one value of i at a time, one could study the union

$$\bigcup_i \left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\tau^i}, \tau^i, y \right\rangle.$$

According to [Proposition 6.3](#), this union equals Qy . We are not aware of previous work involving this type of structure with Massey products combined with families of infinitely divisible elements.

Proposition 6.5. *Table 6.1 lists some extensions in the ρ -Bockstein E_1^- -page that computes Ext_{NC} .*

Proof. The proofs for every extension are essentially the same. We give the details for the first one. [Proposition 6.3](#) (and inspection of indeterminacies) shows that $Qh_1^2c_0$ equals $\langle \frac{\gamma}{\tau}, \tau, h_1^2c_0 \rangle$. Consider the shuffle

$$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\tau}, \tau, h_1^2c_0 \right\rangle h_0 = \frac{\gamma}{\tau} \langle \tau, h_1^2c_0, h_0 \rangle.$$

The latter \mathbb{C} -motivic bracket is equal to Ph_2 , which follows from the May convergence theorem [[MJP](#), Theorem 4.1] applied to the May differential $d_4(b_{20}h_0(1)) = \tau h_1^2c_0$.

The other extensions require different \mathbb{C} -motivic Massey products, such as $h_0d_0 = \langle \tau, h_1^2c_0, h_2 \rangle$ and $i = \langle \tau, c_0d_0, h_0 \rangle$. All of these brackets can be computed with the May convergence theorem and appropriate May differentials. \square

TABLE 6.1. Some extensions in E_1^-

(s, f, c)	source	type	target
(11, 4, 3)	$Qh_1^2c_0$	h_0	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau}Ph_2$
(11, 4, 3)	$Qh_1^2c_0$	h_2	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau}h_0d_0$
(19, 8, 7)	$QPh_1^2c_0$	h_0	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau}P^2h_2$
(19, 8, 7)	$QPh_1^2c_0$	h_2	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau}Ph_0d_0$
(23, 6, 9)	Qc_0d_0	h_0	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau}i$
(23, 6, 9)	Qc_0d_0	h_2	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau}j$
(26, 6, 10)	Qc_0e_0	h_0	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau}j$

TABLE 6.1. Some extensions in E_1^-

(s, f, c)	source	type	target
(26, 6, 10)	Qc_0e_0	h_2	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau}k$
(27, 12, 11)	$QP^2h_1^2c_0$	h_0	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau}P^3h_2$
(27, 12, 11)	$QP^2h_1^2c_0$	h_2	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau}P^2h_0d_0$

Figure 14.1 is a chart of the Bockstein E_1^- -page. The extensions in Table 6.1 appear as dashed lines in that chart.

7. \mathbb{R} -MOTIVIC AND NEGATIVE CONE BOCKSTEIN DIFFERENTIALS

We now discuss how \mathbb{R} -motivic Bockstein differentials relate to Bockstein differentials in the negative cone. As discussed in Section 5, there are two types of classes in E_1^- : the γ classes and the Q classes. We can then sort Bockstein differentials in E_r^- into three types:

- (1) differentials from γ classes to γ classes
- (2) differentials from Q classes to Q classes
- (3) differentials from Q classes to γ classes.

Remark 7.1. In principle, there could a fourth type of differential, from γ classes to Q classes, although we have not (yet) encountered any examples. Since the γ classes are infinitely τ -divisible, while the Q classes are not, a differential $d_r\left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^r\tau^k}x\right) = Qy$ could only occur if $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^r\tau^{k+2n}}x$ supports a shorter Bockstein differential for some n .

As we will discuss in this section, the three types of known Bockstein differentials in E_r^- correspond to three types of differentials in E_r^+ , i.e. \mathbb{R} -motivic Bockstein differentials. Suppose that $d_r(x) = \rho^r y$ in the \mathbb{R} -motivic Bockstein spectral sequence. The three types are

- (1) Free: x and y are both τ -free classes in $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}$.
- (2) Torsion: x and y are both τ -power torsion classes in $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}$.
- (3) Mixed: x is τ -free, while y is a τ -power torsion class in $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Remark 7.2. There is a possible complication that may occur with free differentials. Suppose that x , y , and z are τ -free in the E_1 -page. Also suppose that $d_r(x) = \rho^r \tau^n y$ for some $n > 0$, and $d_s(z) = \rho^s y$ for some $s > r$. Then the differential on z is free in the sense discussed above, even though $d_s(\tau^n z)$ is zero. We do not know if this phenomenon occurs in practical Ext computations. It has not (yet) been observed in the \mathbb{R} -motivic Bockstein spectral sequence.

Remark 7.3. A priori, there is a fourth possible type of Bockstein differential, as in Remark 7.1. Suppose that x and y are both τ -free and that $d_r(x) = \rho^r \tau^n y$ for some $n > 0$. It is then possible for there to be a later differential $d_s(z) = \rho^s y$, where z is a τ -torsion class in $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}$. This possibility is similar to the possibility discussed in Remark 7.2. The difference is that here z is τ -torsion, while it is τ -free in the previous remark.

As in Remark 7.2, we do not know if this phenomenon occurs in practical Ext computations because it has not (yet) been observed in the \mathbb{R} -motivic Bockstein spectral sequence.

7.1. Free differentials. We first deal with free differentials. We say that a non-zero differential $d_r(x) = \rho^r y$ in the (\mathbb{R} -motivic or C_2 -equivariant) ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence is τ^{2^n} -**periodic** if there is a non-zero differential $d_r(\tau^{2^n k} x) = \rho^r \tau^{2^n k} y$ for all $k \geq 0$. All free differentials that we have encountered are periodic in this sense, although see Remark 7.2.

Remark 7.4. Typically, we have that $r < 2^n$. Then $d_r(\tau^{2^n}) = 0$. In this case, $d_r(x) = \rho^r y$ is automatically a τ^{2^n} -periodic differential as long as y is τ^{2^n} -free in the Bockstein E_r -page (i.e., $\tau^{2^n k} y$ is non-zero for all k).

We say that a non-zero differential $d_r(a) = \rho^r b$ in the C_2 -equivariant Bockstein spectral sequence is τ^{2^n} -**coperiodic** if there exists a sequence of non-zero differentials $d_r(a_k) = \rho^r b_k$ for $k \geq 0$ such that:

- (1) $a = a_0$ and $b = b_0$, and
- (2) $\tau^{2^n} a_{k+1} = a_k$ and $\tau^{2^n} b_{k+1} = b_k$.

The idea is that the a_k 's and the b_k 's form infinitely divisible families of elements in the spectral sequence. Typically, a τ^{2^n} -coperiodic family of differentials appears in the form

$$d_r \left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^r \tau^{2^n k}} a \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{2^n k}} b.$$

Lemma 7.5 gives an easy criterion for detecting coperiodic differentials. The point is that when $r < 2^n$, a differential is automatically coperiodic if its source is infinitely divisible by τ^{2^n} .

Lemma 7.5. *Let $r < 2^n$. Suppose that $d_r(a) = \rho^r b$ is a non-zero differential and that a is infinitely divisible by τ^{2^n} . Then this differential is τ^{2^n} -coperiodic.*

Proof. Let $a_0 = a$. For $k > 0$, let a_k and be an element such that $\tau^{2^n} a_k = a_{k-1}$. Define b_k by the formula $d_r(a_k) = \rho^r b_k$. Note that b_k is defined only up to ρ^r -torsion. Also note that b_k is non-zero since

$$\rho^r \tau^{2^n k} b_k = d_r(\tau^{2^n k} a_k) = d_r(a) = \rho^r b.$$

Since $\rho^r b_k$ is non-zero, we can conclude that the ρ -filtration of b_k is at most $-r-1$. Therefore, Proposition 4.4 (1) implies that there is no ρ^r -torsion in the same degree (including the ρ -Bockstein filtration degree) as b_k . This means that b_k is in fact well-defined.

Since $r < 2^n$, we have that $d_r(\tau^{2^n}) = 0$. Apply d_r to the relation $\tau^{2^n} a_k = a_{k-1}$ to obtain that $\rho^r \tau^{2^n} b_k = \rho^r b_{k-1}$. This shows that $\tau^{2^n} b_k$ equals b_{k-1} modulo ρ^r -torsion. As above, there is no possible ρ^r -torsion in this degree, so $\tau^{2^n} b_k$ must equal b_{k-1} . \square

Lemma 7.5 applies, for example, to the differentials of Proposition 4.10, as written out in Remark 4.11.

Proposition 7.6. *Let $1 \leq r < 2^n$, and suppose that x and y in $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}$ are both τ -free classes. There exists a differential $d_r \left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^r \tau^{2^n}} x \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{2^n}} y$ in E_r^- if and only if there exists a differential $d_r(x) = \rho^r (y + z)$ in E_r^+ , where $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{2^n}} z$ is zero in E_r^- .*

In practice, it is typically the case that the differentials in Proposition 7.6 are τ^{2^n} -coperiodic and τ^{2^n} -periodic respectively. Usually, Remark 7.4 and Lemma 7.5 apply.

Proof. First assume that $d_r(x) = \rho^r(y+z)$ and that $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{2^n}}z$ is zero. Since $r < 2^n$, we have that $d_r\left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^r\tau^{2^n}}\right) = 0$ by Proposition 4.10. Then the Leibniz rule gives that

$$d_r\left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^r\tau^{2^n}}x\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\rho^r\tau^{2^n}}d_r(x) = \frac{\gamma}{\rho^r\tau^{2^n}}\rho^r(y+z) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{2^n}}y.$$

Now suppose that $d_r\left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^r\tau^{2^n}}x\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{2^n}}y$. The Leibniz rule implies the differential $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^r\tau^{2^n}}d_r(x) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{2^n}}y$. This implies that $d_r(x)$ equals $\rho^r y$ plus a possible error term of the form $\rho^r z$ that is annihilated by $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^r\tau^{2^n}}$. In other words, z is annihilated by $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{2^n}}$. \square

Remark 7.7. The possible error terms z in Proposition 7.6 may be difficult to determine. The elements of E_1^+ that annihilate $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{2^n}}$ are of the form $z + \tau^{2^n}w$, where z is annihilated by some power of τ . For example, the differential $d_1(\tau h_0^5 h_5) = \rho h_0^6 h_5$ gives $d_1\left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^r} h_0^5 h_5\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^2} h_0^6 h_5$. On the other hand, the latter differential only implies that $d_1(\tau h_0^5 h_5)$ is either $\rho h_0^6 h_5$ or $\rho(h_0^6 h_5 + \tau^2 d_0 e_0)$, as $\tau^2 d_0 e_0$ annihilates $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^2}$. It can be hard to describe the elements of E_r^+ that annihilate $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{2^n}}$. The problem is that earlier differentials can hit elements of the form $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{2^n}}z$.

7.2. Torsion differentials. Next, we consider torsion differentials. We employ the diagram

(7.8)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}[\tau^{-1}]}{\rho}\right) & \xrightarrow{q_*} & \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}(NC_{\rho}) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}}{\rho}\right) & \xrightarrow{i_*} \\ & \downarrow \rho^r & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho^r & \\ \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}[\tau^{-1}]}{\rho^{r+1}}\right) & \xrightarrow{q_*} & \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}(NC_{\rho^{r+1}}) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}}{\rho^{r+1}}\right) & \xrightarrow{i_*} \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow & \\ \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}[\tau^{-1}]}{\rho^r}\right) & \xrightarrow{q_*} & \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}(NC_{\rho^r}) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}}{\rho^r}\right) & \xrightarrow{i_*} \\ & \downarrow \bar{\delta}_{r,1} & & \downarrow \bar{\delta}_{r,1} & & \downarrow \bar{\delta}_{r,1} & \\ \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}[\tau^{-1}]}{\rho}\right) & \xrightarrow{q_*} & \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}(NC_{\rho}) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}}{\rho}\right) & \xrightarrow{i_*} \end{array}$$

in our analysis. Each row is exact, as in (5.5). Each column is also exact because of the short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}[\tau^{-1}]}{\rho} \xrightarrow{\rho^r} \frac{\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}[\tau^{-1}]}{\rho^{r+1}} \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}[\tau^{-1}]}{\rho^r}, \\ NC_{\rho} \rightarrow NC_{\rho^{r+1}} \xrightarrow{\rho} NC_{\rho^r}, \end{array}$$

and

$$\frac{\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}}{\rho} \xrightarrow{\rho^r} \frac{\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}}{\rho^{r+1}} \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}}{\rho^r}$$

of $\mathcal{A}_*^{\mathbb{R}}$ -comodules. Typically, a diagram such as (7.8) commutes only up to a sign. However, since we are working in characteristic 2, the diagram here commutes.

The following lemma is key to our analysis.

Lemma 7.9. *Let M be an $\mathcal{A}_*^{\mathbb{R}}$ -comodule and fix $r \geq 1$ such that the sequence*

$$\frac{M}{\rho} \xrightarrow{\rho^r} \frac{M}{\rho^{r+1}} \rightarrow \frac{M}{\rho^r}$$

is short exact. Suppose that x is a d_{r-1} -cycle in the Bockstein spectral sequence with coefficients in M , and let $[x]$ be an element of $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}(M/\rho^r)$ that is detected by x . Then there is a Bockstein differential $d_r(x) = \rho^r y$ if and only if $\bar{\delta}_{r,1}([x]) = y$, where $\bar{\delta}_{r,1}$ is the connecting homomorphism in the long exact sequence

$$\rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{M}{\rho}\right) \xrightarrow{\rho^r} \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{M}{\rho^{r+1}}\right) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{M}{\rho^r}\right) \xrightarrow{\bar{\delta}_{r,1}} \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{M}{\rho}\right) \rightarrow .$$

Proof. The short exact sequence of coefficients yields a short exact sequence of cobar complexes. The assumption that x is a d_{r-1} -cycle in the Bockstein spectral sequence means that there exists a lift α of x to the cobar complex such that $d(\alpha)$ is a ρ^r -multiple. The result then follows from the construction of the connecting homomorphism. \square

Lemma 7.10 below is an example of the more general Lemma 7.9.

Lemma 7.10. *Suppose that $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^r \tau^{2^n}} x$ is a d_{r-1} -cycle in the Bockstein spectral sequence for $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}(NC)$, or equivalently for $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}(NC_{\rho^{r+1}})$. Then*

$$d_r\left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^r \tau^{2^n}} x\right) = \rho^r b \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \bar{\delta}_{r,1}\left(\left[\frac{\gamma}{\rho^{r-1} \tau^{2^n}} x\right]\right) = b.$$

Similarly, if $\frac{Q}{\rho^r} x$ is a d_{r-1} -cycle, then

$$d_r\left(\frac{Q}{\rho^r} x\right) = \rho^r b \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \bar{\delta}_{r,1}\left(\left[\frac{Q}{\rho^{r-1}} x\right]\right) = b.$$

Proof. In the context of (7.8), we apply Lemma 7.9 to the $\mathcal{A}_*^{\mathbb{R}}$ -module NC_{ρ^N} , for $N > r$. Beware that we employ the shift isomorphisms

$$NC_{\rho^k} \cong \Sigma^{k-N,0} \left(\frac{NC_{\rho^N}}{\rho^k} \right),$$

for $0 < k < N$, to compare Lemma 7.9 to the middle column of (7.8). \square

With these preliminary results established, we now discuss torsion differentials in the Bockstein spectral sequence for the negative cone. Recall from Remark 5.14 that in order to consider a Bockstein differential on $\frac{Q}{\rho^r} x$, we should suppose that this set *contains* a d_{r-1} -cycle.

Proposition 7.11. *Suppose that x and y in $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}$ are both τ -power torsion classes. Also assume that x is a d_{r-1} -cycle and that the set $\frac{Q}{\rho^r} x$ contains a d_{r-1} -cycle in the Bockstein spectral sequence. Then $d_r(x) = \rho^r y$ in the \mathbb{R} -motivic ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence if and only if $d_r\left(\frac{Q}{\rho^r} x\right) \subseteq Qy$ in the ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence for the negative cone.*

In practice, the indeterminacy in the target Qy requires attention. For example, the differential $d_3(Ph_1^3c_0) = \rho^3h_1^7d_0$ implies that $d_3\left(\frac{Q}{\rho^3}Ph_1^3c_0\right)$ is contained in $Qh_1^7d_0$. However, $Qh_1^7d_0$ has indeterminacy because of the presence of $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}Ph_0^2d_0$, so [Proposition 7.11](#) does not completely determine the value of $d_3\left(\frac{Q}{\rho^3}Ph_1^3c_0\right)$. See [Lemma 9.6](#) below for further discussion of this example.

Proof. We begin with the case $r = 1$. Consider diagram [\(7.8\)](#). According to [Lemma 7.9](#), we need to show that $\bar{\delta}_{1,1}(x) = y$ if and only if $\bar{\delta}_{1,1}(Qx) = Qy$.

Consider the commuting square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Qx \in \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}(NC_{\rho}) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}/\rho) \ni x \\ \downarrow \bar{\delta}_{1,1} & & \downarrow \bar{\delta}_{1,1} \\ Qy \in \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}(NC_{\rho}) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}/\rho) \ni y \end{array}$$

from the lower right corner of diagram [\(7.8\)](#). Recall that the classes Qx and Qy are defined to satisfy the equations $\delta(Qx) = x$ and $\delta(Qy) = y$. Thus the commutativity of the square shows that $\bar{\delta}_{1,1}(Qx) = Qy$ if and only if $\bar{\delta}_{1,1}(x) = y$. Here we are using that Qy is only well-defined up to the image of q_* .

A similar argument works to establish the general case. We use the diagram

$$(7.12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \left[\frac{Q}{\rho^{r-1}}x\right] \in \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}(NC_{\rho^r}) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}/\rho^r) \ni [x] \\ \downarrow \bar{\delta}_{r,1} & & \downarrow \bar{\delta}_{r,1} \\ Qy \in \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}(NC_{\rho}) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}/\rho) \ni y. \end{array}$$


The argument is the same as in the case of $r = 1$, relying on [Lemma 7.9](#) or rather its specialization [Lemma 7.10](#), provided that we can establish that $\delta\left(\left[\frac{Q}{\rho^{r-1}}x\right]\right) = [x]$. In $E_r^- = E_{\infty}^-$, we do have that $\delta\left(\frac{Q}{\rho^{r-1}}x\right) = x$ because this formula holds already in E_1^- . Therefore, we may choose lifts $\left[\frac{Q}{\rho^{r-1}}x\right]$ and $[x]$ in Ext groups that are represented by $\frac{Q}{\rho^{r-1}}x$ and x respectively such that $\delta\left(\left[\frac{Q}{\rho^{r-1}}x\right]\right) = [x]$. \square

Remark 7.13. In [Proposition 7.11](#), the hypothesis that $\frac{Q}{\rho^r}x$ survives to the E_r -page is necessary. This is demonstrated by the \mathbb{R} -motivic ρ -Bockstein differential $d_7(P^2h_1^4) = h_1^9e_0$. The proposition suggests that $d_7\left(\frac{Q}{\rho^7}P^2h_1^4\right)$ ought to equal $Qh_1^9e_0$. However, this does not occur. Rather, there is a differential $d_3\left(\frac{Q}{\rho^3}P^2h_1^4\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}h_0^5i$, as shown in [Table 9.1](#).

7.3. Mixed differentials. Finally, we consider mixed differentials. Here, we state a conjecture relating mixed differentials in the \mathbb{R} -motivic Bockstein spectral sequence to differentials in the Bockstein spectral sequence for the negative cone, and we provide some evidence to support the conjecture.

Conjecture 7.14. *Suppose that x and y are classes in $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that x is τ -free and y is τ -power torsion. Suppose furthermore that $d_r(x) = \rho^r y$ in the \mathbb{R} -motivic*

FIGURE 7.1. An illustration of Conjecture 7.14



ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence and that $r < t < 2^n$. There exists a τ^{2^n} -periodic differential $d_t(\tau^{2^n} x) = \rho^t z$ if and only if the (nonperiodic) differential

$$d_{t-r} \left(\frac{Q}{\rho^{t-r}} y \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{2^n}} z$$

occurs in the ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence for the negative cone.

If these differentials occur, then there is a τ^{2^n} -extension from y to $\rho^{t-r} z$ in $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\mathbb{M}_2^{\mathbb{R}}}{\rho^{r+1}} \right)$ that is hidden by the ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence.

Figure 7.1 illustrates the situation described in Conjecture 7.14. The left side of the figure depicts \mathbb{R} -motivic differentials, while the right side depicts negative cone differentials. The solid differential on the left is the mixed differential in the hypothesis of the conjecture. The conjecture states that the left-side dashed differential occurs if and only if the right-side dashed differential occurs.

Table 7.1 gives some concrete instances in which Conjecture 7.14 could potentially be used to determine differentials. In each row, the mixed differential in the left column is known; it is the hypothesis of the conjecture in each case. The differentials in the center column are previously known for reasons that vary from case to case. Conjecture 7.14 would then imply the differentials in the third column. For legibility, no powers of ρ appear in the formulas because they can be inferred from the length of the differential. For example, the first entry in the left column is more completely stated as $d_4(\tau h_0^3 h_3) = \rho^4 h_1^2 c_0$.

Note that the conjecture can be used in both directions; the horizontal line separates the examples into two families, depending on the direction of the implications. In the first family, the four implied differentials are actually already known for other reasons. However, in the second family, the three implied \mathbb{R} -motivic differentials are not currently known; they lie outside the range considered in [BI].

TABLE 7.1. Some applications of Conjecture 7.14

mixed differential	known differential	implied differential
$d_4(\tau h_0^3 h_3) = h_1^2 c_0$	$d_5(\tau^9 h_0^3 h_3) = \tau^6 P h_2$	$d_1(Q h_1^2 c_0) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^2} P h_2$
$d_3(P h_1) = h_1^3 c_0$	$d_6(\tau^8 P h_1) = \tau^5 h_0^2 d_0$	$d_3(Q h_1^3 c_0) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^3} h_0^2 d_0$

TABLE 7.1. Some applications of [Conjecture 7.14](#)

mixed differential	known differential	implied differential
$d_3(Ph_1^2) = h_1^4 c_0$	$d_7(\tau^8 Ph_1^2) = \tau^4 P c_0$	$d_4(Qh_1^4 c_0) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^4} P c_0$
$d_3(Pc_0) = h_1^4 d_0$	$d_7(\tau^8 Pc_0) = \tau^4 P d_0$	$d_4(Qh_1^4 d_0) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^4} P d_0$
$d_{11}(\tau^4 Ph_1^2) = h_1^3 e_0$	$d_1(Qh_1^2 e_0) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^3} h_0 h_2 e_0$	$d_{12}(\tau^{20} Ph_1) = \tau^{13} h_0 h_2 e_0$
$d_{11}(\tau^4 Ph_1^2) = h_1^3 e_0$	$d_3(Qh_1^3 e_0) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^4} i$	$d_{14}(\tau^{20} Ph_1^2) = \tau^{12} i$
$d_8(\tau h_0^7 h_4) = h_1^5 e_0$	$d_4(Qh_1^5 e_0) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^4} Ph_1 e_0$	$d_{12}(\tau^{17} h_0^7 h_4) = \tau^{12} Ph_1 e_0$

8. THE η -PERIODIC HOMOTOPY GROUPS

In [\[GI1\]](#), we completely computed the h_1 -periodic \mathbb{R} -motivic ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence and the h_1 -periodic \mathbb{R} -motivic Adams spectral sequence that determine the η -periodic \mathbb{R} -motivic stable homotopy groups $\pi_{*,*}^{\mathbb{R}}[\eta^{-1}]$, where $\eta \in \pi_{1,0}^{\mathbb{R}}$ is the motivic Hopf map detected by h_1 .

We now discuss the analogous computation of η -periodic C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy groups $\pi_{*,*}^{C_2}[\eta^{-1}]$. We begin by showing that these latter groups are not very complicated. This is a qualitative difference between \mathbb{R} -motivic and C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy theory.

Proposition 8.1. *Geometric fixed points induces an isomorphism from the η -periodic C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy groups to $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}, \eta^{\pm 1}]$. This isomorphism sends ρ to $-2\eta^{-1}$.*

Consistently with the rest of this manuscript, the computation of [Proposition 8.1](#) should be interpreted as 2-complete, so \mathbb{Z} really means the 2-adic integers. However, our proof does not use the Adams spectral sequence. Consequently, our proof also establishes the uncompleted integral computation, although we do not need it.

Proof. The forgetful map U takes η^4 to zero, so [Proposition 3.3](#) implies that η^4 is divisible by ρ . This means that ρ is invertible in $\pi_{*,*}^{C_2}[\eta^{-1}]$, so we may just as well compute $\pi_{*,*}^{C_2}[\rho^{-1}][\eta^{-1}]$.

The geometric fixed points functor induces an isomorphism from the ρ -periodic homotopy groups $\pi_{*,*}^{C_2}[\rho^{-1}]$ to $\pi_*^{\text{cl}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\rho^{\pm 1}]$ [[AI](#), Proposition 7.0]. These latter groups are just the classical stable homotopy groups with a unit adjoined to make them bigraded.

Geometric fixed points takes η to 2, so $\pi_{*,*}^{C_2}[\rho^{-1}][\eta^{-1}]$ is isomorphic to $\pi_*^{\text{cl}}[\frac{1}{2}] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\rho^{\pm 1}]$. Finally, Serre finiteness [[S](#)] implies that $\pi_*^{\text{cl}}[\frac{1}{2}]$ is concentrated in degree 0.

To determine the image of ρ under the isomorphism, recall [[Mor](#)] that the element $2 + \rho\eta$ is annihilated by η in $\pi_{*,*}^{C_2}$. It follows that $2 + \rho\eta$ vanishes in the localization $\pi_{*,*}^{C_2}[\eta^{-1}]$. \square

The rest of this section gives a detailed analysis of the h_1 -periodic ρ -Bockstein and Adams spectral sequences. [Proposition 8.1](#) says that our analysis computes a simple, already known, answer. Nevertheless, by carrying out the relatively easy h_1 -periodic computation, we obtain information that can be used to study the

non-periodic parts of the ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence and the Adams spectral sequence.

8.1. The h_1 -periodic Bockstein spectral sequence. The h_1 -periodic \mathbb{R} -motivic Bockstein spectral sequence is described in [GI1, Sections 3–4]. It takes the form

$$E_1^+[h_1^{-1}] = \mathbb{F}_2[\rho, h_1^{\pm 1}][v_1^4, v_2, v_3, \dots].$$

All of the differentials are known; however, in the range under consideration in this article, we only need that $d_3(v_1^4) = \rho^3 v_2$ and $d_7(v_1^8) = \rho^7 v_3$. These differentials are consequences of the Bockstein differentials $d_3(P h_1) = \rho^3 h_1^3 c_0$ and $d_7(P^2 h_1) = \rho^7 h_1^6 e_0$.

Using the description of E_1^- in Sections 5 and 6, the structure of $E_1^-[h_1^{-1}]$ is

$$Q \cdot \frac{\mathbb{F}_2[\rho]}{\rho^\infty}[h_1^{\pm 1}][v_1^4, v_2, v_3, \dots].$$

In more naive terms, $E_1^-[h_1^{-1}]$ is obtained from $E_1^+[h_1^{-1}]$ by adjoining the symbol Q to each $\mathbb{F}_2[\rho]$ -module generator, and then replacing each copy of $\mathbb{F}_2[\rho]$ with the infinitely divisible $\frac{\mathbb{F}_2[\rho]}{\rho^\infty}$. The Bockstein differentials in $E^-[h_1^{-1}]$ are easily determined from the multiplicative structure and the differentials in $E^+[h_1^{-1}]$. Table 8.1 shows the differentials in both $E^+[h_1^{-1}]$ and $E^-[h_1^{-1}]$ that are relevant for us. The grading in the tables and figures is chosen such that h_1 has degree $(0, 0)$, so that we can ignore multiples of h_1 when considering degrees.

TABLE 8.1. h_1 -periodic Bockstein differentials

$(c, 2c + f - s)$	source	d_r	target
(4, 4)	v_1^4	d_3	$\rho^3 v_2$
(7, 5)	$v_1^4 v_2$	d_3	$\rho^3 v_2^2$
(8, 8)	v_1^8	d_7	$\rho^7 v_3$
(4, 2)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^3} v_1^4$	d_3	$Q v_2$
(7, 3)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^3} v_1^4 v_2$	d_3	$Q v_2^2$
(8, 6)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^7} v_1^8$	d_7	$Q v_3$

From the explicit description of the h_1 -periodic Bockstein differentials in $E^+[h_1^{-1}]$ and $E^-[h_1^{-1}]$, it is straightforward to describe $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}[h_1^{-1}] \oplus \text{Ext}_{NC}[h_1^{-1}]$, i.e., the h_1 -periodic C_2 -equivariant Adams E_2 -page. The relevant classes are listed in Table 8.2. Elements in $E_1^-[h_1^{-1}]$ start off as infinitely ρ -divisible, so we record their ρ -divisibility, rather than their ρ -multiples, in the fourth column of the table.

The fifth column of Table 8.2 describes some values of the localization map $\text{Ext}_{C_2} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{C_2}[h_1^{-1}]$. This information is essential for lifting h_1 -periodic computations to the non-periodic setting.

FIGURE 8.1. The h_1 -periodic C_2 -equivariant ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence




TABLE 8.2. $\text{Ext}_{C_2}[h_1^{-1}]$ in coweights $c \leq 8$

$(c, 2c + f - s)$	element	ρ -power torsion	ρ -divisibility	lift to Ext_{C_2}
$(0, 0)$	1	∞		1
$(3, 1)$	v_2	3		c_0
$(6, 2)$	v_2^2	3		d_0
$(7, 1)$	v_3	7		e_0
$(0, -2)$	Q		∞	Qh_1^4
$(4, 2)$	Qv_1^4		3	$QP h_1^4$
$(7, 3)$	$Qv_1^4 v_2$		3	$QP h_1^2 c_0$
$(8, 6)$	Qv_1^8		7	$QP^2 h_1^4$

Figure 8.1 displays the h_1 -periodic C_2 -equivariant ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence. Black dots depict copies of $\mathbb{F}_2[h_1^{\pm 1}]$ from $E_1^+[h_1^{-1}]$, while blue dots depict copies of $\mathbb{F}_2[h_1^{\pm 1}]$ from $E_1^-[h_1^{-1}]$. Vertical lines denote multiplications by ρ . Horizontal arrows depict Bockstein differentials.

8.2. **The h_1 -periodic Adams spectral sequence.** The C_2 -equivariant ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence splits into an \mathbb{R} -motivic summand and a negative cone

summand. However, the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence does not split in this fashion. In fact, there are interactions between the two terms already in the h_1 -periodic computations. These interactions take the form of hidden extensions and Adams differentials that connect elements in different summands.

Proposition 8.2. *There is a hidden ρ -extension from Q to 1 in the h_1 -periodic C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence.*

Proof. The proof of [Proposition 8.1](#) shows that every element of the η -periodic C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy groups is divisible by ρ . In particular, the class 1 detects a ρ -divisible class, so it must be the target of a hidden ρ -extension. There is only one possible source for this extension. \square

Proposition 8.3. *Table 8.3 shows all differentials in the h_1 -periodic C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence in coweights at most 8. The spectral sequence collapses in this range at the E_3 -page.*

Proof. The differential $d_2(v_3) = v_2^2$ is given in [[G11](#), Lemma 5.2] and follows from the classical Adams differential $d_2(e_0) = h_1^2 d_0$. The proof of [Proposition 8.1](#) shows that every element of the η -periodic C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy groups is divisible by ρ . This requirement forces all remaining differentials. \square

TABLE 8.3. h_1 -periodic Adams differentials up to coweight 8

$(c, 2c + f - s)$	x	$d_2(x)$
(4, 0)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^2} v_1^4$	v_2
(7, 1)	v_3	v_2^2
(7, 1)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^2} v_1^4 v_2$	v_2^2
(8, 0)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^6} v_1^8$	$v_3 + \frac{Q}{\rho^2} v_1^4 v_2$


Remark 8.4. There is an obvious similarity between the differentials in [Tables 8.1](#) and [8.3](#). This similarity breaks down for the last differential. Note that $d_2\left(\frac{Q}{\rho^6} v_1^8\right)$ cannot equal v_3 because v_3 is not a cycle. On the other hand, the formula $d_2(Qv_1^8) = \rho^6 v_3$ does hold. However, the latter form does not carry as much information as the form that we give because of the presence of ρ -torsion in coweight 7. In other words, $\rho^3 v_3$ is not uniquely divisible by ρ .

[Figure 8.2](#) displays the h_1 -periodic C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence in coweights at most 8. Black dots depict copies of $\mathbb{F}_2[h_1^{\pm 1}]$ from $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}[h_1^{-1}]$, while blue dots depict copies of $\mathbb{F}_2[h_1^{\pm 1}]$ from $\text{Ext}_{NC}[h_1^{-1}]$. Vertical lines denote multiplications by ρ . Arrows of slope -1 depict Adams d_2 differentials. The dashed line in coweight 0 represents the hidden ρ -extension of [Proposition 8.2](#).

9. BOCKSTEIN DIFFERENTIALS

In the range under consideration in this article, the vast majority of Bockstein differentials in E^- are consequences of the Leibniz rule applied to the differentials on $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^{2^n} \tau^{2^n}}$ given in [Proposition 4.10](#) together with the \mathbb{R} -motivic differentials in E^+ .

FIGURE 8.2. The h_1 -periodic C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence



In other words, most of the Bockstein differentials in E^- are periodic in the sense of Section 7.1 and arise from Proposition 7.6. The \mathbb{R} -motivic differentials are studied extensively in [DI1] and [BI].

This means that the vast majority of Bockstein differentials in E^- are easy to obtain. We take all of this information for granted and will not discuss it in any detail. Rather, we choose to focus on the handful of differentials in E^- that are more difficult to obtain. These more difficult differentials are indicated in Table 9.1.

In the range under consideration in this manuscript (coweights from -2 to 8 , stems up to 30), there is one possible Bockstein differential that we have not established. It is possible that the element $\frac{\gamma}{\tau} h_0^3 h_4^2$ in coweight 6 and stem 30 is hit by a differential. More precisely, either there is a Bockstein d_9 differential from $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^9 \tau} h_2 c_1$ in coweight 7 and stem 31 to $\frac{\gamma}{\tau} h_0^3 h_4^2$ or else $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^k \tau} h_2 c_1$ supports a longer Bockstein differential. The uncertain status of the element $\frac{\gamma}{\tau} h_0^3 h_4^2$ is indicated in Figure 14.2 by an open square. See Remark 9.2 for a discussion of other unknown differentials.

Theorem 9.1. *Table 9.1 lists some differentials in the ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence that converges to Ext_{NC} .*

See also Proposition 4.10 and Remark 4.11 for some additional Bockstein differentials.

Proof. Some of the differentials are proved in [GI2]. The remainder are proved in the following lemmas. The last column of the table refers to the specific result where each differential is proved. \square

TABLE 9.1. Some Bockstein differentials in E^-

(s, f, c)	source	d_r	target	proof
(8, 3, 0)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^3}h_1^4$	d_3	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}h_0^3h_3$	[GI2, Lemma 4.4]
(10, 4, 0)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^4}h_1^5$	d_4	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^4}Ph_1$	[GI2, Lemma 4.4]
(16, 7, 0)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^7}h_1^8$	d_7	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7}h_0^7h_4$	[GI2, Lemma 4.4]
(18, 8, 0)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^8}h_1^9$	d_8	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^8}P^2h_1$	[GI2, Lemma 4.4]
(24, 11, 0)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^{11}}h_1^{12}$	d_{11}	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{11}}h_0^5i$	[GI2, Lemma 4.4]
(26, 12, 0)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^{12}}h_1^{13}$	d_{12}	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{12}}P^3h_1$	[GI2, Lemma 4.4]
(32, 15, 0)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^{15}}h_1^{16}$	d_{15}	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{15}}h_0^{15}h_5$	[GI2, Lemma 4.4]
(34, 16, 0)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^{16}}h_1^{17}$	d_{16}	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{16}}P^4h_1$	[GI2, Lemma 4.4]
(12, 4, 3)	$\frac{Q}{\rho}h_1^2c_0$	d_1	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^2}Ph_2$	Lemma 9.3(1)
(15, 5, 3)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^3}h_1^3c_0$	d_3	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}h_0^2d_0$	Lemma 9.3(2)
(17, 6, 3)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^4}h_1^4c_0$	d_4	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^4}Pc_0$	Lemma 9.3(3)
(16, 7, 4)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^3}Ph_1^4$	d_3	$h_1^3 \cdot Qh_1^3c_0 + \frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}h_0^7h_4$	Lemma 9.4
(23, 7, 6)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^4}h_1^4d_0$	d_4	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^4}Pd_0$	Lemma 9.5
(20, 8, 7)	$\frac{Q}{\rho}Ph_1^2c_0$	d_1	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^2}P^2h_2$	Lemma 9.6(1)
(23, 9, 7)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^3}Ph_1^3c_0$	d_3	$h_1^3 \cdot Qh_1^4d_0 + \frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}Ph_0^2d_0$	Lemma 9.6(2)
(21, 5, 7)	$\frac{Q}{\rho}h_1^2e_0$	d_1	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau}h_0h_2e_0$	Lemma 9.7(1)
(24, 6, 7)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^3}h_1^3e_0$	d_3	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^4}i$	Lemma 9.7(2)
(26, 7, 7)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^4}h_1^4e_0$	d_4	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^4}Pe_0$	Lemma 9.7(3)
(29, 9, 7)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^5}h_1^6e_0$	d_5	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5}h_0^2d_0^2$	Lemma 9.7(4)
(31, 10, 7)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^6}h_1^7e_0$	d_6	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^6}Pc_0d_0$	Lemma 9.7(5)
(34, 11, 7)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^8}h_1^8e_0$	d_8	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^8}P^2e_0$	Lemma 9.7(6)
(24, 11, 8)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^3}P^2h_1^4$	d_3	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}h_0^5i$	Lemma 9.8(1)
(26, 12, 8)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^4}P^2h_1^5$	d_4	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^4}P^3h_1$	Lemma 9.8(2)
(24, 6, 9)	$\frac{Q}{\rho}c_0d_0$	d_1	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^2}i$	Lemma 9.9(1)
(26, 7, 9)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^2}h_1c_0d_0$	d_2	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^2}Pe_0$	Lemma 9.9(2)
(29, 9, 9)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^3}h_1^3c_0d_0$	d_3	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}h_0^2d_0^2$	Lemma 9.9(3)
(27, 6, 10)	$\frac{Q}{\rho}c_0e_0$	d_1	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^2}j$	Lemma 9.9(3)
(29, 7, 10)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^2}h_1c_0e_0$	d_2	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^2}d_0^2$	Lemma 9.9(4)

The differentials in [Table 9.1](#) determine additional differentials (which we do not list) via the Leibniz rule. For example, the table states that $d_4\left(\frac{Q}{\rho^4}h_1^4e_0\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^4}Pe_0$.

Multiplying by h_1 gives that $d_4\left(\frac{Q}{\rho^4}h_1^5e_0\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^4}Ph_1e_0$.

Some of the differentials in [Table 9.1](#) are predicted by [Proposition 7.11](#). For example, as indicated in [Section 8.1](#), the h_1 -periodic Bockstein differential $d_3(Ph_1) = \rho^3h_1^3c_0$ gives rise to Bockstein differentials $d_3\left(\frac{Q}{\rho^3}Ph_1^k\right) = Qh_1^{k+2}c_0$, for $k \geq 4$. However, we remind the reader that $Qh_1^{k+2}c_0$ is not in general a well-defined class in E_r^- . For example, while $Qh_1^2c_0$ is uniquely defined, the symbol $Qh_1^6c_0$ really denotes the pair of elements

$$Qh_1^6c_0 = \{h_1^4 \cdot Qh_1^2c_0, h_1^4 \cdot Qh_1^2c_0 + \frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}h_0^7h_4\}.$$

[Proposition 7.11](#) indicates that $d_3\left(\frac{Q}{\rho^3}Ph_1^k\right)$ is one of these two elements, and a further argument is needed to determine the actual value of the differential.

Remark 9.2. In the range under consideration in this article, there are a number of classes that support Bockstein differentials in stems beyond our range. For example, the class $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^8}h_2h_4$ in degree $(18, 2, -1)$. This class is not the target of a Bockstein differential and therefore must support some differential after sufficiently dividing by ρ , by [Proposition 4.12](#). We have verified directly that this Bockstein differential must occur after the E_{12}^- -page. Therefore, the classes $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^k\tau^8}h_2h_4$, with k up to 12, all survive to the Bockstein E_∞ -page. We do not bother to list all such similar classes, though they are indicated in [Figure 14.2](#) by ρ -cotowers that extend beyond the 30-stem.

The Bockstein differentials of [Table 9.1](#), [Proposition 4.10](#), and [Remark 4.11](#) can be used to determine the Bockstein E_∞ -page in a range. [Figure 14.2](#) displays the Bockstein E_∞ -page in stems less than 31 and coweights between -2 and 8 , while [Figure 14.4](#) displays the Bockstein E_∞ -page (which agrees with the Adams E_∞ -page in this range) in stems less than 8 and coweights -9 through -2 .

Beware that the dashed lines in the charts are not part of the structure of the Bockstein E_∞ -page; they indicate extensions in the C_2 -equivariant Adams E_2 -page that are hidden by the Bockstein spectral sequence. Such hidden extensions are discussed in [Section 10](#).

Lemma 9.3.

- (1) $d_1\left(\frac{Q}{\rho}h_1^2c_0\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^2}Ph_2$.
- (2) $d_3\left(\frac{Q}{\rho^3}h_1^3c_0\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}h_0^2d_0$.
- (3) $d_4\left(\frac{Q}{\rho^4}h_1^4c_0\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^4}Pc_0$.

Proof. We will show that $\frac{Q}{\rho^4}h_1^4c_0$ supports a d_4 differential. It follows that the classes $\frac{Q}{\rho^4}h_1^2c_0$ and $\frac{Q}{\rho^4}h_1^3c_0$ must support shorter differentials, and there is only one possible value (and length) for each of these shorter differentials.

The E^+ differential $d_7(\tau^8Ph_1^2) = \rho^7\tau^4Pc_0$ [[BI](#), Table 5] gives

$$d_7\left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^7\tau^8}Ph_1^2\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{12}}Pc_0.$$

The source of this differential is τ^8 -torsion, so we conclude that $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^4}Pc_0$ cannot survive to E_7^- . There is only one possible differential that could take a value of $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^4}Pc_0$. \square

Lemma 9.4. $d_3\left(\frac{Q}{\rho^3}Ph_1^4\right) = h_1^3 \cdot Qh_1^3c_0 + \frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}h_0^7h_4$.

Proof. In $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}$, there is an h_1 -extension from $\tau Ph_0^2d_0$ to ρP^2c_0 that is hidden in the ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence. Multiplying by $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^4}$ gives an h_1 -extension in Ext_{C_2} from $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^3}Ph_0^2d_0$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^4}P^2c_0$. Lemma 9.6 shows that $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}Ph_0^2d_0$ equals $h_1^3 \cdot Qh_1^4d_0$ already in the Bockstein E_4^- -page. We find that $h_1^4 \cdot \frac{Q}{\rho}h_1^4d_0$ is equal to the nonzero element $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^4}P^2c_0$ in Ext_{C_2} . This nonzero element also equals $h_1^3c_0 \cdot \frac{Q}{\rho}h_1^3c_0$. Therefore, $h_1^3 \cdot \frac{Q}{\rho}h_1^3c_0$ is also nonzero in Ext_{C_2} . The only possibility is that it equals $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^3}h_0^7h_4$. It follows that $h_1^3 \cdot \frac{Q}{\rho}h_1^3c_0 + \frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^3}h_0^7h_4$ is hit by some Bockstein differential. Inspection reveals that there is only one possible source. \square

Lemma 9.5. $d_4\left(\frac{Q}{\rho^4}h_1^4d_0\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^4}Pd_0$.

Proof. The E^+ differential $d_7(\tau^8Pc_0) = \rho^7\tau^4Pd_0$ gives

$$d_7\left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^7\tau^8}Pc_0\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{12}}Pd_0.$$

The source of this differential is τ^8 -torsion, so we conclude that $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^4}Pd_0$ cannot survive to E_7^- . There is only one possible differential that could take a value of $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^4}Pd_0$. \square

Lemma 9.6.

- (1) $d_1\left(\frac{Q}{\rho}Ph_1^2c_0\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^2}P^2h_2$.
- (2) $d_3\left(\frac{Q}{\rho^3}Ph_1^3c_0\right) = h_1^3 \cdot Qh_1^4d_0 + \frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}Ph_0^2d_0$.

Proof. We start with the second formula. As discussed in Section 8.1, h_1 -periodic computations show that $d_3\left(\frac{Q}{\rho^3}Ph_1^k c_0\right)$ equals $Qh_1^{k+4}d_0$ for large values of k . Therefore, $d_3\left(\frac{Q}{\rho^3}Ph_1^3c_0\right)$ belongs to

$$Qh_1^7d_0 = \{h_1^3 \cdot Qh_1^4d_0, h_1^3 \cdot Qh_1^4d_0 + \frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}h_0^2Pd_0\}.$$

Alternatively, one can apply Proposition 7.11 to obtain the same formula.

We showed in Lemma 9.5 that $d_4\left(\frac{Q}{\rho^4}h_1^4d_0\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^4}Pd_0$, which implies that the differential $d_4\left(h_1^3 \cdot \frac{Q}{\rho^4}h_1^4d_0\right)$ is $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^4}Ph_1^3d_0$. In particular, $h_1^3 \cdot \frac{Q}{\rho^4}h_1^4d_0$ cannot be the target of a d_3 differential.

We have now computed the differential on $\frac{Q}{\rho^3}Ph_1^3c_0$. It follows that $\frac{Q}{\rho^3}Ph_1^2c_0$ must support a shorter differential, and there is only one possible value (and length) for this shorter differential. \square

Lemma 9.7.

- (1) $d_1\left(\frac{Q}{\rho}h_1^2e_0\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau}h_0h_2e_0$.
- (2) $d_3\left(\frac{Q}{\rho^3}h_1^3e_0\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^4}i$.

$$\begin{aligned}
(3) \quad & d_4 \left(\frac{Q}{\rho^4} h_1^4 e_0 \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^4} P e_0. \\
(4) \quad & d_5 \left(\frac{Q}{\rho^5} h_1^6 e_0 \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} h_0^2 d_0^2. \\
(5) \quad & d_6 \left(\frac{Q}{\rho^6} h_1^7 e_0 \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^6} P c_0 d_0. \\
(6) \quad & d_8 \left(\frac{Q}{\rho^8} h_1^8 e_0 \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^8} P^2 e_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Table 9.1 gives the differential $d_4 \left(\frac{Q}{\rho^4} h_1^5 \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^4} P h_1$. Multiply by the permanent cycle e_0 to obtain that $d_4 \left(\frac{Q}{\rho^4} h_1^5 e_0 \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^4} P h_1 e_0$. It follows that $d_4 \left(\frac{Q}{\rho^4} h_1^4 e_0 \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^4} P e_0$. This establishes formula (3).

Then $\frac{Q}{\rho^4} h_1^2 e_0$ and $\frac{Q}{\rho^4} h_1^3 e_0$ must support shorter differentials, and there is only one possibility for each. This establishes formulas (1) and (2).

Similarly, Table 9.1 gives the differential $d_8 \left(\frac{Q}{\rho^8} h_1^9 \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^8} P^2 h_1$. Multiply by e_0 to obtain that $d_8 \left(\frac{Q}{\rho^8} h_1^9 e_0 \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^8} P^2 h_1 e_0$. This is a nonzero differential, as $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^8} P^2 h_1 e_0$ is not hit by any earlier differentials. It follows that $d_8 \left(\frac{Q}{\rho^8} h_1^8 e_0 \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^8} P^2 e_0$. This establishes formula (6).

Therefore, $Q h_1^6 e_0$ and $Q h_1^7 e_0$ must support earlier differentials, and there is only one possibility for each. This establishes formulas (4) and (5). \square

Lemma 9.8.

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad & d_3 \left(\frac{Q}{\rho^3} P^2 h_1^4 \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^3} h_0^5 i. \\
(2) \quad & d_4 \left(\frac{Q}{\rho^4} P^2 h_1^5 \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^4} P^3 h_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Proposition 7.11, the differential $d_7(P^2 h_1) = \rho^7 h_1^6 e_0$ implies that the differential $d_7 \left(\frac{Q}{\rho^7} P^2 h_1^5 \right)$ equals $Q h_1^{10} e_0$, provided that $\frac{Q}{\rho^7} P^2 h_1^5$ survives to the E_7^- page.

However, multiplying the differential $d_8 \left(\frac{Q}{\rho^8} h_1^9 \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^8} P^2 h_1$ by $h_1 e_0$ gives that

$$d_8 \left(\frac{Q}{\rho^8} h_1^{10} e_0 \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^8} P^2 h_1^2 e_0.$$

This target is not hit by any earlier differentials. As $Q h_1^{10} e_0$ has no indeterminacy, we conclude that $\frac{Q}{\rho^8} h_1^{10} e_0$ is not a permanent cycle and therefore cannot be the target of a d_7 differential on $\frac{Q}{\rho^{15}} P^2 h_1^5$.

It then follows that $\frac{Q}{\rho^7} P^2 h_1^5$, and also $\frac{Q}{\rho^7} P^2 h_1^4$, must support shorter Bockstein differentials. The claimed formulas are the only possibilities. \square

Lemma 9.9.

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad & d_1 \left(\frac{Q}{\rho} c_0 d_0 \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^2} i. \\
(2) \quad & d_2 \left(\frac{Q}{\rho^2} h_1 c_0 d_0 \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^2} P e_0. \\
(3) \quad & d_1 \left(\frac{Q}{\rho} c_0 e_0 \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^2} j. \\
(4) \quad & d_2 \left(\frac{Q}{\rho^2} h_1 c_0 e_0 \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^2} d_0^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Formulas (1) and (3) follow from the hidden h_0 -extensions from Qc_0d_0 to $\frac{\gamma}{\tau}i$ and from Qc_0e_0 to $\frac{\gamma}{\tau}j$ (see Table 6.1), together with the differentials $d_1\left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau}i\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^2}h_0i$ and $d_1\left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau}j\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^2}h_0j$.

For formula (2), the \mathbb{R} -motivic Bockstein differential $d_5(\tau^8Pd_0) = \rho^5\tau^6Ph_1e_0$ implies that $d_5\left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5\tau^8}Pd_0\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{10}}Ph_1e_0$. The source of this differential is killed by τ^8 , so $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^2}Ph_1e_0$ must already be hit before the E_5^- -page. The differential $d_2\left(\frac{Q}{\rho^2}h_1^2c_0d_0\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^2}Ph_1e_0$ is the only possibility.

The argument for formula (4) is nearly identical to the argument for formula (2), using the \mathbb{R} -motivic Bockstein differential $d_5(\tau^8Pe_0) = \rho^5\tau^6h_1d_0^2$. \square

10. HIDDEN EXTENSIONS IN THE ρ -BOCKSTEIN SPECTRAL SEQUENCE

Our next goal is to pass from the C_2 -equivariant ρ -Bockstein E_∞ -page to Ext_{C_2} . As a general rule, we use the same notation for an element of Ext_{C_2} and its representative in the ρ -Bockstein E_∞ -page. In principle, this scheme can be ambiguous since E_∞ -page elements can detect more than one element of Ext_{C_2} (in the presence of elements in higher ρ -Bockstein filtration). In practice, these ambiguities rarely matter.

Example 10.1. Consider $\frac{\gamma}{\tau}Ph_0h_2$ in degree $(11, 6, 3)$. This Bockstein E_∞ -page element detects two elements of Ext_{C_2} because of the presence of $h_1^3c_0$ in higher filtration. However, it is easy to distinguish these two elements because one is a multiple of h_0 and the other is not.

Example 10.2. A slightly more troublesome example occurs in degree $(29, 8, 5)$. We have both $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^6}d_0^2$ and $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7}h_0k$ in the Bockstein E_∞ -page, so $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^6}d_0^2$ detects two elements of Ext_{C_2} . Both elements have the property that they equal $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^6}d_0^2$ after multiplication by ρ . We will show later in this section that one of the elements is a multiple of h_1 . However, it turns out that the product $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^6} \cdot d_0^2$ equals the other element, i.e., is not a multiple of h_1 .

In passing from the C_2 -equivariant ρ -Bockstein E_∞ -page to Ext_{C_2} , there are a large number of hidden extensions to be resolved. We do not attempt an exhaustive study of this algebraic problem. However, we will establish all hidden extensions by h_0 and h_1 in the range of coweight $-2 \leq c \leq 8$ and stem $0 \leq s \leq 30$.

In practice, we observe that most hidden extensions occur in τ -periodic families in the following sense. For each hidden extension from $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^a\tau^b}x$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^c\tau^d}y$, there exists some n such that there are also hidden extensions from $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^a\tau^{b+2^n}e}x$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^c\tau^{d+2^n}e}y$ for all e . In the range that we study, the values of 2^n are typically 4 or 8, although larger values of 2^n do occasionally occur. The first four rows of Table 10.1 give examples of this phenomenon when 2^n equals 4, 8, or 16. We will not make use of infinite τ^{2^n} -divisibility in hidden extensions in this manuscript, but it deserves further study.

Not every hidden extension belongs to a τ -periodic family. For example, there is a hidden h_0 -extension from $\frac{Q}{\rho^2}h_1^3e_0$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}i$ in coweight 7 and stem 23.

10.1. Hidden h_0 -extensions.

Theorem 10.3. *The charts in Figure 14.2 show all hidden h_0 -extensions in the ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence in stems less than 31 and coweights from -2 to 8 . The charts in Figure 14.4 show all hidden h_0 -extensions in the ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence in stems less than 8 and coweights from -9 to -2 .*

The hidden h_0 -extensions appear in the charts as vertical dashed lines.

Proof. Many of the extensions are detected by $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}$. We do not discuss these extensions further since they are considered carefully in [BI].

We use several distinct approaches to study hidden extensions in Ext_{NC} . To start, many potential extensions are ruled out by considering relations in $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}$. For instance, the relation $\rho \cdot h_0 = 0$ shows that in order for there to be an h_0 -extension from x to y , the class x cannot be ρ -divisible, and y must be ρ -torsion. This greatly constrains where h_0 -extensions can occur. Similarly, the relation $h_0 \cdot h_1 = 0$ greatly constrains both h_0 -extensions and h_1 -extensions.

A great number of the extensions displayed in the charts are obtained by the following method. This method applies to all hidden h_0 -extensions from x to y in which both x and y are not divisible by ρ . A similar method is employed in [BI, Section 7] to establish hidden extensions.

The short exact sequence $NC_\rho \rightarrow NC \xrightarrow{\rho} NC$ induces a long exact sequence

$$(10.4) \quad (E_{1,\rho}^-)^{s+1,f,c} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{NC}^{s+1,f,c} \xrightarrow{\rho} \text{Ext}_{NC}^{s,f,c} \xrightarrow{\delta} (E_{1,\rho}^-)^{s,f+1,c-1} \rightarrow \dots$$

that is similar to the middle column of (7.8). Note that we may identify $E_{1,\rho}$ with $\text{Ext}(NC_\rho)$, as the Bockstein spectral sequence for $\text{Ext}(NC_\rho)$ collapses on the E_1 -page. Thus, if x and y are classes in Ext_{NC} that are not ρ -divisible, then an h_0 -extension from x to y in Ext_{NC} can be detected in E_1^- , at least up to ρ -multiples. Recall from Lemma 7.9 that the connecting homomorphism δ is specified as follows. Suppose that x in E^- is a permanent cycle in the ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence and that $x = \rho \cdot w$, where w supports a Bockstein differential $d(w) = y$. Then $\delta([x]) = y$.

For example, consider the elements $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^{2\tau}}h_1c_0$ and $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}Ph_2$ in coweight 1 and stem 11. The differentials $d_3\left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^{2\tau}}h_1c_0\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^4}Ph_2$ and $d_1\left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^{\tau^3}}Ph_2\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^4}Ph_0h_2$ imply that δ takes $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^{2\tau}}h_1c_0$ and $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}Ph_2$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^4}Ph_2$ and $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^4}Ph_0h_2$ respectively. These latter elements are connected by an h_0 -extension, so their pre-images under δ are also connected by an h_0 -extension.

The same method also applies to show that certain h_0 -extensions do not occur. For example, consider the elements $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}h_0h_2h_4$ and $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}f_0$ in coweight 4 and stem 18. The differentials $d_1\left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^{\tau^3}}h_0h_2h_4\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}h_1^3h_4$ and $d_1\left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^{\tau^3}}f_0\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}h_1e_0$ imply that δ takes $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}h_0h_2h_4$ and $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}f_0$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}h_1^3h_4$ and $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}h_1e_0$ respectively. These latter elements are not connected by an h_0 -extension, so their pre-images under δ are also not connected by an h_0 -extension.

The sequence (10.4) works well for establishing hidden extensions between elements that are not ρ -divisible. However, there remain many possible h_0 -extensions to consider that involve ρ -divisible elements. Most of these extensions are easily implied by other extensions, together with the multiplicative structure.

For example, consider the elements $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau}h_1h_3$ and $\frac{\gamma}{\tau}h_1^2h_3$ in coweight 1 and stem 19. The first element is the product $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^2} \cdot \tau h_1h_3$. We already know that there is an

\mathbb{R} -motivic hidden h_0 -extension from $\tau h_1 h_3$ to $\rho \tau h_1^2 h_3$ [BI]. Then multiplication by $\frac{\gamma}{\rho \tau^2}$ gives the desired hidden extension.

On the other hand, we also know from [Proposition 10.6](#) below that there is a hidden h_0 -extension from $\frac{\gamma}{\rho \tau^2}$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^2} h_1$ (see [Table 10.1](#)). Multiplication by the \mathbb{R} -motivic element $\tau h_1 h_3$ gives another proof of the desired extension.

In practice, there are many hidden extensions that can be established from a previously known \mathbb{R} -motivic extension by multiplication with an element of Ext_{NC} . There are also many hidden extensions that can be established from a previously known extension in Ext_{NC} by multiplication with an \mathbb{R} -motivic element. (And there are some extensions, such as the example in the previous paragraph, that can be established using both approaches.)

Finally, there are several additional extensions that cannot be proved with the previously described methods. These more difficult cases appear in [Table 10.1](#). Their proofs are given in [Proposition 10.6](#). \square

Remark 10.5. In the range under consideration in [Theorem 10.3](#), one h_0 -extension deserves slightly more discussion. Consider the hidden h_0 -extension in coweight 0 and stem 26 from $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^9} h_1 h_4 c_0$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^9} h_2^2 g$. This extension follows immediately from h_4 multiplication on the hidden h_0 -extension in coweight -7 from $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^9} h_1 c_0$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{11}} P h_2$. Here we use the relation $P h_2 h_4 = \tau^2 h_2^2 g$ in \mathbb{C} -motivic Ext . The latter extension is deduced immediately from the long exact sequence [\(10.4\)](#). This argument is entirely straightforward. We draw attention to it because it uses an extension in coweight -7 that does not appear on our charts, but it is analogous to an extension in coweight 1 and stem 11 that does appear on our charts.

TABLE 10.1. Some hidden h_0 -extensions in the ρ -Bockstein E_∞^- -page

(s, f, c)	source	target	Massey product	Bockstein differential
$(7, 0, -16k - 9)$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^7 \tau^{16k+8}}$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{16k+11}} h_3$	$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^7 \tau^{16k+16}}, \rho^8, \tau^4 h_3 \right\rangle$	$d_8(\tau^8) = \rho^8 \tau^4 h_3$
$(3, 0, -8k - 5)$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3 \tau^{8k+4}}$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{8k+5}} h_2$	$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^3 \tau^{8k+8}}, \rho^4, \tau^2 h_2 \right\rangle$	$d_4(\tau^4) = \rho^4 \tau^2 h_2$
$(1, 0, -4k - 3)$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho \tau^{4k+2}}$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{4k+2}} h_1$	$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho \tau^{4k+4}}, \rho^2, \tau h_1 \right\rangle$	$d_2(\tau^2) = \rho^2 \tau h_1$
$(8, 2, -4k)$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^{4k+1}} h_2^2$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{4k+2}} c_0$	$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^{4k+4}}, \rho^3, \tau c_0 \right\rangle$	$d_3(\tau^3 h_2^2) = \rho^3 \tau c_0$
$(16, 6, 0)$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^5} h_0^2 d_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^6} P c_0$	$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^8}, \rho^3, \tau P c_0 \right\rangle$	$d_3(\tau^3 h_0^2 d_0) = \rho^3 \tau P c_0$
$(16, 6, 4)$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau} h_0^2 d_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^2} P c_0$	$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^4}, \rho^3, \tau P c_0 \right\rangle$	$d_3(\tau^3 h_0^2 d_0) = \rho^3 \tau P c_0$
$(24, 10, 0)$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^9} P h_0^2 d_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{10}} P^2 c_0$	$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^{12}}, \rho^3, \tau P^2 c_0 \right\rangle$	$d_3(\tau^3 P h_0^2 d_0) = \rho^3 \tau P^2 c_0$
$(24, 10, 4)$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^5} P h_0^2 d_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^6} P^2 c_0$	$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^8}, \rho^3, \tau P^2 c_0 \right\rangle$	$d_3(\tau^3 P h_0^2 d_0) = \rho^3 \tau P^2 c_0$
$(24, 10, 8)$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau} P h_0^2 d_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^2} P^2 c_0$	$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^4}, \rho^3, \tau P^2 c_0 \right\rangle$	$d_3(\tau^3 P h_0^2 d_0) = \rho^3 \tau P^2 c_0$
$(9, 4, 1)$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau} h_0^3 h_3$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^2} P h_1$	$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^4}, \rho^3, \tau P h_1 \right\rangle$	$d_3(\tau^3 h_0^3 h_3) = \rho^3 \tau P h_1$
$(17, 8, 1)$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^5} h_0^7 h_4$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^6} P^2 h_1$	$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^8}, \rho^3, \tau P^2 h_1 \right\rangle$	$d_3(\tau^3 h_0^7 h_4) = \rho^3 \tau P^2 h_1$
$(17, 8, 5)$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau} h_0^7 h_4$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^2} P^2 h_1$	$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^4}, \rho^3, \tau P^2 h_1 \right\rangle$	$d_3(\tau^3 h_0^7 h_4) = \rho^3 \tau P^2 h_1$
$(25, 12, 1)$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^9} h_0^5 i$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{10}} P^3 h_1$	$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^{12}}, \rho^3, \tau P^3 h_1 \right\rangle$	$d_3(\tau^3 h_0^5 i) = \rho^3 \tau P^3 h_1$
$(25, 12, 5)$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^5} h_0^5 i$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^6} P^3 h_1$	$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^8}, \rho^3, \tau P^3 h_1 \right\rangle$	$d_3(\tau^3 h_0^5 i) = \rho^3 \tau P^3 h_1$
$(19, 2, 3)$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5 \tau^2} h_3^2$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^4} c_1$	$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^5 \tau^8}, \rho^6, \tau^3 c_1 \right\rangle$	$d_6(\tau^6 h_3^2) = \rho^6 \tau^3 c_1$
$(26, 5, 0)$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^9} h_1 h_4 c_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^9} h_2^2 g$	$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^{12}}, \rho^3, \tau^2 h_2^2 g \right\rangle$	$d_3(\tau^3 h_1 h_4 c_0) = \rho^3 \tau^2 h_2^2 g$
$(26, 5, 8)$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau} h_1 h_4 c_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau} h_2^2 g$	$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^4}, \rho^3, \tau^2 h_2^2 g \right\rangle$	$d_3(\tau^3 h_1 h_4 c_0) = \rho^3 \tau^2 h_2^2 g$

Proposition 10.6. *Table 10.1 lists some hidden h_0 -extensions in the ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence.*

Proof. All of these extensions can be established with shuffles involving Massey products of the form $\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^{r-1}\tau^{2k}}, \rho^r, x \right\rangle$, where x is an element of $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}$. We compute these Massey products using the May convergence theorem [MJP, Theorem 4.1] and \mathbb{R} -motivic Bockstein differentials of the form $d_r(x) = \rho^r y$. Beware that the May convergence theorem has some technical hypotheses involving crossing differentials, which are satisfied in all cases that we consider. Next, we shuffle to obtain

$$(10.7) \quad h_0 \left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^{r-1}\tau^{2k}}, \rho^r, x \right\rangle = \left\langle h_0, \frac{\gamma}{\rho^{r-1}\tau^{2k}}, \rho^r \right\rangle x.$$

Finally, the second Massey product in (10.7) can be computed with the May convergence theorem and the differentials given in Remark 4.11. For each extension, the fourth column of Table 10.1 displays the relevant Massey product, and the fifth column displays the Bockstein differential $d_r(x) = \rho^r y$ that computes it.

For example, consider the elements $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2\tau} h_2^2$ and $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^2} c_0$ in stem 8 and coweight 0. We have the Massey product

$$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2\tau} h_2^2 = \left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2\tau^4}, \rho^3, \tau c_0 \right\rangle,$$

which follows from the May convergence theorem using the differential $d_3(\tau^3 h_2^2) = \rho^3 \tau c_0$. The indeterminacy of the Massey product is zero by inspection. Similarly, we have the Massey product

$$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3} = \left\langle h_0, \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2\tau^4}, \rho^3 \right\rangle,$$

which follows from the May convergence theorem using the differential $d_1\left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3\tau^3}\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2\tau^4} h_0$. By inspection, this Massey product also has no indeterminacy. Given these Massey product computations, the hidden h_0 -extension from $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2\tau} h_2^2$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^2} c_0$ is a case of equation (10.7). \square

10.2. Hidden h_1 -extensions.

Theorem 10.8. *The charts in Figure 14.2 show all hidden h_1 -extensions in the ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence in stems less than 31 and coweights from -2 to 8, except that there are possible hidden h_1 -extensions in degrees $(29, 4, -2)$ and $(29, 4, 6)$. The charts in Figure 14.4 show all hidden h_1 -extensions in the ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence in stems less than 8 and coweights from -9 to -2 .*

The hidden h_1 -extensions appear in the chart as dashed lines of slope 1. Most of the hidden h_1 -extensions occur in families that are related by ρ multiplications. It suffices to establish only one extension in each family because multiplication (and division) by ρ determines all of the rest. Unknown h_1 -extensions are indicated by dotted, rather than dashed, lines of slope 1.

Remark 10.9. The classes in degrees $(29, 4, -2)$ and $(29, 4, 6)$ mentioned in Theorem 10.8 are both ρ -divisible, so there are also potential hidden h_1 -extensions on classes in degree $(30, 4, -2)$ and $(30, 4, 6)$.

Proof. Many of the extensions are detected by $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}$. We do not discuss these extensions further since they are considered carefully in [BI].

Many of the extensions in Ext_{NC} can be verified using the long exact sequence (10.4). See the proof of Theorem 10.3 for more detail. For example, consider the elements $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau}h_2^2$ and $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^2}c_0$ in coweight 0 and stems 7 and 8. The differentials $d_3\left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3\tau}h_2^2\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}c_0$ and $d_2\left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2\tau^2}c_0\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}h_1c_0$ imply that δ takes $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2\tau}h_2^2$ and $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^2}c_0$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}c_0$ and $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}h_1c_0$ respectively. These latter elements are connected by an h_1 -extension, so their pre-images under δ are also connected by an h_1 -extension.

Most of the remaining h_1 -extensions are easily implied by previously known \mathbb{R} -motivic extensions multiplied with elements of Ext_{NC} . For example, consider the elements $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4\tau^4}h_3^2$ and $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^6}c_1$ in coweight 1 and stems 18 and 19. The first element is the product $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4\tau^8} \cdot \tau^4h_3^2$. We already know that there is an \mathbb{R} -motivic hidden h_1 -extension from $\tau^4h_3^2$ to $\rho^4\tau^2c_1$ [BI]. Then multiplication by $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4\tau^8}$ gives the desired hidden extension.

Finally, there are several additional extensions that cannot be proved with the previously described methods. These more difficult cases appear in Proposition 10.10, Lemma 10.12, and Lemma 10.13. \square

Proposition 10.10. *Table 10.2 lists some hidden h_1 -extensions in the ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence.*

TABLE 10.2. Some hidden h_1 -extensions in the ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence

(s, f, c)	source	target	proof
(6, 3, -9)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3\tau^8}h_1^3$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{11}}h_0^3h_3$	$d_4(\tau h_0^3h_3) = \rho^4h_1^2c_0$
(6, 3, -5)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3\tau^4}h_1^3$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7}h_0^3h_3$	$d_4(\tau h_0^3h_3) = \rho^4h_1^2c_0$
(22, 6, -2)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2\tau^9}h_0^2g$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{12}}i$	$d_4(i) = \rho^4h_1c_0e_0$
(29, 13, -2)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2\tau^{14}}P^3h_2$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{15}}P^2h_0^2d_0$	$d_4(\tau P^2h_0^2d_0) = \rho^4P^2h_1^3d_0$
(29, 11, 1)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4\tau^8}Ph_1^3d_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{12}}P^2d_0$	$d_5(\tau^4P^2d_0) = \rho^5\tau^2P^2h_1e_0$
(22, 4, 2)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4\tau^4}h_1^3h_4$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^6}h_2g$	$d_3(\tau^2h_2g) = \rho^3h_1^2h_4c_0$
(22, 6, 2)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2\tau^5}h_0^2g$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^8}i$	$d_4(i) = \rho^4h_1c_0e_0$
(16, 8, 3)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^3}h_0^7h_4$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^4}P^2h_1$	$d_7(P^2h_1) = \rho^7h_1^6e_0$
(22, 11, 3)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3\tau^4}P^2h_1^3$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7}h_0^5i$	$d_4(\tau h_0^5i) = \rho^4P^2h_1^2c_0$
(24, 12, 3)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^7}h_0^5i$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^8}P^3h_1$	$d_3(P^3h_1) = \rho^3P^2h_1^3c_0$
(29, 13, 6)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2\tau^6}P^3h_2$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7}P^2h_0^2d_0$	$d_4(\tau P^2h_0^2d_0) = \rho^4P^2h_1^3d_0$

Proof. Each of the extensions is established with the same style of proof. Starting from a previously known \mathbb{R} -motivic differential $d_r(x) = \rho^r h_1 y$, the May convergence theorem [MJP, Theorem 4.1] implies that the Massey product $\langle \frac{\gamma}{\tau^k}, \rho^r, h_1 y \rangle$ contains

$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^k}x$. Then we have

$$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\tau^k}, \rho^r, h_1 y \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^j \tau^k}, \rho^{r+j}, h_1 y \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^j \tau^k}, \rho^{r+j}, y \right\rangle h_1.$$

This shows that $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^k}x$ is the target of a hidden h_1 -extension, and there is only one possible value for the source of the extension.

Several technical hypotheses must be satisfied in order to carry out this argument. These hypotheses can be verified manually for each extension in [Table 10.2](#). The hypotheses are:

- The number j must satisfy the properties that $\rho^{r+j}y$ is zero in $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and that $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^j \tau^k}$ survives the ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence. This ensures that the above displayed Massey products are well-defined.
- The Massey product $\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^j \tau^k}, \rho^{r+j}, h_1 y \right\rangle$ must have no indeterminacy. This ensures that the three Massey products displayed above are in fact equal, rather than related by containment.
- There must be no crossing differentials for the Massey product $\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\tau^k}, \rho^r, h_1 y \right\rangle$. This ensures that the May convergence theorem applies.
- There is only one possible source for the hidden h_1 -extension.

The last column of [Table 10.2](#) gives the relevant \mathbb{R} -motivic differential for each case. \square

Remark 10.11. The published version of [\[BI\]](#) does not include the \mathbb{R} -motivic Bockstein differentials $d_4(\tau P^2 h_0^2 d_0)$ and $d_5(\tau^4 P^2 d_0)$.

Lemma 10.12. (18, 2, 3). *There is a hidden h_1 -extension in Ext_{NC} from $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^2} h_2^2$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^4} c_1$.*

Proof. We have the Massey product $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^4} c_1 = \left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^8}, \rho^6, \rho^2 h_2 \cdot \tau^2 c_1 \right\rangle$. This bracket can be computed with the May convergence theorem [\[MJP, Theorem 4.1\]](#) and the \mathbb{R} -motivic Bockstein differential $d_4(\rho^4 \tau^4 c_1) = \rho^8 \tau^2 h_2 c_1$. By inspection, the bracket has no indeterminacy.

We also have a relation $h_1 \cdot \tau^3 c_1 = \rho^2 h_2 \cdot \tau^2 c_1$ in $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}$ [\[BI\]](#). Therefore,

$$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^4} c_1 = \left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^8}, \rho^6, \rho^2 h_2 \cdot \tau^2 c_1 \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^8}, \rho^6, h_1 \cdot \tau^3 c_1 \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^8}, \rho^6, \tau^3 c_1 \right\rangle h_1.$$

The last equality holds because there is no indeterminacy. We conclude that $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^4} c_1$ is the target of a hidden h_1 -extension, and there is only one possibility. \square

Lemma 10.13. (22, 4, 5). *The element $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^2} f_0$ does not support a hidden h_1 -extension.*

Proof. We have the Massey product $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^2} f_0 = \left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^8}, \rho^6, h_2 \cdot \tau^4 g \right\rangle$. This bracket can be computed with the May convergence theorem [\[MJP, Theorem 4.1\]](#) and the \mathbb{R} -motivic Bockstein differential $d_6(\tau^6 f_0) = \rho^6 \tau^4 h_2 g$. By inspection, the bracket has no indeterminacy.

Now shuffle to obtain

$$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^2} f_0 \cdot h_1 = \left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^8}, \rho^6, h_2 \cdot \tau^4 g \right\rangle h_1 = \frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^8} \langle \rho^6, h_2 \cdot \tau^4 g, h_1 \rangle.$$

By inspection, the latter \mathbb{R} -motivic bracket equals $\{0, \rho^5 h_1 \cdot \tau^4 h_4 c_0\}$, which is annihilated by $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^8}$. \square

Remark 10.14. The \mathbb{R} -motivic Bockstein differential $d_6(\tau^6 f_0) = \rho^6 \tau^4 h_2 g$ used in the proof of [Lemma 10.13](#) does not appear in the published version of [\[BI\]](#).

Remark 10.15. Note that $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^2} f_0$ detects the product $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^4} \cdot \tau^2 f_0$, and $\tau^2 f_0$ supports a hidden h_1 -extension to $\rho^2 \tau^2 h_1 g$. However, this does not imply the existence of a hidden extension from $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^2} f_0$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^2} h_1 g$. The presence of the element $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^2} g$ in higher ρ -filtration interferes. In fact, the product $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^4} \cdot \tau^2 f_0$ is not divisible by ρ^2 .

We provide one more result about hidden h_1 -extensions. These extensions fall outside of the range considered in this article. Nevertheless, we include them because they lie in very low stems and are potentially of further interest.

Lemma 10.16. $(2, 1, -4k - 3)$. *There is a hidden h_1 -extension in Ext_{NC} from $\frac{\gamma}{\rho \tau^{4k+2}} h_1$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{4k+3}} h_0 h_2$.*

Proof. We have the Massey product

$$\frac{\gamma}{\rho \tau^{4k+2}} h_1 = \left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho \tau^{4k+4}}, \rho^2, \tau h_1 \cdot h_1 \right\rangle.$$

This bracket can be computed with the May convergence theorem [[MJP](#), Theorem 4.1] and the \mathbb{R} -motivic Bockstein differential $d_2(\tau^2 h_1) = \rho^2 \tau h_1^2$. By inspection, there is no indeterminacy.

We also have the Massey product

$$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{4k+3}} = \left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho \tau^{4k+4}}, \rho^2, h_0 \right\rangle.$$

This bracket can be computed with the May convergence theorem and the \mathbb{R} -motivic Bockstein differential $d_1(\rho \tau) = \rho^2 h_0$. By inspection, there is no indeterminacy.

Now we can compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\gamma}{\rho \tau^{4k+2}} h_1 \cdot h_1 &= \left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho \tau^{4k+4}}, \rho^2, \tau h_1 \cdot h_1 \right\rangle h_1 = \left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho \tau^{4k+4}}, \rho^2, \tau h_1 \cdot h_1^2 \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho \tau^{4k+4}}, \rho^2, h_0^2 h_2 \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho \tau^{4k+4}}, \rho^2, h_0 \right\rangle h_0 h_2 = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{4k+3}} h_0 h_2. \end{aligned}$$

The first and fifth equalities are the Massey products computed in the previous paragraphs. The third equality is the \mathbb{R} -motivic relation $h_0^2 h_2 = \tau h_1 \cdot h_1^2$. The second and fourth equalities hold because there are no indeterminacies. Here we need that $\frac{\gamma}{\rho \tau^{4k+4}} (\tau h_1)^2$ is zero because of the Bockstein differential $d_2 \left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^{4k+1}} h_1 \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{4k+2}} h_1^2$. \square

11. ADAMS DIFFERENTIALS

In [Sections 9](#) and [10](#), we computed the C_2 -equivariant Adams E_2 -page in a range, including all extensions by h_0 and h_1 . Our next task is to compute Adams differentials.

Our Adams charts (in [Section 14](#)) are organized by coweight. Since Adams differentials decrease the coweight by 1, we cannot display these differentials graphically as lines connecting elements. On the other hand, our charts do show multiplications

by ρ , h_0 , and h_1 . Consequently, it is convenient to specify the Adams differentials on all $\mathbb{F}_2[\rho, h_0, h_1]$ -module generators of the Adams E_2 -page.

Betti realization from \mathbb{R} -motivic homotopy theory to C_2 -equivariant homotopy theory induces a map of Adams spectral sequences. This map allows us to deduce information about the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence from information about the \mathbb{R} -motivic Adams spectral sequence. The latter spectral sequence is thoroughly understood in a range [BI]. In fact, we will need some additional \mathbb{R} -motivic Adams differentials that do not appear in [BI].

Proposition 11.1. *Table 11.1 lists some d_2 differentials in the \mathbb{R} -motivic Adams spectral sequence.*

TABLE 11.1. Some \mathbb{R} -motivic Adams differentials

(s, f, c)	x	$d_2(x)$
(29, 7, 13)	k	$h_0 d_0^2 + \rho h_1 d_0^2$
(23, 7, 17)	$\tau^6 i$	$\tau^6 P h_0 d_0$
(25, 8, 17)	$\tau^6 P e_0$	$\tau^6 P h_1 d_0$

Proof. Most of these calculations follow by comparison to the \mathbb{C} -motivic Adams spectral sequence along the extension-of-scalars functor from \mathbb{R} -motivic stable homotopy theory to \mathbb{C} -motivic stable homotopy theory. However, this comparison only shows that $d_2(k)$ equals either $h_0 d_0^2$ or $h_0 d_0^2 + \rho h_1 d_0^2$ because $\rho h_1 d_0^2$ maps to zero under extension-of-scalars. In order to settle this uncertainty, use the \mathbb{R} -motivic relation $h_1 k = \rho d_0 e_0$ together with the \mathbb{R} -motivic Adams differential $d_2(d_0 e_0) = h_1^2 d_0^2$. \square

11.1. Some permanent cycles. We begin by establishing some permanent cycles.

Proposition 11.2. *The classes $\frac{\gamma}{\tau}$, $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^2}$, $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3\tau^4}$, and $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^7\tau^8}$ are permanent cycles in the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence.*

Proof. The element $\frac{\gamma}{\tau}$ is a permanent cycle because there are no possible non-zero values for differentials.

The element $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^2}$ is a permanent cycle because it is annihilated by h_0^2 , but all possible values for differentials support h_0 multiplications

The elements $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3\tau^4}$ and $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^7\tau^8}$ are more difficult. We know from the periodicity isomorphism of Proposition 3.11 that $\pi_{3,-5}^{C_2}$ is isomorphic to the ρ -power torsion subgroup of $\pi_{3,3}^{C_2}$. The latter group is known because it is isomorphic to the corresponding \mathbb{R} -motivic stable homotopy group. It follows that $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3\tau^4}$ is a permanent cycle.

Similarly, the 7-stem is τ^{16} -periodic (with some exceptions in specific coweights). In particular, $\pi_{7,-9}^{C_2}$ is isomorphic to the ρ -power torsion subgroup of $\pi_{7,7}^{C_2}$ by Proposition 3.11. The latter group is known by comparison to the \mathbb{R} -motivic stable homotopy groups, and $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^7\tau^8}$ is a permanent cycle. \square

Remark 11.3. The proof of Proposition 11.2 lies somewhat outside of the spirit of the rest of this manuscript. We would prefer a more “algebraic” proof, such as an argument that uses Toda brackets. However, such a proof has eluded us. The

particular elements in the proposition are related to the Hopf maps. There are many situations in which the indecomposable nature of Hopf maps makes them exceptional.

Proposition 11.4. *In stems less than 8 and coweights between -9 and -2 , all elements of the C_2 -equivariant Adams E_2 -page are permanent cycles.*

Proposition 11.4 establishes that all of the elements appearing in Figure 14.4 are permanent cycles. In other words, the C_2 -equivariant Adams E_2 -page is equal to the E_∞ -page in this range.

Proof. For degree reasons, the only possible differentials are:

- $d_2 \left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^7 \tau^8} \right)$ might equal $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{11}} h_2^2$.
- $d_2 \left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3 \tau^4} \right)$ might equal $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} h_1^2$.

These possibilities are ruled out by Proposition 11.2. □

Proposition 11.5. *Table 11.2 lists some permanent cycles in the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence.*

TABLE 11.2. Some permanent cycles

(s, f, c)	element	Massey product	Bockstein differential
(26, 5, 0)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^8} h_1 h_4 c_0$	$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^{12}}, \rho^3, \tau^2 h_2^2 g \right\rangle$	$d_3(\tau^3 h_1 h_4 c_0) = \rho^3 \cdot \tau^2 h_2^2 g$
(15, 3, 1)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^6 \tau} h_1^2 h_3$	$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^6 \tau^8}, \rho^7, \rho^2 \tau^2 e_0 \right\rangle$	$d_9(\tau^7 h_1^2 h_3) = \rho^9 \cdot \tau^2 e_0$
(19, 2, 3)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5 \tau^2} h_3^2$	$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^5 \tau^8}, \rho^6, \tau^3 c_1 \right\rangle$	$d_6(\tau^6 h_3^2) = \rho^6 \cdot \tau^3 c_1$
(19, 8, 3)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^8} h_0^7 h_4$	$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^8}, \rho^5, \tau^2 P^2 h_2 \right\rangle$	$d_5(\tau^5 h_0^7 h_4) = \rho^5 \cdot \tau^2 P^2 h_2$
(27, 12, 3)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^7} h_0^5 i$	$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^8}, \rho^4, P^2 h_1^2 c_0 \right\rangle$	$d_4(\tau h_0^5 i) = \rho^4 \cdot P^2 h_1^2 c_0$
(16, 6, 4)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau} h_0^2 d_0$	$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^4}, \rho^3, \tau P c_0 \right\rangle$	$d_3(\tau^3 h_0^2 d_0) = \rho^3 \cdot \tau P c_0$
(24, 10, 4)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^5} P h_0^2 d_0$	$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^8}, \rho^3, \tau P^2 c_0 \right\rangle$	$d_3(\tau^3 P h_0^2 d_0) = \rho^3 \cdot \tau P^2 c_0$
(24, 10, 8)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau} P h_0^2 d_0$	$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^4}, \rho^3, \tau P^2 c_0 \right\rangle$	$d_3(\tau^3 P h_0^2 d_0) = \rho^3 \cdot \tau P^2 c_0$
(26, 5, 8)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau} h_1 h_4 c_0$	$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^4}, \rho^3, \tau^2 h_2^2 g \right\rangle$	$d_3(\tau^3 h_1 h_4 c_0) = \rho^3 \cdot \tau^2 h_2^2 g$
(18, 1, 2)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3 \tau^4} h_4$	$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^8}, \rho^5, \tau^2 h_2 h_4 \right\rangle$	$d_4(\rho \tau^4 h_4) = \rho^5 \tau^2 h_2 h_4$
(23, 3, 3)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^5} h_2^2 h_4$	$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^8}, \rho^3, \tau h_4 c_0 \right\rangle$	$d_3(\tau^3 h_2^2 h_4) = \rho^3 \cdot \tau h_4 c_0$
(20, 3, 4)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^6 \tau} h_0 h_3^2$	$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^6 \tau^8}, \rho^7, \tau^4 g \right\rangle$	$d_7(\tau^7 h_0 h_3^2) = \rho^7 \cdot \tau^4 g$
(28, 7, 4)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5 \tau^6} i$	$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^5 \tau^8}, \rho^6, d_0^2 \right\rangle$	$d_6(\tau^2 i) = \rho^6 \cdot d_0^2$
(23, 3, 7)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau} h_2^2 h_4$	$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^4}, \rho^3, \tau h_4 c_0 \right\rangle$	$d_3(\tau^3 h_2^2 h_4) = \rho^3 \cdot \tau h_4 c_0$

Proof. The proof for each permanent cycle is essentially the same. The first step is to establish a Massey product for the element. These Massey products are listed in the third column of the table. Each Massey product is an application of the May convergence theorem [MJP, Theorem 4.1] to the Bockstein spectral sequence;

the relevant Bockstein differential appears in the fourth column of the table. In all cases, the technical condition involving crossing differentials is satisfied, and there is no indeterminacy.

Then we use the Moss convergence theorem [Mo] [BK] in order to establish that the elements are permanent cycles. In all cases, the technical condition involving crossing differentials is satisfied.

The argument for $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5\tau^2}h_3^2$ requires one additional technical argument. In order to apply the Moss convergence theorem, we need to know that τ^3c_1 does not support a hidden ρ^6 -extension in the \mathbb{R} -motivic Adams spectral sequence. This follows by comparison to the spectrum L of [BIK] that detects \mathbb{R} -motivic v_1 -periodic homotopy. The unit map $S \rightarrow L$ takes τ^3c_1 to zero, but it takes the possible values of the hidden ρ^6 -extension to non-zero elements in L . \square

The classes in the second column of Table 11.2 are listed according to their names in the Bockstein spectral sequence. In general, this only specifies an element of Ext_{C_2} up to terms in higher ρ -filtration. For the most part, this causes no difficulties. For example, the element in degree (19,2,3) detected by the Massey product in Table 11.2 is only specified up to the element $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^4}h_2h_4$ in higher ρ -filtration. However, the latter is a permanent cycle, so we conclude that both classes detected by $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5\tau^2}h_3^2$ are permanent cycles.

The one exception is the Bockstein E_∞ -page element detected by $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^6\tau}h_1^2h_3$ in degree (15,3,1). We show in Theorem 11.10 that the element $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5}h_0^2h_4$ in higher ρ -filtration supports an Adams d_3 differential. Therefore it is important to determine which element of Ext_{C_2} in degree (15,3,1) is detected by the bracket in Table 11.2. Of the two relevant elements in Ext_{C_2} , one is annihilated by h_0 , while the other supports an h_0 -extension to $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5}h_0^3h_4$. We settle this ambiguity in Lemma 11.6.

Lemma 11.6. (15,3,1) *In Ext_{C_2} , the Massey product $\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^6\tau^8}, \rho^7, \rho^2\tau^2e_0 \right\rangle$ is annihilated by h_0 .*

Proof. We multiply the bracket by h_0 and shuffle:

$$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^6\tau^8}, \rho^7, \rho^2\tau^2e_0 \right\rangle h_0 = \frac{\gamma}{\rho^6\tau^8} \langle \rho^7, \rho^2\tau^2e_0, h_0 \rangle.$$

The \mathbb{R} -motivic bracket on the right is in degree (9,4,10). By [BI], the only nonzero element in this degree is divisible by ρ^{13} . Therefore it is annihilated by $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^6\tau^8}$. \square

11.2. Adams d_2 differentials. Next we study Adams d_2 differentials. We consider higher differentials in later sections.

Theorem 11.7. *Table 11.3 lists some d_2 differentials in the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence. If a $\mathbb{F}_2[\rho, h_0, h_1]$ -module generator of the Adams E_2 -page in stems less than 31 and coweights from -1 to 8 does not belong to $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and does not appear in the table, then it does not support an Adams d_2 differential.*

Table 11.3 does not include the differentials on elements in $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}$ since those differentials are carefully considered in [BI].

TABLE 11.3. Some Adams d_2 differentials

(s, f, c)	generator	d_2	proof
(15, 1, -1)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7} h_4$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7} h_0 h_3^2$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7} \cdot h_4$
(23, 7, -1)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{11}} i$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{11}} Ph_0 d_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{11}} \cdot \tau^6 i$
(29, 6, -1)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^6 \tau^9} h_0 h_2 g$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{13}} d_0^2$	$h_0 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^6 \tau^9} h_0 h_2 g = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{13}} \cdot k$ $\rho^2 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^6 \tau^9} h_2 g = h_1 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^5 \tau^8} h_1 g$
(18, 3, 0)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^5} h_0 h_3^2$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7} h_0 e_0$	$h_0 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^5} h_0 h_3^2 = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^7} \cdot f_0$
(26, 7, 0)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{11}} j$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{11}} Ph_0 e_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{11}} \cdot j$
(30, 6, 0)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^9} h_2^2 g$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^{12}} d_0^2$	$h_0 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^9} h_2^2 g = 0$ $\rho h_1 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^9} h_2^2 g = \frac{\gamma}{\rho \tau^{12}} \cdot k$
(15, 1, 1)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} h_4$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} h_0 h_3^2$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} \cdot h_4$
(17, 3, 1)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^8 \tau} h_1^2 h_3$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^8 \tau^5} h_1 d_0$	$h_0 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^8 \tau} h_1^2 h_3 = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} \cdot e_0$
(23, 6, 1)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^7 \tau^4} h_1^2 d_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^9} P d_0$	$h_0 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^7 \tau^4} h_1^2 d_0 = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{15}} \cdot \tau^6 i$
(25, 7, 1)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^8 \tau^4} h_1^3 d_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^7 \tau^9} Ph_1 d_0$	$h_0 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^8 \tau^4} h_1^3 d_0 = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{15}} \cdot \tau^6 P e_0$
(29, 7, 1)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{11}} k$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{11}} h_0 d_0^2$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{11}} \cdot k$
(18, 3, 2)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^3} h_0 h_3^2$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^4} h_1 d_0 + \frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} h_0 e_0$	$h_0 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^3} h_0 h_3^2 = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} \cdot f_0$ $h_1 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^3} h_0 h_3^2 = \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^4} \cdot e_0$
(21, 4, 2)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^4} e_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^4} h_1^2 d_0$	$\rho^4 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^4} e_0 = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^4} \cdot e_0$
(23, 5, 2)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5 \tau^4} h_1 e_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5 \tau^4} h_1^3 d_0$	$\rho^5 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^5 \tau^4} h_1 e_0 = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^4} \cdot h_1 e_0$
(26, 6, 2)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^6 \tau^5} h_0^2 g$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^9} P e_0 + \frac{\gamma}{\rho^3 \tau^8} P d_0$	$h_0 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^6 \tau^5} h_0^2 g = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^9} \cdot j$ $\rho^2 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^6 \tau^5} h_0^2 g = h_1 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^5 \tau^4} h_1 e_0$
(28, 7, 2)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5 \tau^8} i$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^8} Ph_1 d_0$	$\rho \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^5 \tau^8} i = h_1 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^6 \tau^5} h_0^2 g$
(15, 1, 3)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3} h_4$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3} h_0 h_3^2$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3} \cdot h_4$
(21, 4, 3)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3 \tau^4} f_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} h_0^2 g$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3 \tau^4} \cdot f_0$ $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3 \tau^4} \cdot h_0^2 e_0 = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} h_0 h_2 \cdot e_0$
(23, 7, 3)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7} i$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7} Ph_0 d_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{13}} \cdot \tau^6 i$
(29, 7, 3)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^9} k$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^9} h_0 d_0^2$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^9} \cdot k$
(15, 7, 4)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^2} Ph_1^4$	$h_1^6 c_0$	h_1 -periodic
(18, 4, 4)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3} f_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3} h_0^2 e_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3} \cdot f_0$
(26, 6, 4)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^6 \tau^3} h_0^2 g$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7} P e_0$	$h_0 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^6 \tau^3} h_0^2 g = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^7} \cdot j$
(15, 1, 5)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau} h_4$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau} h_0 h_3^2$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau} \cdot h_4$
(17, 4, 5)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau} e_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau} h_1^2 d_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau} \cdot e_0$
(23, 7, 5)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} i$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} Ph_0 d_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{11}} \cdot \tau^6 i$
(25, 8, 5)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} P e_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} Ph_1^2 d_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{11}} \cdot \tau^6 P e_0$
(26, 5, 5)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3 \tau^2} h_1 g$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^6} i$	$\rho h_1^2 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^3 \tau^2} h_1 g = \frac{\gamma}{\rho \tau^6} \cdot j$
(29, 6, 5)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^6 \tau^3} h_0 h_2 g$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3 \tau^6} P e_0 + \frac{\gamma}{\tau^7} d_0^2$	$h_0 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^6 \tau^3} h_0 h_2 g = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^7} \cdot k$

TABLE 11.3. Some Adams d_2 differentials

(s, f, c)	generator	d_2	proof
			$\rho^2 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^6 \tau^3} h_0 h_2 g = h_1 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^5 \tau^2} h_1 g$
(18, 4, 6)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau} f_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau} h_0^2 e_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau} \cdot f_0$
(26, 7, 6)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} j$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} P h_0 e_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} \cdot j$
(19, 8, 7)	$Q P h_1^2 c_0$	$\rho^2 h_1^6 d_0$	Lemma 11.9
(20, 5, 7)	$Q h_1^2 e_0$	$Q h_1^4 d_0$	$h_1^2 \cdot Q h_1^2 e_0 = Q h_1^4 \cdot e_0$
(22, 9, 7)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^2} P h_1^3 c_0$	$h_1^7 d_0$	$\rho^2 \cdot \frac{Q}{\rho^2} P h_1^3 c_0 = h_1 \cdot Q P h_1^2 c_0$
(23, 6, 7)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^2} h_1^3 e_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3} P d_0 + \frac{Q}{\rho^2} h_1^5 d_0$	$h_0 \cdot \frac{Q}{\rho^2} h_1^3 e_0 = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^9} \cdot \tau^6 i$ $\rho^2 \cdot \frac{Q}{\rho^2} h_1^3 e_0 = h_1 \cdot Q h_1^2 e_0$
(25, 7, 7)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^3} h_1^4 e_0$	$\frac{Q}{\rho^3} h_1^6 d_0$	$\rho \cdot \frac{Q}{\rho^3} h_1^4 e_0 = h_1 \cdot \frac{Q}{\rho^2} h_1^3 e_0$
(28, 9, 7)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^4} h_1^6 e_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3 \tau^4} P^2 c_0$	$\rho \cdot \frac{Q}{\rho^4} h_1^6 e_0 = h_1^2 \cdot \frac{Q}{\rho^3} h_1^4 e_0$
(29, 6, 7)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^6 \tau} h_0 h_2 g$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} d_0^2$	$h_0 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^6 \tau} h_0 h_2 g = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} \cdot k$
(30, 10, 7)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^5} h_1^7 e_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^4} P^2 h_1 c_0$	$\rho \cdot \frac{Q}{\rho^5} h_1^7 e_0 = h_1 \cdot \frac{Q}{\rho^4} h_1^6 e_0$
(23, 11, 8)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^2} P^2 h_1^4$	$\rho^4 h_1^9 e_0$	h_1 -periodic
(25, 12, 8)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^3} P^2 h_1^5$	$\rho^3 h_1^{10} e_0$	$\rho \cdot \frac{Q}{\rho^3} P^2 h_1^5 = h_1 \cdot \frac{Q}{\rho^2} P^2 h_1^4$
(26, 7, 8)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3} j$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3} P h_0 e_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3} \cdot j$
(30, 6, 8)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau} h_2^2 g$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau} d_0^2$	$h_0 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau} h_2^2 g = 0$ $\rho h_1 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau} h_2^2 g = \frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau} \cdot k$
(30, 15, 8)	$\frac{Q}{\rho^5} P^2 h_1^8$	$\rho h_1^3 e_0 + \frac{Q}{\rho} h_1^{11} c_0$	h_1 -periodic

Proof. Most of the differentials can be deduced using multiplicative relations that relate the elements under consideration to elements in the \mathbb{R} -motivic Adams spectral sequence. The relevant relations appear in the last column of the table. For example, consider the element $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^3} h_0 h_3^2$ in degree (18, 3, 2). The relation $h_0 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^3} h_0 h_3^2 = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} \cdot f_0$ implies that

$$h_0 \cdot d_2 \left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^3} h_0 h_3^2 \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} \cdot d_2(f_0) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} h_0^2 e_0.$$

Therefore, $d_2 \left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^3} h_0 h_3^2 \right)$ equals either $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} h_0 e_0$ or $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} h_0 e_0 + \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^4} h_1 d_0$. On the other hand, the relation $h_1 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^3} h_0 h_3^2 = \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^4} \cdot e_0$ implies that

$$h_1 \cdot d_2 \left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^3} h_0 h_3^2 \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^4} \cdot d_2(e_0) = \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^4} \cdot h_1^2 d_0.$$

Therefore, $d_2 \left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^3} h_0 h_3^2 \right)$ must equal $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} h_0 e_0 + \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^4} h_1 d_0$.

In other cases, we use multiplicative relations to relate the elements under consideration to other elements whose d_2 differentials have already been established. For example, consider the element $\frac{Q}{\rho^2} P h_1^3 c_0$ in degree (22, 9, 7). The relation

$\rho^2 \cdot \frac{Q}{\rho^2} Ph_1^3 c_0 = h_1 \cdot QPh_1^2 c_0$ implies that

$$\rho^2 \cdot d_2 \left(\frac{Q}{\rho^2} Ph_1^3 c_0 \right) = h_1 \cdot d_2 (QPh_1^2 c_0).$$

Since $d_2 (QPh_1^2 c_0)$ is already known to equal $\rho^2 h_1^6 d_0$ by [Lemma 11.9](#), it follows that $d_2 \left(\frac{Q}{\rho^2} Ph_1^3 c_0 \right)$ must equal $h_1^7 d_0$.

Some differentials are determined by the h_1 -periodic computations of [Section 8.2](#); see especially [Table 8.3](#).

Many possibilities are ruled out by considering multiplication by ρ , h_0 , or h_1 . For example, the element $\frac{\gamma}{\tau} e_0$ in degree $(17, 4, -1)$ is annihilated by h_1 , but $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau} h_0^2 d_0$ supports an h_1 multiplication. Therefore, $d_2 \left(\frac{\gamma}{\tau} e_0 \right)$ cannot equal $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau} h_0^2 d_0$.

A few more difficult cases remain. Most of them are settled in [Proposition 11.5](#). See [Lemma 11.8](#) and [Lemma 11.9](#) below for two additional cases. \square

Lemma 11.8. $(11, 4, 3)$ *The element $Qh_1^2 c_0$ is a permanent cycle in the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence.*

Proof. The element $h_1^2 c_0$ is a permanent cycle in the \mathbb{R} -motivic Adams E_∞ -page that maps to the element of the same name in the \mathbb{C} -motivic Adams E_∞ -page. In turn, Betti realization takes $h_1^2 c_0$ to zero. Therefore, the underlying homomorphism U (see [Section 3.2](#)) takes the C_2 -equivariant homotopy classes detected by $h_1^2 c_0$ to zero. From [Proposition 3.3](#), it follows that $h_1^2 c_0$ must detect homotopy elements that are divisible by ρ . The only possibility is that $Qh_1^2 c_0$ is a permanent cycle that supports a hidden ρ -extension to $h_1^2 c_0$. \square

Lemma 11.9. $(19, 8, 7)$ $d_2 (QPh_1^2 c_0) = \rho^2 h_1^6 d_0$.

Proof. We know from [\[BI, Table 17\]](#) that $\rho^3 h_1^4 e_0$ is a permanent cycle in the \mathbb{R} -motivic Adams E_∞ -page that maps to $Ph_1^2 c_0$ in the \mathbb{C} -motivic Adams E_∞ -page. In turn, Betti realization takes $Ph_1^2 c_0$ to zero. Therefore, the underlying homomorphism U (see [Section 3.2](#)) takes the C_2 -equivariant homotopy classes detected by $\rho^3 h_1^4 e_0$ to zero. From [Proposition 3.3](#), it follows that $\rho^3 h_1^4 e_0$ must detect homotopy elements that are divisible by ρ . There are no possible hidden ρ -extensions. The only remaining possibility is that $QPh_1^2 c_0 + \rho^2 h_1^4 e_0$ is a permanent cycle. We already know from [\[BI\]](#) that $d_2(\rho^2 h_1^4 e_0) = \rho^2 h_1^6 d_0$, so $d_2(QPh_1^2 c_0)$ also equals $\rho^2 h_1^6 d_0$. \square

11.3. Adams d_3 differentials. Having settled all of the Adams d_2 differentials in a range, our next task is to compute Adams d_3 differentials. Adams E_3 charts are displayed in [Figure 14.3](#).

Theorem 11.10. *Table 11.4 lists some d_3 differentials in the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence. If a $\mathbb{F}_2[\rho, h_0, h_1]$ -module generator of the Adams E_3 -page in stems less than 30 and coweights from -1 to 8 does not appear in the table, then it does not support an Adams d_3 differential.*

TABLE 11.4. Some Adams d_3 differentials

(s, f, c)	generator	d_3	proof
$(15, 2, -1)$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau} h_0 h_4$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau} h_0 d_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau} \cdot h_0 h_4$

TABLE 11.4. Some Adams d_3 differentials

(s, f, c)	generator	d_3	proof
(15, 2, 1)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} h_0 h_4$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} h_0 d_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} \cdot h_0 h_4$
(15, 2, 3)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3} h_0 h_4$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3} h_0 d_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3} \cdot h_0 h_4$
(23, 5, 4)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5 \tau^2} h_1 e_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^6} P d_0$	$h_1 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^5 \tau^2} h_1 e_0 = \rho \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^5 \tau^3} h_0^2 g$
(25, 6, 4)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5 \tau^3} h_0^2 g$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho \tau^6} P h_1 d_0$	Lemma 11.11
(15, 2, 5)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau} h_0 h_4$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau} h_0 d_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau} \cdot h_0 h_4$
(23, 5, 5)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^2} h_1 g$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} P d_0$	$h_1 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^2} h_1 g = \rho \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^3} h_0 h_2 g$
(25, 6, 5)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^3} h_0 h_2 g$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho \tau^5} P h_1 d_0$	$\rho \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^3} h_0 h_2 g = \frac{\gamma}{\rho \tau^6} \cdot \tau^3 h_0 h_2 g$
(30, 6, 6)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7} r$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^6} h_1 d_0^2$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7} \cdot r$
(15, 2, 7)	$h_0 h_4$	$h_0 d_0 + \rho h_1 d_0$	[BI]
(29, 8, 7)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} h_0 k$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4 \tau^4} P^2 c_0$	Lemma 11.13

Proof. As in the proof of [Theorem 11.7](#), most of the differentials can be deduced using multiplicative relations. The relevant relations appear in the last column of the table. For example, consider the element $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^3} h_0 h_2 g$ in degree (25, 6, 5). The relation $\rho \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^3} h_0 h_2 g = \frac{\gamma}{\rho \tau^6} \cdot \tau^3 h_0 h_2 g$ implies that

$$\rho \cdot d_3 \left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau^3} h_0 h_2 g \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\rho \tau^6} \cdot d_3 (\tau^3 h_0 h_2 g) = \frac{\gamma}{\rho \tau^6} \cdot \rho \tau P h_1 d_0 = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} P h_1 d_0.$$

The second equality is an \mathbb{R} -motivic differential established in [BI].

Many possibilities are ruled out by recognizing that $\mathbb{F}_2[\rho, h_0, h_1]$ -module generators are products of elements that are already known to be permanent cycles. For example, the element $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5 \tau^8} h_1 g$ in degree (26, 5, -1) is the product $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5 \tau^{12}} h_1 \cdot \tau^4 g$.

More possibilities are ruled out by considering multiplication by ρ , h_0 , or h_1 . For example, the element $\frac{\gamma}{\tau} h_3^2$ in degree (14, 2, 4) is annihilated by h_1 , but $\frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\rho} h_1^3 c_0$ supports an h_1 multiplication. Therefore, $d_3 \left(\frac{\gamma}{\tau} h_3^2 \right)$ cannot equal $\frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\rho} h_1^3 c_0$.

A few more difficult cases remain. Most of them are settled in [Proposition 11.5](#). See [Lemma 11.11](#), [Lemma 11.12](#), and [Lemma 11.13](#) below for three additional cases. \square

Lemma 11.11. (25, 6, 4) $d_3 \left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5 \tau^3} h_0^2 g \right) = \frac{\gamma}{\rho \tau^6} P h_1 d_0$.

Proof. The classical 24-stem has order four. [Proposition 3.7](#) then implies that the quotient of $\pi_{24,3}^{C_2}$ by the image of ρ has order four at most. Of the three elements $\frac{\gamma}{\rho \tau^6} h_4 c_0$, $\frac{\gamma}{\rho \tau^6} P h_1 d_0$, and $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^6 \tau^4} P^2 h_1^2$, at least one must either support a differential or receive a hidden ρ -extension. There are no possible hidden ρ -extensions, so at least one of these three elements must support a differential. By inspection, there is only one possible differential. \square

Lemma 11.12. (27, 11, 7) *The element $\frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\rho} h_1^8 e_0$ is a permanent cycle in the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence.*

Proof. Suppose that $\frac{\mathcal{Q}}{\rho}h_1^8e_0$ supported a differential. Then, in coweight 7, the elements $Qh_1^7e_0$ and $Qh_1^8e_0$ would both detect homotopy elements in the cokernel of ρ since there are no possible hidden ρ -extensions. Moreover, these two elements would be related by an η -extension. This contradicts [Proposition 3.7](#) since there is no η -extension in the classical 24-stem. \square

Lemma 11.13. $(29, 8, 7) d_3\left(\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5}h_0k\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\rho^4\tau^4}P^2c_0$.

Proof. If $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5}h_0k$ were non-zero in the Adams E_∞ -page, then it would detect an element of $\pi_{29,7}^{C_2}$ that is annihilated by ρ . Since the classical 29-stem is zero, [Proposition 3.7](#) implies that there are no non-zero elements in $\pi_{29,7}^{C_2}$ that are annihilated by ρ . Therefore, $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5}h_0k$ must be hit by an Adams differential or support an Adams differential. The only possibility is that $d_3\left(\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5}h_0k\right)$ equals $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4\tau^4}P^2c_0$. \square

11.4. Higher differentials. Having settled all of the Adams d_3 differentials in a range, our next goal is to study higher Adams differentials in that range. [Theorem 11.14](#) establishes that there are no higher differentials in a large range. In [Lemma 11.17](#) and [Lemma 11.19](#), we also establish some non-zero d_4 differentials that lie slightly outside of the range that we have completely analyzed. As there are only a handful of remaining differentials in the range under consideration, we do not display E_4 charts. See [Figure 14.5](#) for Adams E_∞ charts.

Theorem 11.14. *In stems less than 27 and coweights from -1 to 8, the Adams differentials d_r vanish for all $r \geq 4$.*

Proof. As in the proof of [Theorem 11.10](#), many possibilities are ruled out by recognizing that $\mathbb{F}_2[\rho, h_0, h_1]$ -module generators are products of elements that are already known to be permanent cycles. More possibilities are ruled out by consideration of multiplication by ρ , h_0 , or h_1 . A few more difficult cases are settled in [Proposition 11.5](#). See [Lemma 11.15](#) and [Lemma 11.18](#) below for some slightly different cases. \square

Lemma 11.15. $(16, 1, 0), (16, 1, 4)$ *The elements $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^6}h_4$ and $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^2}h_4$ are permanent cycles.*

Proof. We use the Moss convergence theorem [[Mo](#)] [[BK](#)]. However, unlike in the proof of [Proposition 11.5](#), we do not use Massey products in the E_2 -page. Rather, the Adams differential $d_2(h_4) = (h_0 + \rho h_1)h_3^2$ implies that $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^6}h_4$ equals the Massey product $\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^6}, h_0 + \rho h_1, h_3^2 \right\rangle$ in the Adams E_3 -page. The technical hypotheses of the Moss convergence theorem are satisfied, so the Massey product converges to a Toda bracket, and $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^6}h_4$ is a permanent cycle.

The argument for $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^2}h_4$ is identical since it equals the analogous Massey product $\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^2}, h_0 + \rho h_1, h_3^2 \right\rangle$ in the E_3 -page. \square

Remark 11.16. In the proof of [Lemma 11.15](#), we have used the element $h_0 + \rho h_1$ rather than the seemingly more obvious choice h_0 . This is necessary since $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^6} \cdot (h_0 + \rho h_1)$ is zero, while $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^6} \cdot h_0$ is non-zero.

Lemma 11.17. $(30, 6, 2) d_4\left(\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{11}}r\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\rho^5\tau^8}Ph_1^2d_0$.

Proof. If $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5\tau^8}Ph_1^2d_0$ were non-zero in the Adams E_∞ -page, then it would detect an element of $\pi_{29,1}^{C_2}$ that is annihilated by ρ . Since the classical 29-stem is zero, [Proposition 3.7](#) implies that there are no non-zero elements in $\pi_{29,1}^{C_2}$ that are annihilated by ρ . Therefore, $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5\tau^8}Ph_1^2d_0$ must be hit by an Adams differential or support an Adams differential.

There are now two possibilities. Either $d_4\left(\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{11}}r\right)$ or $d_4\left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4\tau^7}h_2^2g\right)$ equals the element $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5\tau^8}Ph_1^2d_0$. In the latter case, $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3\tau^7}h_2^2g$ would detect an element in $\pi_{29,2}^{C_2}$ that is non-zero in the cokernel of ρ . This is also ruled out by [Proposition 3.7](#) since the classical 29-stem is zero. \square

Lemma 11.18. (20, 4, 3) *The element $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2\tau^4}f_0$ is a permanent cycle.*

Proof. Similarly to the proof of [Lemma 11.15](#), we use the Moss convergence theorem [[Mo](#)] [[BK](#)]. The Adams differential $d_2(f_0) = h_0h_2d_0$ implies that $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2\tau^4}f_0$ equals the Massey product $\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2\tau^4}, h_0, h_2d_0 \right\rangle$ in the Adams E_3 -page. The technical hypotheses of the Moss convergence theorem are satisfied, so the Massey product converges to a Toda bracket, and $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2\tau^4}f_0$ is a permanent cycle. \square

Lemma 11.19. (30, 6, 3) $d_4\left(\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{10}}r\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^9}h_0^2d_0^2$.

Proof. If $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^9}h_0^2d_0^2$ were non-zero in the Adams E_∞ -page, then it would detect an element of $\pi_{29,2}^{C_2}$ that is annihilated by ρ . Since the classical 29-stem is zero, [Proposition 3.7](#) implies that there are no non-zero elements in $\pi_{29,2}^{C_2}$ that are annihilated by ρ . Therefore, $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^9}h_0^2d_0^2$ must be hit by an Adams differential or support an Adams differential. The only possibility is that $d_4\left(\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{10}}r\right)$ equals $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^9}h_0^2d_0^2$. \square

Remark 11.20. We have used Massey products and Toda brackets to rule out a number of possible long differentials. Another possible approach is to use v_1 -periodic C_2 -equivariant computations [[Ba](#)]. For example, consider the element $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^6\tau}h_0h_3^2$ in degree (20, 3, 4). For degree reasons, there is a possible d_8 differential with value $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^4}P^2h_1^3$. However, the latter element cannot be hit by a differential because it is detected in v_1 -periodic homotopy.

12. HIDDEN ρ -EXTENSIONS AND THE COFIBER OF ρ SEQUENCE

Our next goal is to establish products in $\pi_{*,*}^{C_2}$ that are hidden in the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence. We concentrate exclusively on extensions by the elements ρ , η (detected by h_1), and $h = 2 + \rho\eta$ (detected by h_0). These extensions preserve coweight, so they are easy to visualize on our charts that are indexed by coweight. There are numerous other hidden extensions in the range under study that we have not attempted to resolve. Many such extensions are probably easy to obtain, while others would require significant work. Hidden extensions by h and η are handled in [Section 13](#). We refer the careful reader to [[IWX](#), Section 2.1.2] for the precise meaning of “hidden extension”.

We deal with the hidden ρ -extensions in this section, while also analyzing the short exact sequence

$$(12.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow (\text{coker } \rho)_{*,*} \xrightarrow{U} \pi_*^{\text{cl}}[\tau^{\pm 1}] \xrightarrow{P} (\ker \rho)_{*,*-1} \rightarrow 0$$

of [Proposition 3.7](#). This analysis provides an abundance of computational information about the values of the underlying homomorphism. In principle, one should first determine all hidden ρ -extensions and then study the sequence [\(12.1\)](#). In practice, these two analyses are best undertaken simultaneously. We are aided significantly by the knowledge that the underlying homomorphism $(\text{coker } \rho)_{*,*} \xrightarrow{U} \pi_*^{\text{cl}}[\tau^{\pm 1}]$ is a ring homomorphism and preserves the Adams filtration ([Proposition 3.7](#)).

We describe elements of stable homotopy groups by their names on the E_∞ -page of the Adams spectral sequence. Thus, homotopy elements are only specified up to elements of higher Adams filtration, and we only determine the sequence [\(12.1\)](#) up to such ambiguity. See [\[IWX, Section 2.1\]](#) for a related discussion of maps between filtered abelian groups.

Theorem 12.2. *In stems up to 26 and coweights from 0 to 7, the values of the exact sequence [\(12.1\)](#) are given in the charts of [Figure 14.6](#).*

The charts of [Figure 14.6](#) tabulate the values of U and p in a concise graphical format. The dots on the chart represent elements of $\pi_*^{\text{cl}} \cdot \tau^k$. The blue labels indicate pre-images of the map U , while the orange labels indicate values of the map p . See also [Section 14.6](#) for additional information about reading the charts.

Remark 12.3. Our graphical calculus breaks down in one instance in each coweight of [Figure 14.6](#) in stem 23 and filtration 9. There are three non-zero elements in π_{23}^{cl} that are detected in filtration 9. Exactly one of those elements is a multiple of η , and that element is indicated in the charts by the h_1 -extensions. However, the other dot ambiguously represents one of the two remaining non-zero elements. The Massey product $\langle h_3, h_0^4, h_0^4 h_4 \rangle$ can be used to resolve some, but not all, of the ambiguities.

We typically suppress powers of τ in the target of U or source of p . For example, the element c_0 is in coweight 3 in the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence, but we write $U(c_0) = c_0$ rather than $U(c_0) = \tau^3 c_0$.

Proof. In the range under consideration, many values of the homomorphisms U and p are detected by the maps of Adams E_∞ -pages, i.e., with no filtration shifts. For example, the homomorphism $p: \pi_*^{\text{cl}} \cdot \tau^0 \rightarrow (\ker \rho)_{*, -1}$ is entirely detected by Adams E_∞ -pages in stems less than 26.

Hidden values of U and p involve a strict increase in Adams filtration. An early example of this phenomenon occurs in the homomorphism $U: (\text{coker } \rho)_{7,0} \rightarrow \pi_7^{\text{cl}} \cdot \tau^0$. The group $(\text{coker } \rho)_{7,0}$ contains a single element, detected by $\frac{Q}{\rho^2} h_1^4$ in filtration 3. This element must map to an element of π_7^{cl} of filtration at least 4, and $h_0^3 h_3$ is the only possibility.

Many hidden values are implied by multiplicative structure. For instance, consider $U: (\text{coker } \rho)_{8,0} \rightarrow \pi_8^{\text{cl}} \cdot \tau^0$. Here $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau} h_2^2$ in filtration 2 must map to a class detected in higher filtration, of which the only possibility is c_0 . It follows that $h_1 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau} h_2^2$ must map to $h_1 c_0$. Incidentally, we also know that $h_1 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^2 \tau} h_2^2$ equals $\frac{\gamma}{\rho \tau^2} \cdot c_0$ in the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence, so this discussion agrees with the facts that $U\left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho \tau^2}\right) = h_1$ and $U(c_0) = c_0$. The value $U\left(\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{2k+1}}\right) = h_0$ also determines many other values of the homomorphism U under multiplicative decompositions.

Another use of multiplicative structure occurs in the homomorphism

$$U: (\text{coker } \rho)_{15,1} \rightarrow \pi_{15}^{\text{cl}} \cdot \tau^1.$$

The element $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^6\tau}h_1^2h_3$ in filtration 3 is annihilated by h_0 . It follows that $U\left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^6\tau}h_1^2h_3\right)$ must be annihilated by h_0 in π_{15}^{cl} . The group π_{15}^{cl} contains three non-zero elements that are annihilated by h_0 : one detected by $h_0^7h_4$ in filtration 8 and the other two detected by h_1d_0 in filtration 5. But $U\left(\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5}h_0^6h_4\right)$ is equal to $h_0^7h_4$, so it follows that $U\left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^6\tau}h_1^2h_3\right)$ must be detected in filtration 5. \square

Remark 12.4. In the language of [IWX, Section 2.1], there are no crossing values for the map U in the range described in Theorem 12.2. On the other hand, there is exactly one crossing value for p in this range, occurring in the homomorphism $p: \pi_{15}^{\text{cl}} \cdot \tau^5 \rightarrow (\ker \rho)_{15,4}$. The homomorphism takes h_1d_0 in filtration 5 to $\frac{\gamma}{\tau}h_1d_0$ in filtration 5. It also takes $h_0^3h_4$ in filtration 4 to $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau}h_0^2d_0$ in filtration 6.

Remark 12.5. Our use of homotopy elements up to higher filtration can lead to some subtle complications. We illustrate this problem with the map $p: \pi_{21}^{\text{cl}} \cdot \tau^2 \rightarrow (\ker \rho)_{21,1}$ and its value $p(h_1g)$. In the 20 stem, the value $p(g) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^6}g$ is not hidden. Then

$$p(h_1g) = h_1 \cdot p(g) = h_1 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\tau^6}g.$$

This last expression is zero in the C_2 -equivariant Adams E_∞ -page. Therefore, $p(h_1g)$ is in fact detected in higher filtration by $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5\tau^4}h_1^2d_0$.

Note that $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^6}g$ detects two elements in $\pi_{20,1}^{C_2}$ because of the presence of $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5\tau^4}h_1d_0$ in higher filtration. One of these two homotopy elements supports an η -extension, and the other does not. In terms of homotopy elements, the formula $p(g) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^6}g$ means that $p(g)$ is the homotopy element that is detected by $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^6}g$ and that also supports an η -extension.

Theorem 12.6. *In stems less than 26 and coweights from -1 to 7, Table 12.1 lists all hidden ρ -extensions in the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence.*

TABLE 12.1. Some hidden ρ -extensions in the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence

(s, f, c)	source	target
(17, 4, -1)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7}e_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^6}h_1d_0$
(25, 8, -1)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{11}}Pe_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^{10}}Ph_1d_0$
(26, 7, -1)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{12}}j$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{11}}Pe_0$
$(k+5, k+3, 0)$	Qh_1^{k+4}	h_1^{k+4}
(15, 1, 0)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^6}h_4$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5}h_3^2$
(15, 3, 0)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^5}h_0h_3^2$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5}d_0$
(29, 7, 0)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{12}}k$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{11}}d_0^2$
(30, 6, 0)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{13}}r$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{12}}k$
(29, 8, 1)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{11}}h_0k$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5\tau^8}Ph_1d_0$

TABLE 12.1. Some hidden ρ -extensions in the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence

(s, f, c)	source	target
(30, 6, 1)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{12}} r$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{11}} h_0 k$
(15, 1, 2)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^4} h_4$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3} h_3^2$
(15, 3, 2)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^3} h_0 h_3^2$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3} d_0$
(23, 7, 2)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^8} i$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7} P d_0$
(29, 7, 2)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{10}} k$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^9} d_0^2$
(11, 4, 3)	$Qh_1^2 c_0$	$h_1^2 c_0$
(12, 5, 3)	$Qh_1^3 c_0$	$h_1^3 c_0$
(13, 6, 3)	$Qh_1^4 c_0$	$h_1^4 c_0$
(14, 7, 3)	$Qh_1^5 c_0$	$h_1^5 c_0$
(15, 3, 3)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3} h_0^2 h_4$	$\frac{Q}{\rho^2} h_1^2 c_0$
(17, 4, 3)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3} e_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^2} h_1 d_0$
(18, 4, 3)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^4} f_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3} h_0 e_0$
(23, 8, 3)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7} h_0 i$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5\tau^4} P^2 h_1$
(15, 1, 4)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^2} h_4$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau} h_3^2$
(15, 3, 4)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau} h_0 h_3^2$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau} d_0$
(17, 4, 4)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^2} e_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau} h_1 d_0$
(18, 5, 4)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^2} h_1 e_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau} h_1^2 d_0$
(18, 4, 5)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^2} f_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau} h_0 e_0$
(15, 4, 7)	$h_0^3 h_4$	$\rho^4 h_1 e_0$
(17, 5, 7)	$h_2 d_0$	$\tau h_1^2 d_0$
(23, 8, 7)	$Qh_1^5 e_0 + \frac{\gamma}{\tau^3} h_0 i$	$\frac{Q}{\rho^2} Ph_1^3 c_0 + h_1^5 e_0$
(24, 9, 7)	$Qh_1^6 e_0$	$\frac{Q}{\rho^2} Ph_1^4 c_0 + h_1^6 e_0$
(25, 8, 7)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3} P e_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^2} Ph_1 d_0$
(25, 10, 7)	$Qh_1^7 e_0$	$\frac{Q}{\rho^2} Ph_1^5 c_0 + h_1^7 e_0$
(26, 7, 7)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^4} j$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3} P e_0$
(26, 11, 7)	$Qh_1^8 e_0$	$\frac{Q}{\rho^2} Ph_1^6 c_0 + h_1^8 e_0$
(27, 12, 7)	$Qh_1^9 e_0$	$\frac{Q}{\rho^2} Ph_1^7 c_0 + h_1^9 e_0$
(28, 13, 7)	$Qh_1^{10} e_0$	$\frac{Q}{\rho^2} Ph_1^8 c_0 + h_1^{10} e_0$
(29, 14, 7)	$Qh_1^{11} e_0$	$\frac{Q}{\rho^2} Ph_1^9 c_0 + h_1^{11} e_0$
(30, 15, 7)	$Qh_1^{12} e_0$	$\frac{Q}{\rho^2} Ph_1^{10} c_0 + h_1^{12} e_0$

The hidden ρ -extensions in Table 12.1 are displayed in Figure 14.5 by dashed lines.

Remark 12.7. In coweight 7, the hidden ρ -extensions on $h_0^3 h_4$ and $h_2 d_0$ are detected in \mathbb{R} -motivic homotopy and are studied in [BI]. We include them in Table 12.1 for completeness.

Remark 12.8. Table 12.1 lists some extensions that lie beyond the 25-stem. Even though our analysis of the Adams E_∞ -page is incomplete in this range, the uncertainties in Adams differentials do not affect the specific elements involved in these hidden extensions.

Remark 12.9. In stems less than 8 and coweights between -9 and -2 , there are no possible hidden ρ -extensions. In other words, there are no hidden ρ -extensions in Figure 14.4.

Proof. The multiplicative structure rules out many possible hidden ρ -extensions. For example, consider the element $\frac{\gamma}{\tau} h_2 h_4$ in stem 18 and coweight 0. This element is the product $\frac{\gamma}{\tau} \cdot h_2 h_4$ of two permanent cycles. The first factor is annihilated by ρ , so the product cannot support a hidden ρ -extension.

Information about (12.1), as stated in Theorem 12.2 and displayed in Figure 14.6, offers a powerful technique for resolving hidden ρ -extensions. For example, consider the possible hidden ρ -extensions on $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^6} h_4$ and $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^5} h_0 h_3^2$ in stem 15 and coweight 0. By inspection of coweight -1 , the map $p : \pi_{14}^{\text{cl}} \cdot \tau^0 \rightarrow (\ker \rho)_{14,-1}$ is an isomorphism. Therefore, $(\text{coker } \rho)_{14,0}$ vanishes, and both $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} h_3^2$ and $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} d_0$ must be the targets of hidden ρ -extensions. The sources of these extensions must be $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^6} h_4$ and $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^5} h_0 h_3^2$ respectively.

In some cases, multiplicative structure is also needed to establish hidden ρ -extensions. For example, consider the element $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3} e_0$ in degree $(17, 4, 3)$. In the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\text{coker } \rho)_{16,3} \rightarrow \pi_{16}^{\text{cl}} \cdot \tau^3 \rightarrow (\ker \rho)_{16,2} \rightarrow 0,$$

the classical group π_{16}^{cl} has order four. By inspection of coweight 2, the group $(\ker \rho)_{16,2}$ has order two, detected by $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^4} h_1 h_4$. Therefore, $(\text{coker } \rho)_{16,3}$ has order two, which means that either $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^2} h_1 d_0$ or $\frac{Q}{\rho^3} h_1^4 c_0$ is the target of a hidden extension on $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3} e_0$. Consideration of h_1 -extensions rules out the latter possibility, since $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3} e_0$ cannot support a hidden η -extension.

Multiplicative structure can also be used in a more straightforward way to obtain hidden ρ -extensions. For example, an analysis of the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\text{coker } \rho)_{16,4} \rightarrow \pi_{16}^{\text{cl}} \cdot \tau^4 \rightarrow (\ker \rho)_{16,3} \rightarrow 0$$

shows that there is a hidden ρ -extension from $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^2} e_0$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau} h_1 d_0$. Then multiplication by h_1 implies that there is a hidden ρ -extension from $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^2} h_1 e_0$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau} h_1^2 d_0$.

One slightly more difficult case is established below in Lemma 12.11. \square

Remark 12.10. There are two ways to use the sequence (12.1) to establish a given hidden ρ -extension. One approach is to show that a possible target of an extension cannot be detected in $\text{coker } \rho$. This is illustrated in the second paragraph of the proof of Theorem 12.6.

A second option is to show that a possible source of an extension cannot be detected in $\ker \rho$. For example, consider the possible hidden extension from $Q h_1^3 c_0$ to $h_1^3 c_0$ in coweight 3. In the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\text{coker } \rho)_{12,4} \rightarrow \pi_{12}^{\text{cl}} \cdot \tau^4 \rightarrow (\ker \rho)_{12,3} \rightarrow 0,$$

the middle object π_{12}^{cl} is zero, so $(\ker \rho)_{12,3}$ must also be zero. Therefore, $Qh_1^3c_0$ cannot detect an element of $(\ker \rho)_{12,3}$, and it must support a hidden ρ -extension.

In many cases, a given possible hidden ρ -extension can be determined using either $\text{coker } \rho$ or $\ker \rho$.

Lemma 12.11. (23, 8, 3) *There is a hidden ρ -extension from $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7}h_0i$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5\tau^4}P^2h_1$.*

Proof. From (12.1), we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\text{coker } \rho)_{23,4} \rightarrow \pi_{23}^{\text{cl}} \cdot \tau^4 \rightarrow (\ker \rho)_{23,3} \rightarrow 0.$$

If $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7}h_0i$ did not support a hidden ρ -extension, then $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7}h_0^{k+1}i$ would detect an element of order 32 in $(\ker \rho)_{23,3}$. However, π_{23}^{cl} does not contain an element whose order is a multiple of 32, so $(\ker \rho)_{23,3}$ does not contain an element of order 32. \square

13. HIDDEN EXTENSIONS BY \mathfrak{h} AND η

We now turn to the hidden extensions by \mathfrak{h} and η .

13.1. Hidden \mathfrak{h} -extensions.

Theorem 13.1. *In stems less than 26 and coweights from -1 to 7, Table 13.1 lists all hidden \mathfrak{h} -extensions in the C₂-equivariant Adams spectral sequence.*

TABLE 13.1. Some hidden \mathfrak{h} -extensions in the C₂-equivariant Adams spectral sequence

(s, f, c)	source	target	proof
(28, 6, 0)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2\tau^9}h_2^2g$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{11}}d_0^2$	Lemma 13.5
(21, 4, 1)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^6}g$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5\tau^4}h_1^2d_0$	Lemma 13.6
(23, 5, 1)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7}h_2g$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^6\tau^4}h_1^3d_0$	$\text{coker } \rho$
(22, 6, 2)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2\tau^5}h_0h_2e_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7}Pd_0$	Lemma 13.8
(11, 6, 3)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau}Ph_0h_2$	$\rho^2h_1^5c_0$	$\ker \rho$
(23, 5, 3)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5}h_2g$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^6}Pd_0$	$\text{coker } \rho$ or $\ker \rho$
(26, 5, 4)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2\tau^5}h_1h_4c_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3\tau^6}i$	Lemma 13.10
(20, 5, 6)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau}h_0g$	$\frac{Q}{\rho}h_1^4d_0$	$\ker \rho$
(11, 4, 7)	ρ^6e_0	$\tau^2Ph_0h_2$	$\text{coker } \rho$
(19, 10, 7)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau}P^2h_0h_2$	$\rho^6h_1^8e_0$	$\ker \rho$
(23, 11, 7)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}h_0^4i$	$\rho^3h_1^9e_0$	$\ker \rho$
(27, 11, 7)	$\frac{Q}{\rho}h_1^8e_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5}P^3h_2$	$\text{coker } \rho$
(27, 14, 7)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5}P^3h_0h_2$	$QPh_1^{10}c_0 + \rho^2h_1^{12}e_0$	$\ker \rho$

Proof. Many cases are ruled out by the relations $\rho \cdot \mathfrak{h} = 0$ and $\mathfrak{h} \cdot \eta = 0$. In particular, if an element of the Adams E_∞ -page supports a multiplication by ρ or h_1 , then it cannot be the target of a hidden \mathfrak{h} -extension. Similarly, if an element of the Adams E_∞ -page is a multiple of ρ or h_1 , then it cannot support a hidden \mathfrak{h} -extension.

Many extensions are established using the homomorphism $U : (\text{coker } \rho)_{*,*} \rightarrow \pi_*^{\text{cl}}[\tau^{\pm 1}]$ whose values were established in [Theorem 12.2](#) and are displayed in [Figure 14.6](#). These extensions are denoted by “coker ρ ” in the fourth column of [Table 13.1](#). For example, consider the elements $\frac{\gamma}{\tau}h_2g$ and $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^6\tau^4}h_1^3d_0$ in stem 23 and coweight 1. The map U takes these elements to h_0h_2g and Ph_1d_0 respectively. There is a classical (hidden) 2-extension from h_0h_2g to Ph_1d_0 , so there must also be an h-extension from $\frac{\gamma}{\tau}h_2g$ and $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^6\tau^4}h_1^3d_0$. The same method can also be used to rule out hidden h-extensions.

Additional extensions are established using the homomorphism $p : \pi_*^{\text{cl}}[\tau^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow (\ker \rho)_{*,*,-1}$ whose values were established in [Theorem 12.2](#) and are displayed in [Figure 14.6](#). These extensions are denoted by “ker ρ ” in the fourth column of [Table 13.1](#). For example, consider the elements $\frac{\gamma}{\tau}Ph_0h_2$ and $\rho^2h_1^5c_0$ in stem 11 and coweight 3. These elements are the images of Ph_0h_2 and Ph_1^3 respectively under the map p . There is a classical (not hidden) 2-extension from Ph_0h_2 to Ph_1^3 , so there must also be an h-extension from $\frac{\gamma}{\tau}Ph_0h_2$ to $\rho^2h_1^5c_0$. The same method can also be used to rule out hidden h-extensions.

Several cases remain to be studied. The fourth column of [Table 13.1](#) shows the specific lemmas in which each is established. \square

Remark 13.2. In coweight 7, the hidden h-extension on ρ^6e_0 is detected in \mathbb{R} -motivic homotopy and is studied in [\[BI\]](#). For completeness, we include it in [Table 13.1](#).

Remark 13.3. [Table 13.1](#) lists some extensions that lie beyond the 25-stem. Even though our analysis of the Adams E_∞ -page is incomplete in this range, the uncertainties in Adams differentials do not affect the specific elements involved in these hidden extensions.

Remark 13.4. In stems less than 8 and coweights between -9 and -2 , there are no possible hidden h-extensions. In other words, there are no hidden h-extensions in [Figure 14.4](#).

Lemma 13.5. $(28, 6, 0)$ *There is a hidden h-extension from $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2\tau^9}h_2^2g$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{11}}d_0^2$.*

Proof. We write $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{12}}$ for a homotopy class in $\pi_{0,-13}^C$ that is detected by $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{12}}$, and we write κ^2 for a homotopy class in $\pi_{28,12}^C$ that is detected by d_0^2 . Using the Adams differential $d_2\left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3\tau^9}h_2^2g\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{12}}d_0^2$ and the Moss convergence theorem [\[Mo\]](#) [\[BK\]](#), the element $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2\tau^9}h_2^2g$ detects the Toda bracket $\langle \rho, \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{12}}, \kappa^2 \rangle$.

The ρ -Bockstein differential $d_1(\tau) = \rho h_0$ and the May convergence theorem [\[MJP, Theorem 4.1\]](#) implies that $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{11}}$ detects the Massey product $\langle h_0, \rho, \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{12}} \rangle$. Then the Moss convergence theorem implies that $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{11}}$ detects the Toda bracket $\langle h, \rho, \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{12}} \rangle$.

Now shuffle to obtain

$$h \left\langle \rho, \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{12}}, \kappa^2 \right\rangle = \left\langle h, \rho, \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{12}} \right\rangle \kappa^2.$$

\square

Lemma 13.6. $(21, 4, 1)$ *There is a hidden h-extension from $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^6}g$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5\tau^4}h_1^2d_0$.*

Proof. We will show below in [Theorem 13.11](#) that there is a hidden η -extension from $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^6}g$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^6\tau^4}h_1^2d_0$. Therefore, there is a hidden $\rho\eta$ -extension from $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^6}g$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5\tau^4}h_1^2d_0$.

Also, $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^6}g$ detects the product $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^{10}} \cdot \bar{\kappa}$, where $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^{10}}$ and $\bar{\kappa}$ are homotopy classes that are detected by $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^{10}}$ and τ^4g , respectively. We know from Table 10.1 that $(\mathbf{h} + \rho\eta)\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^{10}}$ equals zero. Consequently, there must also be a hidden \mathbf{h} -extension from $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^6}g$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5\tau^4}h_1^2d_0$. \square

Lemma 13.7. (21, 2, 2) *There is no hidden \mathbf{h} -extension on $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5\tau^4}h_1h_4$.*

Proof. The element $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^5}h_0h_2e_0$ supports a hidden η -extension because of the hidden ρ -extension from $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^8}i$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7}Pd_0$. Therefore, it cannot be the target of a hidden \mathbf{h} -extension. \square

Lemma 13.8. (22, 6, 2) *There is a hidden \mathbf{h} -extension from $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2\tau^5}h_0h_2e_0$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7}Pd_0$.*

Proof. We write $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{12}}$ for a homotopy class in $\pi_{0,-13}^{C_2}$ that is detected by $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{12}}$, and we write α for a homotopy class in $\pi_{22,14}^{C_2}$ that is detected by τ^4Pd_0 . Using the Adams differential $d_2\left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3\tau^5}h_0h_2e_0\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^8}Pd_0$ and the Moss convergence theorem [Mo] [BK], the element $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2\tau^5}h_0h_2e_0$ detects the Toda bracket $\langle \rho, \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{12}}, \alpha \rangle$.

As in the proof of Lemma 13.5, the element $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{11}}$ detects the Toda bracket $\langle \mathbf{h}, \rho, \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{12}} \rangle$. Now shuffle to obtain

$$\mathbf{h}\left\langle \rho, \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{12}}, \alpha \right\rangle = \left\langle \mathbf{h}, \rho, \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{12}} \right\rangle \alpha.$$

\square

Lemma 13.9. (23, 3, 2) *There is no hidden \mathbf{h} -extension on $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^6\tau^4}h_1^2h_4$.*

Proof. The Bockstein differential $d_6\left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho^6\tau^4}h_1\right) = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^7}h_2^2$ implies that $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^6\tau^4}h_1^2h_4$ detects the Massey product $\langle \frac{\gamma}{\tau^7}h_2, h_2, h_1h_4 \rangle$ in the C_2 -equivariant Adams E_2 -page. The Moss Convergence Theorem [Mo] [BK] then implies that $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^6\tau^4}h_1^2h_4$ detects the Toda bracket $\langle \frac{\gamma}{\tau^7}\nu, \nu, \eta_4 \rangle$. Here we write $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7}$ for a homotopy element that is detected by $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7}$, and η_4 is a homotopy element that is detected by h_1h_4 .

Now shuffle to obtain

$$\left\langle \frac{\gamma}{\tau^7}\nu, \nu, \eta_4 \right\rangle \mathbf{h} = \frac{\gamma}{\tau^7}\nu \langle \nu, \eta_4, \mathbf{h} \rangle.$$

Next we must analyze the Toda bracket $\langle \nu, \eta_4, \mathbf{h} \rangle$. By inspection of the \mathbb{R} -motivic Adams charts in [BI], there are several possible values. Most of these values are ρ -multiples and are annihilated by $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7}$.

The one remaining possibility is that the Toda bracket $\langle \nu, \eta_4, \mathbf{h} \rangle$ could be detected by $\tau h_2^2 \cdot d_0$. Write α and κ for homotopy elements detected by τh_2^2 and d_0 respectively. By inspection of the coweight -5 part of Figure 14.4, the product $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7} \cdot \alpha$ must be a multiple of η . Therefore, $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7}\nu \cdot \alpha \cdot \kappa$ is zero.

We have now concluded that $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7}\nu \langle \nu, \eta_4, \mathbf{h} \rangle$ is zero. Therefore, $\langle \frac{\gamma}{\tau^7}\nu, \nu, \eta_4 \rangle \mathbf{h}$ is also zero, and there is no hidden \mathbf{h} -extension. \square

Lemma 13.10. (26, 5, 4) *There is a hidden \mathbf{h} -extension from $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^2\tau^5}h_1h_4c_0$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3\tau^6}i$.*

Proof. Figure 14.6 shows that $p : \pi_{26}^{cl} \cdot \tau^5 \rightarrow (\ker \rho)_{26,4}$ takes h_2^2g to $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3\tau^6}i$. The classical element h_2^2g detects a ν -multiple, so $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3\tau^6}i$ also detects a ν -multiple. The only possibility is that there is a hidden ν -extension from $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5}h_2g$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3\tau^6}i$.

Let α be a homotopy class in $\pi_{23,9}^{C_2}$ that is detected by h_2g , and write $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5}$ for a homotopy class in $\pi_{0,-6}^{C_2}$ that is detected by $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5}$. Then $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5}h_2g$ detects $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} \cdot \alpha$. Moreover, the hidden ν -extension discussed in the previous paragraph implies that $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3\tau^6}i$ detects $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} \cdot \nu \cdot \alpha$.

We know from [Table 10.1](#) (see also [Figure 14.4](#)) that $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5} \cdot \nu$ is divisible by h . Therefore, $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3\tau^6}i$ must be the target of a hidden h -extension, and there is only one possible source. \square

13.2. Hidden η -extensions.

Theorem 13.11. *Table 13.2 lists all hidden η -extensions in the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence whose sources are in stems less than 25 and coweights from -1 to 7.*

TABLE 13.2. Some hidden η -extensions in the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence

(s, f, c)	source	target	proof
(21, 5, -1)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^8}h_1g$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{10}}Pd_0$	ker ρ
(24, 6, -1)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^9}h_0h_2g$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{11}}Pe_0$	ρ -extension
(27, 6, 0)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^9}h_2^2g$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{11}}d_0^2$	ρ -extension
(15, 4, 1)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5}h_0^3h_4$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5}Pc_0$	ker ρ
(21, 4, 1)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^6}g$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^6\tau^4}h_1^2d_0$	coker ρ
(23, 9, 1)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^9}h_0^2i$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^9}P^2c_0$	ker ρ
(20, 4, 2)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5}g$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^5}h_0h_2e_0$	ker ρ
(21, 6, 2)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^5}h_0h_2e_0$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7}Pd_0$	ρ -extension
(27, 6, 2)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^7}h_2^2g$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^9}d_0^2$	ρ -extension
(15, 3, 3)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}h_0^2h_4$	$\frac{Q}{\rho^3}h_1^4c_0$	coker ρ
(23, 8, 3)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7}h_0i$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho^6\tau^4}P^2h_1^2$	coker ρ
(15, 3, 4)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau}h_0h_3^2$	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau}h_1d_0$	ρ -extension
(15, 4, 5)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau}h_0^3h_4$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau}Pc_0$	ker ρ
(23, 9, 5)	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5}h_0^2i$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5}P^2c_0$	ker ρ
(15, 4, 7)	$h_0^3h_4$	$\rho^3h_1^2e_0$	coker ρ
(21, 5, 7)	γh_1g	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^2}Pd_0$	ker ρ
(24, 6, 7)	$\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau}h_0h_2g$	$\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}Pe_0$	ρ -extension

Remark 13.12. The hidden η -extension on $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^6}g$ was used earlier in [Lemma 13.6](#) to establish a hidden h -extension on $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^6}g$. The proof of the hidden η -extension on $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^6}g$ does not use information about h -extensions, so there is no danger of circularity.

Remark 13.13. We warn the careful reader about a subtlety in η -multiplications that arises because of our precise definition of hidden extensions. Consider the

C_2 -equivariant Adams E_∞ -page element $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5}h_0h_2g$ in degree $(23, 6, -1)$. This E_∞ -page element detects more than one element in homotopy because of the presence of elements in higher filtration. Some of these elements support η -extensions, and some of them are annihilated by η . The coweight -1 part of [Figure 14.5](#) suggests that $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5}h_0h_2g$ detects a homotopy element that is simultaneously a multiple of h and a multiple of ρ . In fact, this is not the case. The element $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5}h_0h_2g$ does detect a multiple of h , and it also detects a multiple of ρ , but those two multiples differ by an element in higher filtration. This difference can be established by considering the $\rho\eta$ -extension on $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^5}h_0h_2g$.

A similar phenomenon occurs for the elements $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^6}g$ in degree $(20, 4, 1)$ and $\frac{\gamma}{\tau}h_0h_2g$ in degree $(23, 6, 7)$.

Remark 13.14. In stems less than 8 and coweights between -9 and -2 , there are no possible hidden η -extensions. In other words, there are no hidden η -extensions in [Figure 14.4](#).

Proof. Many cases are ruled out by the relation $h \cdot \eta = 0$. In particular, if an element of the Adams E_∞ -page supports a (hidden or not hidden) multiplication by h , then it cannot be the target of a hidden η -extension. Similarly, if an element of the Adams E_∞ -page is a (hidden or not hidden) multiple of h , then it cannot support a hidden η -extension.

Multiplicative structure in the Adams E_∞ -page also rules out many cases. For example, consider the element $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4\tau^6}h_3^2$ in degree $(21, 2, -1)$. This element decomposes as a product $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4\tau^8} \cdot \tau^2h_3^2$ of permanent cycles. The second factor does not support a hidden η -extension from the analysis of the \mathbb{R} -motivic Adams spectral sequence [\[BI\]](#), so $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4\tau^6}h_3^2$ also does not support a hidden η -extension.

The ρ multiplications in the Adams E_∞ -page rule out additional possibilities. For example, consider the element $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^5}h_1^2h_4$ in degree $(18, 3, 1)$. It detects elements of $\pi_{18,1}^{C_2}$, all of which are annihilated by ρ^2 . Therefore, it cannot support a hidden η -extension to $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^4\tau^4}h_1d_0$, since the latter element supports a ρ^2 -extension.

Some hidden η -extensions can be deduced immediately from the hidden ρ -extensions that were previously analyzed in [Section 12](#). These extensions are denoted by “ ρ -extension” in the fourth column of [Table 13.2](#). For example, consider the element $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^5}h_0h_2e_0$ in degree $(21, 6, 2)$. There is a hidden ρ -extension from $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^8}i$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7}Pd_0$, so there must also be a hidden η -extension from $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^5}h_0h_2e_0$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7}Pd_0$.

The presence or absence of hidden ρ -extensions can also rule out possible hidden η -extensions. For example, consider the element $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5}d_0$ in degree $(14, 4, 0)$. This element is the target of a hidden ρ -extension, so it detects a multiple of ρ^3 . But $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^5}h_0^2d_0$ detects elements that are not multiples of ρ^3 , so there cannot be a hidden η -extension from $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^5}d_0$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^5}h_0^2d_0$.

Another example concerns the element $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^7}g$ in degree $(20, 4, 0)$. We already know that it does not support a hidden ρ -extension, so it cannot support a hidden η -extension to $\frac{Q}{\rho^8}h_1^{12}$.

Many extensions are established using the homomorphism $U : (\text{coker } \rho)_{*,*} \rightarrow \pi_*^{\text{cl}}[\tau^{\pm 1}]$ whose values were established in [Theorem 12.2](#) and are displayed in [Figure 14.6](#). These extensions are denoted by “coker ρ ” in the fourth column of [Table 13.2](#). For example, consider the elements $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^6\tau^6}g$ and $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^6\tau^4}h_1^2d_0$ in stems 21 and 22 and coweight 1. The map U takes these elements to h_1g and Pd_0 respectively.

There is a classical (hidden) η -extension from h_1g to Pd_0 , so there must also be an η -extension from $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^6}g$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^6\tau^4}h_1^2d_0$. The same method can also be used to rule out hidden η -extensions.

Additional extensions are established using the homomorphism $p : \pi_*^{\text{cl}}[\tau^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow (\ker \rho)_{*,*-1}$ whose values were established in [Theorem 12.2](#) and are displayed in [Figure 14.6](#). These extensions are denoted by “ $\ker \rho$ ” in the fourth column of [Table 13.2](#). For example, consider the elements $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^8}h_1g$ and $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{10}}Pd_0$ in stems 21 and 22 and coweight -1 . These elements are the images of h_1g and Pd_0 respectively under the map p . There is a classical (hidden) η -extension from h_1g to Pd_0 , so there must also be an η -extension from $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^8}h_1g$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^{10}}Pd_0$. The same method can also be used to rule out hidden η -extensions.

[Lemma 13.15](#), [Lemma 13.16](#), and [Lemma 13.17](#) handle three additional cases. \square

Lemma 13.15. $(15, 4, 1)$ *There is no hidden η -extension on $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^4}d_0$.*

Proof. Let α be an element of $\pi_{17,1}^{C_2}$ that is detected by $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3\tau^4}d_0$. We may choose α such that $\eta^2\alpha$ is 0, since $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3\tau^4}h_1d_0$ does not support a hidden η -extension. Then $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^4}d_0$ detects $\rho^2\alpha$.

If $\frac{\gamma}{\rho\tau^4}d_0$ supported a hidden η -extension, then $\eta^2 \cdot \rho^2\alpha$ would be non-zero. This is inconsistent with the choice of α in the previous paragraph. \square

Lemma 13.16. $(22, 3, 5)$ *There is no hidden η -extension on $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3\tau^2}c_1$.*

Proof. The element $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3\tau^2}c_1$ equals the product $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3\tau^4} \cdot \tau^2c_1$ on the C_2 -equivariant Adams E_∞ -page. Write $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3\tau^4}$ for a homotopy element that is detected by $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^3\tau^4}$, and write α for a homotopy element that is detected by τ^2c_1 . We want to show that $\eta \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^3\tau^4} \cdot \alpha$ is zero.

According to the coweight -5 part of [Figure 14.4](#), the product $\eta \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\rho^3\tau^4}$ equals $\rho^2\beta$, where β is detected by $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5\tau^4}h_1$. Therefore, we want to show that $\rho^2\beta \cdot \alpha$ is zero.

The underlying map takes α and β to elements of π_*^{cl} that are detected by c_1 and h_2^2 respectively. In π_*^{cl} , the product of these images is zero since there is no hidden ν -extension on h_2c_1 . Therefore, the underlying map takes $\alpha \cdot \beta$ to zero.

This means that $\alpha \cdot \beta$ in $\pi_{25,5}^{C_2}$ is ρ -divisible. There are several such ρ -divisible elements in $\pi_{25,5}^{C_2}$, but all such classes detected in Adams filtration at least 5 are annihilated by ρ^2 . \square

Lemma 13.17. $(23, 4, 5)$ *There is no hidden η -extension on $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5\tau^2}f_0$.*

Proof. [Theorem 10.3](#) shows that there are h_0 -extensions in Ext^{C_2} from $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^6\tau}h_0h_3^2$ and $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5\tau^2}f_0$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}g$ and $\frac{\gamma}{\tau^3}h_2g$ respectively. This implies that there is an h_2 -extension from $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^6\tau}h_0h_3^2$ to $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5\tau^2}f_0$ in Ext^{C_2} and therefore also in the Adams E_∞ -page. In particular, $\frac{\gamma}{\rho^5\tau^2}f_0$ detects a multiple of ν , so it cannot support an η -extension [[Is](#), Lemma 4.4]. \square

14. CHARTS

14.1. Bockstein E_1^- -page. [Figure 14.1](#) on page 68 depicts the Bockstein E_1^- -page that converges to Ext_{NC} in stems less than 31. This data arises from [Section 5](#) and [Section 6](#).

Here is a key for reading the charts, which are separated by coweight:

- (1) Solid gray dots indicate copies of $\frac{\mathbb{F}_2[\tau, \rho]}{\tau^\infty, \rho^\infty}$, i.e., elements that are infinitely divisible by both τ and by ρ . Beware that dividing by ρ increases the stem, but this degree shift is not displayed on the chart. These elements are precisely the subobject γE_1^- of E_1^- (see (6.2)).
- (2) Hollow purple dots indicate copies of $\frac{\mathbb{F}_2[\rho]}{\rho^\infty}$, i.e., elements that are infinitely divisible by ρ . Beware that dividing by ρ increases the stem, but this degree shift is not displayed on the chart. These elements detect the quotient QE_1^- of E_1^- (see (6.2)).
- (3) Vertical lines indicate h_0 -multiplications.
- (4) Lines of slope 1 indicate h_1 -multiplications.
- (5) Lines of slope $\frac{1}{3}$ indicate h_2 -multiplications.
- (6) Dashed lines indicate extensions that are hidden by the sequence (6.2), i.e., that connect elements in γE_1^- to elements in QE_1^- .
- (7) Orange lines indicate extensions whose target is the τ -multiple of the labelled element. For example, $h_2 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\tau} h_0^2$ equals $\frac{\gamma}{\tau} \cdot \tau h_1^3 = 0$, while $h_2 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\tau^2} h_0^2$ equals $\frac{\gamma}{\tau} h_1^3$, which is nonzero.

14.2. **Adams E_2 -charts.** Figure 14.2 on pages 67–77 depicts Ext_{C_2} , i.e., the E_2 -page of the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence, in coweights -2 to 8 and stems less than or equal to 30. Each coweight appears on a separate grid. The details of this calculation are described in Sections 9 and 10.

Here is a key for reading the charts:

- (1) Filled dots and hollow dots indicate copies of \mathbb{F}_2 .
- (2) Green dots indicate classes in $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{R}}$.
- (3) Gray dots represent elements of Ext_{NC} that lie in γE_1^- . See also (6.2).
- (4) Purple hollow dots represent elements of Ext_{NC} that are detected by the quotient QE_1^- . See also (6.2).
- (5) Horizontal lines indicate ρ -multiplications.
- (6) Vertical lines indicate h_0 -multiplications.
- (7) Diagonal lines indicate h_1 -multiplications.
- (8) Horizontal arrows indicate infinite sequences of ρ -multiplications, i.e., ρ -periodic elements.
- (9) Dashed lines indicate extensions that are hidden in the Bockstein spectral sequence.
- (10) Dotted lines indicate potential multiplications that have neither been established nor ruled out. These uncertainties occur only in the 29-stem in coweights -2 and 6.
- (11) The hollow square in degree (30,5,6) indicates an element that could possibly be the value of a Bockstein differential.

14.3. **Adams E_3 -charts.** Figure 14.3 on pages 78–88 depicts the E_3 -page of the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence, in coweights -2 to 8 and stems less than or equal to 30. Each coweight appears on a separate grid. The details of this calculation are described in Section 11.2.

The key for the Adams E_3 -chart is essentially the same as the key for the Adams E_2 -chart given in Section 14.2, with the following exceptions:

- (1) Green dots indicate classes in the image of the \mathbb{R} -motivic Adams E_3 -page, as computed in [BI].
- (2) Gray dots represent classes that are not in the image of the \mathbb{R} -motivic Adams E_3 -page.
- (3) There is no distinction between extensions that are hidden or not hidden in the Bockstein spectral sequence.

14.4. **Adams E_∞ -charts in negative coweight and low stems.** Figure 14.4 on pages 89–90 depicts the E_∞ -page of the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence in stems less than 8 and in coweights -9 through -2 . In this range, the spectral sequence collapses at the E_2 -page. The details of this calculation are described in Section 9, Section 10, and Section 11.1.

Here is a key for reading the charts, which are separated by coweight:

- (1) Dots indicate copies of \mathbb{F}_2 .
- (2) Horizontal lines indicate multiplications by ρ .
- (3) Vertical lines indicate multiplications by h_0 .
- (4) Lines of slope 1 indicate multiplications by h_1 .
- (5) Dashed lines indicate h_0 -multiplications and h_1 -multiplications that are hidden in the Bockstein spectral sequence. (There are no extensions that are hidden by the Adams spectral sequence in this range.)

14.5. **Adams E_∞ -charts.** Figure 14.5 on pages 91–99 depicts the E_∞ -page of the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence, in coweights -1 to 7 and stems less than or equal to 30 . The details of this calculation are described in Sections 11.3 and 11.4, with some of the multiplicative structure determined in Sections 12 and 13.

Here is a key for reading the Adams E_∞ -charts, which are separated by coweight:

- (1) Dots indicate copies of \mathbb{F}_2 .
- (2) Green dots indicate classes in the image of the \mathbb{R} -motivic Adams E_∞ -page, as computed in [BI].
- (3) Gray dots represent classes that are not in the image of the \mathbb{R} -motivic Adams E_∞ -page.
- (4) Horizontal lines indicate multiplications by ρ .
- (5) Vertical lines indicate multiplications by h_0 .
- (6) Lines of slope 1 indicate multiplications by h_1 .
- (7) Horizontal arrows indicate infinite sequences of multiplications by ρ .
- (8) Dashed lines of negative slope indicate ρ -multiplications that are hidden in the Adams spectral sequence.
- (9) Dashed vertical lines show h -multiplications that are hidden in the Adams spectral sequence.
- (10) Dashed lines of positive slope indicate η -multiplications that are hidden in the Adams spectral sequence.
- (11) The dotted line on the element in degree $(29, 4, 6)$ indicates a potential h_1 -multiplication that has neither been established nor ruled out.
- (12) The hollow square in degree $(30, 5, 6)$ indicates an element that could possibly be zero in the Adams E_∞ -page.

14.6. **Charts for the cofiber of ρ sequence.** Figure 14.6 on pages 100–107 depicts the short exact sequence (12.1), in coweights 0 to 7 and in stems less than or equal to 26 . This calculation is described in Section 12.

Here is a key for reading these charts, which are separated by coweight:

- (1) Ignoring the color and labels, each chart is the E_∞ -page of the classical Adams spectral sequence for the sphere, in stems up to 26. In other words, it is an associated graded object for the central object π_*^{cl} of the short exact sequence.
- (2) Dots indicate copies of \mathbb{F}_2 .
- (3) Blue dots indicate classes in the image of $(\text{coker } \rho)_{*,*} \rightarrow \pi_*^{\text{cl}}$. The labels indicate the pre-image in $(\text{coker } \rho)_{*,*}$.
- (4) Orange dots represent classes that are not in the image of $(\text{coker } \rho)_{*,*} \rightarrow \pi_*^{\text{cl}}$. The labels indicate their images in $(\text{ker } \rho)_{*,*}$.
- (5) Vertical lines indicate h_0 -multiplications.
- (6) Lines of slope 1 indicate h_1 -multiplications.
- (7) Dashed vertical lines indicate 2-extensions that are hidden in the Adams spectral sequence.
- (8) Dashed lines of positive slope indicate η -extensions that are hidden in the Adams spectral sequence.

See [Remark 12.3](#) for a minor ambiguity in the notation in these charts.

FIGURE 14.1. The E_1^- -page of the ρ -Bockstein spectral sequence







FIGURE 14.2. The E_2 page of the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence
 Ext_{C_2} in coweight -2



C₂-EQUIVARIANT STABLE STEMS




Ext $_{C_2}$ in coweight 2




C₂-EQUIVARIANT STABLE STEMS

Ext $_{C_2}$ in coweight 4



C_2 -EQUIVARIANT STABLE STEMS

Ext C_2 in coweight 6



C_2 -EQUIVARIANT STABLE STEMS






FIGURE 14.3. The E_3 page of the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence

Adams E_3 -page for $S_{C_2}^0$ in coweight -2




Adams E_3 -page for $S_{C_2}^0$ in coweight -1




C₂-EQUIVARIANT STABLE STEMS


Adams E_3 -page for $S_{C_2}^0$ in coweight 0




Adams E_3 -page for $S_{C_2}^0$ in coweight 1




C_2 -EQUIVARIANT STABLE STEMS




Adams E_3 -page for $S_{C_2}^0$ in coweight 5



C₂-EQUIVARIANT STABLE STEM




Adams E_3 -page for $S_{C_2}^0$ in coweight 7



C₂-EQUIVARIANT STABLE STEMS

FIGURE 14.4. The E_∞ -page of the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence for $S^{0,0}$ in negative coweight and low stems











FIGURE 14.5. The E_∞ page of the C_2 -equivariant Adams spectral sequence


Adams E_∞ -page for $S_{C_2}^0$ in coweight -1




C₂-EQUIVARIANT STABLE STEMS


Adams E_∞ -page for $S_{C_2}^0$ in coweight 0






C₂-EQUIVARIANT STABLE STEMS







Adams E_∞ -page for $S_{C_2}^0$ in coweight 5



C₂-EQUIVARIANT STABLE STEMS




Adams E_∞ -page for $S_{C_2}^0$ in coweight 7




C₂-EQUIVARIANT STABLE STEMS


FIGURE 14.6. The exact sequence $\text{coker } \rho \hookrightarrow \pi_*^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow \ker \rho$
 The cofiber ρ sequence in coweight 0




The cofiber ρ sequence in coweight 1




The cofiber ρ sequence in coweight 2




The cofiber ρ sequence in coweight 5



The cofiber ρ sequence in coweight 6



The cofiber ρ sequence in coweight 7



REFERENCES

- [AI] Shôrô Araki and Kouyemon Iriye, *Equivariant stable homotopy groups of spheres with involutions. I*, Osaka Math. J. **19** (1982), no. 1, 1–55. MR656233
- [Ba] William Balderrama, *The C_2 -equivariant $K(1)$ -local sphere*, posted on 25 March 2021, DOI [10.48550/arXiv.2103.13895](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2103.13895).
- [B] Mark Behrens, *Some root invariants at the prime 2*, Proceedings of the Nishida Fest (Kinosaki 2003), Geom. Topol. Monogr., vol. 10, Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2007, pp. 1–40, DOI [10.2140/gtm.2007.10.1](https://doi.org/10.2140/gtm.2007.10.1). MR2402775
- [BS] Mark Behrens and Jay Shah, *C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy from real motivic stable homotopy*, Ann. K-Theory **5** (2020), no. 3, 411–464, DOI [10.2140/akt.2020.5.411](https://doi.org/10.2140/akt.2020.5.411). MR4132743
- [BGI] Eva Belmont, Bertrand J. Guillou, and Daniel C. Isaksen, *C_2 -equivariant and \mathbb{R} -motivic stable stems II*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **149** (2021), no. 1, 53–61, DOI [10.1090/proc/15167](https://doi.org/10.1090/proc/15167). MR4172585
- [BI] Eva Belmont and Daniel C. Isaksen, *\mathbb{R} -motivic stable stems*, J. Topol. **15** (2022), no. 4, 1755–1793, DOI [10.1112/topo.12256](https://doi.org/10.1112/topo.12256). MR4461846
- [BIK] Eva Belmont, Daniel C. Isaksen, and Hana Jia Kong, *\mathbb{R} -motivic v_1 -periodic homotopy*, posted on 12 April 2022, DOI [10.48550/arXiv.2204.05937](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2204.05937).
- [BK] Eva Belmont and Hana Jia Kong, *A Toda bracket convergence theorem for multiplicative spectral sequences*, posted on 16 December 2021, DOI [10.48550/arXiv.2112.08689](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2112.08689).
- [Br1] Glen E. Bredon, *Equivariant stable stems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 269–273, DOI [10.1090/S0002-9904-1967-11713-0](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9904-1967-11713-0). MR206947
- [Br2] ———, *Equivariant homotopy*, Proc. Conf. on Transformation Groups (New Orleans, La., 1967), Springer, New York, 1968, pp. 281–292. MR0250303
- [BG] Robert Bruner and John Greenlees, *The Bredon-Löffler conjecture*, Experiment. Math. **4** (1995), no. 4, 289–297. MR1387694
- [DI1] Daniel Dugger and Daniel C. Isaksen, *Low-dimensional Milnor-Witt stems over \mathbb{R}* , Ann. K-Theory **2** (2017), no. 2, 175–210, DOI [10.2140/akt.2017.2.175](https://doi.org/10.2140/akt.2017.2.175). MR3590344
- [DI2] ———, *$\mathbb{Z}/2$ -equivariant and \mathbb{R} -motivic stable stems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **145** (2017), no. 8, 3617–3627, DOI [10.1090/proc/13505](https://doi.org/10.1090/proc/13505). MR3652813
- [GHIR] Bertrand J. Guillou, Michael A. Hill, Daniel C. Isaksen, and Douglas Conner Ravenel, *The cohomology of C_2 -equivariant $\mathcal{A}(1)$ and the homotopy of ko_{C_2}* , Tunis. J. Math. **2** (2020), no. 3, 567–632, DOI [10.2140/tunis.2020.2.567](https://doi.org/10.2140/tunis.2020.2.567). MR4041284
- [GI1] Bertrand J. Guillou and Daniel C. Isaksen, *The η -inverted \mathbb{R} -motivic sphere*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **16** (2016), no. 5, 3005–3027, DOI [10.2140/agt.2016.16.3005](https://doi.org/10.2140/agt.2016.16.3005). MR3572357
- [GI2] ———, *The Bredon-Landweber region in C_2 -equivariant stable homotopy groups*, Doc. Math. **25** (2020), 1865–1880. MR4184454
- [HHR] M. A. Hill, M. J. Hopkins, and D. C. Ravenel, *On the nonexistence of elements of Kervaire invariant one*, Ann. of Math. (2) **184** (2016), no. 1, 1–262, DOI [10.4007/annals.2016.184.1.1](https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2016.184.1.1). MR3505179
- [HK] Po Hu and Igor Kriz, *Real-oriented homotopy theory and an analogue of the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence*, Topology **40** (2001), no. 2, 317–399, DOI [10.1016/S0040-9383\(99\)00065-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-9383(99)00065-8). MR1808224
- [HKSZ] Po Hu, Igor Kriz, Petr Somberg, and Foling Zou, *The \mathbb{Z}/p -equivariant dual Steenrod algebra for an odd prime p* , posted on 26 May 2022, DOI [10.48550/arXiv.2205.13427](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2205.13427).
- [Ir] Kouyemon Iriye, *Equivariant stable homotopy groups of spheres with involutions. II*, Osaka J. Math. **19** (1982), no. 4, 733–743. MR687770
- [Is] Daniel C. Isaksen, *Stable stems*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **262** (2019), no. 1269, viii+159, DOI [10.1090/memo/1269](https://doi.org/10.1090/memo/1269). MR4046815
- [IWX] Daniel C. Isaksen, Guozhen Wang, and Zhouli Xu, *Stable homotopy groups of spheres: from dimension 0 to 90*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **137** (2023), 107–243, DOI [10.1007/s10240-023-00139-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10240-023-00139-1). MR4588596
- [L] Peter S. Landweber, *On equivariant maps between spheres with involutions*, Ann. of Math. (2) **89** (1969), 125–137, DOI [10.2307/1970812](https://doi.org/10.2307/1970812). MR238313
- [Ma] Sihao Ma, *The Borel and Genuine C_2 -equivariant Adams Spectral Sequences*, posted on 26 August 2022, DOI [10.48550/arXiv.2208.12883](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2208.12883).

- [MR] Mark E. Mahowald and Douglas C. Ravenel, *The root invariant in homotopy theory*, *Topology* **32** (1993), no. 4, 865–898, DOI 10.1016/0040-9383(93)90055-Z. MR1241877
- [MM] M. A. Mandell and J. P. May, *Equivariant orthogonal spectra and S-modules*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **159** (2002), no. 755, x+108, DOI 10.1090/memo/0755. MR1922205
- [MC] Clover May, *A structure theorem for RO(C₂)-graded Bredon cohomology*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **20** (2020), no. 4, 1691–1728, DOI 10.2140/agt.2020.20.1691. MR4127082
- [MJP] J. Peter May, *Matric Massey products*, *J. Algebra* **12** (1969), 533–568, DOI 10.1016/0021-8693(69)90027-1. MR238929
- [Mor] Fabien Morel, *On the motivic π_0 of the sphere spectrum*, *Axiomatic, enriched and motivic homotopy theory*, NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem., vol. 131, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2004, pp. 219–260, DOI 10.1007/978-94-007-0948-5_7. MR2061856
- [Mo] R. Michael F. Moss, *Secondary compositions and the Adams spectral sequence*, *Math. Z.* **115** (1970), 283–310, DOI 10.1007/BF01129978. MR266216
- [SW] Krishanu Sankar and Dylan Wilson, *On the C_p-equivariant dual Steenrod algebra*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **150** (2022), no. 8, 3635–3647, DOI 10.1090/proc/15846. MR4439482
- [S] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Groupes d'homotopie et classes de groupes abéliens*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **58** (1953), 258–294, DOI 10.2307/1969789 (French). MR59548

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY, LEXINGTON, KY 40506, USA

Email address: bertguillou@uky.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY, DETROIT, MI 48202, USA

Email address: isaksen@wayne.edu