

*Dedicated to the memory of Anatoly Vershik*

# INVERSE LIMIT METHOD FOR GENERALIZED BRATTELI DIAGRAMS AND INVARIANT MEASURES

SERGEY BEZUGLYI, OLENA KARPEL, JAN KWIATKOWSKI, AND MARCIN WATA

**ABSTRACT.** Generalized Bratteli diagrams with a countable set of vertices in every level are models for aperiodic Borel automorphisms. This paper is devoted to the description of all ergodic probability tail invariant measures on the path spaces of generalized Bratteli diagrams. Such measures can be identified with inverse limits of infinite-dimensional simplices associated with levels in generalized Bratteli diagrams. Though this method is general, we apply it to several classes of reducible generalized Bratteli diagrams. In particular, we explicitly describe all ergodic tail invariant probability measures for (i) the infinite Pascal graph and give the formulas for the values of such measures on cylinder sets, (ii) generalized Bratteli diagrams formed by a countable set of odometers, (iii) reducible generalized Bratteli diagrams with uncountable set of ergodic tail invariant probability measures. We also consider the method of measure extension by tail invariance from subdiagrams. We discuss the properties of the Vershik map defined on reducible generalized Bratteli diagrams.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Preliminaries on convex sets and invariant measures	7
2.1. Infinite-dimensional convex sets	7
2.2. Convex sets generated by a sequence of vectors	9
2.3. Continuity of matrix maps	11
3. Generalized Bratteli diagrams. Overview	12
3.1. Main definitions	13
3.2. Tail invariant measures	14
3.3. Vershik map	15
3.4. Classes of generalized Bratteli diagrams	17
3.5. Subdiagrams and measure extension	17
4. Tail invariant measures and inverse limits	20
4.1. Inverse limits define tail invariant measures	20
4.2. Finite products of matrices $F_n$	22
5. Infinite Pascal-Bratteli diagram	26
5.1. $\mathbb{N}$ -infinite Pascal-Bratteli diagram	27
5.2. $\mathbb{Z}$ -Infinite Pascal-Bratteli diagram	33
5.3. Multi-dimensional finite Pascal-Bratteli diagrams	35
5.4. Subdiagrams of the Pascal-Bratteli diagrams	37

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37A05, 37B05, 37A40, 54H05, 05C60.

*Key words and phrases.* Borel dynamical systems, Pascal graph, Bratteli-Vershik model, tail invariant measures .

6. A class of Bratteli diagrams of bounded size	37
6.1. Bratteli diagram of uniformly bounded size	37
6.2. Generalized Bratteli diagram of uniformly bounded size	40
7. Examples	41
7.1. Bratteli diagrams and substitutions	41
7.2. Reducible Bratteli diagrams with infinitely many odometers	43
8. Uncountably many ergodic probability tail invariant measures	47
8.1. Triangular generalized Bratteli diagram $B_\infty$	47
8.2. Subdiagrams of $B_\infty$	54
8.3. Values of the measures $\mu_a$ on subdiagrams $B(W, k)$	56
8.4. Tail invariant probability measures on the subdiagram $B(W, k)$	60
9. Generalized Bratteli-Vershik systems	66
9.1. Vershik maps on infinite Pascal-Bratteli diagrams	67
9.2. The Vershik map on the generalized Bratteli diagram $B_\infty$	71
References	73

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**Motivation and main results.** This paper is focused on the study of Borel dynamical systems that are realized on the path space of *generalized Bratteli diagrams*. The notion of a generalized Bratteli diagram is a natural extension of the notion of a standard Bratteli diagram: we consider the Bratteli diagrams in which each level consists of infinitely countably many vertices. The structure of such diagrams is completely determined by a sequence of countably infinite incidence matrices.

Because we refer to the notion of a Bratteli diagram practically in every paragraph, we give here a very concise definition of this object. A *Bratteli diagram* is a countable graph  $B = (V, E)$  whose vertices  $V$  and edges  $E$  are partitioned into subsets  $V = \bigcup_n V_n$ ,  $E = \bigcup_n E_n$ , where every  $V_n$  is finite (for a standard diagram) or countably infinite (for a generalized Bratteli diagram) and  $E_n$  is the set of edges connecting vertices of levels  $V_n$  and  $V_{n+1}$ . It is required that the set of incoming edges is finite for every vertex. The set  $E_n$  determines the *incidence matrix*  $F_n = (f_{vw}^{(n)})$  where  $f_{vw}^{(n)}$  is the number of edges connecting  $v \in V_{n+1}$  and  $w \in V_n$ . For every diagram  $B$ , we consider the path space  $X_B$  that is formed by infinite sequences of concatenating edges. Two infinite paths are called *tail equivalent* if they coincide below some level. This defines the *tail equivalence relation*  $\mathcal{R}$ , a dynamical system on  $X_B$ . The question about the existence and description of all  $\mathcal{R}$ -invariant measures is one of the most important problems of the theory of Bratteli diagrams. More detailed definitions and facts related to generalized Bratteli diagrams can be found in Section 3.

Discrete combinatorial structures have been used in ergodic theory for many decades, e.g. in the papers [Kat03], [Kri76], [Ver81], [Ver82], and others. Such structures are useful, in particular, for the construction of various approximations of a transformation. Bratteli diagrams became a key tool in dynamics after the paper [HPS92] and the following series of papers [GPS95], [GPS04], [GMPS08], [GMPS10], [DHS99].

Bratteli diagrams' role in *Cantor and Borel dynamics* is crucial. The reason is that every homeomorphism of a Cantor set (and every aperiodic automorphism of a standard Borel space) can be realized as an *adic* transformation, called the *Vershik map*, acting on the path space of a standard Bratteli diagram (generalized Bratteli diagram, respectively). This means that all properties of a Cantor or Borel dynamical system  $(X, T)$  can be seen on a corresponding Bratteli diagram. In particular, tail invariant measures on a Bratteli diagram are exactly the  $T$ -invariant measures. In this connection, we refer to the papers [HPS92], [Med06], [DK19], [Shi20], [BDK06] where these results and numerous applications have been proved. The reader can find a comprehensive exposition of this subject also in the recent books [Put18], [DP22] and surveys [Dur10], [BK16]. The existing literature on Bratteli diagrams, corresponding to dynamical systems, invariant path-space measures, and other areas used in the paper is very extensive. We refer, in particular, to [Bra72], [Kec95], [Nad95], [Kit98], [Ver81] and other fundamental works cited below in the text where the reader can see the basic ideas and methods.

The idea to work with a realization of a transformation on the path space of a Bratteli diagram has proved to be very useful and productive. This approach allowed one to classify minimal homeomorphisms of a Cantor set up to orbit equivalence [GPS95], [GW95]. Furthermore, it turns out that the structure of a Bratteli diagram makes it possible to see distinctly several important invariants of a transformation. They are, in particular, the set of minimal components, the support of every ergodic measure  $\mu$ , the values of the measure  $\mu$  on clopen sets, etc. As was mentioned above, Bratteli diagrams can be studied independently of their relations to transformations defined on a Cantor or Borel space if we use the tail equivalence relation as a prototype of a dynamical system. In fact, the class of such dynamical systems is wider than that generated by transformations because there are Bratteli diagrams that do not support continuous Vershik maps, see [Med06], [BKY14]. We do not know whether there are generalized Bratteli diagrams that do not support a Borel Vershik map.

The main theme of this paper is an explicit or algorithmic description of probability tail invariant measures on the path space of generalized Bratteli diagrams. This work was initiated in [BJKS23] where we were mostly interested in irreducible generalized Bratteli diagrams. The current paper contains several intriguing examples of reducible Bratteli diagrams with uncountably many probability ergodic measures. We discuss in detail the method based on the study of inverse limits of infinite-dimensional simplices and show how probability measures can be found by this method. Then we apply this approach to some classes of generalized Bratteli diagrams and find all ergodic tail invariant probability measures. In particular, we explicitly describe all ergodic tail invariant probability measures for (i) the infinite Pascal graph and give the formulas for the values of such measures on cylinder sets; (ii) generalized Bratteli diagrams formed by a countable set of odometers; (iii) reducible generalized Bratteli diagrams with uncountable set of ergodic tail invariant probability measures. Two other topics, traditional for the study of dynamics on a Bratteli diagram, are considered in the paper. They are: (a) the properties of the corresponding Vershik maps and (b) the measure extensions from subdiagrams.

**Generalized Bratteli diagrams.** Why do we need generalized Bratteli diagrams with countable levels? The following result explains one obvious reason to study such diagrams. In [BDK06], it is proved that every aperiodic Borel automorphism of an uncountable standard Borel space admits a realization as a Vershik map on the path space of a *generalized Bratteli diagram*. A recent result in this direction was obtained in [BJS24], where the authors proved that there is a wide class of substitution dynamical systems on countable alphabets that can be realized as Vershik maps acting on stationary generalized Bratteli diagrams. We also refer to related recent works [MnRW22], [Man23], [FGMn22] where substitutions are considered on a compact alphabet. Among other possible applications of generalized Bratteli diagrams, we can mention Markov chains with countable sets of states, random walks, iterated function systems [BJ22b], harmonic analysis on the path space of generalized Bratteli diagrams [BJ21], etc.

It is worth noting that the difference between standard and generalized Bratteli diagrams is essential. Even though their definitions are very similar, these two classes of diagrams represent different kinds of dynamics: Cantor dynamics for standard diagrams and Borel dynamics for generalized Bratteli diagrams. In particular, the path space of a standard Bratteli diagram is compact and, for a generalized diagram, it is a zero-dimensional Polish space (non-locally compact, in general). This difference lies in the base of various phenomena that distinguish the corresponding dynamical systems. For example, there are generalized Bratteli diagrams that do not admit probability tail invariant measures. There are stationary generalized Bratteli diagrams with uncountably many ergodic invariant probability measures. Also, we note that the Vershik map cannot be made continuous for a class of generalized Bratteli diagrams. More results of this kind can be found in [BJKS23] and in the present paper.

Our main results are related to finding ergodic tail invariant measures on the path space  $X_B$  of a generalized Bratteli diagram  $B$ . These measures can also be viewed as ergodic measures invariant for the corresponding Vershik maps. Remembering that every aperiodic Borel automorphism can be represented as a Vershik map, our results are about ergodic invariant measures of aperiodic Borel automorphisms of standard Borel spaces.

This circle of problems is traditional for the study of dynamical systems. There are many well-developed methods in this direction and impressive achievements. In particular, for a stationary Bratteli diagram (standard or generalized) one can use the Perron-Frobenius theory to define a tail invariant measure, see [BKMS10] for the standard case and [BJKS23] for generalized diagrams. Another approach is based on the measure extension procedure from a subdiagram [BKK15], [ABKK17], [BKK24]. If  $\overline{B}$  is a subdiagram of a Bratteli diagram  $B$  and  $\nu$  is a probability measure on the path space  $X_{\overline{B}}$ , then  $\nu$  can be extended to a measure  $\widehat{\nu}$  (finite or infinite) supported by  $\mathcal{R}(X_{\overline{B}})$ , the smallest tail invariant set containing  $X_{\overline{B}}$ .

A substantial part of our paper is devoted to the study of ergodic probability tail invariant measures on the *infinite Pascal graph*  $B = (V, E)$  and the Vershik map for some natural orders on  $B$ . The Pascal graph is one of the most popular graphs related to dynamical systems. It has been extensively studied in the context of Cantor dynamics,

see the references in Section 5. We quote [Ver11]: “Transformations generated by classical graded graphs, such as the ordinary and multidimensional Pascal graphs, the Young graph, the graph of walks in Weyl chambers, etc., provide examples of combinatorial origin of the new, very interesting class of adic transformations.”

We consider the infinite Pascal graph where the  $n$ -th level is formed by the vertices  $\bar{s}$ :

$$V_n = \{\bar{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots) : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s_i = n, s_i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

The set  $E_n$  of edges between the vertices of levels  $V_n$  and  $V_{n+1}$  is determined by the following property: for  $\bar{s} \in V_n$ ,  $\bar{t} \in V_{n+1}$ , the set  $E(\bar{s}, \bar{t})$  of edges between  $\bar{s}$  and  $\bar{t}$  consists of exactly one edge if and only if  $\bar{t} = \bar{s} + \bar{e}^{(i)}$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  where  $\{\bar{e}^{(i)} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is the standard basis.

Another class of generalized Bratteli diagrams in our focus is the class of reducible diagrams whose incidence matrices are triangular. Even for stationary Bratteli diagrams, such diagrams have remarkable properties; we study them in our paper.

**Inverse limit method.** In this paper, we consider and systematically apply a new approach that is based on identifying measures with inverse limits. We consider in this article a method (called the “inverse limit method”) that gives the possibility to describe the set of all invariant probability measures of a generalized Bratteli diagram. This method is a non-trivial generalization of a similar approach developed for standard Bratteli diagrams. In [BKMS10], we proved that, for a classical Bratteli diagram with the sequence of incidence stochastic matrices  $(F_n)$ , every tail invariant measure  $\mu$  was completely determined by a sequence of non-negative probability vectors  $(\bar{q}^{(n)})$  such that  $F_n^T \bar{q}^{(n+1)} = \bar{q}^{(n)}$  for all  $n \geq 1$  ( $F_n^T$  is the transpose matrix). In other words, we prove that the set  $M_1(\mathcal{R})$  of all probability tail invariant measures on  $X_B$  is identified with the inverse limit of the sets  $(\Delta_1^{(n)}, F_n^T)$ :

$$M_1(\mathcal{R}) = \varprojlim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Delta_1^{(n)}, F_n^T)$$

where  $\Delta_1^{(n)}$  is the finite-dimensional simplex indexed by the vertices of the  $n$ -th level.

If we apply a similar approach to generalized Bratteli diagrams, then we come across the following difficulties. Firstly, the infinite-dimensional simplex  $\Delta_1^{(n)}$  is not closed so we should work with the set  $\Delta^{(n)} = \{\bar{x} = \langle x_i \rangle : \sum_{i \in V_n} x_i \leq 1\}$ . Secondly, when we consider the intersection of the convex sets  $\Delta^{(n, \infty)} = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \Delta^{(n, m)}$ , it can be empty where  $\Delta^{(n, m)} := G^{(n, m)^T}(\Delta^{(n+m)})$  and  $G^{(n, m)^T} = F_n^T \cdot \dots \cdot F_{n+m-1}^T$ .

To avoid these obstacles, we take  $cl(\Delta^{(n, m)})$ , the closure of the set  $\Delta^{(n, m)}$  in  $\Delta^{(n)}$ . Since  $cl(\Delta^{(n, m)}) \supset cl(\Delta^{(n, m+1)})$ , we get the closed nonempty set

$$\Delta^{(n, \infty, cl)} := \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} cl(\Delta^{(n, m)}), n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In general, the sequence  $\{(\Delta^{(n, \infty, cl)}, F_n^T)\}$  does not form the inverse limit. But if there exists a sequence of probability vectors  $\{\bar{q}^{(n)}\}$ , where  $\bar{q}^{(n)} \in \Delta^{(n, \infty, cl)}$ , such that  $F_n^T(\bar{q}^{(n+1)}) = \bar{q}^{(n)}$  for all  $n$ , then this sequence produces a probability tail invariant measure.

The next problem is to describe the elements of the set  $\Delta^{(n,\infty,cl)}$ . We prove that

$$\Delta^{(n,\infty,cl)} = \left\{ \int_{L^{(n)}} \bar{z} d\mu(\bar{z}) : \mu \in M_1(L^{(n)}) \right\},$$

where

$$L^{(n)} = \{ \bar{x} \in \Delta^{(n)} : \bar{x} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{g}_{v_m}, v_m \in V_{n+m} \}, \quad \bar{g}_v = G^{(n,m)}{}^T(\bar{e}_v^{(n+m)}).$$

Finally, we show how all vectors from  $L^{(n)}$  can be found explicitly.

In this paper, we apply the inverse limit method to several classes of generalized Bratteli diagrams.

**More about tail invariant measures.** Discussing the methods of finding tail invariant measures (finite or infinite) on a generalized Bratteli diagram, we should mention two other approaches to this problem apart from the inverse limit method.

The first approach is based on the Perron-Frobenius theory. For a stationary Bratteli diagram with the incidence matrix  $F$  (finite or infinite), we find the Perron eigenvalue  $\lambda$  and a positive eigenvector  $\xi$  satisfying  $F^T \xi = \lambda \xi$ . Then this data allows one to determine a tail invariant measure  $\mu$  on a cylinder set  $[\bar{e}]$  corresponding to a finite path ending at a vertex  $v \in V_n$  by setting  $\mu([\bar{e}]) = \lambda^{-n} \xi_v$ . The measure  $\mu$  can be finite or infinite depending on the summability of the entries of the vector  $\xi$ . This method works only for diagrams with finite Perron eigenvalue and is not universal (though very useful), see [BKMS10], and [BJ22a], and [BKJS23] where the reader can find numerous applications. The case of reducible stationary Bratteli diagrams is not covered by the discussed method.

Another method to construct a tail invariant measure is based on the procedure called *measure extension* from a subdiagram. Let  $\bar{B}$  be a subdiagram of a generalized Bratteli diagram  $B$ . Take a probability tail invariant measure  $\nu$  on the path space  $X_{\bar{B}}$  of the subdiagram  $\bar{B}$ . Consider the set  $\widehat{X}_{\bar{B}} = \mathcal{R}(X_{\bar{B}})$ , the smallest tail invariant set containing  $X_{\bar{B}}$ . Extend the measure  $\nu$  by tail invariance to the set  $\mathcal{R}(X_{\bar{B}})$ . We obtain in such a way a new tail invariant measure  $\widehat{\nu}$  on the diagram  $B$ . The main difficulty consists of finding out whether the extended measure  $\widehat{\nu}$  is finite or infinite. This method works very well for many classes of diagrams, see [BKMS13], [ABKK17], [BKK24], and the results of this paper below.

**Outline of the paper.** We describe our main results and outline of the paper. In Section 2, we consider infinite-dimensional simplices and prove some facts about their extreme points in the spirit of Krein-Milman theory. These results will be used in the next sections. Section 3 contains the basic definitions of the objects related to (generalized) Bratteli diagrams. Among them, we mention, first of all, the notions of tail invariant measures, the Vershik map, stationary and bounded size Bratteli diagrams, vertex and edge subdiagrams, and measure extension from a subdiagram. The definitions and results from this section are used in the other sections. Section 4 contains the details of the inverse limit method that is briefly described above. The second part of the paper, Sections 5 - 8, are devoted to some applications of the inverse limit method to several classes of generalized Bratteli diagrams. For these classes, we completely describe the set of ergodic tail invariant probability measures. We note that we focus

mostly on examples of reducible generalized Bratteli diagrams. In Section 5, the infinite Pascal graph whose vertices are indexed either by  $\mathbb{N}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}$  is studied. We show that there are uncountably many ergodic tail invariant probability measures on the infinite Pascal graph and give explicit formulas for the values of such measures on cylinder sets. In Section 6, we deal with a bounded size Bratteli diagram (the incidence matrices are banded matrices). In particular, we consider a generalized Bratteli diagram  $B_k$  with the entries of incidence matrices defined by the formula:

$$f_{vw}^{(n)} = \begin{cases} 1, & |v - w| \leq k \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad w \in V_n, v \in V_{n+1},$$

and prove that there is no probability tail invariant measure on the diagram  $B_k$ . More examples are given in Section 7. There we discuss the connections of our results about ergodic measures with substitution dynamical systems on a countable alphabet and with the diagram obtained as the union of infinitely many odometers as subdiagrams, each of the odometers is connected with its right neighbor. For this class of diagrams, we give a criterion for the existence of probability tail invariant measures and describe the set of all ergodic measures explicitly. We show that all ergodic probability tail invariant measures are extensions of measures sitting on odometers. Section 8 is devoted to an amazing example of a “triangular” generalized stationary Bratteli diagram  $B_\infty$  and its subdiagrams. The incidence matrix  $F$  of  $B_\infty$  is the 0-1 low-triangular matrix whose non-zero entries equal 1. This diagram has amazing properties and unexpected connections with other notions like completely monotonic sequences and contains interesting subdiagrams. We prove that the diagram  $B_\infty$  supports uncountably many ergodic probability tail invariant measures and describe them explicitly. We also consider triangular standard Bratteli subdiagrams and find their internal tail invariant probability measures. The final Section 9 discusses the Vershik map on the infinite Pascal graph and the diagram  $B_\infty$  with respect to some natural orders.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES ON CONVEX SETS AND INVARIANT MEASURES

In this section, we discuss some known and new facts about the structure of convex sets generated by infinite-dimensional positive vectors. These results will be used below in our study of tail invariant measures on the path space of a generalized Bratteli diagram.

**2.1. Infinite-dimensional convex sets.** We use the standard notation  $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  for the sets of numbers,  $\mathbb{R}_+$  denotes non-negative reals. Let  $V$  be an infinite countable set. We prefer to work with  $V$  (not  $\mathbb{N}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) because the Bratteli diagrams considered in this paper have to have vertices enumerated by countable sets of a rather complicated structure. Nevertheless, we will need to use a bijection  $a : V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  that identifies the elements of  $V$  with natural numbers. This function  $a(v)$  is chosen and fixed for this paper.

Let  $\mathbb{R}^V$  be a linear space of infinite vectors  $\bar{x} = \langle x_v \in \mathbb{R} : v \in V \rangle$ . With some abuse of terminology, we will use the word “sequence” considering the element  $\bar{x}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^V$ .



Let  $I = [0, 1]$ . Consider the subset  $I^V$  of  $\mathbb{R}^V$ , that is

$$I^V = \{\bar{x} = \langle x_v \rangle \in \mathbb{R}^V : 0 \leq x_v \leq 1\}.$$

For  $\bar{x} \in I^V$ , define

$$(2.1) \quad |\bar{x}| = \sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{2^{a(v)}} |x_v|$$

and set

$$(2.2) \quad d(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) := |\bar{x} - \bar{y}| = \sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{2^{a(v)}} |x_v - y_v|,$$

where  $\bar{x} = \langle x_v \rangle$  and  $\bar{y} = \langle y_v \rangle$  are in  $I^V$ . Then  $(I^V, d)$  is a compact metric space.

We remark that a sequence  $\{\bar{x}^{(k)}\} = \{\langle x_v^{(k)} \rangle\}$  converges to a vector  $\bar{x} = \langle x_v \rangle$  if and only if  $x_v^{(k)} \rightarrow x_v$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for every  $v \in V$ .

The set  $I^V$  contains vectors  $\bar{e}^{(u)}$ ,  $u \in V$ , such that  $\bar{e}^{(u)} = \langle e_v^{(u)} \rangle$ ,  $e_v^{(u)} = 1$  if  $v = u$  and  $e_v^{(u)} = 0$  if  $v \neq u$ . Then, for any  $\bar{x} \in I^V$ , we can write

$$\bar{x} = \sum_{v \in V} x_v \bar{e}^{(v)}.$$

For a vector  $\bar{x} = \langle x_v : v \in V \rangle \in I^V$ , we define the vectors  $\bar{x}^{[s]}$ ,  $s = 1, 2, \dots$  as follows:

$$\bar{x}^{[s]} = \langle x_v^{[s]} \rangle, \text{ where } x_v^{[s]} = x_v \text{ if } a(v) \leq s \text{ and } x_v^{[s]} = 0 \text{ if } a(v) > s.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\{\alpha_k : k \in K\}$  be a sequence of non-negative numbers such that  $\sum_{k \in K} \alpha_k = 1$ , where  $K$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then, for  $\bar{x}^{(k)}, \bar{y}^{(k)} \in I^V$ , the following inequality holds:*

$$(2.3) \quad d\left(\sum_{k \in K} \alpha_k \bar{x}^{(k)}, \sum_{k \in K} \alpha_k \bar{y}^{(k)}\right) \leq \sum_{k \in K} \alpha_k d(\bar{x}^{(k)}, \bar{y}^{(k)}).$$

*Proof.* Straightforward. □

Define the subsets  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta_a$  of  $I^V$ ,  $0 \leq a \leq 1$ , where

$$\Delta = \{\bar{x} = \langle x_v \rangle : \sum_{v \in V} x_v \leq 1\}, \quad \Delta_a = \{\bar{x} = \langle x_v \rangle : \sum_{v \in V} x_v = a\}.$$

Then  $\Delta = \bigcup_{0 \leq a \leq 1} \Delta_a$ , the sets  $\Delta_a$  and  $\Delta$  are convex, and  $\Delta$  is a closed subset of  $I^V$ .

We will use the following abbreviations: the symbols “cl”, “conv”, and “ext” denote the “closure”, “convex hull”, and “extreme points” of a set, respectively.

**Lemma 2.2.** (1)  $\Delta = \text{cl}[\text{conv}(\text{ext } \Delta)]$ .

(2)  $\Delta_a$  is dense in  $\bigcup_{0 \leq b \leq a} \Delta_b$  for any  $0 < a \leq 1$ . In particular,  $\text{cl}(\Delta_1) = \Delta$ .

*Proof.* (1) This is the Krein-Milman theorem.

For (2), take  $\bar{x} = \langle x_v \rangle \in \Delta_b$ , i.e.,  $\sum_{v \in V} x_v = b < a$  and define  $\bar{x}^{(k)} = \langle x_v^{(k)} \rangle$  such that  $x_v^{(k)} = x_v$  if  $a(v) \leq k$  and  $x_v^{(k)} = y_v^{(k)}$  if  $a(v) > k$ , where

$$\sum_{a(v) > k} y_v^{(k)} = a - \sum_{a(v) \leq k} x_v,$$



and  $y_v^{(k)} \geq 0$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $\bar{x}^{(k)} \in \Delta_a$  for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Moreover,

$$d(\bar{x}^{(k)}, \bar{x}) = \sum_{a(v) > k} \frac{1}{2^{a(v)}} |x_v - y_v^{(k)}| \leq \sum_{a(v) > k} \frac{1}{2^{a(v)}} = \frac{1}{2^k} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . □

**2.2. Convex sets generated by a sequence of vectors.** Let  $\{\bar{b}^{(i)} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a sequence of vectors from the set  $\Delta_1$ . Denote by  $\Delta(\{\bar{b}^{(i)}\})$  the smallest convex and closed subset of  $\Delta$  that contains all vectors  $\bar{b}^{(1)}, \bar{b}^{(2)}, \bar{b}^{(3)}, \dots$ . We also define by  $C = C(\{\bar{b}^{(i)}\})$  the set of all infinite convex combinations of the vectors  $\{\bar{b}^{(i)}\}$ , that is

$$C = \{\bar{x}_u = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k \bar{b}^{(k)} : u_k \geq 0, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k = 1\}.$$

**Proposition 2.3.** *The set  $C(\{\bar{b}^{(i)}\})$  is a dense subset of  $\Delta(\{\bar{b}^{(i)}\})$ .*

*Proof.* To prove this result we need a formula for the distance between any two vectors from  $C = C(\{\bar{b}^{(i)}\})$ .

**Claim 2.4.** *Let  $\bar{x}_u = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k \bar{b}^{(k)}$  and  $\bar{x}_w = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_k \bar{b}^{(k)}$  be two vectors from the set  $C$ . Then*

$$(2.4) \quad d(\bar{x}_u, \bar{x}_w) = \sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{2^{a(v)}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (u_k - w_k) b_v^{(k)} \right|.$$

*Proof of Claim 2.4.* Indeed, every vector  $\bar{x}_u$  from  $C$  can be represented as follows:

$$\bar{x}_u = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k \bar{b}^{(k)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k \sum_{v \in V} [b_v^{(k)} \bar{e}^{(v)}] = \sum_{v \in V} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k b_v^{(k)} \right] \bar{e}^{(v)}.$$

A similar formula holds for  $\bar{x}_w$ . Hence, applying (2.2), we obtain (2.4). □

We show now that

$$(2.5) \quad C(\{\bar{b}^{(i)}\}) \subset \Delta(\{\bar{b}^{(i)}\}).$$

This inclusion can be deduced also from Theorem 2.8.

It is clear, that any vector  $\bar{x}_u = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k \bar{b}^{(k)}$  such that only finitely many  $u_k$ 's are non-zero is automatically in  $\Delta(\{\bar{b}^{(k)}\})$ .

Suppose that  $\bar{x}_u$  is such that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k = 1$  and  $u_k > 0$  for infinitely many  $k$ . Define the vectors

$$\bar{x}_u^{(l)} = \sum_{k \leq l} \frac{u_k}{s(l)} \bar{b}^{(k)}, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $s(l) = \sum_{k \leq l} u_k$ . Using (2.4), we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(\bar{x}_u^{(l)}, \bar{x}_u) &= \sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{2^{a(v)}} \left| \left( \sum_{k \leq l} \left( \frac{1}{s(l)} - 1 \right) u_k b_v^{(k)} - \sum_{k > l} u_k b_v^{(k)} \right) \right| \\
 &\leq \left( \frac{1}{s(l)} - 1 \right) \sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{2^{a(v)}} \left| \sum_{k \leq l} u_k b_v^{(k)} \right| + \sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{2^{a(v)}} \left| \sum_{k > l} u_k b_v^{(k)} \right| \\
 &\leq \left( \frac{1}{s(l)} - 1 \right) \sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{2^{a(v)}} \sum_{k \leq l} u_k + \sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{2^{a(v)}} \sum_{k > l} u_k \\
 &\leq \left( \frac{1}{s(l)} - 1 \right) + \sum_{k > l} u_k \longrightarrow 0,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.6}$$

as  $l \rightarrow \infty$ .

Because  $\bar{x}_u^{(l)} \in \Delta(\{\bar{b}^{(k)}\})$  and this set is closed, we conclude that  $\bar{x}_u \in \Delta(\{\bar{b}^{(k)}\})$ . This proves (2.5). The fact that  $C(\{\bar{b}^{(i)}\})$  is dense in  $\Delta(\{\bar{b}^{(i)}\})$  is obvious.  $\square$

*Remark 2.5.* Denote by  $cl(\{\bar{b}^{(k)}\})$  the closure of the set  $\{\bar{b}^{(k)}\}$  in  $\Delta$ . It is obvious that

$$cl(\{\bar{b}^{(k)}\}) \subset \Delta(\{\bar{b}^{(k)}\}).$$

The set  $\Delta(\{\bar{b}^{(k)}\})$  is closed and convex. By the Krein-Milman theorem, it is the closure of the convex hull of its extreme points.

We recall the following well-known fact, see e.g. [Die84].

**Theorem 2.6.** [Die84, Theorem 2, p. 149] *Let  $Y$  be a real locally convex Hausdorff linear topological space and  $K = cl(conv(Z))$  be a closed convex hull of a set  $Z \subset Y$ . For  $Z$  compact,  $x \in K$  if and only if there exists a regular Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on  $Z$  whose barycenter exists and is  $x$ . In other words, for any continuous linear functional  $f \in Y^*$ , one has*

$$f(x) = \int_Z f(z) d\mu(z).$$

We also recall the so-called Milman's Converse of the Krein-Milman theorem:

**Theorem 2.7.** [Die84, Corollary 4, p.151]: *Let  $K$  be a compact convex subset of a real locally convex Hausdorff linear topological space  $Y$ . If  $K$  is the closed convex hull of a set  $Z$ , then every extreme point of  $K$  lies in the closure of  $Z$ .*

Applying these facts to the set  $\Delta(\{\bar{b}^{(k)}\})$ , we obtain the following results.

**Theorem 2.8.** (1) *The set  $ext(\Delta(\{\bar{b}^{(k)}\}))$  of all extreme points of  $\Delta(\{\bar{b}^{(k)}\})$  is contained in  $cl(\{\bar{b}^{(k)}\})$ .*

(2) *A vector  $\bar{x}$  belongs to  $\Delta(\{\bar{b}^{(k)}\})$  if and only if there exists a Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on  $cl(\{\bar{b}^{(k)}\})$  such that*

$$\bar{x} = \int_{cl(\{\bar{b}^{(k)}\})} \bar{z} d\mu(\bar{z})^1.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>We clarify the meaning of this formula in the proof.

*Proof.* We need to prove (2) only. We use (2.7) as a starting point. Note that the set  $\Delta(\{\bar{b}^{(k)}\})$  is also a closed convex hull of the set  $cl(\{\bar{b}^{(k)}\})$ .

Using Theorem 2.6, we see that for every  $\bar{x} \in \Delta(\{\bar{b}^{(k)}\})$  there is a regular Borel probability measure  $\mu = \mu_x$  on  $cl(\{\bar{b}^{(k)}\})$  whose barycenter exists and is  $\bar{x}$ . Therefore, we can write

$$(2.9) \quad f(\bar{x}) = \int_{cl(\{\bar{b}^{(k)}\})} f(\bar{z}) \, d\mu(\bar{z}).$$

For  $\bar{z} = \sum_{u \in V} z_u \bar{e}^{(u)} \in \Delta(\{\bar{b}^{(k)}\})$ , we consider the projection  $f_v : \bar{z} \mapsto z_v$  and substitute it into (2.9):

$$(2.10) \quad x_v = \int_{cl(\{\bar{b}^{(k)}\})} z_v \, d\mu(\bar{z}), \quad v \in V.$$

Relation (2.10) is the coordinate-wise form of (2.7). Another form of this statement is:

$$\Delta(\{\bar{b}^{(k)}\}) = \left\{ \int_{cl(\{\bar{b}^{(k)}\})} \bar{z} \, d\mu(\bar{z}) : \mu \in M_1(cl(\{\bar{b}^{(k)}\})) \right\},$$

where  $M_1(\cdot)$  is the set of all Borel probability measures. □

*Remark 2.9.* We note that the integrals considered above can be viewed as Bochner integrals for the functions  $f$  from  $cl(\{\bar{b}^{(k)}\})$  to  $B$ , where  $B$  is the Banach space generated by the vectors  $\bar{b}^{(k)}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , with the norm given in (2.1).

**2.3. Continuity of matrix maps.** We consider here special maps (*matrix maps*) between the metric spaces  $\Delta = \{\bar{x} = \langle x_v \rangle, \sum_{v \in V} x_v \leq 1, x_v \geq 0\}$  and  $\Delta' = \{\bar{y} = \langle y_w \rangle, \sum_{w \in W} y_w \leq 1, y_w \geq 0\}$ , where  $V$  and  $W$  are countably infinite sets.

Let  $F = \{f_{vw}\}$ ,  $v \in V$ ,  $w \in W$ , be an infinite matrix such that  $f_{vw} \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_{w \in W} f_{vw} = 1$  for every  $v \in V$ , and  $f_{vw} > 0$  if and only if  $w \in W_v$ , where  $W_v \subset W$  is a finite set for every  $v \in V$ . The transpose matrix  $F^T$  of  $F$  defines the map  $F^T : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta'$ :

$$F^T(\bar{x}) = \bar{y}, \quad y_w = \sum_{v \in V} f_{vw} x_v,$$

where  $\bar{x} = \langle x_v \rangle$  and  $\bar{y} = \langle y_w \rangle$ . We are interested in the continuity of the map  $F^T : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta'$  because this property plays an important role in generalized Bratteli diagrams, see Section 4.

It is easy to check that  $F^T(\Delta_a) = \Delta'_a$ , for every  $0 < a \leq 1$ . Indeed, let  $\bar{x} \in \Delta_a$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{w \in W} y_w = \sum_{w \in W} \sum_{v \in V} f_{vw} x_v = \sum_{v \in V} x_v \cdot \sum_{w \in W} f_{vw} = \sum_{v \in V} x_v = a.$$

In general, the map  $F^T$  is not continuous. We give a necessary and sufficient condition for the continuity of this map.

Let  $\bar{g}_v := \langle f_{vw} : w \in W \rangle$  be vectors of  $\Delta'_1$  determined by  $v$ -th rows of the matrix  $F$ .

**Theorem 2.10.** *The map  $F^T : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta'$  is continuous if and only if  $|\bar{g}_v| \rightarrow 0$  as  $a(v) \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Sufficiency. Take two vectors  $\bar{x} = \langle x_v \rangle$  and  $\bar{x}' = \langle x'_v \rangle$  from the metric space  $\Delta$  and set  $\bar{y} = \langle y_w \rangle = F^T(\bar{x})$ ,  $\bar{y}' = \langle y'_w \rangle = F^T(\bar{x}')$ . We recall that the functions  $a : V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  and  $a' : W \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  enumerate elements of the sets  $V$  and  $W$ . The distance  $d$  is given by the formula  $d(\bar{x}, \bar{x}') = \sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{2^{a(v)}} |x_v - x'_v|$ . We compute

$$\begin{aligned} d(\bar{y}, \bar{y}') &= \sum_{w \in W} \frac{1}{2^{a'(w)}} |y_w - y'_w| \\ &= \sum_{w \in W} \frac{1}{2^{a'(w)}} \left| \sum_{v \in V} f_{vw} x_v - \sum_{v \in V} f_{vw} x'_v \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{w \in W} \frac{1}{2^{a'(w)}} \sum_{v \in V} f_{vw} |x_v - x'_v| \\ &= \sum_{v \in V} |x_v - x'_v| \sum_{w \in W} \frac{1}{2^{a'(w)}} f_{vw} \\ &= \sum_{v \in V} |x_v - x'_v| |\bar{g}_v|. \end{aligned}$$

Take  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|\bar{g}_v| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  if  $a(v) > k_0$ , and set

$$\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left[ \sum_{a(v) \leq k_0} 2^{a(v)} |\bar{g}_v| \right]^{-1}.$$

It is obvious that the inequality  $d(\bar{x}, \bar{x}') < \delta$  implies  $|x_v - x'_v| < \delta 2^{a(v)}$  for every  $v \in V$ .

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(\bar{y}, \bar{y}') &\leq \sum_{v \in V} |x_v - x'_v| |\bar{g}_v| \\ &= \sum_{a(v) \leq k_0} |x_v - x'_v| |\bar{g}_v| + \sum_{a(v) > k_0} |x_v - x'_v| |\bar{g}_v| \\ &\leq \delta \sum_{a(v) \leq k_0} 2^{a(v)} |\bar{g}_v| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{a(v) > k_0} |x_v - x'_v| \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $F^T$  is continuous.

Necessity. Assume that  $F^T$  is continuous and let  $\bar{e}^{(v)}$  be the basis vectors from  $\Delta$ . Obviously,  $|\bar{e}^{(v)}| \rightarrow 0$  as  $a(v) \rightarrow \infty$ . This implies that  $|\bar{g}_v| \rightarrow 0$  because  $F^T(\bar{e}^{(v)}) = \bar{g}_v$ .  $\square$

We will give more examples of continuous and discontinuous liner mappings  $F$  related to some classes of generalized Bratteli diagrams in Sections 6 - 8.

### 3. GENERALIZED BRATTELI DIAGRAMS. OVERVIEW

In this section, we recall the main definitions and results concerning generalized Bratteli diagrams. For more details see [BJ22a], [BJKS23].

**3.1. Main definitions.** We will use the notation  $|\cdot|$  for the cardinality of a set.

**Definition 3.1.** A *generalized Bratteli diagram* is a graded graph  $B = (V, E)$  such that the vertex set  $V$  and the edge set  $E$  are represented as partitions  $V = \bigsqcup_{i=0}^{\infty} V_i$  and  $E = \bigsqcup_{i=0}^{\infty} E_i$  satisfying the following properties:

- (i) The number of vertices at each level  $V_i$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , is countably infinite (if necessary, we will identify each  $V_i$  with  $\mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{N}$ ). The set  $V_i$  is called the  $i$ th level of the diagram  $B$ . For all  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the set  $E_i$  of all edges between  $V_i$  and  $V_{i+1}$  is countable.
- (ii) For every edge  $e \in E$ , we define the range and source maps  $r$  and  $s$  such that  $r(E_i) = V_{i+1}$  and  $s(E_i) = V_i$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . It is required that  $s^{-1}(v) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $v \in V$ , and  $r^{-1}(v) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $v \in V \setminus V_0$ .
- (iii) For every vertex  $v \in V_n$  and every  $n \geq 1$ , we have  $|r^{-1}(v)| < \infty$ .

When we index the vertices at each level by  $\mathbb{Z}$ , the generalized Bratteli diagram  $B$  is called *two-sided infinite*, and when the vertices are indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$  or  $\mathbb{N}_0$ , then we call  $B$  *one-sided infinite*.

The structure of a generalized Bratteli diagram  $B$  is completely determined by a sequence of non-negative countably infinite matrices. For a vertex  $v \in V_m$  and a vertex  $w \in V_n$ , denote by  $E(v, w)$  the set of all finite paths between  $v$  and  $w$  (this set may be empty). Set  $f'_{v,w}{}^{(n)} = |E(v, w)|$  for every  $w \in V_n$  and  $v \in V_{n+1}$ . We denote

$$(3.1) \quad F'_n = (f'_{v,w}{}^{(n)} : v \in V_{n+1}, w \in V_n), \quad f'_{v,w}{}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

The matrices  $F'_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , are called *incidence matrices*. We reserve the notation  $F_n$  for the corresponding stochastic matrix (see below). The assumption  $r^{-1}(v) < \infty$  implies that in every row  $v$ , all but finitely many entries of  $F'_n$  are zeros. We will use the notation  $B = B(F'_n)$ . If  $F'_n = F'$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then the diagram  $B$  is called *stationary*. We use  $f'_{vw}{}^{(n)}$  in two cases: for the  $(vw)$ -entry of  $F'^n$  (stationary diagram) and for the  $(vw)$ -entry of the product  $F'_{n-1} \cdots F'_0$  (non-stationary diagram). It will be clear from the context what case is considered.

To define the path space of a generalized Bratteli diagram  $B$ , we consider a finite or infinite sequence of edges (it is called a *path*)  $(e_i : e_i \in E_i)$  such that  $s(e_i) = r(e_{i-1})$ . Denote the set of all infinite paths starting at  $V_0$  by  $X_B$  and call it the *path space*. For a finite path  $\bar{e} = (e_0, \dots, e_n)$ , we write  $s(\bar{e}) = s(e_0)$  and  $r(\bar{e}) = r(e_n)$ . The set

$$[\bar{e}] := \{x = (x_i) \in X_B : x_0 = e_0, \dots, x_n = e_n\},$$

is called the *cylinder set* associated with  $\bar{e}$ .

The *topology* on the path space  $X_B$  is generated by cylinder sets. This topology coincides with the topology defined by the following metric on  $X_B$ : for  $x = (x_i)$ ,  $y = (y_i)$ , set

$$\text{dist}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2^N}, \quad N = \min\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 : x_i \neq y_i\}.$$

The path space  $X_B$  is a zero-dimensional Polish space and therefore a standard Borel space.

**3.2. Tail invariant measures.** In this paper, we consider *tail invariant measures* on the path space  $X_B$  of a generalized Bratteli diagram  $B$ . The term a *measure* is always used for non-atomic positive Borel measures. We are mostly interested in *full measures*, i.e., every cylinder set must be of positive measure.

**Definition 3.2.** Two paths  $x = (x_i)$  and  $y = (y_i)$  in  $X_B$  are called *tail equivalent* if there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $x_i = y_i$  for all  $i \geq n$ . This notion defines a *countable Borel equivalence relation*  $\mathcal{R}$  on the path space  $X_B$  which is called the *tail equivalence relation*.

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $B = (V, E)$  be a generalized Bratteli diagram and  $\mathcal{R}$  the tail equivalence relation on the path space  $X_B$ . A measure  $\mu$  on  $X_B$  is called *tail invariant* if, for any cylinder sets  $[\bar{e}]$  and  $[\bar{e}']$  such that  $r(\bar{e}) = r(\bar{e}')$ , we have  $\mu([\bar{e}]) = \mu([\bar{e}'])$ .

The set of probability tail invariant measures is denoted by  $M_1(\mathcal{R})$ . We note that if a tail invariant Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $X_B$  takes finite values on all cylinder sets, then  $\mu$  is uniquely determined by its values on cylinder sets in  $X_B$ . Thus, every such tail invariant measure can be characterized in terms of a sequence of positive vectors associated with vertices of each level, see Theorem 3.6.

For every generalized Bratteli diagram, there exists a sequence of *Kakutani-Rokhlin towers*.

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $B = (V, E)$  be a generalized Bratteli diagram, for  $w \in V_n, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , denote

$$X_w^{(n)} = \{x = (x_i) \in X_B : s(x_n) = w\}.$$

The collection of all such sets forms a partition  $\zeta_n$  of  $X_B$  into *Kakutani-Rokhlin towers* corresponding to the vertices from  $V_n$ . Each finite path  $\bar{e} = (e_0, \dots, e_{n-1})$  with  $r(e_{n-1}) = w$ , determines a “level” of this tower

$$X_w^{(n)}(\bar{e}) = \{x = (x_i) \in X_B : x_i = e_i, i = 0, \dots, n-1\}.$$

Clearly,

$$X_w^{(n)} = \bigcup_{\bar{e} \in E(V_0, w)} X_w^{(n)}(\bar{e}),$$

and the partition  $\zeta_{n+1}$  refines  $\zeta_n$ .

**Definition 3.5.** For  $v \in V_n$  and  $v_0 \in V_0$ , we set  $h_{v_0, v}^{(n)} = |E(v_0, v)|$  and define

$$H_v^{(n)} = \sum_{v_0 \in V_0} h_{v_0, v}^{(n)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Set  $H_v^{(0)} = 1$  for all  $v \in V_0$ . This gives us the vector  $H^{(n)} = \langle H_v^{(n)} : v \in V_n \rangle$  associated with every level  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Since  $H_v^{(n)} = |E(V_0, v)|$ , we call  $H_v^{(n)}$  the *height of the tower*  $X_v^{(n)}$  corresponding to the vertex  $v \in V_n$ .

It is easy to see from the structure of a Bratteli diagram that, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and every  $v \in V_{n+1}$ , we have

$$(3.2) \quad H_v^{(n+1)} = \sum_{w \in V_n} f'_{vw}^{(n)} H_w^{(n)}.$$

Thus, the relation  $H^{(n+1)} = F'_n H^{(n)}$  holds for every  $n$ . Remark that the fact that  $r^{-1}(w) < \infty$  for every  $w \in V_n$  and  $n \geq 1$  implies that  $H_w^{(n)} < \infty$  and  $f'_{vw}^{(n)} < \infty$ .

Next, we define the sequence of *stochastic incidence matrices*  $(F_n)$  that plays a key role in our quantitative analysis of generalized Bratteli diagrams. We set  $F_n = (f_{vw}^{(n)} : v \in V_{n+1}, w \in V_n)$ , where

$$(3.3) \quad f_{vw}^{(n)} = f'_{vw}^{(n)} \cdot \frac{H_w^{(n)}}{H_v^{(n+1)}}.$$

Then we get from (3.2) that

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{w \in V_n} f_{vw}^{(n)} = 1, \quad v \in V_{n+1}.$$

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $B = (V, E)$  be a Bratteli diagram (generalized or classical) with the sequence of incidence matrices  $(F'_n)$ . Then:*

- (1) *Let  $\mu$  be a tail invariant measure on  $B$  which takes finite values on all cylinder sets. For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , define two sequences of vectors  $\bar{p}^{(n)} = \langle p_w^{(n)} : w \in V_n \rangle$ , and  $\bar{q}^{(n)} = \langle q_w^{(n)} : w \in V_n \rangle$ , where*

$$(3.5) \quad p_w^{(n)} = \mu(X_w^{(n)}(\bar{e})), \quad q_w^{(n)} = \mu(X_w^{(n)}), \quad w \in V_n.$$

*Then the vectors  $\bar{p}^{(n)}$  and  $\bar{q}^{(n)}$  satisfy the relations*

$$(3.6) \quad (F'_n)^T \bar{p}^{(n+1)} = \bar{p}^{(n)}, \quad F_n^T \bar{q}^{(n+1)} = \bar{q}^{(n)}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

*or*

$$(3.7) \quad p_w^{(n)} = \sum_{v \in V_{n+1}} f'_{vw}^{(n)} p_v^{(n+1)}, \quad q_w^{(n)} = \sum_{v \in V_{n+1}} f_{vw}^{(n)} q_v^{(n+1)}.$$

- (2) *Suppose that  $\{\bar{p}^{(n)} = (p_w^{(n)})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is a sequence of non-negative vectors such that  $(F'_n)^T \bar{p}^{(n+1)} = \bar{p}^{(n)}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then there exists a uniquely determined tail invariant measure  $\mu$  such that  $\mu(X_w^{(n)}(\bar{e})) = p_w^{(n)}$  for  $w \in V_n, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .*
- (3) *Suppose that  $\{\bar{q}^{(n)} = (q_w^{(n)})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is a sequence of non-negative vectors such that  $F_n^T \bar{q}^{(n+1)} = \bar{q}^{(n)}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then there exists a uniquely determined tail invariant measure  $\mu$  such that  $\mu(X_w^{(n)}) = q_w^{(n)}$  for  $w \in V_n, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .*

The *proof* of Theorem 3.6 is straightforward and can be found in [BKMS10] (for classical Bratteli diagrams) and [BJ22a] (for generalized Bratteli diagrams). Theorem 3.6 is a form of Kolmogorov consistency theorem.

**3.3. Vershik map.** In order to define a Borel dynamical system on a generalized Bratteli diagram, we will need the notion of an ordered generalized Bratteli diagram. An *ordered generalized Bratteli diagram*  $B = (B, V, >)$  is a generalized Bratteli diagram  $B = (V, E)$  together with a partial order  $>$  on  $E$  such that edges  $e, e'$  are comparable if and only if  $r(e) = r(e')$  (see [BJKS23] for more details). We observe that a partial order " $>$ " is a family (product) of linear orders " $>_v$ " on the finite sets  $r^{-1}(v)$ ,  $v \in V \setminus V_0$ , that are pairwise independent. A (finite or infinite) path  $e = (e_0, e_1, \dots, e_i, \dots)$  is called



*maximal* (respectively *minimal*) if every  $e_i$  has a maximal (respectively minimal) number among all elements from  $r^{-1}(r(e_i))$ . We denote the sets of all infinite maximal and of all infinite minimal paths by  $X_{\max}$  and  $X_{\min}$  respectively.

For a diagram  $B = (V, E, >)$ , first define a Borel transformation  $\varphi_B : X_B \setminus X_{\max} \rightarrow X_B \setminus X_{\min}$  as follows: given  $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots) \in X_B \setminus X_{\max}$ , let  $m$  be the smallest number such that  $x_m$  is not maximal. Let  $y_m$  be the successor of  $x_m$  in the finite set  $r^{-1}(r(x_m))$ . Then we set  $\varphi_B(x) = (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{m-1}, y_m, x_{m+1}, \dots)$  where  $(y_0, \dots, y_{m-1})$  is the unique minimal path from  $s(y_m)$  to  $V_0$ . In such a way, the Borel map  $\varphi_B$  is a bijection from  $X_B \setminus X_{\max}$  onto  $X_B \setminus X_{\min}$ . Moreover, it follows from the definition that  $\varphi_B$  is a homeomorphism.

**Definition 3.7.** If the map  $\varphi_B : X_B \setminus X_{\max} \rightarrow X_B \setminus X_{\min}$  admits a Borel bijective extension to the entire path space  $X_B$ , then this extension is called a *Vershik map*. The corresponding Borel dynamical system  $(X_B, \varphi_B)$  is called a generalized *Bratteli-Vershik* system.

In some cases, we may be interested in surjective extensions (not necessarily bijections) of  $\varphi_B$  to the entire path space  $X_B$ . In this context, we distinguish a class of Bratteli-Vershik maps called  $p$ -continuous maps (partially continuous). For this, we need the notions the *successor*,  $Succ(x)$ , of  $x \in X_{\max}$ , and *predecessor*,  $Pred(y)$ , of  $y \in X_{\min}$ . With every  $x = (x_n) \in X_{\max}$  and  $y = (y_n) \in X_{\min}$ , we associate the sequences of vertices  $\bar{v} = (v_n)$  and  $\bar{w} = (w_n)$  where  $v_n = s(x_n)$  and  $w_n = s(y_n)$ . It is said that  $y \in Succ(x)$  if for infinitely many  $n$  there exists  $z \in V_{n+1}$  and edges  $e, e' \in r^{-1}(z)$  such that  $s(e) = v_n$ ,  $s(e') = w_n$  and  $e'$  is the successor of  $e$  in the linear order defined on  $r^{-1}(z)$ . Similarly, we define the set  $Pred(y)$  for  $y \in X_{\min}$ . Of course,  $x \in Pred(y)$  if and only if  $y \in Succ(x)$ .

The following theorem clarifies the role of the defined notions.

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a Bratteli-Vershik extension of  $\varphi_B$  such that  $\varphi$  is continuous at  $x$ , where  $x \in X_{\max}$  and  $Succ(x) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\varphi(x) \in Succ(x)$ . On the other hand, if  $\psi$  is a Bratteli-Vershik extension of  $\varphi_B^{-1}$  and  $\psi$  is continuous at a path  $y \in X_{\min}$ , then  $\psi(y) = x \in Pred(y)$ .*

*Proof.* We can find two sequences of paths  $\{x^{(n)}\}$ ,  $\{y^{(n)}\}$  such that  $\varphi_B(x^{(n)}) = y^{(n)}$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^{(n)} = x$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y^{(n)} = y \in Succ(x)$ . Then  $\varphi(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x^{(n)}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_B(x^{(n)}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y^{(n)} = y \in Succ(x)$ .

Of course, the second part of the theorem can be proved in the same way.  $\square$

*Remark 3.9.* The properties  $Succ(x) \neq \emptyset$  and  $Pred(y) \neq \emptyset$  imply  $x \in cl(X_B \setminus X_{\max})$  and  $y \in cl(X_B \setminus X_{\min})$  respectively. In general, the opposite implications are not true, however, they are true for a classic Bratteli diagram, because in this case the space  $X_B$  is compact.

We will say that an extension  $\varphi$  of  $\varphi_B$  (or  $\psi$  of  $\varphi_B^{-1}$ ) is  $p$ -continuous if there is a path  $x \in X_{\max}$  (or  $y \in X_{\min}$ ) such that  $\varphi(x)$  (or  $\psi(y)$ ) is defined and  $\varphi(x) \in Succ(x)$  (or  $\psi(y) \in Pred(y)$ ). We will discuss examples of the sets  $Succ(x)$  and  $Pred(y)$  in Section 9.

**3.4. Classes of generalized Bratteli diagrams.** In this paper, we will also consider some particular classes of generalized Bratteli diagrams.

**Definition 3.10.** (1) Let  $B = B(F_n)$  be a generalized Bratteli diagram. If  $F_n = F$  and  $V_n = V$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then the diagram  $B$  is called *stationary*. We will write  $B = B(F)$  in this case.

(2) A generalized Bratteli diagram  $B = (V, E)$ , where all levels  $V_i$  are identified with a set  $V_0$  (e.g.  $V_0 = \mathbb{N}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}$ ), is called *irreducible* if for any vertices  $i, j \in V_0$  and any level  $V_n$  there exist  $m > n$  and a finite path connecting  $i \in V_n$  and  $j \in V_m$ . In other words, the  $(j, i)$ -entry of the matrix  $F_{m-1} \cdots F_n$  is non-zero. Otherwise, the diagram is called *reducible*.

**Definition 3.11.** A generalized Bratteli diagram  $B(F_n)$  is called of *bounded size* if there exists a sequence of pairs of natural numbers  $(t_n, L_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  such that, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and all  $v \in V_{n+1}$ ,

$$(3.8) \quad s(r^{-1}(v)) \in \{v - t_n, \dots, v + t_n\} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{w \in V_n} f_{vw}^{(n)} = \sum_{w \in V_n} |E(w, v)| \leq L_n.$$

If the sequence  $(t_n, L_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is constant, i.e.  $t_n = t$  and  $L_n = L$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then we say that the diagram  $B(F_n)$  is of *uniformly bounded size*.

The following statement is taken from [BJKS23].

**Lemma 3.12.** Let  $B = (V, E)$  be a generalized Bratteli diagram of bounded size. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $v \in V_{n+1}$  and  $E(V_0, v)$  be the set of all finite paths  $\bar{e} = (e_0, \dots, e_n)$  such that  $r(\bar{e}) = v$ . Then

$$s(E(V_0, v)) \subset \left\{ v - \sum_{i=0}^n t_i, \dots, v + \sum_{i=0}^n t_i \right\}$$

and

$$H_v^{(n+1)} = |E(V_0, v)| \leq L_0 \cdots L_n, \quad v \in V_{n+1}.$$

**3.5. Subdiagrams and measure extension.** In this subsection, we give the basic definitions and include some results about subdiagrams of generalized Bratteli diagrams and the notion of measure extension. We use the approach developed in [BKK15] and [ABKK17] for standard Bratteli diagrams. Measure extensions from vertex subdiagrams for generalized Bratteli diagrams were considered in [BKK24].

Let  $B = (V, E)$  be a generalized Bratteli diagram. A *subdiagram*  $\bar{B}$  of  $B$  is a (standard or generalized) Bratteli diagram  $\bar{B} = (\bar{V}, \bar{E})$ , where  $\bar{V} \subset V$  and  $\bar{E} \subset E$  such that  $\bar{V} = \bigcup_n \bar{V}_n$  and  $\bar{E} = \bigcup_n \bar{E}_n$ , where  $\bar{V}_n \subset V_n$  and  $\bar{E}_n \subset E_n$ . In particular, we have  $\bar{V} = s(\bar{E})$  and  $s(\bar{E}) = r(\bar{E}) \cup \bar{V}_0$ .

Let  $\bar{B}$  be a subdiagram of a Bratteli diagram  $B$ . Then we have the sequence of incidence matrices  $\{\bar{F}'_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  of  $\bar{B}$ . There are two principal cases of subdiagrams, *edge subdiagrams* and *vertex subdiagrams*. By definition, an *edge* subdiagram is obtained from the diagram  $B$  by “removing” some edges and leaving all vertices of  $B$  unchanged. If  $F'_n$  denotes the  $n$ -th incidence matrix of  $B$ , then we have  $\bar{F}'_n \leq F'_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We denote  $\tilde{F}'_n = F'_n - \bar{F}'_n$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{F}'_n$  is the matrix which shows the number of removed edges. Without loss of generality, we assume that  $\bar{F}'_n < F'_n$  for infinitely many  $n$ . In general,

we do not require that the condition  $f'_{vw}(n) > 0$  implies that  $\overline{f'}_{vw}(n) > 0$ . But we implicitly assume that the path space  $X_{\overline{B}}$  of an edge subdiagram is not trivial. Note that an edge subdiagram of a generalized Bratteli diagram is always a generalized Bratteli diagram.

A *vertex* subdiagram  $\overline{B} = (\overline{W}, \overline{E})$  of  $B$  is a standard or generalized Bratteli diagram defined by a sequence  $\overline{W} = \{W_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  of nonempty proper subsets  $W_n \subset V_n$  and by the set of edges  $\overline{E}_n \subset E_n$  whose source and range are in  $W_n$  and  $W_{n+1}$ , respectively (only for  $n = 0$ , in the case of standard Bratteli diagrams we keep  $W_0 = V_0 = \{v_0\}$ ). Thus, the incidence matrix  $\overline{F}'_n$  of  $\overline{B}$  has the size  $|W_{n+1}| \times |W_n|$ , and it is represented by a block of  $F'_n$  corresponding to the vertices from  $W_n$  and  $W_{n+1}$ . We say that, in this case,  $\overline{W} = (W_n)$  is the *support* of  $\overline{B}$ . Set  $W'_n = V_n \setminus W_n \neq \emptyset$  for all  $n$ .

It is easy to see that the path space  $X_{\overline{B}}$  of a subdiagram  $\overline{B}$  of  $B$  is a closed subset of  $X_B$ . On the other hand, there are closed subsets of  $X_B$  which are not obtained as the path space of a Bratteli subdiagram. A closed subset  $Z \subset X_B$  is the path space of a subdiagram if and only if  $\mathcal{R}|_{Z \times Z}$  is an étalé equivalence relation (see [GPS04] for details).

Let  $\widehat{X}_{\overline{B}} := \{y \in X_B : \exists x \in X_{\overline{B}} \text{ such that } x\mathcal{R}y\}$  be the subset of all paths in  $X_B$  that are tail equivalent to paths from  $X_{\overline{B}}$ . In other words,  $\widehat{X}_{\overline{B}}$  is the smallest  $\mathcal{R}$ -invariant subset of  $X_B$  containing  $X_{\overline{B}}$ , or an  $\mathcal{R}$ -saturation of  $X_{\overline{B}}$  (see [Kec24]). Let  $\overline{\mu}$  be an ergodic tail invariant probability measure on  $X_{\overline{B}}$ . Then  $\overline{\mu}$  can be canonically extended to the ergodic measure  $\widehat{\mu}$  on the space  $\widehat{X}_{\overline{B}}$  by tail invariance, see [BKK15], [ABKK17], [BKK24]. More specifically, let the measure  $\overline{\mu}$  be defined by a sequence of positive vectors  $\{\overline{p}^{(n)} : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  satisfying Theorem 3.6, that is  $(\overline{F}'_n)^T(\overline{p}^{(n+1)}) = \overline{p}^{(n)}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , where  $\overline{F}'_n$  is the incidence matrix for the subdiagram  $\overline{B}$ . Then, for every cylinder set  $[\overline{e}] \subset X_{\overline{B}}$  with  $r(\overline{e}) = v \in \overline{V}_n$ , we set  $\widehat{\mu}([\overline{e}]) = \overline{p}_v^{(n)}$ . Then  $\widehat{\mu}$  is defined on all clopen sets, and it can be finally extended to an ergodic Borel measure on  $X_B$  by setting  $\widehat{\mu}(X_B \setminus \widehat{X}_{\overline{B}}) = 0$ .

Let  $\overline{B}$  be a vertex subdiagram of a generalized Bratteli diagram  $B$  defined by a sequence of subsets  $(W_i)$ . Denote by  $\widehat{X}_{\overline{B}}^{(n)}$  the set of all paths  $x = (x_i)_{i=0}^\infty$  from  $X_B$  such that the finite path  $(x_0, \dots, x_n)$  ends at a vertex  $v$  of  $\overline{B}$ , and the tail  $(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots)$  belongs to  $\overline{B}$ , i.e.,

$$(3.9) \quad \widehat{X}_{\overline{B}}^{(n)} = \{x = (x_i) \in \widehat{X}_{\overline{B}} : r(x_i) \in W_i, \forall i \geq n\}.$$

It is obvious that  $\widehat{X}_{\overline{B}}^{(n)} \subset \widehat{X}_{\overline{B}}^{(n+1)}$ ,  $\widehat{X}_{\overline{B}} = \bigcup_n \widehat{X}_{\overline{B}}^{(n)}$ , and

$$(3.10) \quad \widehat{\mu}(\widehat{X}_{\overline{B}}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\mu}(\widehat{X}_{\overline{B}}^{(n)}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{w \in W_n} H_w^{(n)} \overline{p}_w^{(n)}.$$

This limit can be finite or infinite. If it is finite, then we say that  $\overline{\mu}$  admits a finite measure extension  $\widehat{\mu}(\widehat{X}_{\overline{B}}) < \infty$ .

**Theorem 3.13.** *Let  $\overline{B}$  be a vertex subdiagram of a generalized Bratteli diagram  $B = (V, E)$  with incidence matrices  $(F'_n)$ . Suppose that  $\overline{B}$  is determined by a sequence  $(W_n)$  of nonempty proper subsets of  $V_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Let  $\overline{\mu}$  be a probability tail invariant measure on the path space  $X_{\overline{B}}$  of  $\overline{B}$  defined by its values  $\overline{p}_w^{(n)}$  on cylinder sets. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\widehat{\mu}(\widehat{X}_{\overline{B}}) < \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{v \in W_{n+1}} \sum_{w \in W'_n} f'_{vw}{}^{(n)} H_w^{(n)} \overline{p}_v^{(n+1)} < \infty$ ;
- (iii)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{v \in W_{n+1}} \widehat{\mu}(X_v^{(n+1)}) \sum_{w \in W'_n} f_{vw}^{(n)} < \infty$ , where  $f_{vw}^{(n)}$  are the entries of the stochastic matrix  $F_n$  and  $W'_n = V_n \setminus W_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .

The proof of Theorem 3.13 can be found in [ABKK17] for the classic Bratteli diagrams and in [BKK24] for the generalized Bratteli diagrams. The proof of the following result can be also found in [ABKK17] for standard Bratteli diagrams, the same reasoning works for generalized diagrams.

**Theorem 3.14.** *Let  $\overline{B} = (\overline{W}, \overline{E})$  be a vertex subdiagram of a generalized Bratteli diagram  $B = (V, E)$ . Suppose that*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sup_{v \in W_{n+1}} \left( \sum_{w \in W'_n} f_{vw}^{(n)} \right) < \infty.$$

*Then, for any probability measure  $\overline{\mu}$  on  $\overline{B}$ , the measure extension  $\widehat{\mu}(\widehat{X}_{\overline{B}})$  is finite.*

Now we consider an edge subdiagram  $\overline{B}$  of a generalized Bratteli diagram  $B$  which is defined by a sequence of incidence matrices  $\overline{F}'_n$  (the entries of  $\overline{F}'_n$  show the number of remaining edges in  $\overline{B}$  after removing some of them). The path space  $X_{\overline{B}}$  consists of infinite paths  $x = (x_n)$  where every  $x_n$  is an edge in  $\overline{B}$ .

Let  $\overline{\mu}$  be a tail invariant measure on  $\overline{B}$ . Every such measure can be extended to a (finite or infinite) measure  $\widehat{\mu}$  on  $B$  by tail invariance. It is supported by the set  $\widehat{X}_{\overline{B}}$ , and, as in (3.10), we find that

$$(3.11) \quad \widehat{\mu}(\widehat{X}_{\overline{B}}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{w \in V_n} H_w^{(n)} \overline{p}_w^{(n)}$$

where  $H_w^{(n)}$  is the number of finite paths  $\overline{e}$  in  $X_B$  terminating at  $w \in V_n$  and  $\overline{p}_w^{(n)}$  is the value of the measure  $\overline{\mu}$  on  $[\overline{e}]$ .

**Proposition 3.15.** *For an edge subdiagram  $\overline{B}$  of a (classic or generalized) Bratteli diagram  $B$ , we have*

$$\widehat{\mu}(\widehat{X}_{\overline{B}}) = \widehat{\mu}(\widehat{X}_{\overline{B}}^{(1)}) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{v \in V_{n+1}} \sum_{w \in V_n} \widetilde{f}'_{v,w}{}^{(n)} H_w^{(n)} \overline{p}_v^{(n+1)},$$

where  $\widetilde{f}'_{vw}{}^{(n)} = f'_{vw}{}^{(n)} - \overline{f}'_{vw}{}^{(n)}$ .

This proposition is proved exactly in the same way as the corresponding result in [ABKK17]. Thus, if  $\widehat{\mu}(\widehat{X}_{\overline{B}}^{(1)}) < \infty$ , we have

$$(3.12) \quad \widehat{\mu}(\widehat{X}_{\overline{B}}) < \infty \iff \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{v \in V_{n+1}} \sum_{w \in V_n} \widetilde{f}'_{vw}{}^{(n)} H_w^{(n)} \overline{p}_v^{(n+1)} < \infty.$$

Note that for a standard Bratteli diagram  $B$  we always have  $\widehat{\mu}(\widehat{X}_{\overline{B}}^{(1)}) < \infty$ .

We can give also a direct formula for the value of the measure  $\widehat{\mu}$  on the cylinder sets. Namely, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $w \in V_n$ , we have

$$(3.13) \quad \widehat{\mu}([\bar{e}]) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \sum_{v \in V_{n+m}} g'_{vw}^{(n,m)} \bar{p}_v^{(n+m)} \right], \quad w = r(\bar{e}).$$

The formula in (3.13) is valid for both edge and vertex subdiagrams.

#### 4. TAIL INVARIANT MEASURES AND INVERSE LIMITS

This section is devoted to a detailed description of tail invariant measures on the path space of a generalized Bratteli diagram in terms of inverse limits of convex closed sets. We will use the notation from Section 3.

**4.1. Inverse limits define tail invariant measures.** Let  $\mu \in M_1(\mathcal{R})$  be a probability tail invariant measure on the path space  $X_B$  of a generalized Bratteli diagram  $B = (V, E)$ . By Theorem 3.6,  $\mu$  is completely determined by a sequence of infinite vectors  $\bar{p}^{(n)}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , where the entries of  $\bar{p}^{(n)}$  are the measure of the finite paths  $\bar{e}$  from  $E(V_0, w)$ ,  $p_w^{(n)} = \mu([\bar{e}])$ , such that

$$p_w^{(n)} = \sum_{v \in V_{n+1}} f'_{vw}^{(n)} p_v^{(n+1)}.$$

The measure  $\mu$  is also completely determined by a sequence of infinite vectors  $\bar{q}^{(n)}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , where  $q_w^{(n)} = \mu(X_w^{(n)})$  is a measure of a tower corresponding to a vertex  $w \in V_n$  and

$$q_w^{(n)} = \sum_{v \in V_{n+1}} f_{vw}^{(n)} q_v^{(n+1)}.$$

We have  $\mu(X_w^{(n)}) = H_w^{(n)} p_w^{(n)}$ . Since for every level  $n$ , the towers  $X_w^{(n)}$  form a partition of  $X_B$ , we also have

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{w \in V_n} \mu(X_w^{(n)}) = \sum_{w \in V_n} H_w^{(n)} p_w^{(n)} = 1.$$

Therefore, every vector  $\bar{q}^{(n)}$  is probability, see (4.1). Moreover, we will make our notation more precise and write that the vectors  $\bar{q}^{(n)}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , belong to  $\Delta_1^{(n)} := \{\bar{x} = \langle x_w \rangle : w \in V_n, \sum_{w \in V_n} x_w = 1, x_w \geq 0\}$ . Clearly,  $\Delta_1^{(n)}$  is isomorphic to  $\Delta_1$ . The index  $n$  shows that this set is related to the  $n$ -level of the Bratteli diagram. Similarly, the vectors  $(\bar{p}^{(n)})$  (defined above) are considered in  $\Delta^{(n)} = \{\bar{x} = \langle x_v \rangle : \sum_{v \in V_n} x_v \leq 1, x_v \geq 0\}$ , where the set of indices is  $V_n$ .

In what follows we will consider the maps defined by stochastic incidence matrices  $(F_n)$  and describe the set  $M_1(\mathcal{R})$  of probability tail invariant measures in terms of inverse limits.

(A) It follows from Theorem 3.6 that there exists a sequence of maps

$$(4.2) \quad \Delta_1^{(0)} \xleftarrow{F_0^T} \Delta_1^{(1)} \xleftarrow{F_1^T} \Delta_1^{(2)} \xleftarrow{F_2^T} \dots$$

The following result is a straightforward corollary of Theorem 3.6.

**Corollary 4.1.** *The set  $M_1(\mathcal{R})$  of all probability tail invariant measures on  $X_B$  is identified with the inverse limit of the sets  $(\Delta_1^{(n)}, F_n^T)$ :*

$$M_1(\mathcal{R}) = \varprojlim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Delta_1^{(n)}, F_n^T).$$

Indeed, this result holds because every  $\mu \in M_1(\mathcal{R})$  is uniquely determined by a sequence of vectors  $\{\bar{q}^{(n)}\}$  satisfying (3.7). Recall also that the sets  $\Delta_1^{(n)}$  are convex subsets of  $\Delta^{(n)} \subset I^{V_n}$ . These sets are, in general, not closed.

(B) We consider another sequence of maps that determines elements of the set  $M_1(\mathcal{R})$ . We observe that the map defined by  $F_n^T$  maps  $\Delta^{(n+1)}$  into  $\Delta^{(n)}$ . Indeed, if  $\bar{x} = \langle x_v : v \in V_{n+1} \rangle \in \Delta^{(n+1)}$ , then  $\sum_{v \in V_{n+1}} x_v \leq 1$ . Hence,  $F_n^T \bar{x} = \bar{y} = \langle y_w : w \in V_n \rangle$  and

$$\sum_{w \in V_n} y_w = \sum_{w \in V_n} \sum_{v \in V_{n+1}} f_{vw}^{(n)} x_v = \sum_{v \in V_{n+1}} x_v \sum_{w \in V_n} f_{vw}^{(n)} \leq 1.$$

This means that we have also the following sequence of maps of compact convex sets  $\Delta^{(n)}$ :

$$(4.3) \quad \Delta^{(0)} \xleftarrow{F_0^T} \Delta^{(1)} \xleftarrow{F_1^T} \Delta^{(2)} \xleftarrow{F_2^T} \dots$$

We formulate the result.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $M_1(\mathcal{R})$ ,  $\Delta^{(n)}$ ,  $F_n^T$  be as above. Then*

$$M_1(\mathcal{R}) \subset \varprojlim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Delta^{(n)}, F_n^T).$$

The lemma follows immediately from the fact that a sequence of probability non-negative vectors  $\{\bar{q}^{(n)}\}$  defines a measure  $\mu \in M_1(\mathcal{R})$  if and only if it satisfies (3.7). Note that a sequence  $\{\bar{q}^{(n)}\} \in \varprojlim (\Delta^{(n)}, F_n^T)$  determines a probability tail invariant measure on  $B = (V, E)$  if and only if  $\bar{q}^{(n)} \in \Delta_1^{(n)}$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$

(C) For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define the set

$$\Delta^{(n,m)} := F_n^T \cdot \dots \cdot F_{n+m-1}^T (\Delta^{(n+m)}).$$

Clearly,  $\Delta^{(n,m)}$  is a subset of  $\Delta^{(n)}$  for every  $m$  and

$$(4.4) \quad \Delta^{(n,m)} = F_n^T (\Delta^{(n+1,m-1)}), \quad \Delta^{(n,1)} \supset \Delta^{(n,2)} \supset \dots \supset \Delta^{(n,m)} \supset \dots$$

Hence, we can define

$$(4.5) \quad \Delta^{(n,\infty)} = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \Delta^{(n,m)}.$$

Then (4.4) implies that

$$(4.6) \quad \Delta^{(n,\infty)} \supset F_n^T (\Delta^{(n+1,\infty)}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Relations (4.6) define the following sequence of maps

$$(4.7) \quad \Delta^{(0,\infty)} \xleftarrow{F_0^T} \Delta^{(1,\infty)} \xleftarrow{F_1^T} \Delta^{(2,\infty)} \xleftarrow{F_2^T} \dots$$

Thus, we obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $M_1(\mathcal{R})$ ,  $F_n^T$ ,  $\Delta^{(n)}$ ,  $\Delta^{(n,\infty)}$  be as above. Then*

$$(4.8) \quad \varprojlim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Delta^{(n)}, F_n^T) = \varprojlim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Delta^{(n,\infty)}, F_n^T).$$

*The set  $M_1(\mathcal{R})$  is a subset of  $\varprojlim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Delta^{(n,\infty)}, F_n^T)$ . A sequence  $\{\bar{q}^{(n)}\} \in \varprojlim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Delta^{(n,\infty)}, F_n^T)$  determines a probability tail invariant measure on  $B = (V, E)$  if and only if  $\bar{q}^{(n)} \in \Delta_1^{(n)}$ .*

*Remark 4.4.* For every  $n \geq 0$ , the sets  $\Delta^{(n,m)}$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $\Delta^{(n,\infty)}$  are convex. In general, they are not closed. The sets  $\Delta^{(n,m)}$  are not empty while the sets  $\Delta^{(n,\infty)}$  may be empty.

If all maps  $F_n^T$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , are continuous, then all the above sets are not empty and closed. In this case, we are in the setting of the Krein-Milmann theorem. To apply this theorem in a general case, we need to use another sequence of maps which is considered in (D) below.

(D) Let  $cl(\Delta^{(n,m)})$  denote the closure of the set  $\Delta^{(n,m)}$  in the compact set  $\Delta^{(n)}$ . The sets  $cl(\Delta^{(n,m)})$  form a nested sequence, i.e.,  $cl(\Delta^{(n,m)}) \supset cl(\Delta^{(n,m+1)})$ , and we can define

$$(4.9) \quad \Delta^{(n,\infty,cl)} := \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} cl(\Delta^{(n,m)}), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

The sets  $cl(\Delta^{(n,m)})$  and  $\Delta^{(n,\infty,cl)}$  are closed and not empty. The difference with the previous cases is that we cannot claim that the sequence

$$\{(\Delta^{(n,\infty,cl)}, F_n^T)\}$$

forms the “classical” inverse limit, in general. The reason is that  $F_n^T(\Delta^{(n+1,\infty,cl)})$  is not a subset of  $\Delta^{(n,\infty,cl)}$ . However, we again can consider the inverse limit of  $\{(\Delta^{(n,\infty,cl)}, F_n^T)\}$  as the set of all sequences of probability vectors  $\{\bar{q}^{(n)}\}$  such that  $F_n^T(\bar{q}^{(n+1)}) = \bar{q}^{(n)}$  for all  $n$ . We remark that if  $\{\bar{q}^{(n)}\}$  determines a probability measure  $\mu$  then  $\{\bar{q}^{(n)}\}$  satisfies the above condition.

*Remark 4.5.* If all maps  $F_n^T$  are continuous, then  $cl(\Delta^{(n,m)}) = \Delta^{(n,m)}$  and  $\Delta^{(n,\infty)} = \Delta^{(n,\infty,cl)}$ . This means that the inverse limit of the sets  $\{(\Delta^{(n,\infty,cl)}, F_n^T)\}$  exists and

$$\varprojlim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Delta^{(n,\infty,cl)}, F_n^T) = \varprojlim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Delta^{(n,\infty)}, F_n^T).$$

Then every sequence  $\{\bar{q}^{(n)}\} \in \varprojlim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Delta^{(n,\infty,cl)}, F_n^T)$  determines uniquely a probability measure  $\mu \in M_1(\mathcal{R})$  if and only if  $\bar{q}^{(n)} \in \Delta_1^{(n)}$ .

**4.2. Finite products of matrices  $F_n$ .** Let  $B = (V, E)$  be a generalized Bratteli diagram and suppose that the sequences of infinite matrices  $(F'_n)$  and  $(F_n)$  are defined as in Subsection 4.1, see (3.3). Then we set

$$G'^{(n,m)} := F'_{n+m-1} \cdots F'_n,$$

$$G^{(n,m)} := F_{n+m-1} \cdots F_n.$$



The entries of  $G^{(n,m)}$  and  $G^{(n,m)}$  are denoted by  $g'_{vw}^{(n,m)}$  and  $g_{vw}^{(n,m)}$ , respectively, where  $v \in V_{n+m}$ ,  $w \in V_n$ . It can be easily checked that, for  $v \in V_{n+m}$ ,

$$H_v^{(n+m)} = \sum_{u \in V_n} g'_{vu}^{(n,m)} H_u^{(n)}$$

and therefore

$$(4.10) \quad g_{vw}^{(n,m)} = g'_{vw}^{(n,m)} \frac{H_w^{(n)}}{H_v^{(n+m)}}.$$

The rows of the matrix  $G^{(n,m)}$  can be written as follows:

$$\bar{g}_v^{(n,m)} = \frac{1}{\sum_{s \in V_n} g'_{vs}^{(n,m)} H_s^{(n)}} \cdot \langle g'_{vw}^{(n,m)} H_w^{(n)} : w \in V_n \rangle.$$

Using the above notation, we can write  $\Delta^{(n,m)} = G^{(n,m)T}(\Delta^{(n+m)})$ .

If a Bratteli diagram  $B = (V, E)$  is *stationary*, i.e.,  $V_n = V$  and  $F_n = F$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then  $G^{(n,m)} = F^m$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , and the equality (4.10) has the form

$$(4.11) \quad g_{vw}^{(n,m)} = \frac{f_{vw}^{(m)} H_w^{(n)}}{\sum_{s \in V_n} f_{vs}^{(m)} H_s^{(n)}}.$$

Assuming  $H_w^{(0)} = 1$  for every  $w \in V_0$ , we see that  $H_w^{(n)} = \sum_{s \in V_0} f_{ws}^{(n)}$ ,  $w \in V_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ .

Let  $\bar{g}_v = \langle g_{vw}^{(n,m)} : w \in V_n \rangle$  be the  $v$ -th row of  $G^{(n,m)}$ ,  $v \in V_{n+m}$ . Then  $\bar{g}_v$  can be viewed as vectors from the set  $\Delta^{(n)}$ . If  $\{\bar{e}_v : v \in V_{n+m}\}$  is the standard basis in  $\Delta^{(n+m)}$ , then

$$(4.12) \quad \bar{g}_v = G^{(n,m)T}(\bar{e}_v^{(n+m)}).$$

Let  $\Delta_m^{(n)}(\{\bar{g}_v\})$  be the closed convex hull generated by the vectors  $\bar{g}_v$ ,  $v \in V_{n+m}$ , where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  is fixed. It follows from the above arguments that the following lemma holds.

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $\Delta_m^{(n)}(\{\bar{g}_v\})$  and  $\Delta^{(n,m)}$  be as above. Then*

$$\Delta_m^{(n)}(\{\bar{g}_v\}) = cl(\Delta^{(n,m)}).$$

Recall that we can apply Theorem 2.8 to find a representation of vectors from the set  $\Delta_m^{(n)}(\{\bar{g}_v\})$ , where  $n$  and  $m$  are fixed:

$$\Delta_m^{(n)}(\{\bar{g}_v\}) = \left\{ \int_{cl(\{\bar{g}_v\})} \bar{z} d\mu(\bar{z}) : \mu \in M_1(cl(\{\bar{g}_v\})) \right\}.$$

In the above formula, we take the closure in  $\Delta^{(n)}$  of the vectors that came from the level  $V_{n+m}$ .

Fix  $n$  and consider the set of all sequences  $\{\bar{g}_{v_m}\}$ , where  $v_m$  is any vertex from  $V_{n+m}$ . We denote by  $L^{(n)}(\{\bar{g}_v\})$  the set of all limit points of all convergent sequences, i.e.,

$$L^{(n)}(\{\bar{g}_v\}) = \{\bar{x} \in \Delta^{(n)} : \bar{x} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{g}_{v_m}, v_m \in V_{n+m}\}.$$

It turns out that the set  $L^{(n)}(\{\bar{g}_v\})$  can be used to describe the vectors from  $\Delta^{(n,\infty,cl)}$ .

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $\Delta^{(n,\infty,cl)}$  and  $L^{(n)}(\{\bar{g}_v\})$  be as above. Then*

$$(4.13) \quad \Delta^{(n,\infty,cl)} = \left\{ \int_{L^{(n)}(\{\bar{g}_v\})} \bar{z} d\mu(\bar{z}) : \mu \in M_1(L^{(n)}(\{\bar{g}_v\})) \right\}.$$

*Proof.* We first observe that, for every  $m \geq 1$ , the closure  $cl(\Delta^{(n,m)})$  can be characterized as the set of all limit points of sequences  $\{\bar{y}^{(m)}(l)\}$  (as  $l \rightarrow \infty$ ), where  $\bar{y}^{(m)}(l)$  is a finite convex combination of vectors  $\bar{g}_v$ ,  $v \in V_{n+m}$ , see Lemma 4.6.

Recall that for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\Delta^{(n,\infty,cl)} = \bigcap_{m=k}^{\infty} (cl(\Delta^{(n,m)}))$$

Hence, for each  $\bar{y} \in \Delta^{(n,\infty,cl)}$ , we can select a sequence  $\{\bar{y}^{(m)}\}$ ,  $m \geq k$ , such that  $\bar{y}^{(m)}$  is a finite convex combination of the vectors  $\bar{g}_v$ ,  $v \in V_{n+m}$ , and  $\bar{y}^{(m)} \rightarrow \bar{y}$  in  $\Delta^{(n)}$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

Denoting the closure of the vectors  $\{\bar{g}_v : v \in V_{n+m}, m \geq k\}$  by  $Z_k$  and applying Theorem 2.8 again, we have for every  $\bar{y} \in \Delta^{(n,\infty,cl)}$

$$(4.14) \quad \bar{y} = \int_{Z_k} \bar{z} d\mu_k(\bar{z}),$$

where  $\mu_k$  is a Borel probability measure on the set  $Z_k$ . Since  $Z_1 \supset Z_2 \supset \dots$ , we can assume that each measure  $\mu_k$  can be considered on the set  $Z_1$ ,  $k \geq 1$ .

Let  $\mu$  be a limit measure defined by a subsequence of  $\{\mu_k : k \geq 1\}$ . Then  $\mu(Z_k) = 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  which implies that

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_{k \geq 1} Z_k\right) = 1.$$

Moreover, relation (4.14) holds for the measure  $\mu$ .

It is easy to see from the definition of the sets  $Z_k$  and  $L^{(n)}(\{\bar{g}_v\})$  that

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} Z_k = L^{(n)}(\{\bar{g}_v\}).$$

Therefore, we can conclude that for every  $\bar{y}$  from  $\Delta^{(n,\infty,cl)}$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{y} &= \int_{Z_k} \bar{z} d\mu(\bar{z}) \\ &= \int_{\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} Z_k} \bar{z} d\mu(\bar{z}) \\ &= \int_{L^{(n)}(\{\bar{g}_v\})} \bar{z} d\mu(\bar{z}). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

*Remark 4.8.* We observe that the extreme points of  $\Delta^{(n,\infty,cl)}$  are contained in  $L^{(n)}(\{\bar{g}_v\})$ .

To determine the set  $L^{(n)}(\{\bar{g}_v\})$ , we must find the set of all limit vectors of the sequences of the form  $\{\bar{g}_{v_m}, v_m \in V_{n+m}\}$ . To do this, we can first find the set of all limit vectors of sequences of the so-called “normalized” vectors  $\{\bar{y}_{v_m}, v_m \in V_{n+m}\}$ . This approach is discussed below.

We recall that the entries of finite products  $G^{(n,m)}$  of incidence matrices  $F'_n$  are denoted by  $g'_{v,w}^{(n,m)}$ , where  $w \in V_n$  and  $v \in V_{n+m}$ . For  $v \in V_{n+m}$ , define the vector  $\bar{y}_v^{(n,m)}$  by setting

$$(4.15) \quad \bar{y}_v^{(n,m)} = \frac{1}{\sum_{w \in V_n} g'_{vw}^{(n,m)}} \bar{g}_v^{(n,m)}, \quad v \in V_{n+m},$$

where  $\bar{g}'_v^{(n,m)}$  is the  $v$ -th row of  $G'^{(n,m)}$  and  $g'_{vw}^{(m,n)}$  is the  $w$ -th entry of this vector. Clearly, every vector  $\bar{y}_v^{(n,m)}$  is probability.

The following statement clarifies the meaning of this normalization.

Let

$$(4.16) \quad \bar{y}^{(n,\infty)} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{y}_v^{(n,m)}$$

where  $v = v_m \in V_{n+m}$ , see (4.15). Denote by  $P^{(n)}$  the set of vectors  $\bar{y}^{(n,\infty)}$  satisfying the two conditions:

$$(4.17) \quad \sum_{w \in V_n} y_w^{(n,\infty)} H_w^{(n)} < \infty$$

and

$$(4.18) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{w \in V_n} \left[ \frac{g'_{vw}^{(n,m)}}{\sum_{u \in V_n} g'_{vu}^{(n,m)}} \right] H_w^{(n)} = \sum_{w \in V_n} y_w^{(n,\infty)} H_w^{(n)}.$$

**Theorem 4.9.** *Let  $\bar{g}_v = G^{(n,m)T}(\bar{e}_v^{(n+m)})$ , where  $v \in V_{n+m}$  and  $m \geq 1$ . Then  $L^{(n)}(\{\bar{g}_v\})$  consists of all vectors  $\bar{q}^{(n,\infty)} = \langle q_w^{(n,\infty)} : w \in V_n \rangle$  such that*

$$(4.19) \quad q_w^{(n,\infty)} = \frac{1}{\sum_{u \in V_n} y_u^{(n,\infty)} H_u^{(n)}} \cdot y_w^{(n,\infty)} H_w^{(n)}$$

where  $\bar{y}^{(n,\infty)} = \langle y_w^{(n,\infty)} : w \in V_n \rangle \in P^{(n)}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{y}^{(n,\infty)} \in P_n$ . It follows from (4.15) that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g'_{vw}^{(n,m)}}{\sum_{u \in V_n} g'_{vu}^{(n,m)}} = y_w^{(n,\infty)}, \quad w \in V_n.$$

Then, by the definition of the set  $P^{(n)}$  and (4.18), we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{u \in V_n} g'_{vu}^{(n,m)}}{\sum_{u \in V_n} g'_{vu}^{(m,n)} \cdot H_u^{(n)}} = \frac{1}{\sum_{u \in V_n} y_u^{(n,\infty)} H_u^{(n)}}.$$

Furthermore, we use the fact that  $G'^{(n,m)} H^{(n)} = H^{(n+m)}$  and relation (4.10) to compute

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{aligned} g_{vw}^{(n,m)} &= g'_{vw}^{(n,m)} \frac{H_w^{(n)}}{H_v^{(n+m)}} \\ &= g'_{vw}^{(n,m)} \frac{H_w^{(n)}}{\sum_{u \in V_n} g'_{vu}^{(n,m)} H_u^{(n)}} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{u \in V_n} g'_{vu}^{(n,m)}}{\sum_{u \in V_n} g'_{vu}^{(n,m)} H_u^{(n)}} \cdot \frac{g'_{vw}^{(n,m)} H_w^{(n)}}{\sum_{u \in V_n} g'_{vu}^{(n,m)}}, \quad w \in V_n. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we obtain from (4.20) that  $\bar{q}^{(n,\infty)} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{g}_v^{(n,m)}$  where

$$q_w^{(n,\infty)} = \frac{1}{\sum_{u \in V_n} y_u^{(n,\infty)} H_u^{(n)}} y_w^{(n,\infty)} H_w^{(n)}.$$

Clearly,  $q_w^{(n,\infty)}$  is nonzero if and only if  $y_w^{(n,\infty)}$  is nonzero.

Now assume that

$$\bar{q}^{(n,\infty)} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{g}_{v_m}^{(n,m)}.$$

Taking again a subsequence of  $\{m\}$  we can show that the limit

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g'_{vw}{}^{(n,m)}}{\sum_{u \in V_n} g'_{vu}{}^{(n,m)}} = y_w^{(n,\infty)}$$

exists for every  $w \in V_n$ . Then, repeating the above computations, we prove that the vector  $\bar{q}^{(n,\infty)}$  is determined by (4.19).  $\square$

**Corollary 4.10.** (1) Suppose that a generalized Bratteli diagram  $B = (V, E)$  has the property: the set  $\{H_w^{(n)} : w \in V_n\}$  is bounded for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then every vector  $\bar{y}^{(n,\infty)}$ , defined by (4.16) satisfies (4.17) and (4.18) and therefore Theorem 4.9 holds.

(2) Suppose a generalized Bratteli diagram is of bounded size. Then Theorem 4.9 holds.

(3) For the infinite Pascal-Bratteli diagram (see the definition in Section 5) Theorem 4.9 holds.

The proof of Corollary 4.10 is straightforward. We note only that to show (2), we apply Lemma 3.12, we see that  $H_w^{(n)} \leq L_0 \cdots L_{n-1}$  where  $B$  is determined by the sequence of parameters  $(t_i, L_i)$ . We leave the details to the reader.

*Remark 4.11.* (1) Let  $B = (V, E)$  be a generalized Bratteli diagram and suppose that  $\bar{B} = (\bar{W}, \bar{E})$  is a subdiagram such that  $\bar{W}_n$  is a finite subset of  $V_n$ . Then Theorems 3.13 and 3.14 can be used to determine tail invariant measures on  $B$  which are extensions of probability measures from  $\bar{B}$ .

(2) The above results are also valid for standard Bratteli diagrams, i.e., for diagrams with finite set  $V_n$  for all  $n$ . In this case, the incidence matrices  $F'_n$  and the stochastic matrices  $F_n$  have finite sizes and the maps  $F_n^T$  and  $G^{(n,m)}$  are continuous. Moreover, the sets  $\Delta_1^{(n)}$  are closed. That is why we do not need the set  $\Delta^{(n)}$  and can use  $\Delta_1^{(n)}$  only. We also have  $\Delta^{(n,\infty,cl)} = \Delta^{(n,\infty)}$ . The sets  $L^{(n)}(\{\bar{g}_v\})$  can be defined as above. Finally, we can show that the extreme points of the set  $\Delta^{(n,\infty)}$  are contained in  $L^{(n)}(\{\bar{g}_v\})$ .

## 5. INFINITE PASCAL-BRATTELI DIAGRAM

In this section, we define an infinite Pascal-Bratteli diagram (we will abbreviate the name to IPBD) which is a generalization of the classic Pascal-Bratteli diagram. They have been studied in several papers from various points of view, see e.g. [Boc08], [FO13], [FP10], [FPS17], [MP05], [M06], [Mun11], [Str11], [Ver14]. We define and discuss Pascal-Bratteli diagrams for the case of generalized diagrams assuming that the set of all vertices is infinite for every level. We present two versions of such diagrams: one is called  $\mathbb{N}$ -IPB diagram and the second is called  $\mathbb{Z}$ -IPB diagram. Here  $\mathbb{N}$  stands for natural numbers and  $\mathbb{Z}$  stands for integers.

We recall briefly the notion of the Pascal-Bratteli diagram with finite levels. For fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , let  $V_n$  be the set of all pairs  $(x, y)$  of non-negative integers such that  $x + y = n$ . They are considered the vertices of the  $n$ -th level. The set of edges  $E_n$  between the levels  $V_n$  and  $V_{n+1}$  is defined by the following rule:  $|E((x_n, y_n), (x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}))| = 1$  if

and only if either  $x_{n+1} = x_n + 1$  or  $y_{n+1} = y_n + 1$ . In other words, the set  $r^{-1}(x_n, y_n) = \{(x_n - 1, y_n), (x_n, y_n - 1)\}$ , where  $(x_n, y_n) \in V_n$ .

In what follows we will extend this definition to the cases when a fixed number  $n$  is decomposed into infinite sums of finitely many natural numbers and infinitely many zeroes and the sums will be indexed by natural numbers or integers.

**5.1.  $\mathbb{N}$ -infinite Pascal-Bratteli diagram.** For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define

$$V_n = \{\bar{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots) : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s_i = n, s_i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

It is convenient to represent  $\bar{s}$  as an infinite vector indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$  with nonzero entries  $s_i$  where  $i$  runs a finite set of indexes  $I_{\bar{s}} = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$  where  $k \leq n$ . In such a way, we numerate the levels  $V_n$  by natural numbers and every  $V_n$  contains countably many vertices.

We will use the standard basis  $\{\bar{e}^{(i)}\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{\infty}$  where  $\bar{e}^{(i)} = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$  (the only nonzero entry is at the  $i$ -th place). Using this notation, we can represent every vertex  $\bar{s} \in V_n$  as

$$(5.1) \quad \bar{s} = \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{e}^{(i_j)},$$

where  $i_j \leq i_{j+1}$ . Comparing with the formula  $\bar{s} = (s_{i_1}, \dots, s_{i_k})$ , we see that  $s_{i_j}$  shows how many times  $\bar{e}^{(i_j)}$  is included in (5.1). Note that the vertices of the first level  $V_1$  can be identified with the vectors  $\bar{e}^{(i)}$ .

To define the set  $E_n$  of edges between the vertices of levels  $V_n$  and  $V_{n+1}$ , we use the following property: for  $\bar{s} \in V_n$ ,  $\bar{t} \in V_{n+1}$ , the set  $E(\bar{s}, \bar{t})$  consists of exactly one edge if and only if  $\bar{t} = \bar{s} + \bar{e}^{(i)}$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . In other words, if  $\bar{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots) \in V_n$  and  $\bar{t} = (t_1, t_2, \dots) \in V_{n+1}$ , then there exists  $i_0$  such that  $t_{i_0} = s_{i_0} + 1$  and  $t_i = s_i$  if  $i \neq i_0$ . It is obvious that every  $\bar{s} \in V_n$  is the source for an edge and every  $\bar{t} \in V_{n+1}$  is the range for an edge from  $E(V_n, V_{n+1})$ . It is also clear that  $|s^{-1}(\bar{s})| = \aleph_0$  since we can add 1 in any of the coordinates of  $\bar{s}$  and obtain a vertex  $\bar{t} \in V_{n+1}$  which will be connected by an edge to  $\bar{s}$ . In contrast, the set  $r^{-1}(\bar{t})$  is finite because  $\bar{s} \in s(r^{-1}(\bar{t}))$  if and only if  $\bar{s} = \bar{t} - \bar{e}^{(i_0)}$  where  $i_0$  is an index such that  $t_{i_0}$  is positive. Moreover,  $|r^{-1}(\bar{t})| \leq n$  for all  $\bar{t} \in V_n$  and if  $\bar{t} = (t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_k})$ , then  $s(r^{-1}(\bar{t})) = \{\bar{t} - \bar{e}^{(i_1)}, \dots, \bar{t} - \bar{e}^{(i_k)}\}$ .

We set  $V = \bigcup_n V_n$  and  $E = \bigcup_n E_n$ . The diagram  $B = (V, E)$  is called the *infinite Pascal-Bratteli diagram* (or  $\mathbb{N}$ -IPB diagram).

We discuss the structure of the incidence matrices  $F'_n = (f'_{\bar{t}\bar{s}})^{(n)}$  and the corresponding stochastic matrices for the  $\mathbb{N}$ -IPB diagram. The rows of  $F'_n$  are determined by vertices  $\bar{t} = (t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_k})$  of  $V_{n+1}$ :

$$(5.2) \quad f'_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \bar{s} = \bar{t} - \bar{e}^{(i_j)}, j = 1, \dots, k \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Every row of  $F'_n$  has finitely many nonzero entries, and every column of  $F'_n$  has infinitely many 1's and infinitely many 0's.

To describe the path space  $X_B$  of the  $\mathbb{N}$ -IPB diagram, we note that every path  $\bar{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$  (finite or infinite) can be identified with a sequence of vertices  $(\bar{s}^{(1)}, \bar{s}^{(2)}, \dots)$

such that  $\bar{s}^{(i)} = s(x_i) \in V_i$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, if  $I_{\bar{s}}$  is the set of nonzero entries of the vector  $\bar{s}$ , then, for every path  $\bar{x} = (\bar{s}^{(1)}, \bar{s}^{(2)}, \bar{s}^{(3)}, \dots)$ , we have  $I_{\bar{s}^{(1)}} \subset I_{\bar{s}^{(2)}} \subset I_{\bar{s}^{(3)}} \subset \dots$ . Moreover,  $|I_{\bar{s}^{(i+1)}}| = |I_{\bar{s}^{(i)}}| + 1$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The stochastic matrix  $F_n$  is determined by the entries  $f'_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n)}$  and the heights  $H_{\bar{s}}^{(n)}$  as above in (3.3). To work with the entries of stochastic matrices, we need a formula for  $H_{\bar{s}}^{(n)}$  where  $\bar{s} \in V_n$ . For this, we will simplify our notation and write  $\bar{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k) \in V_n$  if  $s_1 + \dots + s_k = n$ . The difference is that this notation does not indicate what indexes correspond to positive entries in the infinite vector  $\bar{s}$ . Clearly,  $k$  depends on  $\bar{s}$  in this representation, however, we will write  $\bar{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_k)$  denoting a vertex from  $V_n$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *In the above settings and for  $\bar{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_k)$ , we have*

$$(5.3) \quad H_{\bar{s}}^{(n)} = \frac{n!}{s_1! s_2! \dots s_k!},$$

For  $\bar{t} = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)$  and  $\bar{s} = \bar{t} - \bar{e}^{(i)}$ , the entry  $f_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n)}$  of the stochastic matrix  $F_n$  is determined by the relation:

$$(5.4) \quad f_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n)} = \frac{t_i}{n+1}$$

*Proof.* Relation (5.3) can be easily proved by induction. Indeed, if  $\bar{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_k) \in V_{n+1}$ , then  $\bar{t}$  is connected by an edge with the vertices

$$(5.5) \quad \bar{s}(i) = (t_1, \dots, t_i - 1, \dots, t_k), \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\bar{t}}^{(n+1)} &= H_{\bar{s}(1)}^{(n)} + \dots + H_{\bar{s}(k)}^{(n)} \\ &= \frac{n!}{(t_1 - 1)! t_2! \dots t_k!} + \dots + \frac{n!}{t_1! t_2! \dots (t_k - 1)!} \\ &= \frac{n!}{(t_1 - 1)! \dots (t_k - 1)!} \cdot \frac{t_1 + \dots + t_k}{t_1 \dots t_k} \\ &= \frac{(n+1)!}{t_1! \dots t_k!}. \end{aligned}$$

To compute the entries of the stochastic matrix  $F_n$ , we use (5.3) and (5.5):

$$(5.6) \quad f_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n)} = f'_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n)} \cdot \frac{H_{\bar{s}}^{(n)}}{H_{\bar{t}}^{(n+1)}} = \frac{n!}{s_1! \dots s_k!} \cdot \frac{t_1! \dots t_k!}{(n+1)!} = \frac{t_i}{n+1}.$$

□

Next, we find the formulas for the entries  $g_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n,m)}$  of matrices  $G^{(n,m)}$ , the products of stochastic matrices  $F_{n+m-1} \dots F_n$  where  $m \geq 1$  and  $\bar{t} \in V_{n+m}$ ,  $\bar{s} \in V_n$ . We have seen in Section 4 that these matrices play an important role in finding tail invariant measures on the path space of a Bratteli diagram  $X_B$ .

*Remark 5.2.* Let  $\bar{t} = (t_1, t_2, \dots) \in V_{n+m}$  and  $\bar{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots) \in V_n$ . Then  $E(\bar{s}, \bar{t}) \neq \emptyset$  (i.e.,  $\bar{s}$  and  $\bar{t}$  are connected by a finite path) if and only if  $t_i \geq s_i$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . In particular,  $s_i > 0$  implies  $t_i > 0$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $(F'_n)$  be the sequence of incidence matrices for the  $\mathbb{N}$ -IPB diagram defined by (5.2). Then, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the corresponding stochastic matrices  $F_n$  do not satisfy Theorem 2.10. This means that the mapping  $F_n : \Delta_1 \rightarrow \Delta_1$  is discontinuous.*

*Proof.* To prove this, we fix some  $\bar{s} = (s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots) \in V_n$  and let  $\bar{t}^{(i)} = \bar{s} + \bar{e}^{(i)}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $\bar{t}^{(i)} \in V_{n+1}$  and  $f_{\bar{t}^{(i)}\bar{s}}^{(n)} = \frac{s_i+1}{n+1} \geq \frac{1}{n+1}$ .

Let  $\bar{g}_{\bar{t}}$  be the  $\bar{t}$ -th row of the matrix  $F_n$ . Then  $|\bar{g}_{\bar{t}^{(i)}}| \geq \frac{1}{(n+1)2^{a(\bar{s})}}$ . This implies that  $\lim_{b(\bar{t}) \rightarrow \infty} |\bar{g}_{\bar{t}}| > 0$ , where  $b(\bar{t})$  is an enumeration of vertices of the set  $V_{n+1}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $\bar{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_k) \in V_{n+m}$  and  $\bar{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_l) \in V_n$  be two vertices connected by a finite path. Then*

$$(5.7) \quad g_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n,m)} = \left[ \binom{t_1}{s_1} \cdots \binom{t_k}{s_k} \right] \cdot \binom{n+m}{n}^{-1}$$

*Proof.* We first note that the entries  $g_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n,m)}$  of the matrices  $G'^{(n,m)} = F'_{n+m-1} \cdots F'_n$  can be found as follows:

$$(5.8) \quad g_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n,m)} = \frac{m!}{(t_1 - s_1)! \cdots (t_k - s_k)!}, \quad \text{if } s_i \leq t_i \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, k.$$

We observe that, if the vertices  $\bar{t}$  and  $\bar{s}$  are connected by a path, then  $l \leq k$ . To make sense of all differences  $t_i - s_i$  we can assume (if necessary) that some  $s_i$  are zeros. It does not affect the formula in (5.7) because  $\binom{t_i}{s_i} = 1$  if  $s_i = 0$ . To complete the definition of entries of  $G'^{(n,m)}$ , we set

$$g_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n,m)} = 0, \quad \text{if } s_i > t_i \text{ for at least one index } i \geq 1.$$

The condition  $s_i > t_i$  means that there is no path between  $\bar{t}$  and  $\bar{s}$ .

We leave the proof of relation (5.8) to the reader since it can be proved exactly in the same way as (5.3).

Next, we use Lemma 5.1 and compute

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n,m)} &= g_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}'^{(n,m)} \frac{H_{\bar{s}}^{(n)}}{H_{\bar{t}}^{(n+m)}} \\ &= \frac{m!}{(t_1 - s_1)! \cdots (t_k - s_k)!} \cdot \frac{n!}{s_1! \cdots s_k!} \cdot \frac{t_1! \cdots t_k!}{(n+m)!} \\ &= \left[ \binom{t_1}{s_1} \cdots \binom{t_k}{s_k} \right] \cdot \binom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

*Remark 5.5.* There are only  $l$  factors different from 1 in the product  $\binom{t_1}{s_1} \cdots \binom{t_k}{s_k}$ . So, with some abuse of notation, one can also write this product as  $\binom{t_1}{s_1} \cdots \binom{t_l}{s_l}$ . In this case, we consider only the entries of  $\bar{t}$  and  $\bar{s}$  that contribute to the product above.



Using the results of Section 4, we describe the sets  $\Delta^{(n,\infty,cl)}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , for the N-IPB diagram. Fix  $n, m \geq 1$ . The rows of the matrix  $G^{(n,m)}$  are represented by the vectors

$$\bar{g}_{\bar{t}}^{(n,m)} = \langle g_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n,m)} : \bar{s} \in V_n \rangle, \quad \bar{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_k) \in V_{n+m},$$

where the entries  $g_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n,m)}$  are found in Lemma 5.4. If necessary, we can write  $\bar{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_l) \in V_n$  as a collection of  $k$  numbers  $(s_i : i = 1, \dots, k)$ , where  $s_1 + \dots + s_k = n$ ,  $s_i \leq t_i$ , assuming that some of them can be zero.

*Remark 5.6.* Let  $\bar{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_k) \in V_{n+m}$  and  $\bar{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_l) \in V_n$  be two vertices connected by a finite path. One can easily see that relation (5.7) can be written then in the form

$$\begin{aligned} (5.9) \quad g_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n,m)} &= \frac{m!}{(t_1 - s_1)! \cdots (t_k - s_k)!} \cdot \frac{n!}{s_1! \cdots s_k!} \cdot \frac{t_1! \cdots t_k!}{(n+m)!} \\ &= \frac{n!}{s_1! \cdots s_l!} \cdot \frac{[(t_1 - s_1 + 1) \cdots t_1] \cdots [(t_l - s_l + 1) \cdots t_l]}{(m+1) \cdots (m+n)}. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, we should take into account only non-zero entries of  $\bar{s}$  to compute  $g_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n,m)}$  in (5.9)

We recall that  $L^{(n)} = L^{(n)}(\{\bar{g}_{\bar{t}}\})$  denotes the set of all limit points of convergent sequences  $\{\bar{g}_{\bar{t}_m}^{(n,m)} : \bar{t}_m \in V_{n+m}\}$ .

**Theorem 5.7.** *Let  $\bar{d} = \langle d_i : i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \rangle$  be a vector from  $\Delta$ , i.e.  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d_i \leq 1$ . The set  $L^{(n)}(\{\bar{g}_{\bar{t}}\})$  consists of the vectors  $\bar{q}^{(n)}(\bar{d}) = \langle q_{\bar{s}}^{(n)}(\bar{d}) : \bar{s} \in V_n \rangle$  where*

$$q_{\bar{s}}^{(n)}(\bar{d}) = \frac{n!}{s_1! \cdots s_k!} \cdot d_1^{s_1} \cdots d_k^{s_k}.$$

We will need the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.8.** *Let  $\{\bar{t}^{(m)}\}$  be a sequence of vertices such that*

$$\bar{t}^{(m)} = (t_1^{(m)}, t_2^{(m)}, \dots) \in V_{n+m}.$$

*Then the sequence  $\bar{g}_{\bar{t}^{(m)}}$  converges if and only if the limit*

$$(5.10) \quad d_i = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t_i^{(m)}}{m}$$

*exists for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots$*

*Proof.* We first assume that the limit  $d_i$  in (5.10) exists,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then, for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^k d_i = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^k t_i^{(m)} \leq 1$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d_i \leq 1$$

It follows immediately from (5.9) that for every  $\bar{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_l) \in V_n$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.11) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g_{\bar{t}^{(m)} \bar{s}}^{(n, m)} &= \frac{n!}{s_1! \dots s_l!} \cdot \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left[ \left( t_1^{(m)} - s_1 + 1 \right) \dots t_1^{(m)} \right]}{m^{s_1}} \dots \frac{\left[ \left( t_l^{(m)} - s_l + 1 \right) \dots t_l^{(m)} \right]}{m^{s_l}} \times \\
 &\quad \times \frac{m^{s_1} \dots m^{s_l}}{(m+1) \dots (m+n)} \\
 &= \frac{n!}{s_1! \dots s_l!} \cdot d_1^{s_1} \dots d_l^{s_l}
 \end{aligned}$$

We used here that  $s_1 + \dots + s_l = n$  and (5.10).

To prove the converse, we assume that the limit

$$\lim_{m_j \rightarrow \infty} g_{\bar{t}^{(m_j)}}^{(n, m_j)} \quad \text{exists.}$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{m_j \rightarrow \infty} g_{\bar{t}^{(m_j)} \bar{s}}^{(n, m_j)} \quad \text{exists for every } \bar{s} \in V_n.$$

For infinitely many vertices  $\bar{s} \in V_n$ , this limit is zero. For other vertices, we use (5.11) to see that the limit

$$\lim_{m_j \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{\left( t_1^{(m_j)} - s_1 + 1 \right)}{m_j} \dots \frac{t_1^{(m_j)}}{m_j} \right] \dots \left[ \frac{\left( t_l^{(m_j)} - s_l + 1 \right)}{m_j} \dots \frac{t_l^{(m_j)}}{m_j} \right]$$

exists. Indeed, for some fixed  $i \geq 1$ , take  $\bar{s} \in V_n$  such that  $s_i = n$  and  $s_j = 0$  for  $j \neq i$ . Then

$$\lim_{m_j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left( t_i^{(m_j)} - n + 1 \right) \dots t_i^{(m_j)}}{m_j^n}$$

exists. We can find a subsequence  $\{m_j'\}$  (depending on  $i$ ) such that  $\lim_{m_j' \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t_i^{(m_j')}}{m_j'}$  exists for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Now, applying the standard argument, we can select a subsequence  $\{m_j''\}$  (being a subsequence of each sequence  $\{m_j'\}$  depending on  $i$  for sufficiently large  $j$ ) such that

$$(5.12) \quad \lim_{m_j'' \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t_i^{(m_j'')}}{m_j''} = d_{n,i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

According to the above considerations, we have  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d_{n,i} \leq 1$ . □

*Proof.* (Proof of Theorem 5.7). We use Lemma 5.8 and relations (5.11), (5.12) to find the limit points of the set  $L^{(n)}(\{\bar{g}_t\})$ :

$$\lim_{m_j \rightarrow \infty} g_{\bar{t}^{(m_j')} \bar{s}}^{(n, m_j')} = \frac{n!}{s_1! \dots s_l!} \cdot d_{n,1}^{s_1} \dots d_{n,l}^{s_l}.$$

This proves the theorem. □

**Proposition 5.9.** (1) Let  $B$  be the  $\mathbb{N}$ -infinite Pascal-Bratteli diagram, and  $\bar{d} \in \Delta_1$  a probability vector. Define the sequence of vectors  $\bar{p}^{(n)} = \langle p_{\bar{s}}^{(n)} : \bar{s} \in V_n \rangle$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) by setting

$$(5.13) \quad p_{\bar{s}}^{(n)} = d_1^{s_1} \cdots d_l^{s_l}$$

where  $\bar{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_l)$  is any vertex from  $V_n$ . Then  $\bar{d}$  determines uniquely a probability tail invariant measure  $\mu_{\bar{d}}$  on the path space  $X_B$  of the diagram  $B$ .

(2) Suppose that  $\bar{q}^{(n)}(\bar{d}) = \langle q_{\bar{s}}^{(n)}(\bar{d}) : \bar{s} \in V_n \rangle$  is a vector from  $L^{(n)}(\{\bar{g}_{\bar{t}}\})$  where

$$q_{\bar{s}}^{(n)}(\bar{d}) = \frac{n!}{s_1! \cdots s_l!} \cdot d_{n,1}^{s_1} \cdots d_{n,l}^{s_l},$$

and  $\bar{d}^{(n)} = \langle d_{n,i} : i = 1, 2, \dots \rangle$  is a probability vector. Then the sequence of  $\bar{q}^{(n)}(\bar{d}^{(n)})$  determine an invariant measure on  $\mathbb{N}$ -IPB diagram if and only if  $\bar{d}^{(n)} = \bar{d}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* To prove (1), we use Theorem 3.6 and show that  $F_n'^T \bar{p}^{(n+1)} = \bar{p}^{(n)}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Indeed, if  $\bar{s} = \langle s_j : j \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  is a vertex in  $V_n$  ( $\bar{s}$  has  $l$  nonzero entries) connected with a vertex  $\bar{t} \in V_{n+1}$ , then  $\bar{t} = \bar{s} + \bar{e}^i$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\bar{t} \in V_{n+1}} f_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n)} p_{\bar{t}}^{(n+1)} &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (d_1^{s_1} \cdots d_i^{s_i+1} \cdots) \\ &= [d_1^{s_1} \cdot d_2^{s_2} \cdots] \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d_i \\ &= d_1^{s_1} \cdots d_l^{s_l} \\ &= p_{\bar{s}}^{(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (5.13), we assign the value  $p_{\bar{s}}^{(n)}$  to any cylinder set connecting a vertex in  $V_1$  with  $\bar{s}$ . It defines  $\mu_{\bar{d}}$  on all clopen sets. The proved relation means that this definition satisfies Theorem 3.6. Hence, we can extend  $\mu_{\bar{d}}$  to all Borel sets and obtain a tail invariant probability measure.

(2) We use the computation similar to that from (1) to see that  $F_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}'^T \bar{p}^{(n+1)} = \bar{p}^{(n)}$  where  $\bar{p}^{(n)}$  is determined by  $\bar{d}^{(n)}$  as in (1). To see that  $\bar{d}^{(n)} = \bar{d}^{(n+1)}$  it suffices to take  $\bar{s} = (0, \dots, n, 0, \dots)$  where  $n$  is at  $k$ -th position. □

**Theorem 5.10.** The measures  $\mu_{\bar{d}}$ , defined in Proposition 5.9 by probability vectors  $\bar{d} = \langle d_i : i = 1, 2, \dots \rangle$ , form the set of all probability invariant ergodic measures on  $\mathbb{N}$ -IPB diagram.

*Proof.* Let  $\mu$  be an ergodic tail invariant probability measure on the path space  $X_B$  of the  $\mathbb{N}$ -IPB diagram. By Lemma 4.3, there exists a sequence of vectors  $\{\bar{q}^{(n)}\}$  such that  $\bar{q}^{(n)} \in \Delta^{(n, \infty, cl)}$  and this sequence determines the measure  $\mu$ . We show that

$$(5.14) \quad \mu = \mu_{\bar{d}}$$

for some probability vector  $\bar{d}$ . The vectors  $\bar{q}^{(n)}$ 's are extreme points of  $\Delta^{(n, \infty, cl)}$ . As observed in Remark 4.8, the extreme points of  $\Delta^{(n, cl, \infty)}$  are contained in  $L^{(n)}(\{\bar{g}_{\bar{t}}\})$

where  $\bar{t} \in V_{n+m}, m \geq 1$ . Hence, the vectors  $\bar{q}^{(n)}$  are of the form  $\bar{q}^{(n)}(\bar{d}^{(n)})$ , where  $\bar{d}^{(n)}$  are probability vectors. It follows from Proposition 5.9 (2) that  $\bar{d}^{(n)} = \bar{d}$  for all  $n$ . This proves (5.14).

To prove that each measure  $\mu_{\bar{d}}$  is ergodic, we will show that the measures  $\mu_{\bar{d}}$ , when  $\bar{d}$  runs over all probability vectors, are mutually singular.

Fix a probability vector  $\bar{d} = \langle d_i : i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  and define the set  $X(\bar{d})$  consisting of all infinite paths  $\bar{e} = (\bar{s}^{(1)}, \bar{s}^{(2)}, \bar{s}^{(3)}, \dots) \in X_B$  such that

$$(5.15) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_i^{(n)}}{n} = d_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $\bar{s}^{(n)} = (s_1^{(n)}, s_2^{(n)}, \dots) \in V_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We will show that  $\mu_{\bar{d}}(X(\bar{d})) = 1$ .

Recall that  $\bar{e}^{(j)} = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , is the vector such that the symbol “1” appears at the  $j$ -th position. Clearly,  $\bar{e}^{(j)} \in \Delta_1$ .

Let  $\bar{y} : X_B \rightarrow \Delta$  be a random vector-valued function such that  $\bar{y}$  takes the value  $\bar{e}^{(j)}$  with probability  $d_j, j = 1, 2, \dots$

Next, we define a sequence of independent random vectors  $\bar{Y}_1, \bar{Y}_2, \bar{Y}_3, \dots$  as follows: for an infinite path  $\bar{e} = (\bar{s}^{(1)}, \bar{s}^{(2)}, \bar{s}^{(3)}, \dots)$ , we set  $\bar{Y}_n(\bar{e}) = \bar{e}^{(j)}$ , where  $j = j_n$  is a natural number such that  $\bar{s}^{(n)} = \bar{s}^{(n-1)} + \bar{e}^{(j)}$  ( $\bar{s}^{(0)} = \bar{0}$ ). Clearly,  $\bar{Y}_n$  has the same distribution as  $\bar{y}$ . In particular,  $\mathbb{E}(\bar{Y}_n) = \mathbb{E}(\bar{y}) = \bar{d} = \langle d_i, i = 1, 2, \dots \rangle$ .

It follows from the definition of  $\bar{Y}_n$ 's that  $(\bar{Y}_1 + \bar{Y}_2 + \dots + \bar{Y}_n)(\bar{e}) = \bar{s}^{(n)}$ . This implies that

$$\frac{1}{n} \cdot (\bar{Y}_1 + \bar{Y}_2 + \dots + \bar{Y}_n)(\bar{e}) \in \Delta_1.$$

Applying the limit theorem for the sequence  $\{\bar{Y}_n\}$ , we get

$$\frac{1}{n} \cdot (\bar{Y}_1 + \bar{Y}_2 + \dots + \bar{Y}_n)(\bar{e}) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(\bar{y}) = \bar{d} = \langle d_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$$

for  $\mu_{\bar{d}}$ -almost all  $\bar{e} \in X_B$ . It follows from (5.15) that  $\mu_{\bar{d}}(X(\bar{d})) = 1$ .

Since  $X(\bar{d}) \cap X(\bar{c}) = \emptyset$  for different probability vectors  $\bar{d}$  and  $\bar{c}$ , we obtain that the measures  $\mu_{\bar{d}}$  and  $\mu_{\bar{c}}$  are mutually singular. We can finish the proof of Theorem 5.10 as follows. It was proved that each ergodic invariant measure is of the form  $\mu_{\bar{d}}$ . Then every invariant probability measure  $\mu$  on the  $\mathbb{N}$ -IPB diagram is an integral over the set of ergodic measures. Thus,  $\mu$  cannot be singular to each ergodic measure. Therefore every measure  $\mu_{\bar{d}}$  is ergodic.  $\square$

**5.2.  $\mathbb{Z}$ -Infinite Pascal-Bratteli diagram.** In this subsection, we consider another realization of the infinite Pascal-Bratteli diagram with vertices whose entries are indexed by  $\mathbb{Z}$ . For the reader's convenience, we remind the notation from Subsection 5.1 adapted to this case.

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  define

$$V_n = \{ \bar{s} = (\dots s_{-1}, s_0, s_1, \dots) : s_i \in \mathbb{N}_0, \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} s_i = n \}.$$

Every  $V_n$  is a countable set. To define the set of all edges  $E = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} E_n$ , we say that for  $\bar{s} = (\dots, s_{-1}, s_0, s_1, \dots) \in V_n$  and  $\bar{t} = (\dots, t_{-1}, t_0, t_1, \dots) \in V_{n+1}$  the set  $E(\bar{s}, \bar{t})$  consists of exactly one edge if and only if  $\bar{t} = \bar{s} + \bar{e}^{(i)}$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Here  $\bar{e}^{(i)} = (\dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$  is

the vector whose only non-zero entry is 1 at the  $i$ th place. That is if  $\bar{s}$  and  $\bar{t}$  as above, then there exists  $i$  such that  $t_i = s_i + 1$  and  $t_i = s_i$  if  $i \neq i_0$ . In particular,  $s_i = 0$  if  $t_i = 0$ . Then every  $\bar{s} \in V_n$  is the source for an edge and every  $\bar{t} \in V_{n+1}$  is the range for an edge from  $E(V_n, V_{n+1})$ . Hence  $|s^{-1}(\bar{s})| = \aleph_0$  and the set  $r^{-1}(\bar{t})$  is finite.

The diagram  $B = (V, E)$ , where  $V$  and  $E$  are defined above, is called the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -infinite Pascal-Bratteli diagram (or  $\mathbb{Z}$ -IPB diagram).

We use the same notation  $H_{\bar{s}}^{(n)}$ ,  $F'_n = (f'_{\bar{t}\bar{s}})^{(n)}$ , and  $F_n = (f_{\bar{t}\bar{s}})^{(n)}$  ( $\bar{t} \in V_{n+1}$ ,  $\bar{s} \in V_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) for the height of the towers, incidence, and stochastic matrices of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -IPB diagram, respectively.

Let  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  is such that  $\bar{t} = \bar{s} + \bar{e}^{(i)}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then we have the following formulas

$$(5.16) \quad H_{\bar{s}}^{(n)} = \frac{n!}{(\dots s_{-1}! \cdot s_0! \cdot s_1! \cdot \dots)},$$

$$(5.17) \quad f'_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n)} = 1 \text{ if } \bar{t} = \bar{s} + \bar{e}^{(i)}, \text{ and } f'_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n)} = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

$$(5.18) \quad f_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n)} = f'_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n)} \cdot \frac{H_{\bar{s}}^{(n)}}{H_{\bar{t}}^{(n+1)}} = \frac{n!}{(\dots s_{-1}! \cdot s_0! \cdot s_1! \cdot \dots)} \cdot \frac{(\dots t_{-1}! \cdot t_0! \cdot t_1! \cdot \dots)}{(n+1)!} = \frac{t_i}{(n+1)},$$

Using similar arguments as for the  $\mathbb{N}$ -IPB diagram, we can find the formulas for the entries  $g'_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n,m)}$  and  $g_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n,m)}$  of the matrices  $G'^{(n,m)}$  and  $G^{(n,m)}$ ,  $\bar{t} \in V_{n+m}$ ,  $\bar{s} \in V_n$ . We obviously have  $g'_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n,m)} = 0$  if  $s_i > t_i$  for at least one index  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $s_i \leq t_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then

$$(5.19) \quad g'_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n,m)} = \frac{m!}{\dots \cdot (t_{-1} - s_{-1})! \cdot (t_0 - s_0)! \cdot (t_1 - s_1)! \cdot \dots}$$

Further, we calculate as in (5.9)

$$(5.20) \quad \begin{aligned} g_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n,m)} &= \frac{m!}{\dots \cdot (t_{-1} - s_{-1})! \cdot (t_0 - s_0)! \cdot (t_1 - s_1)! \cdot \dots} \cdot \frac{n!}{\dots \cdot s_{-1}! \cdot s_0! \cdot s_1! \cdot \dots} \times \\ &\quad \times \frac{\dots t_{-1}t_0! \cdot t_1! \cdot \dots}{(n+m)!} \\ &= \left[ \dots \cdot \binom{t_{-1}}{s_{-1}} \cdot \binom{t_0}{s_0} \cdot \binom{t_1}{s_1} \cdot \dots \right] \binom{n+m}{n} \end{aligned}$$

whenever  $s_i \leq t_i$  for all  $i$  and we set  $g_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n,m)} = 0$  if  $s_i > t_i$  for at least one  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Next, we describe the sets  $\Delta^{(n,\infty,cl)}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  for  $\mathbb{Z}$ -IPB diagram. Fix  $n, m \geq 1$  using (5.20). The rows of the matrix  $G^{(n,m)}$  are the vectors of the form

$$\bar{g}_{\bar{t}}^{(n,m)} = \langle g_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n,m)} \mid \bar{s} \in V_n \rangle.$$

We have from (5.20)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.21) \quad g_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n,m)} &= \frac{m!}{\dots (t_{-1} - s_{-1})! \cdot (t_0 - s_0)! \cdot (t_1 - s_1)! \cdot \dots} \cdot \frac{n!}{\dots s_{-1} \cdot s_0! \cdot s_1! \cdot \dots} \times \\
 &\quad \times \frac{\dots t_{-1}! \cdot t_0! \cdot t_1! \cdot \dots}{(n+m)!} \\
 &= \frac{n!}{\dots s_{-1}! \cdot s_0! \cdot s_1! \cdot \dots} \cdot \frac{[\dots (t_{-1} - s_{-1} + 1) \dots t_{-1}] \cdot [(t_0 - s_0 + 1) \dots t_0] \cdot \dots}{(m+1)(m+2) \dots (m+n)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $m \rightarrow \infty$  and take  $\bar{t}^{(m)} = (\dots (t_{-1}^{(m)}, t_0^{(m)}, t_1^{(m)}, \dots) \in V_{n+m}$ . Using relation (5.21) and the same arguments as in the case of the N-IPB diagram, we prove that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{g}_{\bar{t}^{(m)}} \text{ exists } \iff \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t_i^{(m)}}{m} \text{ exist for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then we can repeat the proof of Theorem 4.9 and show that

$$(5.22) \quad L_n(\{\bar{g}_{\bar{t}} : \bar{t} \in V_{n+m}, m \geq 1\}) = \{\bar{q}^{(n)}(\bar{d})\}$$

where

$$\bar{q}^{(n)}(\bar{d}) = \langle q_{\bar{s}}^{(n)}(\bar{d}) : \bar{s} \in V_n \rangle = \left\langle \frac{n!}{\dots s_{-1}! \cdot s_0! \cdot s_1! \cdot \dots} \cdot [\dots d_{-1}^{s_{-1}} \cdot d_0^{s_0} \cdot d_1^{s_1} \cdot \dots] : \bar{s} \in V_n \right\rangle,$$

and  $\bar{d} = \langle d_i : i \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$  is a non-negative vector such that  $\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} d_i \leq 1$ .

**Lemma 5.11.** *Let  $\bar{d} = \langle d_i : i \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$  be a probability vector. Then  $\bar{d}$  determines a tail invariant probability measure  $\mu_{\bar{d}}$  on the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -IPB diagram such that*

$$p_{\bar{s}}^{(n)} = \mu_{\bar{d}}([\bar{e}_{\bar{s}}]) = \frac{q_{\bar{s}}^{(n)}}{H_{\bar{s}}^{(n)}} = \dots \cdot d_{-1}^{s_{-1}} d_0^{s_0} d_1^{s_1} \cdot \dots, \quad \bar{s} \in V_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $\bar{e}_{\bar{s}}$  is a finite path with the range  $\bar{s} \in V_n$ .

**Theorem 5.12.** *The measures  $\mu_{\bar{d}}$ , defined in Lemma 5.11 by probability vectors  $\bar{d} = \langle d_i : i = 1, 2, \dots \rangle$ , form the set of all probability invariant ergodic measures on  $\mathbb{Z}$ -IPB diagram.*

**5.3. Multi-dimensional finite Pascal-Bratteli diagrams.** We discuss in this section multi-dimensional finite Pascal-Bratteli diagrams. These diagrams and their tail invariant probability measures were considered by K. Petersen and the other authors in [MP05] and in [FP10]. Our goal is to show that these measures can be obtained using the “geometrical” method, which was presented in Section 4.

To define an MFPB diagram  $B = (V, E)$ , we fix first a natural number  $k \geq 2$ . For  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , we set

$$V_n = \{\bar{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k) : s_i \in \mathbb{N}_0, \sum_{i=1}^k s_i = n\}.$$

Of course,  $V_0 = \{\bar{s}_0 = (0, \dots, 0)\}$  and  $|V_n| < \infty$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We define the set of edges  $E$  as follows. Let  $\bar{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in V_n$  and  $\bar{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_k) \in V_{n+1}$ . Then the edge  $e(\bar{s}, \bar{t})$  exists if and only if  $\bar{s} + \bar{e}^{(i_0)} = \bar{t}$ , i.e.,  $s_{i_0} + 1 = t_{i_0}$  for some

$i_0$  and  $s_i = t_i$  for  $i \neq i_0$ . Then, for each  $\bar{s} \in V = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (V_n)$ , we have  $|s^{(-1)}(\bar{s})| = k$  and  $|r^{(-1)}(\bar{s})| = k$ . The defined diagram is called *k-dimensional Pascal-Bratteli diagram*.

Applying the methods used in Subsection 5.1, we can find the formulas for the heights  $H_{\bar{s}}^{(n)}$  of towers and entries of matrices  $G^{(n,m)}$  and  $G^{(n,m)}$ .

The number of finite paths with range  $\bar{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in V_m$  is

$$H_{\bar{s}}^{(n)} = \frac{n!}{s_1! \cdot \dots \cdot s_k!}.$$

For  $\bar{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in V_m$  and  $\bar{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_k) \in V_{n+1}$ , the entries  $f'_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n)}$  of the incidence matrix  $F'_n$  are determined by the rule:

$$f'_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } e(\bar{s}, \bar{t}) \neq \emptyset \\ 0, & \text{if } e(\bar{s}, \bar{t}) = \emptyset \end{cases}$$

Then the entries  $\{f_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n)}\}$  of the stochastic matrix  $F_n$  are given by the following formulas:

$$f_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n)} = f'_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n)} \cdot \frac{H_{\bar{s}}^{(n)}}{H_{\bar{t}}^{n+1}} = \frac{t_i}{(n+1)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

if  $t_i = s_i + 1$  for some  $i$ , and  $f_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n)} = 0$  otherwise.

The entries  $g'_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n,m)}$  and  $g_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n,m)}$  of the matrices  $G^{(n,m)}$  and  $G^{(n,m)}$ , can be found similar to (5.7) and (5.8). For  $\bar{s} \in V_n$  and  $\bar{t} \in V_{n+m}$ , we obtain

$$(5.23) \quad g'_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n,m)} = \frac{m!}{(t_1 - s_1)! \cdot (t_2 - s_2)! \cdot \dots \cdot (t_k - s_k)!}$$

if  $s_i \leq t_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , and  $g'_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n,m)} = 0$  if  $s_i > t_i$  for at least one index  $i \geq 1$ . Similarly, we can write

$$(5.24) \quad \begin{aligned} g_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n,m)} &= \frac{m!}{(t_1 - s_1)! \cdot \dots \cdot (t_k - s_k)!} \cdot \frac{n!}{s_1! \cdot \dots \cdot s_k!} \cdot \frac{t_1! \cdot \dots \cdot t_k!}{(n+m)!} \\ &= \left[ \binom{t_1}{s_1} \cdot \dots \cdot \binom{t_k}{s_k} \right] \cdot \binom{n+m}{n}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

whenever  $s_i \leq t_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , and  $g_{\bar{t}\bar{s}}^{(n,m)} = 0$  if  $s_i > t_i$  for at least one  $i$ .

**Proposition 5.13.** *Let  $\bar{d} = \langle d_i : i = 1, \dots, k \rangle$  be a probability vector. Then  $\bar{d}$  determines a tail invariant probability measure  $\mu_{\bar{d}}$  on the path space of the MFPB diagram such that*

$$p_{\bar{s}}^{(n)} = \mu_{\bar{d}}([\bar{e}_{\bar{s}}]) = \frac{q_{\bar{s}}^{(n)}}{H_{\bar{s}}^{(n)}} = d_1^{s_1} d_2^{s_2} \dots d_k^{s_k} \quad \bar{s} \in V_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $\bar{e}_{\bar{s}}$  is a finite path with the range  $\bar{s} \in V_n$ .

The measures  $\mu_{\bar{d}}$  form the set of all probability invariant ergodic measures on the MFPB diagram.



**5.4. Subdiagrams of the Pascal-Bratteli diagrams.** Let  $B = (V, E)$  be a  $\mathbb{N}$ -infinite Pascal-Bratteli diagram. Take a proper non-empty subset  $K \subset \mathbb{N}$ ,  $K$  can be finite or infinite. Define the sets  $W_n$  by the following rule:

$$W_n := \{\bar{s} = (s_i) : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s_i = n \text{ such that } s_i = 0 \text{ whenever } i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus K\}.$$

Here  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and the entries of  $\bar{s}$  are non-negative integers.

Of course, the terms of the sequence  $\bar{W} = (W_n)$  can be viewed as subsets of  $V_n$ , the levels of  $\mathbb{N}$ -infinite Pascal-Bratteli diagram. Thus, the set  $K$  defines a subdiagram  $\bar{B}_K = (\bar{W}, \bar{E})$ , where  $\bar{E}$  is the set of all possible edges between  $W_n$  and  $W_{(n+1)}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is clear that  $\bar{B}_K$  is, in its turn, a Pascal-Bratteli diagram (finite if  $|K| < \infty$  or infinite if  $|K| = \infty$ ).

**Claim.**  $\hat{X}_{\bar{B}_K} = X_{\bar{B}_K}$ .

This means that, by the definition of  $\bar{B}_K$ , the path space of the diagram is invariant for the tail equivalence relation. Moreover, every invariant probability measure  $\bar{\mu}$  on  $\bar{B}_K = (\bar{W}, \bar{E})$  is automatically its extension to the whole diagram  $B = (V, E)$ .

The same facts are true for  $\mathbb{Z}$ -infinite Pascal-Bratteli diagram where  $K$  is a proper subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

## 6. A CLASS OF BRATTELI DIAGRAMS OF BOUNDED SIZE

In this section, we consider generalized Bratteli diagrams with additional properties. In particular, we define the diagrams of (uniformly) bounded size. This class of Bratteli diagrams was defined and considered in detail in [BJKS23], see Definition 3.11. We first discuss the case of standard Bratteli diagrams.

**6.1. Bratteli diagram of uniformly bounded size.** To define a standard Bratteli diagram  $B_k = (V, E)$ , we fix a number  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and take  $V_n = \{-nk, \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, nk\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The set  $E_n$  of edges between  $V_n$  and  $V_{n+1}$  is defined as follows: for  $w \in V_n, v \in V_{n+1}$ , the edge  $e(w, v)$  exists if and only if  $v \in \{w - k, \dots, w - 1, w, w + 1, \dots, w + k\}$ . In Figure 1 this diagram is shown schematically, we do not draw all finite paths.

The entries  $f_{vw}^{(n)}$  of the incidence matrix  $F_n$  are given by the formula:

$$f_{vw}^{(n)} = \begin{cases} 1, & |v - w| \leq k \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad w \in V_n, v \in V_{n+1}.$$


For  $w \in V_n$ , we have  $|s^{-1}(w)| = 2k + 1$ . Similarly, for  $v \in V_{n+1}$ , we have the following properties:  $|r^{-1}(v)| = 2k + 1$  if  $-k(n - 1) \leq v \leq k(n - 1)$ ,  $|r^{(-1)}(v)| = 2k + 1 - s$  if  $v = k(n - 1) + s$  or  $v = -k(n - 1) - s$  where  $s = 1, \dots, 2k$ .

Our goal is to determine the heights  $H_w^{(n)}$  of the clopen sets  $X_w^{(n)}$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $w \in V_n$ . For this, we consider the sequence of functions

$$f_n(x) = (x^k + \dots + x + 1 + x^{-1} + \dots + x^{-k})^n.$$

Every  $f_n(x)$  is represented as follows:

$$f_n(x) = \sum_{w=-nk}^{nk} K_w^{(n)} \cdot x^w$$

FIGURE 1. The Bratteli diagram  $B_k$ .

where  $K_w^{(n)}$  are some natural coefficients.

**Lemma 6.1.** *For the Bratteli diagram  $B_k$  defined above,*

$$(6.1) \quad H_w^{(n)} = K_w^{(n)}$$

for each  $w \in V_n$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* Clearly,  $H_w^{(1)} = K_w^{(1)} = 1$  for every  $w \in V_1$ .

Next, we check that the heights  $H_w^{(n)}$ 's and the coefficients  $K_w^{(n)}$ 's satisfy the same inductive equations. By definition of the Bratteli diagram  $B_k$ ,

$$(6.2) \quad H_v^{(n+1)} = \sum_{w \in r^{-1}(v)} H_w^{(n)}$$

for  $v \in V_{n+1}$ . The set  $V_{n+1}$  is divided into three subsets:  $I_0 = \{-k(n-1), \dots, 0, \dots, k(n-1)\}$ ,  $I_- = \{-k(n+1), \dots, -k(n-1)-1\}$ , and  $I_+ = \{k(n-1)+1, \dots, k(n+1)\}$ . Then relation (6.2) implies that the following formulas hold:

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} H_v^{(n+1)} &= \sum_{w=v-k}^{v+k} H_w^{(n)}, & v \in I_0 \\ H_v^{(n+1)} &= \sum_{w=-nk}^{v+k} H_w^{(n)}, & v \in I_- \\ H_v^{(n+1)} &= \sum_{w=v-k}^{nk} H_w^{(n)}, & v \in I_+. \end{aligned}$$

To find inductive equations for the coefficients  $K_w^{(n)}$ 's, we use the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n+1}(x) &= \sum_{v=-(n+1)k}^{(n+1)k} K_v^{(n+1)} x^v \\ &= \left[ \sum_{w=-nk}^{nk} K_w^{(n)} x^w \right] \cdot (x^{-k} + \dots + x^{-1} + 1 + x^1 + \dots + x^k) \\ &= \left[ \sum_{w=-nk}^{nk} K_w^{(n)} x^{w-k} \right] + \dots + \left[ \sum_{w=-nk}^{nk} K_w^{(n)} x^w \right] + \dots + \left[ \sum_{w=-nk}^{nk} K_w^{(n)} x^{w+k} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the inductive assumption that  $H_w^{(n)} = K_w^{(n)}$ , we reorder the right-hand side of the above equality according to the powers of  $x$  and compare the coefficients with those of  $f_{n+1}(x)$ . As a consequence, we obtain that  $K_v^{(n+1)}$  satisfy all equalities (6.3). In this way, we have proved (6.1).  $\square$

In what follows, we will use the method described in Section 4. We will first find the formulas for the entries  $g'_{vw}^{(n,m)}$  of matrices  $G'^{(n,m)}$ .

Fix a vertex  $w \in V_n$  and consider all paths from  $w$  to the vertices of  $V_{n+m}$ . obviously, if we go along those paths, then we can reach only the vertices from the subset  $\{w - mk, w - mk + 1, \dots, w + mk - 1, w + mk\} \subset V_{n+m}$ . The set of all paths from the vertex  $w \in V_n$  to  $V_{n+m}$  is identical to the subset of all paths from  $0 \in V_0$  to the vertices of the level  $V_m$ . Here  $w$  is any vertex from  $V_n$ . Based on this observation, we conclude that

$$g'_{vw}^{(n,m)} = K_{v-w}^{(m)} = K_{-mk+s}^{(m)}$$

where  $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2mk\}$  is determined by the relation  $v = w - mk + s$ . We set  $g'_{vw}^{(n,m)} = 0$  otherwise. This fact can be used to describe the entries of the  $v$ -th row  $\bar{g}'_v^{(n,m)} = \langle g'_{vw}^{(n,m)} : w \in V_n \rangle$  of the matrix  $G'^{(n,m)}$ ,  $v \in V_{n+m}$ . We take a number  $m$  considerably larger than  $n$ , because in the sequel we want to find  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{g}'_v^{(n,m)}$ ,  $v = v_m$ .

Based on (4.12), (6.1), and the observation above, we have the following formula for the rows of the matrix  $G'^{(n,m)}$ .

**Lemma 6.2.** *For  $v = -(n+m)k + s \in V_{n+m}$ , where  $s = 0, 1, \dots, 2(n+m)k$ , we have*

$$(6.4) \quad \bar{g}'_v^{(n,m)} = \begin{cases} \langle K_{-mk+s}^{(m)}, \dots, K_{-mk}^{(m)}, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle, & s = 0, 1, \dots, 2nk - 1 \\ \langle K_{-mk+s}^{(m)}, \dots, K_{-mk+s-2nk}^{(m)} \rangle, & s = 2nk, \dots, 2mk \\ \langle 0, \dots, 0, K_{mk}^{(m)}, \dots, K_{-mk+s-2nk}^{(m)} \rangle, & s = 2mk + 1, \dots, 2(n+m)k \end{cases}$$

Recall that, in the formulation of this lemma, we assume that  $m$  is considerably larger than  $n$ .

To determine the set  $\Delta^{(n,\infty,cl)}$ , we use Theorem 4.9. For this, we are looking for the set of all limit points of the vectors  $\bar{g}'_v^{(n,m)}$ 's (when  $v = v_m$ ,  $m \rightarrow \infty$ ).

Define the following probability vectors:

$$(6.5) \quad \bar{y}_v^{(n,m)} = \frac{1}{\sum_{w=-nk}^{w=nk} g'_{vw}^{(m,n)}} \cdot \bar{g}'_v^{(n,m)},$$

where  $v = -(n+m)k, \dots, (n+m)k \in V_{n+m}$ .

Applying Lemma 6.2, we get

$$(6.6) \quad \bar{y}_v^{(n,m)} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sum_{u=0}^s K_{-mk+s-u}^{(m)}} \langle K_{-mk+s}^{(m)}, \dots, K_{-mk}^{(m)}, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle, & s = 0, \dots, 2nk-1 \\ \frac{1}{\sum_{u=0}^s K_{-mk+s+u}^{(m)}} \langle K_{-mk+s}^{(m)}, \dots, K_{-mk+s-2nk}^{(m)} \rangle, & s = 2nk, \dots, 2mk \\ \frac{1}{\sum_{u=s}^{s-2nk} K_{-mk+s-u}^{(m)}} \langle 0, \dots, 0, K_{mk}^{(m)}, \dots, K_{-mk+s-2nk}^{(m)} \rangle, & s = 2mk+1, \dots, 2(n+m)k \end{cases}$$

Hence, we have the following result.

**Theorem 6.3.** *The set  $\Delta^{(n,\infty,cl)}$  is the set of all vectors*

$$(6.7) \quad \bar{q}^{(n,\infty)} = \frac{1}{\sum_{w=-nk}^{nk} y_w^{(n,\infty)} K_w^{(n)}} \langle y_{-nk}^{(n,\infty)} K_{-nk}^{(n)}, \dots, y_{nk}^{(n,\infty)} K_{nk}^{(n)} \rangle,$$

where  $\bar{y}^{(n,\infty)} = \langle y_{-nk}^{(n,\infty)}, \dots, y_{nk}^{(n,\infty)} \rangle$  is a limit of vectors  $\bar{y}_v^{(n,m)}$ , where  $v = v_m$ ,  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Question.** Are there explicit formulas for the numbers  $K_i^{(m)}$ ? In this case, we could determine the values of the tail invariant measures on cylinder sets using relation (6.7).

**6.2. Generalized Bratteli diagram of uniformly bounded size.** Fix again a natural number  $k \geq 1$  and define the generalized Bratteli diagram  $B_k = (V, E)$ . For  $V = \bigcup_n V_n$ , let  $V_n = \mathbb{Z}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . The set  $E = \bigcup_n E_n$  consists of edges between  $V_n$  and  $V_{n+1}$  where  $e_{wv}$  exists if and only if  $v \in \{w-k, \dots, w, \dots, w+k\}$ ,  $w \in V_n$  and  $v \in V_{n+1}$ . The entries  $f_{vw}^{(n)}$  of the incidence matrix  $F_n$  are given by the formula

$$f_{vw}^{(n)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |v-w| \leq k \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For each  $w \in V_n$ , we have  $|s^{(-1)}(w)| = 2k+1$ , and for each  $v \in V_{n+1}$ , we also have  $|r^{(-1)}(v)| = 2k+1$ .

It follows from the definition of  $B_k$  that  $H_w^{(n)} = (2k+1)^n$  for every  $w \in V_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . As in Subsection 6.1, we can show that the entries of  $G'^{(n,m)}$  are determined by the formula:  $g'_{vw}^{(n,m)} = K_{v-w}^{(m)}$  for  $v \in \{w-mk, \dots, w+mk\}$ , and  $g'_{vw}^{(n,m)} = 0$ , otherwise.

Hence, the  $v$ -th row of  $G'^{(n,m)}$  has the form

$$\bar{g}_v^{(n,m)} = \langle \dots, 0, K_{mk}^{(m)}, K_{mk-1}^{(m)}, \dots, K_{-mk}^{(m)}, 0, \dots \rangle,$$

where  $K_{mk}^{(m)}$  is the  $(v-mk)$ -th entry.

Further, we have

$$g_{vw}^{(n,m)} = g'_{vw}^{(n,m)} \cdot \frac{H_w^{(n)}}{H_v^{(n+m)}} = \frac{1}{(2k+1)^m} \cdot g'_{vw}^{(n,m)},$$

and

$$\bar{g}_v^{(n,m)} = \frac{1}{(2k+1)^m} \cdot \langle \dots, 0, K_{mk}^{(m)}, \dots, K_{-mk}^{(m)}, 0, \dots \rangle.$$

**Proposition 6.4.** *There is no probability tail invariant measure on the generalized Bratteli diagram  $B_k$ .*

*Proof.* We will show that the set of all limit vectors of  $\bar{g}_v^{(n,m)}$ 's is a zero vector  $\bar{0} = \langle \dots, 0, 0, 0, \dots \rangle$ .

Note that  $K_0^{(m)}$  is the largest number among  $K_i^{(m)}$ 's where  $i = mk, \dots, -mk$ . We prove that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_0^{(m)}}{(2k+1)^m} = 0$ . Indeed, since

$$(6.8) \quad \frac{K_0^{(m+1)}}{(2k+1)^{m+1}} = \frac{1}{2k+1} \cdot \frac{[K_k^{(m)} + \dots + K_0^{(m)} + \dots + K_{-k}^{(m)}]}{(2k+1)^m} \leq \frac{K_0^{(m)}}{(2k+1)^m},$$

the limit  $g_0 = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_0^{(m)}}{(2k+1)^m}$  exists. We will show that  $g_0 = 0$ .

Choosing subsequences of  $m$ 's, we can define  $g_i = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_i^{(m)}}{(2k+1)^m}$ , where  $i = k, \dots, 1, -1, \dots, -k$ . Obviously,  $0 \leq g_i \leq g_0$ . It follows from (6.8) that

$$\frac{2k}{2k+1}g_0 = \frac{1}{2k+1} \cdot [g_k + \dots + g_1 + g_{-1} + \dots + g_{-k}].$$

This implies that  $g_i = g_0$  for  $i = k, \dots, 1, -1, \dots, -k$ .

Finally, we have

$$(2k+1)g_0 = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{[K_k^{(m)} + \dots + K_0^{(m)} + \dots + K_{-k}^{(m)}]}{(2k+1)^m} \leq 1.$$

This implies that  $g_0 \leq \frac{1}{2k+1}$ .

In a similar way we can prove that  $g_0 \leq \frac{1}{(2k+1)^2}$  and so on. Hence  $g_0 = 0$ , and the proposition is proved.  $\square$

## 7. EXAMPLES

In this section, we consider several examples of generalized Bratteli diagrams. Our goal is to show how the methods, developed in Section 4, can be applied to the study of probability tail invariant measures.

**7.1. Bratteli diagrams and substitutions.** The shift dynamical systems associated with substitutions on a finite alphabet have been studied by many authors; we mention here only several of them [Fog02], [Que10], [DHS99], [DP22], [Put18], etc. The primary interest is usually focused on minimal substitution dynamical systems. It was shown that substitution dynamical systems are completely described by stationary Bratteli diagrams. In [BKM09], the authors constructed Bratteli diagrams for aperiodic substitution dynamics.

In recent years, substitution dynamical systems have been considered on a countable or even compact alphabet, see [Fer06], [BJS24], [Man23], [MnRW22]. The problem of finding finite (or sigma-finite) invariant measures for substitution dynamical systems is highly non-trivial in this case. In [DFMV23] investigated the existence of invariant probability measures for substitutions on countable alphabet. In particular, they found

a sufficient condition under which there are no invariant probability measures. We show below that this sufficient condition is, in fact, a consequence of Theorem 4.9.

Let  $A$  be a countable set (an alphabet),  $A^*$  be the set of all finite words on  $A$ , and  $A^{\mathbb{N}_0}$  be the set of infinite words on  $A$ . A *substitution* is a map  $\sigma: A \rightarrow A^*$  such that for every  $a \in A$ , the finite word  $\sigma(a)$  is not empty. We can extend a map  $\sigma$  to  $A^*$  and  $A^{\mathbb{N}_0}$  by concatenation:  $\sigma(a_0 a_1 \dots) = \sigma(a_0) \sigma(a_1) \dots$ . In particular, we can define words  $\sigma^n(a)$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by setting  $\sigma^1(a) = \sigma(a) = u_0 u_1 \dots u_k$ ,  $\sigma^{n+1}(a) = \sigma^n(u_0) \sigma^n(u_1) \dots \sigma^n(u_k)$ . A substitution  $\sigma$  determines a shift dynamical system  $(X_\sigma, S)$ , where  $X_\sigma$  is the set of all sequences  $u \in A^{\mathbb{N}_0}$  (or  $u \in A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ ) such that any finite subword of  $u$  occurs in  $\sigma^n(a)$  for some  $a \in A$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $S$  is the shift on  $X_\sigma$ . To avoid unnecessary complications, we will assume that  $|\sigma^n(a)| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for any  $a \in A$  where  $|\sigma^n(a)|$  denotes the length of  $\sigma^n(a)$ .

Now we define a Bratteli diagram  $B_\sigma = (V, E)$  associated with a substitution  $\sigma$ . Set  $V_n = A$   $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . For  $a \in V_1$ , the set  $r^{-1}(a)$  consists of single edges  $e(u_i, a)$  connecting  $a$  and the vertices  $u_0, \dots, u_k$  where  $(u_0 u_1 \dots u_k) = \sigma(a)$ .

The Bratteli diagram  $B_\sigma = (V, E)$  is stationary and its incidence matrix  $M = (M_{ij})$ ,  $i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  is the matrix associated to  $\sigma$ , i.e.,  $M_{ij}$  is the number of occurrences of the letter  $j$  in the word  $\sigma(i)$ . In general, the dynamics of the Bratteli diagram  $B_\sigma = (V, E)$  is not a good model for the shift dynamical system  $(X_\sigma, S)$ . However, if we assume that every pair  $(uv)$  (where  $u$  is the last letter of some  $\sigma(i)$  and  $v$  is the first letter of some  $\sigma(j)$ ) appears inside some  $\sigma(k)$ , then both dynamical systems  $(X_\sigma, S)$  and the Vershik map  $(X_B, \varphi_B)$  are isomorphic (for a more detailed discussion of this relation see [BKM09]).

In [DFMV23], the authors proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 7.1.** *Let  $\sigma : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$  be a bounded length substitution such that  $\sigma$  has a periodic point  $u$  and the matrix  $M = M_\sigma$  of the substitution is irreducible and aperiodic. If  $M$  satisfies*

$$(7.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0} \frac{M_{ij}^{(n)}}{\sum_{k \geq 0} M_{ik}^{(n)}} = 0 \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

*then the dynamical system  $(X_\sigma, S)$  has no finite invariant measure.*

**Proposition 7.2.** *Condition (7.1) implies that the Bratteli diagram  $B_\sigma = (V, E)$  has no tail invariant probability measure.*

*Proof.* We use our standard notation of matrices related to a Bratteli diagram. Note that  $M = F'$  in our notation. Because  $B_\sigma$  is a stationary Bratteli diagram, we have  $G^{(n,m)} = F'^m$  and, for the stochastic matrix  $G^{(n,m)}$ , we can write

$$\bar{g}_i^{(n,m)} = \left\langle \frac{f'_{ij}^{(m)} \cdot H_j^{(n)}}{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f'_{ik}^{(m)} \cdot H_k^{(n)}} : j = 0, 1, 2, \dots \right\rangle.$$

Then using (7.1) we have, for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$g_{ij}^{(n,m)} = \frac{f'_{ij}^{(m)} \cdot H_j^{(n)}}{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f'_{ik}^{(m)} \cdot H_k^{(n)}} \leq \frac{f'_{ij}^{(m)} \cdot H_j^{(n)}}{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f'_{ik}^{(m)}} \rightarrow 0$$

Thus,  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{g}_i^{(n,m)} = 0$  for any sequence  $\{i_m\}$  and therefore  $\Delta^{(n,cl,\infty)} = \{(0, 0, \dots)\}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .  $\square$

**7.2. Reducible Bratteli diagrams with infinitely many odometers.** We consider here a class of reducible non-stationary generalized Bratteli diagrams  $B = B_{IO}$  consisting of infinitely many odometers connected by single edges. This class of diagrams was first considered in [BKK24], where the authors used the procedure of measure extension from a subdiagram to obtain results concerning the number of ergodic tail invariant measures. Here we recall the obtained results and show how apply methods developed in Section 4 to these diagrams. For more results concerning tail invariant measures and Vershik maps for reducible generalized Bratteli diagrams with infinitely many odometers see [BKK24].

Let the generalized Bratteli diagram  $B = B_{IO}$  be defined by the sequence of incidence matrices

$$(7.2) \quad F'_n = \begin{bmatrix} a_n^{(1)} & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & a_n^{(2)} & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & a_n^{(3)} & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_n^{(4)} & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

where the natural numbers  $a_n^{(i)} \geq 2$  for all  $n, i$ . The index  $n$  points out at the  $n$ -th level of the diagram  $B$ , and  $i$  indicates the odometer supported by the  $i$ -vertex in each level, see Figure 2 where a part of the diagram between levels  $V_n$  and  $V_{n+1}$  is shown ( $a_n^{(i)}$  indicates the number of vertical edges).




FIGURE 2. The diagram  $B_{IO}$ .

The diagram  $B_{IO}$  has a natural set of elementary vertex subdiagrams  $\bar{B}(i)$  consisting of vertical odometers where  $i$  runs the set  $\mathbb{N}$ . There are exactly  $a_n^{(i)}$  edges connecting the vertices  $i \in V_n$  and  $i \in V_{n+1}$ . The subdiagram  $\bar{B}(i)$  of  $B$  admits a unique tail invariant probability measure  $\bar{\mu}(i)$  on the path space  $X_{\bar{B}(i)}$  such that for a cylinder set

$$[\bar{e}] = [e_1, \dots, e_n], s(e_j) = r(e_j) = i,$$

$$\bar{\mu}(i)([\bar{e}]) = \frac{1}{a_1^{(i)} \cdots a_n^{(i)}}.$$

The measure extension procedure applied to  $\bar{B}(i)$  gives us the measure  $\widehat{\mu}(i)$  on the tail invariant set  $\widehat{X}_{\bar{B}(i)}$ . It follows from Theorem 3.13 that

$$\widehat{\mu}(i)(\widehat{X}_{\bar{B}(i)}) < \infty \iff \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{H_{i+1}^{(n)}}{a_1^{(i)} \cdots a_n^{(i)}} < \infty.$$

Thus, it follows from the construction of  $B_{IO}$  that there are infinitely many ergodic measures  $\widehat{\mu}(i)$  on the path space  $X_B$ . Some of them may be finite the others are infinite. We will give an example below, for more examples see [BKK24]. Moreover, the measures  $\widehat{\mu}(i)$  and  $\widehat{\mu}(j)$  are mutually singular ( $i \neq j$ ) because they are supported by non-intersecting tail invariant sets  $\widehat{X}_{\bar{B}(i)}$  and  $\widehat{X}_{\bar{B}(j)}$ . Our goal is to show that there are no other ergodic measures.

*Remark 7.3.* Let  $\theta$  be a finite tail invariant measure on  $\widehat{X}_{\bar{B}(i)}$ . Then there is a constant  $C$  such that  $\theta = C\widehat{\mu}(i)$ .

Indeed, let  $C = \theta(X_{\bar{B}(i)})$ , then  $\bar{\theta} := \theta|_{X_{\bar{B}(i)}} = C\bar{\mu}(i)$ . By tail invariance,

$$\theta([e_0, \dots, e_n]) = \frac{C}{a_1^{(i)} \cdots a_n^{(i)}} = C\bar{\mu}(i)([e_0, \dots, e_n]).$$

**Theorem 7.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the family of measures  $\widehat{\mu}(i)$  such that  $\widehat{\mu}(i)(\widehat{X}_{\bar{B}(i)}) < \infty$ . Then, after normalization,  $\mathcal{M}$  coincides with the set of all ergodic probability tail invariant measures on the path space  $X_B$  of the diagram  $B$ .*

*Remark 7.5.* (i) The proof of Theorem 7.4 can be found in [BKK24], and it contains a version of the famous Rokhlin theorem about a canonical system of measures associated with a measurable partition. Note that  $X_B$  is partitioned into sets  $\widehat{X}_{\bar{B}(i)}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Thus, for any probability ergodic invariant measure  $\mu$  on  $X_B$ , we have  $\mu(\widehat{X}_{\bar{B}(i)}) = 1$  for some  $i$ . Hence by Remark 7.3, we have

$$\mu = \frac{\widehat{\mu}(i)}{\widehat{\mu}(i)(\widehat{X}_{\bar{B}(i)})}.$$

(ii) The result of Theorem 7.4 can be obtained in the case when the vertical odometers are replaced with simple stationary standard Bratteli diagrams  $\bar{B}_i$  with the incidence matrix  $\bar{F}_i$ . As for odometers, we will have a unique ergodic probability measure  $\bar{\mu}_i$  on the path space  $X_{\bar{B}_i}$ . The measure  $\bar{\mu}_i$  is completely determined by the values on cylinder sets  $\bar{\mu}_i([\bar{e}]) = \frac{\xi_v}{\lambda^n}$  where  $\xi = (\xi_v)$  is the Perron-Frobenius eigenvector,  $\xi \bar{F}_i = \lambda \xi$  and  $r(\bar{e}) = v \in V_n$ , see, e.g. [BKMS10]. Assuming that the extension  $\widehat{\mu}_i(\widehat{X}_{\bar{B}_i})$  is finite, we get that this measure is a unique ergodic measure (up to a constant). The same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 7.4 can be repeated.

(iii) For the Bratteli diagram  $B_{IO}$ , the set of limit points  $L(\{\bar{g}_v : v \in V_{n+m}, m \in \mathbb{N}\})$  coincides with  $\{\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{g}_{i_m}^{(n,m)}\}$ . Since the incidence matrices of the diagram are upper



triangular, we get that the limit of  $\bar{g}_{i_m}^{(n,m)}$  is zero when  $i_m \rightarrow \infty$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . This means that we should only consider the sequences  $\{i_m\}$  such that  $i_m = i$ . Denote by  $\nu(i)$  a probability measure determined by the limit  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{g}_i^{(n,m)}$ . Then it can be shown that the following fact holds:

**Claim.** If for some  $i$  the extension of the measure  $\bar{\mu}(i)$  is finite, then  $\nu(i) = c_i \widehat{\mu}(i)$  for a constant  $c_i$ .

From Theorem 7.4 it follows, that  $B_{IO}$  can have not more than countably many probability ergodic invariant measures. We formulate here a statement that was proved in [BKK24].

**Theorem 7.6.** [BKK24] *A stationary generalized Bratteli diagram with infinitely many odometers can have only finitely many probability ergodic invariant measures. In particular, one can find diagrams which (i) have a unique probability ergodic invariant measure, (ii) have no probability invariant measure, but possess an infinite  $\sigma$ -finite invariant measure that takes finite values on all cylinder sets, and (iii) have no invariant measure that takes finite values on all cylinder sets. A non-stationary generalized Bratteli diagram with infinitely many odometers can have countably infinitely many probability ergodic invariant measures.*

Consider a non-stationary generalized Bratteli diagram  $B$  defined by a sequence of natural numbers  $\{a_i : i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $a_n \geq 2$  for all  $n$ . The diagram  $B$  consists of an infinite sequence of non-stationary odometers connected with the neighboring odometer by single edges. More precisely, let  $V_n = \mathbb{N}$  and the incidence matrices  $F'_n$  has the form

$$(7.3) \quad F'_n = \begin{bmatrix} a_n & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & a_n & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & a_n & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_n & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Fix  $i \geq 1$ , set  $W_n = \{i\}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and define the subdiagram  $\bar{B}_{(i)} = (\bar{W}, \bar{E})$  as above with the only difference that the set  $\bar{E}_n$  is formed now by  $a_n$  edges connecting the vertices  $i \in V_n$  and  $i \in V_{n+1}$ . The unique tail invariant probability measure  $\bar{\mu} = \bar{\mu}(i)$  on  $\bar{B}_{(i)} = (\bar{W}, \bar{E})$  is given by the formula  $\bar{\mu}([\bar{e}]) = \frac{1}{a_0 \cdots a_n}$  where  $r(\bar{e}) = i \in V_{n+1}$ .

By definition of the diagram,  $H^{(n)} = H_i^{(n)}$  for all  $n$  and  $i$  (as usual, we set  $H_i^{(0)} = 1$ ). Then  $H^{(n+1)} = H_i^{(n+1)} = (a_n + 1)H^{(n)}$  which implies that

$$H^{(n+1)} = (a_0 + 1) \cdots (a_n + 1), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

The proof of the following statement can be found in [BKK24].

**Proposition 7.7.** *Let the sequence  $(a_n)$  be such that  $\sum_n a_n^{-1} < \infty$ . Then, for every  $i$ , the extension  $\widehat{\mu}(i)$  is finite. The set of all ergodic finite tail invariant measures on the path space of the diagram  $B$  is formed by  $\{\widehat{\mu}(i) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .*

The next statement is, in some sense, the converse to Proposition 7.7.

**Proposition 7.8.** *Let the Bratteli diagram  $B$  be defined by the sequence of incidence matrices  $F'_n$ , see (7.3). If the series  $\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n^{-1}$  diverges, then the diagram does not admit finite tail invariant measures.*

*Proof.* The following formulas hold for the diagram  $B$  (they can be easily proved by induction taking into account that the height of a tower does not depend on the vertex):

$$H_i^{(n)} = (a_1 + 1) \cdots (a_{n-1} + 1), \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The entries of the matrix  $G^{(n,m)}$  can be found as follows:

$$g_{ii}^{(n,m)} = a_n \cdots a_{n+m-1}$$

and, for  $j = i + 1, \dots, i + m$ ,

$$g_{ij}^{(n,m)} = (a_n \cdots a_{n+m-1}) \left[ \sum_{s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_{j-i}} \frac{1}{a_{s_1} \cdots a_{s_{j-i}}} \right]$$

where  $n \leq s_1 < \dots < s_{j-i} \leq n + m - 1$ . Clearly,  $g_{ij}^{(n,m)} = 0$  for other  $i, j$ . Now we can find the entries of the stochastic matrix  $G^{(n,m)}$ : if  $j = i + 1, \dots, i + m$ , then

$$g_{ij}^{(n,m)} = g_{ij}^{(n,m)} \frac{H_j^{(n)}}{H_i^{(n+m)}} = \left[ \frac{a_n}{1 + a_n} \cdots \frac{a_{n+m-1}}{1 + a_{n+m-1}} \right] \cdot \left[ \sum_{s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_{j-i}} \frac{1}{a_{s_1} \cdots a_{s_{j-i}}} \right]$$

and

$$g_{ii}^{(n,m)} = \frac{a_n}{1 + a_n} \cdots \frac{a_{n+m-1}}{1 + a_{n+m-1}}$$

Assume now that the set of tail invariant probability measures  $M_1(B)$  is not empty. Then, by Theorem 4.9, there is some  $i$  such that the sequence of vectors  $\{\bar{g}_i^{(n,m)}\}$  has the limit as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . This means that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g_{ii}^{(n,m)} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{1 + a_n} \cdots \frac{a_{n+m-1}}{1 + a_{n+m-1}}$$

exists. The latter is equivalent to the convergence of the series  $\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n^{-1}$ . This is a contradiction.  $\square$

The corresponding stochastic matrices  $F_n$ 's have the form

$$F_n = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a_n}{a_n+1} & \frac{1}{a_n+1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \frac{a_n}{a_n+1} & \frac{1}{a_n+1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{a_n}{a_n+1} & \frac{1}{a_n+1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix},$$

i.e.,  $f_{ii}^{(n)} = \frac{a_n}{(a_n+1)}$ ,  $f_{i(i+1)}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{(a_n+1)}$ ,  $f_{ij}^{(n)} = 0$  for  $j \neq i, i+1$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\bar{g}_i = \langle f_{ij}^{(n)} : j \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  be the  $i$ -th row of the matrix  $F_n$ . Then  $|\bar{g}_i| \leq \frac{1}{2a(i)} + \frac{1}{2a(i+1)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  where  $a(i)$  is an enumeration of vertices in  $V_n$ . It follows from Theorem 2.10 that the linear maps  $F_n^T: \Delta_1 \rightarrow \Delta_1$  are continuous.

We observe that the above statement is true in a more general situation when the entries of an incidence matrix  $F$  under its main diagonal are zeros.

## 8. UNCOUNTABLY MANY ERGODIC PROBABILITY TAIL INVARIANT MEASURES

This section studies a class of reducible generalized Bratteli diagrams  $B_\infty$  whose incidence matrices are triangular. It turns out that such diagrams have uncountably many ergodic probability tail invariant measures. We also consider subdiagrams of  $B_\infty$ , standard and generalized, and answer the questions about internal tail invariant measures on such subdiagrams and the finiteness of their extensions.

**8.1. Triangular generalized Bratteli diagram  $B_\infty$ .** We define the diagram  $B_\infty = (V, E)$  by taking  $V_n = \mathbb{N}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  so that each vertex  $v \in V_n$  can be written as  $(n, i)$ . For  $v = (n, i) \in V_n$ , an edge  $e(v, u)$  where  $u \in V_{n+1}$ , exists whenever  $u = (n+1, j)$ ,  $j = i, i+1, \dots$ . The incidence matrices  $F'_n$  are the same for all levels,  $F'_n = F'$ , where

$$F' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}.$$

The stochastic matrix  $F$  obtained from the matrix  $F'$  has a form

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}.$$

We claim that the matrix  $F$  satisfies the assumption of Theorem 2.10. Indeed, the  $i$ -th row  $\bar{g}_i = \langle \frac{1}{i}, \dots, \frac{1}{i}, 0, \dots \rangle$  of  $F$  satisfies the relation:  $|\bar{g}_i| \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2^{a(1)}} + \frac{1}{2^{a(2)}} + \dots \right] \cdot \frac{1}{i} \leq \frac{2}{i} \rightarrow 0$ , as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  where  $a(\cdot)$  is an enumeration of the vertices. Thus,  $G'^{(n,m)} = F'^m = \{f_{ij}^{(m)}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , and these matrices generate continuous mappings.

To find the matrices  $F'^n = (f'_{ij}{}^n : i, j = 1, 2, \dots)$ , we will use the numbers the  $S_i^{(k)}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , which are defined as follows:

$$(8.1) \quad S_i^{(0)} = 1, \quad S_i^{(k+1)} = S_1^{(k)} + \dots + S_i^{(k)}$$

The numbers  $S_i^{(k)}$  are used in the Cesaro summability method, and it is known [Har92] that they are

$$(8.2) \quad S_i^{(k)} = \binom{i+k-1}{k}.$$

Using the induction it is not hard to prove that  $f'_{ij}{}^{(n)} = S_{i-j+1}^{(n-1)}$  whenever  $j = 1, \dots, i$  and  $f'_{ij}{}^{(n)} = 0$ , otherwise ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Thus,

$$(8.3) \quad F'^{(m)} = \begin{bmatrix} S_1^{(m-1)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots \\ S_2^{(m-1)} & S_1^{(m-1)} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ S_k^{(m-1)} & S_{k-1}^{(m-1)} & S_{k-2}^{(m-1)} & \dots & \dots & S_1^{(m-1)} & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix},$$

It follows from (8.3) that

$$(8.4) \quad H_i^{(n)} = S_i^{(n-1)} = \binom{i+n-2}{n-1}, \quad n, i \in \mathbb{N},$$

and we find that

$$g_{ij}^{(n,m)} = \frac{f_{ij}^{(m)} H_j^{(n)}}{\sum_{s=1}^i f_{is}^{(m)} H_s^{(n)}} = \frac{S_{i-j+1}^{(m-1)} H_j^{(n)}}{\sum_{s=1}^i S_{i-s+1}^{(m-1)} H_s^{(n)}}$$

if  $j = 1, \dots, i$  and  $g_{ij}^{(n,m)} = 0$  otherwise.

To describe the set  $\Delta^{(n,\infty,cl)}$ , we use the method developed in Theorem 4.9. For this, we have first to find the set of all limit points  $\bar{y}^{(n,\infty)}$  of the vectors

$$\bar{y}_i^{(n,m)} = \left\langle y_{ij}^{(n,m)} = \frac{f_{ij}^{(m)}}{\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} f_{is}^{(m)}} : j = 1, 2, \dots \right\rangle, \quad i \in \mathbb{N},$$

when  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Lemma 8.1.** *The set of limits of the vectors  $\bar{y}_i^{(n,m)}$  is formed by the vectors*

$$\bar{y}_a^{(n,\infty)} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{y}_{i_m}^{(n,m)} = \left\langle \frac{1}{(a+1)}, \frac{a}{(a+1)^2}, \frac{a^2}{(a+1)^3}, \dots \right\rangle, \quad 0 \leq a < \infty.$$

*Proof.* Recall that we have  $f_{ij}^{(m)} = S_{i-j+1}^{(m-1)}$  if  $j = 1, \dots, i$  and  $f_{ij}^{(m)} = 0$  if  $j > i$ . Moreover,

$$\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} f_{is}^{(m)} = \sum_{s=1}^i S_{i-s+1}^{(m-1)} = S_i^{(m)}.$$

Let  $\bar{y} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{y}_i^{(n,m)}$ ,  $i = i_m$ . Taking a subsequence of  $\{m\}$ , we can assume that  $\frac{i_m}{m} \rightarrow a$  for some  $0 \leq a < \infty$ . Fix  $j \geq 1$ . Since  $i_m \rightarrow \infty$ , we can assume that  $i_m > j$ . Compute for  $j = 2, \dots, i$

$$\begin{aligned} y_{ij}^{(n,m)} &= \frac{S_{i-j+1}^{(m-1)}}{S_i^{(m)}} = \frac{\binom{i+m-j-1}{m-1}}{\binom{i+m-1}{m}} = \left[ \frac{(i+m-j-1)!}{(m-1)! \cdot (i-j)!} \right] \cdot \left[ \frac{m! \cdot (i-1)!}{(i+m-1)!} \right] \\ &= \frac{m \cdot (i-j+1) \cdot \dots \cdot (i-1)}{(i+m-j) \cdot \dots \cdot (i+m-1)} = \frac{\left[ \frac{(i-j+1)}{m} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{(i-1)}{m} \right]}{\left[ \frac{(i+m-j)}{m} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{(i+m-1)}{m} \right]} \end{aligned}$$

If  $j = 1$ , we have  $y_{i1}^{(n,m)} = \frac{m}{i+m-1}$ . Taking the limit in the formulas for  $y_{ij}^{(n,m)}$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we get that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} y_{ij}^{(n,m)} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left[ \frac{(i-j+1)}{m} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{(i-1)}{m} \right]}{\left[ \frac{(i+m-j)}{m} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{(i+m-1)}{m} \right]} = \frac{a^{j-1}}{(a+1)^j}.$$

If the sequence  $\{i_m\}$  is bounded (we can assume  $i_m = i$ ), then  $a = 0$ , and in this case  $\bar{p}_0^{(n,\infty)} = \langle 1, 0, 0, \dots \rangle$ .

This proves the lemma.  $\square$

Following the method described in Section 4, we need to find the limit set  $L^{(n)}(\{\bar{g}_v\})$  in terms of the vectors  $\bar{y}_a^{(n,\infty)}$ .

**Lemma 8.2.** *The vectors  $\bar{y}_a^{(n,\infty)}$  belong to the set  $P^{(n)}$  and satisfy conditions (4.17) (defined in Theorem 4.9) and (4.18). That is*

$$(8.5) \quad H(n, a) := \sum_{u \in V_n} y_u^{(n,\infty)} H_u^{(n)} < \infty$$

and

$$(8.6) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{u \in V_n} \left[ \frac{g'_{vu}^{(m,n)}}{\sum_{w \in V_n} g'_{vw}^{(m,n)}} \right] H_u^{(n)} = H(n, a).$$

*Proof.* We first show that, for all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$(8.7) \quad H(n, a) = (1 + a)^{n-1}.$$

Note that by Lemma 8.1

$$H(n, a) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{a^{j-1}}{(1+a)^j} H_j^{(n)}.$$

For  $n = 1$ ,  $H_j^{(1)} = 1$  and

$$H(1, a) = \frac{1}{1+a} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{a}{1+a} \right)^j = 1.$$

In the following computation, we show that  $H(n, a)$  is finite. This fact proves that (8.5) holds.

$$\begin{aligned} H(n, a) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{a^{j-1}}{(1+a)^j} H_j^{(n)} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{a^{j-1}}{(1+a)^j} S_j^{(n-1)} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{a^{j-1}}{(a+1)^j} \binom{j+n-2}{n-1} = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{a^{j-1}}{(a+1)^j} \frac{(j+n-2)!}{(j-1)!} \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{a^{j-1}}{(a+1)^j} \cdot [j(j+1) \cdots (j+n-2)] < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we prove that (8.7) holds.

$$\begin{aligned} H(n+1, a) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{a^{j-1}}{(1+a)^j} H_j^{(n+1)} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{a^{j-1}}{(1+a)^j} \sum_{l=1}^j H_l^{(n)} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} H_l^{(n)} \sum_{j=l}^{\infty} \frac{a^{j-1}}{(1+a)^j} = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} H_l^{(n)} \left( \frac{a}{1+a} \right)^{l-1} \\ &= (1+a) H(n, a), \end{aligned}$$

and (8.7) follows.

It remains to show that condition (8.6) is satisfied. It was proved in Lemma 8.1 that

$$(8.8) \quad \sum_{j=1}^i y_{ij}^{(n,m)} H_j^{(n)} = y_{i,1}^{(n,m)} + \sum_{j=2}^i H_j^{(n)} \frac{\frac{i-j+1}{m} \cdots \frac{i-1}{m}}{\frac{i+m-j}{m} \cdots \frac{i+m-1}{m}}$$

Fix  $k \geq 1$  and take  $i = i_m > k$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^i y_{ij}^{(n,m)} H_j^{(n)} > \frac{m}{i+m-1} + \sum_{j=2}^k H_j^{(n)} \frac{\frac{i-j+1}{m} \dots \frac{i-1}{m}}{\frac{i+m-j}{m} \dots \frac{i+m-1}{m}}$$

As  $m \rightarrow \infty$  (remember that  $\frac{i_m}{m} \rightarrow a$ ), we get from the above inequality that for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^i p_{ij}^{(n,m)} H_j^{(n)} \geq \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{a^{j-1}}{(a+1)^j} H_j^{(n)}.$$

Hence

$$(8.9) \quad \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^i y_{ij}^{(n,m)} H_j^{(n)} \geq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{a^{j-1}}{(a+1)^j} H_j^{(n)} = H(n, a) = (a+1)^{n-1}.$$

Take  $b > a$  (then  $\frac{a}{a+1} < \frac{b}{b+1}$ ). It follows from  $\frac{i_m}{m} \rightarrow a$  that, for sufficiently large  $m$ ,

$$\frac{\frac{i-l}{m}}{\frac{i-l}{m} + 1} < \frac{b}{b+1}, \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, j-1, \quad i = i_m.$$

Therefore (8.8) can be estimated from above

$$\sum_{j=1}^i y_{ij}^{(n,m)} H_j^{(n)} < \frac{m}{i+m-1} + \sum_{j=2}^i H_j^{(n)} \frac{m}{m+i-j} \left( \frac{b}{1+b} \right)^{j-1}$$

Taking the limit when  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain the inequality

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^i y_{ij}^{(n,m)} H_j^{(n)} \leq \frac{1}{1+a} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} H_j^{(n)} \frac{1}{1+a} \left( \frac{b}{1+b} \right)^{j-1}.$$

Since this relation holds for all  $b > a$ , we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^i y_{ij}^{(n,m)} H_j^{(n)} &\leq \frac{1}{1+a} + \lim_{b \rightarrow a} \left( \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} H_j^{(n)} \frac{1}{1+a} \left( \frac{b}{1+b} \right)^{j-1} \right) \\ (8.10) \quad &= \frac{1}{1+a} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} H_j^{(n)} \frac{a^{j-1}}{(1+a)^j} \\ &= H(n, a) \\ &= (a+1)^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (8.9) and (8.10) that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^i y_{ij}^{(n,m)} H_j^{(n)} = (a+1)^{n-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} y_{ij}^{(n,m)} H_j^{(n)},$$

and (8.6) is proved.  $\square$

Let the vectors  $\bar{q}_a^{(n,\infty)} = \langle q_{a,i}^{(n,\infty)} : i \in V_n \rangle$  be defined as in Theorem 4.9, see (4.19), and let  $\bar{p}_a^{(n,\infty)} = \langle p_{a,i}^{(n,\infty)} \mid i \in V_n \rangle$  be such that  $q_{a,i}^{(n,\infty)} = H_i^{(n)} p_{a,i}^{(n,\infty)}$ ,  $n, i = 1, 2, \dots$

Then we can find the entries of  $\bar{q}_a^{(n,\infty)}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} q_{a,i}^{(n,\infty)} &= \frac{1}{\sum_{j \geq 1} p_j^{(n,\infty)} H_j^{(n)}} \cdot p_i^{(n,\infty)} H_i^{(n)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(a+1)^{n-1}} \frac{a^{i-1}}{(a+1)^i} S_i^{(n-1)} \\ &= \frac{a^{i-1}}{(a+1)^{n+i-1}} \binom{n+i-2}{n-1}, \quad i \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we proved that

$$(8.11) \quad \bar{q}_a^{(n,\infty)} = \left\langle \frac{1}{(a+1)^n}, \frac{a}{(a+1)^{n+1}} \binom{n}{1}, \frac{a^2}{(a+1)^{n+2}} \binom{n+1}{2}, \dots \right\rangle$$

According to Theorem 4.9, we get that

$$L^{(n)}(\{\bar{g}_i^{(n,m)} : i \in V_{n+m}, m \in \mathbb{N}\}) = \{\bar{q}_a^{(n,\infty)} : 0 \leq a < \infty\}.$$

It follows from (8.11) that

$$\bar{p}_a^{(n,\infty)} = \left\langle \frac{1}{(a+1)^n}, \frac{a}{(a+1)^{n+1}}, \frac{a^2}{(a+1)^{n+2}}, \dots \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{a^{i-1}}{H(n,a) \cdot (a+1)^i} \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \right\rangle,$$

because  $H_i^{(n)} = \binom{i+n-2}{n-1} = \binom{i+n-2}{i-1}$ ,  $n, i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proposition 8.3.** *For every  $0 \leq a < \infty$ , the sequence of vectors  $\{\bar{q}_a^{(n,\infty)} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  (or  $\{\bar{p}_a^{(n,\infty)} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ) determines uniquely a tail invariant probability measure  $\mu_a$  on the path space of the Bratteli diagram  $B_\infty = (V, E)$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that

$$(8.12) \quad F'^T(\bar{p}_a^{(n+1,\infty)}) = \bar{p}_a^{(n,\infty)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} F'^T \left\langle \frac{a^{i-1}}{H(n+1,a)(a+1)^i} : i \in \mathbb{N} \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{H(n+1,a)} \left\langle \sum_{i=j}^{\infty} \frac{a^i}{(a+1)^{i+1}} : j = 0, 1, 2, \dots \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{H(n+1,a)} \left\langle \frac{a^j}{(a+1)^j} : j = 0, 1, 2, \dots \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{H(n,a)} \left\langle \frac{a^j}{(a+1)^{j+1}} : j = 0, 1, 2, \dots \right\rangle \\ &= \bar{p}_a^{(n,\infty)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\mu_a([\bar{e}]) = \frac{a^{j-1}}{H(n,a)(a+1)^j}.$$

and

$$\mu_a(X_j^{(n)}) = \frac{a^{j-1}}{H(n,a)(a+1)^j} H_j^{(n)} = \frac{a^{j-1}}{(a+1)^{n+j-1}} \binom{n+j-2}{n-1}.$$

One can easily check that  $\mu_a$  is a probability measure since, by definition of  $H(n, a)$ , we have

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} \mu_a(X_j^{(n)}) = \frac{1}{H(n, a)} \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{a^{j-1}}{(a+1)^j} H_j^{(n)} = 1, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

□

Our next goal is to show that every measure  $\mu_a$  is ergodic. For this, we need to use the notion of *completely monotonic sequences* and their relations with the *Hausdorff moment problem*, see e.g. [Wid41], [Akh21].

Let  $\bar{c} = \{c_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a given sequence of positive numbers. We define new sequences  $\Delta^n(\bar{c})$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , where  $\Delta^0(\bar{c}) = \bar{c}$  and the sequences  $\Delta^1(\bar{c}), \Delta^2(\bar{c}), \dots$  (called the sequences of differences of  $\bar{c}$ ) are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^1(\bar{c}) &= \{c_1 - c_2, c_2 - c_3, c_3 - c_4, \dots\}, \\ \Delta^2(\bar{c}) &= \Delta^1(\Delta^1(\bar{c})), \end{aligned}$$

and so on,  $\Delta^n(\bar{c}) = \Delta^1(\Delta^{n-1}(\bar{c}))$ .

*Remark 8.4.* In the literature, one can see another definition of the difference operator  $\delta$  acting on sequences  $\bar{c} = \{c_n\}_n$ :  $\bar{c}$ . By definition,  $\delta c_n = c_{n+1} - c_n$  and  $\delta^{k+1}(\bar{c}) = \delta(\delta^k(\bar{c}))$ . Then  $\Delta^k(\bar{c}) = (-1)^k \delta^k(\bar{c})$ .

The terms of the sequences  $[\Delta^k(\bar{c})]_i$  can be found by the following formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} [\Delta^2(\bar{c})]_i &= c_i - 2 \cdot c_{i+1} + c_{i+2}, \\ [\Delta^3(\bar{c})]_i &= c_i - 3c_{i+1} + 3c_{i+2} - c_{i+3}, \end{aligned}$$

and, in general,

$$[\Delta^k(\bar{c})]_i = c_i - \binom{k}{1} c_{i+1} + \binom{k}{2} c_{i+2} - \dots + (-1)^{k-1} \binom{k}{k-1} c_{i+k-1} + (-1)^k c_{i+k},$$

for all  $i, k$ .

It is said the sequence  $\bar{c}$  is *completely monotonic* if  $[\Delta^k(\bar{c})]_i > 0$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Recall the following result proved by Hausdorff in [Hau21a], [Hau21b]

**Theorem 8.5.** *A sequence  $\bar{c} = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, \dots\}$  is completely monotonic if and only if there exists a positive finite measure  $\theta$  on the interval  $[0, 1]$  such that, for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$c_i = \int_0^1 x^i \theta(dx).$$

*Moreover, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of all completely monotonic sequences and the set of all finite positive measures on the interval  $[0, 1]$ .*

Now, we can use a different characterization of the set  $M_1(B_\infty)$  of tail invariant probability measures on the path space of the generalized Bratteli diagram  $B_\infty$ .

Every measure  $\mu \in M_1(B_\infty)$  is uniquely determined by a sequence of positive infinite vectors  $\bar{p}^{(n, \infty)} = \{p_i^{(n, \infty)} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Since  $\mu$  is a probability measure, the vector  $\bar{p}^{(1, \infty)}$  is probability.



From (8.12) we get

$$(8.13) \quad p_i^{(n)} = p_i^{(n+1)} + p_{i+1}^{(n+1)} + \dots$$

**Lemma 8.6.** *Every tail invariant probability measure  $\mu \in M_1(B_\infty)$  is uniquely determined by a completely monotonic sequences  $\bar{p} = \langle p_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots \rangle$  in such a way that,  $p_i = p_i^{(1, \infty)}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . For each cylinder set  $[\bar{e}]$  such that  $r(\bar{e}) = i \in V_n$ , we have  $\mu([\bar{e}]) = [\Delta^{n-1}(\bar{p})]_i$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* Fix some  $n$ , and show that  $\bar{p}^{(n)}$  is a completely monotonic sequence. Indeed, it follows from (8.13) that  $[\Delta p^{(n)}]_i = p_i^{(n+1)} > 0$ . For  $\Delta^2$ , we compute

$$[\Delta^2 p^{(n)}]_i = [\Delta p^{(n)}]_i - [\Delta p^{(n)}]_{i+1} = p_i^{(n)} - 2p_{i+1}^{(n)} + p_{i+2}^{(n)} = p_i^{(n+1)} - p_{i+1}^{(n+1)} = p_i^{(n+2)} > 0.$$

By induction, we deduce that  $\Delta^k(\bar{p}^{(n)}) = \bar{p}^{(n+k)}$ , or  $[\Delta^k p^{(n)}]_i = p_i^{(n+k)} > 0$  for all natural numbers  $n$  and  $k$ .  $\square$

It follows from Proposition 8.3 that the measure  $\mu_a$  is determined by the probability completely monotonic vectors (sequence)

$$\bar{p}_a^{(1, \infty)} = \left\langle \frac{1}{(a+1)}, \frac{a}{(a+1)^2}, \frac{a^2}{(a+1)^3}, \dots \right\rangle.$$

**Theorem 8.7.** *The family of measures  $\{\mu_a : 0 < a < \infty\}$  forms the set of all ergodic probability tail invariant measures on the generalized Bratteli diagram  $B_\infty$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that

$$(8.14) \quad \mu_a = \lambda\mu + (1-\lambda)\rho,$$

where  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , and  $\mu$  and  $\rho$  are tail invariant probability measures on  $X_{B_\infty}$ . It follows from Lemma 8.6 that  $\mu$  and  $\rho$  are determined by some completely monotonic positive vectors  $\bar{p}^1 = \langle p_1^{(1)}, p_2^{(1)}, \dots \rangle$  and  $\bar{w}^1 = \langle w_1^{(1)}, w_2^{(1)}, \dots \rangle$ , respectively. Then, we have

$$\frac{a^{k-1}}{(a+1)^k} = \lambda p_k^{(1)} + (1-\lambda)w_k^{(1)}$$

for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$

Use Theorem 8.5 and denote by  $\theta_a$ ,  $\theta_\mu$ , and  $\theta_\rho$  the corresponding finite measures on the interval  $[0, 1]$  defined by the sequences  $\bar{y}_1(a)$ ,  $\bar{p}^{(1)}$ , and  $\bar{w}^{(1)}$ . Then we have

$$\frac{a^{k-1}}{(a+1)^k} = \int_0^1 x^k \theta_a(dx), \quad p_k^{(1)} = \int_0^1 x^k \theta_\mu(dx), \quad w_k^{(1)} = \int_0^1 x^k \theta_\rho(dx),$$

and for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\int_0^1 x^k \theta_a(dx) = \lambda \int_0^1 x^k \theta_\mu(dx) + (1-\lambda) \int_0^1 x^k \theta_\rho(dx).$$

This means that  $\theta_a = \lambda\theta_\mu + (1-\lambda)\theta_\rho$ . To finish the proof, we observe that

$$\theta_a = \frac{1}{a} \delta_{\frac{a}{a+1}}$$

is a delta-measure concentrated at  $\frac{a}{a+1}$ . Indeed,

$$\int_0^1 x^k \theta_a(dx) = \frac{a^{k-1}}{(a+1)^k} = \frac{1}{a} \int_0^1 x^k \delta_{\frac{a}{a+1}}(dx)$$

for every  $k$ . Therefore, relation (8.14) is impossible, and this means that  $\mu_a$  is an ergodic measure.  $\square$

**8.2. Subdiagrams of  $B_\infty$ .** Now we present some natural subdiagrams of the generalized Bratteli diagram  $B_\infty$ . Let  $k$  be a fixed natural number; take the sequence of vertices  $W_1 = \{k\}$ ,  $W_2 = \{k, k+1\}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $W_n = \{k, k+1, \dots, k+n-1\}$ ,  $\dots$ . We will use this sequence to define two subdiagrams of  $B_\infty$ . They are the vertex subdiagram  $\overline{B}(W, k)$  of  $B_\infty$ , supported by the sequence  $\{W_n\}$  and an edge subdiagram  $\overline{B}_k$  which is defined by the sequence of incidence matrices  $\overline{F}_n = (\overline{f}_{ij}^{(n)})$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where

$$(8.15) \quad \overline{f}_{ij}^{(n)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } j = i \text{ or } j = i-1 \text{ and } i = k, \dots, k+n-1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We first consider the edge subdiagram  $\overline{B}_k$ . It is not hard to see that, by definition,  $\overline{B}_k$  is isomorphic to the *classical Pascal graph* because for every  $n$  the vertex  $j \in W_n$  is the source for exactly two edges connecting  $j$  with the vertices  $j$  and  $j+1$  from  $W_{n+1}$ .

It is well known (see, e.g. [MP05] or Section 5) that the path space  $X_{\overline{B}_k}$  of  $\overline{B}_k$  supports uncountably many non-atomic ergodic tail invariant measures  $\nu_p$ ,  $0 < p < 1$ , such that for a cylinder set  $[\overline{e}]$  with the source in  $k$  and range in  $i \in W_{n+1}$ , we have

$$\nu_p([\overline{e}]) = p^{n+k-i} (1-p)^{i-k},$$

where  $i = k, k+1, \dots, k+n$ .

Our goal is to find out whether the measure extension  $\widehat{\nu}_p$  on  $\widehat{X}_{\overline{B}_k} \subset X_{B_\infty}$  is finite or infinite. For this, we use criterion (3.12) from Proposition 3.15. Denoting by  $F'$  the incidence matrix of  $B_\infty$ , we observe that the entries  $\widetilde{f}_{ij}^{(n)}$  of the matrix  $\widetilde{F}_n = F' - \overline{F}_n$  are identified with the edges that were deleted from  $B_\infty$  to produce the Pascal graph. It can be seen that  $\widetilde{f}_{ij}^{(n)} = 1$  if and only if  $i = k, \dots, k+n-1$  and  $1 \leq j \leq i-2$ . Hence, it follows from (3.12) that

$$(8.16) \quad \widehat{\nu}_p(\widehat{X}_{\overline{B}_k}) < \infty \iff I = \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{i \in V_{n+1}} \sum_{j \in V_n} \widetilde{f}_{ij}^{(n)} H_j^{(n)} p^{n+k-i} (1-p)^{i-k} < \infty,$$

where  $H_j^{(n)}$  is the height of the  $j$ -th tower in  $B_\infty$ .

**Proposition 8.8.** (1) *The extension  $\widehat{\nu}_p$  of the measure  $\nu_p$  is infinite.*

(2) *The path space  $\widehat{X}_{\overline{B}_k}$  has zero measure for any ergodic tail invariant probability measure on  $B_\infty$ .*

*Proof.* (1) We will show that the series  $I$  in (8.16) is divergent.

We fix some  $n$  and, using (8.1), (8.4), and (8.2), obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_n &:= \sum_{i \in V_{n+1}} \sum_{j \in V_n} \tilde{f}_{ij}^{(n)} H_j^{(n)} (1-p)^{i-k} p^{n+k-i} \\
 &= p^n H_k^{(n)} + \sum_{i=k+1}^{k+n} p^{n+k-i} (1-p)^{i-k} \sum_{j=1}^{i-2} H_j^{(n)} \\
 &= p^n H_k^{(n)} + \sum_{i=k+1}^{k+n} p^{n+k-i} (1-p)^{i-k} \cdot H_{i-2}^{(n+1)} \\
 &= p^n \binom{n+k-2}{n-1} + \sum_{i=k+1}^{k+n} p^{n+k-i} (1-p)^{i-k} \binom{n+i-3}{n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Changing the index of summation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_n &= p^n \binom{n+k-2}{n-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p^{n-i-1} (1-p)^{i+1} \binom{n+i+k-2}{n} \\
 &= p^n \binom{n+k-2}{n-1} + p^n \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1-p}{p} \right)^{i+1} \binom{n+i+k-2}{n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, the measure  $\widehat{\nu}_p$  is infinite if the series

$$I = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p^n \cdot \left[ \binom{n+k-2}{n-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1-p}{p} \right)^{i+1} \binom{n+i+k-2}{n} \right]$$

is divergent. For this, it suffices to show that

$$J = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p^n \cdot \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1-p}{p} \right)^{i+1} \binom{n+i+k-2}{n} \right] = \infty$$

The series  $J$  can be represented in the following form and then estimated from below:

$$\begin{aligned}
 J &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1-p}{p} \right)^{i+1} \sum_{n=i+1}^{\infty} p^n \binom{n+i+k-2}{n} \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (1-p)^{i+1} \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n \cdot \binom{n+2i+k-1}{k+i-2} \\
 &\geq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (1-p)^{i+1} \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n \cdot \binom{n+i+k-2}{k+i-2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, we use the equality

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+s}{s} \cdot p^n = \frac{1}{(1-p)^{s+1}}$$

whenever  $|p| < 1$  and  $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Then,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+i+k-2}{i+k-2} \cdot p^n = \frac{1}{(1-p)^{i+k-1}}.$$

Finally, we conclude that

$$J \geq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (1-p)^{i+1} \frac{1}{(1-p)^{i+k-1}} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-p)^{k-2}} = \infty.$$

In this way we have proved that the extension  $\widehat{\nu}_p$  of the measure  $\nu_p$  is infinite.

(2) Now we prove that  $\mu_a(\widehat{X}_{\overline{B}_k}) = 0$  for every  $0 < a < \infty$ . For this, it is enough to show that  $\mu_a(X_{\overline{B}_k}) = 0$ . Let  $Y_i^{(n)}$  be the set of all paths  $x = (x_n)$  from  $X_{\overline{B}_\infty}$  such that the finite path  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  lies in  $\overline{B}_k$  and  $s(x_n) = i \in W_n$ . Then

$$(8.17) \quad X_{\overline{B}_k} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i \in W_n} Y_i^{(n)}$$

and

$$\mu_a(\widehat{X}_{\overline{B}_k}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_a \left( \bigcup_{i \in W_n} Y_i^{(n)} \right)$$

where  $\mu_a(Y_i^{(n)})$  can be found in Proposition 8.3. To find the height of every tower  $Y_i^{(n)}$ , we use also the fact that  $\overline{B}_k$  is the Pascal graph. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_a(\widehat{X}_{\overline{B}_k}) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{a^k}{(1+a)^{n+k}} \cdot \left[ \binom{n}{0} + \binom{n}{1} \left( \frac{a}{a+1} \right) + \dots + \binom{n}{n} \left( \frac{a}{a+1} \right)^n \right] \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{a^k}{(1+a)^{n+k}} \right] \cdot \left( 1 + \frac{a}{a+1} \right)^n \\ &= \left[ \frac{a}{a+1} \right]^k \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(1+2a)^n}{(1+a)^{2n}} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

*Remark 8.9.* Let a subdiagram  $\overline{B}$  of  $B_\infty$  be defined by the sequence  $\overline{W}_n = \{1, \dots, k\}$ . The incidence matrix  $\overline{F}$  is the low triangular  $k \times k$  matrix such that  $\overline{f}_{ij} = 1$  if  $i \leq j$  and zero otherwise. Then the path space of  $\overline{B}$  is countable and the only tail invariant measure is the delta measure supported by the infinite path going through vertex 1. Indeed, the “normalized” vectors  $\overline{y}_i^{(n,m)}$  of the rows of the matrix  $\overline{G}^{(n,m)} = \overline{F}^m$  have the form

$$\overline{y}_i^{(n,m)} = \frac{1}{S_i^{(m)}} \cdot \langle S_i^{(m-1)}, S_{i-1}^{(m-1)}, \dots, S_1^{(m-1)}, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle, \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

We can easily show that  $\overline{y}_i^{(n,\infty)} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \overline{y}_i^{(n,m)} = \langle 1, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle$  because


$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_i^{(m-1)}}{S_i^{(m)}} = 1, \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_i^{(m-1)}}{S_{i+1}^{(m)}} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

**8.3. Values of the measures  $\mu_a$  on subdiagrams  $B(W, k)$ .** We consider now the vertex subdiagram  $\overline{B}(W, k)$  of  $B_\infty$  that was defined at the beginning of this subsection. Recall that  $k$  is fixed and  $W_n = \{k, k+1, \dots, k+n-1\}$ . For the reader's convenience, we include Figure 3:

Let  $h_i^{(n)}$  be the heights of the towers  $\overline{X}_i^{(n)}$ ,  $i = k, k+1, \dots, k+n-1$ , inside  $\overline{B}(W, k)$  where  $n \geq 1$ . It is evident that  $h_k^{(n)} = 1$  for all  $n$ . Moreover, from the definition of the subdiagram  $\overline{B}(W, k)$ , we get the relation

$$(8.18) \quad h_i^{(n+1)} = h_k^{(n)} + \dots + h_i^{(n)},$$

whenever  $i = k, \dots, k+n-1$ , and  $h_{k+n}^{(n+1)} = h_{k+n-1}^{(n+1)}$ .


 FIGURE 3. The subdiagram  $\overline{B}(W, k)$ .

We recall that  $H_i^{(n)}$  denotes the height of the tower  $X_i^{(n)}$  in the diagram  $B_\infty$ ,  $n, i = 1, 2, \dots$ . We know that  $H_i^{(n)} = \binom{i+n-2}{n-1}$ , see (8.2), (8.4).

**Lemma 8.10.** *For  $n = 2, 3, \dots$  and  $i = k+1, \dots, k+n-1$ , the heights of the towers  $\overline{X}_i^{(n)}$  and  $X_i^{(n)}$  satisfy the relation*

$$(8.19) \quad h_i^{(n)} = H_{i-k+1}^{(n)} - H_{i-k}^{(n+1)}.$$

*Proof.* It is obvious that (8.19) holds for  $n = 2$  and  $i = k+1$ . Assume that (8.19) is true for some  $n$ . Then, using (8.18), we get that, for  $i = k+1, \dots, k+n-1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} h_i^{(n+1)} &= 1 + h_{k+1}^{(n)} + \dots + h_i^{(n)} \\ &= \left[ 1 + H_2^{(n)} + \dots + H_{i-k+1}^{(n)} \right] - \left[ H_1^{(n+1)} + \dots + H_{i-k}^{(n+1)} \right] \\ &= H_{i-k+1}^{(n+1)} - H_{i-k}^{(n+2)}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that (8.19) holds for  $i = k+n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} h_{k+n}^{(n+1)} &= h_{k+n-1}^{(n+1)} = H_n^{(n+1)} - H_{n-1}^{(n+2)} \\ &= \binom{2n-1}{n} - \binom{2n-1}{n+1} \\ &= \frac{(2n-1)! \cdot 2}{(n+1)! \cdot (n-1)!} = \frac{(2n)!}{(n+1)! \cdot n!} \\ &= \binom{2n}{n} - \binom{2n}{n+1} \\ &= H_{(n+1)}^{(n+1)} - H_{(n)}^{(n+2)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

For  $0 < a < \infty$ , let  $\mu_a$  be the ergodic probability measure defined in Theorem 8.7. We use (8.17) to describe the path space  $\overline{X}_{\overline{B}_k}$  of the subdiagram  $\overline{B}(W, k)$  (we write  $X_{\overline{B}_k}$  instead of  $\overline{X}_{\overline{B}(W, k)}$  for convenience).

**Proposition 8.11.** *Let  $Z_n := \bigcup_{i \in W_n} Y_i^{(n)}$  and let  $Y_i^{(n)}$  denote the inner tower of the subdiagram  $\overline{B}_k$  corresponding to the vertex  $i \in W_n$ . Set  $\mu_{n,a} = \mu_a(Z_n)$ . Then*

$$(8.20) \quad \mu_a(\overline{X}_{\overline{B}_k}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{n,a},$$

and  $\mu_a(\overline{X}_{\overline{B}_k}) > 0$  if and only if  $0 < a < 1$ .

*Proof.* Since the sequence of sets  $Z_n := \bigcup_{i \in W_n} Y_i^{(n)}$  is decreasing, we conclude that (8.20) holds.

Further, we note that  $\mu_{1,a} = \frac{a^{k-1}}{(a+1)^k}$ . Using the definition of  $Z_n$ , we can write

$$\mu_{n,a} = \frac{1}{(a+1)^n} \left[ h_k^{(n)} \left( \frac{a}{a+1} \right)^{k-1} + h_{k+1}^{(n)} \left( \frac{a}{a+1} \right)^k + \dots + h_{k+n-1}^{(n)} \left( \frac{a}{a+1} \right)^{k+n-2} \right].$$

Denoting  $z = \frac{a}{a+1}$  and  $I_n = h_k^{(n)} + h_{k+1}^{(n)}z + \dots + h_{k+n-1}^{(n)}z^{n-1}$ , we can write

$$\mu_{n,a} = \frac{a^{k-1}}{(a+1)^{n+k-1}} I_n.$$

We want to represent  $I_{n+1}$  in terms of  $I_n$ . Note that the following relation holds:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{n+1} &= \sum_{j=0}^n h_{k+j}^{(n+1)} z^j \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left( \sum_{l=0}^j h_{k+l}^{(n)} \right) z^j + \left( h_k^{(n)} + \dots + h_{k+n-1}^{(n)} \right) z^n \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} h_{k+l}^{(n)} z^l (1 + z + \dots + z^{n-l}) \\ &= \frac{1}{1-z} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} h_{k+l}^{(n)} z^l (1 - z^{n-l+1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{1-z} \left[ \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} h_{k+l}^{(n)} z^l - z^{n+1} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} h_{k+l}^{(n)} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{1-z} \left[ I_n - z^{n+1} h_{k+n-1}^{(n+1)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Because  $\frac{1}{1-z} = a+1$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{n+1,a} &= \frac{a^{k-1}}{(a+1)^{n+k}} \cdot I_{n+1} \\ &= \frac{a^{k-1}}{(a+1)^{n+k-1}} \cdot \left[ I_n - \left( \frac{a}{a+1} \right)^{n+1} h_{k+n-1}^{(n+1)} \right] \\ &= \mu_{n,a} - \frac{a^{k+n}}{(a+1)^{2n+k}} h_{k+n-1}^{(n+1)} \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (8.19) (see also (8.2) and (8.4)) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_{k+n-1}^{(n+1)} &= H_n^{(n+1)} - H_{n-1}^{(n+2)} \\
 &= \binom{2n-1}{n} - \binom{2n-1}{n+1} \\
 &= \frac{(2n-1)! \cdot 2}{(n+1)! \cdot (n-1)!} \\
 &= \frac{(2n)!}{(n+1)! \cdot n!} \\
 &= \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain that, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_{n,a} - \mu_{n+1,a} &= \frac{a^{k+n}}{(a+1)^{2n+k}} \frac{1}{(n+1)} \binom{2n}{n} \\
 &= \left( \frac{a}{a+1} \right)^k \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n} \left( \frac{a}{(a+1)^2} \right)^n
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from this relation that

$$\mu_{1,a} - \mu_{m+1,a} = \left( \frac{a}{a+1} \right)^k \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n} \left( \frac{a}{(a+1)^2} \right)^n$$

Recall that  $C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}$  is called the Catalan number, and the generating function for the corresponding series is well known, see e.g. [Sta15]

$$(8.21) \quad C(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n} x^n = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1-4x}}{2x}, \quad |x| < \frac{1}{4}.$$

Using this fact, we can substitute  $x = \frac{a}{(a+1)^2}$  in (8.21) and find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_a(\widehat{X}_{\overline{B}_k}) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{m+1,a} \\
 &= \mu_{1,a} - \left( \frac{a}{a+1} \right)^k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n} \left( \frac{a}{(a+1)^2} \right)^n \\
 &= \left( \frac{a}{a+1} \right)^k \left[ \frac{1}{a} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n} \left( \frac{a}{(a+1)^2} \right)^n \right] \\
 &= \left( \frac{a}{a+1} \right)^k \left[ \frac{1}{a} - C\left( \frac{a}{(a+1)^2} \right) + 1 \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

By a direct computation, we obtain that

$$C\left( \frac{a}{(a+1)^2} \right) = \frac{(a+1)[(a+1) - |a-1|]}{2a} = \begin{cases} \frac{a+1}{a} & \text{if } a \geq 1 \\ a+1 & \text{if } 0 < a < 1. \end{cases}$$

Finally,

$$\mu_a(\widehat{X}_{\overline{B}_k}) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } a \geq 1 \\ \left(\frac{a}{a+1}\right)^{k-1} (1-a), & \text{if } 0 < a < 1. \end{cases}$$

□

*Remark 8.12.* We consider the generalized Bratteli diagram  $B_\infty$  and the tail invariant measure  $\mu_a$  on the path space  $X_{B_\infty}$ . Recall that the measure  $\mu_a$  defines the probability distribution on the vertices  $i \in V_1$  of the first level:  $\mu_a(\{i\}) = \frac{a^{i-1}}{(1+a)^i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Define a Markov kernel  $P = (p_{ij})$  by setting

$$p_{ij} = \frac{a^{j-i}}{(1+a)^{j-i+1}}, \quad j \geq i,$$

and  $p_{ij} = 0$  if  $j < i$ .

Hence,  $\text{Prob}(i \rightarrow i) = \frac{1}{1+a}$  and

$$\text{Prob}(i \rightarrow \{j | j > i\}) = \sum_{j>i} p_{ij} = \frac{a}{1+a}.$$

It follows from Proposition 8.11 that the following result holds.

**Corollary 8.13.** *The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\text{Prob}(i \rightarrow i) > \text{Prob}(i \rightarrow \{j | j > i\})$ ,
- (ii)  $\mu_a(\widehat{X}_{\overline{B}(W,i)}) > 0$ ,
- (iii)  $0 < a < 1$ .

**8.4. Tail invariant probability measures on the subdiagram  $B(W, k)$ .** We consider again the vertex subdiagrams  $B(W, k)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , defined in subsection 8.3. We recall that the heights of the towers in this subdiagram have been found in Lemma 8.10 by the formula:

$$(8.22) \quad h_i^{(n)} = H_{i-k+1}^{(n)} - H_{i-k}^{(n+1)}, \quad i = k+1, \dots, k+n-1,$$

where

$$H_i^{(n)} = \binom{i+n-2}{n-1}, \quad n, i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Let the matrices  $G^{(n,m)} = \{g_{ij}'^{(n,m)}\}$  ( $m \geq 1$ ) be defined as above, that is they are the product of the incidence matrices between the levels  $V_n$  and  $V_{n+m}$ , and let  $G^{(n,m)} = \{g_{ij}^{(n,m)}\}$  denote the corresponding stochastic matrices, where  $i = k, \dots, k+n+m-1$ ,  $j = k, \dots, k+n-1$  and  $n, m = 1, 2, \dots$ .

**Lemma 8.14.** *The following formula holds:*

$$(8.23) \quad g_{ij}'^{(n,m)} = \begin{cases} S_{i-j+1}^{(m-1)}, & i = k, \dots, k+n-1, \quad j = k, \dots, i, \\ S_{i-j+1}^{(m-1)}, & i = k+n, \quad j = k, \dots, k+n-1, \\ S_{i-j+1}^{(m-1)} - S_{m+n+k-j+1}^{(i-k-n-1)}, & i = k+n+1, \dots, k+n+m-1, \quad j = k, \dots, k+n-1. \end{cases}$$

For  $i = n+m+k$  and  $j = k, k+1, \dots, k+n-1$ , we have the equality  $g_{n+m+k,j}'^{(n,m)} = g_{n+m+k-1,j}^{(n,m)}$ .



*Proof.* For  $m = 1$ ,  $G'^{(n,1)} = F_n$  is the matrix of  $(k+n) \times (k+n-1)$  size, and therefore we can write

$$G'^{(n,1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where the entries of the last two rows equal 1. The rows are indexed by  $i = k, k+1, \dots, k+n$ , and the columns are indexed by  $j = k, \dots, k+n-1$ .

Writing the rows of the matrices  $G'^{(n,m)}$  and  $G'^{(n,m+1)}$  as vectors, we obtain the following relations:

$$\bar{g}'_s^{(n,m+1)} = \sum_{i=1}^s \bar{g}'_i^{(n,m)}, \quad s = k, \dots, k+n+m-1,$$

and

$$(8.24) \quad \bar{g}'_{k+n+m}^{(n,m+1)} = \bar{g}'_{k+n+m-1}^{(n,m+1)}, \quad n, m = 1, 2, \dots$$

Using these relations and the induction assumption, we get that for  $s = k, \dots, k+n+m-1$ ,  $j = k, \dots, k+n-1$

$$g'_{sj}^{(n,m+1)} = \sum_{i=j}^s g'_{ij}^{(n,m)} = \sum_{i=j}^s S_{i-j+1}^{(m-1)}$$

if  $s = k, \dots, k+n$ , and

$$g'_{sj}^{(n,m+1)} = \sum_{i=j}^s S_{i-j+1}^{(m-1)} - \sum_{i=k+n+1}^s S_{m+n+k-j+1}^{(i-k-n-1)},$$

if  $s = k+n+1, \dots, k+n+m-1$ . Further, we use

$$S_l^{(0)} + S_l^{(1)} + \dots + S_l^{(u)} = S_{l+1}^{(u)}.$$

and get that

$$\sum_{i=j}^s S_{i-j+1}^{(m-1)} = \sum_{i=1}^{s-j+1} S_i^{(m-1)} = S_{s-j+1}^{(m)}, \quad s = k, \dots, k+n+m-1$$

and

$$\sum_{i=k+n+1}^s S_{m+n+k-j+1}^{(i-k-n-1)} = \sum_{u=0}^{(s-k-n-1)} S_{m+n+k-j+1}^{(u)} = S_{m+n+k-j+2}^{(s-k-n-1)}.$$

Therefore, we proved that

$$g'_{sj}^{(n,m+1)} = S_{s-j+1}^{(m)}, \quad s = k, \dots, k+n,$$

and

$$g'_{sj}^{(n,m+1)} = S_{s-j+1}^{(m)} - S_{m+n+k-j+2}^{(s-k-n-1)}, \quad s = k+n+1, \dots, k+n+m-1.$$

relation (8.23) holds.  $\square$

We will now transform formulas (8.22) and (8.23) and write them in a more convenient form. For this,

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_i^{(n)} &= \binom{n+i-k-1}{n-1} - \binom{n+i-k-1}{n} \\
 &= \binom{n+i-k-1}{n-1} \cdot \left[ 1 - \frac{(n+i-k-1)!}{n! \cdot (i-k-1)!} \cdot \frac{(n-1)! \cdot (i-k)!}{(n+i-k-1)!} \right] \\
 &= \binom{n+i-k-1}{n-1} \cdot \left[ 1 - \frac{i-k}{n} \right] \\
 &= H_{i-k+1}^{(n)} \cdot \left[ 1 - \frac{i-k}{n} \right].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{8.25}$$

Similarly, we calculate for  $i = k+n+1, \dots, k+n+m-1$ ,  $j = k, \dots, k+n-1$

$$g'_{ij}^{(n,m)} = S_{i-j+1}^{(m-1)} - S_{(m+n+k-j+1)}^{(i-k-n-1)} = S_{i-j+1}^{(m-1)} \cdot \left[ 1 - \frac{(i-k-n) \cdot \dots \cdot (i-j)}{m \cdot \dots \cdot (m+n+k-j)} \right].$$

Setting

$$r_{ij} = \frac{(i-k-n) \cdot \dots \cdot (i-j)}{m \cdot \dots \cdot (m+n+k-j)}, \quad i = k+n+1, \dots, k+n+m-1, \quad j = k, \dots, k+n-1,$$

and  $r_{ij} = 0$  for  $i = k, \dots, k+n-1$ ,  $j = k, \dots, i$ , or for  $i = k+n$ ,  $j = k, \dots, k+n-1$ , we obtain that

$$g'_{ij}^{(n,m)} = S_{i-j+1}^{(m-1)} \cdot [1 - r_{ij}], \tag{8.26}$$

**Proposition 8.15.** *The standard Bratteli diagram  $\overline{B}(W, k)$  admits uncountable many tail invariant probability measures  $\nu_a$ ,  $0 \leq a \leq 1$ .*

*Proof.* We first calculate the entries  $g_{ij}^{(n,m)}$  of the stochastic matrices  $G^{(n,m)}$ . Then we find the probability vectors  $\bar{q}^{(n,\infty,k)} = \langle q_j^{(n,\infty,k)} \mid j = k, \dots, k+n-1 \rangle$  as the limit points of vectors  $\bar{g}_i^{(n,m)} = \langle g_{ij}^{(n,m)} \mid j = k, \dots, k+n-1 \rangle$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

Using (4.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_{ij}^{(n,m)} &= g'_{ij}^{(n,m)} \cdot \frac{h_j^{(n)}}{h_i^{(n+m)}} \\
 &= S_{i-j+1}^{(m-1)} \cdot [1 - r_{ij}] \cdot \frac{h_j^{(n)}}{H_{i-k+1}^{(n+m)} \cdot \left[ 1 - \frac{i-k}{n+m} \right]} = \\
 &= \left[ S_{i-j+1}^{(m-1)} \cdot \frac{1}{H_i^{(n+m)}} \right] \cdot (1 - r_{ij}) \cdot \frac{H_i^{(n+m)}}{H_{i-k+1}^{(n+m)}} \cdot h_j^{(n)} \cdot \left[ \frac{n+m}{n+m+k-i} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Further, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{H_i^{(n+m)}}{H_{i-k+1}^{(n+m)}} &= \binom{n+m+i-2}{n+m-1} \cdot \binom{n+m+i-k-1}{n+m-1}^{-1} = \\
 &= \frac{(n+m+i-2)!}{(n+m-1)! \cdot (i-1)!} \cdot \frac{(n+m-1)! \cdot (i-k)!}{(n+m+i-k-1)!} = \frac{(n+m+i-k) \cdot \dots \cdot (n+m+i-2)}{(i-k+1) \cdot \dots \cdot (i-1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now assume that  $m \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\frac{i_m}{m} \rightarrow a$ . We remark that  $0 \leq a \leq 1$  because  $k \leq i_m \leq m + n + k$ . In what follows, we assume that  $0 < a < 1$ .

We compute successively (see the proofs of Lemma 8.2 and Proposition 8.3):

$$\begin{aligned} p_j^{(n,\infty)} &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left[ S_{i-j+1}^{(m-1)} \cdot \frac{1}{H_i^{(n+m)}} \right] = \frac{a^{j-1}}{(1+a)^{n+j-1}}, \\ \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_i^{(n+m)}}{H_{i-k+1}^{(n+m)}} &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n+m-i-k) \cdot \dots \cdot (n+m+i-2)}{(i-k+1) \cdot \dots \cdot (i-1)} = \left( \frac{1+a}{a} \right)^{k-1}, \\ \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (1 - r_{ij}) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left[ 1 - \frac{(i-k-n) \cdot \dots \cdot (i-j)}{m \cdot \dots \cdot (m+n+k-j)} \right] = [1 - a^{n+k-j+1}], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n+m}{n+m+k-i} = \frac{1}{1-a}.$$

As a consequence of the above formulas, we have

$$(8.27) \quad p_j^{(n,\infty,k)} = \frac{a^{j-k}}{(1+a)^{n+j-k}} \cdot \frac{[1 - a^{n+k-j+1}]}{1-a},$$

and

$$q_j^{(n,\infty,k)} = h_j^{(n)} p_j^{(n,\infty,k)}$$

for  $j = k, k+1, \dots, k+n-1$ .

It remains to check that the sequence of vectors

$$\bar{p}^{(n,\infty,k)} = \langle p_j^{(n,\infty,k)} \mid j = k, \dots, k+n-1 \rangle$$

satisfies relation (3.6) of Theorem 3.6. Recall that  $F'_n = G'^{(n,1)}$ .

We should verify that, for every  $l = k, \dots, k+n-1$ , the relation

$$\sum_{j=l}^{k+n} p_j^{(n+1,\infty,k)} = p_l^{(n,\infty,k)}$$

holds. For this,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=l}^{k+n} p_j^{(n+1,\infty,k)} &= \frac{1}{(1+a)^{n+1}(1-a)} \sum_{j=l}^{k+n} \frac{a^{j-k}}{(1+a)^{j-k}} (1 - a^{n+k-j+2}) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1+a)^{n+1}(1-a)} \left[ \left( \frac{a}{1+a} \right)^{l-k} \sum_{j=0}^{n+k-l} \left( \frac{a}{1+a} \right)^j - a^{n+2} \left( \frac{1}{1+a} \right)^{l-k} \sum_{j=0}^{n+k-l} \left( \frac{1}{1+a} \right)^j \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(1+a)^{n+1} \cdot (1-a)} \times \\ &\quad \times \left\{ (1+a) \left( \frac{a}{1+a} \right)^{l-k} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{a}{1+a} \right)^{n+k+1-l} \right] - \left( \frac{1+a}{a} \right) \cdot \frac{a^{n+2}}{(1+a)^{l-k}} \cdot \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{1}{1+a} \right)^{n+k+1-l} \right] \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1+a)^{n+k-l}(1-a)} \cdot \left[ a^{l-k} - \frac{a^{n+1}}{(1+a)^{n+k+1-l}} - a^{n+1} + \frac{a^{n+1}}{(1+a)^{n+k+1-l}} \right] \\ &= \frac{a^{l-k}}{(1+a)^{n+k-l}(1-a)} \cdot [1 - a^{n+k-l+1}] = p_l^{(n,\infty,k)} \end{aligned}$$

as follows from (8.27).

We have proved that the sequence of vectors  $\{\bar{p}^{(n,\infty,k)}, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  determines an invariant probability measure  $\nu_a$  on the subdiagram  $\bar{B}(W, k)$  for every  $0 \leq a \leq 1$ .  $\square$

We discuss the ergodicity of  $\nu_a$  in the next proposition.

**Lemma 8.16.** *Let  $\nu$  be a tail invariant probability measure on the subdiagram  $\bar{B}(W, k)$  and let*

$$\bar{p}^{(n,\infty,k)} = \left\langle p_j^{(n,\infty,k)} \mid k \leq j \leq k+n-1 \right\rangle$$

*be the sequence of vectors defining the measure  $\nu$  as in Theorem 3.6. Then the measure  $\nu$  is completely determined by a sequence of numbers  $\{p_n, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ , where  $p_n = p_{k+n-1}^{(n,\infty,k)}$ .*

*Proof.* (Sketch) We know that, for every  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and for  $l = k, k+1, \dots, k+n-1$ , the following relation holds

$$(8.28) \quad \sum_{j=l}^{k+n} p_j^{(n+1,\infty,k)} = p_l^{(n,\infty,k)}.$$

It follows directly from (8.28) that  $p_{k+n-1}^{(n+1,\infty,k)} = p_{k+n-1}^{(n,\infty,k)} - p_{k+n}^{(n+1,\infty,k)} = p_n - p_{n+1}$  where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Using (8.28) and beginning with the first level, we consequently find that  $p_k^{(k,\infty,1)} = p_1$ ,  $p_k^{(k,\infty,2)} = p_1 - p_2$ ,  $p_{k+1}^{(k+1,\infty,2)} = p_2$ , and so on. In particular, the vector  $\bar{p}^{(k+1,\infty,4)}$  has the entries  $\langle p_1 - 3p_2 + p_3, p_2 - 3p_3 + p_4, p_4 \rangle$ .

This computation shows that, for every vector  $\bar{p}^{(n,\infty,k)}$ , all coordinates  $p_j^{(n,\infty,k)}$  are represented as a linear combination of the terms of the sequence  $\{p_n\}$ . Moreover, to write  $p_j^{(n,\infty,k)}$  as a linear combination, we use only numbers  $p_1, \dots, p_n$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 8.17.** *For  $\nu$  as in Lemma 8.16, the sequence of numbers  $\{p_n\}$  where  $p_n = p_{k+n-1}^{(n,\infty,k)}$  is completely monotonic.*

*Proof.* Now we prove that for every tail invariant probability measure  $\nu$  on the subdiagram  $\bar{B}_k$ , the sequence  $\{p_n \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  defined above is completely monotonic. Let  $\nu = \nu_a$ .

By (8.27), we have

$$p_n(a) = p_n = p_{k+n-1}^{(n,\infty,k)} = \frac{a^{n-1}}{(1+a)^{2n-1}} \frac{(1-a^2)}{(1-a)} = \frac{a^{n-1}}{(1+a)^{2n-2}}.$$

Therefore,

$$(\Delta p)_n = p_n - p_{n+1} = \frac{a^{n-1}}{(1+a)^{2n-2}} - \frac{a^n}{(1+a)^{2n}} = \frac{a^{n-1}(1+a+a^2)}{(1+a)^{2n}},$$

Similarly, we find

$$(\Delta^2 p)_n = \frac{a^{n-1} \cdot (1+a+a^2)^2}{(1+a)^{2n+2}},$$

and, in general,

$$(\Delta^l p)_n = \frac{a^{n-1}(1+a+a^2)^l}{(1+a)^{2n+2l-2}}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots$$

We have proved that  $p_n(a)$  is a completely monotonic sequence. Now take any invariant probability measure  $\nu$  on  $\overline{B}(W, k)$ . Because the set  $M_1(X_{\overline{B}(W, k)})$  of all ergodic measures on  $\overline{B}(W, k)$  is contained in  $\{\nu_a : 0 < a < 1\}$ , then  $\nu$  is an integral over the measures  $\nu_a$ , i.e., for every continuous function  $f: X_{\overline{B}(W, k)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\int_{X_{\overline{B}(W, k)}} f(x) d\nu(x) = \int_0^1 \left[ \int_{X_{\overline{B}(W, k)}} f(x) d\nu_a(x) \right] d\rho(a),$$

where  $\rho$  is a probability measure on  $(0, 1)$ .

In particular,  $p_n(\nu) = \int_0^1 p_n(a) d\rho(a)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This equality implies that the following relations hold

$$(\Delta^l p_n(\nu))_n = \int_0^1 (\Delta^l p(a))_n \rho(da)$$

for  $n, l = 1, 2, \dots$ . This means that the sequence  $\{p_n(\nu)\}$  is completely monotonic.  $\square$

**Proposition 8.18.** *Every measure  $\nu_a$  defined in Proposition 8.15 is ergodic.*

*Proof.* We will show that every measure  $\nu_a$  described in Proposition 8.15 is ergodic. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 8.7. Each invariant probability measure  $\nu$  on  $\overline{B}(W, k)$  determines a completely monotonic sequence  $\{p_n(\nu) \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  as shown in Lemmas 8.16 and 8.17. By Hausdorff theorem (Theorem 8.5) there exists a unique probability measure  $m$  on the interval  $[0, 1]$  such that  $\int_0^1 x^n m(dx) = p_n(\nu)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $\nu = \nu_a$ , we have  $m = m_a$  and

$$\int_0^1 x^n m_a(dx) = \frac{a^{(n-1)}}{(1+a)^{2n-2}} = \frac{(1+a)^2}{a} \cdot \int_0^1 x^n \delta_{\frac{a}{(1+a)^2}}(dx)$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This implies that

$$m_a = \frac{(1+a)^2}{a} \cdot \delta_{\frac{a}{(1+a)^2}}.$$

Now, we use the same arguments as in Theorem 8.7 to prove that  $\nu_a$  cannot be represented as a linear convex combination  $\nu_a = \lambda\nu^{(1)} + (1-\lambda)\nu^{(2)}$ , where  $0 < \lambda < 1$  and  $\nu^{(1)}$  and  $\nu^{(2)}$  are different invariant finite measures on  $\overline{B}_k$ . Therefore each measure  $\nu_a$  is an ergodic measure.  $\square$

*Remark 8.19.* We observe that for  $a = 1$  the measure  $\nu_1$  is infinite. Indeed, it follows from (8.27) that, for  $a = 1$ ,

$$\overline{p}^{(n, \infty, k)} = \left\langle \frac{n+1}{2^n}, \frac{n}{2^{n-1}}, \dots, 1 \right\rangle$$

and therefore  $\overline{q}^{(n, \infty, k)}$  has the following form:

$$\overline{q}^{(n, \infty, k)} = \left\langle h_k^{(n)} \frac{n+1}{2^n}, h_{k+1}^{(n)} \frac{n}{2^{n-1}}, \dots, h_{k+n-1}^{(n)} \right\rangle.$$

Since  $h_j^{(n)} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we conclude that  $\nu_1$  is infinite.

**Proposition 8.20.** *The extension  $\widehat{\nu}_a$  of each measure  $\nu_a$  onto the set  $\mathcal{R}(X_{\overline{B}(W,k)})$  is finite,  $0 < a < 1$ . Moreover, the measures  $\widehat{\nu}_a$  are pairwise singular,  $0 < a < 1$ .*

*Proof.* The proof follows from condition (ii) of Theorem 3.13. Indeed, for  $k \geq 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \sum_{i=k}^{k+n} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} f'_{ij}^{(n)} H_j^{(n)} p_i^{(n+1,\infty,k)} + H_{k+n}^{(n)} p_{k+n}^{(n+1,\infty,k)} \right] \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \sum_{i=k}^{k+n} p_i^{(n+1,\infty,k)} \cdot \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} H_j^{(n)} \right) + H_{k+n}^{(n)} p_{k+n}^{(n+1,\infty,k)} \right] \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{(1+a)^n} \sum_{i=k}^{k+n} \frac{a^{i-k}}{(1+a)^{i-k}} \cdot \frac{(1-a^{n+k-i+2})}{(1-a)} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} H_j^{(n)} + H_{k+n}^{(n)} \frac{a^n}{(1+a)^{2n}} \right] \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+a)^n} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^n \left( \frac{a}{1+a} \right)^i \cdot \frac{(1-a^{n-i+2})}{(1-a)} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} H_j^{(n)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_{k+n}^{(n)} \frac{a^n}{(1+a)^{2n}} \\
&< \frac{k-1}{1-a} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_{k-1}^{(n)} \frac{1}{(1+a)^n} \sum_{i=0}^n \left( \frac{a}{1+a} \right)^i + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_{k+n}^{(n)} \frac{a^n}{(1+a)^{2n}} \\
&< \frac{k-1}{1-a} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \binom{n+k-3}{n-1} \frac{2}{(1+a)^n} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \binom{2n+k-2}{n-1} \cdot \left( \frac{a}{(1+a)^2} \right)^n \\
&< \frac{k-1}{1-a} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+k-3)^{k-2} \frac{2}{(1+a)^n} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \binom{2n+k-2}{n-1} \cdot \left( \frac{a}{(1+a)^2} \right)^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that both power series are convergent. The series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+k-3)^{(k-2)} \frac{1}{(1+a)^n} < \infty$$

because his radius of convergence is 1 and  $\frac{1}{a+1} < 1$ . Similarly, the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \binom{2n+k-2}{n-1} \cdot \left( \frac{a}{(1+a)^2} \right)^n < \infty$$

because his radius of convergence is  $\frac{1}{4}$  and  $\frac{a}{(1+a)^2} < \frac{1}{4}$  for  $0 \leq a < 1$ . □

**Question.** The generalized Bratteli diagram  $B_{\infty}$  supports two collections of tail invariant measures:  $\{\mu_a \mid 0 < a < \infty\}$  and  $\{\widehat{\nu}_a \mid 0 < a < 1\}$ . How are the measures from the two collections related?

*Remark 8.21.* We note that the extended measures  $\widehat{\nu}_a$  are pairwise singular. Indeed, we know that the measures  $\nu_a$  are pairwise singular, hence they are supported by pairwise disjoint sets  $Y_a$ . The set  $\widehat{Y}_a$  consists of all infinite paths tail equivalent to a path from  $Y_a$ . Then the sets  $\widehat{Y}_a$  are pairwise disjoint and this means that  $\widehat{\nu}_a$  are pairwise singular.

## 9. GENERALIZED BRATTELI-VERSHIK SYSTEMS

In this section, we discuss orders and the corresponding Vershik maps for generalized Bratteli diagrams. In particular, we consider the cases of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -infinite and  $\mathbb{N}$ -infinite Pascal-Bratteli diagrams (see Section 5) and the diagram  $B_{\infty}$  studied in Section 8.

**9.1. Vershik maps on infinite Pascal-Bratteli diagrams.** In this subsection, we consider infinite Pascal-Bratteli-Vershik dynamical systems. First, we define a so-called natural order on  $\mathbb{Z}$ -infinite and  $\mathbb{N}$ -infinite Pascal-Bratteli diagrams.

First, let  $B$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -infinite Pascal-Bratteli diagram. Let  $\bar{t} = (\dots t_{-1}, t_0, t_1, \dots)$  be a vertex in  $V_n$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Recall that we denote  $I_{\bar{t}} = \{i_1, \dots, i_l\}$ , where  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_l$ , the set of indexes such that  $\bar{t}$  has nonzero entries exactly at positions  $\{i_j\}_{j=1}^l$ . Then we have  $\bar{t} = \sum_{j=1}^l t_{i_j} \bar{e}^{(i_j)}$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^l t_{i_j} = n$ . Then  $s(r^{-1}(\bar{t})) = \{\bar{s} \in V_{n-1} : \bar{s}(\bar{t}, i) = \bar{t} - \bar{e}^{(i)}, i \in I_{\bar{t}}\}$  and the set  $r^{-1}(\bar{t})$  is in a one-to-one correspondence with the set of vertices  $s(r^{-1}(\bar{t}))$ . Define an order on  $r^{-1}(\bar{t})$  as follows: for any two edges  $e, f \in r^{-1}(\bar{t})$  with  $s(e) = \bar{s}(\bar{t}, i)$  and  $s(f) = \bar{s}(\bar{t}, j)$ , where  $i, j \in I_{\bar{t}}$ , we have  $e < f$  if  $i < j$ . The order on  $\mathbb{Z}$ -IPB diagram defined by this rule is called the *natural order*. In the same manner, we define the natural order on  $\mathbb{N}$ -IPB diagram.

It is convenient to present any  $\bar{t} \in V_n$  as a pair  $(I_{\bar{t}}, c(\bar{t}))$ , where  $c(\bar{t}) = \langle t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_l} \rangle$ . Let  $x = (\bar{t}^{(1)}, \bar{t}^{(2)}, \dots)$  be a path of  $X_B$ , where  $\bar{t}^{(1)}, \bar{t}^{(2)}, \dots$  are the vertices,  $\bar{t}^{(n)} \in V_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $I_{\bar{t}^{(1)}} \subset I_{\bar{t}^{(2)}} \subset \dots$  and  $c(\bar{t}^{(n+1)})$  is obtained from  $c(\bar{t}^{(n)})$  by adding the number "1" either to a component of  $c(\bar{t}^{(n)})$  (then  $I_{\bar{t}^{(n)}} = I_{\bar{t}^{(n+1)}}$ ) or to an additional position.

Now we are able to determine the sets  $X_{\max}$  and  $X_{\min}$  for both versions of IPB diagrams. The sets  $X_{\max}$  and  $X_{\min}$  are described as follows.

*Remark 9.1.* (1) The set  $X_{\max}$  consists of all infinite paths  $x = (\bar{t}^{(1)}, \bar{t}^{(2)}, \dots)$  such that for every  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  either  $c(\bar{t}^{(n+1)}) = \langle t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_l} + 1 \rangle$  or  $c(\bar{t}^{(n+1)}) = \langle t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_l}, 1 \rangle$ , where the number "1" is at position  $i_{l+1} > i_l$  and  $c(\bar{t}^{(n)}) = \langle t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_l} \rangle$ .

(2) Similarly, the set  $X_{\min}$  consists of all infinite paths  $x = (\bar{t}^{(1)}, \bar{t}^{(2)}, \dots)$  such that for every  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  either  $c(\bar{t}^{(n+1)}) = \langle t_{i_1} + 1, \dots, t_{i_l} \rangle$  or  $c(\bar{t}^{(n+1)}) = \langle 1, t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_l} \rangle$ , where the number "1" is at position  $i_0 < i_1$  and  $c(\bar{t}^{(n)}) = \langle t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_l} \rangle$ .

Moreover, we can represent the set  $X_{\max}$  as a disjoint union of two subsets  $X_{\max}^u$  and  $X_{\max}^c$ , where

- (1)  $X_{\max}^u = \{x = (\bar{t}^{(1)}, \bar{t}^{(2)}, \dots) \in X_{\max} \text{ such that for infinitely many } n, \text{ if } c(\bar{t}^{(n)}) = \langle t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_l} \rangle \text{ then } c(\bar{t}^{(n+1)}) = \langle t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_l}, 1 \rangle\}$
- (2)  $X_{\max}^c = \{x = (\bar{t}^{(1)}, \bar{t}^{(2)}, \dots) \in X_{\max} \text{ for which there exists } n \text{ with } c(\bar{t}^{(n)}) = \langle t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_l} \rangle \text{ such that for } m = 1, 2, \dots \text{ we have } c(\bar{t}^{(n+m)}) = \langle t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_l} + m \rangle\}$ .

In other words, the set  $X_{\max}^c$  consists of all infinite maximal paths such that, starting from some level, we obtain the next vertex of the path by adding "1" at the same coordinate  $i_l$ . The set  $X_{\max}^u$  is the complement of the set  $X_{\max}^c$ . In a similar way, we divide  $X_{\min}$  into the union of the sets  $X_{\min}^u$  and  $X_{\min}^c$ .

**Lemma 9.2.** *For both versions of infinite Pascal-Bratteli diagrams, the set  $X_{\max}^u$  is uncountable and the sets  $X_{\max}^c$  and  $X_{\min}^c$  are infinite countable. For  $\mathbb{Z}$ -infinite Pascal-Bratteli diagram the set  $X_{\min}^u$  is uncountable, and for  $\mathbb{N}$ -infinite Pascal-Bratteli diagram the set  $X_{\min}^u$  is empty.*

*Proof.* First we prove that the set  $X_{\max}^u$  is uncountable for both versions of IPB. Indeed, for an infinite path  $x = (\bar{t}^{(n)}) \in X_{\max}^u$ , we can obtain the next vertex  $\bar{t}^{(n+1)}$  from the vertex  $\bar{t}^{(n)}$  by adding “1” either to the last non-zero coordinate  $i_l$  of the vector  $\bar{t}^{(n)}$  or any of the zero coordinates after the coordinate  $i_l$ . Recall that each path in  $X_{\max}^u$  is obtained by adding “1” to the zero coordinates infinitely many times. Thus, each path  $x \in X_{\max}^u$  is completely determined by two infinite sequences  $\bar{i} = \{i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < \dots\}$  and  $c(x) = \{t_{i_1}, t_{i_2}, t_{i_3}, \dots\}$ , where  $i_1, i_2, i_3, \dots$  are positions in  $\mathbb{Z}$  (or in  $\mathbb{N}$ ), such that all but finitely many vertices of  $x$  have non-zero coordinates at those positions, and each natural number  $t_{i_l}$  is the maximal possible value of the non-zero coordinate  $i_l$  for a vertex of  $x$ . To find a vertex  $\bar{t}^{(n)}$  of the path  $x$ , we choose a number  $l \geq 1$  such that  $t_{i_1} + \dots + t_{i_{l-1}} < n \leq t_{i_1} + \dots + t_{i_l}$  and then set  $I_{\bar{t}^{(n)}} = \{i_1, \dots, i_l\}$  and  $c(\bar{t}^{(n)}) = \langle t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_{l-1}}, n - (t_{i_1} + \dots + t_{i_{l-1}}) \rangle$ . Therefore, the set  $X_{\max}^u$  is uncountable. Similarly, for  $\mathbb{Z}$ -infinite Pascal-Bratteli diagram, the set  $X_{\min}^u$  is uncountable. It is easy to see that for  $\mathbb{N}$ -infinite Pascal-Bratteli diagram, the set  $X_{\min}^u$  is empty.

Now we prove that the set  $X_{\max}^c$  is countable. Each  $x \in X_{\max}^c$  is completely determined by two finite sequences  $\bar{i} = \{i_1 < \dots < i_s\}$  and  $c(x) = \{t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_{s-1}}, t_{i_s} = \infty\}$ , where  $i_1, \dots, i_s$  are positions in  $\mathbb{Z}$  (or in  $\mathbb{N}$ ) such that all but finitely many vertices of  $x$  have non-zero coordinates at those positions, each number  $t_{i_l}$ , for  $1 \leq l \leq s-1$  is a natural number which is the maximal possible value of the non-zero coordinate  $i_l$  for a vertex of  $x$ , and  $t_{i_s} = \infty$ . To find a vertex  $\bar{t}^{(n)}$  of the path  $x$ , we repeat the procedure above whenever  $n \leq t_{i_1} + \dots + t_{i_{s-1}}$ . For  $n > t_{i_1} + \dots + t_{i_{s-1}}$  we have  $I_{\bar{t}^{(n)}} = \{i_1, \dots, i_s\}$  and  $c(\bar{t}^{(n)}) = \langle t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_{s-1}}, n - (t_{i_1} + \dots + t_{i_{s-1}}) \rangle$ . Thus, the set  $X_{\max}^c$  is countable. Similarly, the set  $X_{\min}^c$  is countable.  $\square$

*Remark 9.3.* (1) For both IPB, the sets  $X_{\max}^c$  and  $X_{\min}^c$  contain a special subset  $X^s$  consisting of those paths  $x = x(i)$  such that  $\bar{i} = \{i\}$  and  $c(x) = \{\infty\}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  or  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then for  $x = (\bar{t}^{(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X^s$ , we have  $I_{\bar{t}^{(n)}} = \{i\}$ , and  $c(\bar{t}^{(n)}) = \{n\}$ . The paths of  $X^s$  are maximal and minimal simultaneously. Moreover,  $X^s = X_{\max} \cap X_{\min}$ .

(2) For  $\mathbb{N}$ -IPB diagram, we have  $X_{\min}^u = \emptyset$  which implies  $X_{\min} = X_{\min}^c$ .

(3) For any  $\bar{t}^{(n)} \in V_n$ , it is easy to find a unique finite minimal path and a unique finite maximal path that join  $\bar{t}^{(n)}$  with  $V_1$ . Namely, let  $\bar{t}^{(n)}$  be defined by a pair  $(I_{\bar{t}^{(n)}}, c(\bar{t}^{(n)}))$ , where

$$I_{\bar{t}^{(n)}} = \{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_l\} \text{ and } c(\bar{t}^{(n)}) = \langle t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_l} \rangle.$$

Let  $x_{\min} = (\bar{t}^{(1)}, \dots, \bar{t}^{(n)})$  and  $x_{\max} = (\bar{s}^{(1)}, \dots, \bar{s}^{(n)})$ , where  $\bar{s}^{(n)} = \bar{t}^{(n)}$ , be the minimal and the maximal paths between  $V_1$  and  $\bar{t}^{(n)}$ . Then

$$c(\bar{t}^{(n-1)}) = \langle t_{i_1} - 1, \dots, t_{i_l} \rangle$$

and

$$I_{\bar{t}^{(n-1)}} = \begin{cases} \{i_1 < \dots < i_l\} & \text{if } (t_{i_1} - 1) > 0 \\ \{i_2 < \dots < i_l\} & \text{if } (t_{i_1} - 1) = 0. \end{cases}$$



To determine the next vertices  $\bar{t}^{(n-2)}, \dots, \bar{t}^{(1)}$  one must subtract in turn the number "1" from the first component of the vectors  $\bar{t}^{(n-1)}, \dots, \bar{t}^{(2)}$  and then determine the sets  $I_{\bar{t}^{(n-2)}}, \dots, I_{\bar{t}^{(1)}}$  as above. In the end, we will have  $I_{\bar{t}^{(1)}} = \{i_l\}$ ,  $c(\bar{t}^{(1)}) = \langle 1 \rangle$ .

Similarly, we determine  $x_{\max}$ . We have  $c(\bar{s}^{(n-1)}) = \langle t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_l} - 1 \rangle$  and

$$I_{\bar{s}^{(n-1)}} = \begin{cases} \{i_1 < \dots < i_l\} & \text{if } (t_{i_l} - 1) > 0 \\ \{i_1 < \dots < i_{l-1}\} & \text{if } (t_{i_l} - 1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

To determine the next vertices  $\bar{s}^{(n-2)}, \dots, \bar{s}^{(1)}$  one must subtract in turn the number "1" from the last component of the vectors  $\bar{s}^{(n-1)}, \dots, \bar{s}^{(2)}$  and then determine the sets  $I_{\bar{s}^{(n-2)}}, \dots, I_{\bar{s}^{(1)}}$  as above. We will have  $I_{\bar{s}^{(1)}} = \{i_1\}$  and  $c(\bar{t}^{(1)}) = \langle 1 \rangle$ .

In Theorem 9.4, we describe the sets  $Succ(x)$  and  $Pred(y)$  for  $\mathbb{Z}$ -IPB and  $\mathbb{N}$ -IPB diagrams (see Subsection 3.3 for definitions).

**Theorem 9.4.** *Let  $B$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ - or  $\mathbb{N}$ -infinite Pascal-Bratteli diagram and the sets  $X_{\min}^c$ ,  $X_{\min}^u$ ,  $X_{\max}^c$ ,  $X_{\max}^u$  be as above. Then*

- (i) *if  $x \in X_{\max}^u$  then  $Succ(x) = \emptyset$ ;*
- (ii) *if  $x = (\bar{t}^{(1)}, \bar{t}^{(2)}, \dots) \in X_{\max}^c$  such that*

$$I_{\bar{t}^{(n)}} = \{i_1 < \dots < i_l\} \text{ and } c(\bar{t}^{(n)}) = \{t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_{l-1}}, t_{i_l} = \infty\}$$

*then  $Succ(x) = \{x(i_l)\} \subset X^s$  (see Part (1) of Remark 9.3);*

- (iii) *if  $y \in X_{\min}^u$  then  $Pred(y) = \emptyset$ .*
- (iv) *if  $y = (\bar{s}^{(1)}, \bar{s}^{(2)}, \dots) \in X_{\min}^c$  such that*

$$I_{\bar{s}^{(n)}} = \{i_1 > \dots > i_l\} \text{ and } c(\bar{s}^{(n)}) = \{t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_{l-1}}, t_{i_l} = \infty\}$$

*then  $Pred(y) = \{x(i_l)\} \subset X^s$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Let  $x = (\bar{t}^{(1)}, \bar{t}^{(2)}, \dots) \in X_{\max}^u$  with  $I_{\bar{t}^{(n)}} = \{i_1 < \dots < i_l\}$  and  $c(\bar{t}^{(n)}) = \langle t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_l} \rangle$ , where  $\{i_1 < \dots < i_l\}$  and  $\langle t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_l} \rangle$  depend on  $n$  and  $i_l \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Assume that  $y = (\bar{s}^{(1)}, \bar{s}^{(2)}, \dots) \in Succ(x)$ ,  $y \in X_{\min}$ . Then for infinitely many  $n$ , there are  $\bar{z} \in V_{n+1}$  and edges  $e_{\bar{z}\bar{t}^{(n)}}^{(n)}, e_{\bar{z}\bar{s}^{(n)}}^{(n)} \in r^{-1}(\bar{z})$  with  $s(e_{\bar{z}\bar{t}^{(n)}}^{(n)}) = \bar{t}^{(n)}$  and  $s(e_{\bar{z}\bar{s}^{(n)}}^{(n)}) = \bar{s}^{(n)}$  such that  $e_{\bar{z}\bar{s}^{(n)}}^{(n)}$  is the successor of  $e_{\bar{z}\bar{t}^{(n)}}^{(n)}$ . Then

$$\bar{z} = \bar{t}^{(n)} + \bar{e}^{(i)} = \bar{s}^{(n)} + \bar{e}^{(j)}$$

for some  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  (or  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

Note that  $i < i_l$  because otherwise  $e_{\bar{z}\bar{t}^{(n)}}^{(n)}$  would be the maximal edge of  $r^{(-1)}(\bar{z})$  and would have no successor. Thus,  $i_{k-1} \leq i < i_k$  for some  $k \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ . If  $i = i_{k-1}$ , since  $e_{\bar{z}\bar{s}^{(n)}}^{(n)}$  is the successor of  $e_{\bar{z}\bar{t}^{(n)}}^{(n)}$ , we have

$$I_{\bar{s}^{(n)}} = \{i_1 < \dots < i_l\} \text{ and } c(\bar{s}^{(n)}) = \langle t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_{k-1}} + 1, t_{i_k} - 1, \dots, t_{i_l} \rangle$$

If  $i > i_{k-1}$ , we have

$$I_{\bar{s}^{(n)}} = \{i_1 < \dots < i_{k-1} < i < \dots < i_l\} \text{ and } c(\bar{s}^{(n)}) = \langle t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_{k-1}}, 1, t_{i_k} - 1, \dots, t_{i_l} \rangle.$$

Let  $y_{\min}^{(n)}$  be the minimal path between  $\bar{s}^{(n)}$  and  $V_1$ . According to the Part (3) of Remark 9.3, the path  $y_{\min}^{(n)}$  starts from the position  $i_l$  or  $i \geq i_{l-1}$  of  $V_1$ . Since  $y_{\min}^{(n)}$  is a part of  $y$ , the minimal path  $y$  starts from the same position of  $V_1$ . Thus, there is no such  $y$  because both  $i_l \rightarrow \infty$  and  $i_{l-1} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

(ii) Let  $x = (\bar{t}^{(1)}, \bar{t}^{(2)}, \dots) \in X_{\max}^c$  and let  $N$  be such that  $c(\bar{t}^{(N)}) = \langle t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_l} \rangle$  and for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$  we have  $c(\bar{t}^{(N+m)}) = \langle t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_l} + m \rangle$ . Assume that  $y = (\bar{s}^1, \bar{s}^2, \dots) \in \text{Succ}(x)$ ,  $y \in X_{\min}$ . Choose infinitely many  $n > N$ , such that there are  $\bar{z} \in V_{n+1}$  and  $e_{\bar{z}\bar{t}^{(n)}}^{(n)}, e_{\bar{z}\bar{s}^{(n)}}^{(n)} \in r^{-1}(\bar{z})$  such that  $e_{\bar{z}\bar{s}^{(n)}}^{(n)}$  is the successor of  $e_{\bar{z}\bar{t}^{(n)}}^{(n)}$ . Then  $\bar{z} = \bar{t}^{(n)} + \bar{e}^{(i)}$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  (or  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Let us observe that  $i < i_l$  and by the same arguments as before we get: if  $i = i_{k-1}$ ,

$$I_{\bar{s}^{(n)}} = \{i_1 < \dots < i_l\} \text{ and } c(\bar{s}^{(n)}) = \langle t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_{k-1}} + 1, t_{i_k} - 1, \dots, t_{i_l} + (n - N) \rangle.$$

If  $i_{k-1} < i < i_k$  then

$$I_{\bar{s}^{(n)}} = \{i_1 < \dots < i_{k-1} < i < \dots < i_l\} \text{ and } c(\bar{s}^{(n)}) = \langle t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_{k-1}}, 1, t_{i_k} - 1, \dots, t_{i_l} + (n - N) \rangle.$$

Form the minimal path  $y_{\min}^{(n)}$  between  $\bar{s}^{(n)}$  and  $V_1$  according to the procedure from Part (3) of Remark 9.3. Then the vertices of this path between the levels 1 and  $n - (t_{i_1} + \dots + t_{i_l})$ , coincide with the vertices between the same levels of the minimal path  $x(i_l) \in X^s$ . Since  $(n - (t_{i_1} + \dots + t_{i_l})) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\text{Succ}(x) = \{x(i_l)\}$ .

(iii) and (iv) In a similar way, we determine the sets  $\text{Pred}(y)$  for  $y \in X_{\min}$ .  $\square$

Theorem 9.5 concerns Vershik maps on  $\mathbb{Z}$ - and  $\mathbb{N}$ -infinite Pascal Bratteli diagrams and properties of the sets  $X_{\min}$ ,  $X_{\max}$ .

**Theorem 9.5.** (1) The Vershik map  $\varphi_B: X \setminus X_{\max} \rightarrow X \setminus X_{\min}$  can be extended to a continuous surjection  $\varphi: [(X \setminus X_{\max}) \cup X_{\max}^c] \rightarrow [(X \setminus X_{\min}) \cup X^s]$  such that  $\varphi = \varphi_B$  on  $X \setminus X_{\max}$  and  $\varphi(x) = x(i_l)$ , where  $x$  and  $x(i_l)$  are described in the proof of Theorem (9.4). Similarly, the inverse map  $\varphi_B^{-1}: X \setminus X_{\min} \rightarrow X \setminus X_{\max}$  can be extended to a surjection  $\psi: [(X \setminus X_{\min}) \cup X_{\min}^c] \rightarrow [(X \setminus X_{\max}) \cup X^s]$  such that  $\psi = \varphi_B^{-1}$  on  $X \setminus X_{\min}$  and  $\psi(y) = x(i_l)$ , where  $y$  and  $x(i_l)$  are as above.

(2) There exists a continuous one-to-one map  $f: X_{\max} \rightarrow X_{\min}$  for  $\mathbb{Z}$ -IPB diagram and a continuous surjection  $f: X_{\max} \rightarrow X_{\min}$  for  $\mathbb{N}$ -IPB diagram.

(3) The sets  $X_{\max}$  and  $X_{\min}$  are nowhere dense in  $X_B$  in both cases of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -IPB and  $\mathbb{N}$ -IPB.

(4) Let  $\bar{d} = \langle d_1, d_2, d_3, \dots \rangle$  be a probability vector and  $\mu_d$  be an invariant measure on  $\mathbb{Z}$ -IPB or  $\mathbb{N}$ -IPB described in Section 5. Then  $\mu_{\bar{d}}(X_{\max}) = \mu_{\bar{d}}(X_{\min}) = 0$  whenever  $d_i < 1$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . If  $\bar{d}$  is a such vector that  $d_j = 1$  and  $d_i = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  or  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  then  $\mu_{\bar{d}}$  is a  $\delta$ -measure concentrated on the path  $x(j) = (\bar{s}^{(1,j)}, \bar{s}^{(2,j)}, \dots)$ , where  $s_i^{(n,j)} = n$  for  $i = j$  and  $s_i^{(n,j)} = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ ,  $i, n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Moreover,  $x(j)$  is both a maximal and a minimal path.

*Proof.* Part (1) is a consequence of Theorem 9.4.

(2) First consider a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -IPB diagram. Let  $x = (\bar{t}^{(1)}, \bar{t}^{(2)}, \dots) \in X_{\max}$  with  $I_{\bar{t}^{(n)}} = \{i_1 < \dots < i_l\}$  and  $c(\bar{t}^{(n)}) = \langle t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_l} \rangle$ , where  $l$  depends on  $n$ . Define a path  $y =$

$(\bar{s}^{(1)}, \bar{s}^{(2)}, \dots)$ , such that  $c(\bar{s}^{(n)}) = \langle t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_l} \rangle$  and  $I_{\bar{s}^{(n)}} = \{i'_1 > i'_2 > \dots > i'_l\}$ , where

$$i'_1 = i_1, i'_2 = 2i_1 - i_2, \dots, i'_l = 2i_1 - i_l,$$

for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . It is obvious that  $y \in X_{\min}$ . We set  $f(x) = y$ . Then it is not hard to see that  $f: X_{\max} \rightarrow X_{\min}$  is a continuous one-to-one mapping. Moreover,  $f(X_{\max}^u) = X_{\min}^u$ ,  $f(X_{\max}^c) = X_{\min}^c$  and  $f$  is equal to the identity on  $X^s$ .

Similarly we define a continuous surjection  $f: X_{\max} \rightarrow X_{\min} = X_{\min}^c$  for  $\mathbb{N}$ -IPB diagram. We define vertices  $\bar{s}^{(n)}$ ,  $n \geq 1$  in the same manner if  $i'_l \geq 1$ . If  $i'_l < 1 < i'_{l-1}$  then we determine  $\bar{s}^{(n)}$  by the sets  $I_{\bar{s}^{(n)}} = \{i'_1 > i'_2 > \dots > i'_{l-1} > 1\}$  and  $c(\bar{s}^{(n)}) = \langle t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_l} \rangle$ .

(3) It is easy to prove the property (3) if we take into consideration the structure of the sets  $X_{\max}$  and  $X_{\min}$ .

(4) Assume that  $B = (V, E)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -IPB diagram. Denote

$$X_{\max}^{(n)} = \bigcup_{\bar{s} \in V_n} [E_{\max}(V_1, \bar{s})],$$

where  $[E_{\max}(V_1, \bar{s})]$  is a cylinder set corresponding to the maximal path  $E_{\max}(V_1, \bar{s})$  of  $E(V_1, \bar{s})$  for  $\bar{s} \in V_n$ . Then  $X_{\max}^{(n)}$  is an open set for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$X_{\max} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_{\max}^{(n)}.$$

Let  $\bar{d} = \langle d_i, i \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$  be a probability vector such that  $d^* = \sup_{i \geq 1} d_i < 1$ . We have

$$\mu_{\bar{d}}(X_{\max}^{(n)}) = \mu_{\bar{d}}\left(\bigcup_{\bar{s} \in V_n} E_{\max}(\bar{s}^{(0)}, \bar{s})\right) = \sum_{\bar{s} \in V_n} \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} d_i^{s_i}.$$

We also have

$$\mu_{\bar{d}}(X_{\max}^{(n+1)}) = \sum_{\bar{s} \in V_{n+1}} \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} d_i^{s_i} \leq d^* \cdot \sum_{\bar{s} \in V_n} \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} d_i^{s_i} = d^* \cdot \mu_{\bar{d}}(X_{\max}^{(n)}).$$

Hence

$$\mu_{\bar{d}}(X_{\max}) = \mu_{\bar{d}}\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} X_{\max}^{(n)}\right) = 0.$$

Similarly,  $\mu_{\bar{d}}(X_{\min}) = 0$ . The same properties are true for  $\mathbb{N}$ -IPB diagram and can be proved similarly. The proof of the last part of (4) is obvious.  $\square$

*Remark 9.6.* The map  $g: X_B \rightarrow X_B$  such that  $g = \varphi_B$  on  $X \setminus X_{\max}$  and  $g = f$  on  $X_{\max}$  is not continuous on the entire space  $X_B$ . It is an one-to-one Borel mapping if  $(X_B, E)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -IPB diagram and it is a Borel surjection if  $(X_B, E)$  is a  $\mathbb{N}$ -IPB diagram.

**9.2. The Vershik map on the generalized Bratteli diagram  $B_{\infty}$ .** In this subsection, we consider Vershik maps defined on the path spaces of the generalized Bratteli diagram  $B_{\infty}$  and its subdiagram  $\bar{B}(W, k)$  where  $k \geq 1$  is fixed and  $W = (W_n), W_n = \{k, \dots, k+n-1\}$ . We denote by  $X_{B_{\infty}}$  and  $X_k := X_{\bar{B}(W, k)}$  the corresponding path spaces. For both diagrams,  $B_{\infty}$  and  $\bar{B}(W, k)$ , the set of all orders is uncountable and we do not consider all possible orders. We present here a few examples of orders such that the corresponding Vershik maps have different properties.

Let  $\omega$  be the left-to-right order for every vertex  $v \in V_n$ ,  $n > 1$ . For the standard Bratteli diagram  $\bar{B}(W, k)$ , we can easily find the sets  $X_{\max}(\omega)$  and  $X_{\min(\omega)}$  of infinite

maximal and minimal paths:  $X_{\min(\omega)}$  is a singleton containing of the vertical path  $X_{\min}$  through vertices  $k$  for every level,  $X_{\max}(\omega)$  is a countable set  $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_\infty\}$  where  $y_i$  is the path going through the vertices  $k, k+1, \dots, k+i, k+i, \dots$  for every  $i \geq 1$  and  $y_\infty$  is the rightmost infinite path. In other words,  $y_i$  is a slanting path connecting  $k$  and  $k+i$  and then going vertically through the vertices  $k+i$ .

Let  $C$  be the countable set of eventually vertical paths in  $X_k$ . The set  $C$  contains  $X_{\max} \setminus \{y_\infty\}$ .

**Lemma 9.7.** (1) The Vershik map  $\varphi_B : X_k \setminus X_{\max}(\omega) \rightarrow X_k \setminus X_{\min}(\omega)$  admits a continuous surjective extension  $\varphi$  by setting  $\varphi(y_i) = X_{\min}$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$ .

(2) Let  $\mathcal{R}$  denote the tail equivalence relation. Then  $C = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{R}(y_i)$  where  $y_i \in X_{\max}(\omega)$ .

The proof is obvious. One only checks that this map  $\varphi$  is continuous.

The set  $D = X_k \setminus C$  is characterized by the property:

$$x = (x_n) \in D \iff |\{n \in \mathbb{N} : r(x_n) > s(x_n)\}| = \infty.$$

We can show that the tail equivalence relation is minimal up to a countable set.

**Proposition 9.8.** Let  $x \in D$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}(x)$  is dense in  $X_k$ .

*Proof.* We show that for every fixed  $x \in D$  and every cylinder set  $[\bar{e}]$ , one has  $\mathcal{R}(x) \cap [\bar{e}] \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $r(\bar{e}) = j \in V_n$ . Take  $m > n$  such that  $r(x_m) = l > j$ . By definition of the subdiagram  $\bar{B}(W, k)$ , there exists a finite path connecting the vertices  $j$  and  $l$ . This means that the  $\mathcal{R}$ -orbit of  $x$  will visit  $[\bar{e}]$ . We also note that  $\mathcal{R}(x_\infty)$  is a dense orbit.  $\square$

*Remark 9.9.* (1) A similar result holds for the diagram  $B_\infty$ . As in the case of  $\bar{B}(W, k)$ , we have countably many maximal infinite paths and the unique minimal path through the leftmost vertex. The extension of the Vershik map is continuous and surjective.

(2) Proposition 9.8 is also true for the diagram  $B_\infty$ .

**Lemma 9.10.** (1) For the generalized Bratteli diagram  $B_\infty$  and its subdiagram  $\bar{B}(W, k)$  there exist orders  $\tau$  and  $\tau(k)$ , respectfully, such that the Vershik map is an essentially minimal homeomorphism of the path space with a unique fixed point.

(2) There exists an order  $\omega$  of  $B_\infty$  such that both sets  $X_{\max}$  and  $X_{\min}$  are countable and  $\text{Succ}(x) = \emptyset$  for every  $x \in X_{\max}$  and  $\text{Pred}(x) = \emptyset$  for every  $x \in X_{\min}$ .

*Proof.* (1) We define an order  $\tau$  on  $B_\infty$  by the following rule:  $\tau$  is the left-to-right order for every vertex  $v \in V_{2n+1}$  and  $\tau$  is the right-to-left order for every vertex  $v \in V_{2n}$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ . Similarly, we consider the case of the subdiagram  $\bar{B}(W, k)$ . Then, it is obvious that  $X_{\max} = X_{\min} = \{z\}$ , where  $z$  is the vertical path that goes through the first vertex of  $B_\infty$  (or the vertex  $k$  for  $\bar{B}(W, k)$ ).

(2) Now we define an order  $\omega$  on the diagram  $B_\infty$  that satisfies statement (2). For each  $i > 2$ , let  $\omega_i$  be defined as follows:

$$\omega_i = \{(i-1) < 1 < 2 < \dots < (i-2) < i\}$$

and  $\omega_2 = \{1 < 2\}$  (note that  $|r^{-1}(1)| = 1$ ). Then, for every level  $V_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$  and for every vertex  $i$ , the cycle  $\omega_i$  defines a stationary linear order on  $r^{-1}(i)$ . In such a way the diagram  $B_\infty$  is supplied with the stationary order  $\omega$ . It follows from this definition that the set  $X_{\max}(\omega)$  of all infinite maximal paths consists of all vertical paths  $x_i$ , that is  $x_i$  passes through the vertex  $i$  for all levels  $V_n$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . The set  $X_{\min}(\omega)$  is formed by the infinite path  $x_1$  and two sequences of infinite paths,  $\{y_i = (y_i(n) : i \geq 1)\}$  and  $\{z_m = (z_m(n)) : m \geq 2\}$ , where  $s(y_i(n)) = i + n - 1$ ,  $r(y_i(n)) = i + n$  and  $s(z_m(n)) = r(z_m(n)) = 1$  for  $1 \leq n < m$  and  $s(z_m(n)) = n - m + 1$ ,  $r(z_m(n)) = n - m + 2$  for  $n \geq m$ . In other words,  $y_i$  is the right-slanting pass that begins at  $i$  and goes through the vertices  $i + 1, i + 2, \dots$ , and  $z_m$  is the path that goes vertically through the vertex 1 up to the level  $V_m$  and then is parallel to  $y_i$ . It can be easily checked that for this order  $\omega$  we have  $Succ(x) = \emptyset$  and  $Pred(y) = \emptyset$  for  $x \in X_{\max}$  or for  $y \in X_{\min}$  respectively.  $\square$

**Corollary 9.11.** *For the ordered Bratteli diagram  $(B_\infty, \omega)$ , the Vershik map  $\varphi: X \setminus X_{\max} \rightarrow X \setminus X_{\min}$  cannot be extended to a continuous map of the entire space  $X_{B_\infty}$ . However, there exists a one-to-one Borel extension of  $\varphi$  acting on the space  $X_{B_\infty}$ .*

**Acknowledgments.** We are very grateful to our colleagues, especially, P. Jorgensen, T. Raszeja, and S. Sanadhya for the numerous valuable discussions. S.B. and O.K. are also grateful to the Nicolas Copernicus University in Toruń for its hospitality and support. S.B. acknowledges the hospitality of AGH University of Krakow during his visit. O.K. is supported by the NCN (National Science Center, Poland) Grant 2019/35/D/ST1/01375 and by the program “Excellence Initiative - Research University” for the AGH University of Krakow.

## REFERENCES

- [ABKK17] M. Adamska, S. Bezuglyi, O. Karpel, and J. Kwiatkowski. Subdiagrams and invariant measures on Bratteli diagrams. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 37(8):2417–2452, 2017.
- [Akh21] N. I. Akhiezer. *The classical moment problem and some related questions in analysis*, volume 82 of *Classics in Applied Mathematics*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, [2021] ©2021. Reprint of the 1965 edition [0184042], Translated by N. Kemmer, With a foreword by H. J. Landau.
- [BDK06] S. Bezuglyi, A. H. Dooley, and J. Kwiatkowski. Topologies on the group of Borel automorphisms of a standard Borel space. *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, 27(2):333–385, 2006.
- [BJ21] Sergey Bezuglyi and Palle E. T. Jorgensen. Harmonic analysis invariants for infinite graphs via operators and algorithms. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 27(2):Paper No. 34, 46, 2021.
- [BJ22a] Sergey Bezuglyi and Palle E. T. Jorgensen. Harmonic analysis on graphs via Bratteli diagrams and path-space measures. *Dissertationes Math.*, 574:74, 2022.
- [BJ22b] Sergey Bezuglyi and Palle E. T. Jorgensen. IFS measures on generalized Bratteli diagrams, 2022.
- [BJKS23] Sergey Bezuglyi, Palle E. T. Jorgensen, Olena Karpel, and Shrey Sanadhya. Bratteli diagrams in Borel dynamics. *arXiv:2212.13803v3*, 2023.
- [BJS24] Sergey Bezuglyi, Palle E. T. Jorgensen, and Shrey Sanadhya. Substitution-dynamics and invariant measures for infinite alphabet-path space. *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, 156:article nr. 102687, 2024.
- [BK16] S. Bezuglyi and O. Karpel. Bratteli diagrams: structure, measures, dynamics. In *Dynamics and numbers*, volume 669 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 1–36. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2016.

- [BKK15] S. Bezuglyi, O. Karpel, and J. Kwiatkowski. Subdiagrams of Bratteli diagrams supporting finite invariant measures. *Zh. Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom.*, 11(1):3–17, 2015.
- [BKK24] Sergey Bezuglyi, Olena Karpel, and Jan Kwiatkowski. Invariant measures for reducible generalized Bratteli diagrams. *J. Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.*, 20(1):3–24, 2024.
- [BKM09] S. Bezuglyi, J. Kwiatkowski, and K. Medynets. Aperiodic substitution systems and their Bratteli diagrams. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 29(1):37–72, 2009.
- [BKMS10] S. Bezuglyi, J. Kwiatkowski, K. Medynets, and B. Solomyak. Invariant measures on stationary Bratteli diagrams. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 30(4):973–1007, 2010.
- [BKMS13] S. Bezuglyi, J. Kwiatkowski, K. Medynets, and B. Solomyak. Finite rank Bratteli diagrams: structure of invariant measures. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 365(5):2637–2679, 2013.
- [BKY14] S. Bezuglyi, J. Kwiatkowski, and R. Yassawi. Perfect orderings on finite rank Bratteli diagrams. *Canad. J. Math.*, 66(1):57–101, 2014.
- [Boc08] Florin P. Boca. An AF algebra associated with the Farey tessellation. *Canad. J. Math.*, 60(5):975–1000, 2008.
- [Bra72] O. Bratteli. Inductive limits of finite dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 171:195–234, 1972.
- [DFMV23] W. Domingos, S. Ferenczi, A. Messaoudi, and G. Valle. Invariant measures for substitutions on countable alphabets, 2023.
- [DHS99] F. Durand, B. Host, and C. Skau. Substitutional dynamical systems, Bratteli diagrams and dimension groups. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 19(4):953–993, 1999.
- [Die84] Joseph Diestel. *Sequences and series in Banach spaces*, volume 92 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
- [DK19] Tomasz Downarowicz and Olena Karpel. Decisive Bratteli-Vershik models. *Studia Math.*, 247(3):251–271, 2019.
- [DP22] Fabien Durand and Dominique Perrin. *Dimension groups and dynamical systems—substitutions, Bratteli diagrams and Cantor systems*, volume 196 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2022.
- [Dur10] Fabien Durand. Combinatorics on Bratteli diagrams and dynamical systems. In *Combinatorics, automata and number theory*, volume 135 of *Encyclopedia Math. Appl.*, pages 324–372. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [Fer06] Sébastien Ferenczi. Substitution dynamical systems on infinite alphabets. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 56(7):2315–2343, 2006. Numération, pavages, substitutions.
- [FGMn22] D. Frettlöh, A. Garber, and N. Mañibo. Catalan numbers as discrepancies for a family of substitutions on infinite alphabets. *arXiv:2211.02548v1*, 2022.
- [FO13] Sarah Bailey Frick and Nicholas Ormes. Dimension groups for polynomial odometers. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 126:165–186, 2013.
- [Fog02] N. Pytheas Fogg. *Substitutions in dynamics, arithmetics and combinatorics*, volume 1794 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [FP10] Sarah Bailey Frick and Karl Petersen. Reinforced random walks and adic transformations. *J. Theoret. Probab.*, 23(3):920–943, 2010.
- [FPS17] Sarah Frick, Karl Petersen, and Sandi Shields. Dynamical properties of some adic systems with arbitrary orderings. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 37(7):2131–2162, 2017.
- [GMPS08] Thierry Giordano, Hiroki Matui, Ian F. Putnam, and Christian F. Skau. Orbit equivalence for Cantor minimal  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -systems. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 21(3):863–892, 2008.
- [GMPS10] Thierry Giordano, Hiroki Matui, Ian F. Putnam, and Christian F. Skau. Orbit equivalence for Cantor minimal  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -systems. *Invent. Math.*, 179(1):119–158, 2010.
- [GPS95] Thierry Giordano, Ian F. Putnam, and Christian F. Skau. Topological orbit equivalence and  $C^*$ -crossed products. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 469:51–111, 1995.
- [GPS04] Thierry Giordano, Ian Putnam, and Christian Skau. Affable equivalence relations and orbit structure of Cantor dynamical systems. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 24(2):441–475, 2004.

- [GW95] Eli Glasner and Benjamin Weiss. Weak orbit equivalence of Cantor minimal systems. *Internat. J. Math.*, 6(4):559–579, 1995.
- [Har92] G. H. Hardy. *Divergent series*. Éditions Jacques Gabay, Sceaux, 1992. With a preface by J. E. Littlewood and a note by L. S. Bosanquet, Reprint of the revised (1963) edition.
- [Hau21a] Felix Hausdorff. Summationsmethoden und Momentfolgen. I. *Math. Z.*, 9(1-2):74–109, 1921.
- [Hau21b] Felix Hausdorff. Summationsmethoden und Momentfolgen. II. *Math. Z.*, 9(3-4):280–299, 1921.
- [HPS92] Richard H. Herman, Ian F. Putnam, and Christian F. Skau. Ordered Bratteli diagrams, dimension groups and topological dynamics. *Internat. J. Math.*, 3(6):827–864, 1992.
- [Kat03] Anatole Katok. *Combinatorial constructions in ergodic theory and dynamics*, volume 30 of *University Lecture Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [Kec95] Alexander S. Kechris. *Classical descriptive set theory*, volume 156 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [Kec24] Alexander S. Kechris. *The theory of countable Borel equivalence relations*. preprint, 2024.
- [Kit98] Bruce P. Kitchens. *Symbolic dynamics*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. One-sided, two-sided and countable state Markov shifts.
- [Kri76] Wolfgang Krieger. On ergodic flows and the isomorphism of factors. *Math. Ann.*, 223(1):19–70, 1976.
- [M06] Xavier Mela. A class of nonstationary adic transformations. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.*, 42(1):103–123, 2006.
- [Man23] Neil Manibo. Substitutions and their generalisations, 2023.
- [Med06] Konstantin Medynets. Cantor aperiodic systems and Bratteli diagrams. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 342(1):43–46, 2006.
- [MnRW22] N. Mañibo, D. Rust, and J. Walton. Substitutions on compact alphabets. *arXiv:2204.07516v2*, 2022.
- [MP05] Xavier Mela and Karl Petersen. Dynamical properties of the Pascal adic transformation. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 25(1):227–256, 2005.
- [Mun11] Daniele Mundici. Revisiting the Farey AF algebra. *Milan J. Math.*, 79(2):643–656, 2011.
- [Nad95] M. G. Nadkarni. *Basic ergodic theory*, volume 6 of *Texts and Readings in Mathematics*. Hindustan Book Agency, Delhi; distributed outside Asia by Henry Helson, Berkeley, CA, 1995.
- [Put18] Ian F. Putnam. *Cantor minimal systems*, volume 70 of *University Lecture Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2018.
- [Que10] Martine Queffelec. *Substitution dynamical systems—spectral analysis*, volume 1294 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2010.
- [Shi20] Takashi Shimomura. Bratteli-Vershik models from basic sets. *Preprint*, 2020.
- [Sta15] Richard P. Stanley. *Catalan numbers*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 2015.
- [Str11] Gabriel Strasser. Generalisations of the Euler adic. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 150(2):241–256, 2011.
- [Ver81] A. M. Vershik. Uniform algebraic approximation of shift and multiplication operators. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 259(3):526–529, 1981.
- [Ver82] A. M. Vershik. A theorem on Markov periodic approximation in ergodic theory. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)*, 115:72–82, 306, 1982.
- [Ver11] A. M. Vershik. The Pascal automorphism has a continuous spectrum. *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.*, 45(3):16–33, 2011.
- [Ver14] A. M. Vershik. Intrinsic metric on graded graphs, standardness, and invariant measures. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)*, 421:58–67, 2014.
- [Wid41] David Vernon Widder. *The Laplace Transform*, volume vol. 6 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1941.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF IOWA, IOWA CITY, IA 52242-1419 USA

*Email address:* `sergii-bezuglyi@uiowa.edu`

AGH UNIVERSITY OF KRAKOW, FACULTY OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, AL. ADAMA MICKIEWICZA 30, 30-059 KRAKÓW, POLAND & B. VERKIN INSTITUTE FOR LOW TEMPERATURE PHYSICS AND ENGINEERING, 47 NAUKY AVE., KHARKIV, 61103, UKRAINE

*Email address:* `okarpel@agh.edu.pl`

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, NICOLAUS COPERNICUS UNIVERSITY, UL. CHOPINA 12/18, 87-100 TORUŃ, POLAND

*Email address:* `jkwiat@mat.umk.pl`

FACULTY OF ECONOMIC SCIENCES AND MANAGEMENT, NICOLAUS COPERNICUS UNIVERSITY, UL. CHOPINA 12/18, 87-100 TORUŃ, POLAND

*Email address:* `marcin.wata@umk.pl`