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#### Abstract

Motivated by applications, we introduce a general and new framework for operator valued positive definite kernels. We further give applications both to operator theory and to stochastic processes. The first one yields several dilation constructions in operator theory, and the second to general classes of stochastic processes. For the latter, we apply our operator valued kernelresults in order to build new Hilbert space-valued Gaussian processes, and to analyze their structures of covariance configurations.
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## 1. Introduction

Our present paper deals with two closely related issues, (i) a number of questions from operator theory, factorizations, and dilations; and from (ii) non-commutative stochastic analysis. The first one addresses questions around classes of Hilbert space dilations; and the second (ii) deals with a general framework for Hilbert space valued Gaussian processes. While, on the face of it, it is not obvious that the two questions are closely related, but as we show, (i) and (ii) together suggest the need for an extension of both the traditional framework of positive definite (scalar valued) kernels and their associated reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces (RKHSs); and of the framework for non-commutative stochastic analysis. Hence our present starting point will be a fixed separable Hilbert space $H$, and we then study the notion of positive definite kernels $K$ which take values in the algebra of all bounded operators on $H$, here denoted $B(H)$.

For the theory or reproducing kernels, the literature is extensive, both pure and recent applications, we refer to the following, including current, [AS56, Aro48, AJ21, PR16, AFMP94, LYA23, CY17].

[^0]Notations. Throughout the paper, we continue with the physics convention: inner products linear in the second variable.

For a p.d. kernel $K: S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we denote by $H_{K}$ the corresponding reproducing kernel Hilbert space. It is the Hilbert completion of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{span}\left\{K_{y}(\cdot):=K(\cdot, y) \mid y \in S\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with respect to the inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\sum_{i} c_{i} K\left(\cdot, x_{i}\right), \sum_{i} d_{j} K\left(\cdot, y_{j}\right)\right\rangle_{H_{K}}:=\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \bar{c}_{i} d_{j} K\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reproducing property is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x)=\langle K(\cdot, x), \varphi\rangle_{H_{K}}, \forall x \in S, \forall \varphi \in H_{K} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any orthonormal basis (ONB) $\left(\varphi_{i}\right)$ in $H_{K}$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(x, y)=\sum_{i} \varphi_{i}(x) \overline{\varphi_{i}(y)}, \quad \forall x, y \in S \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A $B(H)$-valued kernel $K: S \times S \rightarrow B(H)$ is positive definite (p.d.) if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}, K\left(s_{i}, s_{j}\right) a_{j}\right\rangle_{H} \geq 0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{n}$ in $H$, and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

## 2. Operator valued p.d. Kernels

In this section, we introduce the general framework for operator valued positive definite kernels. Our analysis is applied to several dilation constructions, both in operator theory, and in stochastic processes. The latter will be taken up in Section 4 again where we apply the results here to build Hilbert space valued Gaussian processes, and examine their properties.

Let $S$ be a set, and let $K: S \times S \rightarrow B(H)$ be positive definite. Set $X=S \times H$, and define $\tilde{K}: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{K}((s, a),(t, b))=\langle a, K(s, t) b\rangle_{H} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a, b \in H$, and all $s, t \in S$. Then $\tilde{K}$ is a scalar-valued p.d. kernel on $X \times X$. Let $H_{\tilde{K}}$ be the corresponding RKHS.

It will follow from our subsequent results that this $H_{\tilde{K}}$ realization has properties that makes it universal. Moreover, one notes that in different but related p.d. settings, analogous $H_{\tilde{K}}$-constructions serve as a generators of dilation Hilbert spaces, and representations. It has counterparts for such related constructions as GNS (Gelfand-Naimark-Segal), and Stinespring (complete positivity), see e.g., [DM20, PTD23, Ver22].
Theorem 2.1. The family of operators $\left\{V_{s}\right\}_{s \in S}$, where $V_{s}: H \rightarrow H_{\tilde{K}}$, defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{s} a=\tilde{K}(\cdot,(s, a)): X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad a \in H \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies the following:
(1) For all $s \in S$, and all $a \in H$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|V_{s} a\right\|_{H_{\tilde{K}}}^{2}=\langle a, K(s, s) a\rangle_{H} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) The adjoint $V_{s}^{*}: H_{\tilde{K}} \rightarrow H$ is determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{s}^{*} \tilde{K}(\cdot,(t, b))=K(s, t) b \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) $V_{s} V_{s}^{*}: H_{\tilde{K}} \rightarrow H_{\tilde{K}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{s} V_{s}^{*} \tilde{K}(\cdot,(t, b))=\tilde{K}(\cdot,(s, K(s, t) b)) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4) For all $s_{1}, s_{2}, \cdots, s_{n}$ in $S$,

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(V_{s_{1}} V_{s_{1}}^{*}\right) \cdots\left(V_{s_{n}} V_{s_{n}}^{*}\right) \tilde{K}(\cdot,(t, b)) \\
&=\tilde{K}\left(\cdot,\left(s_{1}, K\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \cdots K\left(s_{n-1}, s_{n}\right) K\left(s_{n}, t\right) b\right)\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

(5) For all $s, s^{\prime} \in S$, and $b \in H$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{s^{\prime}} V_{s}^{*} \tilde{K}(\cdot,(t, b))=\tilde{K}\left(\cdot,\left(s^{\prime}, K(s, t) b\right)\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(6) $V_{s}^{*} V_{t} b=K(s, t) b$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(V_{s_{1}}^{*} V_{t_{1}}\right) \cdots\left(V_{s_{n}}^{*} V_{t_{n}}\right) b=K\left(s_{1}, t_{1}\right) \cdots K\left(s_{n-1}, t_{n-1}\right) K\left(s_{n}, t_{n}\right) b \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If, in addition,

$$
K(s, s)=I, \quad \forall s \in S
$$

then the operators $V_{s}: H \rightarrow H_{\tilde{K}}$ are isometric, and $V_{s} V_{s}^{*}$ are (selfadjoint) projections in $H_{\tilde{K}}$.

Proof. (1) By definition,

$$
\left\|V_{s} a\right\|_{H_{\tilde{K}}}^{2}=\langle\tilde{K}(\cdot,(s, a)), \tilde{K}(\cdot,(s, a))\rangle_{H_{\tilde{K}}}=\langle a, K(s, t) b\rangle_{H}
$$

(2) For all $a, b \in H$, and all $s, t \in S$, since

$$
\left\langle V_{s} a, \tilde{K}(\cdot,(t, b))\right\rangle_{H_{\tilde{K}}}=\langle\tilde{K}(\cdot,(s, a)), \tilde{K}(\cdot,(t, b))\rangle_{H_{\tilde{K}}}=\langle a, K(s, t) b\rangle_{H}
$$

it follows that $V_{s}^{*} \tilde{K}(\cdot,(t, b))=K(s, t) b$, as stated.
(3) A direct calculation shows that

$$
V_{s} V_{s}^{*} \tilde{K}(\cdot,(t, b))=V_{s} K(s, t) b=\tilde{K}(\cdot,(s, K(s, t) b))
$$

(4)-(6) Immediate.

The above leads to a characterization of operator-valued p.d. kernels.
Corollary 2.2. Let $K: S \times S \rightarrow B(H)$. Then $K$ is $p . d$. if and only if there exists a family of operators $\left\{V_{s}\right\}_{s \in S}$ from $H$ into another Hilbert space $L$, such that $K$ factors as

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(s, t)=V_{s}^{*} V_{t}, \quad s, t \in S \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $K(s, s)=I$ for all $s \in S$, then $V_{s}: H \rightarrow L$ are isometric.
Furthermore, if $L=\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{V_{s} a: s \in S, a \in H\right\}$, then $L \simeq H_{\tilde{K}}$.
Proof. The "only if" part is Theorem 2.1 (6).

Conversely, assume $K(s, t)=V_{s}^{*} V_{t}$ as stated. For all $\left(a_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ in $H$, and $\left(s_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ in $S$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i, j}\left\langle a_{i}, K\left(s_{i}, s_{j}\right) a_{j}\right\rangle_{H} & =\sum_{i, j}\left\langle a_{i}, V_{s_{i}}^{*} V_{s_{j}} a_{j}\right\rangle_{H} \\
& =\sum_{i, j}\left\langle V_{s_{i}} a_{i}, V_{s_{j}} a_{j}\right\rangle_{L}=\left\|\sum_{i} V_{s_{i}} a_{i}\right\|_{L}^{2} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that $K$ is positive definite.
Under the assumption $L=\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{V_{s} a: s \in S, a \in H\right\}$, the map

$$
\tilde{K}(\cdot,(s, a)) \longmapsto V_{s} a
$$

extends to a unique isometric isomorphism from $H_{\tilde{K}}$ onto $L$, and so any such space $L$ is isomorphic to the RKHS $H_{\tilde{K}}$.

Corollary 2.3. Any function $F \in H_{\tilde{K}}$ satisfies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(s, a)=\left\langle a, V_{s}^{*} F\right\rangle_{H_{\tilde{K}}}, \quad(s, a) \in S \times H \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $F$ is conjugate liner in the second variable, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(s, 0)=0, \quad \forall s \in S \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This follows from the reproducing property (see (1.3)) of $H_{\tilde{K}}$ :

$$
F(s, a)=\langle\tilde{K}(\cdot,(s, a)), F\rangle_{H_{\tilde{K}}}=\left\langle V_{s} a, F\right\rangle_{H_{\tilde{K}}}=\left\langle a, V_{s}^{*} F\right\rangle_{H}
$$

Corollary 2.4. For any $\operatorname{ONB}\left(\varphi_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $H_{\tilde{K}}$, the following operator identity holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(s, t)=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left|V_{s}^{*} \varphi_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle V_{t}^{*} \varphi_{i}\right| . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $|a\rangle\langle b|$ is Dirac's notation for rank-1 operators, i.e., $c \mapsto a\langle b, c\rangle$.
Proof. Using the identity

$$
I_{H_{\tilde{K}}}=\sum_{i}\left|\varphi_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle\varphi_{i}\right|
$$

in $H_{\tilde{K}}$, it follows that

$$
K(s, t)=V_{s}^{*} V_{t}=V_{s}^{*} I_{H_{\tilde{K}}} V_{t}=\sum_{i}\left|V_{s}^{*} \varphi_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle V_{t}^{*} \varphi_{i}\right| .
$$

Equivalently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{K}((s, a),(t, b)) & =\quad \sum_{(1.4)} \varphi(s, a) \overline{\varphi(t, b)} \\
& =\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\langle V_{s} a, \varphi_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle\varphi_{i}, V_{t} b\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\langle a, V_{s}^{*} \varphi_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle V_{t}^{*} \varphi_{i}, b\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

2.1. Examples. The current setting of operator-valued p.d. kernels contains a wide range of well-known constructions. A classical example is when

$$
K(s, t)=\rho\left(s^{-1} t\right), \quad s, t \in G
$$

where $\rho: G \rightarrow B(H)$ is a representation of the group $G$. Note that, in this case, $K(s, s)=\rho(e)=I_{H}$, the identity operator on $H$, for all $s \in G$.

Example 2.5. As an application, we reformulate the well-known power dilation of a single contraction $A \in B(H)$. Here, it is assumed that $\|A\| \leq 1$. Consider

$$
A^{(n)}:= \begin{cases}A^{n} & n \geq 0 \\ \left(A^{*}\right)^{n} & n<0\end{cases}
$$

and set $K: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow B(H)$ by

$$
K(m, n)=A^{(n-m)}
$$

This $K$ is positive definite, i.e., $\langle h, T h\rangle \geq 0$, for all $h=\left(h_{1}, \cdots, h_{n}\right)$ with $h_{i} \in H$ (see (1.5)); or, equivalently, the matrix below is p.d.:

$$
T=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & A & A^{2} & \cdots  \tag{2.13}\\
A^{*} & 1 & A & \ddots \\
A^{* 2} & A^{*} & 1 & \ddots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{array}\right]_{n \times n}
$$

(See Lemma 2.6 for details.)
Then, let $X=\mathbb{Z} \times H$ and define $\tilde{K}: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$
\tilde{K}((m, h),(n, k))=\langle h, K(m, n) k\rangle_{H}=\left\langle h, A^{(n-m)} k\right\rangle_{H}
$$

Denote by $H_{\tilde{K}}$ the RKHS of $\tilde{K}$. Set $V: H \rightarrow H_{\tilde{K}}$ by

$$
V h=\tilde{K}(\cdot,(0, h))
$$

This is an isometric embedding. Set $U: H_{\tilde{K}} \rightarrow H_{\tilde{K}}$ by

$$
U \tilde{K}(\cdot,(m, h))=\tilde{K}(\cdot,(m+1, h)) .
$$

Note, $U$ is unitary. It follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$
V^{*} U^{n} V h=V^{*} U^{n} \tilde{K}(\cdot,(0, h))=V^{*} \tilde{K}(\cdot,(n, h))=A^{n} h,
$$

that is,

$$
A^{n}=V^{*} U^{n} V
$$

Lemma 2.6. The operator matrix (2.13) is positive definite.

Proof. An elementary approach to verify this is to rewrite $T$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
T & =\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & A & A^{2} & \cdots \\
A^{*} & 0 & 0 & \ddots \\
A^{* 2} & 0 & 0 & \ddots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & 1 & A & \cdots \\
0 & A^{*} & 1 & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
A^{*} \\
\vdots \\
A^{* n}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & A & \cdots & A^{n}
\end{array}\right]-\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
A^{*} \\
\vdots \\
A^{* n}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & A & \cdots & A^{n}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & 1 & A & \cdots \\
0 & A^{*} & 1 & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle h, T h\rangle= & \left\|h_{1}+A h_{2}+\cdots+A^{n} h_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\|A h_{2}+\cdots+A^{n} h_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left\|h_{2}+A h_{3}+\cdots+A^{n-1} h_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\|A h_{3}+\cdots+A^{n-1} h_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left\|h_{3}+A h_{4}+\cdots+A^{n-2} h_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\|A h_{4}+\cdots+A^{n-2} h_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \vdots \\
& +\left\|h_{n-1}+A h_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\|A h_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|h_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
\geq & \left\|h_{1}+A h_{2}+\cdots+A^{n} h_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left(1-\|A\|^{2}\right)\left[\left\|h_{2}+A h_{3}+\cdots+A^{n-1} h_{n}\right\|^{2}+\cdots+\left\|h_{n}\right\|^{2}\right] \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Another notable example that falls in the general setting of Theorem 2.1 is the dilation of positive operator valued measures (POVMs), namely, any POVM $Q: \mathscr{B}_{S} \rightarrow B(H)$ is the compression of a projection valued measure (PVM) $P$ : $\mathscr{B}_{S} \rightarrow B(L)$ for some Hilbert space $L \supset H$, where $\mathscr{B}_{S}$ denotes the Borel $\sigma$-algebra of $S$. See, e.g., [FLZG24, Hol22, RMC21, HCW21].

Example 2.7. Given $Q: \mathscr{B}_{S} \rightarrow B(H)$ as above, one may define $K: \mathscr{B}_{S} \times \mathscr{B}_{S} \rightarrow$ $B(H)$ by

$$
K(A, B)=Q(A \cap B), \quad \forall A, B \in \mathscr{B}_{S}
$$

which is positive definite. Then set

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{K}:\left(\mathscr{B}_{S}, H\right) \times\left(\mathscr{B}_{S}, H\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\
\tilde{K}((A, a),(B, b))=\langle a, Q(A \cap B) b\rangle_{H}
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $A, B \in \mathscr{B}_{S}$, and all $a, b \in H$.
Let $V: H \rightarrow H_{\tilde{K}}$ be the isometric embedding,

$$
V a=\tilde{K}(\cdot,(S, a))
$$

where $H_{\tilde{K}}$ denotes the RKHS of $\tilde{K}$. It follows that

$$
V^{*} \tilde{K}(\cdot,(A, a))=Q(A) a
$$

Moreover, let $P: \mathscr{B}(S) \rightarrow H_{\tilde{K}}$ be specified by

$$
P(A) \tilde{K}(\cdot,(B, a))=\tilde{K}(\cdot,(A \cap B, a))
$$

Then $P(A)^{*}=P(A)=P(A)^{2}$, i.e., a selfadjoint projection in $H_{\tilde{K}}$, for all $A \in \mathscr{B}_{S}$. Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{*} P(A) V a & =V^{*} P(A) \tilde{K}(\cdot,(S, a)) \\
& =V^{*} \tilde{K}(\cdot,(A \cap S, a))=V^{*} \tilde{K}(\cdot,(A, a))=Q(A) a
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, $Q=V^{*} P V$, where $P$ is a PVM acting in $H_{\tilde{K}}$.

## 3. Schwartz' constructions

Motivated by applications, in the present section we extend the framework for operator valued positive definite kernels to spaces more general than Hilbert spaces.

We explore an approach to operator theory that extends beyond the usual settings, moving into the realm of topological vector spaces $L$ and their duals $L^{*}$. This idea was introduced to the first named author by Professor D. Alpay, who may have been influenced by L. Schwartz and others.

Here, we look at positive definite (p.d.) kernels $K$ defined on $S \times S$ with values in continuous linear operators from $L$ to $L^{*}$. This setup includes, but is not limited to, our previously discussed $B(H)$-valued kernels. By extending to these more general vector spaces, such as Banach spaces, we aim to broaden the application of these kernels. We will show how our earlier models using $K$ can be adapted to this extended context, particularly by transforming these operator-valued kernels into scalar-valued kernels $\tilde{K}$ on the product space $X=S \times L$. This transition illustrates the versatility and potential of our approach in various mathematical and practical scenarios.

Let $S$ be a set, $L$ a topological vector space, and $L^{*}$ its conjugate dual space. We consider a positive definite (p.d.) kernel $K: S \times S \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\left(L, L^{*}\right)$, where $\mathcal{L}\left(L, L^{*}\right)$ denotes the space of continuous linear operators from $L$ to $L^{*}$.

Specifically, the p.d. assumption on $K$ is that

$$
\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left\langle a_{i}, K\left(s_{i}, s_{j}\right) a_{j}\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

for all $\left(s_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ in $S,\left(a_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ in $L$, and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Here, $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: L \times L^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the canonical dual pairing, i.e., $\left\langle\ell, \ell^{\prime}\right\rangle:=\ell^{\prime}(\ell)$, for all $\ell \in L$, and $\ell^{\prime} \in L^{*}$.

Define $X=S \times L$, and

$$
\tilde{K}((s, a),(t, b)):=\langle a, K(s, t) b\rangle
$$

Then $\tilde{K}$ is a scalar-valued p.d. kernel on $X \times X$; indeed, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \overline{c_{i}} c_{j} \tilde{K}\left(\left(s_{i}, a_{i}\right),\left(s_{j}, a_{j}\right)\right) & =\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \overline{c_{i}} c_{j}\left\langle a_{i}, K\left(s_{i}, s_{j}\right) a_{j}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left\langle c_{i} a_{i}, K\left(s_{i}, s_{j}\right) c_{j} a_{j}\right\rangle \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $H_{\tilde{K}}$ be the corresponding RKHS.
Then we get the following variant of Theorem 2.1:

Theorem 3.1. The family of operators $\left\{V_{s}\right\}_{s \in S}$, where $V_{s}: L \rightarrow H_{\tilde{K}}$, defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{s} a=\tilde{K}(\cdot,(s, a)): X=S \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad a \in L \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies the following:
(1) For all $s \in S$, and all $a \in L$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|V_{s} a\right\|_{H_{\tilde{K}}}^{2}=\langle a, K(s, s) a\rangle . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) The adjoint $V_{s}^{*}: H_{\tilde{K}} \rightarrow L^{*}$ is determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{s}^{*} \tilde{K}(\cdot,(t, b))=K(s, t) b \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) $V_{s} V_{s}^{*}: H_{\tilde{K}} \rightarrow H_{\tilde{K}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{s} V_{s}^{*} \tilde{K}(\cdot,(t, b))=\tilde{K}(\cdot,(s, K(s, t) b)) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4) For all $s_{1}, s_{2}, \cdots, s_{n}$ in $S$,

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(V_{s_{1}} V_{s_{1}}^{*}\right) \cdots\left(V_{s_{n}} V_{s_{n}}^{*}\right) \tilde{K}(\cdot,(t, b)) \\
&=\tilde{K}\left(\cdot,\left(s_{1}, K\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \cdots K\left(s_{n-1}, s_{n}\right) K\left(s_{n}, t\right) b\right)\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

(5) For all $s, s^{\prime} \in S$, and $b \in L$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{s^{\prime}} V_{s}^{*} \tilde{K}(\cdot,(t, b))=\tilde{K}\left(\cdot,\left(s^{\prime}, K(s, t) b\right)\right) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(6) $V_{s}^{*} V_{t} b=K(s, t) b$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(V_{s_{1}}^{*} V_{t_{1}}\right) \cdots\left(V_{s_{n}}^{*} V_{t_{n}}\right) b=K\left(s_{1}, t_{1}\right) \cdots K\left(s_{n-1}, t_{n-1}\right) K\left(s_{n}, t_{n}\right) b \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. $H$-valued Gaussian processes

Since the early developments of Ito, the stochastic analysis of Gaussian processes has been grounded in the use of Hilbert space and related operators, see e.g., [AJ15, AJ21, Sch52] and the papers, and early literature, cited there. Here we want to call attention to the following distinction: (i) the case of scalar valued Gaussian processes which may be indexed by a Hilbert space, often via an Itoisometry construction; and (ii) the case when the Gaussian processes itself takes values in Hilbert space, see e.g., [Lop23, Gue22, KM20, FS20, Kat19]. The latter is our present focus. And the setting of (ii) has the advantage of allowing a much more flexible encoding of more covariance structures arising in big data-sets. We now turn to the construction. It is based on the results in Section 2 above, and it makes use of both $B(H)$-valued positive definite kernels, and their induced scalar valued dilations, see Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 above.

Fix $S \times S \xrightarrow{K} B(H)$, and introduce $\tilde{K}:(S \times H) \times(S \times H) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{K}((s, a),(t, b))=\langle a, K(s, t) b\rangle_{H}, \quad \forall s, t \in S, \forall a, b \in H \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined on $S \times H$. Let $H_{\tilde{K}}$ be the corresponding RKHS (consisting of scalar-valued functions on $S \times H)$.

Lemma 4.1. For all $\varphi \in H_{\tilde{K}}, s \in S$, and $a, b \in H$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(s, a+b)=\varphi(s, a)+\varphi(s, b) . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(See also Corollary 2.3.)

Proof. It suffices to verify (4.2) for the generating functions $\varphi(t, b)=\tilde{K}(\cdot,(t, b))$ in $H_{\tilde{K}}$, which are functions defined on $S \times H$. By definition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi\left(t, b_{1}+b_{2}\right)(s, a) & =\left\langle\tilde{K}(s, a), \tilde{K}\left(t, b_{1}+b_{2}\right)\right\rangle_{H_{\tilde{K}}} \\
& =\left\langle a, K(s, t)\left(b_{1}+b_{2}\right)\right\rangle_{H} \\
& =\quad\left\langle a, K(s, t) b_{1}\right\rangle_{H}+\left\langle a, K(s, t) b_{2}\right\rangle_{H} \\
& =\quad \text { since } K(s, t) \in B(H) \\
& =\quad \varphi\left(t, b_{1}\right)(s, a)+\varphi\left(t, b_{2}\right)(s, a)
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 4.2. For any $O N B\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ in $H$, we have the following identity for functions $\varphi \in H_{\tilde{K}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i} \varphi\left(s, e_{i}\right)\left\langle e_{i}, a\right\rangle_{H}=\varphi(s, a), \quad \forall(s, a) \in S \times H \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $K(s, t) \in B(H)$ for all $(s, t) \in S \times S$, (4.3) follows from the ONB representation in $H$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i} e_{i}\left\langle e_{i}, a\right\rangle_{H}=a, \quad \forall a \in H \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum\left|\left\langle e_{i}, a\right\rangle_{H}\right|^{2}=\|a\|_{H}^{2} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i}\left\langle a, e_{i}\right\rangle_{H}\left\langle e_{i}, b\right\rangle_{H}=\langle a, b\rangle_{H}, \quad \forall a, b \in H \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $K, \tilde{K}, S, H$ be as above, and let $\left\{Z_{i}\right\}$ be i.i.d. $N(0,1)$ random variables, realized on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$.

Introduce the operators $\left(V_{s}\right)_{s \in S}$ from Theorem 2.1. Recall that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{s}^{*} V_{t}=K(s, t), \quad \forall s, t \in S \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The argument for the identity (4.7) relies on the pair of operators, where

$$
V_{t} a=\tilde{K}_{(t, a)}(\cdot):=\tilde{K}(\cdot,(t, a))
$$

and

$$
V_{s}^{*}\left(\tilde{K}_{(t, a)}\right)=K(s, t) a
$$



We verify that $V_{s}^{*} V_{t}=K(s, t)$, or equivalently,

$$
\left\langle V_{s} a, V_{t} b\right\rangle_{H_{\tilde{K}}}=\langle a, K(s, t) t\rangle_{H}
$$

for all $s, t \in S$, and all $a, b \in H$.

Definition 4.3. Consider the $H$-valued Gaussian process $W_{s}: \Omega \rightarrow H$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{t}=\sum_{i}\left(V_{t}^{*} \varphi_{i}\right) Z_{i}, \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\varphi_{i}\right)$ is an ONB in $H_{\tilde{K}}$.
Theorem 4.4. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle a, W_{s}\right\rangle_{H}\left\langle W_{t}, b\right\rangle_{H}\right]=\langle a, K(s, t) b\rangle_{H} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Note that $\mathbb{E}\left[Z_{i} Z_{j}\right]=\delta_{i, j}$. From this, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{LHS}_{(4.9)} & =\mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle a, W_{s}\right\rangle_{H}\left\langle W_{t}, b\right\rangle_{H}\right] \\
& =\sum_{i} \sum_{j}\left\langle a, V_{s}^{*} \varphi_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle V_{t}^{*} \varphi_{j}, b\right\rangle \mathbb{E}\left[Z_{i} Z_{j}\right] \\
& =\sum_{i}\left\langle V_{s} a, \varphi_{i}\right\rangle_{H_{\tilde{K}}}\left\langle\varphi_{i}, V_{t} b\right\rangle_{H_{\tilde{K}}} \\
& =\left\langle V_{s} a, V_{t} b\right\rangle_{H_{\tilde{K}}}=\left\langle a, V_{s}^{*} V_{t} b\right\rangle_{H}=\langle a, K(s, t) b\rangle_{H}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 4.5. The fact that $\left\{W_{t}\right\}_{t \in S}$ in (4.8) is well defined, and a Gaussian process with the stated properties, is an application of the central limit theorem to the choice $\left\{Z_{i}\right\}$ of i.i.d. $N(0,1)$ Gaussians on the right-side of (4.8).

Different choices of ONBs $\left(\varphi_{i}\right)$ and i.i.d. $N(0,1)$ Gaussian $\left(Z_{i}\right)$ would yield different Gaussian processes $\left\{W_{t}\right\}_{t \in S}$, but they will all satisfy the covariance condition (4.9). Also recall that if $\left(\varphi_{i}\right),\left(Z_{i}\right)$ are fixed, then the corresponding Gaussian process $\left\{W_{t}\right\}_{t \in S}$ is unique, since Gaussian processes are determined by the first two moments.
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