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#### Abstract

In 8, 9, the authors developed a nice formula to compute the Nielsen number of a self-map on an infra-nilmanifold. For the case of nilmanifolds this formula was extended to $n$-valued maps in 4. In this paper, we extend these results further and establish the averaging formula to compute the Nielsen number of any $n$-valued affine map on an infra-nilmanifold.


## Introduction

Let $M$ be a closed manifold (or more generally a compact polyhedron) and let $f: M \rightarrow M$ be a self-map of $M$. In Nielsen-Reidemeister fixed point theory one attaches to each such map $f$ a number $N(f)$, the Nielsen number of $f$, which is a (sharp) lower bound for the number of fixed points of any map $g$ which is homotopic to $f$. In this paper we are concerned with infra-nilmanifolds. It is known that any self-map on an infra-nilmanifold is homotopic to a so-called affine map ([10). These maps are rather algebraic in nature and one has developed an averaging formula for computing their Nielsen number ( $8,8,9]$ ). Since the Nielsen number of a map is invariant under a homotopy, this formula allows us to compute the Nielsen number of any self-map on an infra-nilmanifold. For $n$-valued maps the situation is more complicated. It is no longer true that any $n$-valued map on an infranilmanifold is homotopic to an affine $n$-valued map ([6]), but nevertheless, these affine $n$-valued maps still form a large and interesting class of $n$-valued maps on infra-nilmanifolds. In this paper, we obtain a natural generalisation of the formula from 9$]$ for computing the Nielsen number of any affine $n$ valued map on an infra-nilmanifold.

In the first section we recall the basic Nielsen theory for $n$-valued maps and describe a general averaging formula (1.1) for computing the Nielsen number of an $n$-valued map. In the second section, we recall the necessary concepts concerning infra-nilmanifolds and affine $n$-valued maps. In the third section we establish a decomposition of the fixed point set of an $n$ valued map on an infra-nilmanifold, which allows us to rewrite the general averaging formula into a more algebraic one (3.1). In the fourth section we further examine this formula in the case of affine $n$-valued maps, to finally arrive at the main result of this paper (Theorem 4.9), which is an averaging formula generalising in a natural way the formula from [9].

[^0]
## 1. Nielsen theory of $n$-valued maps

Let $X$ be a connected compact manifold with fundamental group $\pi$ and universal cover $p: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$. We will view $\pi$ as being the group of covering transformations of $p$.

An n-valued map $f: X \multimap X$ is a continuous (i.e. upper and lower semi-continuous) set-valued function, sending each point of $X$ to a set of $n$ distinct points in $X$. Equivalently, by the work of Brown and Gonçalves [2], the map $f$ can be viewed as a continuous single-valued function from $X$ to the unordered configuration space

$$
D_{n}(X)=\left\{\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \subseteq X \mid x_{i} \neq x_{j} \text { if } i \neq j\right\}
$$

i.e. the quotient of the space $F_{n}(X)=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in X^{n} \mid x_{i} \neq x_{j}\right.$ if $\left.i \neq j\right\}$ under the action of the permutation group $\Sigma_{n}$. The fixed point set of an $n$-valued map $f$ is $\operatorname{Fix}(f)=\{x \in X \mid x \in f(x)\}$.

In what follows we recall some of the results of Brown et al. [1], where the study of fixed points of $f$ was done by considering fixed points of lifts of $f$ to the orbit configuration space

$$
F_{n}(\tilde{X}, \pi)=\left\{\left(\tilde{x}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{x}_{n}\right) \in \tilde{X}^{n} \mid p\left(\tilde{x}_{i}\right) \neq p\left(\tilde{x}_{j}\right) \text { if } i \neq j\right\}
$$

which is a covering space of $D_{n}(X)$ with covering map

$$
p^{n}: F_{n}(\tilde{X}, \pi) \rightarrow D_{n}(X):\left(\tilde{x}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{x}_{n}\right) \mapsto\left\{p\left(\tilde{x}_{1}\right), \ldots, p\left(\tilde{x}_{n}\right)\right\}
$$

The corresponding covering group is $\pi^{n} \rtimes \Sigma_{n}$, which acts on $F_{n}(\tilde{X}, \pi)$ by

$$
\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n} ; \sigma\right)\left(\tilde{x}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{x}_{n}\right)=\left(\gamma_{1} \tilde{x}_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, \gamma_{n} \tilde{x}_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}\right)
$$

A lift of $f$ can then be defined as a map $\tilde{f}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow F_{n}(\tilde{X}, \pi)$ such that

commutes. As $F_{n}(\tilde{X}, \pi)$ is a subspace of $\tilde{X}^{n}$, such a lift $\tilde{f}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow F_{n}(\tilde{X}, \pi)$ splits into $n \operatorname{maps} \tilde{f}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{f}_{n}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$, called the lift-factors of $\tilde{f}$.

A lift $\tilde{f}=\left(\tilde{f}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{f}_{n}\right)$ induces a morphism $\left(\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{n} ; \sigma\right): \pi \rightarrow \pi^{n} \rtimes \Sigma_{n}$ of the covering groups so that for all $\gamma \in \pi$,

$$
\left(\tilde{f}_{1} \gamma, \ldots, \tilde{f}_{n} \gamma\right)=\left(\phi_{1}(\gamma) \tilde{f}_{\sigma_{\gamma}^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, \phi_{n}(\gamma) \tilde{f}_{\sigma_{\gamma}^{-1}(n)}\right)
$$

Using this morphism, one can first subdivide $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ into $\sigma$-classes, the equivalence classes for the relation given by

$$
i \sim j \Leftrightarrow \exists \gamma \in \pi: \sigma_{\gamma}(i)=j
$$

For all $i$, denote by $S_{i}=\left\{\gamma \in \pi \mid \sigma_{\gamma}(i)=i\right\}$ the stabilizer of $i$ for this relation, which is a finite index subgroup of $\pi$. The restrictions of the maps $\phi_{i}$ to $S_{i}$ are group morphisms, using which one can define an equivalence relation on $\pi$ by

$$
\alpha \sim \beta \Leftrightarrow \exists \gamma \in S_{i}: \alpha=\gamma \beta \phi_{i}(\gamma)^{-1}
$$

The set of equivalence classes for this relation is denoted $\mathcal{R}\left[\phi_{i}\right]$, and its number of elements is the Reidemeister number $R\left(\phi_{i}\right)$.

Remark 1.1. In general, given two group morphisms $\varphi, \psi: \Pi_{1} \rightarrow \Pi_{2}$, one can consider the equivalence relation on $\Pi_{2}$ given by

$$
\alpha \sim \beta \Leftrightarrow \exists \gamma \in \Pi_{1}: \alpha=\psi(\gamma) \beta \varphi(\gamma)^{-1}
$$

The equivalence classes are called the Reidemeister coincidence classes of $\varphi$ and $\psi$, and denoted $\mathcal{R}[\varphi, \psi]$. In case $\Pi_{1}$ is a subgroup of $\Pi_{2}$ and $\psi$ is the inclusion $\iota: \Pi_{1} \rightarrow \Pi_{2}$, we abbreviate $\mathcal{R}[\varphi, \iota]=\mathcal{R}[\varphi]$.

The $\sigma$-classes and Reidemeister classes of $\phi_{i}$ partition the fixed point set of $f$ into a disjoint union of fixed point classes: if $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}$ are representatives of the $\sigma$-classes, then

$$
\operatorname{Fix}(f)=\bigsqcup_{\ell=1}^{r} \bigsqcup_{[\alpha] \in \mathcal{R}\left[\phi_{i_{\ell}}\right]} p\left(\operatorname{Fix}\left(\alpha \tilde{f}_{i_{\ell}}\right)\right) .
$$

To each fixed point class one can associate an index, and the fixed point classes with non-zero index are called essential. The number of essential fixed point classes is the Nielsen number of $f$, which is a lower bound for the number of fixed points among all maps homotopic to $f$ :

$$
N(f) \leq \min \{\# \operatorname{Fix}(g) \mid g \sim f\}
$$

By the above, the Nielsen number can be written as

$$
N(f)=\sum_{\ell=1}^{r} \sum_{[\alpha] \in \mathcal{R}\left[\phi_{i_{\ell}}\right]} \varepsilon_{\alpha, i_{\ell}}
$$

where

$$
\varepsilon_{\alpha, i}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } p\left(\operatorname{Fix}\left(\alpha \tilde{f}_{i}\right)\right) \text { is an essential fixed point class of } f, \\ 0 & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Since the representatives for the $\sigma$-classes were chosen arbitrarily, and choosing another representative $i_{\ell}$ for some $\ell$ must give the same result for $N(f)$, the number

$$
\sum_{[\alpha] \in \mathcal{R}\left[\phi_{i_{\ell}}\right]} \varepsilon_{\alpha, i_{\ell}}
$$

does not depend on the chosen representative $i_{\ell}$. Therefore the formula above can also be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left[\pi: S_{i}\right]} \sum_{[\alpha] \in \mathcal{R}\left[\phi_{i}\right]} \varepsilon_{\alpha, i} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Infra-nilmanifolds and affine maps

The maps we consider in this paper are affine $n$-valued maps on infranilmanifolds. An infra-nilmanifold, as defined e.g. in 5, is the quotient of a connected and simply connected nilpotent Lie group $G$ under the action of an almost-Bieberbach group $\pi \subseteq G \rtimes \operatorname{Aut}(G)$, i.e. a torsion free cocompact discrete subgroup of $G \rtimes C$, with $C$ a maximal compact subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$. Such an almost-Bieberbach group acts properly discontinuously on $G$ and hence $\pi$ is (isomorphic to) the fundamental group of the infra-nilmanifold $\pi \backslash G$. As before, we will view $\pi$ as the covering group of the universal covering $G \rightarrow \pi \backslash G$.

In the special case where this group $\pi$ is entirely contained in $G$, the quotient space is called a nilmanifold. Every infra-nilmanifold $\pi \backslash G$ is finitely covered by a nilmanifold $N \backslash G$ : it follows from the generalised Bieberbach Theorems that $\pi \cap G$ is of finite index in $\pi$, so one can take $N=\pi \cap G$ or any other subgroup $N \subseteq \pi \cap G$ which is of finite index in $\pi$. Such a group $N$ is a finitely generated torsion free nilpotent group and is a lattice of the Lie group $G$.

Nilmanifolds have been studied in detail by Mal'cev; see [11]. We briefly recall some of his results.

For a nilpotent group $G$ of class $c$, we denote the lower central series by

$$
G=\gamma_{1}(G) \geq \ldots \geq \gamma_{c}(G) \geq \gamma_{c+1}(G)=1
$$

For a finitely generated torsion free nilpotent group $N$ of class $c$ we consider another central series whose terms are the isolators of the lower central series,

$$
N_{i}=\sqrt[N]{\gamma_{i}(N)}=\left\{g \in N \mid \exists k>0: g^{k} \in \gamma_{i}(N)\right\}
$$

This series has the useful property that for all $i$, the quotient $N_{i} / N_{i+1}$ is free abelian of finite rank, say $N_{i} / N_{i+1} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{k_{i}}$. Moreover, for these numbers $k_{i}$, the factors of the lower central series of $G$ satisfy $\gamma_{i}(G) / \gamma_{i+1}(G) \cong \mathbb{R}^{k_{i}}$. Morphisms of (lattices in) $G$ induce morphisms on these quotients, which can be represented by $k_{i} \times k_{i}$ real (or integer) matrices.

If $N, N^{\prime}$ are lattices in $G$, any morphism $\phi: N \rightarrow N^{\prime}$ extends uniquely to a Lie group morphism $G \rightarrow G$. The latter induces a morphism on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $G$. From now on we will refer to this as the Lie algebra morphism induced by $\phi: N \rightarrow N^{\prime}$, and write $\phi_{*}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, without explicitly mentioning the extension of $\phi$ to $G$.

Another useful property of connected and simply connected nilpotent Lie groups $G$ is that the exponential map $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$ is a diffeomorphism [3, Theorem 1.2.1]. In particular, it is invertible, and we denote the inverse map by $\log : G \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$. This allows us to define the continuous function

$$
G \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G:(g, t) \mapsto g^{t}=\exp (t \log (g))
$$

which we will use later on.
On an infra-nilmanifold we can define the notion of an affine $n$-valued map. A map $f: \pi \backslash G \rightarrow D_{n}(\pi \backslash G)$ is affine if it lifts to a map of the form

$$
\tilde{f}: G \rightarrow F_{n}(G, \pi): x \mapsto\left(g_{1} \varphi_{1}(x), \ldots, g_{n} \varphi_{n}(x)\right)
$$

with $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n} \in G$ and $\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n} \in \operatorname{End}(G)$. We remark that this condition is independent of the chosen lift $\tilde{f}$. The corresponding maps $\phi_{i}$ and $\sigma$ from section 1 satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i} \varphi_{i}(\gamma x)=\phi_{i}(\gamma) g_{\sigma_{\gamma}^{-1}(i)} \varphi_{\sigma_{\gamma}^{-1}(i)}(x) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\gamma \in \pi, x \in G$ and $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$.
Nielsen numbers of affine $n$-valued maps on nilmanifolds have been studied in [4], where the following formula is proven:

Theorem 2.1 ([4, Theorem 6.13]). Let $N \backslash G$ be a nilmanifold. The Nielsen number of an affine map $f: N \backslash G \rightarrow D_{n}(N \backslash G)$ with lift $\tilde{f}: G \rightarrow F_{n}(G, N)$ : $x \mapsto\left(g_{1} \varphi_{1}(x), \ldots, g_{n} \varphi_{n}(x)\right)$ is given by

$$
N(f)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\operatorname{det}\left(I-\left(\varphi_{i}\right)_{*}\right)\right|
$$

The goal of this paper is to generalise this formula to infra-nilmanifolds. In the single-valued case, this extension from nilmanifolds to infra-nilmanifolds was done by Kim, Lee and Lee in [8]. They proved that any map $f$ on an infra-nilmanifold $\pi \backslash G$ can be lifted to a nilmanifold $N \backslash G$ that finitely covers $\pi \backslash G$, and they expressed the Nielsen number of $f$ as the average of the Nielsen numbers of these lifts.

We cannot follow the same approach in the $n$-valued case, since $n$-valued maps in general no longer admit lifts to a nilmanifold. However, we can decompose the formula for the Nielsen number in a similar way as in [8], and then plug in the knowledge about affine maps on nilmanifolds established in [4] to obtain the desired averaging formula without involving actual lifts to the nilmanifold.

## 3. Decomposition of the fixed point set

Let $\pi \backslash G$ be an infra-nilmanifold, finitely covered by a nilmanifold $N \backslash G$. For a general map $f: \pi \backslash G \rightarrow D_{n}(\pi \backslash G)$, we will decompose the sum (1.1) into a sum over Reidemeister classes in $N$ and in $\pi / N$, generalising the approach in [8].

For all $i$, consider the group $S_{i}^{\prime}$ generated by $\left\{\gamma^{[\pi: N]} \mid \gamma \in S_{i}\right\}$. This is a normal subgroup of $S_{i}$, and the quotient group $S_{i} / S_{i}^{\prime}$ is periodic, since the order of every element divides $[\pi: N]$. Also note that $\pi$ (and therefore also $S_{i} / S_{i}^{\prime}$ ) is polycyclic-by-finite, since its finite index normal subgroup $N$ is finitely generated and nilpotent. Since periodic polycyclic-by-finite groups are automatically finite, it follows that $\left[S_{i}: S_{i}^{\prime}\right]$ is finite. Consequently, also

$$
\left[N: S_{i}^{\prime}\right]=\frac{\left[\pi: S_{i}\right]\left[S_{i}: S_{i}^{\prime}\right]}{[\pi: N]}
$$

is finite. Thus, $S_{i}^{\prime}$ is a finite index normal subgroup of $S_{i}$ and a finite index subgroup of $N$.

Furthermore, note that the image of $S_{i}^{\prime}$ under any morphism $S_{i} \rightarrow \pi$ is contained in $N$. Hence the inclusion map $\iota: S_{i} \rightarrow \pi$ and the morphism $\phi_{i}: S_{i} \rightarrow \pi$ induce morphisms

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\iota^{\prime}: S_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow N: \gamma \mapsto \gamma & \bar{\iota}: S_{i} / S_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi / N: \gamma S_{i}^{\prime} \mapsto \gamma N \\
\phi_{i}^{\prime}: S_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow N: \gamma \mapsto \phi_{i}(\gamma) & \bar{\phi}_{i}: S_{i} / S_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi / N: \gamma S_{i}^{\prime} \mapsto \phi_{i}(\gamma) N .
\end{array}
$$

We will decompose the set of Reidemeister classes of $\phi_{i}$ using Reidemeister classes associated to $\bar{\phi}_{i}$ and $\phi_{i}^{\prime}$.

First, note that

$$
\mathcal{R}\left[\phi_{i}\right]=\bigcup_{\bar{\alpha} \in \pi / N} \bigcup_{g \in N}[g \alpha] .
$$

If $[g \alpha]=\left[g^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}\right]$ in $\mathcal{R}\left[\phi_{i}\right]$, then certainly $[\bar{\alpha}]=\left[\bar{\alpha}^{\prime}\right]$ in $\mathcal{R}\left[\bar{\phi}_{i}, \overline{\bar{c}}\right]$, i.e.

$$
\exists \bar{\gamma} \in S_{i} / S_{i}^{\prime}: \bar{\alpha}=\bar{\iota}(\bar{\gamma}) \bar{\alpha}^{\prime} \bar{\phi}_{i}(\bar{\gamma})^{-1} .
$$

Conversely, note that if $[\bar{\alpha}]=\left[\bar{\alpha}^{\prime}\right]$ in $\mathcal{R}\left[\bar{\phi}_{i}, \overline{,}\right]$, then there is a $g \in N$ so that $[g \alpha]=\left[\alpha^{\prime}\right]$ in $\mathcal{R}\left[\phi_{i}\right]$.

For fixed $\alpha$, we have $[g \alpha]=\left[g^{\prime} \alpha\right]$ in $\mathcal{R}\left[\phi_{i}\right]$ if and only if $[g]=\left[g^{\prime}\right]$ in $\mathcal{R}\left[\tau_{\alpha} \phi_{i}\right]$, with $\tau_{\alpha}: \pi \rightarrow \pi: \beta \mapsto \alpha \beta \alpha^{-1}$; i.e.

$$
\exists \gamma \in S_{i}: g=\gamma g^{\prime} \alpha \phi_{i}(\gamma)^{-1} \alpha^{-1} .
$$

Thus, the above are disjoint unions when taken over these respective Reidemeister classes:

$$
\mathcal{R}\left[\phi_{i}\right]=\bigsqcup_{[\bar{\alpha}] \in \mathcal{R}\left[\bar{\phi}_{i}, \bar{i}\right]} \bigsqcup_{[g] \in \mathcal{R}\left[\tau_{\alpha} \phi_{i}\right]}[g \alpha] .
$$

Rather than in $\mathcal{R}\left[\tau_{\alpha} \phi_{i}\right]$, we would like to view the classes $[g]$ with $g \in N$ as elements of $\mathcal{R}\left[\tau_{\alpha} \phi_{i}^{\prime}\right]$, where

$$
g \sim g^{\prime} \Leftrightarrow \exists \gamma \in S_{i}^{\prime}: g=\gamma g^{\prime} \alpha \phi_{i}^{\prime}(\gamma)^{-1} \alpha^{-1} .
$$

Since $S_{i}^{\prime} \subseteq S_{i}$, it is clear that $[g]=\left[g^{\prime}\right]$ in $\mathcal{R}\left[\tau_{\alpha} \phi_{i}^{\prime}\right]$ implies $[g]=\left[g^{\prime}\right]$ in $\mathcal{R}\left[\tau_{\alpha} \phi_{i}\right]$, but conversely there can be distinct elements in $\mathcal{R}\left[\tau_{\alpha} \phi_{i}^{\prime}\right]$ that are equivalent in $\mathcal{R}\left[\tau_{\alpha} \phi_{i}\right]$. The following lemma allows us to describe how many different classes in $\mathcal{R}\left[\tau_{\alpha} \phi_{i}^{\prime}\right]$ give rise to the same class in $\mathcal{R}\left[\tau_{\alpha} \phi_{i}\right]$. In this lemma, we use $\operatorname{coin}(\varphi, \psi)=\left\{\gamma \in \Pi_{1} \mid \varphi(\gamma)=\psi(\gamma)\right\}$ to denote the group of coincidence points of two morphisms $\varphi, \psi: \Pi_{1} \rightarrow \Pi_{2}$.

Lemma 3.1 ([7, Lemma 3.1.4]). Given a commutative diagram of groups with exact rows

such that the quotient groups $\Pi_{i} / \Gamma_{i}$ are finite. For all $\alpha \in \Pi_{2}$ and $g \in \Gamma_{2}$, the number of Reidemeister coincidence classes $\left[g^{\prime}\right] \in \mathcal{R}\left[\tau_{\alpha} \varphi^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right]$ such that $[g]=\left[g^{\prime}\right]$ in $\mathcal{R}\left[\tau_{\alpha} \varphi, \psi\right]$ is equal to

$$
\left[\operatorname{coin}\left(\tau_{\bar{\alpha}} \bar{\varphi}, \bar{\psi}\right): \overline{\operatorname{coin}\left(\tau_{g \alpha} \varphi, \psi\right)}\right]
$$

where $\overline{\operatorname{coin}\left(\tau_{g \alpha} \varphi, \psi\right)}$ denotes the image of $\operatorname{coin}\left(\tau_{g \alpha} \varphi, \psi\right)$ in $\Pi_{1} / \Gamma_{1}$.
Applying this to the diagram

learns that for a given $g \in N$, the number of classes $\left[g^{\prime}\right] \in \mathcal{R}\left[\tau_{\alpha} \phi_{i}^{\prime}\right]$ such that $[g]=\left[g^{\prime}\right]$ in $\mathcal{R}\left[\tau_{\alpha} \phi_{i}\right]$ is equal to

$$
\left[\operatorname{coin}\left(\tau_{\bar{\alpha}} \bar{\phi}_{i}, \bar{\iota}\right): \overline{\operatorname{fix}\left(\tau_{g \alpha} \phi_{i}\right)}\right]=\frac{\left|\operatorname{coin}\left(\tau_{\bar{\alpha}} \bar{\phi}_{i}, \bar{u}\right)\right|}{\left|\overline{\operatorname{fix}\left(\tau_{g \alpha} \phi_{i}\right)}\right|},
$$

where $\overline{\text { fix }\left(\tau_{g \alpha} \phi_{i}\right)}$ denotes the image of $\operatorname{fix}\left(\tau_{g \alpha} \phi_{i}\right)=\operatorname{coin}\left(\tau_{g \alpha} \phi_{i}, \iota\right)$ in $S_{i} / S_{i}^{\prime}$. Therefore the sum (1.1) decomposes as

$$
N(f)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left[\pi: S_{i}\right]} \sum_{[\bar{\alpha}] \in \mathcal{R}\left[\bar{\phi}_{i}, \overline{]}\right][g] \in \mathcal{R}\left[\tau_{\alpha} \phi_{i}^{\prime}\right]} \frac{\left|\overline{\operatorname{fix}\left(\tau_{g \alpha} \phi_{i}\right)}\right|}{\left|\operatorname{coin}\left(\tau_{\bar{\alpha}} \bar{\phi}_{i}, \bar{l}\right)\right|} \varepsilon_{g \alpha, i} .
$$

To further simplify this formula, consider the action of $S_{i} / S_{i}^{\prime}$ on $\pi / N$ given by

$$
\bar{\gamma} \cdot \bar{\alpha}=\bar{\iota}(\bar{\gamma}) \bar{\alpha} \bar{\phi}_{i}(\bar{\gamma})^{-1} .
$$

The orbit of an element $\bar{\alpha}$ for this action is its Reidemeister class $[\bar{\alpha}] \in$ $\mathcal{R}\left[\bar{\phi}_{i}, \overline{\bar{l}}\right]$. The stabilizer of $\bar{\alpha}$ is the set

$$
\left\{\bar{\gamma} \in S_{i} / S_{i}^{\prime} \mid \bar{\iota}(\bar{\gamma}) \bar{\alpha} \bar{\phi}_{i}(\bar{\gamma})^{-1}=\bar{\alpha}\right\}=\operatorname{coin}\left(\tau_{\bar{\alpha}} \bar{\phi}_{i}, \bar{u}\right) .
$$

Therefore we have

$$
|[\bar{\alpha}]| \cdot\left|\operatorname{coin}\left(\tau_{\bar{\alpha}} \bar{\phi}_{i}, \bar{\nu}\right)\right|=\left|S_{i} / S_{i}^{\prime}\right|=\left[S_{i}: S_{i}^{\prime}\right] .
$$

This reduces the expression for $N(f)$ to

$$
\begin{align*}
N(f) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left[\pi: S_{i}\right]} \sum_{\bar{\alpha} \in \pi / N} \frac{1}{|[\bar{\alpha}]|} \sum_{[g] \in \mathcal{R}\left[\tau_{\alpha} \phi_{i}^{\prime}\right]} \frac{\left|\overline{\operatorname{fix}\left(\tau_{g \alpha} \phi_{i}\right)}\right|}{\left|\operatorname{coin}\left(\tau_{\bar{\alpha}} \bar{\phi}, \bar{\iota}\right)\right|} \varepsilon_{g \alpha, i} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left[\pi: S_{i}^{\prime}\right]} \sum_{\bar{\alpha} \in \pi / N} \sum_{[g] \in \mathcal{R}\left[\tau_{\alpha} \phi_{i}^{\prime}\right]}\left|\overline{\operatorname{fix}\left(\tau_{g \alpha} \phi_{i}\right) \mid}\right| \varepsilon_{g \alpha, i} . \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

## 4. Averaging formula for affine maps

Now we apply this to the special case where $f$ is an affine map with lift $\tilde{f}: G \rightarrow F_{n}(G, \pi): x \mapsto\left(g_{1} \varphi_{1}(x), \ldots, g_{n} \varphi_{n}(x)\right)$. In this case, the formula above can be reduced to an expression purely in terms of the morphisms $\varphi_{i}$.

Recall that, for a morphism $\phi^{\prime}: S^{\prime} \rightarrow N$ between lattices in a connected and simply connected nilpotent Lie group $G$, we use $\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)_{*}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ to denote the Lie algebra morphism induced by the unique extension of $\phi^{\prime}$ to $G \rightarrow G$.

Lemma 4.1. Suppose $\pi \backslash G$ is an infra-nilmanifold finitely covered by a nilmanifold $N \backslash G$, and $S$ is a finite index subgroup of $\pi$. Let $S^{\prime}$ be the subgroup generated by $\left\{\gamma^{[\pi: N]} \mid \gamma \in S\right\}$. Let $\phi: S \rightarrow \pi$ be a morphism and $\phi^{\prime}: S^{\prime} \rightarrow N$ its restriction to $S^{\prime}$. If $\operatorname{det}\left(I-\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)_{*}\right) \neq 0$, then $\operatorname{fix}(\phi)=1$.
Proof. We first prove that fix $\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)=1$. To this end, let exp : $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$ denote the exponential map of $G$, and $\log : G \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ its inverse.

Suppose $\gamma \in S^{\prime}$ is a fixed point of $\phi^{\prime}$. Then $X=\log (\gamma)$ is a fixed point of $\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)_{*}$, i.e. $\left(I-\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)_{*}\right)(X)=0$. Since $\operatorname{det}\left(I-\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)_{*}\right) \neq 0$, the only element $X$ satisfying this equation is 0 . It follows that $\gamma=\exp (0)=1$.

Now, suppose $\gamma \in S$ is a fixed point of $\phi$, and denote $k=[\pi: N]$. Then $\phi\left(\gamma^{k}\right)=\phi(\gamma)^{k}=\gamma^{k}$, so $\gamma^{k} \in S^{\prime}$ is a fixed point of $\phi^{\prime}$. By the above, we have $\gamma^{k}=1$. Since $S$ is torsion free, it follows that $\gamma=1$.

We will now show that for an affine map, the number $\varepsilon_{g \alpha, i}$ in (3.1) is independent of $g \in N$, using the results from [4]. We distinguish two cases. In both cases we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2 ([4, Lemma 6.4]). Let $G$ be a connected and simply connected nilpotent Lie group, and $\varphi \in \operatorname{End}(G)$. If $\varphi_{*}$ has no eigenvalue 1, the map $G \rightarrow G: x \mapsto \varphi(x) x^{-1}$ is bijective.

The first case is the following.
Notation 4.3. For $\alpha \in \pi \subseteq G \rtimes \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ we will write $\alpha=\left(a_{\alpha}, A_{\alpha}\right)$ with $a_{\alpha} \in G$ and $A_{\alpha} \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$.

Corollary 4.4. Let $f: \pi \backslash G \rightarrow D_{n}(\pi \backslash G)$ be an affine $n$-valued map with lift $\tilde{f}: x \mapsto\left(g_{1} \varphi_{1}(x), \ldots, g_{n} \varphi_{n}(x)\right)$ and corresponding numbers $\varepsilon_{g \alpha, i}$. Fix $i$ and $\alpha \in \pi$. If $\operatorname{det}\left(I-\left(A_{\alpha} \varphi_{i}\right)_{*}\right) \neq 0$, then $\varepsilon_{g \alpha, i}=1$ for all $g \in N$.

Proof. Pick $g \in N$. Note that for all $x \in G$,

$$
g \alpha \tilde{f}_{i}(x)=g \alpha g_{i} \varphi_{i}(x)=g a_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}\left(g_{i}\right) A_{\alpha} \varphi_{i}(x)
$$

So if we denote $g a_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}\left(g_{i}\right)=g^{\prime}$, then $g \alpha \tilde{f}_{i}=g^{\prime} A_{\alpha} \varphi_{i}$.
By Lemma 4.2, the map $G \rightarrow G: x \mapsto A_{\alpha} \varphi_{i}(x) x^{-1}$ is a bijection. Hence there is a unique $x \in G$ such that $A_{\alpha} \varphi_{i}(x) x^{-1}=\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$, i.e. such that $g^{\prime} A_{\alpha} \varphi_{i}(x)=x$. That is, the map $g \alpha \tilde{f}_{i}=g^{\prime} A_{\alpha} \varphi_{i}$ has a unique fixed point. The index of the fixed point class $p\left(\operatorname{Fix}\left(g \alpha \tilde{f}_{i}\right)\right)$ is then equal to the index of this unique fixed point of $g^{\prime} A_{\alpha} \varphi_{i}$.

In [4, Lemma 6.10] it is proven that, if $\varphi: G \rightarrow G$ is a morphism so that $G \rightarrow G: x \mapsto \varphi(x) x^{-1}$ is a bijection, then for any $h \in G$, the index of $G \rightarrow G: x \mapsto h \varphi(x)$ at its unique fixed point is $\pm 1$. Applying this to $\varphi=A_{\alpha} \varphi_{i}$ and $h=g^{\prime}$ gives the desired result.

For the second case, we can use the following lemma, which is a special case of [4, Lemma 6.6]. We give a more polished version of the proof adapted to our specific needs.

Lemma 4.5. Let $G$ be a connected and simply connected nilpotent Lie group, and $\varphi \in \operatorname{End}(G)$. If $\varphi_{*}$ has eigenvalue 1 , then for all $g \in G$ there is a $g_{0} \in G$ such that $g \varphi(x) g_{0} \neq x$ for all $x \in G$.

Proof. We prove the claim by induction on the nilpotency class $c$ of $G$.
If $c=1$, then $G=\mathbb{R}^{k}$ for some $k$ and $\varphi=\varphi_{*}$ is a linear map represented by a matrix which has eigenvalue 1 . We can choose a basis $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ with respect to which the matrix of $\varphi$ is of the form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
* & * & \cdots & * \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
* & * & \cdots & *
\end{array}\right]
$$

That is, if we write $V=\left\langle v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\rangle$, then $\varphi\left(\mu v_{1}+v\right) \equiv \mu v_{1} \bmod V$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $v \in V$.

Take $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $g \equiv \lambda v_{1} \bmod V$. We claim that $g_{0}=(1-\lambda) v_{1}$ does the job. Indeed, suppose $x \in \mathbb{R}^{k}$ satisfies $g+\varphi(x)+g_{0}=x$. If we write $x=\mu v_{1}+v$ with $v \in V$, this reduces to

$$
\lambda v_{1}+\mu v_{1}+(1-\lambda) v_{1}=\mu v_{1} \bmod V
$$

or equivalentely, $v_{1} \in V$, which is a contradiction.

Now suppose $c>1$ and the result holds for all groups of smaller nilpotency class. For all $i=1, \ldots, c$, let $M_{i}$ be the matrix of the morphism induced by $\varphi$ on $\gamma_{i}(G) / \gamma_{i+1}(G)$. We distinguish two cases: either one of the matrices $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{c-1}$ has eigenvalue 1 , or $M_{c}$ is the only matrix with eigenvalue 1.

In the first case, we can apply the induction hypothesis to the map $G / \gamma_{c}(G) \rightarrow G / \gamma_{c}(G): \bar{x} \mapsto \overline{\varphi(x)}$ (and the element $\bar{g}$ for $g$ ) to obtain a $g_{0} \in G$ such that $g \varphi(x) g_{0} \neq \bar{x}$ in $G / \gamma_{c}(G)$, so in particular $g \varphi(x) g_{0} \neq x$ in $G$, for all $x \in G$.

In the second case, we can apply the induction hypothesis to the restriction of $\varphi$ to $\gamma_{c}(G)$ (and the identity element for $g$ ) to obtain a $g_{0} \in \gamma_{c}(G)$ such that $\varphi(x) g_{0} \neq x$ for all $x \in \gamma_{c}(G)$. On the other hand, we can apply Lemma 4.2 to the $\operatorname{map} G / \gamma_{c}(G) \rightarrow G / \gamma_{c}(G): \bar{x} \mapsto \overline{\varphi(x)}$, which has no eigenvalue 1. It follows that there is a unique $\bar{x}_{0} \in G / \gamma_{c}(G)$ such that $\overline{x_{0} \varphi\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1}}=\bar{g}$. Choose a representative $x_{0} \in G$ for $\bar{x}_{0}$, and take $z \in \gamma_{c}(G)$ such that $g \varphi\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{0} z$. We claim that the element $g_{0} z^{-1}$ does the job. Indeed, suppose $x \in G$ satisfies $g \varphi(x) g_{0} z^{-1}=x$. By uniqueness of $\bar{x}_{0}$, this element $x$ must be of the form $x_{0} z^{\prime}$ for some $z^{\prime} \in \gamma_{c}(G)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
g \varphi\left(x_{0} z^{\prime}\right) g_{0} z^{-1}=x_{0} z^{\prime} & \Leftrightarrow g \varphi\left(x_{0}\right) \varphi\left(z^{\prime}\right) g_{0} z^{-1}=x_{0} z^{\prime} \\
& \Leftrightarrow x_{0} z \varphi\left(z^{\prime}\right) g_{0} z^{-1}=x_{0} z^{\prime} \\
& \Leftrightarrow \varphi\left(z^{\prime}\right) g_{0}=z^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is impossible for $z^{\prime} \in \gamma_{c}(G)$, by definition of $g_{0}$.
Corollary 4.6. Let $f: \pi \backslash G \rightarrow D_{n}(\pi \backslash G)$ be an affine $n$-valued map with lift $\tilde{f}: x \mapsto\left(g_{1} \varphi_{1}(x), \ldots, g_{n} \varphi_{n}(x)\right)$ and corresponding numbers $\varepsilon_{g \alpha, i}$. Fix $i$ and $\alpha \in \pi$. If $\operatorname{det}\left(I-\left(A_{\alpha} \varphi_{i}\right)_{*}\right)=0$, then $\varepsilon_{g \alpha, i}=0$ for all $g \in N$.
Proof. Pick $g \in N$ and define $g^{\prime}$ as in Corollary 4.4. By Lemma 4.5, there exits a $g_{0} \in G$ such that $g^{\prime} A_{\alpha} \varphi_{i}(x) g_{0} \neq x$ for all $x \in G$. Then we have a homotopy

$$
\pi \backslash G \times[0,1] \rightarrow D_{n}(\pi \backslash G):(p(x), t) \mapsto\left\{p\left(\tilde{f}_{1}(x) g_{0}^{t}\right), \ldots, p\left(\tilde{f}_{n}(x) g_{0}^{t}\right)\right\}
$$

Under this homotopy, the fixed point class $p\left(\operatorname{Fix}\left(g \alpha \tilde{f}_{i}\right)\right)$ of $f$ corresponds to the fixed point class $p\left(\operatorname{Fix}\left(g \alpha \tilde{f}_{i} g_{0}\right)\right)$. Since $g \alpha \tilde{f}_{i} g_{0}$ has no fixed points by definition of $g_{0}$, the index of this fixed point class is 0 . As indices are preserved under homotopies, the index of $p\left(\operatorname{Fix}\left(g \alpha \tilde{f}_{i}\right)\right)$ is also 0 .

On the other hand, the results in [4] give an expression for the number of terms in the second sum in (3.1):

Lemma 4.7. For a morphism $\phi^{\prime}: S^{\prime} \rightarrow N$, with $N$ finitely generated, torsion free and nilpotent, and $S^{\prime}$ a finite index subgroup of $N$, one has

$$
R\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)=\left[N: S^{\prime}\right]\left|\operatorname{det}\left(I-\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)_{*}\right)\right|_{\infty}
$$

where $|a|_{\infty}$ is defined as $|a|$ if $a \neq 0$, and $\infty$ if $a=0$.
Proof. Let $c$ be the nilpotency class of $N$. For $i=1, \ldots, c$, write $N_{i}=$ $\sqrt[N]{\gamma_{i}(N)}$ and $S_{i}^{\prime}=\sqrt[S^{\prime}]{\gamma_{i}\left(S^{\prime}\right)}$. If $M_{i}$ denotes the matrix of the morphism $S_{i}^{\prime} N_{i+1} / N_{i+1} \rightarrow N_{i} / N_{i+1}$ induced by $\phi^{\prime}$, it is proven in [4, Theorem 4.14] that

$$
R\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)=\left[N: S^{\prime}\right]|\operatorname{det}(I-M)|_{\infty}
$$

where

$$
M=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
M_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & M_{2} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & M_{c}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

On the other hand, it is proven in [4, Theorem 5.4] that the matrix of $\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)_{*}$ with respect to a well-chosen basis for $\mathfrak{g}$ is of the form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
M_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
* & M_{2} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
* & * & \cdots & M_{c}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Thus, we can replace $\operatorname{det}(I-M)$ by $\operatorname{det}\left(I-\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)_{*}\right)$, which gives the desired formula.

Lastly, we observe:
Lemma 4.8. Let $f: \pi \backslash G \rightarrow D_{n}(\pi \backslash G)$ be an affine $n$-valued map with lift $\tilde{f}: x \mapsto\left(g_{1} \varphi_{1}(x), \ldots, g_{n} \varphi_{n}(x)\right)$ and corresponding morphisms $\phi_{i}^{\prime}: S_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow N$. For all $i$ and $\alpha \in \pi$, for all $g \in N$,

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(I-\left(\tau_{g \alpha} \phi_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{*}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(I-\left(A_{\alpha} \varphi_{i}\right)_{*}\right) .
$$

Proof. We may choose a basis $v_{1,1}, v_{1,2}, \ldots, v_{1, k_{1}}, v_{2,1}, \ldots, v_{c, k_{c}}$ for $\mathfrak{g}$ in such a way that the vectors $v_{i, 1}, v_{i, 2}, \ldots, v_{i, k_{i}}, v_{i+1,1}, \ldots, v_{c, k_{c}}$ form a basis of $\gamma_{i}(\mathfrak{g})$, the $i$-th term in the lower central series of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. With respect to this basis, all morphisms $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ are represented by blocked lower triangular matrices (with blocks of size $k_{i} \times k_{i}$ on the diagonal).

Now note that for the morphisms $\tau_{g \alpha}, \phi_{i}^{\prime}: S_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow N$, and therefore also for their extensions $G \rightarrow G$,

$$
\tau_{g \alpha}=c_{g a_{\alpha}} A_{\alpha} \quad \text { and } \quad \phi_{i}^{\prime}=c_{g_{i}} \varphi_{i}
$$

where $c_{g}: G \rightarrow G$ denotes conjugation with $g \in G$. (The equality for $\phi_{i}$ follows by evaluating (2.1) at $x=1$ and $\gamma \in S_{i}^{\prime}$.)

For all $g \in G$, the map $c_{g}: G \rightarrow G$ induces the identity on $\gamma_{i}(G) / \gamma_{i+1}(G)$, so the maps $\left(c_{g}\right)_{*}$ induce the identity on $\gamma_{i}(\mathfrak{g}) / \gamma_{i+1}(\mathfrak{g})$ and the matrix representation of $\left(c_{g}\right)_{*}$ has identity blocks on the diagonal. It follows that the matrices of $\left(\tau_{g \alpha}\right)_{*}$ and $\left(\phi_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{*}$ have the same blocks on the diagonal as those of $\left(A_{\alpha}\right)_{*}$ and $\left(\varphi_{i}\right)_{*}$, respectively; therefore

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(I-\left(\tau_{g \alpha} \phi_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{*}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(I-\left(A_{\alpha} \varphi_{i}\right)_{*}\right) .
$$

Putting all these results together gives the averaging formula:
Theorem 4.9. The Nielsen number of an affine map $f: \pi \backslash G \rightarrow D_{n}(\pi \backslash G)$ with lift $\tilde{f}: x \mapsto\left(g_{1} \varphi_{1}(x), \ldots, g_{n} \varphi_{n}(x)\right)$ is given by

$$
N(f)=\frac{1}{[\pi: N]} \sum_{\bar{\alpha} \in \pi / N} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\operatorname{det}\left(I-\left(A_{\alpha} \varphi_{i}\right)_{*}\right)\right| .
$$

Proof. Recall from section 3 that

$$
N(f)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left[\pi: S_{i}^{\prime}\right]} \sum_{\bar{\alpha} \in \pi / N} \sum_{[g] \in \mathcal{R}\left[\tau_{\alpha} \phi_{i}^{\prime}\right]}\left|\overline{\operatorname{fix}\left(\tau_{g \alpha} \phi_{i}\right)}\right| \varepsilon_{g \alpha, i} .
$$

In case $\operatorname{det}\left(I-\left(A_{\alpha} \varphi_{i}\right)_{*}\right) \neq 0$, Lemma 4.1 implies $\operatorname{fix}\left(\tau_{g \alpha} \phi_{i}\right)=1$, so in particular $\left|\overline{\operatorname{fix}\left(\tau_{g \alpha} \phi_{i}\right)}\right|=1$; Corollary 4.4 implies $\varepsilon_{g \alpha, i}=1$ for all $g$; and Lemmas 4.7 and 4.8 imply

$$
R\left(\tau_{\alpha} \phi_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\left[N: S_{i}^{\prime}\right]\left|\operatorname{det}\left(I-\left(A_{\alpha} \varphi_{i}\right)_{*}\right)\right|
$$

Thus, in this case,

$$
\sum_{[g] \in \mathcal{R}\left[\tau_{\alpha} \phi_{i}^{\prime}\right]}\left|\overline{\operatorname{fix}\left(\tau_{g \alpha} \phi_{i}\right)}\right| \varepsilon_{g \alpha, i}=\left[N: S_{i}^{\prime}\right]\left|\operatorname{det}\left(I-\left(A_{\alpha} \varphi_{i}\right)_{*}\right)\right| .
$$

In case $\operatorname{det}\left(I-\left(A_{\alpha} \varphi_{i}\right)_{*}\right)=0$, Corollary 4.6 implies $\varepsilon_{g \alpha, i}=0$ for all $g$, so

$$
\sum_{[g] \in \mathcal{R}\left[\tau_{\alpha} \phi_{i}^{\prime}\right]}\left|\overline{\operatorname{fix}\left(\tau_{g \alpha} \phi_{i}\right)}\right| \varepsilon_{g \alpha, i}=0=\left[N: S_{i}^{\prime}\right]\left|\operatorname{det}\left(I-\left(A_{\alpha} \varphi_{i}\right)_{*}\right)\right|
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
N(f) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left[\pi: S_{i}^{\prime}\right]} \sum_{\bar{\alpha} \in \pi / N}\left[N: S_{i}^{\prime}\right]\left|\operatorname{det}\left(I-\left(A_{\alpha} \varphi_{i}\right)_{*}\right)\right| \\
& =\frac{1}{[\pi: N]} \sum_{\bar{\alpha} \in \pi / N} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\operatorname{det}\left(I-\left(A_{\alpha} \varphi_{i}\right)_{*}\right)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 5. Example

To illustrate the formula, consider the following example. Take $G=\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and let $\pi \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2} \rtimes \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ be the group generated by

$$
a=\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right), \quad b=\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right)
$$

Then $\pi \backslash \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is an infra-nilmanifold isomorphic to the Klein bottle. Consider the map given by

$$
\tilde{f}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow F_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \pi\right):\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(\left(0, \frac{t_{2}}{2}\right),\left(0, \frac{t_{2}}{2}-\frac{1}{4}\right)\right)
$$

This map induces a well-defined 2-valued map $f: \pi \backslash \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow D_{2}\left(\pi \backslash \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ on the Klein bottle, since for all $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{f}\left(a\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right)=\left(\left(0, \frac{t_{2}}{2}\right),\left(0, \frac{t_{2}}{2}-\frac{1}{4}\right)\right)=(1,1 ; 1) \tilde{f}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \\
& \tilde{f}\left(b\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right)=\left(b\left(0, \frac{t_{2}}{2}-\frac{1}{4}\right),\left(0, \frac{t_{2}}{2}\right)\right)=(b, 1 ; \sigma) \tilde{f}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\sigma \in \Sigma_{2}$ the permutation that interchanges 1 and 2 .
Note that $f$ is an affine map, with

$$
g_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right], \quad g_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
-\frac{1}{4}
\end{array}\right], \quad \varphi_{1}=\varphi_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

To compute the Nielsen number of $f$, we consider the nilmanifold $Z \backslash \mathbb{R}^{2}$ where $Z=\pi \cap \mathbb{R}^{2}=\left\langle a, b^{2}\right\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2}$, i.e. the 2-torus. The group $\pi / Z$ has two elements, represented by

$$
\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right)
$$

Thus, by Theorem 4.9

$$
\begin{aligned}
N(f) & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\bar{\alpha} \in \pi / Z} \sum_{i=1}^{2}\left|\operatorname{det}\left(I-A_{\alpha}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right| \\
& =\sum_{\bar{\alpha} \in \pi / Z}\left|\operatorname{det}\left(I-A_{\alpha}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right| \\
& =\left|\operatorname{det}\left(I-\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right|+\left|\operatorname{det}\left(I-\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right| \\
& =\left|\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]\right|+\left|\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]\right|=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

A direct calculation shows that the map $f$ has one isolated fixed point: indeed, for all $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=a^{k} b^{\ell}\left(0, \frac{t_{2}}{2}\right) & \Leftrightarrow\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=\left(k, \frac{t_{2}}{2}+\frac{\ell}{2}\right) \\
& \Leftrightarrow\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=(k, \ell)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=a^{k} b^{\ell}\left(0, \frac{t_{2}}{2}-\frac{1}{4}\right) & \Leftrightarrow\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=\left(k, \frac{t_{2}}{2}-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\ell}{2}\right) \\
& \Leftrightarrow\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=\left(k,-\frac{1}{2}+\ell\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and all these points $(k, \ell)$ and $\left(k,-\frac{1}{2}+\ell\right)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ project to the same point on the Klein bottle. Thus, the minimal number of fixed points is actually attained in this case.

Remark 5.1. This map $f$ is an example of a map on the Klein bottle that does not lift to the torus $Z \backslash \mathbb{R}^{2}$. In fact, there is no nilmanifold (torus) $N \backslash \mathbb{R}^{2}$ that finitely covers $\pi \backslash \mathbb{R}^{2}$ so that $f$ lifts to $N \backslash \mathbb{R}^{2}$ : in order for such a lift to exist, the induced morphism of covering groups $f_{*}=\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2} ; \sigma\right): \pi \rightarrow \pi^{2} \rtimes \Sigma_{2}$ defined in section 1 must satisfy $f_{*}(N) \subseteq N^{2} \rtimes \Sigma_{2}$. However, note that $f_{*}\left(b^{2}\right)=(b, b ; 1)$. If $N \backslash \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a nilmanifold that finitely covers $\pi \backslash \mathbb{R}^{2}$, then $N$ must be a finite index subgroup of $Z$. Hence there is a smallest $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ so that $\left(b^{2}\right)^{\ell} \in N$. But then $f_{*}\left(\left(b^{2}\right)^{\ell}\right)=\left(b^{\ell}, b^{\ell} ; 1\right)$ is not an element of $N^{2} \rtimes \Sigma_{2}$, by minimality of $\ell$.

This illustrates the fact that a classical averaging formula in terms of lifts as in [8] is not feasible in the $n$-valued case.
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