

Multi-Sample Dynamic Time Warping for Few-Shot Keyword Spotting

Kevin Wilkinghoff, Alessia Cornaggia-Urrigshardt
Fraunhofer FKIE

Fraunhoferstraße 20, 53343 Wachtberg, Germany
kevin.wilkinghoff@ieee.org, alessia.cornaggia-urrigshardt@fkie.fraunhofer.de

Abstract—In multi-sample keyword spotting, each keyword class is represented by multiple spoken instances, called samples. A naïve approach to detect keywords in a target sequence consists of querying all samples of all classes using sub-sequence dynamic time warping. However, the resulting processing time increases linearly with respect to the number of samples belonging to each class. Alternatively, only a single Fréchet mean can be queried for each class, resulting in reduced processing time but usually also in worse detection performance as the variability of the query samples is not captured sufficiently well. In this work, multi-sample dynamic time warping is proposed to compute class-specific cost-tensors that include the variability of all query samples. To significantly reduce the computational complexity during inference, these cost tensors are converted to cost matrices before applying dynamic time warping. In experimental evaluations for few-shot keyword spotting, it is shown that this method yields a very similar performance as using all individual query samples as templates while having a runtime that is only slightly slower than when using Fréchet means.

Index Terms—pattern matching, dynamic time warping, keyword spotting, sound event detection, few-shot learning

I. INTRODUCTION

Dynamic time warping (DTW) [1] and sub-sequence DTW [2] generalize the Euclidean distance by allowing small temporal deviations when comparing sequential data and has many applications for classifying and clustering time series [3]. For audio data, a typical application of DTW is sound event detection (SED), i.e. detecting acoustic events in an audio signal with their on- and offsets in time while also correctly identifying the pre-defined classes they belong to. Specific examples are bioacoustic event detection [4], [5] and keyword spotting (KWS) [6]. Classically, hand-crafted speech features such as Mel-frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCCs) or human factor cepstral coefficients (HFCCs) extracted from isolated training samples are used as templates for sub-sequence DTW [7], [8]. The same approach can also be used to obtain weakly labeled samples for training a discriminative model [9]. More recently, neural networks have been applied to learn discriminative embeddings with a temporal resolution to be used as templates for DTW yielding significantly better performance [10], [11], even when only a very limited number of training samples is available (few-shot learning [12]). Another modern approach is to approximate DTW by soft-DTW [13], which is differentiable and thus can be used as a loss function for training neural networks,

for applications such as multi-pitch estimation [14] in music information retrieval [15].

DTW has a computational complexity of $\mathcal{O}(N \cdot M)$ where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}$ denote the lengths of the two sequences to be aligned. This runtime can be improved by masking large parts of the cost matrices [1], [16], pruning, i.e. not following, non-promising DTW paths [17] or parallelizing the computation of the accumulated cost matrices by sweeping diagonally over the entries [18]. Still, when applying DTW to detect events of $C \in \mathbb{N}$ classes with $K \in \mathbb{N}$ query samples per class, the computational complexity increases to $\mathcal{O}(N \cdot M \cdot C \cdot K)$, which may be highly impractical or even infeasible. To solve this computational issue, the Fréchet means can be computed from all samples of individual classes. This is known to be an NP-complete problem [19] but heuristic approximation algorithms that work well in practice [20] exist. Examples are DTW barycenter averaging (DBA) [21] or compact multiple alignment for sequence averaging (COMASA) [22]. Although this reduces the complexity to $\mathcal{O}(N \cdot M \cdot C)$, using the Fréchet means as query samples also degrades the performance as the variability of the individual samples is not captured sufficiently well. In [23], [24], it has been proposed to cluster the individual samples and then compute Fréchet means for each cluster to essentially reduce the number of query samples to the number of clusters per class. Still, multiple templates are needed for each class and the variability provided by the individual samples is not completely retained. In this work, it is proposed to create class-specific cost tensors, which include the variability of all samples belonging to a class, and convert this tensor into a cost matrix before applying DTW. This procedure allows to efficiently compute the similarity between multiple query samples and a target sequence.

The contributions of this work are the following. First and foremost, multi-sample DTW, a method that utilizes cost tensors to capture the variability provided by multiple query samples when computing class-specific DTW matching scores, is presented. In experiments conducted on KWS-DailyTalk, a dataset for few-shot open-set KWS, it is shown that multi-sample DTW yields a similar performance as searching for all samples provided for a given class while still being computationally efficient. In addition, a procedure to compute an altered Fréchet mean is proposed that leads to significantly better performance than the standard Fréchet mean.




Fig. 1. Illustration of all steps of multi-sample DTW for a single class using templates based on discriminative embeddings.

II. MULTI-SAMPLE DTW

A. Definition

The idea of multi-sample DTW is to allow the DTW paths to switch between the provided query samples. This is accomplished by combining the cost matrices belonging to the query samples of each class into class-specific cost tensors, which are then converted into cost matrices before applying DTW. More concretely, multi-sample DTW consists of the following four steps, which are also depicted in Figure 1:

Step 1: First, the Fréchet means are determined for each class. These means serve as class-specific reference templates that are used to establish connections between the cost matrices of all query samples belonging to the same class. For the experiments conducted in this work, the Fréchet means were initialized by applying the DBA algorithm [21] based on [25] as implemented in ts-learn [26] using a Sakoe-Chiba band [1] with a radius of 1 and fixed sizes equal to the mean size of the corresponding query samples.

The resulting standard Fréchet means are altered by applying the DBA algorithm a second time with sub-sequence DTW and the non-standard steps (1, 1), (1, 2) and (2, 1). The cosine distance is used as the local distance measure. These steps allow ignoring of every other entry when matching two sequences. As a result, some variability of the query samples can be incorporated into the mean by alternating original entries with novel entries resulting from the second DBA algorithm. An example for illustration purposes can be found in Figure 2. Note that in general the algorithm for




Fig. 2. Examples of a standard and an altered Fréchet mean based on discriminative embeddings. While both representations look very similar in the left half, the altered Fréchet mean alternates between the entries belonging to the standard mean and other entries in the right half.

computing the Fréchet mean can be chosen arbitrarily when using multi-sample DTW and this particular choice was used as it significantly improved the performance (cf. Table I).

Step 2: Second, all query samples are converted to have the same temporal dimension as the reference template of the class they belong to. The converted sample is created from the reference template by replacing each entry individually matching each query sample with its corresponding reference template and replacing each entry of the reference template with the arithmetic mean of all entries that are matched to this position. In other words, for each individual query sample a

single iteration of the DBA algorithm is applied to modify the previously computed reference template. For the experiments conducted in this work, sub-sequence DTW with the cosine similarity and the non-standard steps (1, 1), (1, 2) and (2, 1) was used.

Step 3: To obtain a single cost matrix describing the similarity between all converted query samples and a test sample, first a three-dimensional cost-tensor is computed by combining the cost matrices between the modified samples and the target sequence. After that, the cost tensor is transformed into a standard cost matrix by taking the element-wise minimum over all cost matrices corresponding to slices of the cost tensor. This allows finding DTW paths of minimal cost that may switch between the cost matrices of different query samples.

Step 4: As a last step, standard (sub-sequence) DTW can be applied to each class-specific cost matrix to obtain a similarity score for each class. Hence, this step is the same as when utilizing Fréchet means as query samples.

B. Runtime analysis

One of the major goals of introducing multi-sample DTW is to reduce the runtime during inference over computing DTW similarity scores for all individual query samples. Therefore, the computational complexity of multi-sample DTW will now be investigated in more detail. Computing the Fréchet means in steps 1 and converting the templates to have the same length as their corresponding mean in step 2 is only done once and thus can be considered as part of training Step 4 and thus also its runtime is the same as when using class-specific Fréchet means and thus any runtime improvements for this step do not yield an advantage over the runtime needed when using the Fréchet means, which can be assumed to be optimal. This means that the runtime is significantly faster than using the individual query samples because DTW only needs to be applied to a single cost matrix for each class.

Step 3 requires a more detailed inspection of its computational complexity: Computing the cost matrices for each query sample has the same runtime as when using all individual query samples and thus is more costly than when only using the Fréchet means. Furthermore, converting the cost tensor into a cost matrix by taking the element-wise minimum is an additional step that is relatively expensive but can be easily parallelized. In the experiments conducted in this work, a sequential as well as a parallelized conversion of the cost tensor into a cost matrix will be compared.

In conclusion, the runtime of multi-sample DTW is much faster than when using all individual query samples because only one cost matrix is generated for each class. Thus, after extracting the cost matrix the computational complexity is reduced from $\mathcal{O}(N \cdot M \cdot C \cdot K)$ to $\mathcal{O}(N \cdot M \cdot C)$. Yet, the runtime is slower than only using a single Fréchet mean for each class because computing this cost matrix requires to compute a cost tensor, which has a computational complexity of $\mathcal{O}(N \cdot M \cdot C \cdot K)$. In total, the computational complexity is still in $\mathcal{O}(N \cdot M \cdot C \cdot K)$ but with a much smaller constant than when using the individual query samples.

III. EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION

A. Dataset

For all experiments conducted in this work, the few-shot open-set KWS dataset KWS-DailyTalk [11] based on the speech dataset DailyTalk [27] was used. KWS-DailyTalk consists of a training split as well as a validation and a test split. The training split contains five isolated samples for each of the fifteen keywords “afternoon”, “airport”, “cash”, “credit card”, “deposit”, “dollar”, “evening”, “expensive”, “house”, “information”, “money”, “morning”, “night”, “visa” and “yuan”. The validation and the test split consist of 156 and 157 sentences, respectively, that contain either none or several of these keywords such that each keyword appears about twelve times in each of the splits. Each training sample is taken from other conversations than the sentences contained in the validation or test split. In total, the duration of the training split is 39 s and both other splits each have a duration of approximately 10 min. To evaluate the performance, the micro-averaged event-based F-Score as implemented in the SED evaluation package [28] is used.

B. Baseline systems

In order to compare the performance and runtime obtained with the proposed multi-sample DTW approach to using all individual samples or the Fréchet means as query samples, the following two baseline systems based on different input features were used:

HFCCs: As a first DTW feature, HFCCs, which are known to outperform other hand-crafted speech features such as MFCCs for query-by-example KWS, were used. In contrast to embeddings extract with neural networks, these features have the advantage that they do not require any training and thus can be used as an ad-hoc KWS approach. The HFCCs were calculated based on spectrograms with a window size of 25 ms and a step size of 10 ms.

Discriminative Embeddings: As a second DTW feature, embeddings with a temporal dimension obtained by using the embedding model based on the TACos loss function as proposed in [11] were used. These embeddings are obtained by using spectrograms of short windows with a length of 250 ms and an overlap of 50 ms as input to a convolutional neural network and have the same time dimension as the input spectrogram. The network is trained to not only predict the keyword a given segment belongs to but also to predict the position of the segment inside the keyword recording by minimizing an angular margin loss. After training the network, audio recordings can be converted into embeddings by dividing them into segments, computing the embeddings and taking the mean of all frames at positions that overlap in time. This results in learning discriminative embeddings that are well-suited for predicting the correct keyword and change over time to be suitable to be used as features for DTW. Furthermore, temporally reversed segments are used as additional challenging negative examples for each keyword to reduce the number of false alarms. More details about the loss function as well as the KWS system can be found in [11].

TABLE I

EVENT-BASED, MICRO-AVERAGED F-SCORE, PRECISION AND RECALL AS WELL AS RUNTIMES OBTAINED WITH DIFFERENT DTW APPROACHES ON THE VALIDATION AND TEST SPLIT OF KWS-DAILYTALK USING ALL FIVE SHOTS. HIGHEST F-SCORES FOR EACH DTW FEATURE AND LOWEST RUNTIMES ARE HIGHLIGHTED WITH BOLD LETTERS. THE RUNTIME NEEDED TO APPLY THE DTW APPROACHES AFTER EXTRACTING ALL FEATURES IS MEASURED ON AN INTEL I7-8700 CPU @3.20 GHz.

DTW feature	DTW approach	obtained performance					runtime	
		validation set			test set			
		F-score	precision	recall	F-score	precision	recall	
HFCCs	DTW with individual samples	60.18%	64.56%	56.35%	58.82%	62.89%	55.25%	29.5 s
HFCCs	DTW with standard Fréchet means	45.00%	51.80%	39.78%	42.44%	50.77%	36.46%	6.2 s
HFCCs	DTW with altered Fréchet means	51.10%	59.56%	44.75%	49.54%	55.48%	44.75%	6.2 s
HFCCs	multi-sample DTW	57.93%	64.63%	52.49%	58.08%	63.40%	53.59%	20.9 s
HFCCs	multi-sample DTW (parallelized)	57.93%	64.63%	52.49%	58.08%	63.40%	53.59%	12.4 s
embeddings	DTW with individual samples	69.18%	80.29%	60.77%	69.48%	84.25%	59.12%	18.9 s
embeddings	DTW with standard Fréchet means	66.24%	79.23%	56.91%	59.26%	75.86%	48.62%	4.2 s
embeddings	DTW with altered Fréchet means	68.94%	78.72%	61.33%	67.73%	80.30%	58.56%	4.2 s
embeddings	multi-sample DTW	71.07%	82.48%	62.43%	69.43%	81.95%	60.22%	10.6 s
embeddings	multi-sample DTW (parallelized)	71.07%	82.48%	62.43%	69.43%	81.95%	60.22%	6.5 s

For both systems, sub-sequence DTW with the cosine similarity and the non-standard steps (1, 1), (1, 2) and (2, 1) was used. Furthermore, the detection results were post-processed by shortening all overlapping events such that only the event with the highest DTW similarity score is kept and removing all detections that are shorter than 50% of the length of the corresponding reference template. All hyperparameters such as the decision thresholds of the KWS systems were optimized with respect to the performance on the validation split. The Fréchet means are calculated as described in step 1 of Section II-A.

C. Experimental results

The experimental results obtained on KWS-DailyTalk are presented in Table I. First, it can be seen that the embeddings outperform the HFCCs in all experiments, as also shown in [11]. Furthermore, using the Fréchet means as query samples significantly degrades the performance obtained when using all individual samples and the altered Fréchet means perform much better than the standard Fréchet means. This is especially true for the case of the HFCCs that are not trained discriminatively to have high intra-class similarity and low inter-class similarity. Therefore, the means indeed do not capture the variability of the individual samples caused by different speaker characteristics and the context sufficiently well.

Another major observation is that multi-sample DTW leads to a significantly better performance than using the Fréchet means as reference templates, regardless of the input feature being used. Moreover, with multi-sample DTW the performance is close to the one obtained using individual samples, sometimes even slightly better, while being much faster than the latter one. This is due to the fact that with the proposed method new artificial samples are generated by enabling the use of any combination of templates.

A detailed comparison of the runtimes obtained with the different approaches can be found in Figure 3. As expected, the lowest runtime is achieved when using the Fréchet means. The slowest solution is to use individual samples as the runtime




Fig. 3. Runtime with respect to the number of shots for each class when applying different DTW approaches to the embeddings. All runtimes of the DTW approaches are measured after extracting all features using an Intel i7-8700 CPU @3.20 GHz.

increases linearly with the number of shots per class and thus this approach may be infeasible. The runtime of multi-sample DTW is much better than using all individual query samples because applying DTW to the cost matrices belonging to the relatively long test samples, which is the computationally most expensive step, only needs to be applied once per class. Furthermore, converting the cost tensors into cost matrices by finding the minimum for all entries can be parallelized, which leads to an even better runtime as shown by the light blue line in Figure 3. As a result, a runtime very close to the one resulting from using the Fréchet means as query samples, which can be assumed to be optimal, is obtained. It is worth noting that a higher recall means that more DTW paths need to be investigated and this also increases the runtime. Last but not least, the runtime of all approaches can be further reduced by also parallelizing the computation of the cost matrices or using more powerful hardware such as graphical processing units. As this has the same impact for all approaches, it is not necessary when comparing their runtime.

IV. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In this work, multi-sample DTW, a method for efficiently computing the DTW matching score of multiple samples belonging to the same class, was proposed. This method consists of the following steps: First, a reference template is determined for each class by computing the Fréchet means of the corresponding query samples. Second, each query sample is matched with its reference template and the resulting assignment is used to convert the sample to have the same temporal dimension as the reference template. All the modified samples of one class are then used to compute a three-dimensional cost tensor, which is converted to a standard cost matrix by taking the minimum over the sample dimension. Then, DTW can be applied to these class-specific cost matrices.

The proposed multi-sample DTW approach has been evaluated for the task of few-shot KWS on KWS-DailyTalk. The experimental results show that using multi-sample DTW yields the same performance as using every individual sample, which is much better as the performance obtained when using Fréchet means as query samples. Furthermore, in contrast to using all individual query samples, the runtime only slightly increases with the number of samples and thus is still reasonably close to the optimal runtime. The reason is that DTW has to be applied only once per class and the step of converting the cost tensors into cost matrices has been parallelized. As another contribution, a two-step procedure for significantly improving the performance of the Fréchet mean was proposed.

For future work, it is planned to evaluate the proposed multi-sample DTW approach on other KWS datasets as for example Speech Commands [29] and for other applications of DTW.

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Paul M. Baggenstoss, Frank Kurth and Sebastian Urrigshardt for their valuable feedback.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Sakoe and S. Chiba, "Dynamic programming algorithm optimization for spoken word recognition," *IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 43–49, 1978.
- [2] M. Müller, *Information retrieval for music and motion*. Springer, 2007.
- [3] H. A. Dau, A. J. Bagnall, K. Kamgar, C. M. Yeh, Y. Zhu, S. Gharghabi, C. A. Ratanamahatana, and E. J. Keogh, "The UCR time series archive," *IEEE CAA J. Autom. Sinica*, vol. 6, no. 6, pp. 1293–1305, 2019.
- [4] V. Morfi, I. Nolasco, V. Lostanlen, S. Singh, A. Strandburg-Peshkin, L. F. Gill, H. Pamula, D. Benvent, and D. Stowell, "Few-shot bioacoustic event detection: A new task at the DCASE 2021 challenge," in *Workshop on Detection and Classification of Acoustic Scenes and Events (DCASE)*, 2021, pp. 145–149.
- [5] I. Nolasco, S. Singh, E. Vidiña-Villa, E. Grout, J. Morford, M. G. Emmerson, F. H. Jensen, I. Kiskin, H. Whitehead, A. Strandburg-Peshkin, L. F. Gill, H. Pamula, V. Lostanlen, V. Morfi, and D. Stowell, "Few-shot bioacoustic event detection at the DCASE 2022 challenge," in *Workshop on Detection and Classification of Acoustic Scenes and Events (DCASE)*, 2022, pp. 136–140.
- [6] I. López-Espejo, Z. Tan, J. H. L. Hansen, and J. Jensen, "Deep spoken keyword spotting: An overview," *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 4169–4199, 2022.
- [7] D. Von Zeddelmann, F. Kurth, and M. Müller, "Perceptual audio features for unsupervised key-phrase detection," in *International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2010, pp. 257–260.
- [8] F. Kurth and D. von Zeddelmann, "An analysis of MFCC-like parametric audio features for keyphrase spotting applications," in *9th ITG Conference on Speech Communication (ITG Speech)*. VDE-Verlag, 2010.
- [9] R. Menon, H. Kamper, J. A. Quinn, and T. Niesler, "Fast ASR-free and almost zero-resource keyword spotting using DTW and CNNs for humanitarian monitoring," in *19th Annual Conference of the International Speech Communication Association (Interspeech)*. ISCA, 2018, pp. 2608–2612.
- [10] K. Wilkinghoff, A. Cornaggia-Urrigshardt, and F. Gökgöz, "Two-dimensional embeddings for low-resource keyword spotting based on dynamic time warping," in *14th ITG Conference on Speech Communication (ITG Speech)*. VDE-Verlag, 2021, pp. 9–13.
- [11] K. Wilkinghoff and A. Cornaggia-Urrigshardt, "TACos: Learning temporally structured embeddings for few-shot keyword spotting with dynamic time warping," in *International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 9941–9945.
- [12] Y. Wang, Q. Yao, J. T. Kwok, and L. M. Ni, "Generalizing from a few examples: A survey on few-shot learning," *ACM Comput. Surv.*, vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 63:1–63:34, 2021.
- [13] M. Cuturi and M. Blondel, "Soft-DTW: a differentiable loss function for time-series," in *34th International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, ser. Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, vol. 70. PMLR, 2017, pp. 894–903.
- [14] M. Krause, C. Weiß, and M. Müller, "Soft dynamic time warping for multi-pitch estimation and beyond," in *International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2023.
- [15] M. Müller, *Fundamentals of Music Processing - Audio, Analysis, Algorithms, Applications*. Springer, 2015.
- [16] F. Itakura, "Minimum prediction residual principle applied to speech recognition," *IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 67–72, 1975.
- [17] D. F. Silva and G. E. A. P. A. Batista, "Speeding up all-pairwise dynamic time warping matrix calculation," in *International Conference on Data Mining (SDM)*. SIAM, 2016, pp. 837–845.
- [18] H. Zhu, Z. Gu, H. Zhao, K. Chen, C. Li, and L. He, "Developing a pattern discovery method in time series data and its GPU acceleration," *Big Data Min. Anal.*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 266–283, 2018.
- [19] M. Buchin, A. Driemel, K. van Greevenbroek, I. Psarros, and D. Rohde, "Approximating length-restricted means under dynamic time warping," in *20th International Workshop on Approximation and Online Algorithms (WAOA)*, ser. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 13538. Springer, 2022, pp. 225–253.
- [20] F. Brüning, A. Driemel, A. Ergür, and H. Röglin, "On the number of iterations of the DBA algorithm," 2024, arXiv:2401.05841.
- [21] F. Petitjean, A. Ketterlin, and P. Gançarski, "A global averaging method for dynamic time warping, with applications to clustering," *Pattern recognition*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 678–693, 2011.
- [22] F. Petitjean and P. Gançarski, "Summarizing a set of time series by averaging: From steiner sequence to compact multiple alignment," *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 414, no. 1, pp. 76–91, 2012.
- [23] F. Petitjean, G. Forestier, G. I. Webb, A. E. Nicholson, Y. Chen, and E. Keogh, "Dynamic time warping averaging of time series allows faster and more accurate classification," in *International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM)*. IEEE, 2014, pp. 470–479.
- [24] F. Petitjean, G. Forestier, G. I. Webb, A. E. Nicholson, Y. Chen, and E. J. Keogh, "Faster and more accurate classification of time series by exploiting a novel dynamic time warping averaging algorithm," *Knowl. Inf. Syst.*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 1–26, 2016.
- [25] D. Schultz and B. J. Jain, "Nonsmooth analysis and subgradient methods for averaging in dynamic time warping spaces," *Pattern Recognit.*, vol. 74, pp. 340–358, 2018.
- [26] R. Tavenard, J. Faouzi, G. Vandewiele, F. Divo, G. Androz, C. Holtz, M. Payne, R. Yurchak, M. Rußwurm, K. Kolar, and E. Woods, "Tslearn, a machine learning toolkit for time series data," *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, vol. 21, no. 118, pp. 1–6, 2020.
- [27] K. Lee, K. Park, and D. Kim, "DailyTalk: Spoken dialogue dataset for conversational text-to-speech," in *International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2023.
- [28] A. Mesaros, T. Heittola, and T. Virtanen, "Metrics for polyphonic sound event detection," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 6, no. 6, p. 162, 2016.
- [29] P. Warden, "Speech commands: A dataset for limited-vocabulary speech recognition," 2018, arXiv:1804.03209.