

# Detection of circular permutations by Protein Language

## Models

Yue Hu<sup>1#\*</sup>, Bin Huang<sup>3##\*</sup> (co-first and corresponding author)

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Biomedical Engineering, Qilu University of Technology (Shandong Academy of Sciences), Jinan 250000, Shandong, China

<sup>2</sup>School of Life Sciences, Yunnan Normal University, Kunming 650500, Yunnan, China

#Co-first author

\*Corresponding Author:

Yue Hu, E-mail: [huyue@qlu.edu.cn](mailto:huyue@qlu.edu.cn)

Bin Huang, E-mail: [huangbin@ynnu.edu.cn](mailto:huangbin@ynnu.edu.cn)

### Abstract

Circular permutation in proteins is a phenomenon with significant implications in the study of protein evolution and functionality. The detection of such permutations is traditionally reliant on structural alignment methods, which, while accurate, are computationally intensive and require known three-dimensional structures. The circular permutation detection method based on sequence dependencies may be faster, but it may sacrifice some accuracy, especially for structures with low sequence similarity. To address these limitations, we introduce a novel protein language model, the plmCP, designed to detect circular permutations directly from amino acid sequences. The protein language model can help us extract structural information from sequences. Utilizing advanced embedding techniques, our model infers structural information from the linear sequence data, enabling the identification of permuted proteins with a high degree of accuracy. In case study, this model has a good result compared with traditional structural alignment methods in speed and accessibility, requiring only amino acid sequences to deliver results comparable to established techniques. In conclusion, our protein language model presents a promising tool for the efficient and accessible detection of circular permutations in proteins, with the potential to facilitate advancements in the understanding of protein evolution and engineering. The source code can be obtained from <https://github.com/YueHuLab/plmCP>.

**Keywords** Circular permutation; Protein language model; Protein structure alignment; Deep learning

## 1. Introduction

Circular permutation in proteins<sup>1-4</sup> is a fascinating phenomenon that reflects the versatility and adaptability of these biological macromolecules. Proteins, which are composed of chains of amino acids, typically fold into specific three-dimensional structures that are crucial for their function. A circular permutation occurs when the order of amino acids in a protein's sequence is rearranged, such that the N-terminus and C-terminus are shifted. Despite this rearrangement, the overall three-dimensional shape and function of the protein often remain conserved. The concept of circular permutation is analogous to a situation where a sentence is rearranged to start and end at different words, yet still conveys the same message. In proteins, this can result from natural evolutionary processes, artificial engineering, or post-translational modifications. Circular permutations can have significant implications for protein function and evolution. They may occur through mechanisms such as gene duplication followed by the loss of redundant sections, or through fission and fusion events where partial proteins combine to form a new polypeptide. These permutations can lead to proteins with altered catalytic activities, increased thermostability, or novel functionalities<sup>5-6</sup>.

The study of circular permutations in proteins not only provides insights into protein structure and function but also opens up possibilities for protein engineering. By understanding how proteins can maintain their function despite significant sequence rearrangements, scientists can design proteins with desired properties for various applications in biotechnology and medicine. In summary, circular permutation in proteins is a testament to the dynamic nature of these molecules. The ability to detect and study such permutations enhances our understanding of protein evolution and enables the development of proteins with improved or novel characteristics.

Detecting circular permutations in proteins is challenging due to the non-linear nature of the rearrangement<sup>1, 4, 7-8</sup>. Traditional sequence alignment tools are not equipped to identify such permutations. However, advancements in computational biology have led to the development of specialized algorithms and tools that can detect circular permutations by comparing the structural and sequence similarities of proteins in a topology-independent manner.

Algorithms for detecting circular permutations in proteins can be divided into sequence-based and structure-based methods. SeqCP<sup>9</sup> is a sequence-based algorithm for searching circularly permuted proteins. It analyzes normal and duplicated sequence alignments to identify circularly permuted regions. It has been trained using data from the Circular Permutation Database and tested with nonredundant datasets from the Protein Data Bank. It also has distinct advantages, one

is fast calculation speed, and the other is that it does not need to know the structure of proteins.

CE-CP<sup>7</sup>, TMalign<sup>10-11</sup> and CPSARST<sup>12</sup> are three typical structure-based methods for detecting circular permutations. CE-CP is an algorithm designed for the structural comparison of circularly permuted proteins. It allows researchers to identify circular permutations by considering the three-dimensional shape of proteins. CE-CP is user-friendly and integrated into the RCSB Protein Data Bank, making it accessible for protein structure analysis. TMalign, as a useful software for protein alignment, has a circular permutation module. CPSARST (Circular Permutation Search Aided by Ramachandran Sequential Transformation) is another efficient structure-based search tool. It helps detect novel protein structural relationships by analyzing protein structures. Although CPSARST is a structure based method, in reality, it represents the information of the structure as a string, and when comparing the structure, it is similar to sequence alignment, which not only utilizes the accuracy of structure alignment but also the speed of sequence alignment.

The seqCP and CPSARST work by generating all possible circular permutations of one protein and aligning them with another protein to find an alignment that is better than the linear alignment. While CPSARST is a valuable tool, its reliance on the Ramachandran plot for structural analysis may lead to information loss. The Ramachandran plot provides insights into the allowed backbone conformations of amino acids, but it does not capture the full complexity of protein structures and the co-evolution information. Circular permutations that involve significant rearrangements may not be accurately detected using this method. And the CPSARST need the structures of proteins. SeqCP primarily focuses on sequence-based comparisons. While it is effective for detecting circular permutations, it faces challenges when dealing with remote proteins—those that share low sequence similarity but may still exhibit circular permutations. SeqCP reliance on sequence alignment limits its ability to handle such cases effectively.

Protein language models can extract more information from sequences, especially for structural information. Detecting circular permutations in proteins is enhanced by Protein Language Models (PLMs), which, inspired by plmAlign<sup>13</sup>, use the inner product of amino acid vectors to construct a density matrix and a subsequent scoring matrix. This matrix is then utilized by the Smith-Waterman algorithm to find the best local alignment between sequences. By comparing the alignment of a query sequence with the target sequence and its duplicate with the target, and applying simple criteria, we can determine the presence of a circular permutation, integrating the principles of SeqCP and CPSARST for a comprehensive analysis. Using the following ideas, we have constructed plmCP (protein language models to detecting circular permutations) algorithm. In case study, this program can achieve good performance. Our tool will be used to drive research on circular permutations and provide strong support in protein engineering and modification.

## 2. Method

The plmCP model is designed to identify circular permutations in protein sequences by leveraging the power of protein language models and the principles of pairwise sequence alignment algorithm. The method inspired by plmAlign and CPSARST. The plmCP (Figure 1) employs state-of-the-art protein language models such as ESM-1b<sup>14-15</sup> to generate per-residue embeddings. These embeddings represent the individual amino acids in the context of the entire protein sequence, capturing the intricate relationships and structural features that might not be evident from the primary sequence alone. The plmCP aligns the query sequence with the target sequence and vice versa. Additionally, it aligns a duplicate of the query sequence (or target sequence) with the target (or query). By comparing the results of these two alignments, plmCP can detect circular permutations, which may arise from gene duplication followed by deletion events. The plmCP software based on the codes of plmAlign and combined with CPSART algorithm to detect circular permutations of proteins. The source code can be obtained from <https://github.com/YueHuLab/plmCP>.





Figure 1. Overview algorithm of the plmCP software.



**Figure 2. Schematic diagram of parameter definition.**

As shown in Figure 2, we use two simple parameters to perform a rough screening of circular immunity.

$$CP1 = \frac{L_A * L_B}{L_{target} * L_{query}} \quad (1)$$

$$CP2 = \frac{(L_{target} - L_A) * (L_{query} - L_B)}{L_{target} * L_{query}} \quad (2)$$

In an ideal state, except for the end positions, two circular permutation proteins should be completely overlapping. In this case, the value of CP1 should be equal to 0, and the value of CP2 should be equal to 1.

In the evaluation of the PLMCP model, we utilized the Protein Data Bank<sup>16</sup> (PDB) entries 3NCA (concanavalin) and 2PEL (peanut lectin) as the test case to demonstrate the efficacy of our method. The plmCP model's performance was benchmarked against established methods such as TMalign, CE-CP, CE<sup>17-19</sup>, SeqCP, FATCAT<sup>20-22</sup> and CPSARST. The comparison was based on two key metrics: the TM-score<sup>23</sup>, which assesses the structural similarity between protein pairs; and the sequence alignment results, which measure and demonstrate the differences in specific comparisons. The TM-score provides a normalized value that allows for the comparison of proteins of different lengths, making it a robust metric for evaluating structural alignment quality. By using these reference standards, we aimed to establish the superiority of plmCP in detecting circular permutations, particularly in instances where traditional sequence methods might fail to recognize the non-linear sequence relationships inherent to such permutations.

### 3. Results

First, we utilize the TM-align tool to compare two proteins using two methods: one with circular permutation (TM-score=0.90942) and the other without (TM-score=0.48028). These methods yield significantly different results. With circular permutation, the two proteins superpose perfectly, indicating that the TM-align tool can accurately detect this circular permutation pair (Figure 3). Next, we employ plmCP to analyze the same proteins, obtaining CP1 (0.9915) and CP2 (1.787e-5) values. The CP1 value is approximately 0, and the CP2 value is close to 1, suggesting that these proteins may indeed undergo circular permutation. Finally, when comparing the alignments from TM-align and plmCP, we observe similarities between the two methods, both with and without circular permutation (Table 1).



**Figure 3. Superposition of two circular permutation proteins (2PEL in blue and 3CNA in red).**

<b>plmCP</b>	>2PEL_A AGHFVGVVEFDTYSNSEYNDPPTDHVGDVNSVDSVKTPWNSVSGAVVKVTVIYDSSTKTL SVAVTNDNGDITIAQVVDLAKALPERVKFGFSASGSLGGRQIHLIRSWSTSTLITTR >3CNA_A ADTIVAVELDTYPNTDIGDPSYPHIGIDIKSVRSKKTAKWNMQDGKVGTAHIIYNSVDKRLSA VVSYPNADATSVSYDVDLNDVLPWVVRVGLSASTGLYK-ETNTILSWSTSKLKSNT
<b>TM-align</b>	>2PEL_A HFVGVVEFDTYSNSEYNDPPTDHVGDVNSVDSVKTPWNSVSGAVVKVTVIYDSSTKTL AVTNDNGDITIAQVVDLAKALPERVKFGFSASGSLGGRQIHLIRSWSTSTLIT >3CNA_A TIVAVELDTYPNTDIGDPSYPHIGIDIKSVRSKKTAKWNMQDGKVGTAHIIYNSVDKRLSA VVSYPNADATSVSYDVDLNDVLPWVVRVGLSASTG-LYKETNTILSWSTSKLKSNT
<b>plmCP with CP</b>	>2PEL_A AGHFVGVVEFDTYSNSEYNDPPTDHVGDVNSVDSVKTPWNSVSGAVVKVTVIYDSSTKTL SVAVTNDNGDITIAQVVDLAKALPERVKFGFSASGSLGGRQIHLIRSWSTSTLITTRRS AE TVSFNFSFSEGNPAINFQGDVTVLSNGNIQLTNL--N--KV- NSVGRVLYAMPVRIWSSATGNVASFLTSFEMKDIKDYDPADGIIFFIAPEDTQIPAGSIGGG TLGVSDTKG >3CNA_A ADTIVAVELDTYPNTDIGDPSYPHIGIDIKSVRSKKTAKWNMQDGKVGTAHIIYNSVDKRLSA VVSYPNADATSVSYDVDLNDVLPWVVRVGLSASTGLYK- ETNTILSWSTSKLKSNTSTHQTALHFMFNQFSKDQKDLILQGDATTGTDGNLELTVSSNG SPEGSSVGRALFYAPVHIWESS-AATVSFEATFAFLIKSP-DSHPADGIAFFISNIDSSIPSGST- GRLLGLFPDAN
<b>TM-align with CP</b>	>2PEL_A AGHFVGVVEFDTYSNSEYNDPPTDHVGDVNSVDSVKTPWNSVSGAVVKVTVIYDSSTKTL SVAVTNDNGDITIAQVVDLAKALPERVKFGFSASGSLGGRQIHLIRSWSTSTLITTR*---- AETVSFNFNFSFSEGNPAINFQGDVTVLSNGNIQLTNL----- NKVNSVGRVLYAMPVRIWSSATGNVASFLTSFEMKDIKDYDPADGIIFFIAPEDTQIPAGSI GGGTLGVSDTKG >3CNA_A ADTIVAVELDTYPNTDIGDPSYPHIGIDIKSVRSKKTAKWNMQDGKVGTAHIIYNSVDKRLSA VVSYPNADATSVSYDVDLNDVLPWVVRVGLSASTG-LYKETNTILSWSTSKLKSNT- STHQTALHFMFNQFSKDQKDLILQGDATTGTDGNLELTVSSNGSPEGSSVGRALFYAPV HIWE-SSAATVSFEATFAFLIKSPD-SHPADGIAFFISNIDSSIP-SGSTGRLLGLFPDAN

**Table 1. Sequences alignment results by plmCP and TM-align.**

We then utilize another protein pairwise comparison tool (CE, CE-CP, FATCAT(rigid) and FATCAT(flexible)) to superpose the proteins and compute the TM-score. However, these tools perform poorly with this particular pair of proteins, as indicated by the TM-score (Table 2).

	CE	CE-CP	FATCAT(rigid)	FATCAT(flexible)
TM-score	0.22	0.32	0.15	0.09

**Table 2. TM-score computed by other programs.**

Subsequently, we search for these two proteins using seqCP and CPSARST. The sequence-based method, seqCP, fails to detect any relationship between the proteins, regardless of whether the query is 2PEL or 3CNA. On the other hand, CPSARST is able to identify the relationship when the query is 2PEL, but it does not find any relation when searching with 3CNA.

#### **4. Conclusion and Discussions**

The plmCP model represents a significant advancement in the detection of circular permutations within protein sequences. By integrating deep representations from pre-trained protein language models with the alignment method and capabilities of CPSARST, in case study, the plmCP has demonstrated high efficiency and sensitivity in identifying circular permutations that traditional sequence search methods may miss.

One of the primary advantages of PLMCP is its ability to overcome the low sensitivity limitations of sequence search methods. The use of deep representations allows for the capture of remote homology information concealed behind sequences, which is particularly useful for detecting distant evolutionary relationships. Additionally, the method avoids numerous low similarity and meaningless alignments, thereby maintaining high efficiency and accuracy. While plmCP has shown promising results, there are areas for improvement. The current method may still face challenges in handling extremely low similarity sequences where even deep representations may not fully capture the necessary evolutionary signals.

Looking ahead, the future development of PLMCP will focus on exploring the mutual attention between query and target per-residue embeddings to provide better global and local sequence alignment results. This could further enhance the model's ability to detect circular permutations

with even greater precision. Additionally, as sequence data is more applicable and easier to obtain than structural data, PLMCP is expected to become a more convenient large-scale homologous protein circular permutation search method, expanding its utility in the field of computational biology.

In summary, plmCP stands as a robust tool for protein circular permutation search, with the potential to become a standard method for protein annotation and analysis. Its continued development will likely yield even more powerful capabilities for the scientific community. As research continues, we can expect to uncover more instances of circular permutations and harness them for innovative solutions in science and industry.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Microbial Engineering (SME) and was supported by Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation Committee (Grant No. ZR2016HB54).

## Reference

1. Bliven, S.; Prlić, A., Circular permutation in proteins. *PLoS computational biology* **2012**, *8* (3), e1002445.
2. Lo, W.-C.; Lee, C.-C.; Lee, C.-Y.; Lyu, P.-C., CPDB: a database of circular permutation in proteins. *Nucleic acids research* **2009**, *37* (suppl\_1), D328-D332.
3. Uliel, S.; Fliess, A.; Unger, R., Naturally occurring circular permutations in proteins. *Protein engineering* **2001**, *14* (8), 533-542.
4. Uliel, S.; Fliess, A.; Amir, A.; Unger, R., A simple algorithm for detecting circular permutations in proteins. *Bioinformatics* **1999**, *15* (11), 930-936.
5. Atkinson, J. T.; Jones, A. M.; Nanda, V.; Silberg, J. J., Protein tolerance to random circular permutation correlates with thermostability and local energetics of residue-residue contacts. *Protein Engineering, Design and Selection* **2019**, *32* (11), 489-501.
6. Heinemann, U.; Hahn, M., Circular permutation of polypeptide chains: implications for protein folding and stability. *Progress in biophysics and molecular biology* **1995**, *64* (2-3), 121-143.
7. Bliven, S. E.; Bourne, P. E.; Prlić, A., Detection of circular permutations within protein structures using CE-CP. *Bioinformatics* **2015**, *31* (8), 1316-1318.
8. Vesterstrøm, J.; Taylor, W. R., Flexible secondary structure based protein structure comparison applied to the detection of circular permutation. *Journal of Computational Biology* **2006**, *13* (1), 43-63.
9. Chen, C.-C.; Huang, Y.-W.; Huang, H.-C.; Lo, W.-C.; Lyu, P.-C., SeqCP: A sequence-based algorithm for searching circularly permuted proteins. *Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal* **2023**, *21*, 185-201.
10. Pandit, S. B.; Skolnick, J., Fr-TM-align: a new protein structural alignment method based on fragment alignments and the TM-score. *BMC bioinformatics* **2008**, *9* (1), 531.

11. Zhang, Y.; Skolnick, J., TM-align: a protein structure alignment algorithm based on the TM-score. *Nucleic acids research* **2005**, *33* (7), 2302-2309.
12. Lo, W.-C.; Lyu, P.-C., CPSARST: an efficient circular permutation search tool applied to the detection of novel protein structural relationships. *Genome biology* **2008**, *9*, 1-16.
13. Liu, W.; Wang, Z.; You, R.; Xie, C.; Wei, H.; Xiong, Y.; Yang, J.; Zhu, S., PLMSearch: Protein language model powers accurate and fast sequence search for remote homology. *Nature communications* **2024**, *15* (1), 2775.
14. Ertelt, M.; Meiler, J.; Schoeder, C. T., Combining Rosetta Sequence Design with Protein Language Model Predictions Using Evolutionary Scale Modeling (ESM) as Restraint. *ACS Synthetic Biology* **2024**.
15. Meier, J.; Rao, R.; Verkuil, R.; Liu, J.; Sercu, T.; Rives, A., Language models enable zero-shot prediction of the effects of mutations on protein function. *Advances in neural information processing systems* **2021**, *34*, 29287-29303.
16. Bank, P. D., Protein data bank. *Nature New Biol* **1971**, *233* (223), 10.1038.
17. Guda, C.; Lu, S.; Scheeff, E. D.; Bourne, P. E.; Shindyalov, I. N., CE-MC: a multiple protein structure alignment server. *Nucleic acids research* **2004**, *32* (suppl\_2), W100-W103.
18. Shindyalov, I. N.; Bourne, P. E., Protein structure alignment by incremental combinatorial extension (CE) of the optimal path. *Protein engineering* **1998**, *11* (9), 739-747.
19. Shindyalov, I. N.; Bourne, P. E., A database and tools for 3-D protein structure comparison and alignment using the Combinatorial Extension (CE) algorithm. *Nucleic acids research* **2001**, *29* (1), 228-229.
20. Ye, Y.; Godzik, A., FATCAT: a web server for flexible structure comparison and structure similarity searching. *Nucleic acids research* **2004**, *32* (suppl\_2), W582-W585.
21. Li, Z.; Jaroszewski, L.; Iyer, M.; Sedova, M.; Godzik, A., FATCAT 2.0: towards a better understanding of the structural diversity of proteins. *Nucleic acids research* **2020**, *48* (W1), W60-W64.
22. Taylor, P. A.; Saad, Z. S., FATCAT:(an efficient) functional and tractographic connectivity analysis toolbox. *Brain connectivity* **2013**, *3* (5), 523-535.
23. Xu, J.; Zhang, Y., How significant is a protein structure similarity with TM-score= 0.5? *Bioinformatics* **2010**, *26* (7), 889-895.