

Characterization of vector spaces by isomorphisms

Kenji Nakahira *

A vector space is commonly defined as a set that satisfies several conditions related to addition and scalar multiplication. However, for beginners, it may be hard to immediately grasp the essence of these conditions. There are probably a fair number of people who have wondered if these conditions could be substituted with ones that seem more straightforward. This paper presents a simple characterization of a finite-dimensional vector space, using the concept of an isomorphism, aimed at readers with a fundamental understanding of linear algebra. An intuitive way to understand an N -dimensional vector space would be to perceive it as a set (equipped with addition and scalar multiplication) that is isomorphic to the set of all column vectors with N components. The method proposed in this paper formalizes this intuitive understanding in a straightforward manner. This method is also readily extendable to infinite-dimensional vector spaces. While this perspective may seem trivial to those familiar with algebra, it may be useful for those who have just started learning linear algebra and are contemplating the above question. Moreover, this approach can be generalized to free semimodules over a semiring.

Contents

1	Characterization of finite-dimensional vector spaces	1
2	Equivalence with the standard definition	2
3	Extension to infinite-dimensional vector spaces and free semigroups over semirings	4

1 Characterization of finite-dimensional vector spaces

In this section, after making some preparations, we will present a definition of a finite-dimensional vector space, the equivalence of which to the standard definition will be demonstrated in the next section.

Let us arbitrarily choose a field \mathbf{F} . We will refer to the elements of \mathbf{F} as scalars. The reader not familiar with fields may think of \mathbf{F} as being the set of all real numbers \mathbb{R} .

Definition 1 (Set equipped with addition and scalar multiplication): Consider a set X . When an element, $x + y$, of X , called the **addition of x and y** , is determined for each $x, y \in X$ [or equivalently, a map $X \times X \ni (x, y) \mapsto x + y \in X$ exists], X is said to be **equipped with an addition**. Also, when an element, $a \cdot x$ (simply denoted by ax), of X , called the **a times of x** , is determined for each $a \in \mathbf{F}$ and $x \in X$ [or equivalently, a map $\mathbf{F} \times X \ni (a, x) \mapsto ax \in X$ exists], X is said to be **equipped with scalar multiplication**.

Definition 2 (Linear map): Consider two sets, X and Y , equipped with addition and scalar multiplication. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called **linear** (or **homomorphism**) if the following two conditions:

*nakahira@lab.tamagawa.ac.jp

- (a) Preservation of addition: $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ holds for any $x, y \in X$.
- (b) Preservation of scalar multiplication: $f(ax) = a \cdot f(x)$ holds for any $a \in \mathbf{F}$ and $x \in X$.

Note that in these equations, $x + y$ and ax on the left-hand sides are, respectively, the sum and scalar multiplication defined in X , while $f(x) + f(y)$ and $a \cdot f(x)$ on the right-hand sides are those defined in Y .

Definition 3 (Isomorphism): A linear map is called an **isomorphism** if it is invertible^{*a}. For two sets X and Y equipped with addition and scalar multiplication, if there exists an isomorphism from X to Y , then X and Y are said to be **isomorphic**, denoted as $X \cong Y$.

^{*a}For a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$, if there exists a map $g: Y \rightarrow X$ such that both the compositions $g \circ f$ and $f \circ g$ are identity maps, then g , denoted by f^{-1} , is called the inverse of f and f is said to be **invertible**.

Note: While these definitions differ from the standard definitions in linear algebra, they are equivalent to the standard definitions when both X and Y are vector spaces.

Let us consider the N -dimensional column vector space \mathbf{F}^N for a non-negative integer N , which is defined by

$$\mathbf{F}^N := \begin{cases} \{s := [s_1, s_2, \dots, s_N]^T \mid s_1, s_2, \dots, s_N \in \mathbf{F}\}, & N > 0, \\ [0], & N = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where T denotes transpose. \mathbf{F}^N is equipped with the following defined addition and scalar multiplication:

- Addition: $s + t := [s_1 + t_1, s_2 + t_2, \dots, s_N + t_N]^T$ for each $s, t \in \mathbf{F}^N$.
- Scholar multiplication: $as := [as_1, as_2, \dots, as_N]^T$ for each $a \in \mathbf{F}$ and $s \in \mathbf{F}^N$.

In this paper, we define a finite-dimensional vector space as follows.

Definition 4 (N -dimensional vector space): A set, \mathbf{V} , equipped with addition and scalar multiplication is called an **N -dimensional vector space** if there exists a non-negative integer N such that $\mathbf{V} \cong \mathbf{F}^N$.

Intuitively speaking, an N -dimensional vector space is defined as a set that follows the same operation rules for addition and scalar multiplication as \mathbf{F}^N . While this definition presupposes a specific set, \mathbf{F}^N , it does not explicitly state several conditions that are present in the standard definition. This definition seems to be more straightforward in the sense that it is based on a linear map, a concept often regarded as central in linear algebra.

Note: From one perspective, several conditions in the standard definition could be seen as explicitly detailing the requirements for having the same operational rules as \mathbf{F}^N with respect to addition and scalar multiplication. The above definition could be interpreted as a more direct expression of having the same operational rules as \mathbf{F}^N .

2 Equivalence with the standard definition

In this section, we demonstrate our definition is equivalent to the standard definition of a finite-dimensional vector space. To distinguish these two difinitions, we will refer to a vector space defined in the following standard way as the standard notion of a vector space.

Definition 5 (Standard notion of vector space): A set, \mathbf{V} , equipped with addition and scalar multiplication is called the **standard notion of a vector space** if \mathbf{V} contains an element $\mathbf{0}$ and satisfies the following conditions for each $a, b \in \mathbf{F}$ and $x, y, z \in \mathbf{V}$:

- | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| (1) $x + \mathbf{0} = x$, | (2) $x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$, |
| (3) $x + y = y + x$, | (4) $1x = x$, |
| (5) $a(bx) = (ab)x$, | (6) $a(x + y) = ax + ay$, |
| (7) $(a + b)x = ax + bx$, | (8) $0x = \mathbf{0} = a\mathbf{0}$. |

Note1: Here, we took into account Conditions (1)–(8) with the intention of later extending this definition. Instead of Condition (8), the following condition is often assumed [1]:

$$(9) -x \in \mathbf{V} \text{ exists such that } x + (-x) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Note that when Conditions (2)–(7) hold, $0x = \mathbf{0}$ of Condition (8) is equivalent to Conditions (1) and (9)^{‡1}, and if these conditions hold, then $a\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$ holds^{‡2}. Therefore, Condition (1) and $a\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$ of Condition (8) is redundant.

Note2: Other sets of conditions can be considered. For example, we can omit Condition (3) at the cost of replacing Condition (1) with $x + \mathbf{0} = x = \mathbf{0} + x$ ^{‡3}. Thus, in the standard notion, we can say that there is a certain degree of flexibility in choosing the set of conditions.

We call \mathbf{V} the **standard notion of an N -dimensional vector space** if there exists a set, Y , of N elements, called a **basis** of \mathbf{V} , such that each $x \in \mathbf{V}$ can be uniquely expressed in the form of $x = \sum_{y \in Y} x_y \cdot y$ with $x_y \in \mathbf{F}$.

In what follows, we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 1: A set equipped with addition and scalar multiplication is an N -dimensional vector space if and only if it is the standard notion of an N -dimensional vector space.

Proof. First, we show that if \mathbf{V} is the standard notion of an N -dimensional vector space, then it is an N -dimensional vector space. Let us choose a basis $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^N$ of \mathbf{V} ; then, each element of \mathbf{V} can be uniquely expressed in the form $\sum_{n=1}^N a_n u_n$ with $a_n \in \mathbf{F}$. It is easily seen that the map $\mathbf{V} \ni \sum_{n=1}^N a_n u_n \mapsto [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N]^T \in \mathbf{F}^N$ is an isomorphism, whose inverse is $\mathbf{F}^N \ni [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N]^T \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^N a_n u_n \in \mathbf{V}$. Therefore, \mathbf{V} is an N -dimensional vector space.

Next, we show that if \mathbf{V} is an N -dimensional vector space, then it is the standard notion of an N -dimensional vector space. Let $\mathbf{0}' := [0, 0, \dots, 0]^T \in \mathbf{F}^N$. By the definition of a vector space, there exists an isomorphism $\psi: \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}^N$. For any $x, y, z \in \mathbf{V}$, $\psi(x)$, $\psi(y)$, $\psi(z) \in \mathbf{F}^N$ satisfy the following conditions, which correspond to Conditions (1)–(8), for any $a, b \in \mathbf{F}$.

(1') $\psi(x) + \mathbf{0}' = \psi(x)$,	(2') $\psi(x) + [\psi(y) + \psi(z)] = [\psi(x) + \psi(y)] + \psi(z)$,
(3') $\psi(x) + \psi(y) = \psi(y) + \psi(x)$,	(4') $1 \cdot \psi(x) = \psi(x)$,
(5') $a[b \cdot \psi(x)] = (ab)\psi(x)$,	(6') $a[\psi(x) + \psi(y)] = a \cdot \psi(x) + a \cdot \psi(y)$,
(7') $(a + b) \cdot \psi(x) = a \cdot \psi(x) + b \cdot \psi(x)$,	(8') $0 \cdot \psi(x) = \mathbf{0}' = a\mathbf{0}'$.

Expressing both sides of each of these equations in the form of $\psi(\dots)$ and then applying ψ^{-1} yields Conditions (1)–(8). For example, the left-hand side of Condition (1') is expressed by $\psi(x) + \psi(\mathbf{0}) = \psi(x + \mathbf{0})$, where $\mathbf{0} := \psi^{-1}(\mathbf{0}') \in \mathbf{V}$, so applying ψ^{-1} gives $x + \mathbf{0}$. Also, applying ψ^{-1} to the right-hand side gives x , so we have $x + \mathbf{0} = x$, i.e., Condition (1). Similarly, the left-hand side of Condition (2') is $\psi(x) + \psi(y + z) = \psi[x + (y + z)]$, and the right-hand side is $\psi(x + y) + \psi(z) = \psi[(x + y) + z]$, so applying ψ^{-1} to both sides yields $x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$, i.e., Condition (2). Conditions (3)–(8) can be obtained in the same way. Therefore, \mathbf{V} is the standard notion of an N -dimensional vector space. \square

As can be seen from this proof, if we define a vector space as in this paper, then Conditions (1)–(8) of the standard definition are derived by the operation rules for addition and scalar multiplication in \mathbf{F}^N , i.e., Conditions (1')–(8'), via the isomorphism ψ and its inverse.

It is worth mentioning about our definition. In general, an operation called addition is required to satisfy some basic conditions such as Conditions (1)–(3) of Definition 5. In contrast, in Definition 1, no conditions are imposed on the addition. The same applies to scalar multiplication, and

^{‡1}Proof: If $0x = \mathbf{0}$ holds, then from $x + \mathbf{0} = x + 0x = 1x + 0x = 1x = x$, Condition (1) holds, and from $x + (-1)x = 1x + (-1)x = 0x = \mathbf{0}$, Condition (9) holds. Conversely, if Conditions (1) and (9) hold, then $0x = 0x + \mathbf{0} = 0x + 0x + (-0)x = 0x + (-0)x = \mathbf{0}$ holds.

^{‡2}Proof: $a\mathbf{0} = a\mathbf{0} + \mathbf{0} = a\mathbf{0} + a\mathbf{0} + (-a\mathbf{0}) = a(\mathbf{0} + \mathbf{0}) + (-a\mathbf{0}) = a\mathbf{0} + (-a\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$.

^{‡3}Indeed, $x + x + y + y = (1 + 1)x + (1 + 1)y = (1 + 1)(x + y) = x + y + x + y$ holds, and thus adding $(-1)x$ from the left and $(-1)y$ from the right to both sides yields Condition (3).

only the equipment of these two operations is required. Proposition 1 can be interpreted as claiming that just by requiring a set equipped with addition and scalar multiplication to be isomorphic to \mathbf{F}^N as in Definition 4, Conditions (1)–(8) inherently hold.

3 Extension to infinite-dimensional vector spaces and free semigroups over semirings

In this section, we discuss how the ideas presented in the previous sections can be extended to infinite-dimensional vector spaces and free semigroups over semirings.

3.1 Extension to infinite-dimensional vector spaces

It is easy to extend the above ideas to infinite-dimensional vector spaces.

Definition 6 (\mathbf{F}^J): For a set J , we denote by \mathbf{F}^J (also written as $\bigoplus_J \mathbf{F}$) the set of maps $s: J \ni j \mapsto s_j \in \mathbf{F}$ such that the number of $j \in J$ satisfying $s_j \neq 0$ is finite, where \mathbf{F}^J is assumed to have addition and scalar multiplication defined as follows:

- Addition: for each $s, t \in \mathbf{F}^J$, let $s + t$ be the map $J \ni j \mapsto s_j + t_j \in \mathbf{F}$. Note that $s + t$ is in \mathbf{F}^J .
- Scholar multiplication: for each $a \in \mathbf{F}$ and $s \in \mathbf{F}^J$, let as be the map $J \ni j \mapsto a \cdot s_j \in \mathbf{F}$. Note that as is in \mathbf{F}^J .

Definition 7 (Vector space): A set, \mathbf{V} , equipped with addition and scalar multiplication is called a **vector space** if there exists a set J such that $\mathbf{V} \cong \mathbf{F}^J$.

Example 1: For a non-negative integer N , let $\tilde{N} := \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$; then, $\mathbf{F}^N \cong \mathbf{F}^{\tilde{N}}$ holds. Indeed, the map that maps each $[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_N]^T \in \mathbf{F}^N$ to the map $\tilde{N} \ni n \mapsto s_n \in \mathbf{F}$ is an isomorphism from \mathbf{F}^N to $\mathbf{F}^{\tilde{N}}$. Thus, any N -dimensional vector space defined in Definition 4 is a vector space.

Proposition 2: A set equipped with addition and scalar multiplication is a vector space if and only if it is the standard notion of a vector space.

Proof. If \mathbf{V} is a vector space, it can be shown to be the standard notion of a vector space in the same way as the proof of Proposition 1. The converse can be shown as follows. Arbitrarily choose a basis Y of \mathbf{V} ^{‡4}. Each $x \in \mathbf{V}$ can be uniquely expressed in the form $x = \sum_{y \in Y} x_y \cdot y \in \mathbf{V}$ with $x_y \in \mathbf{F}$, and we consider a map ψ from \mathbf{V} to \mathbf{F}^Y that maps x to the map $Y \ni y \mapsto x_y \in \mathbf{F}$. It is immediately seen that ψ is an isomorphism and its inverse ψ^{-1} is $\mathbf{F}^Y \ni s \mapsto \sum_{y \in Y} s_y \cdot y \in \mathbf{V}$. Therefore, since $\mathbf{V} \cong \mathbf{F}^Y$ holds, \mathbf{V} is a vector space. \square

3.2 Extension to free semigroups over semirings

The above discussion can be extended in the same way to free semimodules over a semiring^{‡5} R . Considering the simplicity of the extension, we will limit our discussion to the main points.

^{‡4}A subset, Y , of \mathbf{V} is called a **basis** of \mathbf{V} if each $x \in \mathbf{V}$ can be uniquely expressed in the form of $x = \sum_{y \in Y} x_y \cdot y$, where each x_y is in \mathbf{F} and the number of $y \in Y$ satisfying $x_y \neq 0$ is finite. Under the axiom of choice, it is known that every vector space has a basis.

^{‡5}We call R a **semiring** if it contains two elements 0 and 1 (which may coincide) and the following conditions hold for each $a, b, c \in R$:

Let us arbitrarily choose a semiring R and refer to its elements as scalars. By replacing \mathbf{F} with R in Definitions 1, 2, and 3, we can define sets equipped with addition and scalar multiplication, linear maps, and isomorphisms.

The standard notion of a free R -semimodule is defined as follows:

Definition 8 (Standard notion of free R -semimodule): Let R be a semiring with elements referred to as scalars. A set, \mathbf{M} , equipped with addition and scalar multiplication is called the **standard notion of an R -semimodule** (or a left R -semimodule) if \mathbf{M} contains an element $\mathbf{0}$ and satisfies the following conditions for each $a, b \in R$ and $x, y, z \in \mathbf{M}$:

- | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| (1) $x + \mathbf{0} = x$, | (2) $x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$, |
| (3) $x + y = y + x$, | (4) $1x = x$, |
| (5) $a(bx) = (ab)x$, | (6) $a(x + y) = ax + ay$, |
| (7) $(a + b)x = ax + bx$, | (8) $0x = \mathbf{0} = a\mathbf{0}$. |

Moreover, \mathbf{M} is called the **standard notion of a free R -semimodule** if there exists a subset, Y , of \mathbf{M} such that each $x \in \mathbf{M}$ can be uniquely expressed in the form $x = \sum_{y \in Y} x_y \cdot y$, where each x_y is in R and the number of $y \in Y$ satisfying $x_y \neq 0$ is finite.

Note that a free R -semimodule is equivalent to a free R -module if R is a ring, and to a vector space over R if R is a field.

Example 2: Let N be a positive integer and $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be the set of all non-negative real numbers, which is a semiring. Consider the set

$$\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^N := \{[r_1, r_2, \dots, r_N]^T \mid r_1, r_2, \dots, r_N \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\},$$

which replaces \mathbf{F} in the set \mathbf{F}^N of Eq. (1) with $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, where addition and scalar multiplication are defined as component-wise addition and scalar multiplication in the same manner as \mathbf{F}^N . $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^N$ is the standard notion of a free $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ -semimodule. Note that since $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is not a ring, $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^N$ is not a module over a ring.

Example 3: Let N be a positive integer and \mathbb{Z} be the set of all integers, which is a ring. Consider the set

$$\mathbb{Z}^N := \{[m_1, m_2, \dots, m_N]^T \mid m_1, m_2, \dots, m_N \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

which replaces \mathbf{F} in the set \mathbf{F}^N of Eq. (1) with \mathbb{Z} , where addition and scalar multiplication are defined as component-wise addition and scalar multiplication in the same manner as \mathbf{F}^N . \mathbb{Z}^N is the standard notion of a free \mathbb{Z} -module. Note that since \mathbb{Z} is not a field, \mathbb{Z}^N is not a vector space.

As a generalization of \mathbf{F}^J in Definition 6, we can define R^J as follows:

Definition 9 (R^J): Let R be a semiring with elements referred to as scalars. For a set J , we denote by R^J the set of maps $s: J \ni j \mapsto s_j \in R$ such that the number of $j \in J$ satisfying $s_j \neq 0$ is finite, where R^J is assumed to have addition and scalar multiplication defined as follows:

- Addition: for each $s, t \in R^J$, let $s + t$ be the map $J \ni j \mapsto s_j + t_j \in R$. Note that $s + t$ is in R^J .
- Scholar multiplication: for each $a \in R$ and $s \in R^J$, let as be the map $J \ni j \mapsto a \cdot s_j \in R$. Note that as is in R^J .

- | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| (1) $a + 0 = a$, | (2) $a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c$, |
| (3) $a + b = b + a$, | (4) $1a = a = a1$, |
| (5) $a(bc) = (ab)c$, | (6) $a(b + c) = ab + ac$, |
| (7) $(a + b)c = ac + bc$, | (8) $0a = 0 = a0$. |

Furthermore, R is called a **ring** (with unity) if, for each $a \in R$, $-a \in R$ exists such that $a + (-a) = 0$.

A free R -semimodule can be defined as follows:

Definition 10 (Free R -semimodule): Let R be a semiring with elements referred to as scalars. A set, \mathbf{M} , equipped with addition and scalar multiplication is called a **free R -semimodule** if there exists a set J such that $\mathbf{V} \cong R^J$.

This definition is equivalent to the standard definition.

Proposition 3: Let R be a semiring. A set equipped with addition and scalar multiplication is a free R -semimodule if and only if it is the standard notion of a free R -semimodule.

The proof follows the same method as the proof of Proposition 2 and thus is omitted.

Note: The proposed approach can be applied even in more general cases than free R -semimodules (for example, when R is not necessarily a semiring), or when equipped with operations other than addition and scalar multiplication.

Acknowledgment

I am grateful to K. Kato for insightful discussions.

References

- [1] G. E. Shilov, *Linear algebra*. Dover Publications, New York, 1977.