

ALGEBRAIC INTERSECTION FOR HYPERBOLIC SURFACES

MANMAN JIANG AND HUIPING PAN

ABSTRACT. We show that the algebraic intersection form of hyperbolic surfaces of genus g has a minimum in the moduli space and that the minimum grows in the order $(\log g)^{-2}$ in terms of the genus. We also describe the asymptotic behavior of the algebraic intersection form in the moduli space as the homologically systolic length goes to zero.

MSC classification: 30F60, 32G15, 30F45

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Main results.** Given a Riemannian surface X , the *algebraic intersection form* $K(X)$ is defined to be:

$$(1) \quad K(X) = \sup_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{|\text{Int}(\alpha, \beta)|}{\ell_X(\alpha)\ell_X(\beta)},$$

where $\text{Int}(\cdot, \cdot)$ represents the *algebraic intersection number*, where α and β range over all oriented simple closed geodesics under the Riemannian metric X , and where $\ell_X(\cdot)$ represents the length of geodesics with respect to X . The algebraic intersection form $K(X)$ and its volume $\text{Vol}(X)$ of a Riemannian surface X satisfies $K(X)\text{Vol}(X) \geq 1$ with equality holds if and only if X is a flat torus [MM14].

For hyperbolic surfaces, Massart and Muetzel [MM14] proved that $K(X)$ is unbounded and nonproper in the moduli space \mathcal{M}_g of hyperbolic surfaces of genus $g \geq 2$. In particular, $K(X)$ does not have a maximum in \mathcal{M}_g . Regarding the minimum of $K(X)$, they asked:

Question 1.1 ([MM14], Question 1.7). Does $K(X)$ have a minimum in the moduli space \mathcal{M}_g ? If so, which surface realizes the minimum?

In this paper, we answer the first part of the above question affirmatively:

Theorem 1.2. *For $g \geq 2$, the algebraic intersection form $K(X)$ attains its minimum in the moduli space \mathcal{M}_g .*

Date: April 25, 2024.

Next, we estimate the minimum in terms of the genus:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $g \geq 2$. There exist two universal constants C_1, C_2 such that*

$$\frac{C_1}{(\log g)^2} \leq \min_{X \in \mathcal{M}_g} K(X) \leq \frac{C_2}{(\log g)^2}.$$

Remark 1.4. In [Bou23b, Section 1], Boulanger also discussed the minimum, or more precisely the infimum, and sketched a proof of the upper bound. The upper bound also follows directly from [Tor23, Proposition 1.2]. Our main contribution here is the lower bound.

This leads to the question:

Question 1.5. Does the limit $\lim_{g \rightarrow \infty} (\log g)^2 \min_{X \in \mathcal{M}_g} K(X)$ exist as $g \rightarrow \infty$?

Another interesting question is to study the asymptotic behavior in the moduli space. Massart and Muetzel [MM14] observed that it is possible to pinch a homologically trivial simple closed geodesic while keeping the algebraic intersection form bounded from above. On the other hand, pinching a homologically nontrivial simple closed geodesic would always make the algebraic intersection form diverge to infinity [MM14]:

Theorem 1.6 ([MM14], Theorem 1.6). *There exist constants A and B depending only on the genus g such that for any $X \in \mathcal{M}_g$ with the homologically systolic length $\text{sys}_h(X)$ sufficiently small, we have*

$$\frac{A}{\text{sys}_h(X) \cdot |\log \text{sys}_h(X)|} \leq K(X) \leq \frac{B}{\text{sys}_h(X) \cdot |\log \text{sys}_h(X)|}.$$

Here the *homologically systolic length* $\text{sys}_h(X)$ is the length of any shortest homologically nontrivial simple closed geodesic on X . Based on Theorem 1.6, it is natural to wonder if it is possible to describe the asymptotic behavior in terms of homologically systolic length. However, this will not work. For any $g \geq 2$ and for any $1 \leq c \leq 2$, we construct a sequence of hyperbolic surfaces $\{X_n\}$ in \mathcal{M}_g such that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$K(X_n) \sim \frac{1}{2c \cdot \text{sys}_h(X_n) |\log \text{sys}_h(X_n)|}.$$

Here $A \sim B$ means $\lim A/B = 1$. See Section 6.2 for the construction. This example demonstrates that the asymptotic behavior of the algebraic intersection form cannot be described as a single function of the homologically systolic length. Instead, all short curves should be taken into consideration.

Theorem 1.7. *For $g \geq 2$ and $X \in \mathcal{M}_g$, let Γ be the set of nonseparating simple closed geodesics of length at most 1. For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, let α_γ be one of the shortest nonseparating simple closed geodesics that intersect γ exactly once. Then as $\text{sys}_h(X) \rightarrow 0$,*

$$K(X) \sim \max_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{1}{\ell_X(\gamma)\ell_X(\alpha_\gamma)}.$$

Here the constant 1 in Theorem 1.7 can be replaced by any positive constant. The set Γ may contain curves that are disjoint from any homological systole and cannot be replaced by the set of curves intersecting homological systoles (see Example 2 in Section 6.2).

1.2. Background and motivation. Motivated by the question of how much can two curves of a given length intersect, Massart and Muetzel [MM14] initiated the study of *algebraic intersection form* of surfaces with Riemannian metrics. The algebraic intersection form plays an important role in the comparison between the stable norm and the Hodge norm (L^2 -norm) [MM14, Theorem 1.1]. In the same paper, Massart and Muetzel also estimated the algebraic intersection form in terms of the homologically systolic length and the diameter.

Recently, the algebraic intersection form of translation surfaces has attracted much attention. Cheboui, Kessi, and Massart [CKM21a, CKM21b] considered the scaling invariant quantity $\text{KVol}(X) := K(X)\text{Vol}(X)$ in the stratum $\mathcal{H}(2)$ of translation surfaces of genus two with a single cone point. They computed the exact values of KVol for some family of square-tiled surfaces, proved that $\inf_{X \in \mathcal{H}(2)} \text{KVol}(X) \leq 2$ and constructed a sequence of square-tiled surfaces X_n in $\mathcal{H}(2)$ such that $\text{KVol}(X_n) \rightarrow 2$ with 2 being the conjectural infimum for KVol over $\mathcal{H}(2)$. Boulanger [Bou23b] generalized this picture to the minimal stratum $\mathcal{H}(2g-2)$ of genus g with a single cone point, proving that the infimum of KVol over each component of $\mathcal{H}(2g-2)$ is at most g and constructing surfaces with KVol converging to g with g being the conjectural infimum. Beyond square-tiled surfaces, Boulanger, Lanner and Massart computed exact values of KVol for some non-arithmetic Veech surfaces, the regular double n -gon translation surfaces for $n \geq 5$ odd [BLM22] and regular n -gon translation surfaces for $n \geq 8$ even [Bou23a].

Using *geometric* intersection number $i(\cdot, \cdot)$, Torkaman [Tor23] initiated the study of the *intersection strength* $I(X)$, defined by

$$I(X) = \sup_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{i(\alpha, \beta)}{\ell_X(\alpha)\ell_X(\beta)}$$

where the supremum ranges over all *closed* geodesics on X . She proved that $I(X)$ is a proper function on the moduli space \mathcal{M}_g , described the asymptotic behavior in terms of the systolic length $\text{sys}(X)$ as X approaches the boundary of \mathcal{M}_g ,

$$I(X) \sim \frac{1}{2 \cdot \text{sys}(X) |\log \text{sys}(X)|},$$

and showed that the minimum of $I(X)$ in the moduli space \mathcal{M}_g also grows in the order $(\log g)^{-2}$ (by showing that every hyperbolic surface of genus g has a figure eight closed geodesic of length at most $c \log g$ for some universal constant c). From the definition of $K(X)$ and $I(X)$, we see that $K(X) \leq I(X)$, and hence $\min_{X \in \mathcal{M}_g} K(X) \leq \min_{X \in \mathcal{M}_g} I(X)$. It is natural to ask whether they are equal:

Question 1.8. Are $\min_{X \in \mathcal{M}_g} K(X)$ and $\min_{X \in \mathcal{M}_g} I(X)$ equal to each other?

Another closely related quantity is the *systolic volume/area* $\text{SysVol}(X)$ defined by:

$$\text{SysVol}(X) := \frac{\text{Vol}(X)}{\text{sys}(X)^2},$$

where $\text{sys}(X)$ is the systolic length of X , that is, the length of any homotopically nontrivial shortest simple closed geodesic on X . For recent results about this quantity, we refer to [KS05, KS06, LS24, KS24].

Sketch of the proof. The possible obstruction for the existence of a minimum of the algebraic intersection form in the moduli space is the existence of surfaces with short and homologically trivial simple closed geodesics. We begin with an observation that, for the surfaces mentioned above, the algebraic intersection form is the maximum among that of the complementary components of short curves (Lemma 4.2). We then apply the *strip deformation* to lengthen those short curves and simple closed geodesics disjoint from them (Lemma 2.1), pushing the original surface into a compact subset of the moduli space and decreasing the algebraic intersection form. This ensures the existence of a minimum.

As mentioned earlier, the upper bound of Theorem 1.3 is already known in literature [Bou23b, Tor23]. For the lower bound, the estimate relies crucially on the existence of short homological bases [BPS12] if the homological systole of the underlying surface is bounded from below. This allows us to reduce the problem to considering surfaces with *short but not too short* homological systoles. We then apply the

Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates to lengthen those short curves to some uniform constant while keeping other simple closed geodesics from being too contracted (Lemma 3.2), yielding the desired lower bound.

For the asymptotic behavior in the moduli space, the key is to find good representatives within the homology classes of simple closed geodesics: crossing each short curve at most once (Lemma 6.1) and having small twists around each short curve (Lemma 6.2).

Acknowledgement. The first author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China NSFC 12371076. The Second author is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China NSFC 12371073 and Guangzhou Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation 2024A04J3636.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Strip deformations	5
3. Thick-thin decomposition and Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates	7
4. Existence of minimum in moduli space	16
5. Estimate of minimal intersection form	20
6. Asymptotic behavior in moduli space	23
References	32

2. STRIP DEFORMATIONS

2.1. Teichmüller space. Let S be a closed orientable surface of genus $g \geq 2$. The Teichmüller space \mathcal{T}_g of S is the equivalence classes of hyperbolic metrics on S , where two metrics are said to be *equivalent* if there exists an isometry between them which is homotopic to the identity map. The Teichmüller space is topologically homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^{6g-6} . The moduli space \mathcal{M}_g is also defined as the equivalence classes of hyperbolic metrics on S , where two metrics are said to be *equivalent* if there exists an isometry between them. The moduli space is the quotient space of the Teichmüller space under the mapping class group.

Given a hyperbolic surface X and a simple closed curve α , we denote by $\ell_X(\alpha)$ the length of the unique geodesic homotopic to α . The shortest homotopically nontrivial closed geodesics on X are called *systoles* of X , and the shortest homologically nontrivial closed geodesics are called *homological systoles* of X . We denote by $\text{sys}(X)$ and $\text{sys}_h(X)$ respectively the lengths of systoles and homologically systoles of X .

The *geometric intersection number* $i(\alpha, \beta)$ of two closed curves α and β on S is defined to be the minimum of the cardinality of $\alpha' \cap \beta'$ where α' and β' range over all curves homotopic to α and β , respectively.

Given a volume form ω on S , the *algebraic intersection number* $\text{Int}(\alpha, \beta)$ of two differentiable oriented closed curves $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow S$ and $\beta : [0, 1] \rightarrow S$ is defined as follows:

$$\text{Int}(\alpha, \beta) := \sum \frac{\omega(\dot{\alpha}(s), \dot{\beta}(t))}{|\omega(\dot{\alpha}(s), \dot{\beta}(t))|},$$

where $\dot{\alpha}$ and $\dot{\beta}$ represent the tangent vector of α and β respectively and where the sum ranges over all pairs (s, t) such that $\alpha(s) = \beta(t)$.

For more information about hyperbolic surfaces, Teichmüller space, and moduli space, we refer to [IT92, FM12, Bus10].

2.2. Strip deformations. In this section, we introduce the *strip deformations*, first introduced by Thurston ([Thu22, proof of Lemma 3.4], see also [DGK16, Section 1.2] and [PT10])

A *strip* of width $w \geq 0$ is a region on \mathbb{H}^2 bounded by two complete geodesic lines whose distance is exactly w . The two closest points on distinct components of the strip are called the *feet* of the strip.

Let Y be a complete hyperbolic surface of finite genus and infinite area whose convex hull $\text{CH}(Y)$ is a boarded hyperbolic surface. Let $\underline{\eta} = \{\eta_i\}$ be a collection of pairwise disjoint simple, proper geodesic arcs. Let $\underline{P} = \{P_i\}$ be a collection of marked points with $P_i \in \eta_i$. Let $\underline{w} = \{w_i\}$ be a collection of non-negative real numbers. The *strip deformation* of Y determined by the triple $(\underline{\eta}, \underline{P}, \underline{w})$ is a new complete hyperbolic surface Y' obtained by cutting along each η_i and gluing in a strip of width w_i so that the two points on the boundary of $Y \setminus \eta_i$ corresponding to the marked point $P_i \in \eta_i$ are identified with the feet of the strip.

The length of every closed geodesic on Y' is bigger than the corresponding length on Y , and strictly bigger if the geodesic in consideration intersects η (see [DGK16, Lemma 2.2] for instance). Furthermore, if the weight $w_i \in \underline{w}$ goes to infinity, then the length of every closed geodesic intersecting the arc η_i also goes to infinity.

Recall that every boarded hyperbolic surface is the convex hull of a unique complete hyperbolic surface. Whenever we say a strip deformation of a boarded hyperbolic surface Y_0 , we mean the convex hull of the corresponding strip deformation of the complete hyperbolic surface which contains Y_0 as its convex hull.

In the remainder of the paper, we make the following convention.

Convention 1. We will also call a homologically trivial simple closed geodesic a *separating* simple closed geodesic, and call a homologically nontrivial simple closed geodesic a *nonseparating* simple closed geodesic.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $X \in \mathcal{T}_g$. Let $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k\}$ be a collection of disjoint simple closed geodesics. Let δ be a constant such that $\delta \geq \ell_X(\gamma_i)$. Then there exists a hyperbolic surface $X' \in \mathcal{T}_g$ such that*

- $\ell_{X'}(\gamma_i) = \delta$ for each i , and
- $\ell_{X'}(\alpha) \geq \ell_X(\alpha)$ for every simple closed geodesic α on $X \setminus (\gamma_1 \cup \dots \cup \gamma_k)$.

Proof. Let X_1, \dots, X_m be the components of $X \setminus (\gamma_1 \cup \dots \cup \gamma_k)$. Applying strip deformations to each X_i , we see that there exists a boarded hyperbolic surface X'_i homeomorphic to X_i such that

- the length of each geodesic boundary component of X_i is exactly δ ,
- the length of every simple closed geodesic α in the interior of X_i satisfies: $\ell_{X'_i}(\alpha) \geq \ell_{X_i}(\alpha)$.

Let X' be a hyperbolic surface obtained by gluing X'_1, X'_2, \dots, X'_m along pairs of geodesic boundary components corresponding to γ_i for all i . (The gluing process is not unique. The resulting surfaces differ by a twist about $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k\}$.) Then $\ell_{X'}(\alpha) \geq \ell_X(\alpha)$ for every simple closed geodesic α on $X \setminus (\gamma_1 \cup \dots \cup \gamma_k)$. \square

Remark 2.2. There are other approaches to lengthen simple closed geodesics on boarded hyperbolic surfaces: using *Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates* (see [Par05] for instance, as well as the following section), taking the *Nielsen kernel* of a boarded surface [Ber76], or more generally, using *pruning* constructions [Dum06] (the inverse construction of grafting). One advantage of strip deformation is that we could *uniformly* lengthen all closed geodesics if the set $\underline{\alpha}$ of arcs cuts the underlying hyperbolic surface into topological disks.

3. THICK-THIN DECOMPOSITION AND FENCHEL-NIELSEN COORDINATES

The goal of this section is to use the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates to lengthen short curves to some uniform constant while keeping other simple closed geodesics from being too contracted (Lemma 3.2). This will be used later for estimating the lower bound of the minimum of the algebraic intersection form in the moduli space.

3.1. Collar neighbourhoods and thick-thin decomposition of hyperbolic surfaces. Given a hyperbolic surface $X \in \mathcal{T}_g$ and a simple closed geodesic γ , let $\mathcal{C}(\gamma)$ be the collar neighborhood of γ defined by:

$$\mathcal{C}(\gamma) := \{p \in X : \text{dist}(p, \gamma) \leq \text{arcsinh} \frac{1}{\sinh(\ell_X(\gamma_i)/2)}\}.$$

Each circle inside $\mathcal{C}(\gamma)$ of distance ρ from γ has length

$$\ell_X(\gamma) \cosh \rho \leq \ell_X(\gamma) \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{\sinh^2 \ell_X(\gamma)/2}} \leq 2\sqrt{1 + \sinh^2(\ell_X(\gamma)/2)}.$$

In particular, if $\ell_X(\gamma) \leq 2\text{arcsinh } 1$, then each of the boundary components of $\mathcal{C}(\gamma)$ has length at most $2\sqrt{2}$. Note that $\mathcal{C}(\gamma)$ is also foliated by geodesic arcs, each of which connects one boundary component to the other and intersects γ perpendicularly. We call any such geodesic arc a *perpendicular* of $\mathcal{C}(\gamma)$. Let ξ be a geodesic arc from one boundary component of $\mathcal{C}(\gamma)$ to the other component. Suppose that ξ crosses some perpendicular m times. Then it crosses any other perpendicular at most $m + 1$ times. By an elementary calculation ([Bus10, Formula Glossary 2.2.2 (i)]), we see that, if $\ell_X(\gamma) \leq 2\text{arcsinh } 1$, then

$$(2) \quad |\ell_X(\xi) - (2|\log \ell_X(\gamma)| + m\ell_X(\gamma))| \leq C$$

for some universal constant C .

Hyperbolic surfaces with short curves admit *thick-thin decompositions*. Let $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k\}$ be the set of simple closed geodesics on X of length at most $2\text{arcsinh } 1$. Then (i) $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$ are pairwise disjoint, and (ii) any point outside $\cup_i \mathcal{C}(\gamma_i)$ has injective radius at least $\text{arcsinh } 1 \approx 0.88$ [Bus10, Theorem 4.1.6]. The union $\cup_i \mathcal{C}(\gamma_i)$ is called the *thin* part of X and its complementary is called the *thick* part of X .

We end up this subsection with the following convention:

Convention 2. Fixed a constant $0 < \kappa_1 < \text{arcsinh } 1 \approx 0.88$ once for all such that for any $0 < x < \kappa_1$,

$$(3) \quad \text{arcsinh} \frac{1}{\sinh(x/2)} \geq |\log x| \geq \sqrt{2}.$$

We may choose $\kappa_1 = e^{-\sqrt{2}} \approx 0.24$.

3.2. Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates. In this subsection, we introduce the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates on the Teichmüller space \mathcal{T}_g . Let Γ be a *pants decomposition* of S_g whose complementary $S \setminus \Gamma$ is a union of pairs of pants.




FIGURE 1. Twists at γ with respect to two pairs of pants R' and R'' .

For $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the twist of $X \in \mathcal{T}_g$ with respect to Γ at γ is defined in the following way. Let R' and R'' be the pairs of pants in $S \setminus \Gamma$, each of which contains γ as a boundary component. Let γ' (resp. γ'') be a geodesic boundary component of R' (resp. R'') different from γ . Let η' be the unique simple geodesic arc on R' connecting γ' and γ perpendicularly. Let η'' be similarly defined. Choose an orientation of γ such that R' sits to the left of γ . Let $d_\gamma(X) \in [-\ell_X(\gamma)/2, \ell_X(\gamma)/2)$ be the oriented distance from the foot $\eta' \cap \gamma$ to the foot $\eta'' \cap \gamma$ along γ with respect to prescribed orientation (see Figure 1). (In fact, the signed distance is independent of the choice of the orientation of γ . Instead, it depends on the orientation of the underlying surface.) Let


$$\widehat{t}_\gamma(X) := \frac{d_\gamma(X)}{\ell_X(\gamma)} \in \left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

The function \widehat{t}_γ defines a function from \mathcal{T}_g to the unit circle \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} . Accordingly, the function $\widehat{t}_\Gamma := (\widehat{t}_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ defines a map from the Teichmüller space to the torus $\mathbb{T}^{3g-3} := \mathbb{R}^{3g-3}/\mathbb{Z}^{3g-3}$. Let $X_0 \in \mathcal{T}_g$ be a hyperbolic surface such that $\widehat{t}_\Gamma(X_0) = 0$. Let $t_\Gamma := \{t_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} : \mathcal{T}^{3g-3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3g-3}$ be the lift of $\widehat{t}_\Gamma : \mathcal{T}^{3g-3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3g-3}/\mathbb{Z}^{3g-3}$ such that $t_\Gamma(X_0) = 0$.

The $(6g - 6)$ -tuple $(\ell_X(\gamma), t_\gamma(X))_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ defines a Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates on the Teichmüller space \mathcal{T}_g .

Remark 3.1. As we can see from the construction above, the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinate is not unique. The coordinate depends on the choice of Γ , the choice of η' and η'' (which in turn is determined by the pairs $\{\gamma', \gamma\}$ and $\{\gamma'', \gamma\}$) for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, and the choice of X_0 .

3.3. Twists of proper arcs on pairs of pants. To estimate the length of simple closed geodesics in terms of Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates, we also need the *twist* of proper simple arcs on pairs of pants with respect to the corresponding pants curves. More precisely, let P

FIGURE 2. Twists of arcs in a pair of pants P .

be a pair of pants of $X \setminus \Gamma$ with boundary components, say γ_1, γ_2 , and γ_3 .

Let ξ_{12} be a simple arc on P which connects γ_{i_1} to γ_{i_2} . Let η_{12} be the unique geodesic homotopic to ξ_{12} relative to γ_{i_1} and γ_{i_2} , that is, the unique simple geodesic arc which connects γ_{i_1} and γ_{i_2} perpendicularly (Figure 2 (a)). Now, consider the lift of P to the hyperbolic plane. Choose one lift $\tilde{\eta}_{12}$ of η_{12} and let $\tilde{\gamma}_{i_1}, \tilde{\gamma}_{i_2}$, and $\tilde{\xi}_{12}$ be the corresponding lifts of $\gamma_{i_1}, \gamma_{i_2}$ and ξ_{12} . Let A_j (resp. B_j) be the intersection point between $\tilde{\gamma}_{i_j}$ and $\tilde{\eta}_{12}$ (resp. $\tilde{\xi}_{12}$). Choose an orientation for γ_{i_j} such that P sits to left of γ_{i_j} . This induces an orientation on $\tilde{\gamma}_{i_j}$. (see Figure 2 (b)). Let $\rho_j(\xi_{12})$ be the oriented distance from A_j to B_j . The twist $t_j(\xi_{12})$ of ξ_{12} at γ_{i_j} is defined to be:

$$(4) \quad t_j(\xi_{12}) := \frac{\rho_j(\xi_{12})}{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_j})}.$$

By the hyperbolic trigonometry formula ([Bus10, Page 38, Equation (2.3.2)]), we see that the length $\ell_X(\xi_{12})$ of the unique geodesic representative on P which is homotopic to ξ_{12} relative to the endpoints satisfies:

$$(5) \quad \cosh \ell_X(\xi_{12}) = \cosh \rho_1(\xi) \cosh \rho_2(\xi) \cosh \ell_X(\eta_{12}) - \sinh \rho_1(\xi) \sinh \rho_2(\xi).$$

About the length $\ell_X(\eta_{12})$ of η_{12} , if $\gamma_{i_1} \neq \gamma_{i_2}$, then, by [Bus10, Formula Glossary (page 454), 2.4.1(iii)],

$$(6) \quad \cosh \ell_X(\eta_{12}) = \frac{\cosh \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_3})}{2} + \cosh \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_1})}{2} \cosh \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_2})}{2}}{\sinh \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_1})}{2} \sinh \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_2})}{2}},$$

where γ_{i_3} is the third boundary component of P . If $\gamma_{i_1} = \gamma_{i_2}$, let γ_{i_3} be one of the other two boundary components of P and let η_{13} be the unique simple geodesic arc connecting γ_{i_1} and γ_{i_3} perpendicularly. By [Bus10, Formula Glossary (page 454) 2.3.4 (i)] we see that

$$(7) \quad \cosh \frac{\ell_X(\eta_{12})}{2} = \sinh \ell_X(\eta_{13}) \sinh \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_3})}{2}.$$

3.4. Surfaces with short curves. Given a hyperbolic surface $X \in \mathcal{T}_g$ with systolic length $\text{sys}(X) < \kappa_1$, we shall construct a new hyperbolic surface with big systole and controlled geometry. More precisely, let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$ be the set of simple closed geodesics on X of length strictly less than κ_1 . In particular, they are pairwise disjoint [Bus10, Theorem 4.1.6]. We extend $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$ to a pants decomposition $\Gamma := \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k, \gamma_{k+1}, \dots, \gamma_{3g-3}\}$. Let $(\ell_X(\gamma), t_\gamma(X))_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ be the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates defined in Section 3.2.

Let $Y \in \mathcal{T}_g$ be the hyperbolic surface with Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates:

$$(\epsilon_M, \dots, \epsilon_M, \ell_X(\gamma_{k+1}), \dots, \ell_X(\gamma_{3g-3}), t_{\gamma_1}(X), t_{\gamma_2}(X), \dots, t_{\gamma_{3g-3}}(X)).$$

In other words, we replace the length of each of $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$ by κ_1 while keeping the other terms unchanged.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $X \in \mathcal{T}_g$ be a hyperbolic with $\text{sys}(X) < \kappa_1$. Let Y be defined as above. Then $\text{sys}(Y) = \kappa_1$. Furthermore, for every simple closed geodesic α on X , the following holds.*

- If α intersects the some γ_i , then

$$\frac{\ell_X(\alpha)}{\ell_Y(\alpha)} \leq \kappa_2 \cdot |\log \text{sys}(X)|$$

for some universal constant κ_2 .

- If α is disjoint from any of γ_i , then

$$\frac{\ell_X(\alpha)}{\ell_Y(\alpha)} \leq 1.$$

Proof. Let α be an arbitrary simple closed curve on X . Let α^Y be the geodesic on Y which is homotopic to α . The (geodesic representative of the) pants decomposition Γ cuts α^Y into a collection $\{\xi_i^Y\}$ of geodesic segments. From the construction of Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates in Section 3.2, we see that α^Y (not the homotopy class of α^Y) remains to be a simple closed curve on X , which is not necessarily a geodesic. To bound the length $\ell_X(\alpha)$ of its geodesic representative on X from

above, we shall estimate the length $\text{Length}_X(\xi_i^X)$ of the geodesic ξ_i^X on X which is homotopic to ξ_i^Y relative to its endpoints:

$$\ell_X(\alpha) \leq \text{Length}_X(\alpha^X) \leq \sum_i \text{Length}_X(\xi_i^X).$$

To prove the lemma, it suffices to prove the following claim:

Claim: Let ξ_i^X and ξ_i^Y be as above.

- If ξ_i^X is disjoint from any of the short curves $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$, then

$$\frac{\text{Length}_X(\xi_i^X)}{\text{Length}_Y(\xi_i^Y)} \leq 1.$$
- If ξ_i^X intersects at least one of the short curves $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$, then

$$\frac{\text{Length}_X(\xi_i^X)}{\text{Length}_Y(\xi_i^Y)} \leq \kappa_2 \cdot |\log \text{sys}(X)|$$
 for some universal constant κ_2 .

Indeed, if α does not intersect any of the short curves $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$, then by the first item of the claim, we see that

$$\ell_X(\alpha) \leq \text{Length}_X(\alpha^X) \leq \sum_i \text{Length}_X(\xi_i^X) \leq \sum_i \text{Length}_Y(\xi_i^Y) = \ell_Y(\alpha).$$

If α intersects at least one of the short curves $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$, then by the second item of the claim, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_X(\alpha) &\leq \text{Length}_X(\alpha^X) \leq \sum_i \text{Length}_X(\xi_i^X) \\ &\leq \kappa_2 |\log \text{sys}(X)| \cdot \sum_i \text{Length}_Y(\xi_i^Y) = \kappa_2 |\log \text{sys}(X)| \cdot \ell_Y(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to consider the systolic length $\text{sys}(Y)$. Let α be simple closed geodesic on Y . If α is one of γ_i , then $\ell_X(\gamma_i) = \kappa_1$. If α is disjoint from any of γ_i , then the first item in the claim implies that $\ell_Y(\alpha) \geq \ell_X(\alpha) \geq \kappa_1$. If α intersects some γ_i , then it crosses the collar neighborhood $\mathcal{C}(\gamma_i)$ of γ_i , which is of width $\text{arcsinh}(1/\sinh(\ell_X(\gamma)/2)) \geq \kappa_1$ due to the assumption that $\ell_X(\gamma_i) < \kappa_1$. Hence, $\ell_Y(\alpha) \geq 2\kappa_1$. This proves that $\text{sys}(Y) \geq \kappa_1$. That $\ell_X(\gamma_i) = \kappa_1$ for $i \leq k$ implies that $\text{sys}(Y) = \kappa_1$.

The remainder of the proof is devoted to proving the claim. To simplify notations, we set $\ell_X(\xi_i^X) := \text{Length}_X(\xi_i^X)$ and $\ell_Y(\xi_i^Y) := \text{Length}_Y(\xi_i^Y)$. Let P be the pair of pants which contains ξ_i^X . Let γ_{i_1} and γ_{i_2} be two pants curves connected by the arc ξ_i^X (and hence also by ξ_i^Y). Let $t_1(\xi)$ (resp. $t_2(\xi)$) be the twist of ξ^X at γ_{i_1} (resp. γ_{i_2})

with respect to the pair of pants P (see Section 3.3 for relevant definitions). By the definition of ξ^Y , we see that $t_1(\xi)$ (resp. $t_2(\xi)$) is also the twist of ξ^Y at γ_{i_1} (resp. γ_{i_2}) with respect to the pair of pants P . Let η_i^X be the geodesic segment under the metric X which is homotopic to ξ_i^X relative to γ_{i_1} and γ_{i_2} . Let η_i^Y be the geodesic segment under the metric Y which is homotopic to ξ_i^Y relative to γ_{i_1} and γ_{i_2} . There are two case depending on whether $\gamma_{i_1} = \gamma_{i_2}$ or not.

Case 1. $\gamma_{i_1} \neq \gamma_{i_2}$. Let γ_{i_3} be the third edge of the pair of pants P containing ξ^X . There are two more subcases depending on whether γ_{i_1} or γ_{i_2} is short.

Subcase 1-1: neither γ_{i_1} nor γ_{i_2} is short, that is $\ell_X(\gamma_{i_1}) \geq \kappa_1$ and $\ell_X(\gamma_{i_2}) \geq \kappa_1$. Then, by the construction of Y ,

$$(8) \quad \ell_X(\gamma_{i_1}) = \ell_Y(\gamma_{i_1}) \text{ and } \ell_X(\gamma_{i_2}) = \ell_Y(\gamma_{i_2}).$$

Since $\ell_X(\gamma_{i_3}) \leq \ell_Y(\gamma_{i_3})$, it follows from (6) that $\ell_X(\eta_i^X) \leq \ell_Y(\eta_i^Y)$. Hence, by (5), we see that $\ell_X(\xi_i^X) \leq \ell_Y(\xi_i^Y)$.

Subcases 1-2: either γ_{i_1} or γ_{i_2} is short, say $\ell_X(\gamma_{i_1}) < \kappa_1$. Accordingly, we have $\ell_Y(\gamma_{i_1}) = \kappa_1$. By (6), we see that

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \ell_X(\eta_i^X) &\geq \log \frac{\cosh \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_1})}{2} \cosh \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_2})}{2}}{\sinh \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_1})}{2} \sinh \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_2})}{2}} \\ &\geq \log \frac{\cosh \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_1})}{2}}{\sinh \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_1})}{2}} \geq \log \frac{\cosh \frac{\kappa_1}{2}}{\sinh \frac{\kappa_1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$(10) \quad \ell_Y(\eta_i^Y) \geq \log \frac{\cosh \frac{\kappa_1}{2}}{\sinh \frac{\kappa_1}{2}}.$$

Again by (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\cosh \ell_X(\eta_i^X)}{\cosh \ell_Y(\eta_i^Y)} \\ &= \frac{\cosh \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_3})}{2} + \cosh \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_1})}{2} \cosh \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_2})}{2}}{\cosh \frac{\ell_Y(\gamma_{i_3})}{2} + \cosh \frac{\ell_Y(\gamma_{i_1})}{2} \cosh \frac{\ell_Y(\gamma_{i_2})}{2}} \cdot \frac{\sinh \frac{\ell_Y(\gamma_{i_1})}{2} \sinh \frac{\ell_Y(\gamma_{i_2})}{2}}{\sinh \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_1})}{2} \sinh \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_2})}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{\sinh \frac{\ell_Y(\gamma_{i_1})}{2} \sinh \frac{\ell_Y(\gamma_{i_2})}{2}}{\sinh \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_1})}{2} \sinh \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_2})}{2}} \leq \frac{\sinh^2 \frac{\kappa_1}{2}}{\sinh^2 \frac{\text{sys}(X)}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we use the assumption that $\ell_X(\gamma_{i_1}) < \kappa_1 = \ell_Y(\gamma_{i_1})$ and the fact that $\ell_Y(\gamma_{i_2}) = \ell_X(\gamma_{i_2})$ if $\ell_X(\gamma_{i_2}) \geq \kappa_1$ or $\ell_Y(\gamma_{i_2}) =$

κ_1 if $\ell_X(\gamma_{i_2}) < \kappa_1$. Hence

$$\ell_X(\eta_i^X) - \ell_Y(\eta_i^Y) \leq \log 2 + \log \frac{\cosh \ell_X(\eta_i^X)}{\cosh \ell_Y(\eta_i^Y)} \leq \log 2 + 2 \log \frac{\sinh \frac{\kappa_1}{2}}{\sinh \frac{\text{sys}(X)}{2}}.$$

Together with (10), this implies that

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\ell_X(\eta_i^X)}{\ell_Y(\eta_i^Y)} &= 1 + \frac{\ell_X(\eta_i^X) - \ell_Y(\eta_i^Y)}{\ell_Y(\eta_i^Y)} \\ &\leq c_1 \cdot |\log \text{sys}(X)| \end{aligned}$$

for some universal positive constant c_1 . Combining (4), (5), (9), and (10), we see that there exist two universal constants c_2 and c_3 such that

$$\ell_X(\xi_i^X) \leq c_2 \cdot (t_{i_1}(\xi_i) \ell_X(\gamma_{i_1}) + t_{i_2}(\xi_i) \ell_X(\gamma_{i_2}) + \ell_X(\eta_i^X))$$

and

$$\ell_Y(\xi_i^Y) \geq c_3 \cdot (t_{i_1}(\xi_i) \ell_Y(\gamma_{i_1}) + t_{i_2}(\xi_i) \ell_Y(\gamma_{i_2}) + \ell_Y(\eta_i^Y)).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\ell_X(\xi_i^X)}{\ell_Y(\xi_i^Y)} &\leq \frac{c_2}{c_3} \cdot \frac{t_{i_1}(\xi_i) \ell_X(\gamma_{i_1}) + t_{i_2}(\xi_i) \ell_X(\gamma_{i_2}) + \ell_X(\eta_i^X)}{t_{i_1}(\xi_i) \ell_Y(\gamma_{i_1}) + t_{i_2}(\xi_i) \ell_Y(\gamma_{i_2}) + \ell_Y(\eta_i^Y)} \\ &\leq \frac{c_1 c_2}{c_3} \cdot |\log \text{sys}(X)|. \quad (\text{by (11)}) \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $\gamma_{i_1} = \gamma_{i_2}$. Let γ_{i_3} be one of the other two geodesic boundary components of the pair of pants P . Let $\eta_{i_{13}}^X$ (resp. $\eta_{i_{13}}^Y$) be the unique simple geodesic arc connecting γ_{i_1} and γ_{i_3} perpendicularly on X (resp. Y). Using (7) and (6), we see that

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} &\cosh^2 \ell_X(\eta_i^X) \\ &= \sinh^2 \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_3})}{2} \sinh^2 \ell_X(\eta_{i_{13}}^X) \\ &= \sinh^2 \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_3})}{2} \left[\frac{\left(\cosh \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_3}')}{2} + \cosh \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_1})}{2} \cosh \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_3})}{2} \right)^2}{\sinh^2 \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_1})}{2} \sinh^2 \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_3})}{2}} - 1 \right] \\ &= \sinh^{-2} \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_1})}{2} \left(\cosh^2 \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_3}')}{2} + \cosh^2 \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_1})}{2} + \cosh^2 \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_3})}{2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \cosh \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_3}')}{2} \cosh \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_1})}{2} \cosh \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_3})}{2} - 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \cosh^2 \ell_Y(\eta_i^Y) \\ &= \sinh^{-2} \frac{\ell_Y(\gamma_{i_1})}{2} \left(\cosh^2 \frac{\ell_Y(\gamma_{i_3})}{2} + \cosh^2 \frac{\ell_Y(\gamma_{i_1})}{2} + \cosh^2 \frac{\ell_Y(\gamma_{i_3})}{2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 2 \cosh \frac{\ell_Y(\gamma_{i_3})}{2} \cosh \frac{\ell_Y(\gamma_{i_1})}{2} \cosh \frac{\ell_Y(\gamma_{i_3})}{2} - 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\ell_X(\gamma_i) \leq \ell_Y(\gamma_i)$, this implies that

$$(14) \quad \frac{\cosh \ell_X(\eta_i^X)}{\cosh \ell_Y(\eta_i^Y)} \leq \frac{\sinh \frac{\ell_Y(\gamma_{i_1})}{2}}{\sinh \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_{i_1})}{2}}.$$

Subcase 2-1: $\ell_X(\gamma_{i_1}) \geq \kappa_1$. In particular, $\ell_X(\gamma_{i_1}) = \ell_Y(\gamma_{i_1})$. Hence by (14), we see that $\ell_X(\eta_i^X) \leq \ell_Y(\eta_i^Y)$. Combining with (5), and the assumption that $\gamma_{i_1} = \gamma_{i_2}$, we infer that $\ell_X(\xi_i^X) \leq \ell_Y(\xi_i^Y)$.

Subcase 2-2: $\ell_X(\gamma_{i_1}) < \kappa_1$. Then $\ell_Y(\gamma_{i_1}) = \kappa_1$. It follows from (12) and (13) that

$$\ell_X(\eta_i^X) \geq \log \frac{2}{\sinh \frac{\kappa_1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_Y(\eta_i^Y) \geq \log \frac{2}{\sinh \frac{\kappa_1}{2}}.$$

Furthermore, from (14), we see that

$$\frac{\cosh \ell_X(\eta_i^X)}{\cosh \ell_Y(\eta_i^Y)} \leq \frac{\sinh \frac{\kappa_1}{2}}{\sinh \frac{\text{sys}(X)}{2}}.$$

Applying an argument similarly as in the Subcase 1-2 yields

$$\frac{\ell_X(\xi_i^X)}{\ell_Y(\xi_i^Y)} \leq c_4 \cdot |\log \text{sys}(X)|$$

for some constant universal constant c_4 .

In summary, the first item of the claim follows from the discussion in Subcase 1-1 and Subcase 2-1. The second item of the claim follows from the discussion in Subcase 1-2 and Subcase 2-2 by setting $\kappa_2 = \max\{\frac{c_1 c_2}{c_3}, c_4\}$. The proof of the claim, and hence of the lemma, is now complete. \square

Remark 3.3. Instead of using strip deformations, we could also use the second item of Lemma 3.2 or [Par05] to prove Lemma 2.1. The reason for using strip deformation is that strip deformation is intuitive and straightforward.

4. EXISTENCE OF MINIMUM IN MODULI SPACE

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.2, which states that the algebraic intersection form $K(X)$ has a minimum in the moduli space.

We start the proof with the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $X \in \mathcal{T}_g$ be a hyperbolic surface with a simple closed geodesics γ of length at most κ_1 . If α is an oriented simple closed geodesic with $|\text{Int}(\gamma, \alpha)| < i(\gamma, \alpha)$, then there exist oriented simple closed geodesics $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ such that*

- (i) $\alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2 \cup \dots \cup \alpha_k$ is homologous to α , and
- (ii) $\ell_X(\alpha_1) + \ell_X(\alpha_2) + \dots + \ell_X(\alpha_k) \leq \ell_X(\alpha)$, and
- (iii) $|\text{Int}(\gamma, \alpha_i)| = i(\gamma, \alpha_i)$ for every α_i .

Proof. Notice that γ cuts α into $i(\gamma, \alpha)$ segments. Let α_1 be a component of $\alpha \setminus \gamma$ such that the algebraic intersection from γ (for any choice of orientation) to α has different signs at the endpoints of α_1 . Notice that α_1 cuts γ into two subsegments. Choose one of them with appropriate orientation, say γ_1 , such that $\alpha_1 \cup \gamma_1$ is an oriented simple closed curve. Let $\widehat{\alpha}_1$ be a simple closed geodesic homotopic to $\alpha_1 \cup \gamma_1$. Let γ_1^- be the segment underlying γ_1 with *opposite* orientation. Let $\widehat{\alpha}'_1$ be the oriented closed geodesic homotopic to $(\alpha \setminus \alpha_1) \cup \gamma_1^-$. From the construction, we see that

- $\widehat{\alpha}_1 \cup \widehat{\alpha}'_1$ is homologous to α ,
- $i(\widehat{\alpha}_1 \cup \widehat{\alpha}'_1, \gamma) \leq i(\alpha, \gamma) - 2$.

Moreover, the assumption that $\ell(\gamma) \leq \kappa_1$ implies that

$$(15) \quad \ell_X(\widehat{\alpha}_1) + \ell_X(\widehat{\alpha}'_1) \leq \ell_X(\alpha).$$

To see this, let ξ be the component $(\alpha_1 \cup \gamma_1) \cap \mathcal{C}(\gamma)$ which contains γ_1 . Then ξ is homotopic to a subsegment ξ_0 of the boundary component of $\mathcal{C}(\gamma)$. The assumption $\ell(\gamma) \leq \kappa_1$ implies that γ admits a collar neighbourhood $\mathcal{C}(\gamma)$ of width

$$\text{arcsinh} \frac{1}{\sinh(\ell_X(\gamma)/2)} \geq \sqrt{2}.$$

Furthermore, each of the boundary components of $\mathcal{C}(\gamma)$ has length at most $2\sqrt{2}$ (see Section 3.1). Then the length $\text{Length}_X(\xi \setminus \gamma_1)$ of $\xi \setminus \gamma_1$, which consists of two geodesic arcs connecting the boundary of $\mathcal{C}(\gamma)$ to γ , and the length $\text{Length}_X(\xi_0)$ of ξ_0 satisfy:

$$\text{Length}_X(\xi \setminus \gamma_1) \geq 2\sqrt{2} \geq \text{Length}_X(\xi_0).$$

Hence, we could homotopy $\alpha_1 \cup \gamma_1$ to a new curve by replacing ξ with ξ_0 so that the resulting curve, and hence its geodesic representative $\widehat{\alpha}_1$,




FIGURE 3. Smooth operation at a self-intersection.

is of length less than $\ell_X(\alpha_1)$. Similarly, we have $\ell_X(\widehat{\alpha}'_1) \leq \ell_X(\alpha \setminus \alpha_1)$, completing the proof of (15).

Note that $\widehat{\alpha}_1$ is simple while $\widehat{\alpha}'_1$ is possibly not. If $\widehat{\alpha}'_1$ is not simple, then we apply a smoothing operation at each self-intersection point of $\widehat{\alpha}'_1$ (see Figure 3). This gives us several oriented simple closed geodesics $\alpha'_{11}, \dots, \alpha'_{1k}$ such that

- $\widehat{\alpha}'_{11} \cup \dots \cup \widehat{\alpha}'_{1k}$ is homologous to $\widehat{\alpha}'_1$,
- $\sum_j i(\gamma, \widehat{\alpha}'_{1j}) \leq i(\gamma, \widehat{\alpha}'_1)$,
- $\sum_j \ell_X(\widehat{\alpha}'_{1j}) \leq \ell_X(\widehat{\alpha}'_1)$.

Set $A_1 := \{\widehat{\alpha}_1, \widehat{\alpha}'_{11}, \dots, \widehat{\alpha}'_{1k}\}$. Then,

- $\cup_{\alpha' \in A_1} \alpha'$ is homologous to α ,
- $\sum_{\alpha' \in A_1} i(\alpha', \cup \gamma_i) \leq i(\alpha, \gamma) - 2$,
- $\sum_{\alpha' \in A_1} \ell_X(\alpha') \leq \ell_X(\alpha)$.

Inductively, suppose that A_{i-1} has been constructed. We apply the above construction for each of $\alpha' \in A_{i-1}$ with $|\text{Int}(\alpha', \gamma)| < i(\alpha', \gamma)$. This yields a set A_i of oriented simple closed geodesics such that

- $\cup_{\alpha' \in A_i} \alpha'$ is homologous to α ,
- $\sum_{\alpha' \in A_i} i(\alpha', \cup \gamma_i) \leq i(\alpha, \gamma) - 2i$,
- $\sum_{\alpha' \in A_i} \ell_X(\alpha') \leq \ell_X(\alpha)$.

Since for each i , $\sum_{\alpha' \in A_i} i(\alpha', \cup \gamma_i) \geq |\text{Int}(\alpha, \gamma)|$, we see that the construction stops after $m \leq \frac{i(\alpha, \gamma) - |\text{Int}(\alpha, \gamma)|}{2}$ steps. The resulting set A_m satisfies the required properties. \square

Note that the defining equation (1) of the algebraic intersection form $K(\cdot)$ is also well-defined for boarded surfaces. This allows us to extend the definition of $K(\cdot)$ to hyperbolic surfaces with geodesic boundary components.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $X \in T_g$ be a hyperbolic surface with a collection of disjoint separating simple closed geodesics $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$ of length at most κ_1 . Then,*

$$K(X) = \max_i K(X_i)$$

where X_1, \dots, X_{k+1} are components of $X \setminus (\gamma_1 \cup \dots \cup \gamma_k)$.

Proof. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{k+1} be the components of $X \setminus (\gamma_1 \cup \dots \cup \gamma_k)$. Let α and β be two simple closed geodesics. Since γ_i is a separating simple closed geodesic, it follows that $\text{Int}(\alpha, \gamma_i) = \text{Int}(\beta, \gamma_i) = 0$. Applying Lemma 4.1 to each γ_i and α , we see that there exist $2k + 2$ sets $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_{k+1}, \Psi_1, \Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_{k+1}$ of oriented simple closed geodesics such that

- $\cup_{\alpha' \in \Omega_i} \alpha' \subset X_i$ and $\cup_{\beta' \in \Psi_i} \beta' \subset X_i$ for each i
- $\cup_i \cup_{\alpha' \in \Omega_i} \alpha'$ is homologous to α and $\cup_i \cup_{\beta' \in \Psi_i} \beta'$ is homologous to β ,
- $\sum_i \sum_{\alpha' \in \Omega_i} \ell_X(\alpha') \leq \ell_X(\alpha)$ and $\sum_i \sum_{\beta' \in \Psi_i} \ell_X(\beta') \leq \ell_X(\beta)$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\text{Int}(\alpha, \beta)|}{\ell_X(\alpha)\ell_X(\beta)} &\leq \frac{|\sum_i \text{Int}(\cup_{\alpha' \in \Omega_i} \alpha', \cup_{\beta' \in \Psi_i} \beta')|}{(\sum_i \sum_{\alpha' \in \Omega_i} \ell_X(\alpha'))(\sum_i \sum_{\beta' \in \Psi_i} \ell_X(\beta'))} \\ &\leq \max_i \frac{|\text{Int}(\cup_{\alpha' \in \Omega_i} \alpha', \cup_{\beta' \in \Psi_i} \beta')|}{(\sum_{\alpha' \in \Omega_i} \ell_X(\alpha'))(\sum_{\beta' \in \Psi_i} \ell_X(\beta'))} \\ &\leq \max_i \max_{\alpha' \in \Omega_i, \beta' \in \Psi_i} \frac{|\text{Int}(\alpha', \beta')|}{\ell_X(\alpha')\ell_X(\beta')} \\ &\leq \max_i K(X_i). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$K(X) = \sup_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{|\text{Int}(\alpha, \beta)|}{\ell_X(\alpha)\ell_X(\beta)} \leq \max_i K(X_i).$$

□

We also need the following estimate, which is essentially the lower bound of [MM14, Theorem 4.2]. For reader's convenience, we provide a proof.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $X \in \mathcal{T}_g$ be a hyperbolic surface with $\text{sys}_h(X) \leq \kappa_1$. Then,*

$$K(X) \geq \frac{1}{\kappa_3 \cdot g \cdot \text{sys}_h(X)(g + |\log \text{sys}_h(X)|)}$$

for some universal positive constant κ_3 .

Proof. Let γ be one of the shortest nonseparating simple closed geodesics. In particular, $\ell_X(\gamma) = \text{sys}_h(X)$. By [Bus10, Theorem 5.1.4], there exists a pants decomposition Γ which contains γ such that

$$(16) \quad \ell_X(\gamma') \leq 6\sqrt{3\pi}(g-1)$$

for every $\gamma' \in \Gamma$. Let β be a simple closed geodesic that intersects γ exactly once and intersects every other simple closed curve in Γ at

most once. Next, we shall estimate the length of β from above. Let P in $X \setminus \Gamma$ be an arbitrary pair of pants with boundary components $\gamma_i, \gamma_j, \gamma_k$. Let δ be a geodesic arc in P perpendicular to γ_i and γ_j with $\gamma_i \neq \gamma_j$. Then by (6), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \cosh \ell_X(\delta) &= \frac{\cosh(\ell_X(\gamma_k)/2) + \cosh(\ell_X(\gamma_i)/2) \cosh(\ell_X(\gamma_j)/2}{\sinh(\ell_X(\gamma_i)/2) \sinh(\ell_X(\gamma_j)/2)} \\ &\leq \frac{2 \cosh^2(3\sqrt{3\pi}(g-1))}{\sinh^2(\text{sys}_h(X)/2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{sys}_h(X) \leq \kappa_1$, it follows that there exists a positive constant c_5 such that $\sinh(\ell_X(\alpha)/2) \geq c_5 \ell_X(\alpha)$. Hence

$$\ell_X(\delta) \leq \log 2 + \log \cosh \ell_X(\delta) \leq 4 \log 2 + 6\sqrt{3\pi}(g-1) + 2 \log \frac{1}{c_5} + 2 \log \frac{1}{\text{sys}_h(X)}.$$

Since β intersects each of $\{\gamma_i\}$ at most once, we may homotopic β to a new curve

$$\delta_1 * \xi_1 * \delta_2 * \xi_2 * \cdots * \delta_m * \xi_m$$

with $m \leq |\Gamma| = 3g - 3$, where δ_i is a geodesic arc perpendicularly connecting distinct boundary components of some pair of pants in $X \setminus \Gamma$ and ξ_i is a subarc of some of $\{\gamma_i\}$. Combining with the estimate above about the length of δ_i , we see that

$$(17) \quad \ell_X(\beta) \leq \sum_i (\ell_X(\delta_i) + \ell_X(\xi_i)) \leq c_6 \cdot g + \log \frac{1}{\text{sys}_h(X)}$$

for some universal constant c_6 . Combined with the definition of the algebraic intersection form, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} K(X) &\geq \frac{|\text{Int}(\gamma, \beta)|}{\ell_X(\gamma) \ell_X(\beta)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{c_6 \cdot g \cdot \text{sys}_h(X) (g + |\log \text{sys}_h(X)|)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

As a direct consequence, we have

Corollary 4.4. *Given a hyperbolic surface $X \in \mathcal{T}_g$ with homologically systolic length $\text{sys}_h(X) \leq \left(\frac{\log g}{g}\right)^2$, then $K(X) \geq \frac{\kappa_4}{(\log g)^2}$ for some universal positive constant κ_4 .*

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $X_n \in \mathcal{M}_g$ be a sequence of hyperbolic surfaces realizing the infimum of the algebraic intersection form, that is

$$(18) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K(X_n) = \inf_{X \in \mathcal{M}_g} K(X).$$

If $\{X_n\}_n$ is contained in some compact subset of \mathcal{M}_g , then the theorem follows by taking any accumulation point of $\{X_n\}$. We now assume that $X_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma 4.3, we see that the length of nonseparating simple closed geodesics are bounded from below, say at least $c_7 > 0$. That X_n goes to infinity implies that the length of some separating simple closed geodesic goes to zero. Let Γ_n be the set of separating simple closed geodesics on X_n of length strictly less c_7 . By Lemma 2.1, there exists a hyperbolic surface X'_n such that

- $\ell_{X'_n}(\gamma) = c_7$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$.
- $\ell_{X'_n}(\alpha) \geq \ell_{X_n}(\alpha) \geq c_7$ for every simple closed geodesic α disjoint from any $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$.

Every simple closed geodesic on X'_n that intersects some curve $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$ is of length at least twice the width of the collar neighborhood $\mathcal{C}(\gamma)$ of γ . Therefore, the sequence $\{X'_n\}$ is contained in a compact subset of \mathcal{M}_g . Furthermore, by Lemma 4.2, it follows that $K(X'_n) \leq K(X_n)$. Hence, by (18), the limit of any convergent subsequences of $\{X'_n\}$ attains the minimum $\min_{X \in \mathcal{M}_g} K(X)$. This completes the proof. \square

5. ESTIMATE OF MINIMAL INTERSECTION FORM

In this section, we shall estimate the minimum of algebraic intersection form in the moduli space, proving Theorem 1.3. Before that, let us recall the following result by Balacheff, Parlier, and Sabourau.

Theorem 5.1 ([BPS12], Theorem 1.1). *Let M be a Riemannian surface of genus g and area $4\pi(g-1)$. Then there exist $2g$ closed curves which induce a basis in $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z})$ such that*

$$\ell_M(\alpha_k) \leq \frac{2^{16}}{\min\{\text{sys}_h(M), 1\}} \cdot \frac{\log(2g-k+2)}{2g-k+1} \cdot g,$$

where $\text{sys}_h(M)$ represents the homologically systolic length of M .

As a direct consequence, we have

Proposition 5.2. *Let M be a Riemannian surface of genus g and area $4\pi(g-1)$. Then*

$$K(M) \geq 2^{-34} \left(\frac{\min\{\text{sys}_h(M), 1\}}{\log g} \right)^2,$$

where $\text{sys}_h(M)$ represents the homologically systolic length of M .

Proof. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2g}$ be the curves obtained from Theorem 5.1. Since they induce a basis in $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$, there exist two curves α_{i_1} and α_{i_2} with

$1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq g + 1$ such that $|\text{Int}(\alpha_{i_1}, \alpha_{i_2})| = 1$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} K(X) &\geq \frac{|\text{Int}(\alpha_{i_1}, \alpha_{i_2})|}{\ell_X(\alpha_{i_1})\ell_X(\alpha_{i_2})} \geq \frac{1}{\ell_X(\alpha_{g+1})\ell_X(\alpha_{g+1})} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\min\{\text{sys}_h(X), 1\}}{2^{16}}\right)^2 \frac{1}{\log^2(g+1)} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\min\{\text{sys}_h(X), 1\}}{2^{16}}\right)^2 \frac{1}{4\log^2 g} = \frac{1}{2^{34}} \left(\frac{\min\{\text{sys}_h(X), 1\}}{\log g}\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

*Proof of Theorem 1.3. **Upper bound.*** For any $X \in \mathcal{T}_g$, let $\text{sys}(X)$ (resp. $\text{sys}_h(X)$) be the length of any (resp. homological) systole of X . By [MM14, Theorem 1.5], we have

$$K(X) \leq \frac{9}{\text{sys}_h(X)^2} \leq \frac{9}{\text{sys}(X)^2}.$$

Hence,

$$\min_{X \in \mathcal{M}_g} K(X) \leq \frac{9}{\max_{X \in \mathcal{M}_g} \text{sys}(X)^2}.$$

Recall that $\max_{X \in \mathcal{M}_g} \text{sys}(X) \geq C \log g$ for some universal constant $C > 0$ ([BS94, Section 4], see also [Bro99, KSV07]; see [Par12, Theorem 4.6] for a summary). Consequently, we have

$$\min_{X \in \mathcal{M}_g} K(X) \leq \frac{C}{(\log g)^2}.$$

for some universal constant $C > 0$.

Lower bound. Let $X \in \mathcal{T}_g$ be hyperbolic surface realizing the minimum:

$$K(X) = \min_{Y \in \mathcal{M}_g} K(Y).$$

If $\text{sys}_h(X) \geq \kappa_1$ or $\text{sys}_h(X) < \left(\frac{\log g}{g}\right)^2$, then by Proposition 5.2 or Corollary 4.4, we see that $K(X) \geq C(\log g)^{-2}$ for some universal constant C . In the following, we assume that

$$(19) \quad \left(\frac{\log g}{g}\right)^2 \leq \text{sys}_h(X) \leq \kappa_1.$$

By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 4.2, we may assume further that every separating simple closed geodesic on X has length at least κ_1 . In particular,

$$(20) \quad \left(\frac{\log g}{g}\right)^2 < \text{sys}(X) < \kappa_1.$$

Let $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k\}$ be the set of simple closed geodesics on X whose length are strictly less than κ_1 :

$$(21) \quad \ell_X(\gamma_i) < \kappa_1.$$

In particular, each γ_i is nonseparating.

Let Y be the surface obtained in Lemma 3.2. Then $\text{sys}_h(Y) \geq \text{sys}(Y) = \kappa_1$. Combined with Proposition 5.2, this implies that

$$K(Y) \geq \frac{2^{-34}\kappa_1^2}{(\log g)^2}.$$

By Theorem 5.1, there exist $2g$ oriented closed geodesics $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2g}$ on Y which induces a basis of $H_1(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$(22) \quad \ell_Y(\alpha_j) \leq \frac{2^{17}}{\kappa_1} \cdot \log g, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq g+1.$$

Being a basis of $H_1(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ implies that two of the first $g+1$ curves, say α_1 and α_2 , satisfy $|\text{Int}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)| = 1$. Considering the intersection between α_i and γ , there are two cases.

Case 1: $\sum_j (|\text{Int}(\alpha_1, \gamma_j)| + |\text{Int}(\alpha_2, \gamma_j)|) > 0$. In this case, there exists one pair (α_i, γ_j) with positive algebraic intersection, say (α_1, γ_1) . Then by Lemma 3.2, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} K(X) &\geq \frac{|\text{Int}(\alpha_1, \gamma_1)|}{\ell_X(\alpha_1)\ell_X(\gamma_1)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\kappa_2 |\log \text{sys}(X)| \ell_Y(\alpha_1)\ell_X(\gamma_1)} \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.2}) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\kappa_2 2^{18} (\log g)^2} \quad (\text{by (20), (21), and (22)}). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $\sum_j (|\text{Int}(\alpha_1, \gamma_j)| + |\text{Int}(\alpha_2, \gamma_j)|) = 0$. For each α_i , applying Lemma 4.1 for the each of the pair (α_i, γ_1) yields a set A_i^1 of oriented simple closed geodesics such that

- $\cup_{\alpha' \in A_i^1} \alpha'$ is homologous to α_i ,
- $\sum_{\alpha' \in A_i^1} \ell_Y(\alpha') \leq \ell_Y(\alpha_i)$,
- $\cup_{\alpha' \in A_i^1} \alpha'$ is disjoint from γ_1 .

Now inductively, suppose that for $1 \leq j \leq k-1$, we have constructed the set A_i^j satisfying the following:

- $\cup_{\alpha' \in A_i^j} \alpha'$ is homologous to α_i ,
- $\sum_{\alpha' \in A_i^j} \ell_Y(\alpha') \leq \ell_Y(\alpha_i)$,
- $\cup_{\alpha' \in A_i^j} \alpha'$ is disjoint from any of $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_j$.

For each $\alpha' \in A_i^j$, we apply Lemma 4.1 for the pair (α', γ_{j+1}) , yielding a set A_i^{j+1} of oriented simple closed geodesics such that

- $\cup_{\alpha'' \in A_i^{j+1}} \alpha''$ is homologous to $\cup_{\alpha' \in A_i^j} \alpha'$, which is in turn homologous to α_i by the inductive assumption,
- $\sum_{\alpha'' \in A_i^{j+1}} \ell_Y(\alpha'') \leq \sum_{\alpha' \in A_i^j} \ell_Y(\alpha')$, where the latter is at most $\ell_Y(\alpha_i)$ by the inductive assumption,
- $\cup_{\alpha' \in A_i^{j+1}} \alpha'$ is disjoint from any of $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_j, \gamma_{j+1}$.

After k steps, we arrive at two sets A_1^k and A_2^k of oriented simple closed geodesics such that for each i ,

- $\cup_{\alpha' \in A_i} \alpha'$ is homologous to α_i ,
- $\sum_{\alpha' \in A_i} \ell_Y(\alpha') \leq \ell_Y(\alpha_i)$, and
- $\cup_{\alpha' \in A_i} \alpha'$ is disjoint from any of $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$.

Combining with the fact that $|\text{Int}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)| = 1$, we infer that there exists $\alpha'_i \in A_i^k$ such that

- $|\text{Int}(\alpha'_1, \alpha'_2)| > 0$,
- $\ell_Y(\alpha'_1) \leq \ell_Y(\alpha_1)$ and $\ell_Y(\alpha'_2) \leq \ell_Y(\alpha_2)$,
- $\alpha'_1 \cup \alpha'_2$ is disjoint from any of $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$.

Combined with Lemma 3.2 and (22), this implies that

$$\ell_X(\alpha'_i) \leq \ell_Y(\alpha'_i) \leq \frac{2^{17}}{\kappa_1} \log g.$$

Hence

$$K(X) \geq \frac{|\text{Int}(\alpha'_1, \alpha'_2)|}{\ell_X(\alpha'_1)\ell_X(\alpha'_2)} \geq \frac{2^{-34}\kappa_1^2}{(\log g)^2}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

6. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR IN MODULI SPACE

In this section, we will describe the asymptotic behavior of the algebraic intersection form $K(X)$ as the homologically systolic length $\text{sys}_h(X)$ goes to zero. The key is to find “good representatives” of oriented simple closed curves approximating $K(X)$.

6.1. Finding good representatives of curves. We start with the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $X \in \mathcal{T}_g$ be a hyperbolic surface with $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$ being the set of nonseparating simple closed geodesics of length at most κ_1 . For any oriented simple closed geodesic α on X , there exists a set A of oriented simple closed geodesics such that*

- (i) $\cup_{\alpha' \in A} \alpha'$ is homologous to α , and
- (ii) $i(\alpha', \gamma_j) \in \{0, 1\}$ for every $\alpha' \in A$ and every γ_j ,

$$(iii) \sum_{\alpha' \in A} \ell_X(\alpha') \leq \ell_X(\alpha) \left(1 + \max_i \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_i)}{|\log \ell_X(\gamma_i)|}\right).$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 4.1 for every γ_i , we see that there exist oriented simple closed geodesics $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ such that

- (i) $\alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2 \cup \dots \cup \alpha_m$ is homologous to α , and
- (ii) $\ell_X(\alpha_1) + \ell_X(\alpha_2) + \dots + \ell_X(\alpha_m) \leq \ell_X(\alpha)$, and
- (iii) $|\text{Int}(\gamma_j, \alpha_i)| = i(\gamma, \alpha_i)$ for every α_i and every γ_j .

In particular, the third property above means that for each pair (i, j) , α_i and γ_j have the same algebraic intersection sign at all of their intersection points. Next, for each α_i , we shall construct oriented simple closed geodesics with required properties.

Let us start with α_1 . Suppose that $i(\alpha_1, \gamma_1) \geq 2$. Otherwise, we move on to consider α_2 . Let $\alpha_1 : [0, \ell_X(\alpha_1)] \rightarrow X$ be a length parametrization of α_1 . Choose an orientation of γ_1 such that α_1 enters the collar neighbourhood $\mathcal{C}(\gamma_1)$ of γ_1 from the left side (see Section 3.1 for the definition of $\mathcal{C}(\gamma_1)$). This is possible due to the property (iii) above. Furthermore, we assume that $\alpha_1(0)$ is on the left boundary component of the collar neighbourhood of γ_1 , with respect to the orientation of γ_1 . Set $t_1 = 0$. Let $s_1 \in (0, \ell_X(\alpha_1))$ be the first moment that α_1 leaves the collar neighbourhood of γ_1 . Let $t_2 \in (s_1, \ell_X(\alpha_1))$ be first moment that α_1 enters the collar neighbourhood of γ_1 again. Let $s_2 \in (t_2, \ell_X(\alpha_1))$ be the first moment that α_1 leaves the collar neighborhood of γ_1 after t_2 . Let $c_i \in (t_i, s_i)$ be the moment that $\alpha_1|_{[t_i, s_i]}$ intersects γ_1 . Let $\gamma_1 : [0, \ell_X(\gamma_1)] \rightarrow X$ be a length parametrization of γ_1 . For $s, t \in [0, \ell_X(\gamma_1)]$, let $\gamma_1|_{[s, t]}$ be the oriented segment from $\gamma_1(s)$ to $\gamma_1(t)$ with respect to the orientation of γ_1 . Let $\overline{\gamma_1}|_{[s, t]}$ be the underlying segment of $\gamma_1|_{[s, t]}$ with the *opposite* orientation. Let $d_i \in [0, \ell_X(\gamma_1)]$ be the moment that γ_1 intersects the segment $\alpha_1|_{[t_i, s_i]}$. Let $\hat{\alpha}_{11}$ and $\hat{\alpha}_{12}$ be respectively the oriented simple closed curves defined as:

$$\hat{\alpha}_{11} := \alpha_1|_{[t_1, c_1]} * \gamma_1|_{[d_1, d_2]} * \alpha_1|_{[c_2, t_1]}$$

and

$$\hat{\alpha}_{12} := \alpha_1|_{[t_2, c_2]} * \overline{\gamma_1}|_{[d_1, d_2]} * \alpha_1|_{[c_1, t_2]}.$$

Let α'_{11} and α'_{12} be respectively the oriented simple closed geodesic homotopic to $\hat{\alpha}_{11}$ and $\hat{\alpha}_{12}$. Then

- $\alpha'_{11} \cup \alpha'_{12}$ is homologous to α_1 ,
- $1 \leq i(\alpha'_{11}, \gamma_1) \leq i(\alpha_1, \gamma_1) - 1$, $1 \leq i(\alpha'_{12}, \gamma_1) \leq i(\alpha_1, \gamma_1) - 1$,
- $\ell_X(\alpha'_{11}) + \ell_X(\alpha'_{12}) \leq \ell_X(\alpha_1) + 2\ell_X(\gamma_1)$,

where the last inequality follows from the fact that both $\gamma_1|_{[d_1, d_2]}$ and $\overline{\gamma_1}|_{[d_1, d_2]}$ are of length less than $\ell_X(\gamma_1)$.

Repeating the above construction for each of α_{11} and α_{12} and the new resulting curves yields a set A_{11} of oriented simple closed geodesics such that

- $\cup_{\alpha' \in A_{11}} \alpha'$ is homologous to α_1 ,
- $i(\alpha', \gamma_1) = 1$ every $\alpha' \in A_{11}$,
- $\sum_{\alpha' \in A_{11}} \ell_X(\alpha') \leq \ell_X(\alpha_1) + 2i(\alpha_1, \gamma_1)\ell_X(\gamma_1)$.

Similarly, for every other α_i with $i(\alpha_i, \gamma_1) \geq 1$, there exists a set A_{1i} of oriented simple closed geodesics such that

- $\cup_{\alpha' \in A_{1i}} \alpha'$ is homologous to α_i ,
- $i(\alpha', \gamma_1) = 1$ every $\alpha' \in A_{1i}$,
- $\sum_{\alpha' \in A_{1i}} \ell_X(\alpha') \leq \ell_X(\alpha_i) + 2i(\alpha_i, \gamma_1)\ell_X(\gamma_1)$.

Combining with the property (i), (ii), (iii) of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ at the beginning of the proof, we arrive at a set $A_1 = A_{11} \cup \dots \cup A_{1m}$ of oriented simple closed geodesics such that

- $\cup_{\alpha' \in A_1} \alpha'$ is homologous to α ,
- $i(\alpha', \gamma_1) \in \{0, 1\}$ every $\alpha' \in A_1$,
- $\sum_{\alpha' \in A_1} \ell_X(\alpha') \leq \ell_X(\alpha) + 2i(\alpha, \gamma_1)\ell_X(\gamma_1)$.

Here the second item has an additional possibility $i(\alpha', \gamma_1) = 0$ is due to the fact that some of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ may be disjoint from γ_1 .

Applying the above construction for $\gamma_2, \gamma_3, \dots, \gamma_k$ finitely many times, we arrive at a set A of oriented simple closed geodesics such that

- $\cup_{\alpha' \in A} \alpha'$ is homologous to α ,
- $i(\alpha', \gamma_j) \in \{0, 1\}$ for every $\alpha' \in A$ and every γ_j ,
- $\sum_{\alpha' \in A} \ell_X(\alpha') \leq \ell_X(\alpha) + 2 \sum_j i(\alpha, \gamma_j)\ell_X(\gamma_j)$.

The assumption $\ell_X(\gamma_j) \leq \kappa_1$ implies that γ_j admits a collar neighbourhood of width

$$\operatorname{arcsinh} \frac{1}{\sinh(\ell_X(\gamma_j)/2)} \geq |\log \ell_X(\gamma_j)|.$$

Hence, the length $\ell_X(\alpha)$ satisfies

$$\ell_X(\alpha) \geq \sum_j 2 \cdot i(\alpha, \gamma_j) \cdot |\log \ell_X(\gamma_j)|.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_j i(\alpha, \gamma_j)\ell_X(\gamma_j) &\leq \max_j \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_j)}{|\log \ell_X(\gamma_j)|} \sum_j 2 \cdot i(\alpha, \gamma_j) \cdot |\log \ell_X(\gamma_j)| \\ &\leq \max_j \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_j)}{|\log \ell_X(\gamma_j)|} \cdot \ell_X(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly,

$$\sum_{\alpha' \in A} \ell_X(\alpha') \leq \left(1 + \max_j \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_j)}{|\log \ell_X(\gamma_j)|}\right) \cdot \ell_X(\alpha).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Next, we shall compare curves that wrap many times about short curves with those that wrap a few times. As before, let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$ be the set of nonseparating simple closed geodesics of length at most κ_1 on $X \in \mathcal{T}_g$. Recall that the collar neighbourhood $\mathcal{C}(\gamma_i)$ of every short curve γ_i is foliated by its *perpendiculars*, geodesic arcs connecting one boundary component to the other and intersects γ_i perpendicularly (see Section 3.1). Let α_0 be an oriented simple closed geodesic on X which crosses γ_i at most once and which crosses every perpendicular of $\mathcal{C}(\gamma_i)$ at most once. Let $(m_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ be a k -tuple of integers such that $m_i \neq 0$ if and only if $i(\alpha_0, \gamma_i) = 1$. Let α be a composition of m_j -th Dehn twist $T_{\gamma_j}^{m_j}$ of α_0 around γ_j :

$$\alpha = T_{\gamma_k}^{m_k} \circ \dots \circ T_{\gamma_1}^{m_1}(\alpha_0).$$

Lemma 6.2. *Let $X, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k, \alpha_0$, and α be as above. Then, after choosing appropriate orientation for each γ_i , we have*

- (i) $\alpha_0 \cup m_1 \gamma_1 \cup \dots \cup m_k \gamma_k$ is homologous to α , and
- (ii) $\ell_X(\alpha_0) + |m_1| \ell_X(\gamma_1) + \dots + |m_k| \ell_X(\gamma_k) \leq \ell_X(\alpha) \left(1 + \max_i \frac{\kappa_5}{|\log \ell_X(\gamma_i)|}\right)$,

for some universal constant κ_5 .

Proof. The first item is straightforward. For the second item, consider the collar neighborhood $\mathcal{C}(\gamma_i)$ of γ_i . Note that by assumption, α crosses γ_i at most once. Each of $\alpha_0 \cap \mathcal{C}(\gamma_i)$ and $\alpha \cap \mathcal{C}(\gamma_i)$ is either an empty set or a single segment. Moreover, the segment (if any) $\alpha_0 \cap \mathcal{C}(\gamma_i)$ crosses every perpendicular of $\mathcal{C}(\gamma_i)$ at most once, and hence $\alpha \cap \mathcal{C}(\gamma_i)$ crosses every perpendicular of $\mathcal{C}(\gamma_i)$ at most $|m_i| + 1$ times. Then, by (2), we see that

$$|\ell_X(\alpha \cap \mathcal{C}(\gamma_i)) - (\ell_X(\alpha_0 \cap \mathcal{C}(\gamma_i)) + |m_i| \ell_X(\gamma_i))| \leq C$$

for some universal constant C . Let $X_{\text{thick}} := X \setminus (\mathcal{C}(\gamma_1) \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{C}(\gamma_k))$ be the thick part of X . Note that each of $\alpha_0 \cap X_{\text{thick}}$ and $\alpha \cap X_{\text{thick}}$ has $\sum_i i(\alpha, \gamma_i)$ segments. Furthermore, they are homotopic to each other relative to the boundary components of $\cup_i \mathcal{C}(\gamma_i)$. Since $\ell_X(\gamma_i) \leq \kappa_1$, it follows that each boundary component of $\cup_i \mathcal{C}(\gamma_i)$ is of length most $2\sqrt{2}$ (see Section 3.1). Therefore,

$$|\ell_X(\alpha_0 \cap X_{\text{thick}}) - \ell_X(\alpha \cap X_{\text{thick}})| \leq 2\sqrt{2} \sum_i i(\alpha, \gamma_i).$$

On the other hand, the assumption $\gamma_i \leq \kappa_1$ implies that the width of the collar neighbourhood $\mathcal{C}(\gamma_i)$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{arcsinh} \frac{1}{\sinh(\ell_X(\gamma_i)/2)} \geq |\log \ell_X(\gamma_i)|.$$

Hence,

$$\ell_X(\alpha) \geq \sum_i \ell_X(\alpha \cap \mathcal{C}(\gamma_i)) \geq 2 \sum_i i(\alpha, \gamma_i) |\log \ell_X(\gamma_i)|.$$

Combining the estimates above yields

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_X(\alpha_0) + \sum_i |m_i| \ell_X(\gamma_i) &\leq \ell_X(\alpha) + \sum_i i(\alpha, \gamma_i) (2\sqrt{2} + C) \\ &\leq \ell_X(\alpha) \left(1 + \max_i \frac{2\sqrt{2} + C}{|\log \ell_X(\gamma_i)|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.7. For any constant $\epsilon > 0$, let c_8 be a constant depending only ϵ such that

$$(23) \quad c_8 < 1, \quad \frac{1}{|\log c_8|} < \epsilon, \quad \frac{\kappa_5}{|\log c_8|} < \epsilon.$$

Let $X \in \mathcal{M}_g$ be a hyperbolic surface with $\operatorname{sys}_h(X) < c_8$. Let α and β be a pair of oriented simple closed geodesics on X such that

$$(24) \quad (1 - \epsilon) \cdot K(X) \leq \frac{|\operatorname{Int}(\alpha, \beta)|}{\ell_X(\alpha)\ell_X(\beta)}.$$

Let $\Gamma := \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k\}$ be the set of nonseparating simple closed geodesics on X of length at most c_8 :

$$(25) \quad \ell_X(\gamma_j) \leq c_8.$$

Let A (resp. B) be the set of oriented simple closed geodesics obtained by applying Lemma 6.1 to α (resp. β). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\operatorname{Int}(\alpha, \beta)|}{\ell_X(\alpha)\ell_X(\beta)} &\leq \frac{\left| \sum_{\alpha' \in A, \beta' \in B} \operatorname{Int}(\alpha', \beta') \right|}{\left(\sum_{\alpha' \in A} \ell_X(\alpha') \right) \left(\sum_{\beta' \in B} \ell_X(\beta') \right)} \cdot \left(1 + \max_j \frac{\ell_X(\gamma_j)}{|\log \ell_X(\gamma_i)|} \right)^2 \\ (26) \quad &\leq \max_{\alpha' \in A, \beta' \in B} \frac{|\operatorname{Int}(\alpha', \beta')|}{\ell_X(\alpha')\ell_X(\beta')} \cdot (1 + \epsilon)^2. \quad (\text{by (23), (25)}) \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(27) \quad |\operatorname{Int}(\alpha', \gamma_i)| = i(\alpha', \gamma_i) \leq 1, \quad |\operatorname{Int}(\beta', \gamma_i)| = i(\beta', \gamma_i) \leq 1.$$

For each $\gamma_i \in \Gamma$, let $T_{\gamma_i}^n$ be the n -th Dehn twist around γ_i . Let α'' be one of the shortest simple closed geodesics in the Dehn twists orbit

$$\{T_{\gamma_1}^{n_1} \circ T_{\gamma_2}^{n_2} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\gamma_k}^{n_k}(\alpha') : n_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Assume that $\alpha'' = T_{\gamma_1}^{n_1} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\gamma_2}^{n_2} \circ T_{\gamma_k}^{n_k}(\alpha')$. Similarly define $\beta'' := T_{\gamma_1}^{m_1} \circ T_{\gamma_2}^{m_2} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\gamma_k}^{m_k}(\beta')$. Being shortest in their Dehn twists orbits implies that both α'' and β'' intersect every perpendicular of the collar neighbourhood $\mathcal{C}(\gamma_i)$ at most once. Applying Lemma 6.2 to (α'', α') and (β'', β') independently, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|\text{Int}(\alpha', \beta')|}{\ell_X(\alpha')\ell_X(\beta')} (1 + \epsilon)^{-2} \\ & \leq \frac{|\text{Int}(\alpha', \beta')|}{\ell_X(\alpha')\ell_X(\beta')} \cdot (1 + \max_j \frac{\kappa_5}{|\log \ell_X(\gamma_j)|})^{-2} \quad (\text{by (23), (25)}) \\ & \leq \frac{|\text{Int}(\alpha'' \cup (\cup_i n_i \gamma_i), \beta'' \cup (\cup_j m_j \gamma_j))|}{(\ell_X(\alpha'') + \sum_i |n_i| \ell_X(\gamma_i))(\ell_X(\beta'') + \sum_j |m_j| \ell_X(\gamma_j))} \\ & \leq \frac{\sum_j |m_j| \cdot |\text{Int}(\alpha'', \gamma_j)| + \sum_i |m_i| \cdot |\text{Int}(\beta'', \gamma_i)| + |\text{Int}(\alpha'', \beta'')|}{\sum_j |m_j| \ell_X(\alpha'') \ell_X(\gamma_j) + \sum_i |n_i| \ell_X(\beta'') \ell_X(\gamma_i) + \ell_X(\alpha'') \ell_X(\beta'')} \\ & \leq \max_{i,j} \left\{ \frac{|\text{Int}(\alpha'', \gamma_j)|}{\ell_X(\alpha'') \ell_X(\gamma_j)}, \frac{|\text{Int}(\beta'', \gamma_i)|}{\ell_X(\beta'') \ell_X(\gamma_i)}, \frac{|\text{Int}(\alpha'', \beta'')|}{\ell_X(\alpha'') \ell_X(\beta'')} \right\} \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \max_i \frac{1}{\ell_X(\alpha_{\gamma_i}) \ell_X(\gamma_i)}, \frac{|\text{Int}(\alpha'', \beta'')|}{\ell_X(\alpha'') \ell_X(\beta'')} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from (27) and the assumption that α_{γ_i} is a shortest nonseparating simple closed geodesic which intersects γ_i exactly once.

Next, we shall compare

$$\max_i \frac{1}{\ell_X(\alpha_{\gamma_i}) \ell_X(\gamma_i)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{|\text{Int}(\alpha'', \beta'')|}{\ell_X(\alpha'') \ell_X(\beta'')}.$$

To begin, suppose γ_1 is one of the shortest one among $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$, that is, $\ell_X(\gamma_1) = \text{sys}_h(X)$. Since α_{γ_1} is one of the shortest simple closed geodesic intersecting γ_1 exactly once, then by (17), we see that

$$\ell_X(\alpha_{\gamma_1}) \leq c_6 \cdot g(g + |\log \text{sys}_h(X)|)$$

for some universal constant c_6 . Hence

$$\max_i \frac{1}{\ell_X(\alpha_{\gamma_i}) \ell_X(\gamma_i)} \geq \frac{1}{\ell_X(\alpha_{\gamma_1}) \ell_X(\gamma_1)} \geq \frac{1}{c_6 \cdot g \cdot \text{sys}_h(X)(g + |\log \text{sys}_h(X)|)}$$

which goes to infinity as $\text{sys}_h(X) \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand, we claim that $\frac{|\text{Int}(\alpha'', \beta'')|}{\ell_X(\alpha'') \ell_X(\beta'')}$ is bounded from above. To see this, let $\mathcal{C}(\gamma_j)$ be the collar neighbourhood of γ_j . Let $X_{\text{thin}} := \cup_i \mathcal{C}(\gamma_i)$ and $X_{\text{thick}} = X \setminus X_{\text{thin}}$

be respectively the thin part and the thick part of X respectively. Then any simple closed curve in X_{thick} is of length bounded from below by some positive constant c_9 . Applying an argument similar as the proof of [MM14, Proposition 3.4] yields that

$$\frac{|\text{Int}(\alpha'' \cap X_{\text{thick}}, \beta'' \cap X_{\text{thick}})|}{\ell_X(\alpha'' \cap X_{\text{thick}})\ell_X(\beta'' \cap X_{\text{thick}})} \leq \frac{9}{c_9^2}.$$

Note that $i(\alpha'', \gamma_j) = i(\alpha', \gamma_j) \leq 1$ and $i(\beta'', \gamma_j) = i(\beta', \gamma_j) \leq 1$. Furthermore, both α'' and β'' intersect each perpendicular of the collar neighbourhood $\mathcal{C}(\gamma_j)$ at most once. Therefore, $\alpha'' \cap \mathcal{C}(\gamma_j)$ intersects $\beta'' \cap \mathcal{C}(\gamma_j)$ at most twice:

$$i(\alpha'' \cap \mathcal{C}(\gamma_j), \beta'' \cap \mathcal{C}(\gamma_j)) \leq 2 \cdot i(\alpha'', \gamma_j)i(\beta'', \gamma_j).$$

Note that the collar neighbourhood $\mathcal{C}(\gamma_i)$ of γ_i is of width at least $|\log \ell_X(\gamma_i)|$. Hence,

$$\ell_X(\alpha'' \cap X_{\text{thin}}) \geq \sum_j 2i(\alpha'', \gamma_j)|\log \ell_X(\gamma_j)|$$

and

$$\ell_X(\beta'' \cap X_{\text{thin}}) \geq \sum_j 2i(\beta'', \gamma_j)|\log \ell_X(\gamma_j)|.$$

Accordingly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|\text{Int}(\alpha'' \cap X_{\text{thin}}, \beta'' \cap X_{\text{thin}})|}{\ell_X(\alpha'' \cap X_{\text{thin}})\ell_X(\beta'' \cap X_{\text{thin}})} \\ & \leq \frac{\sum_j 2i(\alpha'', \gamma_j)i(\beta'', \gamma_j)}{\ell_X(\alpha'' \cap X_{\text{thin}})\ell_X(\beta'' \cap X_{\text{thin}})} \\ & \leq \frac{\sum_j 2i(\alpha'', \gamma_j)i(\beta'', \gamma_j)}{\sum_j 2i(\alpha'', \gamma_j)|\log \ell_X(\gamma_j)| \cdot \sum_j 2i(\beta'', \gamma_j)|\log \ell_X(\gamma_j)|} \\ & \leq \max_j \frac{2}{2|\log \ell_X(\gamma_j)| \cdot 2|\log \ell_X(\gamma_j)|} \leq \frac{1}{2|\log c_8|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|\text{Int}(\alpha'', \beta'')|}{\ell_X(\alpha'')\ell_X(\beta'')} \\ & \leq \frac{|\text{Int}(\alpha'' \cap X_{\text{thick}}, \beta'' \cap X_{\text{thick}})| + |\text{Int}(\alpha'' \cap X_{\text{thin}}, \beta'' \cap X_{\text{thin}})|}{(\ell_X(\alpha'' \cap X_{\text{thick}}) + \ell_X(\alpha'' \cap X_{\text{thin}}))(\ell_X(\beta'' \cap X_{\text{thick}}) + \ell_X(\beta'' \cap X_{\text{thin}}))} \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \frac{|\text{Int}(\alpha'' \cap X_{\text{thick}}, \beta'' \cap X_{\text{thick}})|}{\ell_X(\alpha'' \cap X_{\text{thick}})\ell_X(\beta'' \cap X_{\text{thick}})}, \frac{|\text{Int}(\alpha'' \cap X_{\text{thin}}, \beta'' \cap X_{\text{thin}})|}{\ell_X(\alpha'' \cap X_{\text{thin}})\ell_X(\beta'' \cap X_{\text{thin}})} \right\} \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \frac{9}{c_9^2}, \frac{1}{2|\log c_8|^2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 4. A pants decomposition $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_6\}$ consisting of nonseparating simple closed geodesics on a hyperbolic surface of genus three.

This completes the proof of the claim. Consequently, there exists a constant $c_{10} < c_8$ such that if $\text{sys}_h(X) \leq c_{10}$ then

$$\frac{|\text{Int}(\alpha', \beta')|}{\ell_X(\alpha')\ell_X(\beta')} \leq \max_i \left\{ \frac{1}{\ell_X(\alpha_{\gamma_i})\ell_X(\gamma_i)} \right\} \cdot (1 + \epsilon)^2.$$

Combining this with (24) and (26), we infer that

$$K(X) \leq \max_i \left\{ \frac{1}{\ell_X(\alpha_{\gamma_i})\ell_X(\gamma_i)} \right\} \cdot \frac{(1 + \epsilon)^4}{1 - \epsilon} \leq \max_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left\{ \frac{1}{\ell_X(\alpha_\gamma)\ell_X(\gamma)} \right\} \cdot \frac{(1 + \epsilon)^4}{1 - \epsilon},$$

where, by assumption, Γ is the set of simple closed geodesics of length at most 1 and α_γ is one of the shortest simple closed geodesics intersecting $\gamma \in \Gamma$ exactly once. On the other hand, the definition of $K(X)$ implies that

$$K(X) \geq \max_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left\{ \frac{1}{\ell_X(\alpha_\gamma)\ell_X(\gamma)} \right\}.$$

Hence, as $\text{sys}_h(X) \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$1 \leq \frac{K(X)}{\max_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left\{ \frac{1}{\ell_X(\alpha_\gamma)\ell_X(\gamma)} \right\}} \leq \frac{(1 + \epsilon)^4}{1 - \epsilon}.$$

The theorem then follows from the arbitrariness of ϵ . \square

6.2. Examples.

Example 1. Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{3g-3}$ be a collection of nonseparating simple closed geodesics as in Figure 4. Let $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$ be a fixed constant. Let $X_n \in \mathcal{M}_g$ be a hyperbolic surface such that $\ell_{X_n}(\gamma_1) = \frac{1}{n^\delta}$ and $\ell_{X_n}(\gamma_i) = \frac{1}{n}$ for $i \geq 2$. As $n \rightarrow \infty$, the geodesics $\gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{3g-3}$ become the homological systoles of X_n . The geodesic γ_1 has a collar neighbourhood of width $\delta \log n$ while γ_i has a collar neighbourhood of width $\log n$ for $i \geq 2$. Any nonseparating simple closed geodesic intersecting distinct from any of $\{\gamma_i\}$ has to intersect at least two distinct curves of $\{\gamma_i\}$, and hence is of length at least $2(1 + \delta) \log n = 2(1 + \delta) |\log \text{sys}_h(X_n)|$. By Theorem 1.7, we see that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K(X_n) \cdot 2(1 + \delta) \cdot \text{sys}_h(X_n) |\log \text{sys}_h(X_n)| \leq 1.$$

On the other hand, let α be one of the shortest simple closed geodesics which intersect only γ_1 and γ_2 as in Figure 4. Then $i(\alpha, \gamma_2) = 1$ and $|\ell_{X_n}(\alpha) - 2(1 + \delta) \log n| \leq C$ for some universal constant C (by (2)). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} K(X_n) &\geq \frac{|\text{Int}(\alpha, \gamma_2)|}{\ell_{X_n}(\alpha) \ell_{X_n}(\gamma_2)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{(2(1 + \delta) \log n + C) \cdot \ell_{X_n}(\gamma_2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2(1 + \delta) |\log \text{sys}_h(X_n)| + C) \cdot \text{sys}_h(X_n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equation we use the assumption that $\ell_{X_n}(\gamma_2) = \text{sys}_h(X_n) = \frac{1}{n}$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we see that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K(X_n) \cdot 2(1 + \delta) \cdot \text{sys}_h(X_n) |\log \text{sys}_h(X_n)| \geq 1.$$

In summary, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K(X_n) \cdot 2(1 + \delta) \cdot \text{sys}_h(X_n) |\log \text{sys}_h(X_n)| = 1.$$

This example shows that the asymptotic behavior of the algebraic intersection form cannot be described by a single function of the homologically systolic length.

Example 2. We continue with the notation in the previous example. Let γ'_2 be the simple closed geodesic as in Figure 4, let $\ell_{X_n}(\gamma_1) = \frac{1}{n^{1-1/n}}$, $\ell_{X_n}(\gamma'_2) = \frac{1}{n}$, $\ell_{X_n}(\gamma_i) = \frac{1}{n}$ for $i \geq 3$. Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{1}{\ell_{X_n}(\alpha) \ell_{X_n}(\gamma_1)} \sim \frac{n^{1-1/n}}{2(1 - 1/n) |\log n|} \sim \frac{n}{2 |\log n|}.$$

On the other hand, for each homological systole γ_i ($i \geq 3$), any non-separating simple closed geodesic α_{γ_i} intersecting γ_i once has to intersect at least two distinct curves among $\gamma'_2, \gamma_3, \dots, \gamma_{3g-3}$. Hence $\ell_X(\alpha_{\gamma_j}) \geq 4 \log n$. Accordingly,

$$\max_{3 \leq i \leq 3g-3} \frac{1}{\ell_{X_n}(\alpha_{\gamma_i}) \ell_{X_n}(\gamma_i)} \leq \frac{n}{4|\log n|}.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 1.7, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$K(X) \sim \frac{n}{2|\log n|}.$$

This example shows that considering only homological systoles and curves intersecting them is not sufficient for describing the asymptotic behavior of the algebraic intersection form.

REFERENCES

- [Ber76] Lipman Bers. Nielsen extensions of Riemann surfaces. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.*, 2:29–34, 1976.
- [BLM22] Julien Boulanger, Erwan Lanneau, and Daniel Massart. Algebraic intersection in regular polygons. *arXiv:2110.14235*, 2022.
- [Bou23a] Julien Boulanger. Algebraic intersection, lengths and Veech surfaces. *arXiv:2309.17165*, 2023.
- [Bou23b] Julien Boulanger. Lower bound for KVol on the minimal stratum of translation surfaces. *arXiv:2310.00130*, 2023.
- [BPS12] Florent Balacheff, Hugo Parlier, and Stéphane Sabourau. Short loop decompositions of surfaces and the geometry of Jacobians. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 22(1):37–73, 2012.
- [Bro99] Robert Brooks. Platonic surfaces. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 74(1):156–170, 1999.
- [BS94] P. Buser and P. Sarnak. On the period matrix of a Riemann surface of large genus. *Invent. Math.*, 117(1):27–56, 1994. With an appendix by J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane.
- [Bus10] Peter Buser. *Geometry and spectra of compact Riemann surfaces*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser Boston, Ltd., Boston, MA, 2010. Reprint of the 1992 edition.
- [CKM21a] Smail Cheboui, Arezki Kessi, and Daniel Massart. Algebraic intersection for translation surfaces in a family of Teichmüller disks. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 149(4):613–640, 2021.
- [CKM21b] Smail Cheboui, Arezki Kessi, and Daniel Massart. Algebraic intersection for translation surfaces in the stratum $\mathcal{H}(2)$. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 359:65–70, 2021.
- [DGK16] Jeffrey Danciger, François Guéritaud, and Fanny Kassel. Margulis spacetimes via the arc complex. *Invent. Math.*, 204(1):133–193, 2016.
- [Dum06] David Dumas. Grafting, pruning, and the antipodal map on measured laminations. *J. Differential Geom.*, 74(1):93–118, 2006.

- [FM12] Benson Farb and Dan Margalit. *A primer on mapping class groups*, volume 49 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012.
- [IT92] Y. Imayoshi and M. Taniguchi. *An introduction to Teichmüller spaces*. Springer-Verlag, Tokyo, 1992. Translated and revised from the Japanese by the authors.
- [KS05] Mikhail G. Katz and Stéphane Sabourau. Entropy of systolically extremal surfaces and asymptotic bounds. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 25(4):1209–1220, 2005.
- [KS06] Mikhail G. Katz and Stéphane Sabourau. Hyperelliptic surfaces are Loewner. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 134(4):1189–1195, 2006.
- [KS24] Mikhail G. Katz and Stéphane Sabourau. Nonpositively curved surfaces are Loewner. *arXiv:2404.00757*, 2024.
- [KSV07] Mikhail G. Katz, Mary Schaps, and Uzi Vishne. Logarithmic growth of systole of arithmetic Riemann surfaces along congruence subgroups. *J. Differential Geom.*, 76(3):399–422, 2007.
- [LS24] Qionglin Li and Weixu Su. Every closed surface of genus at least 18 is Loewner. *arXiv:2401.00720*, 2024.
- [MM14] Daniel Massart and Bjoern Muetzel. On the intersection form of surfaces. *Manuscripta Math.*, 143(1-2):19–49, 2014.
- [Par05] Hugo Parlier. Lengths of geodesics on Riemann surfaces with boundary. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, 30(2):227–236, 2005.
- [Par12] Hugo Parlier. The homology systole of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces. *Geom. Dedicata*, 157:331–338, 2012.
- [PT10] Athanase Papadopoulos and Guillaume Th  ret. Shortening all the simple closed geodesics on surfaces with boundary. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 138(5):1775–1784, 2010.
- [Thu22] William P. Thurston. Minimal stretch maps between hyperbolic surfaces. In *Collected works of William P. Thurston with commentary. Vol. I. Foliations, surfaces and differential geometry*, pages 533–585. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, [2022]   2022. 1986 preprint, 1998 eprint.
- [Tor23] Tina Torkaman. Intersection number, length, and systole on compact hyperbolic surfaces. *arXiv:2306.09249*, 2023.

MANMAN JIANG, GUANGZHOU MARITIME UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU, 510725, CHINA

Email address: `chnjiangmm@foxmail.com`

HUIPING PAN, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTH CHINA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, 510641, GUANGZHOU, CHINA

Email address: `panhp@scut.edu.cn`