

DISCRETE ITERATED INTEGRALS AND CYCLIC SUM FORMULAS

HANAMICHI KAWAMURA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider a discrete version of iterated integrals by the naive (equally divided) Riemann sum. In particular, basic three formulas for usual iterated integrals are discretized. Moreover, we proved cyclic sum formulas for discrete iterated integrals. They imply the cyclic sum formula for multiple polylogarithms.

1. INTRODUCTION

We often encounter *iterated integrals* like

$$I_{\text{dch}}(1_0; e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k}; (-1)_1) = \int_{0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_k < 1} \frac{dt_1}{t_1 - a_1} \cdots \frac{dt_k}{t_k - a_k} \quad (a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (0, 1)),$$

in recent researches of number theory, knot theory, mathematical physics, etc. One of most important examples of iterated integrals is the *multiple zeta value*, which has the expression as a nested sum other than the iterated integral:

$$(-1)^r I_{\text{dch}}(1_0; e_1 e_0^{k_1-1} \cdots e_1 e_0^{k_r-1}; (-1)_1) = \zeta(k_1, \dots, k_r) := \sum_{0 < n_1 < \cdots < n_r} \frac{1}{n_1^{k_1} \cdots n_r^{k_r}}. \quad (1.1)$$

General iterated integrals, denoted as $I_\gamma(x; w; y)$, satisfy many important formulas. The typical examples are the following.

Theorem 1.1. Let γ and γ' be paths. Denote by x_\circ (resp. y_\circ) the initial (resp. final) point of $\circ \in \{\gamma, \gamma'\}$. Assume that y_γ is equal to $x_{\gamma'}$. Then, for $a_1, \dots, a_k \in D$ and $w, w' \in \mathfrak{H}_D$, we have

- (1) (Path composition formula) $I_{\gamma\gamma'}(x_\gamma; e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k}; y_{\gamma'}) = \sum_{i=0}^k I_\gamma(x_\gamma; e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_i}; y_\gamma) I_{\gamma'}(x_{\gamma'}; e_{a_{i+1}} \cdots e_{a_k}; y_{\gamma'})$.
- (2) (Reversal formula) $I_\gamma(x_\gamma; e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k}; y_\gamma) = (-1)^k I_{\gamma^{-1}}(y_\gamma; e_{a_k} \cdots e_{a_1}; x_\gamma)$.
- (3) (Shuffle product formula) $I_\gamma(x_\gamma; w \sqcup w'; y_\gamma) = I_\gamma(x_\gamma; w; y_\gamma) I_\gamma(x_\gamma; w'; y_\gamma)$.

Recently, Maesaka, Seki and Watanabe [15] discovered that:

Theorem 1.2 ([15, Theorem 1.3]). Let N, r, k_1, \dots, k_r be positive integers. Put $k := k_1 + \cdots + k_r$ and define $S := \{0, k_1, k_1 + k_2, \dots, k_1 + \cdots + k_r\}$. Then we have

$$\sum_{\substack{0=n_0 \leq n_1 \leq \cdots \leq n_k \leq n_{k+1}=N \\ i \in S \Rightarrow n_i \neq n_{i+1}}} \left(\prod_{i \in S \setminus \{k\}} \frac{1}{N - n_{i+1}} \right) \left(\prod_{i \in \{0, \dots, k-1\} \setminus S} \frac{1}{n_{i+1}} \right) = \sum_{0 < n_1 < \cdots < n_r < N} \frac{1}{n_1^{k_1} \cdots n_r^{k_r}}.$$

Comparing this fact and (1.1), we can consider the left-hand side above as a *discretization* of $I_{\text{dch}}(1_0; e_1 e_0^{k_1-1} \cdots e_1 e_0^{k_r-1}; (-1)_1)$. In this paper, we consider a discretization $\Delta_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); w; t(\gamma))$ for more general iterated integrals and associated notions. The basic three formulas for iterated integrals, which are known as the *path composition formula*, *reversal formula* and *shuffle product formula* respectively, are discretized:

Theorem 1.3. Let γ and γ' be composable discrete paths. Then, for $a_1, \dots, a_k \in D$ and a γ -shuffleable pair $(w, w') \in (\mathfrak{H}_D)^2$, we have

- (1) (Path composition formula, Corollary 3.11)

$$\Delta_{N,\gamma\gamma'}(s(\gamma); e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k}; t(\gamma')) = \sum_{i=0}^k \Delta_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_i}; t(\gamma)) \Delta_{N,\gamma'}(s(\gamma'); e_{a_{i+1}} \cdots e_{a_k}; t(\gamma')).$$

- (2) (Reversal formula, Theorem 3.12)

$$\Delta_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k}; t(\gamma)) = (-1)^k \Delta_{N,\gamma^{-1}}(t(\gamma); e_{a_k} \cdots e_{a_1}; s(\gamma)).$$

- (3) (Asymptotic shuffle product formula, Theorem 3.17)

$$\Delta_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); w; t(\gamma)) \Delta_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); w'; t(\gamma)) = \Delta_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); w \sqcup w'; t(\gamma)) + O(N^{-1} \log^\bullet N), \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11M32.

Key words and phrases. iterated integral, discrete iterated integral, multiple polylogarithm, cyclic sum formula.

In the second main theorem, we evaluate a cyclic sum on discrete iterated integrals along a straight path.

Theorem 1.4 (= Theorem 5.3). Let $w = e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k}$ ($k \geq 2$) be an element of \mathfrak{H}_D and $\gamma = (x, y)$ be a positive straight piece. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq k \\ a_i \neq x}} \Delta_{N, \gamma}((x, +); e_{a_i} \cdots e_{a_k} e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_{i-1}} e_x; (y, -)) + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq k \\ a_i \neq y}} \Delta_{N, \gamma}((x, +); e_y e_{a_{i+1}} \cdots e_{a_k} e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_{i-1}} e_x) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq k \\ a_i \in \{x, y\}}} \Delta_{N, \gamma}((x, +); e_{a_i} \cdots e_{a_k} e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_i}; (y, -)) - \sum_{i=1}^k \Delta_{N, \gamma}((x, +); e_{a_1, \dots, a_i, a_i, \dots, a_k}; (y, -)). \end{aligned}$$

As its consequence, we show that there is a generalization of the cyclic sum formula for multiple zeta values ([10]) for multiple polylogarithms (see Corollary 5.4).

This paper is organized as follows: we give a brief review about usual iterated integrals in Section 2. After introducing discrete iterated integrals and proving basic properties in Section 3, we see how discrete iterated integrals converge to usual ones in Section 4. Finally, in Section 5, we prove the cyclic sum formula for discrete iterated integrals along a straight path.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author gratefully thanks to Prof. Minoru Hirose, Prof. Toshiki Matsusaka and Prof. Shin-ichiro Seki for kindly letting him know about their result [5].

2. REVIEW ON USUAL ITERATED INTEGRALS

In what follows, we fix a finite subset D of \mathbb{C} and put $M := \mathbb{C} \setminus D$ unless noted otherwise.

2.1. Tangential base points and paths. For $p \in D$ and a non-zero tangential vector $v \in T_p(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}$, we call a tuple $v_p := (p, v)$ a *tangential base point*, where the isomorphism is induced by the canonical coordinate of \mathbb{C} . Let $\text{pr}(v_p) := p$ for a tangential base point v_p and $\text{pr}(p) := p$ for a usual base point (= an element of $\mathbb{C} \setminus D$) p .

Definition 2.1 (Path). Let x and y be (tangential or not) base points. We call γ a path if $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a piecewise smooth path satisfying $\gamma(0) = \text{pr}(x)$, $\gamma(1) = \text{pr}(y)$, $\gamma((0, 1)) \in M$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +0} \frac{\gamma(t) - \text{pr}(x)}{t} = v \quad \text{if } x = v_{\text{pr}(x)} \text{ is tangential}$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1-0} \frac{\gamma(t) - \text{pr}(y)}{t-1} = -w \quad \text{if } y = w_{\text{pr}(y)} \text{ is tangential.}$$

The point x (resp. y) is called the *initial point* (resp. the *final point*) of γ .

The set $\pi_1(M; y, x)$ of homotopy classes for such a path γ (with the initial point x and the final point y) is defined and they admit the composition

$$\pi_1(M; y, x) \times \pi_1(M; z, y) \rightarrow \pi_1(M; z, x); (\gamma, \gamma') \mapsto \gamma\gamma'$$

and inversion $\pi_1(M; y, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(M; x, y); \gamma \mapsto \gamma^{-1}$ (cf. [1, §3.8.3]). For a path γ and real numbers a, b satisfying $0 \leq a < b \leq 1$, we put $\gamma_{a,b}(t) := \gamma((1-t)a + tb)$. Note that, for a path γ , choosing sufficiently small positive numbers z and z' , we get the path $\gamma_{z, 1-z'}$ whose initial and final point are not tangential. When $(0, 1) \cap D = \emptyset$, the path defined by $t \mapsto t$ is usually denoted by dch .

2.2. Algebraic setup. Define \mathfrak{H}_D be the non-commutative free \mathbb{Q} -algebra generated by formal symbols e_z , where z runs over D . For a path γ with the initial point x and the final point y , the subalgebra \mathfrak{H}_D^γ of \mathfrak{H}_D is defined by

$$\mathfrak{H}_D^\gamma := \mathbb{Q} \oplus \bigoplus_{a \in D \setminus \{\text{pr}(x), \text{pr}(y)\}} \mathbb{Q} e_a \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{a \in D \setminus \{\text{pr}(x)\} \\ b \in D \setminus \{\text{pr}(y)\}}} e_a \mathfrak{H}_D e_b.$$

Definition 2.2 (Shuffle product). Define a \mathbb{Q} -bilinear product \mathfrak{m} on \mathfrak{H}_D by $1 \mathfrak{m} w = w \mathfrak{m} 1 = w$ for all $w \in \mathfrak{H}_D$ and the recursive rule

$$e_a w \mathfrak{m} e_b w' = e_a(w \mathfrak{m} e_b w') + e_b(e_a w \mathfrak{m} w') \quad (a, b \in D, w, w' \in \mathfrak{H}_D).$$

This product induces an associative and commutative \mathbb{Q} -algebra structure on \mathfrak{H}_D and \mathfrak{H}_D^γ for any path γ .

Proposition 2.3. With the following structures, \mathfrak{H}_D is a Hopf \mathbb{Q} -algebra.

- (1) The product $\mathfrak{H}_D \otimes \mathfrak{H}_D \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_D$ is the shuffle product.
- (2) The unit $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_D$ is determined by $1 \mapsto 1$.

- (3) The coproduct $\mathfrak{H}_D \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_D \otimes \mathfrak{H}_D$ is determined by $\Delta^{\text{III}}(e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k}) := \sum_{i=0}^k e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_i} \otimes e_{a_{i+1}} \cdots e_{a_k} \ (a_j \in D)$.
- (4) The counit $\mathfrak{H}_D \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is determined by $e_a \mapsto 0 \ (a \in D)$.
- (5) The antipode $\mathfrak{H}_D \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_D$ is determined by $S^{\text{III}}(e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k}) := (-1)^k e_{a_k} \cdots e_{a_1}$.

2.3. Iterated integrals and regularization.

Definition 2.4 (Convergent iterated integrals). Let γ be a path with the initial point x and the final point y . For $w = e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k} \in \mathfrak{H}_D$, we put

$$I_\gamma(x; w; y) := \int_{0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_k < 1} \prod_{i=1}^k \omega_{a_i}(\gamma(t_i)),$$

where $\omega_a(t)$ is the 1-form $dt/(t-a)$. Extending it \mathbb{Q} -linearly, we define the \mathbb{Q} -linear map $I_\gamma(x; -; y): \mathfrak{H}_D^\gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We call this map (or its image) the (convergent) *iterated integral*.

Definition 2.5 (Regularized iterated integrals). Take a sufficiently small number $0 < z < 1$. Then, for any $w \in \mathfrak{H}_D$, there uniquely exists $P(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ such that

$$I_{\gamma_{z,1-z}}(\gamma(z); w; \gamma(1-z)) = P(\log z) + O(z \log^J z) \quad (z \rightarrow +0)$$

for some $J > 0$ (cf. [1, Lemma 3.351]). From these data, we define the *regularized iterated integral* by $I_\gamma(x; w; y) := P(0)$.

Remark 2.6. On the above setting, if w is an element of \mathfrak{H}_D^γ the regularized iterated integral $I_\gamma(x; w; y)$ coincides with the iterated integral in the sense of Definition 2.4.

The following three formulas are basic properties of iterated integrals.

Theorem 2.7 (= Theorem 1.1). Let γ and γ' be paths. Denote by x_\circ (resp. y_\circ) the initial (resp. final) point of $\circ \in \{\gamma, \gamma'\}$. Assume that y_γ is equal to $x_{\gamma'}$. Then, for $a_1, \dots, a_k \in D$ and $w, w' \in \mathfrak{H}_D$, we have

- (1) (Path composition formula) $I_{\gamma\gamma'}(x_\gamma; e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k}; y_{\gamma'}) = \sum_{i=0}^k I_\gamma(x_\gamma; e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_i}; y_\gamma) I_{\gamma'}(x_{\gamma'}; e_{a_{i+1}} \cdots e_{a_k}; y_{\gamma'})$.
- (2) (Reversal formula) $I_\gamma(x_\gamma; e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k}; y_\gamma) = (-1)^k I_{\gamma^{-1}}(y_\gamma; e_{a_k} \cdots e_{a_1}; x_\gamma)$.
- (3) (Shuffle product formula) $I_\gamma(x_\gamma; w \amalg w'; y_\gamma) = I_\gamma(x_\gamma; w; y_\gamma) I_\gamma(x_\gamma; w'; y_\gamma)$.

3. DISCRETE ITERATED INTEGRALS

In what follows, we fix a large positive even integer N and put $\mathbb{Z}_{/N} := \{n/N \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$. The symbol D stands for a finite subset of \mathbb{C} .

3.1. Discrete paths. In this subsection, we discretize the theory of continuous paths connecting (tangential or not) base points.

Definition 3.1 (Discrete paths). (1) The set of *endpoints* is $B := (\mathbb{Z}_{/N} \setminus D) \sqcup ((\mathbb{Z}_{/N} \cap D) \times \{1, \dots, N\})$. If $a \in D$, we also write $(a, +) := (a, N/2)$ and $(a, -) := (a, 0) := (a, N)$.

(2) Define a map $B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{/N}$; $x \mapsto \hat{x}$ as $\hat{x} := x$ if $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{/N} \setminus D$ and $\hat{x} := a$ if $x = (a, i) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{/N} \cap D) \times \{1, \dots, N\}$.

(3) We define the following three types of *pieces*:

- (a) A *positive straight piece* is an element $(x, y) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{/N})^2$ such that $x < y$ and the open interval (x, y) does not intersect with D . Its *initial point* is $(x, +)$ if $x \in D$ and is x if $x \notin D$. Its *final point* is $(y, -)$ if $y \in D$ and is y if $y \notin D$.
 - (b) A *negative straight piece* is an element $(x, y) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{/N})^2$ such that $y < x$ and the open interval $(-x, -y)$ does not intersect with D . Its initial point is $(x, -)$ if $x \in D$ and is x if $x \notin D$. Its final point is $(y, +)$ if $y \in D$ and is y if $y \notin D$.
 - (c) A *positive singular piece* is an element $(x, a, b, +)$ of $(D \cap \mathbb{Z}_{/N}) \times \{0, \dots, N\}^2 \times \{+, -\}$ such that $a < b$. Its initial point is (x, a) and final point is (x, b) .
 - (d) A *negative singular piece* is an element $(x, a, b, -)$ of $(D \cap \mathbb{Z}_{/N}) \times \{0, \dots, N\}^2 \times \{+, -\}$ such that $a < b$. Its initial point is $(x, N - b)$ and final point is $(x, N - a)$.
 - (e) A *trivial piece* is an element x of copy B' of B . Its initial and final points are x . If $p \in D$, we use the notation $(p, a, a, +) := (p, a, a, -) := (p, a) \in B'$ for every $a \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and regard it as one of singular pieces.
- (4) Define maps s (resp. t) from the set of pieces to B to be what assigns a piece to its initial (resp. final) point.
- (5) We say that a finite tuple $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r)$ ($r \geq 1$) is a *discrete path* (on $\mathbb{Z}_{/N} \setminus D$) if each γ_i is one of pieces above such that $t(\gamma_i) = s(\gamma_{i+1})$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$. Define $s(\gamma) := s(\gamma_1)$ and $t(\gamma) := t(\gamma_r)$.

Note that the above definition of discrete paths depends on D . However, since we fix D , we omit the information of D and simply say as “a discrete path γ ”.

Definition 3.2 (Operations on discrete paths).

- (1) For a discrete path $\gamma_i = (\gamma_{i,1}, \dots, \gamma_{i,r_i})$ ($i \in \{1, 2\}$) satisfying $t(\gamma_1) = s(\gamma_2)$, the *composition* $\gamma_1 \gamma_2$ is the concatenation $(\gamma_{1,1}, \dots, \gamma_{1,r_1}, \gamma_{2,1}, \dots, \gamma_{2,r_2})$ of them as tuples of pieces.
- (2) For a discrete path $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r)$, the *inverse* is a discrete path $\gamma^{-1} := (\gamma_r^{-1}, \dots, \gamma_1^{-1})$, where the inverse of pieces are defined as:
 - (a) If $\gamma_i = (x, y)$ is a (positive or negative) straight piece, put $\gamma_i^{-1} := (y, x)$.
 - (b) If $\gamma_i = (x, a, b, \sigma)$ is a (positive or negative) singular piece, put $\gamma_i^{-1} := (x, N - b, N - a, -\sigma)$.
 - (c) If γ_i is a trivial piece, its inverse is itself.

Remark 3.3. From the definition, it is clear that a discrete path $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r)$ is composition of its pieces $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_r$ by identifying the piece γ_i with the discrete path (γ_i) . Hereafter we sometimes use this identification.

Definition 3.4 (Provision of discrete paths). Let $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r)$ be a discrete path. We define the *provision* of γ as the set $S_\gamma := \bigsqcup_{i=1}^r (S_{\gamma_i} \times \{i\})$, where S_{γ_i} is determined as follows:

- (1) If $\gamma_i = (a, b)$ is a positive straight piece, $S_{\gamma_i} := \{aN + 1, \dots, bN - 1\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$.
- (2) If $\gamma_i = (a, b)$ is a negative straight piece, $S_{\gamma_i} := \{-aN + 1, \dots, -bN, -1\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$.
- (3) If $\gamma_i = (x, a, b, \sigma)$ is a (positive or negative) singular piece, S_{γ_i} is a set $\{\theta_{a+1}^\sigma, \dots, \theta_b^\sigma\}$, which consists of formal symbols θ_j^\pm (the sign represents whether the piece is positive or negative, which does not mean the inverse).
- (4) If γ_i is trivial, set $S_{\gamma_i} := \emptyset$.

For an element $n \in S_\gamma$, denote by \tilde{n} the first component of n and define $n + a := (\tilde{n} + a, i) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \{1, \dots, r\}$ for $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in S_{\gamma_i} \subseteq S_\gamma$ if γ_i is straight. The projection on the second component $S_\gamma \rightarrow \{1, \dots, r\}$ is denoted by J .

Moreover, we introduce the order $<_\gamma$ for the provision of a path $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r)$ in the following way.

- (1) $(m, i) <_\gamma (n, i + 1)$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, r - 1\}$, $(m, i) \in S_{\gamma_i}$ and $(n, i + 1) \in S_{\gamma_{i+1}}$.
- (2) $(m, i) <_\gamma (n, i)$ if γ_i is a positive or negative piece and $m < n$.
- (3) $(\theta_j^\pm, i) <_\gamma (\theta_{j+1}^\pm, i)$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, N - 1\}$ if γ_i is a (positive or negative) singular piece.

The condition that $A <_\gamma B$ or $A = B$ holds is denoted by $A \leq_\gamma B$ as usual.

Definition 3.5 (Elimination of discrete paths). Let $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r)$ be a discrete path and $m, n \in S_\gamma$ satisfying $m \leq_\gamma n$. Write $m = (\tilde{m}, i)$ and $n = (\tilde{n}, j)$. When $i \neq j$, we define a new discrete path $\gamma_{m,n}$ by $(\gamma'_i, \gamma_{i+1}, \dots, \gamma_{j-1}, \gamma''_j)$ where

$$\gamma'_i := \begin{cases} ((\tilde{m} - 1)/N, t(\widehat{\gamma_i})) & \text{if } \gamma_i \text{ is a positive straight path,} \\ (-\tilde{m}/N, t(\widehat{\gamma_i})) & \text{if } \gamma_i \text{ is a negative straight path,} \\ (x, h, b, \pm) & \text{if } \gamma_i = (x, a, b, \pm) \text{ is a (positive or negative) singular path and } \tilde{m} = \theta_h^\pm, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\gamma''_j := \begin{cases} (s(\widehat{\gamma_j}), (\tilde{n} + 1)/N) & \text{if } \gamma_j \text{ is a positive straight path,} \\ (s(\widehat{\gamma_j}), -(\tilde{n} + 1)/N) & \text{if } \gamma_j \text{ is a negative straight path,} \\ (x, a, h, \pm) & \text{if } \gamma_j = (x, a, b, \pm) \text{ is a (positive or negative) singular path and } \tilde{n} = \theta_b^\pm. \end{cases}$$

If $i = j$, we define

$$\gamma_{m,n} := \begin{cases} ((\tilde{m} - 1)/N, (\tilde{n} + 1)/N) & \text{if } \gamma_i \text{ is a positive straight path,} \\ (-\tilde{m}/N, -(\tilde{n} + 1)/N) & \text{if } \gamma_i \text{ is a negative straight path,} \\ (x, j, j', \pm) & \text{if } \gamma_i = (x, a, b, \pm) \text{ is a (positive or negative) singular path, } \tilde{m} = \theta_j^\pm \text{ and } \tilde{n} = \theta_{j'}^\pm. \end{cases}$$

If $m = n$, the path $\gamma_{m,n}$ is defined to be a trivial piece \tilde{m} if $\gamma_i (= \gamma_j)$ is straight and to be a trivial piece (x, j) if $\gamma_i = \gamma_j = (x, a, b, \pm)$ is singular, where j is the subscript of $\tilde{m} = \theta_j^\pm$.

Note that, for a discrete path γ and $a, b, m, n \in S_\gamma$ satisfying $a \leq_\gamma m \leq_\gamma n \leq_\gamma b$, there is an inclusion $S_{\gamma_{m,n}} \subseteq S_{\gamma_{a,b}} \subseteq S_\gamma$ and the iterated elimination $(\gamma_{a,b})_{m,n}$ is equal to $\gamma_{m,n}$. Moreover we see that $S_{\gamma_{a,b}} = \{n \in S_\gamma \mid a <_\gamma n <_\gamma b\}$ holds.

3.2. Definition of discrete iterated integrals.

Definition 3.6 (Discrete differential forms). Let $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r)$ be a discrete path, $n = (\tilde{n}, i) \in S_\gamma$ and $a \in D$. Then we define $\omega_a(n) \in \mathbb{C}[\theta]$ by

$$\omega_a^N(n) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\tilde{n} - aN} & \text{if } \gamma_i \text{ is a positive straight piece,} \\ \frac{1}{\tilde{n} + aN} & \text{if } \gamma_i \text{ is a negative straight piece,} \\ \frac{\theta}{N} & \text{if } \gamma_i \text{ is a positive singular piece whose first entry is } a, \\ -\frac{\theta}{N} & \text{if } \gamma_i \text{ is a negative singular piece whose first entry is } a, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, for elements $m = (\tilde{m}, i)$ and $n = (\tilde{n}, j)$ of S_γ , we define

$$\omega^N(m, n) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\tilde{n} - \tilde{m}} & \text{if } \gamma_i \text{ and } \gamma_j \text{ are both positive or negative straight pieces and } \tilde{n} \neq \tilde{m}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 3.7 (Discrete iterated integrals). Let γ be a discrete path and $w = e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k} \in \mathfrak{H}_D$. Then we define the *discrete iterated integral* by

$$\Delta_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); w; t(\gamma)) := \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_k \in S_\gamma \\ n_1 \leq_\gamma \dots \leq_\gamma n_k}} \prod_{i=1}^k \omega_{a_i}^N(n_i).$$

We put $\Delta_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); 1; t(\gamma)) := 1$. Moreover, by the \mathbb{Q} -linear extension, we define $\Delta_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); -, t(\gamma)): \mathfrak{H}_D^\gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\theta]$.

Example 3.8. Let $D = \{0, 1\}$, $w = e_1 e_0^2$ and $\gamma = (\gamma_1)$ be a discrete path which consists of only the positive straight piece $\gamma_1 = (0, 1)$. Then $S_\gamma = \{(1, 1), (2, 1), \dots, (N-1, 1)\}$ and $(i, 1) \leq_\gamma (j, 1)$ if and only if $i \leq j$. Thus we have

$$\Delta_{N,\gamma}((0, +); e_1 e_0^2; (1, -)) = \sum_{0 < n_1 \leq n_2 \leq n_3 < N} \frac{1}{(n_1 - N)n_2 n_3}.$$

Taking the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ and using [15, Theorem 1.3], we obtain $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{N,\gamma}((0, +); e_1 e_0^2; (1, -)) = I_{\text{dch}}(1_0; e_1 e_0^2; (-1)_1)$. More general examples explaining such a limit which tends to the usual iterated integral appears in §4.

3.3. Basic formulas for discrete iterated integrals.

Proposition 3.9. Let $\gamma = (x, a, b, \pm)$ is a singular piece and identify it with a discrete path (γ) . Then we have

$$\Delta_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k}; t(\gamma)) = \begin{cases} \frac{(b-a)_k}{k!} \left(\frac{\pm\theta}{N} \right)^k & \text{if } a_1 = \dots = a_k = x, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $a_1, \dots, a_k \in D$. Here $(X)_k := X(X+1) \cdots (X+k-1)$ stands for the Pochhammer symbol.

Proof. We proceed the proof by induction on k . The case where $k = 0$ is obvious. If the case for some k holds, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_{k+1}}; t(\gamma)) &= \sum_{a < n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_{k+1} \leq b} \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} \omega_{a_i}^N(\theta_{n_i}^\pm) \\ &= \sum_{a \leq n \leq b} \Delta_{N,(x,a,n,\pm)}((x, a); e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k}; (x, n)) \omega_{a_{k+1}}(\theta_n^\pm). \end{aligned}$$

If $\{a_1, \dots, a_{k+1}\} \neq \{x\}$ we obtain the desired result. Otherwise from this expression we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_{k+1}}; t(\gamma)) &= \sum_{a < n \leq b} \frac{(n-a)_k}{k!} \left(\frac{\pm\theta}{N} \right)^k \cdot \frac{\pm\theta}{N} \\ &= \left(\frac{\pm\theta}{N} \right)^{k+1} \sum_{a < n \leq b} \frac{(n-a)_k}{k!} \\ &= \left(\frac{\pm\theta}{N} \right)^{k+1} \sum_{a < n \leq b} \left(\frac{(n-a)_{k+1}}{(k+1)!} - \frac{(n-a-1)_{k+1}}{(k+1)!} \right) \\ &= \frac{(b-a)_{k+1}}{(k+1)!} \left(\frac{\pm\theta}{N} \right)^{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

The following two lemmas are obvious from the definition.

Lemma 3.10. Let $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r)$ be a discrete path and $w = e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k} \in \mathfrak{H}_D$. Then we have

$$\Delta_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k}; t(\gamma)) = \sum_{0=i_0 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_r=k} \prod_{j=1}^r \sum_{\substack{n_{i_{j-1}+1}, \dots, n_{i_j} \in S_{\gamma_j} \\ n_{i_{j-1}+1} \leq_\gamma \dots \leq_\gamma n_{i_j}}} \prod_{h=i_{j-1}+1}^{i_j} \omega_{a_h}^N(n_h).$$

Corollary 3.11 (Path composition formula). Let γ and γ' be composable discrete paths (i.e., $t(\gamma) = s(\gamma')$). Then, for $w = e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k} \in \mathfrak{H}_D$, we have

$$\Delta_{N,\gamma\gamma'}(s(\gamma); e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k}; t(\gamma')) = \sum_{i=0}^k \Delta_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_i}; t(\gamma)) \Delta_{N,\gamma'}(s(\gamma'); e_{a_{i+1}} \cdots e_{a_k}; t(\gamma')).$$

Theorem 3.12 (Reversal formula). Let γ be a discrete path. Then, for $a_1, \dots, a_k \in D$, we have

$$\Delta_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k}; t(\gamma)) = (-1)^k \Delta_{N,\gamma^{-1}}(t(\gamma); e_{a_k} \cdots e_{a_1}; s(\gamma)).$$

Proof. Let $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r)$. Applying Corollary 3.11 repeatedly, we have

$$\Delta_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k}; t(\gamma)) = \sum_{0=i_0 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_{r-1} \leq i_r=k} \prod_{j=1}^r \Delta_{N,\gamma_j}(s(\gamma_j); e_{a_{i_{j-1}+1}} \cdots e_{a_{i_j}}; t(\gamma_j))$$

and

$$\Delta_{N,\gamma^{-1}}(t(\gamma); e_{a_k} \cdots e_{a_1}; s(\gamma)) = \sum_{0=i'_0 \leq i'_1 \leq \dots \leq i'_{r-1} \leq i'_r=k} \prod_{j=1}^r \Delta_{N,\gamma_j^{-1}}(s(\gamma_{r+1-j}); e_{a_{i'_{r+1-j}}} \cdots e_{a_{i'_{r-j}+1}}; s(\gamma_{r+1-j})).$$

Since we can give a one-to-one correspondence $(i_1, \dots, i_{r-1}) \mapsto (i'_1, \dots, i'_r) := (k - i_{r-1}, \dots, k - i_1)$ between the ranges of each sum above, it is sufficient to prove

$$\Delta_{N,\gamma_j}(s(\gamma_j); e_{a_{i_{j-1}+1}} \cdots e_{a_{i_j}}; t(\gamma_j)) = (-1)^{i_j - i_{j-1} - 1} \Delta_{N,\gamma_j^{-1}}(t(\gamma_j); e_{a_{i_j}} \cdots e_{a_{i_{j-1}+1}}; s(\gamma_j))$$

for (i_1, \dots, i_{r-1}) satisfying $0 = i_0 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_{r-1} \leq i_r = k$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. If γ_j is a (positive or negative) straight path, we obtain this identity by substitution $n \mapsto -n$. When $\gamma_j = (x, a, b, \pm)$ is a singular path, from Proposition 3.9 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta_{N,\gamma_j}(s(\gamma_j); e_{a_{i_{j-1}+1}} \cdots e_{a_{i_j}}; t(\gamma_j)) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{(b-a)_{i_j-i_{j-1}}}{(i_j-i_{j-1})!} \left(\frac{\pm\theta}{N}\right)^{i_j-i_{j-1}} & \text{if } a_{i_{j-1}+1} = \dots = a_{i_j} = x, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ &= (-1)^{i_j-i_{j-1}-1} \begin{cases} \frac{((N-a)-(N-b))_{i_j-i_{j-1}}}{(i_j-i_{j-1})!} \left(\frac{\mp\theta}{N}\right)^{i_j-i_{j-1}} & \text{if } a_{i_{j-1}+1} = \dots = a_{i_j} = x, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ &= (-1)^{i_j-i_{j-1}-1} \Delta_{N,\gamma_j^{-1}}(t(\gamma_j); e_{a_{i_j}} \cdots e_{a_{i_{j-1}+1}}; s(\gamma_j)). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.13. Let $\gamma = (x, y)$ be a positive straight piece (regarded as a discrete path) and $a \in D$. Then we have

$$\sum_{xN \leq n \leq yN} \frac{1}{|n - aN|} = O(\log N), \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. For the case where $a \notin \{x, y\}$, put

$$\rho := \begin{cases} \operatorname{Re}(a) - y & \text{if } \operatorname{Re}(a) > y, \\ x - \operatorname{Re}(a) & \text{if } x > \operatorname{Re}(a), \\ \operatorname{Im}(a) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then this quantity does not depend on N and $|n - aN| \geq \rho N > 0$ always holds for an integer $xN < n < yN$. Thus we have

$$\sum_{xN < n < yN} \frac{1}{|n - aN|} \leq \frac{(y-x)N - 1}{\rho N}.$$

If $a = x$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{xN < n < yN} \frac{1}{|n - xN|} &= \sum_{0 < n < (y-x)N} \frac{1}{n} \\ &= \log N + O(1), \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The estimation for the $a = y$ case is also obtained by a similar computation. □

For treat more general convergences, we define an auxiliary algebra $\mathcal{P}_D := \mathbb{Q}\langle e_{a_1, \dots, a_m} \mid a_i \in D, m \geq 1 \rangle$ and auxiliary sums

$$\Delta_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); w; t(\gamma)) := \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_k \in S_\gamma \\ n_1 \leq \gamma \cdots \leq \gamma n_k}} \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^{m_i} \omega_{a_{i,j}}(n_i),$$

for a straight piece γ and $w = e_{a_{1,1}, \dots, a_{1,m_1}} \cdots e_{a_{k,1}, \dots, a_{k,m_k}} \in \mathcal{P}_D$. Under the same setting, we also define its variant as

$$|\Delta|_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); w; t(\gamma)) := \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_k \in S_\gamma \\ n_1 \leq \gamma \cdots \leq \gamma n_k}} \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^{m_i} |\omega_{a_{i,j}}(n_i)|.$$

Lemma 3.14. Let γ be a straight piece and $w = e_{a_{1,1}, \dots, a_{1,m_1}} \cdots e_{a_{k,1}, \dots, a_{k,m_k}} \in \mathcal{P}_D$. Put $x := \widehat{s(\gamma)}$ and $y := \widehat{t(\gamma)}$. Then we have the following:

- (1) As $N \rightarrow \infty$, the sums $\Delta_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); w; t(\gamma))$ and $|\Delta|_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); w; t(\gamma))$ are $O(\log^\bullet N)$.
- (2) $\Delta_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); w; t(\gamma)) = O(N^{-1} \log^\bullet N)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ if there exists $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $1 \leq j < j' \leq m_i$ such that $m_i \geq 2$ and $a_{i,j} \neq a_{i,j'}$.
- (3) $\Delta_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); w; t(\gamma)) = O(N^{-1} \log^\bullet N)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ if there exists $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $1 \leq j < j' \leq m_i$ such that $m_i \geq 2$ and $a_{i,j} = a_{i,j'} \in D \setminus \{x, y\}$.
- (4) $\Delta_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); w; t(\gamma)) = O(N^{-1} \log^\bullet N)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ if there exists $1 \leq i < i' \leq k$, $1 \leq j \leq m_i$ and $1 \leq j' < j'' \leq m_{i'}$ such that $m_{i'} \geq 2$, $a_{i',j'} = a_{i',j''} = x$ and $a_{i,j} \neq x$.
- (5) $\Delta_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); w; t(\gamma)) = O(N^{-1} \log^\bullet N)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ if there exists $1 \leq i' < i \leq k$, $1 \leq j \leq m_i$ and $1 \leq j' < j'' \leq m_{i'}$ such that $m_{i'} \geq 2$, $a_{i',j'} = a_{i',j''} = y$ and $a_{i,j} \neq y$.

Proof. Arguments similar to the below proofs for the positive straight case also works for the negative straight case. Thus we deal with only the positive straight case. For $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $1 \leq j \leq m_i$ define

$$\mathbf{a}_i := (a_{i,1}, \dots, a_{i,m_i})$$

and

$$\mathbf{a}_i \langle j \rangle := (a_{i,1}, \dots, a_{i,j-1}, a_{i,j+1}, \dots, a_{i,m_i})$$

- (1) For the case where γ is positive straight, it is sufficient that the latter case is proven by the triangle inequality. From Lemma 3.13 we have

$$|\Delta|_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); w; t(\gamma)) \leq \sum_{\substack{xN < n_{i,j} < yN \\ 1 \leq i \leq k \\ 1 \leq j \leq m_i}} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{|n_{i,j} - a_{i,j}N|} = O(\log^{mk} N), \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

- (2) By the partial fraction decomposition

$$\frac{1}{n_i - a_{i,j}N} \frac{1}{n_i - a_{i,j'}N} = \frac{1}{N(a_{i,j} - a_{i,j'})} \left(\frac{1}{n_i - a_{i,j}N} - \frac{1}{n_i - a_{i,j'}N} \right),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); w; t(\gamma)) &= \sum_{xN < n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_k < yN} \prod_{h=1}^k \prod_{h'=1}^{m_h} \frac{1}{n_h - a_{h,h'}N} \\ &= \frac{1}{N(a_{i,j} - a_{i,j'})} (\Delta_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); e_{\mathbf{a}_1} \cdots e_{\mathbf{a}_{i-1}} e_{\mathbf{a}_i \langle j \rangle} e_{\mathbf{a}_{i+1}} \cdots e_{\mathbf{a}_k}; t(\gamma)) \\ &\quad - \Delta_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); e_{\mathbf{a}_1} \cdots e_{\mathbf{a}_{i-1}} e_{\mathbf{a}_i \langle j' \rangle} e_{\mathbf{a}_{i+1}} \cdots e_{\mathbf{a}_k}; t(\gamma))). \end{aligned}$$

Then the desired result follows from this equality and (1). If γ is singular,

- (3) Put

$$\rho := \begin{cases} \operatorname{Re}(a_{i,j}) - y & \text{if } \operatorname{Re}(a_{i,j}) > y, \\ x - \operatorname{Re}(a_{i,j}) & \text{if } x > \operatorname{Re}(a_{i,j}), \\ \operatorname{Im}(a_{i,j}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then ρ is independent of N and the inequality $|n_i - a_{i,j}N| \geq \rho N > 0$ always holds for an integer $xN < n_{i,j} < yN$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); w; t(\gamma))| &\leq |\Delta|_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); w; t(\gamma)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\rho N} |\Delta|_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); e_{\mathbf{a}_1} \cdots e_{\mathbf{a}_{i-1}} e_{\mathbf{a}_i \langle j \rangle} e_{\mathbf{a}_{i+1}} \cdots e_{\mathbf{a}_k}; t(\gamma)) \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{=} O(N^{-1} \log^\bullet N) \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

- (4) We have

$$|\Delta|_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); w; t(\gamma)) = \sum_{xN < n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_k < yN} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq h \leq k \\ 1 \leq h' \leq m_h}} \frac{1}{|n_h - a_{h,h'}N|}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{xN < n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_k < yN} \frac{1}{|n_i - xN|} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq h \leq k \\ 1 \leq h' \leq m_h \\ (h, h') \neq (i', j')}} \frac{1}{|n_h - a_{h, h'} N|} \\
&= |\Delta|_{N, \gamma}(s(\gamma); e_{\mathbf{a}_1} \cdots e_{\mathbf{a}_{i-1}} e_{\mathbf{a}_i, x} e_{\mathbf{a}_{i+1}} \cdots e_{\mathbf{a}_{i'-1}} e_{\mathbf{a}_{i'}, j'} e_{\mathbf{a}_{i'+1}} \cdots e_{\mathbf{a}_k}; t(\gamma))
\end{aligned}$$

since $|n_{i'} - xN| \geq |n_i - xN|$. Then we can use (2) for the most right-hand side above.

(5) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Delta|_{N, \gamma}(s(\gamma); w; t(\gamma)) &= \sum_{xN < n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_k < yN} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq h \leq k \\ 1 \leq h' \leq m_h}} \frac{1}{|n_h - a_{h, h'} N|} \\
&\leq \sum_{xN < n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_k < yN} \frac{1}{|n_i - yN|} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq h \leq k \\ 1 \leq h' \leq m_h \\ (h, h') \neq (i', j')}} \frac{1}{|n_h - a_{h, h'} N|} \\
&= |\Delta|_{N, \gamma}(s(\gamma); e_{\mathbf{a}_1} \cdots e_{\mathbf{a}_{i'-1}} e_{\mathbf{a}_{i'}, j'} e_{\mathbf{a}_{i'+1}} \cdots e_{\mathbf{a}_{i-1}} e_{\mathbf{a}_i, y} e_{\mathbf{a}_{i+1}} \cdots e_{\mathbf{a}_k}; t(\gamma))
\end{aligned}$$

since $|n_{i'} - yN| \geq |n_i - yN|$. Then we can use (2) for the most right-hand side above.

□

From here we use the O -notation for also elements of $\mathbb{C}[\theta]$, that is, we write as

$$\sum_{i=0}^k a_{i, N} \theta^i = O(b_N), \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty,$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and sequences $a_{i, -} : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ($0 \leq i \leq k$) satisfying $a_{i, N} = O(b_N)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for every $0 \leq i \leq k$.

Remark 3.15. The estimations (2)–(5) appearing in Lemma 3.14 hold for also the case where γ is singular. Indeed, for $\gamma = (x, a, b, \pm)$ we easily obtain

$$\Delta_{N, \gamma}((s, \gamma); w; (t, \gamma)) = \begin{cases} \frac{(b-a)_k}{k!} \left(\frac{\pm \theta}{N} \right)^{mk} & \text{if every } a_{i, j} \text{ is } x, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 3.16. Let $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r)$ be a discrete path and $w = e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k}$ and $w' = e_{b_1} \cdots e_{b_l}$ elements of \mathfrak{H}_D . Then we say that a pair $(w, w') \in (\mathfrak{H}_D)^2$ is γ -shuffleable if, for every increasing sequences $0 = i_0 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_r = k$ and $0 = j_0 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_r = l$ and $1 \leq c \leq r$, either $a_{i_{c-1}+1}$ or $b_{j_{c-1}+1}$ (resp. a_{i_c} or b_{j_c}) does not coincide with $\widehat{s(\gamma_c)}$ (resp. $\widehat{t(\gamma_c)}$).

We remark that, if γ is a piece, the above condition asserts that $\{a_1, b_1\} \neq \{\widehat{s(\gamma)}\}$ and $\{a_k, b_l\} \neq \{\widehat{t(\gamma)}\}$.

Theorem 3.17 (Asymptotic shuffle product formula). Let γ be a discrete path and $w = e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k}$ and $w' = e_{b_1} \cdots e_{b_l}$ elements of \mathfrak{H}_D . Assume that (w, w') is γ -shuffleable. Then we have

$$\Delta_{N, \gamma}(s(\gamma); w; t(\gamma)) \Delta_{N, \gamma}(s(\gamma); w'; t(\gamma)) = \Delta_{N, \gamma}(s(\gamma); w \mathbin{\boxtimes} w'; t(\gamma)) + O(N^{-1} \log^\bullet N), \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. The proof proceeds in a way similar to [16, Proposition 2.4]. First we consider the case where γ is a piece. By definition we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta_{N, \gamma}(s(\gamma); w; t(\gamma)) \Delta_{N, \gamma}(s(\gamma); w'; t(\gamma)) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{m_1, \dots, m_k, n_1, \dots, n_l \in S_\gamma \\ m_1 \leq \gamma \cdots \leq \gamma m_k \\ n_1 \leq \gamma \cdots \leq \gamma n_l}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \omega_{a_i}^N(m_i) \right) \left(\prod_{j=1}^l \omega_{b_j}^N(n_j) \right) \\
&= \Delta_{N, \gamma}((s, \gamma); w \mathbin{\boxtimes} w'; (t, \gamma)) + \sum_{\substack{m_1, \dots, m_k, n_1, \dots, n_l \in S_\gamma \\ m_1 \leq \gamma \cdots \leq \gamma m_k \\ n_1 \leq \gamma \cdots \leq \gamma n_l \\ \exists (i, j), m_i = n_j}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \omega_{a_i}^N(m_i) \right) \left(\prod_{j=1}^l \omega_{b_j}^N(n_j) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Focusing on the second term on the right-hand side, we see that $\Delta_{N, \gamma}(s(\gamma); w; t(\gamma)) \Delta_{N, \gamma}(s(\gamma); w'; t(\gamma)) - \Delta_{N, \gamma}((s, \gamma); w \mathbin{\boxtimes} w'; (t, \gamma))$ can be decomposed to a finite sum of $\Delta_{N, \gamma}$ values satisfying one of the conditions in (2)–(5) of Lemma 3.14. Indeed, we can use (2) if $a_i \neq b_j$, (3) if $a_i = b_j \notin \{\widehat{s(\gamma)}, \widehat{t(\gamma)}\}$, (4) and the assumption $a_1 \neq \widehat{s(\gamma)}$ if $a_i = b_j = \widehat{s(\gamma)}$ or (5) and the

assumption $b_l \neq \widehat{t(\gamma)}$ if $a_i = b_j = \widehat{t(\gamma)}$. Thus (3.1) holds if γ is a piece. Next we deal with the general case. We use the temporary notation $f_i(X) := \Delta_{N,\gamma_i}(s(\gamma_i); X; t(\gamma_i))$ for $X \in \mathfrak{H}_D$. Using Corollary 3.11, we have

$$\Delta_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); w; t(\gamma)) \Delta_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); w'; t(\gamma)) = \sum_{\substack{0=i_0 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_r=k \\ 0=j_0 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_r=l}} \prod_{c=1}^r \left(f_c(e_{a_{i_{c-1}+1}} \cdots e_{a_{i_c}}) f_c(e_{b_{j_{c-1}+1}} \cdots e_{b_{j_c}}) \right).$$

From the assumption that (w, w') is γ -shuffleable and the piecewise result, we have

$$f_c(e_{a_{i_{c-1}+1}} \cdots e_{a_{i_c}}) f_c(e_{b_{j_{c-1}+1}} \cdots e_{b_{j_c}}) = f_c(e_{a_{i_{c-1}+1}} \cdots e_{a_{i_c}} \mathfrak{m} e_{b_{j_{c-1}+1}} \cdots e_{b_{j_c}}) + O(N^{-1} \log^\bullet N), \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

By this fact and Proposition 2.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mu_{\mathbb{C}} \circ (f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_r)) \circ \underbrace{\Delta^{\mathfrak{m}} \circ \cdots \circ \Delta^{\mathfrak{m}}}_{r-1} (w \mathfrak{m} w') \\ &= \sum_{\substack{w_1 \cdots w_r = w \\ w'_1 \cdots w'_r = w'}} (\mu_{\mathbb{C}} \circ (f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_r)) ((w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_r) \mathfrak{m} (w'_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w'_r)) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{w_1 \cdots w_r = w \\ w'_1 \cdots w'_r = w'}} (\mu_{\mathbb{C}} \circ (f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_r)) ((w_1 \mathfrak{m} w'_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes (w_r \mathfrak{m} w'_r)) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{0=i_0 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_r=k \\ 0=j_0 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_r=l}} \prod_{c=1}^r \left(f_c(e_{a_{i_{c-1}+1}} \cdots e_{a_{i_c}} \mathfrak{m} e_{b_{j_{c-1}+1}} \cdots e_{b_{j_c}}) \right) \\ &= \Delta_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); w; t(\gamma)) \Delta_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); w'; t(\gamma)) + O(N^{-1} \log^\bullet N), \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the symbol \mathfrak{m} for the product on $(\mathfrak{H}_D)^{\otimes r}$ induced from \mathfrak{m} by abuse of notation and $\mu_{\mathbb{C}}$ for the product for $\mathbb{C}[\theta]$. The leftmost side is $\Delta_{N,\gamma}(s(\gamma); w \mathfrak{m} w'; t(\gamma))$ by the path composition formula. This completes the proof. \square

4. RECOVERING FORMULAS FOR USUAL ITERATED INTEGRALS

In this section, we deal with the following types of paths:

Definition 4.1. (1) Define a continuous path β to be $\text{dch} \cdot \alpha \cdot \text{dch}^{-1}$, where α is the path which starts from $(-1)_1$, circles 1 counterclockwise and ends on $(-1)_1$.
 (2) Define a discrete path $\beta^\Delta = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$, where $\gamma_1 = (0, 1)$, $\gamma_2 = (1, 0, N, +)$ and $\gamma_3 = (1, 0)$.
 Moreover, we also write the straight piece $(0, 1)$ as dch^Δ .

Hereafter we assume that D contains 0 and 1 and that $D \setminus \{0\} \subseteq M' := \{z \mid |z| \geq 1\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. Put

$$\mathcal{I}' := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} z_1, \dots, z_r \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{array} \right) \left| \begin{array}{l} r \geq 1, \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, \\ z_1, \dots, z_r \in D, \\ (k_r, z_r) \neq (1, 1) \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

4.1. Preliminaries: harmonic product. Let $\mathfrak{H}^1 := \mathbb{Q} \oplus e_1 \mathbb{Q} \langle e_0, e_1 \rangle$ and $\mathfrak{H}^0 := \mathbb{Q} \oplus e_1 \mathbb{Q} \langle e_0, e_1 \rangle e_0$. Endow \mathfrak{H}^1 with a product $*$ by the following rules: $1 * w = w * 1 = w$ for every $w \in \mathfrak{H}^1$ and

$$e_a w * e_b w' := e_{ab} (w * e_b w' + e_a w * w' - e_0 (w * w'))$$

for $a, b \in \{0, 1\}$ and $w, w' \in \mathfrak{H}^1$. This provides a \mathbb{Q} -algebra structure on \mathfrak{H}^1 and its subalgebra structure on \mathfrak{H}^0 .

Proposition 4.2 (Hoffman [9]). Every $w \in \mathfrak{H}^1$ has the expression

$$w = \sum_{i=0}^n w_i * e_1^i,$$

by the unique non-negative integer n and $w_i \in \mathfrak{H}^0$.

The constant term w_0 on the above proposition is denoted by $\text{reg}_*(w)$. Moreover we introduce a variant of harmonic regularization in [12].

Definition 4.3. Assume that a sequence $a: \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ has the asymptotic expansion of type

$$a_N = P(\log N + \gamma) + O(N^{-1} \log^\bullet N), \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $P(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ and γ denotes the Euler constant. Note that such an expansion is unique if it exists. Then we define

$$\text{Reg}_{N \rightarrow \infty}^* a_N := P(0).$$

Moreover, for $f \in (\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}})[\theta]$, we extend the definition as

$$\text{Reg}_{N \rightarrow \infty}^* f(N, \theta) := \sum_{i=0}^n \left(\text{Reg}_{N \rightarrow \infty}^* a_{N,i} \right) \theta^i,$$

where we write the coefficients as $f(N, \theta) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_{N,i} \theta^i$ and note that the degree n does not depend on N .

Remark 4.4. The operation $\text{Reg}_{N \rightarrow \infty}^*$ is compatible with the addition and product. Furthermore, when $\{a_n\}_n$ converges, $\text{Reg}_{N \rightarrow \infty}^* a_N = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} a_N$ holds.

Definition 4.5. For $w \in \mathfrak{H}_D$ and $\gamma \in \{\text{dch}, \beta\}$, we define

$$I_\gamma^\Delta(w) := \text{Reg}_{N \rightarrow \infty}^* \Delta_{N, \gamma \Delta}(s(\gamma); w; t(\gamma)).$$

Lemma 4.6. Let $k_1, \dots, k_r \geq 1$. Then we have

$$I_{\text{dch}}^\Delta(e_1 e_0^{k_1-1} \cdots e_1 e_0^{k_r-1}) = (-1)^r \zeta^*(k_1, \dots, k_r),$$

where ζ^* stands for the harmonic regularization of multiple zeta values:

$$\zeta^*(k_1, \dots, k_r) := \text{Reg}_{N \rightarrow \infty}^* \sum_{0 < n_1 < \cdots < n_r < N} \frac{1}{n_1^{k_1} \cdots n_r^{k_r}}.$$

Proof. Combining [15, Theorem 1.3] and Lemma 3.14, we have

$$\Delta_{N, (0,1)}((0, +); u; (1, -)) = Z_{<N}(u) + O(N^{-1} \log^\bullet N),$$

for $u \in \mathfrak{H}^1$, where $Z_{<N}: \mathfrak{H}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is the \mathbb{Q} -linear map determined by $1 \mapsto 1$ and

$$e_1 e_0^{l_1-1} \cdots e_1 e_0^{l_s-1} \mapsto (-1)^s \sum_{0 < n_1 < \cdots < n_s < N} \frac{1}{n_1^{l_1} \cdots n_s^{l_s}}, \quad (l_1, \dots, l_s \geq 1).$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\text{dch}}^\Delta(e_1 e_0^{k_1-1} \cdots e_1 e_0^{k_r-1}) &= \text{Reg}_{N \rightarrow \infty}^* \Delta_{N, (0,1)}((0, +); w; (1, -)) \\ &= \text{Reg}_{N \rightarrow \infty}^* Z_{<N}(w) \\ &= (-1)^r \zeta^*(k_1, \dots, k_r), \end{aligned}$$

where $w = e_1 e_0^{k_1-1} \cdots e_1 e_0^{k_r-1}$. □

4.2. The straight case.

Theorem 4.7 (Hirose–Matsusaka–Seki [5]). Let $\iota = \begin{pmatrix} z_1, \dots, z_r \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{I}'$. Then we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{N, (0,1)}((0, +); W(\iota); (1, -)) = I_{\text{dch}, 1}(1_0; W(\iota); (-1)_1).$$

Proof. Combine [5, Theorem 1.2] and [5, Proposition 2.5]. □

Definition 4.8. The *multiple polylogarithm* (of shuffle-type) is defined by

$$\text{Li}^\square \begin{pmatrix} z_1, \dots, z_r \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{pmatrix} := \sum_{0 < n_1 < \cdots < n_r} \frac{z_1^{n_1} z_2^{n_2-n_1} \cdots z_r^{n_r-n_{r-1}}}{n_1^{k_1} \cdots n_r^{k_r}}$$

for complex numbers z_1, \dots, z_r and $k_1, \dots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ satisfying $\begin{pmatrix} z_1^{-1}, \dots, z_r^{-1} \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{I}'$.

For $\iota = \begin{pmatrix} z_1, \dots, z_r \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{I}'$, put

$$W(\iota) := e_{z_1} e_0^{k_1-1} \cdots e_{z_r} e_0^{k_r-1}.$$

Then it holds that

$$I_{\text{dch}, 1}(1_0; W(\iota); (-1)_1) = (-1)^r \text{Li}^\square \begin{pmatrix} 1/z_1, \dots, 1/z_r \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, combining this and Theorem 4.7 we obtain

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{N, (0,1)}((0, +); W(\iota); (1, -)) = (-1)^r \text{Li}^\square \begin{pmatrix} 1/z_1, \dots, 1/z_r \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.1)$$

For the next subsection, we introduce the extension

$$L^{\mathfrak{m}} \left(\begin{matrix} z_1, \dots, z_r \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{matrix} \right) := I_{\text{dch}}^{\Delta} (e_{1/z_1} e_0^{k_1-1} \dots e_{1/z_r} e_0^{k_r-1}),$$

for $k_1, \dots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $z_1, \dots, z_r \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $z_i^{-1} \in D$.

4.3. Variants of symmetric multiple polylogarithms. In this subsection, imitating the construction of ζ^{RS} in [4], we show that the symmetric multiple polylogarithms and symmetric multiple zeta values are recovered from discrete iterated integrals. For a polynomial $P(\theta) = a_0 + \dots + a_n \theta^n \in \mathbb{C}[\theta]$, we put $P(\theta)[\theta] := a_1$.

Theorem 4.9. Let α, k_1, \dots, k_r be positive integers and $z_1, \dots, z_{r+1} \in D$. Assume that $u/z_i \in D$ for every $1 \leq i \leq r+1$ and $u \in D$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \in D} u^{\alpha} I_{\beta}^{\Delta} (e_{u/z_1} e_0^{k_1-1} \dots e_{u/z_r} e_0^{k_r-1} e_{u/z_{r+1}}) [\theta] \\ = \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^{k_{i+1} + \dots + k_r} z_{i+1}^{\alpha} L^{\mathfrak{m}} \left(\begin{matrix} z_1/z_{i+1}, \dots, z_i/z_{i+1} \\ k_1, \dots, k_i \end{matrix} \right) L^{\mathfrak{m}} \left(\begin{matrix} z_{r+1}/z_{i+1}, \dots, z_{i+2}/z_{i+1} \\ k_r, \dots, k_{i+1} \end{matrix} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Set $k := k_1 + \dots + k_r$ and

$$w_u := e_{a_1} \dots e_{a_{k+1}} := e_{u/z_1} e_0^{k_1-1} \dots e_{u/z_r} e_0^{k_r-1} e_{u/z_{r+1}}$$

for $u \in D$. By the (discrete) path composition formula (Corollary 3.11), for each $u \in D$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{N, \beta \Delta}((0, +); w_u; (+, 0)) \\ = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq j \leq k+1} \Delta_{N, \text{dch} \Delta}((0, +); e_{a_1} \dots e_{a_i}; (1, -)) \Delta_{N, \alpha \Delta}((1, -); e_{a_{i+1}} \dots e_{a_j}; (1, -)) \Delta_{N, (\text{dch} \Delta)^{-1}}((1, -); e_{a_{j+1}} \dots e_{a_k}; (0, +)). \end{aligned}$$

Since Proposition 3.9 guarantees that $\Delta_{N, \alpha \Delta}((1, -); e_{a_{i+1}} \dots e_{a_j}; (1, -))$ only if $a_{i+1} = \dots = a_j = 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{N, \beta \Delta}((0, +); w_u; (+, 0)) \\ = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq j \leq r \\ k_{i+1} = \dots = k_j = 1 \\ z_{i+1} = \dots = z_{j+1} = u}} \Delta_{N, \text{dch} \Delta}((0, +); e_{u/z_1} e_0^{k_1-1} \dots e_{u/z_i} e_0^{k_i-1}; (1, -)) \frac{(N)_{j-i+1}}{(j-i+1)!} \left(\frac{\theta}{N} \right)^{j-i+1} \\ \cdot \Delta_{N, (\text{dch} \Delta)^{-1}}((1, -); e_0^{k_{j+1}-1} e_{u/z_{j+2}} \dots e_0^{k_r-1} e_{u/z_{r+1}}; (0, +)). \end{aligned}$$

Applying $\text{Reg}_{N \rightarrow \infty}^* \sum_{u \in D}$ after taking the coefficients at θ on both sides, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \in D} u^{\alpha} I_{\beta}^{\Delta} (w_u) [\theta] &= \text{Reg}_{N \rightarrow \infty}^* \sum_{u \in D} u^{\alpha} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq j \leq r \\ k_{i+1} = \dots = k_j = 1 \\ z_{i+1} = \dots = z_{j+1} = u}} \Delta_{N, \text{dch} \Delta}((0, +); e_{u/z_1} e_0^{k_1-1} \dots e_{u/z_i} e_0^{k_i-1}; (1, -)) \\ &\quad \cdot \Delta_{N, (\text{dch} \Delta)^{-1}}((1, -); e_0^{k_{j+1}-1} e_{u/z_{j+2}} \dots e_0^{k_r-1} e_{u/z_{r+1}}; (0, +)) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq i \leq r} z_{i+1}^{\alpha} \text{Reg}_{N \rightarrow \infty}^* \left(\Delta_{N, \text{dch} \Delta}((0, +); e_{z_{i+1}/z_1} e_0^{k_1-1} \dots e_{z_{i+1}/z_i} e_0^{k_i-1}; (1, -)) \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \Delta_{N, (\text{dch} \Delta)^{-1}}((1, -); e_0^{k_{j+1}-1} e_{z_{i+1}/z_{j+2}} \dots e_0^{k_r-1} e_{z_{i+1}/z_{r+1}}; (0, +)) \Big) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq i \leq r} (-1)^{k_{i+1} + \dots + k_r} z_{i+1}^{\alpha} \text{Reg}_{N \rightarrow \infty}^* \left(((0, +); e_{z_{i+1}/z_1} e_0^{k_1-1} \dots e_{z_{i+1}/z_i} e_0^{k_i-1}; (1, -)) \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \Delta_{N, \text{dch} \Delta}((0, +); e_{z_{i+1}/z_{r+1}} e_0^{k_r-1} \dots e_{z_{i+1}/z_{i+2}} e_0^{k_{i+1}-1}; (1, -)) \Big) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq i \leq r} (-1)^{k_{i+1} + \dots + k_r} z_{i+1}^{\alpha} L^{\mathfrak{m}} \left(\begin{matrix} z_1/z_{i+1}, \dots, z_i/z_{i+1} \\ k_1, \dots, k_i \end{matrix} \right) L^{\mathfrak{m}} \left(\begin{matrix} z_{r+1}/z_{i+1}, \dots, z_{i+2}/z_{i+1} \\ k_r, \dots, k_{i+1} \end{matrix} \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 4.10. In addition to the assumption in Theorem 4.9, we assume that $z_i \neq z_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ satisfying $k_i = 1$. Then we see that the right-hand side of Theorem 4.9 coincides with the symmetric multiple polylogarithm $\mathcal{L}_{S, \alpha} \left(\begin{matrix} z_1, \dots, z_r \\ k_1, \dots, k_r \end{matrix} \right)$ (for definition, take modulo t in [13, Definition 4.15]. See also [2, Definition B.1.1]).

Corollary 4.11. Let k_1, \dots, k_r be positive integers and $D = \{0, 1\}$. Then we have

$$I_{\beta}^{\Delta}(e_1 e_0^{k_1-1} \cdots e_1 e_0^{k_r-1} e_1)[\theta] = \zeta_S^*(k_1, \dots, k_r) := \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^{k_{i+1} + \cdots + k_r} \zeta^*(k_1, \dots, k_i) \zeta^*(k_r, \dots, k_{i+1}).$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 4.9 and Lemma 4.6. \square

5. CYCLIC SUM FORMULAS FOR DISCRETE ITERATED INTEGRALS

In this section, we prove the cyclic sum formulas for discrete iterated integrals along a straight piece. Throughout this section, the symbol γ always stands for a positive straight piece (x, y) such that $x, y \in D$.

Definition 5.1 (Hoffman–Ohno type sum). Let $w = e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k}$ be an element of \mathfrak{H}_D . We define

$$\Delta_{N,\gamma}^O((x, +); w; (y, -)) := \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_{k+1} \in S_\gamma \\ n_1 \leq_\gamma \cdots \leq_\gamma n_{k+1}}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \omega_{a_i}^N(n_i) \right) (\omega^N(n_1, n_{k+1}) - \omega_x^N(n_{k+1}))$$

and

$$\Delta_{N,\gamma}^{O'}((x, +); w; (y, -)) := \sum_{\substack{n_0, \dots, n_k \in S_\gamma \\ n_0 \leq_\gamma \cdots \leq_\gamma n_k}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \omega_{a_i}^N(n_i) \right) (\omega_y^N(n_0) - \omega^N(n_k, n_0))$$

Proposition 5.2 (Transport relations). Let a_1, \dots, a_k, z ($k \geq 1$) be elements of D and put $w = e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & \Delta_{N,\gamma}^O((x, +); we_z; (y, -)) = \Delta_{N,\gamma}^{O'}((x, +); we_z; (y, -)), \\ (2) \quad & \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{N,\gamma}^{O'}((x, +); we_z; (y, -)) &= \Delta_{N,\gamma}^O((x, +); e_z w; (y, -)) + (1 - \delta_{x,z}) \Delta_{N,\gamma}((x, +); e_z we_x; (y, -)) \\ &+ (1 - \delta_{y,z}) \Delta_{N,\gamma}((x, +); e_y we_z; (y, -)) - (1 - \delta_{x,z})(1 - \delta_{y,z}) \Delta_{N,\gamma}((x, +); e_z we_z; (y, -)) + \Delta_{N,\gamma}((x, +); e_{z, a_1, \dots, a_k, z}; (y, -)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

(1) By definition we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{N,\gamma}^O((x, +); we_z; (y, -)) &= \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_{k+1}, n_{k+2} \in S_\gamma \\ n_1 \leq_\gamma n_{k+1} \leq_\gamma n_{k+2}}} \omega_{a_1}(n_1) \Delta_{N,\gamma_{n_1, n_k}}(s(\gamma_{n_1, n_k}); e_{a_2} \cdots e_{a_k}; t(\gamma_{n_1, n_k})) \omega_z(n_k) (\omega^N(n_1, n_{k+1}) - \omega_x^N(n_{k+1})). \end{aligned}$$

Let us compute the sum about n_{k+1} . For $m, n \in S_\gamma$ satisfying $m \leq_\gamma n$, define

$$A(m, n) := \sum_{\substack{c \in S_\gamma \\ n \leq_\gamma c}} (\omega^N(m, c) - \omega_x^N(c))$$

and

$$B(m, n) := \sum_{\substack{d \in S_\gamma \\ d \leq_\gamma m}} (\omega_y^N(d) - \omega^N(n, d)).$$

It suffices to prove $A(m, n) = B(m, n)$. If $m \neq n$, by making the sum telescopic, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(m, n) &= \sum_{\tilde{n} \leq c < yN} \left(\frac{1}{c - \tilde{m}} - \frac{1}{c - xN} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\tilde{n} \leq c < yN} \sum_{xN < d \leq \tilde{m}} \left(\frac{1}{c - d} - \frac{1}{c - (d - 1)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{xN < d \leq \tilde{m}} \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{n} - d} - \frac{1}{yN - d} \right) \\ &= B(m, n). \end{aligned}$$

The $m = n$ case is also proved by a similar argument.

(2) In the definition

$$\Delta_{N,\gamma}'((x,+); we_z; (y,-)) = \sum_{\substack{n_0, n_{k+1} \in S_\gamma \\ n_0 \leq_\gamma n_{k+1}}} \Delta_{N,\gamma_{n_0, n_{k+1}}}(s(\gamma_{n_0, n_{k+1}}); w; t(\gamma_{n_0, n_{k+1}})) \omega_z^N(n_{k+1}) \left(\omega_y^N(n_0) - \omega^N(n_{k+1}, n_0) \right),$$

we can apply the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_z^N(n_{k+1}) \left(\omega_y^N(n_0) - \omega^N(n_{k+1}, n_0) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n_{k+1} - zN} \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{n}_0 - yN} - \frac{1}{\tilde{n}_0 - n_{k+1}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\tilde{n}_0 - zN} \left(\frac{1}{n_{k+1} - \tilde{n}_0} - \frac{1}{n_{k+1} - xN} \right) + \frac{1 - \delta_{x,z}}{\tilde{n}_0 - zN} \frac{1}{n_{k+1} - xN} + \frac{1 - \delta_{y,z}}{\tilde{n}_0 - yN} \frac{1}{n_{k+1} - zN} - \frac{1 - \delta_{x,z}}{\tilde{n}_0 - zN} \frac{1 - \delta_{y,z}}{n_{k+1} - zN} \\ &= \omega_z^N(n_0) (\omega^N(n_0, n_{k+1}) - \omega_x^N(n_{k+1})) + (1 - \delta_{x,z}) \omega_z^N(n_0) \omega_x^N(n_{k+1}) \\ & \quad + (1 - \delta_{y,z}) \omega_y^N(n_0) \omega_z^N(n_{k+1}) - (1 - \delta_{x,z})(1 - \delta_{y,z}) \omega_z^N(n_0) \omega_z^N(n_{k+1}), \end{aligned}$$

when $n_0 \neq n_{k+1}$. If $n_0 = n_{k+1}$, such a composition becomes the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_z^N(n_{k+1}) \left(\omega_y^N(n_0) - \omega^N(n_{k+1}, n_0) \right) \\ &= \omega_z^N(n_0) (\omega^N(n_0, n_{k+1}) - \omega_x^N(n_{k+1})) + (1 - \delta_{x,z}) \omega_z^N(n_0) \omega_x^N(n_{k+1}) \\ & \quad + (1 - \delta_{y,z}) \omega_y^N(n_0) \omega_z^N(n_{k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain the result by summing up them. \square

Theorem 5.3 (Cyclic sum formula). Let $w = e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k}$ ($k \geq 2$) be an element of \mathfrak{H}_D . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq k \\ a_i \neq x}} \Delta_{N,\gamma}((x,+); e_{a_i} \cdots e_{a_k} e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_{i-1}} e_x; (y,-)) + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq k \\ a_i \neq y}} \Delta_{N,\gamma}((x,+); e_y e_{a_{i+1}} \cdots e_{a_k} e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_{i-1}} e_x) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq k \\ a_i \in \{x,y\}}} \Delta_{N,\gamma}((x,+); e_{a_i} \cdots e_{a_k} e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_i}; (y,-)) - \sum_{i=1}^k \Delta_{N,\gamma}((x,+); e_{a_1, \dots, a_i, a_i, \dots, a_k}; (y,-)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using two assertions in Proposition 5.2 and putting $w = e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_{k-1}}$ and $z = a_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{N,\gamma}^O((x,+); e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k}; (y,-)) &= \Delta_{N,\gamma}^O((x,+); e_{a_k} e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_{k-1}}; (y,-)) + (1 - \delta_{x,a_k}) \Delta_{N,\gamma}((x,+); e_{a_k} e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_{k-1}} e_x; (y,-)) \\ & \quad + (1 - \delta_{y,a_k}) \Delta_{N,\gamma}((x,+); e_y e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k}; (y,-)) - (1 - \delta_{x,a_k})(1 - \delta_{y,a_k}) \Delta_{N,\gamma}((x,+); e_{a_k} e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k}; (y,-)) \\ & \quad + \Delta_{N,\gamma}((x,+); e_{a_1, \dots, a_i, a_i, \dots, a_k}; (y,-)), \end{aligned}$$

Applying this equation k times derives that the $\Delta_{N,\gamma}^O$ term vanishes and thus obtain the desired formula. \square

Making the setting suitable for multiple polylogarithms in the above theorem, we obtain the cyclic sum formulas for them as below. This is a generalization of that for multiple L -values ([14]).

Corollary 5.4 (Cyclic sum formula for multiple polylogarithms). Let k_1, \dots, k_r ($r \geq 1$) be positive integers and z_1, \dots, z_r complex numbers $|z_i| \leq 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. Suppose that $\{z_1, \dots, z_r\} \neq 1$ if $k_1 = \dots = k_r = 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^r \text{Li}^{\mathfrak{m}} \left(\begin{matrix} z_{i+1}, \dots, z_r, z_1, \dots, z_i \\ k_{i+1}, \dots, k_r, k_1, \dots, k_{i-1}, k_i + 1 \end{matrix} \right) &= \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=0}^{k_i-2} \text{Li}^{\mathfrak{m}} \left(\begin{matrix} 1, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_r, z_1, \dots, z_i \\ j+1, k_{i+1}, \dots, k_r, k_1, \dots, k_{i-1}, k_i - j \end{matrix} \right) \\ & \quad + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ z_i \neq 1}} \text{Li}^{\mathfrak{m}} \left(\begin{matrix} 1, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_r, z_1, \dots, z_i \\ k_{i+1}, \dots, k_r, k_1, \dots, k_i, 1 \end{matrix} \right) - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ z_i \neq 1}} \text{Li}^{\mathfrak{m}} \left(\begin{matrix} z_i, \dots, z_r, z_1, \dots, z_i \\ k_{i+1}, \dots, k_r, k_1, \dots, k_i, 1 \end{matrix} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Put $e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_k} = e_{1/z_1} e_0^{k_1-1} \cdots e_{1/z_r} e_0^{k_r-1}$ in Theorem 5.3. The assumption guarantees that $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\} \neq 1$ and then we see that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{N,\gamma}((x,+); e_{a_1, \dots, a_i, a_i, \dots, a_k}; (y,-)) = 0$$

by Lemma 3.14. Thus we have the theorem by using (4.1). \square

6. EXPECTED FUTURE WORKS

- (1) Using notion of the discrete pullback (cf. [3, Definition 3.5.2]), it is expected that iterated integrals along more general paths are well discretized. The cyclic sum formulas for more general paths (for example, that for symmetric multiple zeta values [8]) are as well.
- (2) In the definition of discrete iterated integrals, permitting e_z 's for $(z, i) \in S_\gamma$, one may obtain new (asymptotic) relations. In particular, we expect that, by extending the proof of Theorem 5.3, we may have the derivation relation for multiple zeta values ([12]) and its generalization to multiple polylogarithms.
- (3) There are many formulas other than what treats cyclic sums, which is valid for general iterated integrals or hyperlogarithms, such as the confluence relation ([6, Proposition 6.9]), block shuffle identity ([7, Theorem 42]), etc. Do they have any good discretization?

REFERENCES

- [1] J. I. Burgos Gil and J. Fresán, *Multiple zeta values: from numbers to motives*, to appear in Clay Math. Proc.
- [2] D. Jarossay, *Adjoint cyclotomic multiple zeta values and cyclotomic multiple harmonic values*, preprint, [arXiv:1412.5099v4](#).
- [3] A. N. Hirani, *Discrete exterior calculus*, doctoral thesis in California Institute of Technology, 2003.
- [4] M. Hirose, *Double shuffle relations for refined symmetric multiple zeta values*, Doc. Math. **25** (2020), 365–380.
- [5] M. Hirose, T. Matsusaka and S. Seki, *A discretization of the iterated integral expression of the multiple polylogarithm*, preprint, [arXiv:2404.15210](#).
- [6] M. Hirose and S. Sato, *The motivic Galois group of mixed Tate motives over $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ and its action on the fundamental group of $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, \pm 1, \infty\}$* , preprint, [arXiv:2007.04288](#).
- [7] M. Hirose and S. Sato, *Block shuffle identities for multiple zeta values*, preprint, [arXiv:2206.03458](#).
- [8] M. Hirose, H. Murahara and M. Ono, *On variants of symmetric multiple zeta-star values and the cyclic sum formula*, Ramanujan J. (2021).
- [9] M. E. Hoffman, *The algebra of multiple harmonic series*, J. Algebra **194** (1997), 477–495.
- [10] M. E. Hoffman and Y. Ohno, *Relations of multiple zeta values and their algebraic expression*, J. Algebra **262** (2003), 332–347.
- [11] A. B. Goncharov, *Multiple polylogarithms and mixed Tate motives*, preprint, [arXiv:math/0103059](#).
- [12] K. Ihara, M. Kaneko and D. Zagier, *Derivation and double shuffle relations for multiple zeta values*, Compos. Math. **142** (2006), 307–338.
- [13] H. Kawamura, *Iterated integrals for associated with colored rooted tree*, preprint, [arXiv:2209.13293](#).
- [14] G. Kawashima, T. Tanaka and N. Wakabayashi, *Cyclic sum formula for multiple L -values*, J. Algebra **348** (2011), 336–349.
- [15] T. Maesaka, S. Seki and T. Watanabe, *Deriving two dualities simultaneously from a family of identities for multiple harmonic sums*, preprint, [arXiv:2402.05730](#).
- [16] S. Seki, *A proof of the extended double shuffle relation without using integrals*, preprint, [arXiv:2402.18300](#).

(Hanamichi Kawamura) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE DIVISION I, TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE, 1-3 KAGURAZAKA, SHINJUKU-KU, TOKYO, 162-8601, JAPAN
Email address: 1121026@ed.tus.ac.jp