

CENTRAL CHARGES IN LOCAL MIRROR SYMMETRY VIA HYPERGEOMETRIC DUALITY

ZENGRUI HAN

ABSTRACT. We apply the better-behaved GKZ hypergeometric systems to study toric Calabi-Yau Deligne-Mumford stacks and their Hori-Vafa mirrors given by affine hypersurfaces in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^d$. We show the equality between A-brane and B-brane central charges, in terms of period integrals and hypergeometric series respectively. This settles a conjecture of Hosono, which could also be considered as a generalization of the Gamma conjecture for local mirror symmetry.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Toric stacks, orbifold cohomology and K-groups	7
3. Central charges as solutions to better-behaved GKZ systems	10
4. Asymptotic behavior of period integrals via tropical geometry	14
5. Equality of A-brane and B-brane central charges	23
Appendix A. Residual volume and orbifold cohomology	26
Appendix B. An identity of Beta function	30
References	31

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Toric Calabi-Yau Deligne-Mumford stacks and their Landau-Ginzburg mirrors. Let N be a lattice of rank $d + 1$, and Δ be a lattice polytope of dimension d which lies on a primitive hyperplane $\deg(-) = 1$ in $N_{\mathbb{R}} := N \otimes \mathbb{R}$ where $\deg : N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a linear function. Let C be the $(d + 1)$ -dimensional finite rational polyhedral cone in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ over the polytope Δ , i.e., $C := \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(\Delta \oplus 1)$. This data encodes an affine toric variety with Gorenstein singularities $X = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[C^{\vee} \cap N^{\vee}]$, in the sense that its dualizing sheaf is trivial.

Let $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a subset of lattice points in the polytope Δ that includes all the vertices. For each regular triangulation of the polytope Δ that involves some points in $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$, the corresponding subdivision Σ of the cone C defines a smooth toric Deligne-Mumford stack \mathbb{P}_{Σ} in the sense of Borisov-Chen-Smith [5], where $\Sigma := (\Sigma, \{v_i\})$ is the stacky fan whose distinguished lattice points on the rays are chosen to be the primitive ray generators v_i 's,

providing a crepant resolution of the affine Gorenstein toric variety X . The toric stack \mathbb{P}_Σ is Calabi-Yau in the sense that its canonical class is trivial.

Consider the hypersurface defined as $Z_f := (f = 0) \subseteq (\mathbb{C}^*)^d$ where f is a Laurent polynomial defined as

$$f(z_1, \dots, z_d) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i z^{\bar{v}_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot z_1^{v_{i1}} \cdots z_d^{v_{id}}$$

Here, we denote by \bar{v}_i the d -dimensional vector obtained from v_i by deleting the last coordinate 1. In this paper, we adopt the idea that the family of hypersurfaces $\{Z_f\}$ should be viewed as the mirror family of the smooth toric Calabi-Yau Deligne Mumford stack \mathbb{P}_Σ . Note that this is a generalization of the Hori-Vafa mirror [16], where each cone in Σ is assumed to be unimodular, thus the corresponding toric stack is a smooth variety.

According to Kontsevich's homological mirror symmetry conjecture, the bounded derived category $D^b(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$ of coherent sheaves (category of B-branes) on the toric stack \mathbb{P}_Σ should be equivalent to some appropriately defined Fukaya-Seidel category of the Landau-Ginzburg model¹ $((\mathbb{C}^*)^d, f)$ (category of A-branes):

$$D^b(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\sim} FS((\mathbb{C}^*)^d, f) \quad (1.1)$$

While the mathematical meaning of the former is clear, the definition of the latter seems to be subtle. However, in any sense, the objects in a reasonably defined Fukaya-type category of $((\mathbb{C}^*)^d, f)$ should be Lagrangian submanifolds of the torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^d$ which satisfies certain admissibility condition that take the potential f into account.

Another mathematical formulation of mirror symmetry is provided by the SYZ conjecture. Roughly speaking, it predicts that two spaces that are mirror to each other should arise from dual special Lagrangian torus fibrations over some base space. In our setting, the fibration on the mirror side is given by the logarithmic map

$$\text{Log} : (\mathbb{C}^*)^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, (z_1, \dots, z_d) \mapsto (\log |z_1|, \dots, \log |z_d|) \quad (1.2)$$

Furthermore, the SYZ conjecture predicts that under the equivalence (1.1), the mirror cycles of line bundles (resp. skyscraper sheaves) on \mathbb{P}_Σ should be Lagrangian sections (fibers) of the fibration (1.2). In particular, when the coefficients of the Laurent polynomial f are all positive real numbers, the mirror cycle of the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Sigma}$ should be the positive real section $(\mathbb{R}_{>0})^d \subseteq (\mathbb{C}^*)^d$, and those of arbitrary line bundles corresponding to torus-invariant divisors $\sum a_i D_i$ are obtained by parallel transport of $(\mathbb{R}_{>0})^d$.

¹Iritani suggested to the author that it might be more natural to consider the Landau-Ginzburg model $((\mathbb{C}^*)^{d+1}, z_{d+1} \cdot f)$ of one-dimensional higher and its associated Fukaya-Seidel category. The period integrals considered in this paper could be obtained from the oscillatory integrals of this new LG model. Investigating this direction would be an interesting project.

1.2. Central charges as solutions to better-behaved GKZ systems.

In this paper, instead of studying the HMS statement of the Hori-Vafa mirror pair in such generality, we focus on the more classical version of mirror symmetry, namely the equality between the *central charges* of the A-branes and the B-branes.

The notion of the central charge appears in physics literatures and has played an important role in Douglas's Π -stability [14] and its mathematical formalism [12] due to Bridgeland. By definition the central charge of A-branes (B-branes, respectively) is a linear function defined on the Grothendieck group of the derived category of A-branes (B-branes, respectively). In the setting of local mirror symmetry, Hosono [17] interpreted the central charges of the A-branes and B-branes as certain period integrals and hypergeometric series, and conjectured the equality between these two types of central charges, see [17, Conjecture 2.2]. It is also observed that both of the A-brane and B-brane central charges can be viewed as solutions to certain GKZ hypergeometric systems, therefore GKZ systems play an important role in the study of local mirror symmetry. However, we believe the *better-behaved GKZ systems*, introduced by Borisov-Horja [9] and studied in a series of papers [6, 7, 10, 15], are more suitable for this consideration:

Definition 1.1. Consider the system of partial differential equations on the collection of functions $\{\Phi_c(x_1, \dots, x_n)\}$ in complex variables x_1, \dots, x_n , indexed by the lattice points in C :

$$\partial_i \Phi_c = \Phi_{c+v_i}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \mu, v_i \rangle x_i \partial_i \Phi_c + \langle \mu, c \rangle \Phi_c = 0$$

for all $\mu \in N^\vee$, $c \in C$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$. We denote this system by $\text{bbGKZ}(C, 0)$. Similarly by considering lattice points in the interior C° only, we can define $\text{bbGKZ}(C^\circ, 0)$.

Remark 1.2. This definition is essentially a B-model interpretation. In terms of quantum cohomology (A-model), the better-behaved GKZ systems $\text{bbGKZ}(C, 0)$ and $\text{bbGKZ}(C^\circ, 0)$ corresponds to the ordinary and compactly-supported quantum cohomology D -module $QH^*(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$ and $QH_c^*(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$ of the toric stack \mathbb{P}_Σ respectively. For details, see e.g. [18].

It is proved in [6] that the two systems $\text{bbGKZ}(C, 0)$ and $\text{bbGKZ}(C^\circ, 0)$ are dual to each other by constructing an explicit non-degenerate pairing between the solution spaces. The key observation in this paper is that the A-brane central charges (i.e., the period integrals) are naturally solutions to the *dual* system $\text{bbGKZ}(C^\circ, 0)$ rather than $\text{bbGKZ}(C, 0)$ (which is equivalent to the usual GKZ systems in normal cases). Motivated by this, we introduce our version of the A-brane central charges, which is a slight modification of the original definition of Hosono.

Definition 1.3. For each lattice point c in the interior C° of the cone C , we define the following holomorphic form

$$\omega_c := (-1)^{\deg c - 1} (\deg c - 1)! \frac{z^c}{f^{\deg c}} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dz_d}{z_d}$$

on the complement $(\mathbb{C}^*)^d \setminus Z_f$, where $f = \sum x_i z^{v_i}$. The *A-brane central charge* associated to a Lagrangian submanifold L (with certain admissibility conditions) is defined to be the collection of period integrals

$$Z^{A,L}(x) = (Z_c^{A,L}(x))_{c \in C^\circ} = \left(\frac{(-1)^d}{(2\pi i)^{d+1}} \int_L \omega_c \right)_{c \in C^\circ}.$$

where each $\int_L \omega_c$ is viewed as a holomorphic function with the coefficients x_i of f as variables.

Following the arguments of Batyrev [3] and Borisov-Horja [9], it is easy to verify that the A-brane central charges are solutions to the dual system $\text{bbGKZ}(C^\circ, 0)$, see Proposition 3.4.

On the other hand, a more natural candidate for the B-brane central charges is the hypergeometric series Γ° with values in the *cohomology with compact support*, instead of the original cohomology-valued hypergeometric series of Hosono (which is closer to the series Γ taking values in the usual cohomology). For precise definitions of Γ and Γ° , see Definition 3.5.

Definition 1.4. Let N , C , and $\Sigma = (\Sigma, \{v_i\})$ be as previous. For any class $\mathcal{E} \in K_0(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$ in the K-theory of \mathbb{P}_Σ , we define its *B-brane central charge* by

$$Z^{B,\mathcal{E}}(x) = (Z_c^{B,\mathcal{E}}(x))_{c \in C^\circ} = (\chi(\text{ch}(\mathcal{E}), -) \circ \Gamma_c^\circ)_{c \in C^\circ}$$

The main theorem of this paper is that the B-brane central charge associated to any line bundle $\mathcal{L} = \sum_i a_i D_i$ over \mathbb{P}_Σ is equal to the A-brane central charge associated to the mirror cycle $\text{mir}(\mathcal{L})$ of \mathcal{L} predicted by the SYZ conjecture.

Theorem 1.5. For any line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in K_0(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$, the A- and B-brane central charges coincide:

$$Z^{A,\text{mir}(\mathcal{L})} = Z^{B,\mathcal{L}}.$$

Since $K_0(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$ is generated by classes of line bundles, this equality is extended to the whole K-group $K_0(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$.

1.3. Relations to Gamma conjecture and hypergeometric duality.

In [1], Abouzaid, Ganatra, Iritani and Sheridan proposed a new approach to study the asymptotic behavior of period integrals in the setting of Batyrev mirror pairs (i.e., Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces in Fano toric varieties). Roughly speaking, the idea is to cut the cycles into pieces according to the tropical geometry of the Laurent polynomial f , then approximate each piece by the volume of certain polytopes which could again be related to the gamma classes on the mirror side. They utilized this method to give an alternative

proof of the mirror-symmetric Gamma conjecture for the Batyrev mirror pairs.

The first step to prove our main result Theorem 1.5 is to apply their tropical method to our local mirror symmetry setting. More precisely, in §4 we prove the following asymptotic formula for the period integral of the affine hypersurface Z_f in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^d$ corresponding to the positive real locus $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^d$.

Theorem 1.6 (=Theorem 4.1). Fix a triangulation Σ of the cone C , and denote the corresponding convex piecewise linear function by ψ . Then the asymptotic behavior of $\Psi_c(t^{-\psi(v_1)}, \dots)$ when $t \rightarrow +\infty$ is given by

$$Z_c^{A, \mathbb{R}_{>0}^d}(t^{-\psi(v_1)}, \dots) = t^{\psi(c)} \frac{(-1)^{\text{rk}N - \deg c}}{(2\pi i)^{|\sigma(c)|} |\text{Box}(\sigma(\gamma))|} \cdot \int_{\gamma(c)} t^\omega \cdot \hat{\Gamma}_{\gamma(c)} F_{I_c} + o(t^{\psi(c)})$$

For precise definitions of the symbols, see §4.

This could be viewed as the Gamma conjecture for local mirror symmetry. Our computation could be seen as a generalization of the one in [1] because they assumed the polytopes Δ are reflexive, while ours are arbitrary. Moreover, we compute the asymptotics of period integrals corresponding to arbitrary interior points in the cone C , while they essentially dealt with the one corresponding to the unique interior point of the (reflexive) polytope of degree equals to 1.

The second essential ingredient of the proof is the hypergeometric duality established by Borisov and the author in [6]. More precisely, an explicit formula for the pairing between the solution spaces to $\text{bbGKZ}(C, 0)$ and $\text{bbGKZ}(C^\circ, 0)$ is constructed:

$$\langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle = \sum_{c,d,I} \xi_{c,d,I} \text{Vol}_I \left(\prod_{i \in I} x_i \right) \Phi_c \Psi_d$$

where Φ and Ψ are solutions to $\text{bbGKZ}(C, 0)$ and $\text{bbGKZ}(C^\circ, 0)$ respectively, and $\xi_{c,d,I}$'s are certain constant coefficients which only depends on the combinatorics of the cone. The non-degeneracy of this pairing allows us to lift the equality of leading terms to a genuine equality between central charges on the two sides. An immediate benefit of this is the following. In [1], the authors has to construct explicitly tropical approximations of cycles mirror to arbitrary torus-invariant divisors $\sum a_i D_i$ and repeat the computation of asymptotics for them (because generally asymptotic expansion does not commute with taking leading terms). While in principle, we can do the same thing in our setting, it is no longer necessary since the equality on the level of functions themselves (rather than just the leading terms) allows us to conclude simply by looking at the monodromy on both sides, see Corollary 5.5.

1.4. Comparison with related results. Let us discuss the relationship between the main result of this paper and other related works. In [18] and [19], Iritani studied exponential period integrals (also known as oscillatory

integrals) mirror to nef complete intersections in compact toric DM stacks using different methods. The results in these papers are more general than the ones in [1] in the sense that the period integrals are identified with explicit hypergeometric series, not just the leading asymptotics. The period integrals considered in this paper could be presumably evaluated by using similar methods.

The computation in this paper is more general than that in [19] in the sense that the polytope is not assumed to be reflexive. Therefore the hypersurface Z_f we considered is not necessarily birational to a Calabi-Yau variety, and could have geometric genus larger than 1.

It is also pointed out by Hiroshi Iritani to the author that a recent paper of Yamamoto [23] is related to this work. It seems plausible that some of Yamamoto's results would follow from the result of this paper.

As is explained in Remark 1.2, the better-behaved systems could be seen as ordinary or compactly-supported quantum cohomology D -modules. The natural central charges for these systems are given by compactly-supported branes for the usual system and possibly non-compact branes for the dual system. The focus of this paper is on the latter. The former (which is closer to the original version of Hosono's conjecture) has been studied in [22] using tropical geometry and in [20] using methods similar to [18] and [19]. In [20], only local Calabi-Yau varieties arising as the total space of a canonical line bundle were investigated, which is a special case of the general toric stack \mathbb{P}_Σ considered in this paper.

1.5. Organization of the paper. The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we recall basic definitions and properties of smooth toric Deligne-Mumford stacks and their orbifold cohomology and K-groups. In section 3, we formulate the precise definitions of A-brane and B-brane central charges in our setting, and interpret them as solutions to certain better-behaved GKZ systems. In section 4, we compute the asymptotic behavior of the period integrals (A-brane central charges) when approaching a large radius limit point corresponding to a regular triangulation Σ . In section 5, we apply the hypergeometric duality to prove the equality of A-brane and B-brane central charges. Appendices A and B contain auxiliary results that are used in the computation of section 4.

1.6. Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank his advisor Lev Borisov for consistent support, helpful discussions and useful comments throughout the preparation of this paper. The author would like to thank Hiroshi Iritani for his interest in this work and his valuable feedback and comments on an earlier version of this paper. The author thanks Mohammed Abouzaid and Bohan Fang for answering his questions. The author also thanks Shaozong Wang for helpful discussions.

2. TORIC STACKS, ORBIFOLD COHOMOLOGY AND K-GROUPS

In this section, we review basic knowledge on smooth toric Deligne-Mumford stacks with an emphasize on their orbifold cohomology spaces and K-theory, and fix the notations that will be used throughout this paper. The main references are [5, 6, 10].

2.1. Smooth toric DM stacks and the twisted sectors. Following [5], a smooth toric Deligne-Mumford stack associated to a stacky fan $\Sigma = (\Sigma, \{v_1, \dots, v_n\})$ and its twisted sectors are defined as follows.

Definition 2.1. Let C , N , and $\Sigma = (\Sigma, \{v_1, \dots, v_n\})$ be combinatorial datum defined in §1.1. Consider the open subset U of \mathbb{C}^n defined by

$$U = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \{i : z_i = 0\} \in \Sigma\}$$

and a subgroup G of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ defined by

$$G = \left\{ (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) : \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{\langle m, v_i \rangle} = 1, \forall m \in N^\vee \right\}$$

The smooth toric Deligne-Mumford stack \mathbb{P}_Σ associated to the stacky fan Σ is defined to be the stack quotient of U by G . We denote its coarse moduli space (i.e., the toric variety associated to the ordinary fan Σ) by \mathbb{P}_Σ .

Definition 2.2. For each cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ we define $\text{Box}(\sigma)$ to be the set of lattice points γ which can be written as $\gamma = \sum_{i \in \sigma} \gamma_i v_i$ with $0 \leq \gamma_i < 1$. We denote the union of all $\text{Box}(\sigma)$ by $\text{Box}(\Sigma)$. To each element $\gamma \in \text{Box}(\Sigma)$ we associate a twisted sector² $\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma/\sigma(\gamma)}$ of \mathbb{P}_Σ corresponding to the minimal cone $\sigma(\gamma)$ in Σ containing γ , which is the closed substack of \mathbb{P}_Σ defined by the quotient fan $\Sigma/\sigma(\gamma)$. We define the dual of a twisted sector $\gamma = \sum \gamma_i v_i$ by

$$\gamma^\vee = \sum_{\gamma_i \neq 0} (1 - \gamma_i) v_i.$$

or equivalently, the unique element in $\text{Box}(\sigma(\gamma))$ that satisfies

$$\gamma^\vee = -\gamma \pmod{\sum_{i \in \sigma} \mathbb{Z} v_i}$$

The inertia stack of \mathbb{P}_Σ is the disjoint union of all the twisted sectors.

2.2. Orbifold cohomology and K-groups of toric stacks. Due to the non-compactness of \mathbb{P}_Σ , there are two types of orbifold cohomology theory associated to it, namely the usual orbifold cohomology and the orbifold cohomology with compact support. The following results are proved in [10].

²Abusing the notation slightly, we will denote the closed substack $\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma/\sigma(\gamma)}$ by γ when there is no confusion.

Proposition 2.3. As usual, $\text{Star}(\sigma(\gamma))$ denotes the set of cones in Σ that contain $\sigma(\gamma)$. Cohomology space H_γ of the twisted sector γ is naturally isomorphic to the quotient of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[D_i : i \in \text{Star}(\sigma(\gamma)) \setminus \sigma(\gamma)]$ by the ideal generated by the relations

$$\prod_{j \in J} D_j, \quad J \notin \text{Star}(\sigma(\gamma)), \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i \in \text{Star}(\sigma(\gamma)) \setminus \sigma(\gamma)} \mu(v_i) D_i, \quad \mu \in \text{Ann}(v_i, i \in \sigma(\gamma)).$$

There is a $\mathbb{C}[D_1, \dots, D_n]$ -module structure on H_γ defined by declaring $D_i = 0$ for $i \notin \text{Star}(\sigma(\gamma))$ and solving (uniquely) for $D_i, i \in \sigma(\gamma)$ to satisfy the linear relations $\sum_{i=1}^n \mu(v_i) D_i = 0$ for all $\mu \in N^\vee$.

Proposition 2.4. Cohomology space with compact support H_γ^c is generated by F_I for $I \in \text{Star}(\sigma(\gamma))$ such that $\sigma_I^\circ \subseteq C^\circ$ with relations

$$D_i F_I - F_{I \cup \{i\}} \text{ for } i \notin I, I \cup \{i\} \in \text{Star}(\sigma(\gamma))$$

$$\text{and } D_i F_I \text{ for } i \notin I, I \cup \{i\} \notin \text{Star}(\sigma(\gamma))$$

as a module over H_γ .

There is a natural integration map \int defined on each cohomology space H_γ^c with compact support.

Proposition 2.5. There exists a unique linear function $\int_\gamma : H_\gamma^c \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that takes values $\frac{1}{\text{Vol}_{\overline{I}}}$ on each generator F_I with $|I| = d+1 - |\sigma(\gamma)|$ (i.e., of highest degree), where $\text{Vol}_{\overline{I}}$ denotes the volume of the cone $\overline{\sigma_I}$ in the quotient fan $\Sigma/\sigma(\gamma)$. Moreover, it takes value zero on all elements of H_γ^c of lower degree.

Proof. See [10, Proposition 2.6]. \square

Definition 2.6. The orbifold cohomology $H_{\text{orb}}^*(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$ of the smooth toric DM stack \mathbb{P}_Σ is defined as the direct sum $\bigoplus_\gamma H_\gamma$ over all twisted sectors. Similarly, the orbifold cohomology with compact support $H_{\text{orb},c}^*(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$ is defined as $\bigoplus_\gamma H_\gamma^c$. We denote by 1_γ the generator of H_γ .

Remark 2.7. There is an involution map $*$ on the orbifold cohomology $H_{\text{orb}}^*(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$ that maps H_γ to H_{γ^\vee} , defined by $(1_\gamma)^* = 1_{\gamma^\vee}$ and $(D_i)^* = -D_i$.

There is a special type of characteristic classes of the smooth toric DM stack \mathbb{P}_Σ , called *Gamma classes*, which play an essential role in the computation of this paper. A similar definition has been introduced in [18] for general smooth DM stacks. The version we used in this paper comes from [6, Corollary 3.14].

Definition 2.8. For each twisted sector γ of \mathbb{P}_Σ , we define its Gamma class by

$$\widehat{\Gamma}_\gamma = \prod_{i \in \sigma(\gamma)} \Gamma(\gamma_i + \frac{D_i}{2\pi i}) \prod_{i \in \text{Star}(\sigma(\gamma)) \setminus \sigma(\gamma)} \Gamma(1 + \frac{D_i}{2\pi i})$$

which is a cohomology class in H_γ^* . We define the Gamma class of \mathbb{P}_Σ to be the direct sum of Gamma classes of all of its twisted sectors.

Next we look at the K-groups of the toric stack \mathbb{P}_Σ . Again, there are two types of K-groups, the ordinary K-group $K_0(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$ and the compactly-supported K-group $K_0^c(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$. The former is the usual Grothendieck group of the bounded derived category $D^b(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$. The latter is defined as the Grothendieck group of the triangulated category $D^c(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$ of bounded complexes of coherent sheaves supported on the union of all compact toric divisors of \mathbb{P}_Σ . We have the following combinatorial descriptions.

Proposition 2.9. Let C , v_i and Σ be as before. We denote the class of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Sigma}(D_i)$ corresponding to the ray v_i by R_i . Then $K_0(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$ is isomorphic to the quotient of the ring $\mathbb{C}[R_i^{\pm 1}]$ by the relations

$$\prod_{i=1}^n R_i^{\mu(v_i)} - 1, \quad \mu \in N^\vee, \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{i \in I} (1 - R_i), \quad I \not\subseteq \Sigma$$

Furthermore, if we denote the class of the structure sheaf of the closed substack corresponding to a cone σ_I by G_I , then $K_0^c(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$ is a module over $K_0(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$ generated by G_I for all $I \in \Sigma$ with σ_I being an interior cone, with the relations given by

$$(1 - R_i^{-1})G_I = G_{I \cup \{i\}} \text{ if } I \cup \{i\} \in \Sigma \text{ and } 0 \text{ otherwise, } \forall i.$$

Proof. See [10, Proposition 3.3, Definition 3.9]. \square

The K-groups $K_0(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$ and $K_0^c(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$ are related to the orbifold cohomology $H_{\text{orb}}^*(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$ and $H_{\text{orb},c}^*(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$ by the following combinatorial Chern characters.

Proposition 2.10. There is a natural isomorphism

$$ch : K_0(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{orb}}^*(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \text{Box}(\Sigma)} H_\gamma$$

defined by

$$ch_\gamma(R_i) = \begin{cases} 1, & i \notin \text{Star}(\sigma(\gamma)) \\ e^{D_i}, & i \in \text{Star}(\sigma(\gamma)) \setminus \sigma(\gamma) \\ e^{2\pi i \gamma_i} \prod_{j \notin \sigma(\gamma)} ch_\gamma(R_j)^{\mu_j(v_j)}, & i \in \sigma(\gamma) \end{cases}$$

Similarly, there is a natural isomorphism

$$ch^c : K_0^c(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{orb},c}^*(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \text{Box}(\Sigma)} H_\gamma^c$$

defined by

$$ch_\gamma^c\left(\prod_{i=1}^n R_i^{l_i} G_I\right) = \begin{cases} 0, & I \not\subseteq \text{Star}(\sigma(\gamma)) \\ \prod_{i=1}^n ch_\gamma(R_i^{l_i}) F_I, & I \subseteq \text{Star}(\sigma(\gamma)) \end{cases}$$

Proof. See [10, Proposition 3.7, 3.11]. \square

2.3. Euler characteristic pairing. There is a natural non-degenerate pairing $\chi(-, -)$ between $K_0(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$ and $K_0^c(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$ called *Euler characteristic pairing* defined as the alternative sum of the dimension of Ext groups. More precisely, let \mathcal{F}^\bullet and \mathcal{G}^\bullet be complexes in the derived categories $D^b(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$ and $D^c(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$ respectively, we define

$$\chi(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{D^b(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet[i]).$$

In particular, if we take \mathcal{F}^\bullet to be the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Sigma}$ and \mathcal{G}^\bullet to be a coherent sheaf, then this definition recovers the usual Euler characteristic of coherent sheaves.

On the other hand, the pairing could be translated to the orbifold cohomology spaces $H_{\text{orb}}^*(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$ and $H_{\text{orb},c}^*(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$ via the Chern character defined in the last subsection. In terms of orbifold cohomology, the pairing has the following explicit formula.

Proposition 2.11. The Euler characteristic pairing $\chi : H_{\text{orb}}^*(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma) \otimes H_{\text{orb},c}^*(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ on the toric DM stack \mathbb{P}_Σ is given by

$$\chi(a, b) = \chi(\oplus_\gamma a_\gamma, \oplus_\gamma b_\gamma) = \sum_\gamma \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Box}(\sigma(\gamma))|} \int_{\gamma^\vee} \operatorname{Td}(\gamma^\vee) a_\gamma^* b_{\gamma^\vee}$$

Here $\operatorname{Td}(\gamma)$ is the Todd class of the twisted sector γ , defined as

$$\operatorname{Td}(\gamma) = \frac{\prod_{i \in \operatorname{Star} \sigma(\gamma) \setminus \sigma(\gamma)} D_i}{\prod_{i \in \operatorname{Star} \sigma(\gamma)} (1 - e^{-D_i})}.$$

Proof. See [7, Lemma 4.20]. □

The following easy consequence will be used in Appendix A.

Corollary 2.12. The Euler characteristic of the sheaves represented by the class $v \in K_0^c(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$ is given by

$$\chi(v) = \sum_{\gamma \in \operatorname{Box}(\Sigma)} \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Box}(\sigma(\gamma))|} \int_\gamma ch_\gamma^c(v) \operatorname{Td}(\gamma).$$

3. CENTRAL CHARGES AS SOLUTIONS TO BETTER-BEHAVED GKZ SYSTEMS

In this section, we give precise definitions of the A-brane and B-brane central charges for Hori-Vafa mirror pairs. Our definitions differ slightly from the ones in [17]. Along the lines we briefly recall the basic preliminaries needed to understand the results and arguments of the paper.

3.1. A-brane central charges. Denote the coordinates on the torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^d$ by $z = (z_1, \dots, z_d)$. Consider the Laurent polynomial $f = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i z^{\bar{v}_i}$. As in §1.1, \bar{v}_i denotes the d -dimensional vector obtained from v_i by deleting the last coordinate 1. Similarly, for a lattice point $c \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$, we write $c = (\bar{c}, \deg c)$ where $\deg c$ is the last coordinate of c and \bar{c} consists of the first d coordinates.

Definition 3.1. For each lattice point c in the interior C° of the cone C , we define the following holomorphic form

$$\omega_c := (-1)^{\deg c - 1} (\deg c - 1)! \frac{z^{\bar{c}}}{f^{\deg c}} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{dz_d}{z_d}$$

on the complement $(\mathbb{C}^*)^d \setminus Z_f$, where $f = \sum x_i z^{\bar{v}_i}$. The *A-brane central charge* associated to a Lagrangian submanifold L (with certain admissibility conditions) is defined to be the collection of period integrals³

$$Z^{A,L}(x) = (Z_c^{A,L}(x))_{c \in C^\circ} = \left(\frac{(-1)^d}{(2\pi i)^{d+1}} \int_L \omega_c \right)_{c \in C^\circ}$$

where each $\int_L \omega_c$ is viewed as a holomorphic function with the coefficients x_i of f as variables.

Since we are mostly interested in the mirror cycles of line bundles in this paper, from now on we will assume L to be the Lagrangian sections of the fibration $\pi : (\mathbb{C}^*)^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ defined by $z \mapsto \log |z|$, see the discussion prior to §1.2. The following result explains the reason why it is more natural to think of the period integrals over Lagrangian sections as solutions to the dual system $\text{bbGKZ}(C^\circ, 0)$ rather than the usual system $\text{bbGKZ}(C, 0)$. Similar results can be found in [21] and [4].

Lemma 3.2. The period integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d} \frac{z^{\bar{c}}}{f(z)^{\deg c}} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{dz_d}{z_d}$$

is absolutely convergent if and only if $c \in C^\circ$.

Proof. We make the coordinate change $z_i = e^{y_i}$, then the integral becomes

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{e^{\bar{c} \cdot y}}{f(e^y)^{\deg c}} dy_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy_d = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{e^{\bar{c} \cdot y}}{(\sum_{j \in \Delta} x_j e^{\bar{v}_j \cdot y})^{\deg c}} dy_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy_d$$

Now we divide the space \mathbb{R}^d into cone regions according to the normal fan Σ of the polytope Δ . More precisely, we divide \mathbb{R}^d as the union of the following cone regions

$$\{\sigma_{\bar{v}_k} := -(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(\Delta - \bar{v}_k))^\vee : \bar{v}_k \in \Delta\}$$

note that this differs from the usual definition of the normal fan by a negative sign. Fix a cone region $\sigma_{\bar{v}_k}$, it's easy to see that over $\sigma_{\bar{v}_k}$ the dominant term

³Note that the constant factor $\frac{(-1)^d}{(2\pi i)^{d+1}}$ plays no essential role.

in the denominator $\sum_{j \in \Delta} x_j e^{\bar{v}_j \cdot y}$ is exactly the monomial $x_k e^{\bar{v}_k \cdot y}$. Therefore it suffices to consider the absolute convergence of

$$\int_{\sigma_{v_k}} e^{(\bar{c} - (\deg c) \bar{v}_k) \cdot y} dy_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_d$$

which is again equivalent to the condition that

$$(\bar{c} - (\deg c) \bar{v}_k) \cdot y < 0, \quad \forall \text{ ray generators } y \text{ of } \sigma_{\bar{v}_k} \text{ and } \forall \bar{v}_k \in \Delta \quad (3.1)$$

Recall that the polytope Δ could be defined as the intersection of half-spaces (i.e., the facet representation of Δ):

$$\Delta = \bigcap_{F \text{ facet of } \Delta} (h_F \geq 0)$$

where h_F is the defining equation of the support hyperplane spanned by the facet F . It is then straightforward to observe that the condition (3.1) is equivalent to that $\bar{c}/(\deg c)$ is an interior point of the polytope Δ , which is again equivalent to that c is in the interior of the cone C . \square

Now we consider a general Lagrangian section L . Additional restrictions are required to ensure the absolute convergence of the period integral. We write the section $L : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^d$ as

$$(y_1, \dots, y_d) \mapsto (e^{y_1} \cdot e^{i\theta_1(y_1, \dots, y_d)}, \dots, e^{y_d} \cdot e^{i\theta_d(y_1, \dots, y_d)})$$

Proposition 3.3. Suppose $L : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^d$ is a section of the fibration $T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\det(I_d + \left(\frac{\partial \theta_i}{\partial y_j}\right)_{i,j})$ is bounded, then the integral $\int_L \omega_c$ is absolutely convergent for all $c \in C^\circ$.

Proof. Follows directly from the last lemma and the observation that $\det(I_d + \left(\frac{\partial \theta_i}{\partial y_j}\right)_{i,j})$ is the determinant of the Jacobian of the change of variables. \square

Proposition 3.4. Suppose γ satisfies the condition in Proposition 3.3, then $\Psi = (\Psi_c)_{c \in C^\circ}$ where

$$\Psi_c(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \int_L \omega_c$$

gives a solution to the system $\text{bbGKZ}(C^\circ, 0)$.

Proof. The idea of the proof comes from Batyrev [3] and Borisov-Horja [9]. However, since the cycles we are integrating over are non-compact, some additional cares must be taken.

To prove the equation $\partial_i \Psi_c = \Psi_{c+v_i}$ for any i , note that we have

$$\partial_i \left(\frac{z^{\bar{c}}}{f(z)^{\deg c}} \right) = (-\deg c) \frac{z^{\bar{c} + \bar{v}_i}}{f(z)^{\deg(c+v_i)}}$$

which gives $\partial_i \omega_c = \omega_{c+v_i}$, and the absolute convergence of the integral ensures that differentiation commutes with integration.

To prove the second equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \langle \mu, v_i \rangle x_i \partial_i \Psi_c + \langle \mu, c \rangle \Psi_c = 0, \quad \forall \mu \in N^\vee$$

we look at the standard basis μ_1, \dots, μ_{d+1} of N^\vee . For $1 \leq k \leq d$, an elementary computation shows that $\sum_{i=1}^n \langle \mu_k, v_i \rangle x_i \omega_{c+v_i} + \langle \mu_k, c \rangle \omega_c$ is equal to

$$(-1)^{\deg c - 1} (\deg c - 1)! z_k \partial_{z_k} \left(\frac{z^{\bar{c}}}{f^{\deg c}} \right) \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{dz_d}{z_d}$$

Note that

$$z_k \partial_{z_k} \left(\frac{z^{\bar{c}}}{f^{\deg c}} \right) \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{dz_d}{z_d} = d \left(\frac{z^{\bar{c}}}{f^{\deg c}} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{\frac{dz_k}{z_k}} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{dz_d}{z_d} \right)$$

Take a chain of compact subsets $B_1 \subseteq B_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq T$ such that $\cup B_m = T$ (e.g., take B_m to be the box defined by $e^{-m} \leq |z_j| \leq e^m$). By Stokes' theorem the integration of $z_k \partial_{z_k} \left(\frac{z^{\bar{c}}}{f^{\deg c}} \right)$ over $B_i \cap L$ is equal to

$$\int_{\partial(B_m \cap L)} \frac{z^{\bar{c}}}{f^{\deg c}} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{\frac{dz_k}{z_k}} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{dz_d}{z_d}$$

which tends to 0 when $m \rightarrow +\infty$ due to the absolute convergence of

$$\int_L \frac{z^{\bar{c}}}{f^{\deg c}} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{dz_d}{z_d}.$$

On the other hand, by dominated convergence theorem the sequence of integrals converges to the integration of $z_k \partial_{z_k} \left(\frac{z^{\bar{c}}}{f^{\deg c}} \right)$ over L . This finishes the proof of the case when $1 \leq k \leq d$. Finally, if $k = d + 1$, i.e., $\mu_k = \deg$, then an elementary computation shows that $\sum_{i=1}^n \langle \mu_k, v_i \rangle x_i \omega_{c+v_i} + \langle \mu_k, c \rangle \omega_c$ is zero. \square

3.2. B-brane central charges. Now we define central charges on the B-brane, i.e., the toric Deligne-Mumford stack \mathbb{P}_Σ , in terms of certain cohomology-valued Gamma series. We will be using the same notation as §1.1.

Now we are ready to define the cohomology-valued Gamma series solutions to the systems $\text{bbGKZ}(C, 0)$ and $\text{bbGKZ}(C^\circ, 0)$.

Definition 3.5. We define the cohomology-valued Gamma series Γ and Γ° as

$$\Gamma_c(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bigoplus_{\gamma} \sum_{l \in L_{c, \gamma}} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^{l_i + \frac{D_i}{2\pi i}}}{\Gamma(1 + l_i + \frac{D_i}{2\pi i})} \quad (3.2)$$

for lattice point $c \in C$ and

$$\Gamma_c^\circ(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bigoplus_{\gamma} \sum_{l \in L_{c, \gamma}} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^{l_i + \frac{D_i}{2\pi i}}}{\Gamma(1 + l_i + \frac{D_i}{2\pi i})} \left(\prod_{i \in \sigma} D_i^{-1} \right) F_\sigma$$

for lattice point $c \in C^\circ$, where both direct sums are taken over twisted sectors $\gamma = \sum_{j \in \sigma(\gamma)} \gamma_j v_j$ and the set $L_{c, \gamma}$ is the set of solutions to $\sum_{i=1}^n l_i v_i = -c$ with $l_i - \gamma_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all i , and σ is the set of i with $l_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$. The numerator is defined by picking a branch of $\log(x_i)$.

It is proved in [6] that these series converge absolutely and uniformly on compacts in a neighborhood of the large radius limit point corresponding to the triangulation Σ . After composing them with linear functions on the orbifold cohomology spaces, we get holomorphic functions with values in \mathbb{C} . It is proved that all solutions to the systems $\text{bbGKZ}(C, 0)$ and $\text{bbGKZ}(C^\circ, 0)$ are obtained by composing Γ and Γ° with linear functions on $H_{\text{orb}}^*(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$ and $H_{\text{orb}, c}^*(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$.

Now we are able to define our version of B-brane central charge.

Definition 3.6. Let N , C , and $\Sigma = (\Sigma, \{v_i\})$ be as previous. For any class $\mathcal{E} \in K_0(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$ in the K-theory of \mathbb{P}_Σ , we define its *B-brane central charge* by

$$Z^{B, \mathcal{E}}(x) = (Z_c^{B, \mathcal{E}}(x))_{c \in C^\circ} = (\chi(\text{ch}(\mathcal{E}), -) \circ \Gamma_c^\circ)_{c \in C^\circ}.$$

4. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF PERIOD INTEGRALS VIA TROPICAL GEOMETRY

The goal of this section is to analyze the asymptotic behavior of the A-brane central charge associated to the real positive locus $(\mathbb{R}_{>0})^d$.

To begin, we set up the notations that will be used throughout this section. We will be using the same notations as in §3. Additionally, let Σ be a regular triangulation of the cone C , and denote the corresponding convex piecewise linear function by ψ . For each lattice point c in the interior C° , we denote the minimal cone in Σ containing c by $\sigma(c)$, and write $c = \sum_{i \in \sigma(c)} c_i v_i$. Then there is a unique twisted sector $\gamma(c) \in \text{Box}(\Sigma)$ given by $\sum_{i \in \sigma(c)} \{c_i\} v_i$, where $\{c_i\}$ denotes the fractional part of c_i . Finally, we denote the set of indices i such that $c_i = 1$ by I_c . Note that $\sigma(c)$ is a disjoint union of I_c and $\sigma(\gamma(c))$.

The main result of this section is an asymptotic formula for the A-brane central charges of the positive real Lagrangian $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^d$ when the parameter approaches the large radius limit corresponding to the triangulation Σ , which should correspond to the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Sigma}$ on the B-model according to the prediction of SYZ conjecture.

For simplicity, we introduce an extra variable $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider the one-parameter family of Laurent polynomials $\{f_t\}$ where $f_t = \sum t^{-\psi(v_i)} z^{v_i}$. Then the large radius limit is achieved by taking $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Moreover, to

emphasize the dependence of the form ω_c on the parameter t , we adopt the notation $\omega_{t,c}$ instead.

Theorem 4.1. The asymptotic behavior of

$$Z_c^{A, \mathbb{R}_{>0}^d}(t^{-\psi(v_1)}, \dots) = \frac{(-1)^d}{(2\pi i)^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}^d} \omega_{t,c}$$

when $t \rightarrow +\infty$ is given by

$$Z_c^{A, \mathbb{R}_{>0}^d}(t^{-\psi(v_1)}, \dots) = t^{\psi(c)} \frac{(-1)^{\text{rk}N - \deg c}}{(2\pi i)^{|\sigma(c)|} |\text{Box}(\sigma(\gamma))|} \cdot \int_{\gamma(c)} t^\omega \cdot \hat{\Gamma}_{\gamma(c)} F_{I_c} + o(t^{\psi(c)})$$

where $\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{i=1}^n \psi(v_i) D_i$, and $\hat{\Gamma}_{\gamma(c)}$ is the Gamma class of $\gamma(c)$ as defined in Definition 2.8.

The proof of this theorem occupies the rest of this section. To begin with, we make a change of coordinates. We denote the moment map on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^d$ by

$$\text{Log}_t : (\mathbb{C}^*)^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, (z_1, \dots, z_d) \mapsto (\log_t |z_1|, \dots, \log_t |z_d|)$$

and its right-inverse by

$$i_t : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^d, (y_1, \dots, y_d) \mapsto (t^{y_1}, \dots, t^{y_d}).$$

Note that the positive real locus is identified with \mathbb{R}^d under the map i_t . The original integration now becomes

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}^d} \omega_{t,c} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} i_t^* \omega_{t,c}$$

with the new coordinates $\{y_i\}$.

We divide the proof into two steps. In the first step (§4.1), we partition the domain \mathbb{R}^d into smaller sections based on the tropicalization of the Laurent polynomial f_t . This allows us to establish a connection between the integration over each section and the volume of specific polytopes. Moving onto the second step (§4.2) we establish a relationship between these integrals and the integral of Gamma classes on the toric stack \mathbb{P}_Σ . A crucial component of the second step is a Duistermaat-Heckman type lemma adapted to our setting that is stated and proved in Appendix A.

4.1. Subdivision of the domain. For each $i \in \Delta$, we consider the tropicalization β_i of the monomial $t^{-\psi(v_i)} z^{v_i}$ defined as

$$\beta_i : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad p \mapsto \langle v_i, p \rangle - \psi(v_i)$$

which is an affine function on \mathbb{R}^d . Following the idea of [1], we define⁴

$$U^q := \{p \in \mathbb{R}^d : \beta_i(p) \leq \beta_q(p), \forall i \in \Delta\}$$

⁴Our definition differs from the one in [1] by reversing the direction of the inequality, due to the difference between $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $t \rightarrow 0^+$.

for any lattice point $q \in \Delta$. Furthermore, for any $q \in \Delta$ and any subset $q \not\subseteq K \subseteq \Delta$ we define a subset $U^{q,K}$ of U^q by

$$U^{q,K} := \{p \in \mathbb{R}^d : \beta_q(p) - \beta_i(p) \in [0, \epsilon], \forall i \in K, \beta_q(p) - \beta_i(p) \in [\epsilon, +\infty), \forall i \notin q \sqcup K\}$$

for some fixed small positive number $\epsilon > 0$. Intuitively, $U^{q,K}$ is the region where the tropical monomial β_q is the largest (hence dominates the asymptotics) and the tropical monomials $\{\beta_k\}_{k \in K}$ are not far behind.

Remark 4.2. By the standard argument of tropical geometry, we have the following facts about U^q and $U^{q,K}$. Firstly, the set $U^{q,K}$ is non-empty if and only if $q \sqcup K$ forms a cone in Σ . Additionally, $U^{q,K}$ is unbounded if and only if $q \sqcup K$ is a cone on the boundary, i.e., $\text{relint}(q \sqcup K) \subseteq \partial\Delta$. We will not use the latter fact in rest of the paper so we omit its proof.

Hence the original integral can be written as a sum

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} i_t^* \omega_{t,c} = \sum_{q,K} \int_{U^{q,K}} i_t^* \omega_{t,c}$$

The first observation is the following lemma which states that only the region $U^{q,K}$ with $\sigma(c) \subseteq q \sqcup K \in \Sigma$ contributes to the leading term when $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Otherwise the growth of the integral over the piece will be $O(t^{\psi(c)-\epsilon})$ for some $\epsilon > 0$.

Lemma 4.3. As $t \rightarrow +\infty$, for q and K with $\sigma(c) \not\subseteq q \sqcup K$ we have $\int_{U^{q,K}} i_t^* \omega_{t,c} = O(t^{\psi(c)-\epsilon})$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. If $\sigma(c) \subseteq q \sqcup K \in \Sigma$, then $\int_{U^{q,K}} i_t^* \omega_{t,c}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{\deg c - 1} (\deg c - 1)! t^{\psi(c)} (\log t)^d \int_{U^{q,K}} \frac{\prod_{i \in K} (t^{\beta_i - \beta_q})^{c_i}}{(1 + \sum_{i \in K} t^{\beta_i - \beta_q})^{\deg c}} \prod_i dy_i \\ & + O(t^{\psi(c)-\epsilon} (\log t)^d). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First we suppose $\sigma(c) \not\subseteq q \sqcup K$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} z^{\bar{c}} &= z^{\sum_{i \in \sigma(c)} c_i \bar{v}_i} = z^{c_q \bar{v}_q} \cdot \prod_{i \in \sigma(c) \cup K \setminus q} z^{c_i \bar{v}_i} \\ &= t^{\sum_{i \in \sigma(c)} c_i \psi(v_i)} (t^{-\psi(v_q)} z^{\bar{v}_q})^{c_q} \cdot \prod_{i \in \sigma(c) \cup K \setminus q} (t^{-\psi(v_i)} z^{\bar{v}_i})^{c_i} \\ &= t^{\sum_{i \in \sigma(c)} c_i \psi(v_i)} (t^{-\psi(v_q)} z^{\bar{v}_q})^{\sum_{i \in q \sqcup K \cup \sigma(c)} c_i} \cdot \prod_{i \in \sigma(c) \cup K \setminus q} (t^{-\psi(v_i) + \psi(v_q)} z^{\bar{v}_i - \bar{v}_q})^{c_i} \\ &= t^{\psi(c)} (t^{-\psi(v_q)} z^{\bar{v}_q})^{\deg c} \cdot \prod_{i \in \sigma(c) \cup K \setminus q} (t^{-\psi(v_i) + \psi(v_q)} z^{\bar{v}_i - \bar{v}_q})^{c_i} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can compute

$$\begin{aligned}
f_t(z)^{\deg c} &= \left(\sum_{i \in \Delta} t^{-\psi(v_i)} z^{\bar{v}_i} \right)^{\deg c} \\
&= (t^{-\psi(v_q)} z^{\bar{v}_q})^{\deg c} \cdot \left(1 + \sum_{i \in K} t^{-\psi(v_i) + \psi(v_q)} z^{\bar{v}_i - \bar{v}_q} + \sum_{j \notin q \sqcup K} t^{-\psi(v_j) + \psi(v_q)} z^{\bar{v}_j - \bar{v}_q} \right)^{\deg c} \\
&= (t^{-\psi(v_q)} z^{\bar{v}_q})^{\deg c} \cdot \left(1 + \sum_{i \in K} t^{-\psi(v_i) + \psi(v_q)} z^{\bar{v}_i - \bar{v}_q} + O(t^{-\epsilon}) \right)^{\deg c}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the form $\omega_{t,c}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_{t,c} &= (-1)^{\deg c - 1} (\deg c - 1)! t^{\psi(c)} \frac{\prod_{i \in \sigma(c) \cup K \setminus q} (t^{-\psi(v_i) + \psi(v_q)} z^{\bar{v}_i - \bar{v}_q})^{c_i}}{\left(1 + \sum_{i \in K} t^{-\psi(v_i) + \psi(v_q)} z^{\bar{v}_i - \bar{v}_q} + O(t^{-\epsilon}) \right)^{\deg c}} \cdot \prod_i \frac{dz_i}{z_i} \\
&= (-1)^{\deg c - 1} (\deg c - 1)! t^{\psi(c)} \left(\frac{\prod_{i \in \sigma(c) \cup K \setminus q} (t^{-\psi(v_i) + \psi(v_q)} z^{\bar{v}_i - \bar{v}_q})^{c_i}}{\left(1 + \sum_{i \in K} t^{-\psi(v_i) + \psi(v_q)} z^{\bar{v}_i - \bar{v}_q} \right)^{\deg c}} + O(t^{-\epsilon}) \right) \cdot \prod_i \frac{dz_i}{z_i}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the pullback $i_t^* \omega_{t,c}$ is

$$i_t^* \omega_{t,c} = (-1)^{\deg c - 1} (\deg c - 1)! t^{\psi(c)} \left(\frac{\prod_{i \in \sigma(c) \cup K \setminus q} (t^{\beta_i - \beta_q})^{c_i}}{\left(1 + \sum_{i \in K} t^{\beta_i - \beta_q} \right)^{\deg c}} + O(t^{-\epsilon}) \right) \cdot (\log t)^d \prod_i dy_i$$

So the integration $\int_{U^{q,K}} i_t^* \omega_{t,c}$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^{\deg c - 1} (\deg c - 1)! t^{\psi(c)} (\log t)^d \int_{U^{q,K}} \frac{\prod_{i \in \sigma(c) \cup K \setminus q} (t^{\beta_i - \beta_q})^{c_i}}{\left(1 + \sum_{i \in K} t^{\beta_i - \beta_q} \right)^{\deg c}} \prod_i dy_i \\
&\quad + O(t^{\psi(c) - \epsilon} (\log t)^d).
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that if $\sigma(c) \not\subseteq q \sqcup K$, then there exists $i \in \sigma(c)$ such that $\beta_q - \beta_i \geq \epsilon$, where $\epsilon > 0$ is the constant used in the definition of $U^{q,K}$, i.e., the nominator of the integrand will contribute a factor of $t^{-\epsilon}$. Therefore the first term in the above expression is also $O(t^{\psi(c) - \epsilon} (\log t)^d)$. By changing ϵ to a smaller positive number we can adsorb the logarithmic term and get $O(t^{\psi(c) - \epsilon})$.

Now suppose $\sigma(c) \subseteq q \sqcup K$. Then the same computation as above shows that the integral $\int_{U^{q,K}} i_t^* \omega_{t,c}$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^{\deg c - 1} (\deg c - 1)! t^{\psi(c)} (\log t)^d \int_{U^{q,K}} \frac{\prod_{i \in K} (t^{\beta_i - \beta_q})^{c_i}}{\left(1 + \sum_{i \in K} t^{\beta_i - \beta_q} \right)^{\deg c}} \prod_i dy_i \\
&\quad + O(t^{\psi(c) - \epsilon} (\log t)^d).
\end{aligned}$$

□

According to this lemma we can disregard integrals over $U^{q,K}$ with $\sigma(c) \not\subseteq q \sqcup K$ when computing the leading term of the asymptotic behavior.

We consider the integral

$$\int_{U^{q,K}} \frac{\prod_{i \in K} (t^{\beta_i - \beta_q})^{c_i}}{(1 + \sum_{i \in K} t^{\beta_i - \beta_q})^{\deg c}} \prod_i dy_i \quad (4.1)$$

where (q, K) is a fixed pair with $\sigma(c) \subseteq q \sqcup K$. To simplify the expression, we introduce a change of coordinate on the region $U^{q,K}$. Let us define

$$b_i := \beta_q - \beta_i, \text{ for all } i \in K$$

and complete $\{b_i\}_{i \in K}$ into an affine coordinate system on \mathbb{R}^d by adding additional covectors $\{e_j\}$. We can then express the standard affine volume form on \mathbb{R}^d in terms of this new system of coordinates:

$$\prod_i dy_i = r_{q,K} \cdot \prod_{i \in K} db_i \cdot \prod_j de_j.$$

Thus, the original integral becomes

$$\int_{U^{q,K}} \frac{t^{-\sum_{i \in K} c_i b_i}}{(1 + \sum_{i \in K} t^{-b_i})^{\deg c}} \cdot r_{q,K} \cdot \prod_{i \in K} db_i \cdot \prod_j de_j.$$

Recall that the region $U^{q,K}$ is defined as

$$U^{q,K} = \left\{ p \in \mathbb{R}^d : b_i \in [0, \epsilon], \forall i \in K; \beta_q - \beta_i \in [\epsilon, \infty], \forall i \notin q \sqcup K \right\}$$

We consider the projection $\pi_b : U^{q,K} \rightarrow [0, \epsilon]^K$ onto the $(b_i)_{i \in K}$ -coordinate plane, and denote the fiber of a fixed $(b_i)_{i \in K} \in [0, \epsilon]^K$ by $F^{q,K}((b_i)_{i \in K})$. Then the integral above can be written as an iterated integral

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{U^{q,K}} \frac{t^{-\sum_{i \in K} c_i b_i}}{(1 + \sum_{i \in K} t^{-b_i})^{\deg c}} \cdot r_{q,K} \cdot \prod_{i \in K} db_i \cdot \prod_j de_j \\ &= \int_{[0, \epsilon]^K} \left(\int_{F^{q,K}((b_i)_{i \in K})} \frac{t^{-\sum_{i \in K} c_i b_i}}{(1 + \sum_{i \in K} t^{-b_i})^{\deg c}} \cdot r_{q,K} \cdot \prod_j de_j \right) \prod_{i \in K} db_i \\ &= \int_{[0, \epsilon]^K} \left(\frac{t^{-\sum_{i \in K} c_i b_i}}{(1 + \sum_{i \in K} t^{-b_i})^{\deg c}} \cdot \text{vol}(F^{q,K}((b_i)_{i \in K})) \right) \prod_{i \in K} db_i. \end{aligned}$$

By definition, the fiber $F^{q,K}((b_i)_{i \in K})$ is given by

$$F^{q,K}((b_i)_{i \in K}) = \left\{ p \in \mathbb{R}^d : \beta_q - \beta_i = b_i, \forall i \in K; \beta_q - \beta_i \in [\epsilon, \infty], \forall i \notin q \sqcup K \right\}.$$

To remove the ϵ -dependence, we introduce a new polytope

$$E^{q,K}((b_i)_{i \in K}) = \left\{ p \in \mathbb{R}^d : \beta_q - \beta_i = b_i, \forall i \in K; \beta_q - \beta_i \in [0, \infty], \forall i \notin q \sqcup K \right\}.$$

and express the fiber $F^{q,K}((b_i)_{i \in K})$ in terms of these new polytopes:

$$F^{q,K}((b_i)_{i \in K}) = E^{q,K}((b_i)_{i \in K}) \setminus \left(\bigcup_{j \notin q \sqcup K} \bigcup_{b_j \in [0, \epsilon]} E^{q,K \sqcup \{j\}}((b_i)_{i \in K}, b_j) \right).$$

By inclusion-exclusion principle, we have

$$\text{vol}(F^{q,K}((b_i)_{i \in K})) = \sum_{J: J \supseteq K, q \notin J} (-1)^{|J \setminus K|} \int_{[0, \epsilon]^{|J \setminus K|}} \text{vol}(E^{q,J}((b_i)_{i \in K}, (b'_j)_{j \in J \setminus K})) db'.$$

Combining these results, the integral (4.1) becomes

$$\int_{[0, \epsilon]^K} \frac{t^{-\sum_{i \in K} c_i b_i}}{(1 + \sum_{i \in K} t^{-b_i})^{\deg c}} \cdot \left(\sum_{J: J \supseteq K, q \notin J} (-1)^{|J \setminus K|} \int_{[0, \epsilon]^{|J \setminus K|}} \text{vol}(E^{q,J}((b_i)_{i \in K}, (b'_j)_{j \in J \setminus K})) db' \right) db.$$

By allowing q and K to vary, we obtain

$$\sum_{(J, K, q): J \supseteq K, q \notin J} (-1)^{|J \setminus K|} \int_{[0, \epsilon]^J} \frac{t^{-\sum_{i \in K} c_i b_i}}{(1 + \sum_{i \in K} t^{-b_i})^{\deg c}} \cdot \text{vol}(E^{q,J}((b_i)_{i \in K}, (b'_j)_{j \in J \setminus K})) db' db, \quad (4.2)$$

where the sum is taken over all triples (J, K, q) such that $K \subseteq J$ and $q \notin J$. Note that the summand corresponding to J is zero unless $q \sqcup J$ is a cone in Σ .

4.2. Connection to Gamma classes. The goal of this subsection is to reveal the relationship between (4.2) with the Gamma classes Γ_γ of twisted sectors of the toric stack \mathbb{P}_Σ . We adopt a similar approach as presented in [1].

To begin, we utilize the volume formula Proposition A.1 to rewrite the sum obtained in the previous subsection as

$$\sum_{(J, K, q): J \supseteq K, q \notin J} (-1)^{|J \setminus K|} \int_{[0, \epsilon]^J} \frac{t^{-\sum_{i \in K} c_i b_i}}{(1 + \sum_{i \in K} t^{-b_i})^{\deg c}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{|\text{Box}(\sigma(\gamma))|} \int_\gamma e^{D - \sum_{j \in J} b_j D_j} \cdot \frac{D_{q \sqcup J}}{D_{\sigma(d)}} \cdot F_{I_c} \right) db' db \quad (4.3)$$

where $D = \sum \psi(v_i) D_i$ and $\gamma := \gamma(c)$ is the unique twisted sector corresponding to the lattice point $c \in C^\circ$. Now we consider the following cohomology class in H_γ^* obtained by scaling all classes D_i by a factor of $\frac{\log t}{2\pi i}$:

$$P_t = \sum_{(J, K, q): J \supseteq K, q \notin J} (-1)^{|J \setminus K|} \left(\frac{\log t}{2\pi i} \right)^{|J|+1-|\sigma(c)|} \frac{D_{q \sqcup J}}{D_{\sigma(c)}} \cdot \int_{[0, \epsilon]^J} \left(\frac{t^{-\sum_{i \in K} c_i b_i}}{(1 + \sum_{i \in K} t^{-b_i})^{\deg c}} e^{(\log t) \frac{D}{2\pi i} - \sum_{j \in J} b_j (\log t) \frac{D_j}{2\pi i}} \right) db' db$$

Since the integral over γ is only relevant to the $\deg = \dim \gamma = d + 1 - |\sigma(\gamma)|$ part, the expression (4.3) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{|\text{Box}(\sigma(\gamma))|} \left(\frac{\log t}{2\pi i} \right)^{-(d+1-|\sigma(c)|)} \int_{\gamma} P_t \cdot F_{I_c} \quad (4.4)$$

Note that $\deg F_{I_c} = |I_c|$ and $|\sigma(\gamma)| + |I_c| = |\sigma(c)|$.

The goal of the remaining part of this subsection is to prove the following result which relates the cohomology class P_t to the Gamma class $\hat{\Gamma}_{\gamma}$ of the twisted sector γ .

Proposition 4.4. The asymptotics of the class P_t is given by

$$P_t = \frac{(\log t)^{1-|\sigma(c)|}}{(\deg c - 1)!} t^{\omega} \hat{\Gamma}_{\gamma} + O(t^{-\epsilon})$$

where $\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum \psi(v_i) D_i$.

To proceed, we consider the following analytic function in D_1, \dots, D_n , where we think of the variables D_i 's as usual complex numbers:

$$Q_t(D_1, \dots, D_n) = \sum_{(J,K,q): J \supseteq K, q \notin J} (-1)^{|J \setminus K|} \cdot \left(\frac{\log t}{2\pi i} \right)^{|J|+1-|\sigma(c)|} e^{(\frac{\log t}{2\pi i})D} \frac{D_{q \sqcup J}}{D_{\sigma(c)}} \cdot \int_{[0, \epsilon]^J} \left(\frac{t^{-\sum_{i \in K} c_i b_i}}{(1 + \sum_{i \in K} t^{-b_i})^{\sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{D_i}{2\pi i} + c_i)}} e^{-\sum_{j \in J} b_j (\log t) \frac{D_j}{2\pi i}} \right) db' db$$

The next proposition establishes the relationship between the function $Q(D_1, \dots, D_n)$ and the Gamma function Γ .

Proposition 4.5. As functions in variables D_i 's we have the following identity:

$$Q(D_1, \dots, D_n) = (\log t)^{1-|\sigma(c)|} (2\pi i)^{|\sigma(c)|} e^{(\frac{\log t}{2\pi i})D} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{D_i}{2\pi i}}{D_{\sigma(c)}} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(\frac{D_i}{2\pi i} + c_i)}{\Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{D_i}{2\pi i} + c_i))} + O(t^{-\epsilon})$$

Proof. First, we consider a single integral in the definition of Q :

$$\int_{[0, \epsilon]^J} \left(\frac{t^{-\sum_{i \in K} c_i b_i}}{(1 + \sum_{i \in K} t^{-b_i})^{\sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{D_i}{2\pi i} + c_i)}} e^{-\sum_{j \in J} b_j (\log t) \frac{D_j}{2\pi i}} \right) db' db$$

we introduce the change of variables $s_i := (\log t) b_i$ to rewrite it as

$$\int_{[0, \epsilon \log t]^J} \left(\frac{e^{-\sum_{i \in K} c_i s_i}}{(1 + \sum_{i \in K} e^{-s_i})^{\sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{D_i}{2\pi i} + c_i)}} e^{-\sum_{j \in J} s_j \frac{D_j}{2\pi i}} \right) \frac{ds}{(\log t)^{|J|}}$$

We now claim that we could replace the region $[0, \epsilon \log t]^J$ of the integral by $[0, \infty)^J$ without changing the leading term of the asymptotics. In other

words, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{[0, \epsilon \log t]^J} \left(\frac{e^{-\sum_{i \in K} c_i s_i}}{(1 + \sum_{i \in K} e^{-s_i})^{\sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{D_i}{2\pi i} + c_i)}} e^{-\sum_{j \in J} s_j \frac{D_j}{2\pi i}} \right) ds \\ &= \int_{[0, \infty)^J} \left(\frac{e^{-\sum_{i \in K} c_i s_i}}{(1 + \sum_{i \in K} e^{-s_i})^{\sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{D_i}{2\pi i} + c_i)}} e^{-\sum_{j \in J} s_j \frac{D_j}{2\pi i}} \right) ds + O(t^{-\epsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

To see this, it suffices to observe that the integrand is controlled by

$$e^{-\sum_{i \in K} c_i s_i} \cdot e^{-\sum_{j \in J} s_j \frac{D_j}{2\pi i}}$$

then the claim follows from the fact that $\int_{\epsilon \log t}^{+\infty} e^{-s} ds = O(t^{-\epsilon})$.

Thus it suffices to look at

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(J, K, q): J \supseteq K, q \notin J} (-1)^{|J \setminus K|} \left(\frac{\log t}{2\pi i} \right)^{|J|+1-|\sigma(c)|} e^{(\frac{\log t}{2\pi i})D} \frac{D_{q \sqcup J}}{D_{\sigma(c)}} \\ & \cdot \int_{[0, \infty)^J} \left(\frac{e^{-\sum_{i \in K} c_i s_i}}{(1 + \sum_{i \in K} e^{-s_i})^{\sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{D_i}{2\pi i} + c_i)}} e^{-\sum_{j \in J} s_j \frac{D_j}{2\pi i}} \right) \frac{ds}{(\log t)^{|J|}} \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\int_0^\infty e^{-s_j \frac{D_j}{2\pi i}} ds_j = (2\pi i)/D_j$, integrating for all $j \in J \setminus K$, the integral becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(J, K, q): J \supseteq K, q \notin J} (-1)^{|J \setminus K|} \left(\frac{\log t}{2\pi i} \right)^{|J|+1-|\sigma(c)|} e^{(\frac{\log t}{2\pi i})D} \frac{D_{q \sqcup J}}{D_{\sigma(c)}} \\ & \cdot \int_{[0, \infty)^K} \left(\frac{e^{-\sum_{i \in K} c_i s_i}}{(1 + \sum_{i \in K} e^{-s_i})^{\sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{D_i}{2\pi i} + c_i)}} e^{-\sum_{i \in K} s_i \frac{D_i}{2\pi i}} \prod_{j \in J \setminus K} \frac{2\pi i}{D_j} \right) \frac{ds}{(\log t)^{|J|}} \end{aligned}$$

which is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(J, K, q): J \supseteq K, q \notin J} (-1)^{|J \setminus K|} \left(\frac{\log t}{2\pi i} \right)^{1-|\sigma(c)|} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^{|K|} e^{(\frac{\log t}{2\pi i})D} \frac{D_{q \sqcup K}}{D_{\sigma(c)}} \\ & \cdot \int_{[0, \infty)^K} \left(\frac{e^{-\sum_{i \in K} c_i s_i}}{(1 + \sum_{i \in K} e^{-s_i})^{\sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{D_i}{2\pi i} + c_i)}} e^{-\sum_{i \in K} s_i \frac{D_i}{2\pi i}} \right) ds \end{aligned}$$

We rewrite the sum as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(K, q): q \notin K} \left(\sum_{J: J \supseteq K, q \notin J} (-1)^{|J \setminus K|} \right) \left(\frac{\log t}{2\pi i} \right)^{1-|\sigma(c)|} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^{|K|} e^{(\frac{\log t}{2\pi i})D} \frac{D_{q \sqcup K}}{D_{\sigma(c)}} \\ & \cdot \int_{[0, \infty)^K} \left(\frac{e^{-\sum_{i \in K} c_i s_i}}{(1 + \sum_{i \in K} e^{-s_i})^{\sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{D_i}{2\pi i} + c_i)}} e^{-\sum_{i \in K} s_i \frac{D_i}{2\pi i}} \right) ds \end{aligned}$$

Note that for a fixed pair (K, q) , the sum

$$\sum_{J: q \notin J, K \subseteq J} (-1)^{|J \setminus K|} = \sum_{P \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\} \setminus (q \sqcup K)} (-1)^{|P|}$$

is equal to $(1 + (-1)^{|\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus (q \sqcup K)|}) = 0$ if $\{1, \dots, n\} \neq q \sqcup K$ and 1 otherwise. Therefore, the only nonzero term in the sum above corresponds to $K = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus q$. Consequently, the sum above can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{q=1}^n \left(\frac{\log t}{2\pi i} \right)^{1-|\sigma(c)|} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^{n-1} e^{(\frac{\log t}{2\pi i})D} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n D_i}{D_{\sigma(c)}} \\ & \cdot \int_{[0, \infty)^K} \left(\frac{e^{-\sum_{i \in K} c_i s_i}}{(1 + \sum_{i \in K} e^{-s_i})^{\sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{D_i}{2\pi i} + c_i)}} e^{-\sum_{i \in K} s_i \frac{D_i}{2\pi i}} \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying further, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (\log t)^{1-|\sigma(c)|} (2\pi i)^{|\sigma(c)|} \sum_{q=1}^n e^{(\frac{\log t}{2\pi i})D} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{D_i}{2\pi i}}{D_{\sigma(c)}} \\ & \cdot \int_{[0, \infty)^K} \left(\frac{e^{-\sum_{i \in K} c_i s_i}}{(1 + \sum_{i \in K} e^{-s_i})^{\sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{D_i}{2\pi i} + c_i)}} e^{-\sum_{i \in K} s_i \frac{D_i}{2\pi i}} \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

We substitute $t_i = e^{-s_i}$, leading to the following expression

$$\begin{aligned} & (\log t)^{1-|\sigma(c)|} (2\pi i)^{|\sigma(c)|} e^{(\frac{\log t}{2\pi i})D} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{D_i}{2\pi i}}{D_{\sigma(c)}} \\ & \sum_{q \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \int_{[0, 1]^{n-1}} \frac{\prod_{i \neq q} t_i^{\frac{D_i}{2\pi i} + c_i}}{(1 + \sum_{i \neq q} t_i)^{\sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{D_i}{2\pi i} + c_i)}} \frac{dt}{t} \end{aligned}$$

Finally we apply Lemma B.1 to rewrite this expression as

$$(\log t)^{1-|\sigma(c)|} (2\pi i)^{|\sigma(c)|} e^{(\frac{\log t}{2\pi i})D} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{D_i}{2\pi i}}{D_{\sigma(c)}} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(\frac{D_i}{2\pi i} + c_i)}{\Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{D_i}{2\pi i} + c_i))}.$$

This concludes the proof of the proposition. \square

We have established the desired relationship between the function Q_t and the Gamma functions. Applying them to the cohomology classes D_i along

with the following equality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{D_i}{2\pi i}}{D_{\sigma(c)}} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(\frac{D_i}{2\pi i} + c_i)}{\Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{D_i}{2\pi i} + c_i))} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{|\sigma(c)|}} \frac{\prod_{i \notin \sigma(c)} \frac{D_i}{2\pi i}}{(\deg c - 1)!} \prod_{i \notin \sigma(c)} \Gamma(\frac{D_i}{2\pi i}) \prod_{i \in \sigma(c)} \Gamma(\frac{D_i}{2\pi i} + c_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{|\sigma(c)|} (\deg c - 1)!} \prod_{i \notin \sigma(\gamma)} \Gamma(1 + \frac{D_i}{2\pi i}) \prod_{i \in \sigma(\gamma)} \Gamma(\frac{D_i}{2\pi i} + \gamma_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{|\sigma(c)|} (\deg c - 1)!} \cdot \widehat{\Gamma}_\gamma \end{aligned}$$

we conclude the proof of Proposition 4.4.

Hence, the leading term of $\frac{(-1)^d}{(2\pi i)^{d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}^d} \omega_{t,c}$ is given by (noting that $d + 1 - \deg c = \text{rk} N - \deg c$)

$$t^{\psi(c)} \frac{(-1)^{\text{rk} N - \deg c}}{(2\pi i)^{|\sigma(c)|} |\text{Box}(\sigma(\gamma))|} \int_\gamma t^\omega \widehat{\Gamma}_\gamma \cdot F_{I_c}$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

5. EQUALITY OF A-BRANE AND B-BRANE CENTRAL CHARGES

The goal of this section is to establish the equality between A-brane and B-brane central charges. This is accomplished by utilizing the hypergeometric duality [6] as a key ingredient. More precisely, an explicit formula is provided for the pairing between the solution spaces to $\text{bbGKZ}(C, 0)$ and its dual $\text{bbGKZ}(C^\circ, 0)$.

Definition 5.1. For any pair of solutions (Φ_c) and (Ψ_d) of the systems $\text{bbGKZ}(C, 0)$ and $\text{bbGKZ}(C^\circ, 0)$, we define a pairing

$$\langle -, - \rangle : \text{Sol}(\text{bbGKZ}(C, 0)) \times \text{Sol}(\text{bbGKZ}(C^\circ, 0)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

by the following formula

$$\langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle = \sum_{c,d,I} \xi_{c,d,I} \text{Vol}_I \left(\prod_{i \in I} x_i \right) \Phi_c \Psi_d$$

where the coefficient $\xi_{c,d,I}$ is defined as follows. Fix a choice of a generic vector $v \in C^\circ$. For a set I of size $\text{rk} N$ we consider the cone $\sigma_I = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} v_i$. The coefficients $\xi_{c,d,I}$ for $c + d = v_I$ are defined as

$$\xi_{c,d,I} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\deg(c)}, & \text{if } \dim \sigma_I = \text{rk} N \text{ and both } c + \varepsilon v \text{ and } d - \varepsilon v \in \sigma_I^\circ \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here the condition needs to hold for all sufficiently small positive number $\varepsilon > 0$.

The main result in [6] states that this pairing is non-degenerate.

Theorem 5.2. For any pair of solutions (Φ_c) and (Ψ_d) of the systems $\text{bbGKZ}(C, 0)$ and $\text{bbGKZ}(C^\circ, 0)$, the pairing $\langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle$ is a constant. Furthermore, the constant pairing $\langle \Gamma, \Gamma^\circ \rangle$ of the cohomology-valued Gamma series is equal to the inverse of the Euler characteristic pairing $\chi : H_{\text{orb}}^* \otimes H_{\text{orb},c}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ in the large radius limit. In particular, $\langle -, - \rangle$ is non-degenerate.

We now combine the computation in section 4 together with the hypergeometric duality to obtain the equality between A-brane and B-brane central charges. Specifically, we begin by proving the equality for the case of structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Sigma}$ and its mirror cycle $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d$.

To start with, we recall the asymptotic behavior of the Gamma series Γ that was computed in [6].

Lemma 5.3. Let $t \rightarrow +\infty$, then for lattice point $c \in C$ and $\gamma \neq \gamma^\vee(c)$, the summand of $\Gamma_c(t^{-\psi(v_1)}x_1, \dots, t^{-\psi(v_n)}x_n)$ is $o(t^{\psi(c)})$. For $\gamma = \gamma^\vee(c)$, we have

$$\Gamma_c(t^{-\psi(v_1)}x_1, \dots) = t^{\psi(c)} \prod_{i=1}^n e^{\frac{D_i}{2\pi i}(\log x_i - \psi(v_i) \log t)} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^{-c_i}}{\Gamma(1 - c_i + \frac{D_i}{2\pi i})} (1 + o(1)).$$

Proof. See [6, Lemma 3.10]. \square

Theorem 5.4. The A-brane central charge associated to the positive real locus $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^d$ coincides with the B-brane central charge associated to the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Sigma}$.

Proof. Throughout this proof, we will denote an interior lattice point by $d \in C^\circ$ and denote the rank of the lattice N by $\text{rk}N$.

Consider the pairing

$$\langle \Gamma, Z^{A, \mathbb{R}_{>0}^d} \rangle = \sum_{c,d,I} \xi_{c,d,I} \text{Vol}_I(\prod_{i \in I} x_i) \Gamma_c \cdot Z_d^{A, \mathbb{R}_{>0}^d} \in H_{\text{orb}}^*(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$$

we look at the component corresponding to a fixed twisted sector γ . Combining Theorem 4.1, Lemma 5.3, by an argument similar to the proofs of [6, Proposition 3.12, 3.13], the asymptotic behavior of

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (t^{-\psi(v_i)}) \Gamma_{c,\gamma}(t^{-\psi(v_i)}) Z_d^{A, \mathbb{R}_{>0}^d}(t^{-\psi(v_i)})$$

is given by $o(1)$ unless $\gamma = \gamma^\vee(c) = \gamma(d)$ and both I_c and I_d are cones in Σ , in which case the asymptotic behavior is

$$o(1) + \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{|I_c|}} \cdot \frac{D_{I_c}}{\hat{\Gamma}_\gamma} \prod_{i=1}^n e^{\frac{D_i}{2\pi i}(-\psi(v_i) \log t)} \frac{(-1)^{\text{rk}N - \deg d}}{(2\pi i)^{|\sigma(d)|} |\text{Box}(\sigma(\gamma))|} \int_\gamma t^\omega \hat{\Gamma}_\gamma F_{I_d}.$$

Since $\langle \Gamma, \Psi \rangle_\gamma$ is a constant, taking the constant term we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Gamma, \Psi \rangle_\gamma &= \sum_{c,d,I} \xi_{c,d,I} \text{Vol}_I \frac{D_{I_c}}{\hat{\Gamma}_\gamma} \frac{(-1)^{\text{rk}N - \deg d}}{(2\pi i)^{|I_c| + |\sigma(d)|} |\text{Box}(\sigma(\gamma))|} \int_\gamma \hat{\Gamma}_\gamma F_{I_d} \\
&= \sum_{c,d,I} \xi_{c,d,I} \text{Vol}_I \frac{D_{I_c}}{\hat{\Gamma}_\gamma} \frac{(-1)^{\text{rk}N - \deg d}}{(2\pi i)^{\text{rk}N} |\text{Box}(\sigma(\gamma))|} \int_{\gamma^\vee} (-1)^{\dim \gamma^\vee - |I_d|} (\hat{\Gamma}_\gamma)^* F_{I_d} \\
&= \sum_{c,d,I} \xi_{c,d,I} \text{Vol}_I \frac{D_{I_c}}{\hat{\Gamma}_\gamma} \frac{(-1)^{\text{rk}N - \deg d}}{(2\pi i)^{\text{rk}N} |\text{Box}(\sigma(\gamma))|} \\
&\quad \cdot \int_{\gamma^\vee} (2\pi i)^{|\sigma(\gamma)|} (-1)^{\deg \gamma^\vee + \dim \gamma^\vee - |I_d|} \frac{F_{I_d}}{\hat{\Gamma}_{\gamma^\vee}} \text{Td}(\gamma^\vee) \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{\text{rk}N}} \sum_{c,d,I} \xi_{c,d,I} \text{Vol}_I (2\pi i)^{|\sigma(\gamma)|} \frac{D_{I_c}}{\hat{\Gamma}_\gamma} \frac{1}{|\text{Box}(\sigma(\gamma))|} \int_{\gamma^\vee} \frac{F_{I_d}}{\hat{\Gamma}_{\gamma^\vee}} \text{Td}(\gamma^\vee) \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{\text{rk}N}} \sum_{c,d,I} \xi_{c,d,I} \text{Vol}_I (2\pi i)^{|\sigma(\gamma)|} \frac{D_{I_c}}{\hat{\Gamma}_\gamma} \cdot (1, \frac{F_{I_d}}{\hat{\Gamma}_{\gamma^\vee}})_{\text{orb}, \gamma}
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used $\deg \gamma^\vee = |\sigma(\gamma)| + |I_d| - \deg d = |\sigma(d)| - \deg d$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{\text{rk}N - \deg d + \deg \gamma^\vee + \dim \gamma^\vee - |I_d|} &= (-1)^{\text{rk}N + |\sigma(d)| + \text{rk}N - |\sigma(\gamma)| - |I_d|} \\
&= (-1)^{\text{rk}N + |\sigma(d)| + \text{rk}N - |\sigma(d)|} = 1
\end{aligned}$$

By [6, Theorem 4.2] the following class in $H_{\text{orb}}^* \otimes H_{\text{orb},c}^*$

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{\text{rk}N}} \bigoplus_\gamma \sum_{c,d,I} \xi_{c,d,I} \text{Vol}_I (2\pi i)^{|\sigma(\gamma)|} \frac{D_{I_c}}{\hat{\Gamma}_\gamma} \otimes \frac{F_{I_d}}{\hat{\Gamma}_{\gamma^\vee}}$$

is inverse to the Euler characteristic pairing, therefore for any γ we have

$$\langle \Gamma, Z^{A, \mathbb{R}_{>0}^d} \rangle_\gamma = 1_\gamma$$

so $\langle \Gamma, Z^{A, \mathbb{R}_{>0}^d} \rangle = \bigoplus_\gamma 1_\gamma = \text{ch}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Sigma})$, i.e., $Z^{A, \mathbb{R}_{>0}^d}$ corresponds to the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Sigma}$. \square

We have completed the proof of Theorem 1.5 for the case of structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Sigma}$. Next, we consider an arbitrary line bundle $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i D_i)$ corresponding to a torus-invariant divisor $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i D_i$. The mirror cycle $\text{mir}(\mathcal{L})$ of \mathcal{L} is constructed from $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^d$ by monodromy. More precisely, the divisor $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i D_i$ defines a loop in the stringy Kähler moduli space of \mathbb{P}_Σ by

$$\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \theta \mapsto (e^{-2\pi i a_1 \theta}, \dots, e^{-2\pi i a_n \theta}) \quad (5.1)$$

We denote the Laurent polynomial corresponding to $\phi(\theta)$ by $f^{(\theta)}$, then we have a family of hypersurfaces $Z_{f^{(\theta)}}$ in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^d$, where $Z_{f^{(1)}} = Z_{f^{(0)}} = Z_f$. We then define the mirror cycle of \mathcal{L} to be the parallel transport of $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^d$ along this loop.

Corollary 5.5. For any $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i D_i) \in K_0(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma)$, the A- and B-brane central charges coincide:

$$Z^{A, \text{mir}}(\mathcal{L}) = Z^{B, \mathcal{L}}.$$

Proof. It suffices to compare the monodromy along the loop (5.1) on both sides. Recall that the Gamma series is given by

$$\Gamma_c^\circ(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bigoplus_{\gamma} \sum_{l \in L_{c, \gamma}} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^{l_i + \frac{D_i}{2\pi i}}}{\Gamma(1 + l_i + \frac{D_i}{2\pi i})} \left(\prod_{i \in \sigma} D_i^{-1} \right) F_\sigma$$

and the monodromy comes from the term $\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{l_i + \frac{D_i}{2\pi i}} = \prod_{i=1}^n e^{(l_i + \frac{D_i}{2\pi i}) \log x_i}$.

Fix c and γ , when θ goes from 0 to 1, the x_i goes around the origin a_i times clockwise and hence the original $\log x_i$ now becomes $\log x_i - 2\pi i a_i$, therefore contributes an extra factor $e^{-a_i(2\pi i l_i + D_i)}$. Take product over all $i = 1, \dots, n$, this is $e^{-\sum_i a_i(2\pi i l_i + D_i)}$. By definition of $l \in L_{c, \gamma}$, we have $l_i \equiv \gamma_i \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$, therefore the factor is equal to $e^{-\sum_i a_i(2\pi i \gamma_i + D_i)}$, which is exactly the Chern character $ch_\gamma(\mathcal{O}(-\sum_{i=1}^n a_i D_i))$.

Consequently, the effect of the monodromy on the Gamma series is to multiply it by $ch(\mathcal{O}(-\sum_{i=1}^n a_i D_i))$. Then the B-brane central charge is obtained by composing with $\chi(ch(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Sigma}), -)$, which is

$$\chi \left(1, ch(\mathcal{O}(-\sum_{i=1}^n a_i D_i)) \cdot \Gamma_c^\circ \right) = \chi \left(ch(\mathcal{O}(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i D_i)), \Gamma_c^\circ \right)$$

by Proposition 2.11. This is exactly the central charge $Z^{B, \mathcal{O}(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i D_i)}$. It then follows directly from the construction of the mirror cycle of $\mathcal{O}(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i D_i)$ that the monodromy on the A-side matches with the monodromy on the B-side. \square

APPENDIX A. RESIDUAL VOLUME AND ORBIFOLD COHOMOLOGY

In this appendix we establish a result that relates the residual volume of the polytopes $E^{q, J}((b_j)_{j \in J})$ (for precise definitions of residual volume and the polytopes, see section 4) with certain orbifold cohomology classes with compact support of the toric Deligne-Mumford stack \mathbb{P}_Σ . It could be considered as a replacement of the Duistermaat-Heckman lemma used in [1] adapted to our setting. We use the same notations from section 4 except we denote a twisted sector corresponding to $\gamma \in \text{Box}(\Sigma)$ by $\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma/\gamma}$ to avoid potential confusion.

Proposition A.1. The residual volume $\text{vol}(E^{q, J}((b_j)_{j \in J}))$ is equal to

$$\frac{1}{|\text{Box}(\sigma(\gamma))|} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma/\gamma}} e^{D - \sum_{j \in J} b_j D_j} \frac{D_{q \sqcup J}}{D_{\sigma(c)}} F_{I_c}$$

where $D := \sum_i \psi(v_i) D_i$, and $\gamma := \gamma(c)$ is the unique twisted sector corresponding to the interior lattice point $c \in C^\circ$.

We begin with a review of the well-known results on the relationship between line bundles on toric varieties and the associated polytopes in §A.1 and provide the proof of Proposition A.1 in §A.2.

A.1. Line bundles on toric varieties and their associated polytopes.

We briefly review the classical correspondence between line bundles on toric varieties and their associated polytopes following [13].

Again, we denote by \mathbb{P}_Σ the toric variety corresponding to a fan Σ , and $D = \sum_\rho a_\rho D_\rho$ be a Cartier divisor on \mathbb{P}_Σ , where D_ρ 's are the torus-invariant divisors, and we denote the primitive generators of the corresponding rays in the fan by v_ρ . The associated polytope P_D of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Sigma}(D)$ is defined as⁵

$$P_D := \{m \in M_\mathbb{R} : \langle m, v_\rho \rangle + a_\rho \geq 0, \forall \rho\}$$

It is a well-known fact that the dimension of the global section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Sigma}(D)$ is equal to the number of lattice points in the polytope P_D . In fact, we have

$$\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Sigma}(D)) = \bigoplus_{m \in P_D \cap M} \mathbb{C} \cdot \chi^m$$

In this case the polytope P_D is of full-dimension.

This correspondence could be generalized further to the case where the polytope is not of full-dimension. In this case, the corresponding sheaf is not a line bundle on \mathbb{P}_Σ , but the restriction of a line bundle to a closed subvariety.

Finally, suppose we have a sheaf of the form $\mathcal{O}_{D'}(D)$ where D' and D are torus-invariant divisors and its associated (non-full-dimensional) polytope P . Suppose further that this sheaf is nef. Then by Demazure vanishing theorem (see [13, Theorem 9.2.3]) all higher cohomology of $\mathcal{O}_{D'}(D)$ vanishes. Consequently, we have

$$\chi(\mathbb{P}_\Sigma, \mathcal{O}_{D'}(D)) = \chi(D', \mathcal{O}_{D'}(D)) = \dim H^0(D', \mathcal{O}_{D'}(D)) = |P \cap M|$$

i.e., the Euler characteristic of $\mathcal{O}_{D'}(D)$ is equal to the number of lattice points in the polytope P .

A.2. Proof of Proposition A.1. Before we start, we remark here that it suffices to prove the statement for the case where $q \sqcup J$ is a cone in the fan Σ because otherwise the polytope $E^{q,J}((b_j)_{j \in J})$ is empty and the right hand side of the equality is zero due to the factor $D_{q \sqcup J}$.

We divide the proof into four steps.

Step 1. Recall that the polytope $E^{q,J}((b_j)_{j \in J})$ is defined as

$$E^{q,J}((b_i)_{i \in J}) = \left\{ p \in \mathbb{R}^d : \beta_q - \beta_i = b_i, \forall i \in J; \beta_q - \beta_i \in [0, \infty], \forall i \notin q \sqcup J \right\}$$

⁵There is a difference of signs in our definition with the one in [13].

where $\beta_i : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a linear function defined as $p \mapsto \langle v_i, p \rangle - \psi(v_i)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that all b_i 's are rational numbers. The defining equalities and inequalities of $E^{q,J}((b_j)_{j \in J})$ could be rewritten as

$$\langle v_q - v_i, p \rangle + \psi(v_i) - \psi(v_q) - b_i = 0$$

for $i \in J$ and

$$\langle v_q - v_i, p \rangle + \psi(v_i) - \psi(v_q) \geq 0$$

for $i \notin q \sqcup J$. If we denote

$$\begin{aligned} D &:= \sum_{i \in J} (\psi(v_i) - \psi(v_q) - b_i) D_i + \sum_{i \notin q \sqcup J} (\psi(v_i) - \psi(v_q)) D_i \\ &= \sum_{i \in \text{Star}(q)} \psi(v_i) D_i - \sum_{i \in J} b_i D_i \end{aligned}$$

then by the discussion in §A.1, we have

$$\chi(\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma/q}, \mathcal{O}_{D_J}(lD)) = |l \cdot E^{q,J}((b_i)_{i \in J}) \cap M|$$

where l is any integer number that makes $l \cdot E^{q,J}((b_i)_{i \in J})$ into a lattice polytope (the existence is due to the rationality of b_i 's), and D_J is the closed subvariety of $\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma/q}$ corresponding to the cone J in the quotient fan Σ/q . This can be further expressed as

$$|l \cdot E^{q,J}((b_i)_{i \in J}) \cap M| = \chi(\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma/(q \sqcup J)}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma/(q \sqcup J)}}(lD))$$

Step 2. Denote the canonical map from the smooth toric Deligne-Mumford stack \mathbb{P}_{Σ} to its coarse moduli space (which is a simplicial toric variety) \mathbb{P}_{Σ} by π . We denote the line bundle on \mathbb{P}_{Σ} defined by the same support function with D by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma}}(D)$. Since in the definition of \mathbb{P}_{Σ} the additional data of a vector on each ray of the stacky fan is chosen to be the primitive generator, we know that the pushforward of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma}}(D)$ is exactly $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma}}(D)$. On the other hand, it is a well-known result (see e.g., [2, Definition 4.1, Example 8.1]) for a tame Deligne-Mumford stack \mathcal{X} with coarse moduli space X , the canonical map $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ is cohomologically affine. This implies that $H^i(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F})$ is equal to $H^i(X, \pi_* \mathcal{F})$ for all $i > 0$ and any coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} . Apply this fact to our situation, we get $\chi(\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma/(q \sqcup J)}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma/(q \sqcup J)}}(lD)) = \chi(\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma/(q \sqcup J)}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma/(q \sqcup J)}}(lD))$. Thus, we have

$$\chi(\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma/(q \sqcup J)}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma/(q \sqcup J)}}(lD)) = |l \cdot E^{q,J}((b_i)_{i \in J}) \cap M|$$

Step 3.

Then we apply Corollary 2.12, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |l \cdot E^{q,J}((b_i)_{i \in J}) \cap M| &= \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Box}(\Sigma/(q \sqcup J))} \frac{1}{|\text{Box}(\sigma(\gamma))|} \int_{\gamma} ch_{\gamma}^c(l \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma/(q \sqcup J)}}(lD)) \text{Td}(\gamma) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Box}(\Sigma/(q \sqcup J))} \frac{1}{|\text{Box}(\sigma(\gamma))|} \int_{\gamma} e^{lD} \cdot \text{Td}(\gamma) \end{aligned}$$

note that since $q \sqcup J$ is an interior cone (because it contains an interior cone $\sigma(c)$ as a subcone), the quotient fan $\Sigma/(q \sqcup J)$ is complete, hence $\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma/(q \sqcup J)}$ is compact therefore K_0 and K_0^c (and the corresponding Chern characters) coincide.

The *affine volume*⁶ $\text{vol}_{\text{aff}} E^{q,J}((b_i)_{i \in J})$ is computed by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}_{\text{aff}} E^{q,J}((b_i)_{i \in J}) &= \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|l \cdot E^{q,J}((b_i)_{i \in J}) \cap M|}{l^{\dim E^{q,J}((b_i)_{i \in J})}} \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Box}(\Sigma/(q \sqcup J))} \frac{1}{|\text{Box}(\sigma(\gamma))|} \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma} \frac{e^{lD}}{l^{\text{rk}N-1-|J|}} \cdot \text{Td}(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

In the last step, we used $\dim E^{q,J}((b_i)_{i \in J}) = \text{rk}N - 1 - |J|$.

Now, we claim that the only nonzero term in this sum is the $\gamma = 0$ term. To see this, we expand the e^{lD} and the Todd class $\text{Td}(\gamma)$ as sums of homogeneous components:

$$\int_{\gamma} \frac{e^{lD}}{l^{\text{rk}N-1-|J|}} \cdot \text{Td}(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l^{\text{rk}N-1-|J|}} \frac{l^i D^i}{i!} \text{Td}(\gamma)_j$$

where $\text{Td}(\gamma)_j$ denotes the degree j part of the Todd class of γ . By definition, the only nonzero contribution comes from terms with (i, j) such that

$$\deg(D^i) + \deg(\text{Td}(\gamma)_j) = i + j$$

is exactly equal to $\dim(\gamma) = \text{rk}N - 1 - |J| - |\sigma(\gamma)|$. On the other hand, if $i < \text{rk}N - 1 - |J|$, the integral will be killed by taking limit $l \rightarrow \infty$ due to the factor of $\frac{1}{l^{\text{rk}N-1-|J|}}$, thus $i \geq \text{rk}N - 1 - |J|$. Combining these two observations, we can deduce that in order to have nonzero contribution, we must have

$$\text{rk}N - 1 - |\sigma(\gamma)| - |J| = i + j \geq \text{rk}N - 1 - |J| + j$$

which simplifies to $|\sigma(\gamma)| \leq -j$. This forces $j = 0$, $i = \text{rk}N - 1 - |J|$ and $\sigma(\gamma) = \emptyset$, i.e, $\gamma = 0$. Hence the claim is proved. Therefore we have

$$\text{vol}_{\text{aff}} E^{q,J}((b_i)_{i \in J}) = \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma/(q \sqcup J)}} \frac{D^{\text{rk}N-1-|J|}}{(\text{rk}N - 1 - |J|)!} = \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma/(q \sqcup J)}} e^D$$

Step 4. The affine and residual volume of the polytope $E^{q,J}((b_i)_{i \in J})$ are related by the following equation:

$$\text{vol}_{\text{aff}} E^{q,J}((b_i)_{i \in J}) = (\text{index of } b'_i\text{'s}) \cdot \text{vol } E^{q,J}((b_i)_{i \in J})$$

⁶Note that the affine volume differs with the residual volume $\text{vol } E^{q,J}((b_i)_{i \in J})$ by a factor of the index of b_i 's, namely the index of the sublattice spanned by b_i 's inside the standard lattice \mathbb{Z}^d , see step 4.

The index of b_i 's is exactly equal to $|\text{Box}(q \sqcup J)|$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol } E^{q,J}((b_i)_{i \in J}) &= \frac{1}{|\text{Box}(q \sqcup J)|} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma/(q \sqcup J)}} e^D \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma}} e^D \cdot F_{q \sqcup J} \\ &= \frac{1}{|\text{Box}(\sigma(\gamma))|} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma/\sigma(\gamma)}} e^D \cdot F_{q \sqcup J \setminus \sigma(\gamma)} \\ &= \frac{1}{|\text{Box}(\sigma(\gamma))|} \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\Sigma/\sigma(\gamma)}} e^D \cdot \frac{D_{q \sqcup J}}{D_{\sigma(c)}} F_{I_c} \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from the fact that the ratio between the volume of a cone in the fan Σ and that of the corresponding quotient cone in the quotient fan $\Sigma/(q \sqcup J)$ is equal to $|\text{Box}(q \sqcup J)|$. The third equality holds for similar reasons. The last equality is a consequence of the relations in the orbifold cohomology space. This concludes the proof.

APPENDIX B. AN IDENTITY OF BETA FUNCTION

In this appendix, we prove an identity of multivariate beta functions that is used in the computation in §4. Recall that the usual beta function $B(a, b)$ is defined as

$$B(a, b) := \int_0^1 t^{a-1} (1-t)^{b-1} dt$$

There is a well-known relation between beta function and gamma function $B(a, b) = \Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)/\Gamma(a+b)$. There is an equivalent definition of $B(a, b)$ given by

$$B(a, b) = \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{a-1}}{(1+t)^{a+b}} dt$$

Similarly, we can define the multivariable beta function by

$$B(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \int_{[0, \infty)^{n-1}} \frac{t_1^{a_1} \dots t_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}}}{(1+t_1+\dots+t_{n-1})^{a_1+\dots+a_n}} dt_1 \dots dt_{n-1}$$

and there is an identity $B(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \Gamma(a_1) \dots \Gamma(a_n) / \Gamma(a_1 + \dots + a_n)$.

Lemma B.1. We have the following identity:

$$B(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sum_{q=1}^n \int_{[0,1]^{n-1}} \frac{\prod_{i \neq q} t_i^{a_i-1}}{\left(1 + \sum_{i \neq q} t_i\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i}} \prod_{i \neq q} dt_i$$

Proof. We treat the $q = n$ term and the other terms separately. The $q = n$ term could be written as

$$\int_{[0,1]^{n-1}} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} t_i^{a_i-1}}{\left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_i\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} dt_i = \sum_{q=1}^{n-1} \int_{A_q} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} t_i^{a_i-1}}{\left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_i\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} dt_i$$

where A_q is the region defined by $0 \leq t_q \leq 1$ and $0 \leq t_j \leq t_q$ for $j \neq q$.

When $q \neq n$, we introduce the change of coordinate given by $t_i \rightarrow \frac{t_i}{t_n}$ for $i \neq n$ and $t_n \rightarrow \frac{1}{t_n}$, then rename the variable t_n to t_q . An elementary computation shows that the integral becomes

$$\int_{B_q} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} t_i^{a_i-1}}{\left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_i\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} dt_i$$

where B_q is the region defined by $t_q \geq 1$ and $0 \leq t_j \leq t_q$ for $j \neq q$. Therefore the original sum can be written as the integral over the union $\bigcup_{q=1}^{n-1} (A_q \cup B_q)$. Now the result follows from the observation that $A_q \cup B_q$ is the region defined by $t_q \geq 0$ and $0 \leq t_j \leq t_q$ for $j \neq q$, and $\bigcup_{q=1}^{n-1} (A_q \cup B_q)$ is exactly $[0, \infty)^{n-1}$. \square

Remark B.2. A similar identity was proved in [1] by using integration over certain tropical projective spaces. The proof we provide here is purely elementary.

REFERENCES

- [1] Mohammed Abouzaid, Sheel Ganatra, Hiroshi Iritani and Nick Sheridan, *The Gamma and Strominger-Yau-Zaslow conjectures: a tropical approach to periods*. *Geometry & Topology* 24.5 (2020): 2547–2602.
- [2] Jarod Alper, *Good moduli spaces for Artin stacks*. *Annales de l’Institut Fourier*. Vol. 63. No. 6. 2013.
- [3] Victor Batyrev, *Variations of the mixed Hodge structure of affine hypersurfaces in algebraic tori*. *Duke Math. J.* 72.1 (1993): 349–409.
- [4] Christine Berkesch, Jens Forsgard, and Mikael Passare. *Euler-Mellin integrals and A-hypergeometric functions*. *Michigan Mathematical Journal* 63.1 (2014): 101–123.
- [5] Lev Borisov, Linda Chen, and Gregory Smith, *The orbifold Chow ring of toric Deligne-Mumford stacks*. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* 18.1 (2005): 193–215.
- [6] Lev Borisov, Zengrui Han, *On hypergeometric duality conjecture*. *Advances in Mathematics*, Volume 442 (2024):109582.
- [7] Lev Borisov, Zengrui Han, Chengxi Wang, *On duality of certain GKZ hypergeometric systems*. *Asian Journal of Mathematics* 25.1 (2021): 65–88.
- [8] Lev Borisov, R. Paul Horja, *On the K-theory of smooth toric DM stacks*. *Snowbird Lectures on String Geometry*, *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 401, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2006), pp. 21–42
- [9] Lev Borisov, R. Paul Horja, *On the better behaved version of the GKZ hypergeometric system*. *Mathematische Annalen* 357.2 (2013): 585–603.
- [10] Lev Borisov, R. Paul Horja, *Applications of homological mirror symmetry to hypergeometric systems: duality conjectures*. *Advances in Mathematics* 271 (2015): 153–187.
- [11] Lev Borisov, R. Paul Horja, *Mellin-Barnes integrals as Fourier-Mukai transforms*. *Advances in Mathematics* 207.2 (2006): 876–927.
- [12] Tom Bridgeland, *Stability conditions on triangulated categories*. *Annals of Mathematics* (2007): 317–345.
- [13] David A. Cox, John B. Little, and Henry K. Schenck. *Toric varieties*. Vol. 124. American Mathematical Soc., 2011.

- [14] Michael R. Douglas, *Dirichlet branes, homological mirror symmetry, and stability*. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Beijing, 2002. Higher Ed. Press, 2002.
- [15] Zengrui Han, *Analytic continuation of better-behaved GKZ systems and Fourier-Mukai transforms*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.12241 (2023).
- [16] Kentaro Hori and Cumrun Vafa, *Mirror symmetry*. arXiv preprint hep-th/0002222 (2000).
- [17] Shinobu Hosono, *Central charges, symplectic forms, and hypergeometric series in local mirror symmetry*. arXiv preprint hep-th/0404043 (2004).
- [18] Hiroshi Iritani, *An integral structure in quantum cohomology and mirror symmetry for toric orbifolds*. Advances in Mathematics 222.3 (2009): 1016-1079.
- [19] Hiroshi Iritani, *Quantum cohomology and periods*. Annales de l'Institut Fourier. Vol. 61. No. 7. 2011.
- [20] Hiroshi Iritani, *Mirror symmetric Gamma conjecture for Fano and Calabi-Yau manifolds*. arXiv:2307.15940 (2023).
- [21] Lisa Nilsson and Mikael Passare. *Mellin transforms of multivariate rational functions*. Journal of Geometric Analysis 23.1 (2013): 24-46.
- [22] Junxiao Wang, *The Gamma Conjecture for Tropical Curves in Local Mirror Symmetry*. arXiv:2011.01729 (2020).
- [23] Yuto Yamamoto, *Period integrals of hypersurfaces via tropical geometry*. arXiv:2205.00814 (2022).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, PISCATAWAY, NJ 08854
Email address: zh223@math.rutgers.edu