

WILLMORE-TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR CLOSED HYPERSURFACES IN WEIGHTED MANIFOLDS

GUOQIANG WU AND JIA-YONG WU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove some Willmore-type inequalities for closed hypersurfaces in weighted manifolds with nonnegative Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature. In particular, we give a sharp Willmore-like inequality in shrinking gradient Ricci solitons. These results can be regarded as generalizations of Agostiniani-Fogagnolo-Mazzieri's Willmore-type inequality in weighted manifolds. As applications, we derive some isoperimetric type inequalities under certain existence assumptions of isoperimetric regions in weighted manifolds.

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical Willmore inequality [45] states that any a bounded domain Ω in 3-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^3 with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ satisfies

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{H}{2}\right)^2 d\sigma \geq 4\pi,$$

where H is the mean curvature of $\partial\Omega$ and $d\sigma$ is the Riemannian volume element of $\partial\Omega$ induced by the standard Euclidean metric. Moreover, the equality occurs if and only if Ω is the 3-dimensional Euclidean unit ball \mathbb{B}^3 . The Willmore inequality was then extended by Chen [14, 15] to submanifolds of any co-dimension in n -dimensional ($n \geq 3$) Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . In particular, one has that any a bounded domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ must have

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \left| \frac{H}{n-1} \right|^{n-1} d\sigma \geq |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|,$$

where $|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|$ is the area of $(n-1)$ -dimensional Euclidean unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . Furthermore, the equality holds if and only if Ω is an n -dimensional Euclidean unit ball \mathbb{B}^n . It is worth pointing out that the Willmore inequality can be reproved by Agostiniani and Mazzieri [3] via a monotonicity formula approach in the potential theory, and they also gave a sharp quantitative version of the Willmore-type inequality. See also an alternative proof of the Willmore inequality via a geometric divergence inequality exploited by Cederbaum and Miede [12]. In [1], Agostiniani, Fogagnolo and Mazzieri generalized the above Willmore inequality to a bounded and open domain in Riemannian manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature. Their result is stated as follows.

Date: April 26, 2024.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C40; Secondary 53C24.

Key words and phrases. Willmore inequality; isoperimetric inequality; mean curvature; hypersurface; Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature; gradient Ricci soliton.

Theorem A ([1]). *Let (M^n, g) ($n \geq 3$) be a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature and Euclidean volume growth. If $\Omega \subset M^n$ is a bounded and open subset with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, then*

$$(1.1) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} \left| \frac{H}{n-1} \right|^{n-1} d\sigma \geq \text{AVR}(g) |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|,$$

where $\text{AVR}(g)$ is the asymptotic volume ratio of (M^n, g) . Moreover, the equality holds if and only if $(M^n \setminus \Omega, g)$ is isometric to

$$\left([r_0, \infty) \times \partial\Omega, dr^2 + \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^2 g_{\partial\Omega} \right),$$

with $r_0 = \left(\frac{|\partial\Omega|}{\text{AVR}(g) |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$. In particular, $\partial\Omega$ is a connected totally umbilic submanifold with constant mean curvature.

The proof of Theorem A in [1] is based on the monotonicity-rigidity properties on certain level set flow of the electrostatic potentials associated with Ω . Later, Wang [39] gave a short proof by using standard comparison methods of the Riccati equation in Riemannian geometry. Motivated by Wang's argument, Rudnik [35] applied comparison methods of Jacobi equations to obtain a Willmore-type inequality in manifolds with asymptotically nonnegative curvature. In [8], Borghini and Fogagnolo proved a Willmore-like inequality in substatic manifolds by exploiting the substatic Bishop–Gromov monotonicity theorem. Recently, Jin and Yin [23] used Wang's method to extend Theorem A to the manifold of negative Ricci curvature. Besides, many Willmore-type inequalities in various ambient spaces are explored in [2, 22, 36, 37] and references therein.

In this paper, using Wang's argument [39], we extend Theorem A to weighted manifolds and establish some Willmore-type inequalities for closed hypersurfaces in weighted manifolds with nonnegative Bakry–Émery Ricci curvature. In particular, we give a sharp Willmore-like inequality for shrinking gradient Ricci solitons. As applications, we give some isoperimetric type inequalities in certain weighted manifolds.

Recall that a weighted n -manifold, denoted by $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv)$, is an n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) coupled with a weighted measure $e^{-f} dv$ for some smooth weight function f and the Riemannian volume element dv on (M^n, g) . Weighted manifolds are natural extensions of Riemannian manifolds and are characterized by collapsed measured Gromov–Hausdorff limits [28]. They are also closely related to the Ricci flow, probability theory and optimal transport; see [27, 28, 29] and references therein.

On $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv_g)$, Bakry and Émery [5] introduced the m -Bakry–Émery Ricci curvature

$$\text{Ric}_f^m := \text{Ric} + \text{Hess } f - \frac{df \otimes df}{m-n}$$

for some real number $m \geq n$, where Ric is the Ricci tensor of (M^n, g) and Hess is the Hessian with respect to g . When $m = n$, f should be regarded as a constant and Ric_f^m returns to the ordinary Ricci curvature. When $m < \infty$, there exists a basic viewpoint that many geometric results for manifolds with Ric bounded below can be possibly extended to weighted manifolds with Ric_f^m bounded below. This is because the Bochner formula for Ric_f^m can be regarded as the classical Bochner formula for the Ricci curvature of an m -manifold; see for example [27, 40] for detailed explanations. In particular, a weighted

manifold satisfying

$$\text{Ric}_f^m = \lambda g$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, is called a quasi-Einstein m -manifold (see [11]), which is considered as the generalization of Einstein manifold. When $n < m < \infty$, $(M^n \times F^{m-n}, g_M + e^{\frac{-2f}{m-n}} g_F)$, with (F^{m-n}, g_F) an Einstein manifold, is a warped product Einstein manifold. When $m = n + 1$, $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv)$ is the so-called static manifold in general relativity.

When $m \rightarrow \infty$, Ric_f^m becomes the ∞ -Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature

$$\text{Ric}_f := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ric}_f^m = \text{Ric} + \text{Hess } f.$$

Similar to the classical comparison theorems, when Ric_f is bounded below, one can also prove weighted mean curvature comparison theorems (see [40, 44]), which highly rely on f . This leads to many classical geometric and topological results remaining true in weighted manifolds under certain assumptions on f . We refer the readers to [17, 30, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44] and references therein for nice works in this direction. In particular, if

$$\text{Ric}_f = \lambda g$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, then $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv_g)$ is a gradient Ricci soliton. A gradient Ricci soliton is called shrinking, steady, or expanding, if $\lambda > 0$, $\lambda = 0$, or $\lambda < 0$, respectively. The gradient Ricci soliton plays an important role in the Ricci flow and Perelman's resolution of the Poincaré conjecture; see [10, 18] and references therein for nice surveys.

On $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv)$, a natural generalization of Laplacian Δ is the f -Laplacian defined by

$$\Delta_f := \Delta - \langle \nabla f, \nabla \rangle,$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection on (M^n, g) . The f -Laplacian is self-adjoint with respect to the measure $e^{-f} dv$, and it is related to Ric_f via the generalized Bochner formula

$$\Delta_f |\nabla u|^2 = 2|\text{Hess } u|^2 + 2\langle \nabla \Delta_f u, \nabla u \rangle + 2\text{Ric}_f(\nabla u, \nabla u)$$

for any $u \in C^\infty(M^n)$. This formula will play an important role in our paper. For a point $p \in M^n$, let $r(x) := d(x, p)$ be a distance function from p to $x \in M^n$. In geodesic polar coordinates at p , let $\nabla r = \partial r$ and $|\nabla r| = 1$ almost everywhere. We let $B(p, r)$ be the geodesic ball with center $p \in M^n$ and radius $r > 0$, and its weighted volume is given by $|B(p, r)|_f := \int_{B(p, r)} e^{-f} dv$. Sometimes we denote $|B(p, r)|_f$ by $\text{Vol}_f(B(p, r))$.

On $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv_g)$, let $\Omega \subset M^n$ be a bounded open set with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. We denote by $|\Omega|_f$ the weighted volume of Ω . When $f = 0$, it returns to the Riemannian volume of Ω , denoted by $|\Omega|$. Let H be the mean curvature of $\partial\Omega$ and ν be the unit outer normal vector of $\partial\Omega$. The weighted mean curvature of $\partial\Omega$ is defined by

$$H_f := H - g(\nabla f, \nu).$$

When $\text{Ric}_f \geq 0$ and H_f of $\partial\Omega$ is nonnegative everywhere, for each point $p \in \partial\Omega$, if

$$(1.2) \quad \partial_r f \geq -a$$

for some constant $a \geq 0$ along all minimal geodesic segments from p , inspired by [23], we define the weighted relative volume

$$\text{RV}_f(\Omega) := \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Vol}_f\{x \in M | d(x, \Omega) < r\}}{m(r)},$$

where $m(r) := n|\mathbb{B}^n| \int_0^r e^{at} t^{n-1} dt$ and $|\mathbb{B}^n|$ is the volume of Euclidean unit n -ball. In Proposition 2.3 (a), we see that $\text{RV}_f(\Omega)$ is well defined. For each $p \in \partial\Omega$, if

$$(1.3) \quad |f| \leq k$$

for some constant $k \geq 0$ along all minimal geodesic segments from p , we define another weighted relative volume

$$\overline{\text{RV}}_f(\Omega) := \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Vol}_f\{x \in M | d(x, \Omega) < r\}}{|\mathbb{B}^{n+4k}| r^{n+4k}}.$$

In Proposition 2.3 (b), we know that $\overline{\text{RV}}_f(\Omega)$ is also well defined. In general, $\text{RV}_f(\Omega)$ and $\overline{\text{RV}}_f(\Omega)$ depend on the set Ω , which are different from the classical asymptotic volume ratio.

We first state Willmore-type inequalities in weighted manifolds with $\text{Ric}_f \geq 0$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv)$ be a complete noncompact weighted n -manifold with $\text{Ric}_f \geq 0$, and let $\Omega \subset M^n$ be a bounded open set with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. The weighted mean curvature H_f of $\partial\Omega$ is nonnegative everywhere.*

(a) *If (1.2) holds, then*

$$(1.4) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{H_f}{n-1} \right)^{n-1} e^{-f} d\sigma \geq \text{RV}_f(\Omega) |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|.$$

Moreover, if $\text{RV}_f(\Omega) > 0$ and H_f is constant on $\partial\Omega$, then the equality of (1.4) holds if and only if $\partial\Omega$ is connected and $(M^n \setminus \Omega, g, e^{-f} dv)$ is isometric to

$$(1.5) \quad \left([r_0, \infty) \times \partial\Omega, dr^2 + \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^2 g_{\partial\Omega} \right)$$

with $\partial_r f \equiv 0$ along all minimal geodesic segments from $\partial\Omega$ ($a = 0$), where $r_0 = \left(\frac{|\partial\Omega|_f}{\text{RV}_f(\Omega) |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$.

(b) *If (1.3) holds, then*

$$(1.6) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{H_f}{n-1} \right)^{n-1+4k} e^{-f} d\sigma \geq \overline{\text{RV}}_f(\Omega) |\mathbb{S}^{n-1+4k}|.$$

Moreover, if $\overline{\text{RV}}_f(\Omega) > 0$ and H_f is constant on $\partial\Omega$, then the equality of (1.6) holds if and only if $\partial\Omega$ is connected and $(M^n \setminus \Omega, g, e^{-f} dv)$ is isometric to

$$(1.7) \quad \left([r_1, \infty) \times \partial\Omega, dr^2 + \left(\frac{r}{r_1}\right)^2 g_{\partial\Omega} \right)$$

with $f \equiv 0$ along all minimal geodesic segments from $\partial\Omega$ ($k = 0$), where $r_1 = \left(\frac{|\partial\Omega|_f}{\overline{\text{RV}}_f(\Omega) |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$.

Remark 1.2. (i) Condition (1.2) (or (1.3)) and $H_f \geq 0$ guarantee that the weighted mean comparison theorems for hypersurfaces hold (see Lemma 2.1) and weighted relative volumes are well-defined (see Proposition 2.3).

(ii) The constant assumption of H_f seems to be necessary to the rigidity. In manifold case, when the equality of (1.1) occurs, there exists a Codazzi equation connecting the Ricci curvature with the mean curvature, which implies that mean curvature is constant (see [39]). In weighted manifold, there does not exist a Codazzi type equation relating between Ric_f (or Ric_f^m) and H_f , and we can not get the constant property of H_f .

(iii) Inequality (1.4) also holds on steady gradient Ricci solitons because $\text{Ric}_f = 0$, $R + |\nabla f|^2 = a$ (see [18]) and $R \geq 0$ (see [13]), where R denotes the scalar curvature, on such manifolds. But the rigidity of Theorem 1.1 only occurs at some trivial steady Ricci solitons.

On $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv_g)$ with $\text{Ric}_f^m \geq 0$, for a point $p \in M^n$, we introduce the m -weighted asymptotic volume ratio

$$\text{AVR}_f^m(g) := \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|B(p, r)|_f}{|\mathbb{B}^m| r^m}.$$

By the weighted volume comparison of $\text{Ric}_f^m \geq 0$ (see [6]), $\text{AVR}_f^m(g)$ is well defined, and it is independent of the base point p .

When $\text{Ric}_f^m \geq 0$, we establish another Willmore-type inequality in weighted manifolds.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv)$ be a complete noncompact weighted n -manifold with $\text{Ric}_f^m \geq 0$. Let $\Omega \subset M^n$ be a bounded open set with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Then*

$$(1.8) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} \left| \frac{H_f}{m-1} \right|^{m-1} e^{-f} d\sigma \geq \text{AVR}_f^m(g) |\mathbb{S}^{m-1}|.$$

Moreover, if M^n has only one end, $\text{AVR}_f^m(g) > 0$ and H_f is constant on $\partial\Omega$, then the equality of (1.8) implies that $\partial\Omega$ is connected and $(M^n \setminus \Omega, g, e^{-f} dv)$ is isometric to

$$(1.9) \quad \left([r_0, \infty) \times \partial\Omega, dr^2 + \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^2 g_{\partial\Omega} \right),$$

where $r_0 = \left(\frac{|\partial\Omega|_f}{\text{AVR}_f^m(g) |\mathbb{S}^{m-1}|} \right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}}$.

Remark 1.4. In Theorem 1.3, the only one end assumption of M^n is necessary to the rigidity. In manifold case, it is known that $\text{Ric} \geq 0$ and $\text{AVR}(g) > 0$ suffice to assure the manifold has only one end by the Cheeger-Gromoll splitting theorem. However, when $\text{Ric}_f \geq 0$ and $\text{AVR}_f^m(g) > 0$, we do not know if the weighted manifold has only one end. Besides, the constant assumption of H_f is also necessary; see Remark 1.2 (ii). When f is constant and $m = n$, these assumptions automatically hold and our result returns to Theorem A.

On a complete shrinking gradient Ricci soliton (*shrinker* for short) $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv)$, we assume, without loss of generality (see [18]), that it satisfies

$$(1.10) \quad \text{Ric}_f = \frac{1}{2} g \quad \text{and} \quad \text{R} + |\nabla f|^2 = f.$$

Given a base point $p \in M^n$, we consider the classical asymptotic volume ratio

$$\text{AVR}(g) := \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|B(p, r)|}{|\mathbb{B}^n| r^n}$$

on shrinkers. From [16], we see that $\text{AVR}(g)$ on shrinkers always exists and it is independent of the point p . Similar to the argument of Theorem 1.1, we give a sharp Willmore-like inequality in shrinkers.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv)$ be a noncompact n -shrinker with (1.10). Let $\Omega \subset M^n$ be a bounded open set with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. If the mean curvature H of $\partial\Omega$ is positive everywhere, then*

$$(1.11) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} \exp \left\{ \frac{(n-1)^2}{4H^2} + f - \frac{n-1}{H} \partial_\nu f \right\} \cdot \left(\frac{H}{n-1} \right)^{n-1} d\sigma \geq \text{AVR}(g) |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|,$$

where $\partial_\nu f$ denotes the derivative of f in outer unit normal directions of $\partial\Omega$. Moreover, the equality of (1.11) holds if and only if $\partial\Omega$ is a round sphere and $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv)$ is isometric to the Gaussian shrinker $(\mathbb{R}^n, \delta_{ij}, e^{-|x|^2/4} dv)$.

Finally, as applications of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3, we are able to prove some isoperimetric type inequalities in weighted manifolds; see Theorems 6.1 and 6.2 below. These inequalities provide certain connections between the existence of isoperimetric regions in weighted manifolds and the sharpness of our Willmore-type inequalities. Some part of results can be regarded as weighted versions of manifold case in [34]. See Section 6 for the detailed discussion.

The rest of paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove some weighted mean curvature comparison theorems for tubular domains in weighted manifolds. Using them, we show that the weighted relative volumes defined in introduction are well defined. We also prove some rigid results for compact weighted manifolds with boundaries. In Section 3, we apply our new comparison results to prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, similar to the argument of Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.3. In Section 5, we prove Theorem 1.5. In Section 6, we apply Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 to give some isoperimetric type inequalities in weighted manifolds.

2. COMPARISON THEOREMS

In this section, we give some weighted mean curvature comparison theorems for tubular domains under the nonnegative Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature. As applications, we show that weighted relative volumes in introduction are well defined. Besides, we recall a generalized Reilly formula in weighted manifolds. Using this formula, we prove a rigid result and a Heintze-Karcher type inequality for compact weighted manifolds with boundaries.

For a weighted manifold $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv)$, let $\Omega \subset M^n$ be a bounded open set with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Throughout this paper, we denote by ∇ and Δ the gradient and the Laplacian on Ω of (M^n, g) respectively, while by $\nabla_{\partial\Omega}$ and $\Delta_{\partial\Omega}$ the gradient and the Laplacian on $\partial\Omega$ respectively. We also let ν denote the outer unit normal vector of $\partial\Omega$. Then the second fundamental form of $\partial\Omega$ is defined by

$$h(X, Y) = g(\nabla_X \nu, Y),$$

where $X, Y \in T(\partial\Omega)$. The trace of the second fundamental h , i.e., $H = \text{Trace}_g h$, denotes the mean curvature of $\partial\Omega$, and the corresponding weighted mean curvature is defined by

$$H_f := H - g(\nabla f, \nu).$$

The weighted mean curvature H_f appears in the critical point of the weighted area functional of the submanifold $\partial\Omega$. $\partial\Omega$ is said to be weighted minimal if $H_f \equiv 0$.

For a fixed point $p \in \partial\Omega$, let $\gamma_p(t) = \exp_p t\nu(p)$ be the normal geodesic with initial velocity $\nu(p)$. We set

$$\tau(p) = \sup \{l > 0 \mid \gamma_p \text{ is minimizing on } [0, l]\} \in (0, \infty].$$

It is known that τ is continuous on $\partial\Omega$ and the focus locus

$$C(\partial\Omega) := \{\exp_p \tau(p)\nu(p) \mid \tau(p) < \infty\}$$

is a closed set of measure zero in M . Let

$$E = \{(r, p) \in [0, \infty) \times \partial\Omega \mid r < \tau(p)\}$$

and the exponential map $\Phi : E \rightarrow (M \setminus \Omega) \setminus C(\partial\Omega)$ defined by $\Phi(r, p) = \exp_p r\nu(p)$ is a diffeomorphism. On E , the pull back of weighted volume form in polar coordinates is

$$e^{-f} dv = \mathcal{A}_f(r, p) dr d\sigma(p),$$

where $\mathcal{A}_f(r, p) = e^{-f} \mathcal{A}(r, p)$ and $\mathcal{A}(r, p)$ is the Riemannian volume element in geodesic polar coordinates. Customarily, we understand r as the distance function to $\partial\Omega$ and it is smooth on $M \setminus \Omega$ away from $C(\partial\Omega)$.

Now we prove mean curvature comparison theorems for tubular domains in weighted manifolds with $\text{Ric}_f \geq 0$, extending the manifold case. Compared with the manifold case, a obvious difficulty is that our proof needs to deal with some extra terms of f . Fortunately, we employ Wei-Wylie's proof trick [40] to overcome this obstacle.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv)$ be a complete noncompact weighted manifold with $\text{Ric}_f \geq 0$. Let $\Omega \subset M^n$ be a bounded open set with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. The weighted mean curvature H_f of $\partial\Omega$ is nonnegative everywhere. Fix a point $p \in \partial\Omega$.*

(a) *If $\partial_r f \geq -a$ for some constant $a \geq 0$ along a minimal geodesic segment from p , then $\tau(p) = \infty$ and*

$$\Delta_f r \leq \frac{(n-1)H_f(p)}{n-1+H_f(p)r} + a - \frac{(n-1)^2 a}{[n-1+H_f(p)r]^2}$$

along that minimal geodesic segment from p .

(b) *If $|f| \leq k$ for some constant $k \geq 0$ along a minimal geodesic segment from p , then $\tau(p) = \infty$ and*

$$\Delta_f r \leq \frac{(n-1+4k)H_f(p)}{n-1+H_f(p)r}$$

along that minimal geodesic segment from p .

Proof of Lemma 2.1. For a fixed point $p \in \partial\Omega$, using $|\nabla r| = 1$ almost everywhere in the Bochner formula, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad 0 &= \frac{1}{2} \Delta |\nabla r|^2 = |\text{Hess } r|^2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\Delta r) + \text{Ric}(\nabla r, \nabla r) \\ &\geq \frac{(\Delta r)^2}{n-1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\Delta r) + \text{Ric}(\nabla r, \nabla r), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Since $\text{Ric}_f \geq 0$, we obtain a Riccati inequality

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\Delta r) + \frac{(\Delta r)^2}{n-1} \leq f''(r),$$

where $f''(r) := \text{Hess } f(\partial r, \partial r) = \frac{d^2}{dr^2} (f \circ \gamma)(r)$. This inequality is written as

$$\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial r} [(n-1+H_f(p)r)^2 \Delta r]}{(n-1+H_f(p)r)^2} + \frac{1}{n-1} \left[\Delta r - \frac{(n-1)H_f(p)}{n-1+H_f(p)r} \right]^2 \leq \frac{(n-1)H_f(p)^2}{(n-1+H_f(p)r)^2} + f''(r).$$

Discarding the square term on the left hand side, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} [(n-1+H_f(p)r)^2 \Delta r] \leq (n-1)H_f(p)^2 + f''(r)(n-1+H_f(p)r)^2.$$

Integrating this inequality and using the initial condition $\Delta r|_{r=0} = H(p)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &(n-1+H_f(p)r)^2 \Delta r - (n-1)^2 H(p) \\ &\leq (n-1)H_f(p)^2 r + (n-1+H_f(p)t)^2 f'(t) \Big|_0^r - \int_0^r f'(t) [(n-1+H_f(p)t)^2]' dt. \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging terms, we have that

$$(2.2) \quad [n-1+H_f(p)r]^2 \Delta_f r \leq (n-1)H_f(p)[n-1+H_f(p)r] - \int_0^r f'(t)[(n-1+H_f(p)t)^2]' dt.$$

Case (a): If $f'(t) \geq -a$ and $H_f(p) \geq 0$, then $[(n-1+H_f(p)t)^2]' \geq 0$ and hence

$$(2.3) \quad - \int_0^r f'(t)[(n-1+H_f(p)t)^2]' dt \leq a \int_0^r [(n-1+H_f(p)t)^2]' dt = a[n-1+H_f(p)r]^2 - (n-1)^2 a$$

for $r \geq 0$. Substituting this into (2.2) yields the conclusion of Case (a).

Case (b): If $|f| \leq k$ and $H_f(p) \geq 0$, by the integration by parts, we see that

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & - \int_0^r f'(t)[(n-1+H_f(p)t)^2]' dt \\ & = -2H_f(p) \int_0^r [n-1+H_f(p)t] df(t) \\ & = -2[n-1+H_f(p)r]H_f(p)f(r) + 2(n-1)H_f(p)f(p) + 2H_f(p)^2 \int_0^r f(t) dt \\ & \leq 2kH_f(p)[n-1+H_f(p)r] + 2(n-1)kH_f(p) + 2kH_f(p)^2 r \\ & = 4kH_f(p)[n-1+H_f(p)r] \end{aligned}$$

for $r \geq 0$. Substituting this into (2.2) yields the estimate of Case (b). \square

We then give another weighted mean curvature comparison theorems for tubular hypersurfaces in weighted manifolds with $\text{Ric}_f^m \geq 0$ (without any assumption on f), also extending the manifold case.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv)$ be a complete noncompact weighted manifold with $\text{Ric}_f^m \geq 0$. Let $\Omega \subset M^n$ be a bounded open set with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. For any a point $p \in \partial\Omega$, the distance function $r(x) = d(p, x)$ satisfies*

$$\Delta_f r \leq \frac{(m-1)H_f(p)}{m-1+H_f(p)r}$$

for $r \in [0, \tau(p))$, where $\tau(p) \leq \frac{m-1}{H_f^-(p)}$.

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Applying the generalized Bochner formula to the distance function $r(x) = d(p, x)$ and using $\text{Ric}_f^m \geq 0$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \frac{1}{2} \Delta_f |\nabla r|^2 = |\text{Hess } r|^2 + \langle \nabla r, \nabla \Delta_f r \rangle + \text{Ric}_f(\nabla r, \nabla r) \\
&\geq \frac{(\Delta r)^2}{n-1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial r}(\Delta_f r) + \text{Ric}_f(\nabla r, \nabla r) \\
&= \frac{(\Delta_f r + \langle \nabla f, \nabla r \rangle)^2}{n-1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial r}(\Delta_f r) + \text{Ric}_f(\nabla r, \nabla r) \\
&\geq \frac{(\Delta_f r)^2}{m-1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial r}(\Delta_f r) + \text{Ric}_f^m(\nabla r, \nabla r) \\
&\geq \frac{(\Delta_f r)^2}{m-1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial r}(\Delta_f r),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in the last inequality. Considering the initial value $\Delta_f r|_{r=0} = H_f(p)$, we solve the above inequality and give the desired result. \square

In the following, we show that Lemma 2.1 implies that weighted relative volumes $\text{RV}_f(\Omega)$ and $\overline{\text{RV}}_f(\Omega)$ given in introduction are both well defined.

Proposition 2.3. *On a weighted manifold $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv_g)$ with $\text{Ric}_f \geq 0$, let $\Omega \subset M^n$ be a bounded open set with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. The weighted mean curvature H_f of $\partial\Omega$ is nonnegative everywhere.*

(a) *If (1.2) holds, then*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Vol}_f\{x \in M | d(x, \Omega) < r\}}{m(r)},$$

where $m(r) := n|\mathbb{B}^n| \int_0^r e^{at} t^{n-1} dt$, exists. Hence $\text{RV}_f(\Omega)$ is well defined.

(b) *If (1.3) holds, then*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Vol}_f\{x \in M | d(x, \Omega) < r\}}{|\mathbb{B}^{n+4k}| r^{n+4k}}.$$

exists. Hence $\overline{\text{RV}}_f(\Omega)$ is well defined.

Proof of Proposition 2.3. We first prove part (a). Recalling that $\Delta r = (\ln \mathcal{A})'$ and $\mathcal{A}_f(r, p) = e^{-f} \mathcal{A}(r, p)$, then $\Delta_f r = (\ln \mathcal{A}_f)'$. Combining this with Lemma 2.1 (a), we have $\tau(p) = \infty$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.5) \quad \frac{\mathcal{A}'}{\mathcal{A}} &\leq \frac{(n-1)H_f(p)}{n-1+H_f(p)r} + a - \frac{(n-1)^2 a}{[n-1+H_f(p)r]^2} \\
&\leq \frac{(n-1)H_f(p)}{n-1+H_f(p)r} + a
\end{aligned}$$

for all $r \geq 0$, where we discarded the third non-positive term in the above second line. Hence, for each $p \in \partial\Omega$,

$$\theta_f(r, p) := \frac{\mathcal{A}_f(r, p)}{e^{ar} \left(1 + \frac{H_f(p)}{n-1} r\right)^{n-1}}$$

is non-increasing and bounded on $[0, \infty)$, and hence $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \theta_f(r, p)$ exists. We also see that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{ar} \left(1 + \frac{H_f(p)}{n-1} r\right)^{n-1}}{m'(r)} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{ar} \left(1 + \frac{H_f(p)}{n-1} r\right)^{n-1}}{n|\mathbb{B}^n|e^{ar}r^{n-1}} = \frac{1}{n|\mathbb{B}^n|} \left(\frac{H_f(p)}{n-1}\right)^{n-1}$$

exists. Combining the above two aspects, we immediately get that

$$\kappa(p) := \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{A}_f(r, p)}{m'(r)}$$

exists. That is, $\mathcal{A}_f(r, p) \leq c_1 m'(r)$ for some constant c_1 depending on $\kappa(p)$. Thus,

$$\frac{\int_0^r \mathcal{A}_f(t, p) dt}{m(r)} \leq \frac{\int_0^r c_1 m'(t) dt}{m(r)} = c_1.$$

Using this upper bound, by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem and the L'hospital rule, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RV}_f(\Omega) &:= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Vol}_f\{x \in M | d(x, \Omega) < r\}}{m(r)} \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{\Sigma} \int_0^r \mathcal{A}_f(t, p) dt d\sigma(p) + |\Omega|_f}{m(r)} \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{A}_f(r, p)}{m'(r)} d\sigma(p) \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} \kappa(p) d\sigma(p). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\text{RV}_f(\Omega)$ is well-defined.

We then prove part (b). By Lemma 2.1 (b), for each $p \in \partial\Omega$, we see that

$$\bar{\theta}_f(r, p) := \frac{\mathcal{A}_f(r, p)}{\left(1 + \frac{H_f(p)}{n-1} r\right)^{n-1+4k}}$$

is non-increasing and bounded on $[0, \infty)$, which implies that $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\theta}_f(r, p)$ exists. We also see that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(1 + \frac{H_f(p)}{n-1} r\right)^{n-1+4k}}{(n+4k)|\mathbb{B}^{n+4k}|r^{n-1+4k}} = \frac{\left(\frac{H_f(p)}{n-1}\right)^{n-1+4k}}{(n+4k)|\mathbb{B}^{n+4k}|}$$

exists. Combining the above two aspects, we immediately have that

$$\bar{\kappa}(p) := \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{A}_f(r, p)}{(n+4k)|\mathbb{B}^{n+4k}|r^{n-1+4k}}$$

exists. That is, $\mathcal{A}_f(r, p) \leq c_2(n+4k)|\mathbb{B}^{n+4k}|r^{n-1+4k}$ for some constant c_2 depending on $\bar{\kappa}(p)$. Thus,

$$\frac{\int_0^r \mathcal{A}_f(t, p) dt}{|\mathbb{B}^{n+4k}|r^{n+4k}} \leq \frac{\int_0^r c_2(n+4k)|\mathbb{B}^{n+4k}|r^{n-1+4k} dt}{|\mathbb{B}^{n+4k}|r^{n+4k}} = c_2.$$

Using this bound, by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem and the L'hospital rule, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\text{RV}}_f(\Omega) &:= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Vol}_f\{x \in M \mid d(x, \Omega) < r\}}{|\mathbb{B}^{n+4k}|_r^{n+4k}} \\
&= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{\Sigma} \int_0^r \mathcal{A}_f(t, p) dt d\sigma(p) + |\Omega|_f}{|\mathbb{B}^{n+4k}|_r^{n+4k}} \\
&= \int_{\Sigma} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{A}_f(r, p)}{(n+4k)|\mathbb{B}^{n+4k}|_r^{n-1+4k}} d\sigma(p) \\
&= \int_{\Sigma} \bar{\kappa}(p) d\sigma(p).
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\overline{\text{RV}}_f(\Omega)$ is well-defined. \square

Ichida [21] and Kasue [24] proved that if a compact connected manifold M^n with mean convex boundary Σ has nonnegative Ricci curvature, then Σ has at most two components; moreover if Σ has two components, then M^n is isometric to $N \times [0, l]$ for some connected compact $(n-1)$ -manifold N and some constant $l > 0$. See also an alternative proof in [19]. Now we generalize this result to a weighted manifold. To achieve it, we need a generalized Reilly formula [25], which states that for any $u \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.6) \quad &\int_M (\Delta_f u)^2 d\mu - \int_M |\text{Hess } u|^2 d\mu - \int_M \text{Ric}_f(\nabla u, \nabla u) d\mu \\
&= 2 \int_{\Sigma} u_\nu (\Delta_{f\Sigma} z) d\mu_\Sigma + \int_{\Sigma} H_f(u_\nu)^2 d\mu_\Sigma + \int_{\Sigma} h(\nabla_{\partial\Omega} z, \nabla_{\Sigma} z) d\mu_\Sigma,
\end{aligned}$$

where $z = u|_{\Sigma}$, $d\mu = e^{-f} dv$ and $d\mu_\Sigma = d\mu|_{\Sigma}$. By this formula, we apply Hang-Wang's argument [19] to get the following generalized result, which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv)$ be a compact connected weighted n -manifold with $\text{Ric}_f \geq 0$. If the boundary Σ of M^n has $H_f \geq 0$, then Σ has at most two components. Moreover, if Σ has two components, then Σ is totally geodesic and M^n is isometric to*

$$N \times [0, l]$$

for some constant $l > 0$, where N is a connected compact $(n-1)$ -manifold.

Proof of Proposition 2.4. Assume that Σ is not connected. Fixing a component Σ_0 of Σ , we solve the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_f u = 0 & \text{in } M, \\ u|_{\Sigma_0} = -1 & \text{and } u|_{\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_0} = 1. \end{cases}$$

Applying (2.6) to u , we have

$$- \int_M |\text{Hess } u|^2 d\mu = \int_M \text{Ric}_f(\nabla u, \nabla u) d\mu + \int_{\Sigma} H_f(u_\nu)^2 d\mu_\Sigma.$$

Since $\text{Ric}_f \geq 0$ and $H_f \geq 0$, then $\text{Hess } u = 0$, which implies $|\nabla u| = k$ for some constant $k > 0$. Since $\nabla u = -k\nu$ on Σ_0 and $\nabla u = k\nu$ on $\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_0$, we have

$$\nabla_X \nu = 0$$

for any $X \in T\Sigma$, that is, Σ is totally geodesic. If we consider the flow generated by $\nabla u/k$, then it starts from Σ_0 to $\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_0$ at time $1/k$ and hence Σ has exactly two components. Indeed the flow lines are just geodesics. If we fix local coordinates $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ on Σ_0 and let $r = u/k$, then

$$g = dr^2 + g_{ij}(r, x)dx^i dx^j.$$

Noticing $\text{Hess } r = 0$, so $\partial_r g_{ij}(r, x) = 0$. Therefore M^n is isometric to $\Sigma_0 \times [0, 1/k]$. \square

The Reilly formula (2.6) can be also used to prove the following weighted Heintze-Karcher inequality, extending the manifold case in [20, 34]. We remark that the same inequality has been obtained in [7]. For the discussion convenience in the proof of Theorem 6.2 below, we give a detailed proof.

Proposition 2.5 ([7]). *Let $(M^n, g, e^{-f}dv)$ be a compact n -manifold with smooth boundary Σ satisfying $\text{Ric}_f^m \geq 0$. If the weighted mean curvature H_f of Σ is positive everywhere, then*

$$(2.7) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{H_f} d\mu_{\Sigma} \geq \frac{m}{m-1} |M^n|_f.$$

Moreover, the equality of (2.7) holds if and only if $m = n$, f is constant and M^n is isometric to an Euclidean n -ball.

Proof of Proposition 2.5. The proof is analogous to Theorem 1 in [34], which originates from [33]. Let $u \in C^\infty(M^n)$ be a smooth function of the Dirichlet problem

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_f u = 1 & \text{in } M^n, \\ z = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma. \end{cases}$$

Using (2.6) we have

$$(2.9) \quad \int_M (\Delta_f u)^2 d\mu = \int_M |\text{Hess } u|^2 d\mu + \int_M \text{Ric}_f(\nabla u, \nabla u) d\mu + \int_{\Sigma} H_f(u_\nu)^2 d\mu_{\Sigma}.$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Hess } u|^2 &\geq \frac{1}{n} (\Delta u)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} (\Delta_f u + \langle \nabla f, \nabla u \rangle)^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{|\Delta_f u|^2}{1 + \frac{m-n}{n}} - \frac{\langle \nabla f, \nabla u \rangle^2}{\frac{m-n}{n}} \right] \\ &= \frac{(\Delta_f u)^2}{m} - \frac{\langle \nabla f, \nabla u \rangle^2}{m-n}, \end{aligned}$$

where $m \geq n$ and f is constant when $m = n$. Substituting this into (2.9) and combining the fact $\Delta_f u = 1$, we get

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right) |M^n|_f \geq \text{Ric}_f^m(\nabla u, \nabla u) d\mu + \int_{\Sigma} H_f(u_\nu)^2 d\mu_{\Sigma}.$$

Since $\text{Ric}_f^m \geq 0$, we further have

$$(2.10) \quad \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right) |M^n|_f \geq \int_{\Sigma} H_f(u_\nu)^2 d\mu_{\Sigma}.$$

On the other hand, by the divergence theorem, we observe

$$|M^n|_f = \int_M (\Delta_f u) d\mu = - \int_\Sigma u_\nu d\mu_\Sigma$$

and hence we have

$$|M^n|_f^2 = \left(\int_\Sigma u_\nu d\mu_\Sigma \right)^2 \leq \int_\Sigma H_f(u_\nu)^2 d\mu_\Sigma \cdot \int_\Sigma H_f^{-1} d\mu_\Sigma,$$

where we used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Combining this with (2.10) gives (2.7).

Now we discuss the equality case of (2.7). Inspecting the above argument, we have

$$\text{Hess } u = \frac{\Delta u}{n} g \quad \text{and} \quad 1 = \Delta_f u = -\frac{m}{m-n} \langle \nabla f, \nabla u \rangle$$

in Ω . This implies that

$$\text{Hess } u = \frac{1}{m} g \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta u + \frac{n}{m-n} \langle \nabla f, \nabla u \rangle = 0$$

in Ω . The latter equality is equivalent to

$$e^{-\frac{nf}{m-n}} \nabla \left(e^{\frac{nf}{m-n}} \nabla u \right) = 0$$

in Ω . Multiplying the factor $ue^{\frac{nf}{m-n}}$ in the above equality and then integrating it over the compact Ω with respect to the Riemannian measure, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= - \int_{M^n} u \nabla \left(e^{\frac{nf}{m-n}} \nabla u \right) dv \\ &= \int_{M^n} e^{\frac{nf}{m-n}} |\nabla u|^2 dv - \int_\Sigma u \left\langle e^{\frac{nf}{m-n}} \nabla u, \nu \right\rangle dv \\ &= \int_{M^n} e^{\frac{nf}{m-n}} |\nabla u|^2 dv, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the divergence theorem in the second equality and $u = 0$ on Σ in the third equality. This gives $|\nabla u| = 0$ and hence u equals to a constant in M^n . It is impossible due to the fact $\Delta_f u = 1$. This shows that f must be constant and $m = n$. Hence the equality case reduces to the equality case of Theorem 1 in [34] and the conclusion follows. \square

The argument of Proposition 2.5 can be extended to the setting of $\text{Ric}_f \geq 0$ if we drop the first nonnegative term of the right hand side of (2.9). But at this time the inequality is not sharp and we do not have a rigid result.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv)$ be an n -dimensional compact set with smooth boundary Σ satisfying $\text{Ric}_f \geq 0$. If the weighted mean curvature H_f of Σ is positive everywhere, then*

$$\int_\Sigma \frac{1}{H_f} d\mu_\Sigma \geq |\Omega|_f.$$

Proposition 2.6 can be regarded as a weak Heintze-Karcher type inequality, which is suitable to non-expanding gradient Ricci solitons. There is an interesting question to ask if there exists a sharp Heintze-Karcher type inequality for gradient Ricci solitons. We would like to mention that many Heintze-Karcher type inequalities were investigated in [9, 26, 32, 38] and references therein.

3. WILLMORE-TYPE INEQUALITY FOR $\text{Ric}_f \geq 0$

In this section we study Willmore-type inequalities in weighted manifolds with $\text{Ric}_f \geq 0$. We shall apply Lemma 2.1 to prove Theorem 1.1 by following Wang's argument [39]. We first prove the case (a) of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (a). We start to prove (1.4). Let $\Omega \subset M^n$ be a bounded open set with smooth boundary $\Sigma := \partial\Omega$. We first discuss the case when Ω has no hole, that is $M^n \setminus \Omega$ has no bounded component. From the proof of Proposition 2.3 (a), we get that $\tau(p) = \infty$ and

$$\theta_f(r, p) := \frac{\mathcal{A}_f(r, p)}{e^{ar} \left(1 + \frac{H_f(p)}{n-1} r\right)^{n-1}}$$

is non-increasing in r on $[0, \infty)$. This monotonicity gives that

$$\mathcal{A}_f(r, p) \leq e^{ar-f(p)} \left(1 + \frac{H_f(p)}{n-1} r\right)^{n-1}$$

for all $r \geq 0$, where we used $\mathcal{A}_f(0, p) = e^{-f(p)}$. For any $R > 0$, we apply $\tau(p) = \infty$ and the upper bound to estimate that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vol}_f\{x \in M^n | d(x, \Omega) < R\} - |\Omega|_f &= \int_{\Sigma} \int_0^R \mathcal{A}_f(r, p) dr d\sigma(p) \\ &\leq \int_{\Sigma} \int_0^R e^{ar-f(p)} \left(1 + \frac{H_f(p)}{n-1} r\right)^{n-1} dr d\sigma(p). \end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides by $m(R) := n|\mathbb{B}^n| \int_0^R e^{at} t^{n-1} dt$ and letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RV}_f(\Omega) &\leq \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{\Sigma} \int_0^R e^{ar-f(p)} \left(1 + \frac{H_f(p)}{n-1} r\right)^{n-1} dr d\sigma(p)}{m(R)} \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{\Sigma} e^{aR-f(p)} \left(1 + \frac{H_f(p)}{n-1} R\right)^{n-1} d\sigma(p)}{n|\mathbb{B}^n| e^{aR} R^{n-1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|} \int_{\Sigma} \left(\frac{H_f}{n-1}\right)^{n-1} e^{-f} d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the L'hospital rule in the second line and $n|\mathbb{B}^n| = |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|$ in the third line. We hence prove (1.4) when Ω has no hole.

If Ω has holes, let $M^n \setminus \Omega$ be N_{Ω} unbounded connected components E_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N_{\Omega}$). Set $D = M^n \setminus (\cup_{i=1}^{N_{\Omega}} E_i)$. Then D is a bounded open set with smooth boundary, no holes and $\partial D \subseteq \partial\Omega$. So for each $p \in \partial D$, we still have $H_f(p) \geq 0$ and $\partial_r f \geq -a$ along all minimal geodesic segments from p . Hence, by the preceding discussion, we have

$$\int_{\partial D} \left(\frac{H_f}{n-1}\right)^{n-1} e^{-f} d\sigma \geq \text{RV}_f(D) |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|.$$

By the definition of weighted relative volume, we have $\text{RV}_f(D) \geq \text{RV}_f(\Omega)$ due to the fact $D \supseteq \Omega$ and $\partial D \subseteq \partial\Omega$. We also see that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{H_f}{n-1} \right)^{n-1} e^{-f} d\sigma \geq \int_{\partial D} \left(\frac{H_f}{n-1} \right)^{n-1} e^{-f} d\sigma.$$

Putting these together immediately gets (1.4) for a general case.

Next we discuss the rigid part of Theorem 1.1 (a). Suppose

$$(3.1) \quad \text{RV}_f(\Omega) = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|} \int_{\Sigma} \left(\frac{H_f}{n-1} \right)^{n-1} e^{-f} d\sigma > 0.$$

Since we assume H_f is constant on Σ , then $H_f > 0$ and hence $\tau \equiv \infty$ from the preceding discussion. For any $R' < R$, we apply the monotonicity of $\theta_f(r, p)$ to estimate that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vol}_f\{x \in M^n | d(x, \Omega) < R\} - |\Omega|_f &= \int_{\Sigma} \int_0^R \mathcal{A}_f(r, p) dr d\sigma(p) \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} \int_0^R \theta_f(r, p) e^{ar} \left(1 + \frac{H_f(p)}{n-1} r \right)^{n-1} dr d\sigma(p) \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} \int_{R'}^R \theta_f(r, p) e^{ar} \left(1 + \frac{H_f(p)}{n-1} r \right)^{n-1} dr d\sigma(p) \\ &\quad + \int_{\Sigma} \int_0^{R'} \theta_f(r, p) e^{ar} \left(1 + \frac{H_f(p)}{n-1} r \right)^{n-1} dr d\sigma(p) \\ &\leq \int_{\Sigma} \theta_f(R', p) \int_{R'}^R e^{ar} \left(1 + \frac{H_f(p)}{n-1} r \right)^{n-1} dr d\sigma(p) \\ &\quad + \int_{\Sigma} \int_0^{R'} \theta_f(r, p) e^{ar} \left(1 + \frac{H_f(p)}{n-1} r \right)^{n-1} dr d\sigma(p). \end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides by $m(R) := n|\mathbb{B}^n| \int_0^R e^{at} t^{n-1} dt$ and letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ yields

$$\text{RV}_f(\Omega) \leq \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|} \int_{\Sigma} \left(\frac{H_f(p)}{n-1} \right)^{n-1} \theta_f(R', p) d\sigma(p),$$

where we used the L'hospital rule. Then letting $R' \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\text{RV}_f(\Omega) \leq \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|} \int_{\Sigma} \left(\frac{H_f(p)}{n-1} \right)^{n-1} \theta_f(\infty, p) d\sigma(p),$$

where $\theta_f(\infty, p) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \theta_f(r, p) \leq e^{-f(p)}$. Moreover, we have assumed (3.1) and hence we must have $\theta_f(\infty, p) = e^{-f(p)}$ for almost everywhere $p \in \Sigma$. It follows that

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{A}_f(r, p) = e^{ar-f(p)} \left(1 + \frac{H_f(p)}{n-1} r \right)^{n-1} \quad \text{on } [0, \infty)$$

for almost everywhere $p \in \Sigma$. By continuity this identity holds for all $p \in \Sigma$.

By (3.2), inspecting the comparison argument of Lemma 2.1 (a) and (2.5), we get that

$$\partial_r f \equiv 0$$

along all minimal geodesic segments from each point of Σ . Moreover, on $\Phi([0, \infty) \times \Sigma)$,

$$\nabla^2 r = \frac{\Delta r}{n-1}g \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_f r = \frac{(n-1)H_f}{n-1+H_f r}.$$

Since $\partial_r f \equiv 0$, then $\langle \nabla f, \nabla r \rangle = 0$ and $H_f = H$. So the above equalities imply

$$(3.3) \quad \nabla^2 r = \frac{\Delta r}{n-1}g = \frac{H}{n-1+Hr}g.$$

Hence the second fundamental form h satisfies

$$h = \frac{H}{n-1}g_\Sigma.$$

Now we claim that Σ must be connected. In fact since $\bar{\Omega}$ is a connected compact manifold with $\text{Ric}_f \geq 0$ and $H_f \geq 0$, by Proposition 2.4, we conclude that either Σ is connected or Σ has two components. If Σ has two components, by Proposition 2.4 we know $H \equiv 0$ on each component. Moreover, we have proven $\partial_r f \equiv 0$. Thus, $H_f \equiv 0$ on Σ . It is impossible due to our assumption (3.1). Therefore, Σ is connected.

Since Φ is a diffeomorphism starting from $[0, \infty) \times \Sigma$ onto its image, we have the following form of the pullback metric Φ^*g :

$$dr^2 + \eta_r,$$

where η_r is a r -dependent family of metrics on Σ and $\eta_0 = g_\Sigma$. In terms of local coordinates $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ on Σ , (3.3) implies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \eta_{ij} = \frac{2H}{n-1+Hr} \eta_{ij}.$$

This further implies that

$$\eta_r = \left(1 + \frac{H}{n-1}r\right)^2 g_\Sigma.$$

Therefore we show that $\Phi([0, \infty) \times \Sigma)$ is isometric to

$$\left([r_0, \infty) \times \Sigma, dr^2 + \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^2 g_\Sigma\right),$$

where $r_0 = \frac{n-1}{H_f}$.

Finally we examine the sufficient part of Theorem 1.1. That is, under assumptions of Theorem 1.1, if $(M^n \setminus \Omega, g, e^{-f} dv)$ is isometric to (1.5) and $\partial_r f \equiv 0$ along all minimal geodesic segments from each point of the connected $\Sigma = \partial\Omega$, we inspect the equality of (1.4). One one hand, using $r_0 = \frac{n-1}{H_f}$, we have

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{H_f}{n-1}\right)^{n-1} e^{-f} d\sigma = \frac{1}{r_0^{n-1}} |\Sigma|_f.$$

On the other hand, since $a = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RV}_f(\Omega)|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{\Sigma} \int_{r_0}^{r_0+R} \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{n-1} e^{-f(p)} dr d\sigma(p)}{\int_0^R r^{n-1} dr} \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{\Sigma} \left(\frac{r_0+R}{r_0}\right)^{n-1} e^{-f(p)} d\sigma(p)}{R^{n-1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{r_0^{n-1}} |\Sigma|_f. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the equality of (1.4) holds. \square

In the end of this section we prove the case (b) of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (b). The proof is nearly the same as the case (a) of Theorem 1.1. Here we only sketch some main steps. Similar to the arguments of Theorem 1.1 (a), we assume, without loss of generality, that Ω has no hole. Lemma 2.1 (b) shows that

$$\bar{\theta}_f(r, p) := \frac{\mathcal{A}_f(r, p)}{\left(1 + \frac{H_f(p)}{n-1} r\right)^{n-1+4k}}$$

is non-increasing in r on $[0, \infty)$, which implies that

$$\mathcal{A}_f(r, p) \leq e^{-f(p)} \left(1 + \frac{H_f(p)}{n-1} r\right)^{n-1+4k}$$

for all $r \geq 0$. Hence, for any $R > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vol}_f\{x \in M^n | d(x, \Omega) < R\} - |\Omega|_f &= \int_{\Sigma} \int_0^R \mathcal{A}_f(r, p) dr d\sigma(p) \\ &\leq \int_{\Sigma} \int_0^R e^{-f(p)} \left(1 + \frac{H_f(p)}{n-1} r\right)^{n-1+4k} dr d\sigma(p). \end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides by $|\mathbb{B}^{n+4k}| R^{n+4k}$ and letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\text{RV}}_f(\Omega) &\leq \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{\Sigma} \int_0^R e^{-f(p)} \left(1 + \frac{H_f(p)}{n-1} r\right)^{n-1+4k} dr d\sigma(p)}{|\mathbb{B}^{n+4k}| R^{n+4k}} \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{\Sigma} e^{-f(p)} \left(1 + \frac{H_f(p)}{n-1} R\right)^{n-1+4k} d\sigma(p)}{(n+4k) |\mathbb{B}^{n+4k}| R^{n-1+4k}} \\ &= \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^{n-1+4k}|} \int_{\Sigma} \left(\frac{H_f}{n-1}\right)^{n-1+4k} e^{-f} d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the L'hospital rule in the second line and $(n+4k)|\mathbb{B}^{n+4k}| = |\mathbb{S}^{n-1+4k}|$ in the third line. This completes the proof of (1.6).

The rigid discussion is also similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1 (a). We first show that the equality of (1.6) implies

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{A}_f(r, p) = e^{-f(p)} \left(1 + \frac{H_f(p)}{n-1} r\right)^{n-1+4k} \quad \text{on } [0, \infty)$$

for all $p \in \Sigma$. Using this equality and inspecting the comparison argument of Lemma 2.1 (b), we get that $k = 0$ and

$$f \equiv 0$$

along all minimal geodesic segments from each point of Σ . Thus, $H_f = H$. Moreover, on $\Phi([0, \infty) \times \Sigma)$,

$$\nabla^2 r = \frac{\Delta r}{n-1}g \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_f r = \frac{(n-1)H_f}{n-1+H_f r}.$$

The rest of proof is the same as the case of Theorem 1.1 (a). We omit the repeated details. \square

4. WILLMORE-TYPE INEQUALITY FOR $\text{Ric}_f^m \geq 0$

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3 by adapting the argument of Wang [39]. We now assume $\text{Ric}_f^m \geq 0$ and f can be chosen to be any smooth function.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. The proof is similar to the argument of Theorem 1.1. We first prove (1.8) when Ω has no hole. By Lemma 2.2, since $\Delta_f r = \frac{\mathcal{A}_f}{\mathcal{A}_f}$, we conclude that

$$\theta_f(r, p) := \frac{\mathcal{A}_f(r, p)}{\left(1 + \frac{H_f(p)}{m-1}r\right)^{m-1}}$$

is non-increasing in r on $[0, \tau(p))$, where $\tau(p) = \frac{m-1}{H_f(p)}$. Since $\theta_f(0, p) = e^{-f(p)}$, we get $\theta_f(r, p) \leq e^{-f(p)}$, that is

$$\mathcal{A}_f(r, p) \leq e^{-f(p)} \left(1 + \frac{H_f(p)}{m-1}r\right)^{m-1}$$

for $r \in [0, \tau(p))$. For any $R > 0$, we apply this estimate to get that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vol}_f\{x \in M^n | d(x, \Omega) < R\} - |\Omega|_f &= \int_{\Sigma} \int_0^{\min(R, \tau(p))} \mathcal{A}_f(r, p) dr d\sigma(p) \\ &\leq \int_{\Sigma} \int_0^{\min(R, \tau(p))} e^{-f(p)} \left(1 + \frac{H_f(p)}{m-1}r\right)^{m-1} dr d\sigma(p) \\ &\leq \int_{\Sigma} \int_0^{\min(R, \tau(p))} e^{-f(p)} \left(1 + \frac{H_f^+(p)}{m-1}r\right)^{m-1} dr d\sigma(p) \\ &\leq \int_{\Sigma} \int_0^R e^{-f(p)} \left(1 + \frac{H_f^+(p)}{m-1}r\right)^{m-1} dr d\sigma(p) \\ &= \frac{R^m}{m} \int_{\Sigma} e^{-f(p)} \left(\frac{H_f^+(p)}{m-1}\right)^{m-1} d\sigma(p) + O(R^{m-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides by $|\mathbb{B}^m|R^m = |\mathbb{S}^{m-1}|R^m/m$ and letting $R \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\text{AVR}_f^m(g) \leq \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^{m-1}|} \int_{\Sigma} \left(\frac{H_f^+}{m-1}\right)^{m-1} e^{-f} d\sigma.$$

Finally, using the fact $|H_f| = H_f^+ + H_f^-$ we get (1.8).

We now show that if Ω has some holes, (1.8) still holds. Indeed if Ω has some holes, let $M^n \setminus \Omega$ be all unbounded connected components E_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$). Then $D := M^n \setminus (\cup_{i=1} E_i)$ is a bounded open set with smooth boundary and no holes, and $\partial D \subseteq \partial \Omega$. So for each $p \in \partial D$, by the preceding discussion, we have

$$\int_{\partial D} \left| \frac{H_f}{m-1} \right|^{n-1} e^{-f} d\sigma \geq \text{AVR}_f^m(g) |\mathbb{S}^{m-1}|.$$

Combining this with the fact $\partial D \subseteq \partial \Omega$ again, we get (1.8) for a general case.

Below we discuss the rigid part of Theorem 1.3. Suppose H_f is constant on Σ and

$$(4.1) \quad \text{AVR}_f^m(g) = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^{m-1}|} \int_{\Sigma} \left(\frac{H_f}{m-1} \right)^{m-1} e^{-f} d\sigma > 0.$$

Clearly, the constant weighted mean curvature $H_f > 0$ and $\tau \equiv \infty$ on Σ . For any $R' < R$, using the monotonicity of $\theta_f(r, p)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vol}_f\{x \in M^n | d(x, \Omega) < R\} - |\Omega|_f &= \int_{\Sigma} \int_0^R \mathcal{A}_f(r, p) dr d\sigma(p) \\ &\leq \int_{\Sigma} \int_0^R \theta_f(r, p) \left(1 + \frac{H_f(p)}{m-1} r \right)^{m-1} dr d\sigma(p) \\ &\leq \int_{\Sigma} \int_{R'}^R \theta_f(r, p) \left(1 + \frac{H_f(p)}{m-1} r \right)^{m-1} dr d\sigma(p) \\ &\quad + \int_{\Sigma} \int_0^{R'} \theta_f(r, p) \left(1 + \frac{H_f(p)}{m-1} r \right)^{m-1} dr d\sigma(p) \\ &\leq \int_{\Sigma} \theta_f(R', p) \int_{R'}^R \left(1 + \frac{H_f(p)}{m-1} r \right)^{m-1} dr d\sigma(p) \\ &\quad + \int_{\Sigma} \int_0^{R'} \theta_f(r, p) \left(1 + \frac{H_f(p)}{m-1} r \right)^{m-1} dr d\sigma(p). \end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides by $|\mathbb{B}^m|R^m = |\mathbb{S}^{m-1}|R^m/m$ and letting $R \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\text{AVR}_f^m(g) \leq \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^{m-1}|} \int_{\Sigma} \left(\frac{H_f(p)}{m-1} \right)^{m-1} \theta_f(R', p) d\sigma(p).$$

Letting $R' \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\text{AVR}_f^m(g) \leq \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^{m-1}|} \int_{\Sigma} \left(\frac{H_f(p)}{m-1} \right)^{m-1} \theta_f(\infty, p) d\sigma(p),$$

where $\theta_f(\infty, p) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \theta_f(r, p) \leq e^{-f(p)}$. Since we assume (4.1), $\theta_f(\infty, p) = e^{-f(p)}$ for almost everywhere $p \in \Sigma$. Hence,

$$\mathcal{A}_f(r, p) = e^{-f(p)} \left(1 + \frac{H_f(p)}{m-1} r \right)^{m-1}$$

on $[0, \infty)$ for almost everywhere $p \in \Sigma$. By continuity, this identity is in fact true for all $p \in \Sigma$. By this equality, analysing the comparison argument of Lemma 2.2, on $\Phi([0, \infty) \times \Sigma)$,

we get that

$$\Delta_f r = -\frac{m-1}{m-n} \langle \nabla f, \nabla r \rangle$$

which is equivalent to

$$\Delta r = -\frac{n-1}{m-n} \langle \nabla f, \nabla r \rangle.$$

On $\Phi([0, \infty) \times \Sigma)$, we also have

$$\nabla^2 r = \frac{\Delta r}{n-1} g \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_f r = \frac{(m-1)H_f}{m-1+H_f r}.$$

Putting these together, we have

$$(4.2) \quad \nabla^2 r = \frac{H_f}{m-1+H_f r} g.$$

Since H_f is positive constant on Σ , this implies that the second fundamental form h satisfies

$$h = \frac{H_f}{m-1} g_\Sigma.$$

Since Φ is a diffeomorphism starting from $[0, \infty) \times \Sigma$ onto its image, we have the following form of the pullback metric Φ^*g :

$$dr^2 + \eta_r,$$

where η_r is a r -dependent family of metrics on Σ and $\eta_0 = g_\Sigma$. In terms of local coordinates $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ on Σ , (4.2) immediately implies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \eta_{ij} = \frac{2H_f}{m-1+H_f r} \eta_{ij}.$$

This further implies that

$$\eta_r = \left(1 + \frac{H_f}{m-1} r\right)^2 g_\Sigma.$$

Therefore we show that $\Phi([0, \infty) \times \Sigma)$ is isometric to (1.9) with $r_0 = \frac{m-1}{H_f}$. Since M^n has only one end, then Σ must be connected. \square

5. WILLMORE-LIKE INEQUALITY FOR SHRINKERS

In this section, we use a similar strategy of Sections 3 and 4 to prove Theorem 1.5. Here the computations are much more involved than before.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We first give an upper bound of mean curvature by following arguments of [40, 30]. Similar to Lemma 2.1, for any a fixed point $p \in \partial\Omega$, let $\gamma_p(t) = \exp_p t\nu(p)$ be the normal geodesic with initial velocity $\nu(p)$. Using $\text{Ric}_f = \frac{1}{2}g$ in (2.1), we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(\Delta r) + \frac{(\Delta r)^2}{n-1} \leq f''(r) - \frac{1}{2},$$

where $f''(r) := \text{Hess}f(\partial r, \partial r) = \frac{d^2}{dr^2}(f \circ \gamma)(r)$. It is inequivalent to

$$\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}[(n-1+H(p)r)^2 \Delta r]}{(n-1+H(p)r)^2} + \frac{1}{n-1} \left[\Delta r - \frac{(n-1)H(p)}{n-1+H(p)r} \right]^2 \leq \frac{(n-1)H(p)^2}{(n-1+H(p)r)^2} + f''(r) - \frac{1}{2}$$

for all $r \geq 0$. Discarding the above second nonnegative term, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} [(n-1 + H(p)r)^2 \Delta r] \leq (n-1)H(p)^2 + f''(r)(n-1 + H(p)r)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(n-1 + H(p)r)^2.$$

Integrating it from 0 to $r > 0$ and using the initial condition $\Delta r|_{r=0} = H(p)$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & (n-1 + H(p)r)^2 \Delta r - (n-1)^2 H(p) \\ & \leq (n-1)H(p)^2 r + \int_0^r (n-1 + H(p)s)^2 df'(s) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^r (n-1 + H(p)s)^2 ds \\ & = (n-1)H(p)^2 r + (n-1 + H(p)r)^2 f'(r) - (n-1)^2 f'(0) \\ & \quad - 2H(p) \int_0^r f'(s)(n-1 + H(p)s) ds - \frac{1}{6H(p)} [(n-1 + H(p)r)^3 - (n-1)^3]. \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging some terms of the above inequality, we arrive at

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta r & \leq \frac{(n-1)H(p)}{n-1 + H(p)r} + f'(r) - \frac{n-1 + H(p)r}{6H(p)} + \frac{(n-1)^2}{[n-1 + H(p)r]^2} \left[\frac{n-1}{6H(p)} - f'(0) \right] \\ & \quad - \frac{2H(p)}{[n-1 + H(p)r]^2} \int_0^r f'(s)(n-1 + H(p)s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the above inequality from 0 to $r > 0$ once again, and using $\Delta r = (\ln \mathcal{A}(r))'$, where $\mathcal{A}(r) = \mathcal{A}(p, r)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \mathcal{A}(r) & \leq (n-1) \ln \left(1 + \frac{H(p)}{n-1} r \right) + [f(r) - f(0)] - \frac{1}{6H(p)} \left[(n-1)r - \frac{H(p)}{2} r^2 \right] \\ & \quad + \frac{(n-1)^2}{H(p)[n-1 + H(p)t]} \left[f'(0) - \frac{n-1}{6H(p)} \right]_{t=0}^{t=r} \\ & \quad - \int_0^r \frac{2H(p)}{(n-1 + H(p)t)^2} \left(\int_0^t f'(s)[n-1 + H(p)s] ds \right) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\mathcal{A}(0) = 1$. Noticing that

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^r \frac{2H(p)}{(n-1 + H(p)t)^2} \left(\int_0^t f'(s)[n-1 + H(p)s] ds \right) dt \\ & = \frac{2}{n-1 + H(p)t} \left(\int_0^t f'(s)[n-1 + H(p)s] ds \right) \Big|_{t=0}^{t=r} - 2 \int_0^r f'(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

the above inequality can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \mathcal{A}(r) & \leq (n-1) \ln \left(1 + \frac{H(p)}{n-1} r \right) - [f(r) - f(0)] - \frac{1}{6H(p)} \left[(n-1)r - \frac{H(p)}{2} r^2 \right] \\ & \quad + \frac{(n-1)^2}{H(p)[n-1 + H(p)r]} \left[f'(0) - \frac{n-1}{6H(p)} \right] - \frac{n-1}{H(p)} \left[f'(0) - \frac{n-1}{6H(p)} \right] \\ & \quad + \frac{2}{n-1 + H(p)r} \int_0^r f'(s)[n-1 + H(p)s] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since $H(p) > 0$, the above inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{2H(p)}{[n-1+H(p)r]^2} \int_0^r f'(s)[n-1+H(p)s]ds \\
& \leq -\frac{H(p) \ln \mathcal{A}(r)}{n-1+H(p)r} + \frac{(n-1)H(p)}{n-1+H(p)r} \ln \left(1 + \frac{H(p)r}{n-1}\right) - \frac{H(p)[f(r) - f(0)]}{n-1+H(p)r} \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{6[n-1+H(p)r]} \left[(n-1)r - \frac{H(p)}{2}r^2\right] + \frac{(n-1)^2}{[n-1+H(p)r]^2} \left[f'(0) - \frac{n-1}{6H(p)}\right] \\
& \quad - \frac{n-1}{n-1+H(p)r} \left[f'(0) - \frac{n-1}{6H(p)}\right] \\
& = -\frac{H(p)}{n-1+H(p)r} \ln \frac{\mathcal{A}(r)}{\left(1 + \frac{H(p)r}{n-1}\right)^{n-1}} - \frac{H(p)f(r)}{n-1+H(p)r} \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{6[n-1+H(p)r]} \left[(n-1)r - \frac{H(p)}{2}r^2\right] + \frac{(n-1)^2}{[n-1+H(p)r]^2} \left[f'(0) - \frac{n-1}{6H(p)}\right] \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{n-1+H(p)r} \left[H(p)f(0) - (n-1)f'(0) + \frac{(n-1)^2}{6H(p)}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into (5.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta r & \leq \frac{(n-1)H(p)}{n-1+H(p)r} - \frac{H(p)}{n-1+H(p)r} \ln \frac{\mathcal{A}(r)}{\left(1 + \frac{H(p)r}{n-1}\right)^{n-1}} + f'(r) - \frac{H(p)f(r)}{n-1+H(p)r} \\
(5.2) \quad & - \frac{n-1+H(p)r}{6H(p)} - \frac{1}{6[n-1+H(p)r]} \left[(n-1)r - \frac{H(p)}{2}r^2\right] \\
& + \frac{1}{n-1+H(p)r} \left[H(p)f(0) - (n-1)f'(0) + \frac{(n-1)^2}{6H(p)}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we apply the above estimate to derive an upper bound of $\mathcal{A}(r)$. On shrinkers, we have $R + |\nabla f|^2 = f$ and $R \geq 0$ (see [13]), then $|\nabla f|^2 \leq f$. Hence,

$$f'(r) \leq \frac{H(p)|\nabla f|^2}{n-1+H(p)r} + \frac{n-1+H(p)r}{4H(p)} \leq \frac{H(p)f(r)}{n-1+H(p)r} + \frac{n-1+H(p)r}{4H(p)}.$$

where we used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Putting this into (5.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta r & \leq \frac{(n-1)H(p)}{n-1+H(p)r} - \frac{H(p)}{n-1+H(p)r} \ln \frac{\mathcal{A}(r)}{\left(1 + \frac{H(p)r}{n-1}\right)^{n-1}} \\
& + \frac{n-1+H(p)r}{12H(p)} - \frac{1}{6[n-1+H(p)r]} \left[(n-1)r - \frac{H(p)}{2}r^2\right] \\
(5.3) \quad & + \frac{1}{n-1+H(p)r} \left[H(p)f(0) - (n-1)f'(0) + \frac{(n-1)^2}{6H(p)}\right] \\
& = \frac{(n-1)H(p)}{n-1+H(p)r} - \frac{H(p)}{n-1+H(p)r} \ln \frac{\mathcal{A}(r)}{\left(1 + \frac{H(p)r}{n-1}\right)^{n-1}} \\
& + \frac{1}{n-1+H(p)r} \left[H(p)f(0) - (n-1)f'(0) + \frac{(n-1)^2}{4H(p)}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

If we let

$$\theta(r, p) := \frac{\mathcal{A}(r)}{\left(1 + \frac{H(p)}{n-1}r\right)^{n-1}},$$

then (5.3) can be written as

$$(5.4) \quad \{[n-1 + H(p)r] \ln \theta(r, p)\}' \leq c,$$

where $c := H(p)f(p) - (n-1)f'(p) + \frac{(n-1)^2}{4H(p)}$. Integrating it from 0 to $r > 0$ gives

$$(5.5) \quad \mathcal{A}(r, p) \leq e^{\frac{cr}{n-1+H(p)r}} \left(1 + \frac{H(p)}{n-1}r\right)^{n-1}$$

for all $r > 0$.

Finally, we apply (5.5) to prove our result. Without loss of generality, we that Ω has no hole. For any $R > 0$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vol}\{x \in M^n | d(x, \Omega) < R\} - |\Omega| &= \int_{\Sigma} \int_0^{\min(R, \tau(p))} \mathcal{A}(r, p) dr d\sigma(p) \\ &\leq \int_{\Sigma} \int_0^R e^{\frac{cr}{n-1+H(p)r}} \left(1 + \frac{H(p)}{n-1}r\right)^{n-1} dr d\sigma(p). \end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides by $|\mathbb{B}^n|R^n = |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|R^n/n$, letting $R \rightarrow \infty$, and using the L'hospital rule, we get

$$\text{AVR}(g)|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| \leq \int_{\Sigma} e^{\frac{c}{H(p)}} \left(\frac{H(p)}{n-1}\right)^{n-1} d\sigma(p),$$

where $c := H(p)f(p) - (n-1)f'(p) + \frac{(n-1)^2}{4H(p)}$. This completes the proof of the inequality.

Below we discuss the equality case. On one hand, an open n -ball $\Omega = \mathbb{B}^n(r_0)$ with radius $r_0 > 0$ in the Gaussian shrinker $(\mathbb{R}^n, \delta_{ij}, e^{-|x|^2/4}dv)$ attains the equality of (1.11). On the other hand, we will show that such case is a unique example when the equality of (1.11) occurs. Suppose

$$(5.6) \quad \text{AVR}(g)|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| = \int_{\Sigma} e^{\frac{c}{H}} \left(\frac{H}{n-1}\right)^{n-1} d\sigma.$$

For any a fixed point $p \in \Sigma$, let

$$K(r, p) := (n-1 + H(p)r) \ln \theta(r, p) - cr.$$

By (5.4), $K(r, p)$ is non-increasing in r on $[0, \infty)$. Since $K(0, p) = 0$, then we have $K(r, p) \leq 0$ on $[0, \infty)$ for each $p \in \Sigma$. We now *claim* that $K(r, p) \equiv 0$ on $[0, \infty)$ for each $p \in \Sigma$. In

fact, for any $R' < R$, using the definition of $K(r, p)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Vol}\{x \in M^n | d(x, \Omega) < R\} - |\Omega| &= \int_{\Sigma} \int_0^R \mathcal{A}(r, p) dr d\sigma(p) \\
&\leq \int_{\Sigma} \int_0^R e^{\frac{K(r, p) + cr}{n-1+H(p)r}} \left(1 + \frac{H(p)}{n-1}r\right)^{n-1} dr d\sigma(p) \\
&\leq \int_{\Sigma} \int_{R'}^R e^{\frac{K(r, p) + cr}{n-1+H(p)r}} \left(1 + \frac{H(p)}{n-1}r\right)^{n-1} dr d\sigma(p) \\
&\quad + \int_{\Sigma} \int_0^{R'} e^{\frac{K(r, p) + cr}{n-1+H(p)r}} \left(1 + \frac{H(p)}{n-1}r\right)^{n-1} dr d\sigma(p) \\
&= \int_{\Sigma} e^{\frac{K(\bar{r}, p)}{n-1+H(p)\bar{r}}} \int_{R'}^R e^{\frac{cr}{n-1+H(p)r}} \left(1 + \frac{H(p)}{n-1}r\right)^{n-1} dr d\sigma(p) \\
&\quad + \int_{\Sigma} \int_0^{R'} e^{\frac{K(r, p) + cr}{n-1+H(p)r}} \left(1 + \frac{H(p)}{n-1}r\right)^{n-1} dr d\sigma(p)
\end{aligned}$$

for some $\bar{r} \in [R', R]$, where we used the mean value theorem in the last equality. Dividing both sides by $|\mathbb{B}^n|R^n = |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|R^n/n$ and letting $R \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\text{AVR}(g) \leq \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|} \int_{\Sigma} e^{\frac{c}{H(p)}} \left(\frac{H(p)}{n-1}\right)^{n-1} e^{\frac{K(\bar{r}, p)}{n-1+H(p)\bar{r}}} d\sigma(p).$$

Combining this with (5.6) yields

$$\int_{\Sigma} e^{\frac{c}{H(p)}} \left(\frac{H(p)}{n-1}\right)^{n-1} \left(e^{\frac{K(\bar{r}, p)}{n-1+H(p)\bar{r}}} - 1\right) d\sigma(p) \geq 0.$$

Using the fact $K(r, p) \leq 0$ on $[0, \infty)$ for each $p \in \Sigma$, we have $K(\bar{r}, p) = 0$ for each $p \in \Sigma$, where $\bar{r} \in [R', \infty)$. Notice that R' can be chosen to be arbitrarily large and the monotonicity of $K(r, p)$ in r for each $p \in \Sigma$, we finally conclude that

$$K(r, p) \equiv 0$$

on $[0, \infty)$ for each $p \in \Sigma$. That is,

$$\mathcal{A}(r, p) = e^{\frac{cr}{n-1+H(p)r}} \left(1 + \frac{H(p)}{n-1}r\right)^{n-1}$$

for all $r \geq 0$ and each $p \in \Sigma$. By this equality, analysing the above comparison argument, we see that the scalar curvature $R \equiv 0$, and hence we get that $(M^n, g, e^{-f}dv)$ is isometric to the Gaussian shrinker $(\mathbb{R}^n, \delta_{ij}, e^{-|x|^2/4}dv)$ due to [31]. Inspecting the above comparison argument, on $\Phi([0, \infty) \times \Sigma)$, we also have

$$\nabla^2 r = \frac{\Delta r}{n-1}g = \frac{H}{n-1+Hr}g \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ric} \equiv 0.$$

Following Wang's argument [39], the above first equation implies that the second fundamental form h satisfies $h = \frac{H}{n-1}g_{\Sigma}$. Let $\{e_0 = \nu, e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}\}$ be orthonormal frame long Σ . By the codazzi equation, we have

$$R(e_k, e_j, e_i, \nu) = h_{ij,k} - h_{ik,j} = \frac{1}{n-1}(H_k \delta_{ij} - H_j \delta_{ik})$$

for $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n-1$. Tracing over i and k gives

$$-\frac{n-2}{n-1}H_j = \text{Ric}(e_j, \nu) = 0.$$

So H is locally constant on Σ . Since $M^n = \mathbb{R}^n$ has only one end, Σ is connected. Hence Σ is global constant on Σ . Thus, Σ is a closed embedded constant positive mean curvature hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^n . By Alexandrov's theorem [4], Σ is a sphere. \square

6. ISOPERIMETRIC TYPE INEQUALITIES

In this section, we apply Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 to obtain some weighted isoperimetric inequalities for a compact domain of a weighted manifold provided it is a critical point of certain isoperimetric functional. First, we prove a isoperimetric type inequality in weighted manifolds with $\text{Ric}_f \geq 0$.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $(M^n, g, e^{-f}dv)$ be a complete noncompact weighted n -manifold with $\text{Ric}_f \geq 0$, and let $\Omega \subset M^n$ be a bounded open set with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Let the weighted mean curvature H_f of $\partial\Omega$ be nonnegative everywhere. Assume that $\bar{\Omega}$ (the compact set of Ω) is a critical point of the isoperimetric functional*

$$G \rightarrow \frac{|\partial G|_f^n}{|G|_f^{n-1}},$$

where G is a compact domain with smooth boundary ∂G .

(a) If (1.2) holds, then

$$(6.1) \quad |\partial\Omega|_f \geq n|\mathbb{B}^n|^{\frac{1}{n}} \text{RV}_f^{\frac{1}{n}}(\Omega) |\Omega|_f^{\frac{n-1}{n}}.$$

Moreover, the equality of (6.1) holds if and only if $\partial\Omega$ is connected and $(M^n \setminus \Omega, g, e^{-f}dv)$ is isometric to

$$\left([r_0, \infty) \times \partial\Omega, dr^2 + \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^2 g_{\partial\Omega} \right)$$

with $\partial_r f \equiv 0$ along all minimal geodesic segments from $\partial\Omega$ ($a = 0$), where $r_0 = \left(\frac{|\partial\Omega|_f}{\text{RV}_f(\Omega)|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$.

(b) If (1.3) holds, then

$$(6.2) \quad |\partial\Omega|_f \geq n \left(1 + \frac{4k}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+4k}} \cdot |\mathbb{B}^{n+4k}|^{\frac{1}{n+4k}} \cdot \overline{\text{RV}}_f^{\frac{1}{n+4k}}(\Omega) \cdot |\Omega|_f^{\frac{n-1+4k}{n+4k}}.$$

Moreover, the equality of (6.2) holds if and only if $\partial\Omega$ is connected and $(M^n \setminus \Omega, g, e^{-f}dv)$ is isometric to

$$\left([r_1, \infty) \times \partial\Omega, dr^2 + \left(\frac{r}{r_1}\right)^2 g_{\partial\Omega} \right)$$

with $f \equiv 0$ along all minimal geodesic segments from $\partial\Omega$ ($k = 0$), where $r_1 = \left(\frac{|\partial\Omega|_f}{\overline{\text{RV}}_f(\Omega)|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. We only prove the case (a) because the case (b) can be proved similarly. Assume that $\bar{\Omega}$ is a critical point of the isoperimetric functional

$$G \rightarrow \frac{|\partial G|_f^n}{|G|_f^{n-1}}.$$

Following the argument of Ros [34], let ξ be any smooth function on $\partial\Omega$ and consider the normal variation of $\partial\Omega$, saying that $\psi(\cdot, t) : \partial\Omega \rightarrow M^n$ defined by

$$\psi(x, t) = \exp_x(-t\xi(x)\nu(x)), \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \quad t > 0,$$

where \exp is the exponential map of M^n and $\nu : \partial\Omega \rightarrow TM^n$ is the outer unit and normal to $\partial\Omega$ smooth vector fields along the boundary. Clearly, ψ determines a variation $\bar{\Omega}_t$ of $\bar{\Omega}$, $|t| < \epsilon$. Set

$$V_f(t) = |\bar{\Omega}_t|_f \quad \text{and} \quad A_f(t) = |\partial\bar{\Omega}_t|_f.$$

By the first variational formulas,

$$V_f'(0) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \xi H_f d\mu_{\partial\Omega} \quad \text{and} \quad A_f'(0) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \xi d\mu_{\partial\Omega}.$$

By our assumption, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \frac{A_f(t)^n}{V_f(t)^{n-1}} = 0,$$

that is,

$$\left(\frac{|\partial\Omega|_f}{|\Omega|_f} \right)^{n-1} \int_{\partial\Omega} \xi \left[nH_f - (n-1) \frac{|\partial\Omega|_f}{|\Omega|_f} \right] d\mu_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

for any fixed $\xi \in C^\infty(\partial\Omega)$. Hence, we have

$$(6.3) \quad \frac{H_f}{n-1} = \frac{1}{n} \frac{|\partial\Omega|_f}{|\Omega|_f}.$$

Substituting this into Theorem 1.1 (a) yields

$$(6.4) \quad \left(\frac{1}{n} \frac{|\partial\Omega|_f}{|\Omega|_f} \right)^{n-1} |\partial\Omega|_f \geq \text{RV}_f(\Omega) |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|,$$

which implies (6.1) by using $|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| = n|\mathbb{B}^n|$.

The equality case of (6.1) and (6.3) imply $\text{RV}_f(\Omega) > 0$, $H_f > 0$ is constant and the equality of (1.4). By Theorem 1.1 (a), we have that $\partial\Omega$ is connected, $\partial_r f \equiv 0$ and $(M^n \setminus \Omega, g)$ is isometric to the warped product manifold given by (1.5). \square

In the end of this section, we give another weighted isoperimetric type inequality in weighted manifolds with $\text{Ric}_f^m \geq 0$.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $(M^n, g, e^{-f}dv)$ be a complete noncompact weighted n -manifold with $\text{Ric}_f^m \geq 0$, and $\Omega \subset M^n$ a bounded open set with smooth connected boundary $\partial\Omega$. If the compact set $\bar{\Omega}$ is a critical point of the isoperimetric functional*

$$G \rightarrow \frac{|\partial G|_f^m}{|G|_f^{m-1}},$$

where G is a compact domain with smooth boundary ∂G , then

$$(6.5) \quad |\partial\Omega|_f \geq m|\mathbb{B}^m|^{\frac{1}{m}} (\text{AVR}_f^m(g))^{\frac{1}{m}} |\Omega|_f^{\frac{m-1}{m}}.$$

Moreover, if M^n has only one end, then the equality of (6.5) implies that Ω is isometric to the Euclidean ball $\mathbb{B}^n(r_0)$ for some $r_0 > 0$, f is constant in $\mathbb{B}^n(r_0)$, meanwhile $(M^n \setminus \Omega, g, e^{-f} dv)$ is isometric to

$$\left([r_0, \infty) \times \partial\Omega, dr^2 + \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^2 g_{\partial\Omega}\right).$$

Proof of Theorem 6.2. Assume that $\bar{\Omega}$ is a critical point of the isoperimetric functional

$$G \rightarrow \frac{|\partial G|_f^m}{|G|_f^{m-1}}.$$

Following the same argument of Theorem 6.1, according to our assumption, we finally get

$$\left(\frac{|\partial\Omega|_f}{|\Omega|_f}\right)^{m-1} \int_{\partial\Omega} \xi \left[mH_f - (m-1) \frac{|\partial\Omega|_f}{|\Omega|_f} \right] d\mu_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

for any fixed $\xi \in C^\infty(\partial\Omega)$. Hence,

$$(6.6) \quad \frac{H_f}{m-1} = \frac{1}{m} \frac{|\partial\Omega|_f}{|\Omega|_f}.$$

Substituting this into Theorem 1.3 immediately yields (6.5) by using $|\mathbb{S}^{m-1}| = m|\mathbb{B}^m|$.

Below we analyze the equality case of (6.5). On one hand, since $(\bar{\Omega}, g, e^{-f} dv)$ has $\text{Ric}_f^m \geq 0$ and (6.6), by Proposition 2.5, we know that $m = n$, $\text{Ric} \geq 0$, f is constant in $\bar{\Omega}$, and $\bar{\Omega}$ is isometric to a closed Euclidean ball $\bar{\mathbb{B}}^n(r_0)$.

On the other hand, the equality of (6.5) and (6.6) imply $\text{AVR}_f^m(g) > 0$, H_f is positive constant and the equality of (1.8). We also assume M^n has only one end. Putting these together, we apply Theorem 1.3 to get that $(M^n \setminus \Omega, g)$ is isometric to the warped product manifold given by (1.9). \square

The proof of Theorem 6.2 indicates that a compact hypersurface embedded in a weighted manifold being a critical point of the weighted isoperimetric functional implies that H_f is some positive constant, i.e., (6.6). Combining this fact with Proposition 2.5, we indeed get

Corollary 6.3. *Let $(M^n, g, e^{-f} dv)$ ($n \geq 3$) be a complete noncompact weighted n -manifold with $\text{Ric}_f^m \geq 0$, and $\Omega \subset M^n$ a bounded open set with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. If the compact set $\bar{\Omega}$ is a critical point of the isoperimetric functional*

$$G \rightarrow \frac{|\partial G|_f^m}{|G|_f^{m-1}},$$

where G is a compact domain with smooth boundary ∂G , then Ω is isometric to an Euclidean n -ball.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Agostiniani, M. Fogagnolo, L. Mazzeri, Sharp geometric inequalities for closed hypersurfaces in manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature. *Invent. Math.* 222 (2020), no. 3, 1033-1101.
- [2] V. Agostiniani, L. Mazzeri, On the geometry of the level sets of bounded static potentials, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 355 (2017), no.1, 261-301.
- [3] V. Agostiniani, L. Mazzeri, Monotonicity formulas in potential theory, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 59 (2020), Paper No. 6, 32 pp.
- [4] A.D. Alexandrov, A characteristic property of spheres, *Ann. Math. Pura Appl.* 58 (1962), 303-315.

- [5] D. Bakry, M. Emery, Diffusion hypercontractivites, in: Séminaire de Probabilités XIX, 1983/1984, in: Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1123, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985, pp. 177-206.
- [6] D. Bakry, Z.-M. Qian, Volume comparison theorems without Jacobi fields, in Current Trends in Potential Theory, 115-122. Theta Ser. Adv. Math., 4. Theta, Bucharest (2005).
- [7] M. Batista, M.P. Cavalcante, J. Pyo, Some isoperimetric inequalities and eigenvalue estimates in weighted manifolds, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 419 (2014), 617-626.
- [8] S. Borghini, M. Fogagnolo, Comparison geometry for substatic manifolds and a weighted isoperimetric inequality, arXiv:2307.14618v1.
- [9] S. Brendle, Constant mean curvature surfaces in warped product manifolds, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* 117 (2013), 247-269.
- [10] H.-D. Cao, Recent progress on Ricci solitons, Recent Advances in Geometric Analysis. In: Y.-I. Lee, C.-S. Lin, M.-P. Tsui, (eds.) *Advanced Lectures in Mathematics (ALM)*, vol. 11, pp. 1-8. International Press, Somerville, 2010.
- [11] J. Case, Y.-S. Shu, G.-F. Wei, Rigidity of quasi-Einstein metrics, *Diff. Geo. Appl.* 29 (2011), 93-100.
- [12] C. Cederbaum, A. Mieke, A new proof of the Willmore inequality via a divergence inequality, (2024), arXiv: 2401.11939v1.
- [13] B.-L. Chen, Strong uniqueness of the Ricci flow, *J. Diff. Geom.* 82 (2009), 363-382.
- [14] B.-Y. Chen, On a theorem of Fenchel-Borsuk-Willmore-Chern-Lashof. *Math. Ann.* 194 (1971), 19-26.
- [15] B.-Y. Chen, On the total curvature of immersed manifolds, I: an inequality of Fenchel-Borsuk-Willmore, *Amer. J. Math.* 93 (1971), 148-162.
- [16] B. Chow, P. Lu, B. Yang, A necessary and sufficient condition for Ricci shrinkers to have positive AVR, *Proc. AMS.* 140 (2012), 2179-2181.
- [17] F.-Q. Fang, X.-D. Li, Z.-L. Zhang, Two generalizations of Cheeger-Gromoll splitting theorem via Bakry-Emery Ricci curvature, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 59 (2009), 563-573.
- [18] R. Hamilton, The formation of singularities in the Ricci flow, *Surveys in Differential Geometry*, International Press, Boston, vol. 2, (1995), 7-136.
- [19] F.-B. Hang, X.-D. Wang, Vanishing sectional curvature on the boundary and a conjecture of Schroeder and Strake, *Pacific J. Math.* 232 (2007), 283-287.
- [20] E. Heintze, H. Karcher, A general comparison theorem with applications to volume estimates for submanifolds, *Ann. Sci. Norm. Supér.* 11 (1978), 451-470.
- [21] R. Ichida, Riemannian manifolds with compact boundary, *Yokohama Math. J.* 29 (1981), 169-177.
- [22] Y.-X. Hu, Willmore inequality on hypersurfaces in hyperbolic space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 146 (2018), no.6, 2679-2688.
- [23] X.-S. Jin, J.-B. Yin, Willmore-type inequality for closed hypersurfaces in complete manifolds with Ricci curvature bounded below, (2024), arXiv:2402.02465v1.
- [24] A. Kasue, Ricci curvature, geodesics and some geometric properties of riemannian manifolds with boundary, *J. Math. Soc. Jpn.* 35 (1983), 117-131.
- [25] A. V. Kolesnikov and E. Milman, Brascamp-Lieb-type inequalities on weighted Riemannian manifolds with boundary, *J. Geom. Anal.* 27 (2017), no. 2, 1680-1702.
- [26] J.-F. Li, C. Xia, An integral formula and its applications on sub-static manifolds, *J. Diff. Geom.* 113 (2019), 493-518.
- [27] X.-D. Li, Liouville theorems for symmetric diffusion operators on complete Riemannian manifolds, *J. Math. Pure Appl.* 84 (2005), 1295-1361.
- [28] J. Lott, Some geometric properties of the Bakry-Emery-Ricci tensor, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 78 (2003), 865-883.
- [29] J. Lott, C. Villani, Ricci curvature for metric-measure spaces via optimal transport, *Ann. Math.* 169 (2009), 903-991.
- [30] O. Munteanu, J.-P. Wang, Geometry of manifolds with densities, *Adv. Math.* 259 (2014), 269-305.
- [31] S. Pigola, M. Rimoldi, A.G. Setti, Remarks on non-compact gradient Ricci solitons, *Math. Z.* 268 (2011), 777-790.
- [32] G.-H. Qiu, C. Xia, A generalization of Reilly's formula and its applications to a new Heintze-Karcher type inequality, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2015), 7608-7619.

- [33] R.C. Reilly, Applications of the Hessian operator in a Riemannian manifold, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 26 (1977), 459-472.
- [34] A. Ros, Compact hypersurfaces with constant higher order mean curvatures, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* 3 (1987), 447-453.
- [35] A. Rudnik, A sharp geometric inequality for closed hypersurfaces in manifolds with asymptotically nonnegative curvature, (2023), arXiv:2310.08245v2.
- [36] F. Schulze, Optimal isoperimetric inequalities for surfaces in any codimension in Cartan-Hadamard manifolds, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 30 (2020), 255-288.
- [37] C. Viana, Isoperimetry and volume preserving stability in real projective spaces, *J. Diff. Geom.* 125 (2023), 187-205.
- [38] G.-F. Wang, C. Xia, Uniqueness of stable capillary hypersurfaces in a ball, *Math. Ann.* 374 (2019), 1845-1882.
- [39] X.-D. Wang, Remark on an inequality for closed hypersurfaces in complete manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature, *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math.* (6) 32 (2023), no. 1, 173-178.
- [40] G.-F. Wei, W. Wylie, Comparison geometry for the Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor, *J. Diff. Geom.* 83 (2009), 377-405.
- [41] J.-Y. Wu, L^p -Liouville theorems on complete smooth metric measure spaces, *Bull. Sci. Math.* 138 (2014), 510-539.
- [42] J.-Y. Wu, Elliptic gradient estimates for a weighted heat equation and applications, *Math. Z.* 280 (2015), 451-468.
- [43] J.-Y. Wu, P. Wu, Heat kernel on smooth metric measure spaces with nonnegative curvature, *Math. Ann.* 362 (2015), 717-742.
- [44] J.-Y. Wu, P. Wu, Heat kernel on smooth metric measure spaces and applications, *Math. Ann.* 365 (2016), 309-344.
- [45] T. J. Willmore, Mean curvature of immersed surfaces, *An. Sti. Univ. "All. I. Cuza" Iasi Sect. I a Mat.(N.S.)*, 14 (1968), 99-103.

SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, ZHEJIANG SCI-TECH UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU 310018, CHINA
Email address: gqwu@zstu.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND NEWTOUCH CENTER FOR MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200444, CHINA
Email address: wujiayong@shu.edu.cn