

One-dimensional quasi-uniform Kronecker sequences*

Takashi Goda[†]

April 26, 2024

Abstract

In this short note, we prove that the one-dimensional Kronecker sequence $i\alpha \bmod 1, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, is quasi-uniform if and only if α is a badly approximable number. Our elementary proof relies on a result on the three-gap theorem for Kronecker sequences due to Halton (1965).

Keywords: Quasi-uniformity, Kronecker sequences, three-gap theorem, continued fraction

AMS subject classifications: 11K36, 11J71, 65D12

1 Introduction and the main result

Motivated primarily by applications to scattered data approximation [18], we are concerned with the quasi-uniformity of infinite sequences of points. Let \mathcal{X} be a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^d for a dimension d with $\text{vol}(\mathcal{X}) > 0$. For an infinite sequence of points $\mathcal{S} = (\mathbf{x}_i)_{i=0,1,2,\dots}$ in \mathcal{X} , we say that \mathcal{S} is *quasi-uniform* over \mathcal{X} if there exists a constant $C > 1$ such that

$$\rho_n(\mathcal{S}) := \frac{h_n(\mathcal{S})}{q_n(\mathcal{S})} \leq C$$

for all positive integers n , where $h_n(\mathcal{S})$ and $q_n(\mathcal{S})$ denote the fill distance and the separation radius of the first n points of \mathcal{S} , respectively, i.e.,

$$h_n(\mathcal{S}) := \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}} \min_{0 \leq i < n} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i\| \quad \text{and} \quad q_n(\mathcal{S}) := \frac{1}{2} \min_{0 \leq i < j < n} \|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|,$$

with $\|\cdot\|$ representing the Euclidean norm on \mathbb{R}^d . Note that $\rho_n(\mathcal{S})$ is known as the *mesh ratio* of the first n points of \mathcal{S} . From the definition, it is evident that $h_n(\mathcal{S}) \geq q_n(\mathcal{S})$, and thus $\rho_n(\mathcal{S}) \geq 1$. Quasi-uniformity refers to the property of infinite sequences in which successive points fill the domain \mathcal{X} evenly while keeping some distances from each other. This property is desirable for sampling nodes for function evaluation in scattered data approximation [6, 14, 19].

Another popular measure of uniformity is the discrepancy, which has been widely studied in the field of quasi-Monte Carlo (QMC) methods [1, 8]. In fact, the fill distance $h_n(\mathcal{S})$ has also been studied in this context, often referred to as “dispersion” instead of “fill distance”. A sequence \mathcal{S} in the unit cube $[0, 1]^d$ is said to be a low-dispersion sequence if $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1/d} h_n(\mathcal{S}) < \infty$. Many explicit constructions of low-discrepancy sequences have already been proven to exhibit low dispersion as well [8, Chapter 6]. However, it remains largely unknown whether low-discrepancy or low-dispersion sequences are also quasi-uniform. While a recent paper by the author [3] has proven that the Sobol’

*The work of the author is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 23K03210.

[†]School of Engineering, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8686, Japan (goda@frcer.t.u-tokyo.ac.jp).

sequence [11], one of the best-known low-discrepancy sequences, is not quasi-uniform in dimension 2 over the unit square $[0, 1]^2$, it is far from trivial whether higher-dimensional Sobol' sequences or other common low discrepancy sequences are quasi-uniform over the d -dimensional unit cube $[0, 1]^d$.

In this short note, we focus on the simplest one-dimensional case and investigate the quasi-uniformity of one of the well-known sequences, the Kronecker sequence, over the unit interval $[0, 1]$. The Kronecker sequence is defined as

$$x_i = i\alpha \bmod 1, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (1)$$

for a real number $\alpha > 0$. It is known that this sequence achieves the star discrepancy of $O((\log n)/n)$ if and only if α is irrational and its continued fraction expansion $[a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$ satisfies $(1/m) \sum_{j=1}^m a_j$ being uniformly bounded above for all $m \geq 1$ [1, Corollary 1.65]. This order is best possible from a general lower bound on the star discrepancy by Schmidt [10]. Additionally, it has been shown in [2, 7] that the Kronecker sequence is also a low-dispersion sequence if and only if α is a badly approximable number, i.e., $\alpha = [a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$ is irrational and a_m is uniformly bounded above for all $m \geq 1$. Beyond that, Kronecker sequences have recently been studied in terms of (weak) Poissonian pair correlations [16, 17].

In this note, we prove the following result on the quasi-uniformity of Kronecker sequences. It turns out that the “if and only if” condition coincides with the one for the Kronecker sequence to be a low-dispersion sequence. As we will see, our elementary proof relies on a result on the three-gap theorem for Kronecker sequences due to Halton (1965).

Theorem 1. *The Kronecker sequence $\mathcal{S} = (x_i)_{i=0,1,2,\dots}$, defined by (1), is quasi-uniform over $[0, 1]$ if and only if α is a badly approximable number.*

Remark 2. *The van der Corput sequence, another well-known low-discrepancy sequence in dimension 1, can be easily proven to be quasi-uniform in any base $b \geq 2$. In fact, the greedy packing algorithm from [9] reproduces the van der Corput sequence in base 2 by placing the first point at $1/2$, and the mesh ratio ρ_n is bounded above by 2 for any n .*

2 Proof

As introduced already, let us denote the continued fraction of $\alpha > 0$ by $[a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$, i.e.,

$$\alpha = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{\ddots}}}.$$

This continued fraction is finite if and only if α is rational. In such cases, the corresponding Kronecker sequence becomes periodic, with only finitely many distinct values appearing infinitely often. Consequently, there exists $n_0 \geq 1$ such that the separation radius q_n equals 0 for all $n \geq n_0$, making the mesh ratio ρ_n unbounded. We will now proceed under the assumption that α is irrational, indicating an infinite continued fraction.

Let us introduce the following notation:

$$\alpha_0 = \alpha \bmod 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{m+1} = 1/\alpha_m \bmod 1, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

In fact, it is easy to check that $\alpha_m = [0; a_{m+1}, a_{m+2}, \dots]$ for all m . Furthermore, let

$$\eta_{-1} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_m = \prod_{j=0}^m \alpha_j, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$s_0 = 1, \quad s_1 = a_1, \quad \text{and} \quad s_{m+2} = a_{m+2}s_{m+1} + s_m, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

and

$$n_0 = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad n_m = s_m + s_{m-1}, \quad m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Then the following result was proven by Halton [4, Corollary 2] about the famous three-gap theorem for Kronecker sequences [5, 12, 13, 15].

Lemma 3 (Halton). *Let $n_m \leq n < n_{m+1}$ for some $m \geq 0$, and consider the first n points of the Kronecker sequence (1) with an irrational α . Rearrange these points in ascending order and denote them by $x^{(0)} \leq x^{(1)} \leq \dots \leq x^{(n-1)}$ with $x^{(0)} = 0$ and $x^{(n-1)} < 1 = x^{(n)}$. Let $n = n_m + hs_m + k$ with $0 \leq h \leq a_{m+1} - 1$ and $0 \leq k \leq s_m - 1$. Then, among the n gap lengths $x^{(1)} - x^{(0)}, x^{(2)} - x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)} - x^{(n-1)}$, there exist at most three distinct values. In particular, $s_{m-1} + hs_m + k$ lengths are equal to η_m , $s_m - k$ lengths are equal to $\eta_{m-1} - h\eta_m$, and k lengths are equal to $\eta_{m-1} - (h+1)\eta_m$.*

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. First, we prove that the Kronecker sequence is quasi-uniform for any α being a badly approximable number. For some $m \geq 0$, let $n = n_m + hs_m + k$ with $0 \leq h \leq a_{m+1} - 1$ and $0 \leq k \leq s_m - 1$. For the three gap lengths given in Lemma 3, we have $\eta_{m-1} - h\eta_m = \eta_m + (\eta_{m-1} - (h+1)\eta_m)$, i.e., one length is the sum of the other two. Therefore, for the separation radius, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_n(\mathcal{S}) &= \frac{1}{2} \min_{0 \leq i < j < n} |x_i - x_j| = \frac{1}{2} \min_{0 \leq i < n-1} |x^{(i+1)} - x^{(i)}| \geq \frac{1}{2} \min_{0 \leq i < n} |x^{(i+1)} - x^{(i)}| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \min \{\eta_m, \eta_{m-1} - h\eta_m, \eta_{m-1} - (h+1)\eta_m\} = \frac{1}{2} \min \{\eta_m, \eta_{m-1} - (h+1)\eta_m\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for the fill distance, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_n(\mathcal{S}) &= \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \min_{0 \leq i < n} |x - x_i| = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \max_{0 \leq i < n-1} |x^{(i+1)} - x^{(i)}|, |x^{(n)} - x^{(n-1)}| \right\} \\ &\leq \max_{0 \leq i < n} |x^{(i+1)} - x^{(i)}| = \max \{\eta_m, \eta_{m-1} - h\eta_m, \eta_{m-1} - (h+1)\eta_m\} = \eta_{m-1} - h\eta_m. \end{aligned}$$

These lead to an upper bound on the mesh ratio as

$$\rho_n(\mathcal{S}) = \frac{h_n(\mathcal{S})}{q_n(\mathcal{S})} \leq \frac{2(\eta_{m-1} - h\eta_m)}{\min \{\eta_m, \eta_{m-1} - (h+1)\eta_m\}} = \frac{2(1 - h\alpha_m)}{\min(\alpha_m, 1 - (h+1)\alpha_m)}.$$

Since it immediately follows from the definition of α_m 's that

$$\frac{1}{a_{m+1} + 1} < \alpha_m < \frac{1}{a_{m+1} + 1/a_{m+2}},$$

the mesh ratio is further bounded above by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_n(\mathcal{S}) &\leq 2 \max \left\{ \frac{1 - h\alpha_m}{\alpha_m}, \frac{1 - h\alpha_m}{1 - (h+1)\alpha_m} \right\} = 2 \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha_m} - h, \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\alpha_m} - h}} \right\} \\ &\leq 2 \max \left\{ a_{m+1} + 1 - h, \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{a_{m+1} + 1/a_{m+2} - h}} \right\} \\ &\leq 2 \max \{a_{m+1} + 1, a_{m+2} + 1\} \leq 2 + 2 \sup_{j \geq 1} a_j. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if α is a badly approximable number, the mesh ratio is bounded by a constant $C \leq 2 + 2 \sup_{j \geq 1} a_j$ for all n , showing that the corresponding Kronecker sequence is quasi-uniform.

Next, we prove that the Kronecker sequence is not quasi-uniform if α is not a badly approximable number. For this, let us consider the case where $n = n_m$ for $m \geq 3$, i.e., $n = n_m + h s_m + k$ with $h = k = 0$. Then, it follows from Lemma 3 that there exist exactly two distinct values among the n gap lengths, which are η_m for s_{m-1} gaps and η_{m-1} for s_m gaps. Since we assume $m \geq 3$, we have $s_m > s_{m-1} \geq 2$. Therefore, for the separation radius, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_n(\mathcal{S}) &= \frac{1}{2} \min_{0 \leq i < j < n} |x_i - x_j| = \frac{1}{2} \min_{0 \leq i < n-1} |x^{(i+1)} - x^{(i)}| = \frac{1}{2} \min_{0 \leq i < n} |x^{(i+1)} - x^{(i)}| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \min \{\eta_m, \eta_{m-1}\} = \frac{1}{2} \eta_m. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for the fill distance, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_n(\mathcal{S}) &= \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \min_{0 \leq i < n} |x - x_i| = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \max_{0 \leq i < n-1} |x^{(i+1)} - x^{(i)}|, |x^{(n)} - x^{(n-1)}| \right\} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \max_{0 \leq i < n} |x^{(i+1)} - x^{(i)}| = \frac{1}{2} \max \{\eta_m, \eta_{m-1}\} = \frac{1}{2} \eta_{m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to a lower bound on the mesh ratio as

$$\rho_n(\mathcal{S}) = \frac{h_n(\mathcal{S})}{q_n(\mathcal{S})} \geq \frac{\eta_{m-1}}{\eta_m} = \frac{1}{\alpha_m} \geq a_{m+1} + \frac{1}{a_{m+2}}.$$

Thus, we have shown that the mesh ratio cannot be uniformly bounded above in all n if a_m 's are not uniformly bounded above, indicating that the corresponding Kronecker sequence is not quasi-uniform. \square

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank Kosuke Suzuki for discussions and comments.

References

- [1] M. Drmota and R. F. Tichy. *Sequences, Discrepancies and Applications*. Springer, 1997.
- [2] V. Drobot. On dispersion and Markov constants. *Acta Math. Hung.*, 47:89–93, 1986.
- [3] T. Goda. The Sobol' sequence is not quasi-uniform in dimension 2. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear, 2024.
- [4] J. H. Halton. The distribution of the sequence $\{n\xi\}$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 61(3):665–670, 1965.
- [5] J. Marklof and A. Strömbergsson. The three gap theorem and the space of lattices. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 124(8):741–745, 2017.
- [6] F. J. Narcowich, J. D. Ward, and H. Wendland. Sobolev error estimates and a Bernstein inequality for scattered data interpolation via radial basis functions. *Constr. Approx.*, 24:175–186, 2006.
- [7] H. Niederreiter. On a measure of denseness for sequences. In *Topics in Classical Number Theory, Vol. II*, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai 34, pages 1163–1208. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
- [8] H. Niederreiter. *Random Number Generation and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods*. SIAM, 1992.
- [9] L. Pronzato and A. Zhigljavsky. Quasi-uniform designs with optimal and near-optimal uniformity constant. *J. Approx. Theory*, 294:105931, 2023.

- [10] W. Schmidt. Irregularities of distribution, VII. *Acta Arith.*, 21(1):45–50, 1972.
- [11] I. M. Sobol'. On the distribution of points in a cube and the approximate evaluation of integrals. *Zh. Vychisl. Mat. Mat. Fiz.*, 7(4):784–802, 1967.
- [12] V. T. Sós. On the distribution mod 1 of the sequence $n\alpha$. *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest, Eötvös Sect. Math.*, 1:127–134, 1958.
- [13] S. Świerczkowski. On successive settings of an arc on the circumference of a circle. *Fund. Math.*, 46(2):187–189, 1959.
- [14] A. L. Teckentrup. Convergence of Gaussian process regression with estimated hyper-parameters and applications in Bayesian inverse problems. *SIAM/ASA J. Uncertain. Quantif.*, 8(4):1310–1337, 2020.
- [15] C. Weiss. Deducing three gap theorem from Rauzy-Veech induction. *Rev. Colomb. Mat.*, 54(1):31–37, 2020.
- [16] C. Weiss. Remarks on the pair correlation statistic of Kronecker sequences and lattice point counting. *Beitr. Algebra Geom.*, 2023.
- [17] C. Weiss and T. Skill. Sequences with almost Poissonian pair correlations. *J. Number Theory*, 236:116–127, 2022.
- [18] H. Wendland. *Scattered Data Approximation*. Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [19] G. Wynne, F.-X. Briol, and M. Girolami. Convergence guarantees for Gaussian process means with misspecified likelihoods and smoothness. *J. Mach. Learn. Res.*, 22(1):5468–5507, 2021.