

Quiver connections and bimodules of basic algebras

Sean Thompson

Abstract

Motivated by the problem of classifying quantum symmetries of non-semisimple, finite-dimensional associative algebras, we define a notion of connection between bounded quivers and build a bicategory of bounded quivers and quiver connections. We prove this bicategory is equivalent to a bicategory of basic algebras, bimodules, and intertwiners with some additional structure.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Application: actions of fusion categories on truncated path algebras	2
2	Connection Categories	3
3	A 2-category of basic algebras	5
4	An equivalence of 2-categories	12

1 Introduction

Basic algebras are an important class of finite dimensional algebras. An algebra A with a complete set of primitive orthogonal idempotents $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is basic if $e_i A \not\cong e_j A$ for all $i \neq j$. Since every finite dimensional algebra is Morita equivalent to a basic algebra [Mor58], these algebras play a crucial role in understanding the representation theory of arbitrary finite dimensional algebras.

Quivers are useful combinatorial tool for understanding basic algebras and their representations. A well-known theorem of Gabriel [Gab72] characterizes basic algebras in terms of quivers. This theorem states that any finite dimensional, basic algebra A with a complete set of n primitive orthogonal idempotents is the quotient of the path algebra of a quiver Q_A on n vertices by an admissible ideal I_A . The data (Q_A, I_A) , commonly called a *bound quiver*, is not uniquely determined by A alone. The possible choices of bound quivers realizing A are parameterized by what we will call *quiver data*.

Quiver data for a basic algebra A consists of linear lifting maps $\delta_A^1 : A/\text{rad } A \rightarrow A$ and $\delta_A^2 : \text{rad } A/\text{rad}^2 A \rightarrow \text{rad } A$ that satisfy some coherence conditions (Definition 3.1). It is useful to think of δ_A^1 as assigning each vertex in Q_A to a unique primitive idempotent $e_i \in A$. Similarly, the choice of δ_A^2 is analogous to assigning edges in Q_A to basis elements

of the space $\text{rad } A / \text{rad}^2 A$. Since a quiver is defined by its sets of vertices and edges, these data are sufficient to fully parameterize the map from A to Q_A / I_A .

Recently, there has been significant interest in understanding *actions* of fusion categories on algebras, characterized by a linear monoidal functor from an abstract fusion category to $\text{Bim}(A)$, where A is some associative algebra. In finite dimensions, this has been completely characterized in cases when A is semisimple, but the case for A non-semisimple is poorly understood (see section 1.1). To help us better understand the category of bimodules of a basic algebra, a natural question to ask is: can we extend the above story relating basic algebras and quivers to bimodules?

Motivated by Ocneanu’s theory of biunitary connections and recent generalizations [EK98, DGGJ22, Sch23], we define a bicategory of bound quivers and quiver “connections”, called **BdQuivCon**, where a quiver connection can be understood to be edges pointing from one quiver into another, along with a map to move paths across the connection (Definition 2.1).

We introduce a definition of a bimodule version of a basic algebra which, given two basic algebras A and B , consists of a dualizable $A - B$ bimodule M such that $\text{rad } AM \cong M \text{rad } B$, together with *bimodule quiver data*. These will be linear liftings $\delta_M^1 : M / \text{rad } M \rightarrow M$ and $\delta_M^2 : \text{rad } M / \text{rad}^2 M \rightarrow \text{rad } M$ that satisfy similar coherence conditions to those for the algebra quiver data (Definition 3.5). These assemble into a bicategory **BSA** of basic algebras (Definition 3.6). We then prove the following theorem:

Theorem (1). *BdQuivCon and BSA are equivalent as 2-categories.*

The above theorem can be viewed as a 2-categorical version of Gabriel’s original result on bound-quivers.

1.1 Application: actions of fusion categories on truncated path algebras

One of our original motivations is to study actions of fusion categories on non-semisimple algebras. Recall a *fusion category* (over \mathbb{C}) is a finitely semisimple rigid tensor category, with simple unit object [ENO05]. An *action* of a fusion category \mathcal{C} on an associative algebra A is a linear monoidal functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Bim}(A)$.

There is a sense in which we understand all possible actions of fusion categories on a semisimple algebra, since a semisimple algebra is the same data as a semisimple category with a choice of a generating object. An action of \mathcal{C} on A is then the same as a module category structure on $\text{Mod}(A)$, using semisimplicity and the Eilenberg-Watts theorem [Eil61][Wat60]. In particular, for $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Bim}(A)$ and $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $F(X) \otimes_A \cdot$ equips $\text{Mod}(A)$ with the structure of a module category, and conversely. Semisimple module categories of a fusion category can in turn be understood in terms of Morita classes of algebras internal to \mathcal{C} [Ost03]. However, to our knowledge the case of finite-dimensional, non-semisimple algebras is largely unexplored territory. One motivation for this paper arises from the goal of taking actions of fusion categories on \mathbb{k}^n and studying “liftings” of the action on some “de-semisimplification” A . Our construction of the category **BSA** is designed to make precise the notion of lifting a \mathbb{k}^n bimodule to an A bimodule, which we plan to expand further in future work. However, we have the following definition:

Definition 1.1. A *basic action* of a fusion category \mathcal{C} on a basic algebra A with quiver data (δ_A^1, δ_A^2) is a linear monoidal functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{BSA}(A, \delta_A^1, \delta_A^2)$.

As a corollary of Theorem 1 basic actions are parameterized by linear monoidal functors $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{BdQuivCon}(Q, I)$, where (Q, I) are determined by A . This translates the problem into a more combinatorial setting.

As an example of the utility of this approach, we will consider basic actions of fusion categories on *truncated path algebras*. A truncated path algebra for a quiver Q is simply Q/I_n , where I_n is the ideal spanned by all paths of length at least n . For $n \geq 2$ this is admissible, so the truncated path algebras are basic algebras. We consider these as objects in \mathbf{BSA} with the obvious quiver data. If Q is a quiver, denote by $V(Q)$ the set of vertices and $\text{Vec}(V(Q))$ the semisimple linear category of vector bundles on $V(Q)$. If \mathcal{M} is a \mathcal{C} -module category, let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}}^*$ denote the *dual category* in the sense of [EGNO10, Definition 7.12.2]. We have the following theorem:

Theorem (2). *Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category, and Q/I_n be a truncated path algebra. Then isomorphism classes of basic actions of \mathcal{C} on Q/I_n are parameterized by isomorphism classes of module category structures on the semisimple category $\text{Vec}(V(Q))$ together with a class of object in the dual multi-fusion category $F \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{Vec}(V(Q))}^*$ whose fusion graph is isomorphic to Q .*

Proof. First note that for any two quivers P and Q , the natural inclusion

$$\mathbf{BdQuivCon}((P, I_n), (Q, I_n)) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{QuivCon}(P, Q)$$

is fully faithful, and thus basic actions on *any* truncated path algebra are simply parameterized by linear monoidal functors $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{QuivCon}(Q)$. But this is the same data as a module category structure on $\text{Vec}(V(Q))$ together with the structure of a \mathcal{C} -module functor on the the endofunctor on $\text{Vec}(V(Q))$ corresponding to Q . \square

We encourage the reader to compare the above result with [Sch23, DGGJ22] and also [EKW21], which has tensor algebras in the fusion category \mathcal{C} parameterized by the same data.

2 Connection Categories

Recall that a *quiver* Q is an oriented multi-graph with finite vertex and edge sets. Here we introduce the following definition of a connection between two quivers. This will serve as a 1-morphism in a 2-category of quivers.

Definition 2.1. For quivers G and H , a **connection** is constructed as follows. Fix a field \mathbb{k} , and define $E_{g,g'}^G = \mathbb{k}[E(G)(g \rightarrow g')]$, $E_{h,h'}^H = \mathbb{k}[E(H)(h \rightarrow h')]$. Then let Γ be a family of finite dimensional vector spaces $\{\Gamma_{g,h}\}_{g \in V(G), h \in V(H)}$, and U be a family of linear isomorphisms:

$$U_{g,h} : \bigoplus_{g' \in V(G)} E_{g,g'}^G \otimes \Gamma_{g',h} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{h' \in V(H)} \Gamma_{g,h'} \otimes E_{h',h}^H.$$

The pair (U, Γ) is a G, H connection, and it is useful think of Γ as a quiver with edges from vertices in G pointing into vertices in H . There is an isomorphism between length one edges and basis vectors of vector spaces, so throughout the paper we use paths and vector spaces interchangeably.

Definition 2.2. Now we define a 2-category QuivCon whose

- Objects are quivers
- 1-morphisms are connections, with horizontal composition defined as follows: if (U, Γ) is a G, H connection and (V, Δ) is a H, K connection, then

$$(V, \Delta) \otimes (U, \Gamma) := (\Gamma \otimes \Delta), (\text{id}_\Gamma \otimes V) \circ (U \otimes \text{id}_\Delta),$$

where

$$(\Gamma \otimes \Delta)_{i,j} = \bigoplus_{k \in V(H)} \Gamma_{i,k} \otimes \Delta_{k,j}$$

- A 2-morphism between two G, H connections (U, Γ) and (V, Δ) f is a family of linear maps $\{f_{g,h} : \Gamma_{g,h} \rightarrow \Delta_{g,h}\}_{g \in V(G), h \in V(H)}$ satisfying

$$V_{g,g',h,h'} \circ \left(\text{id}_{E_{g,g'}^G} \otimes f_{g',h} \right) = \left(f_{g,h'} \otimes \text{id}_{E_{h,h'}^H} \right) \circ U_{g,g',h,h'}.$$

This definition is equivalent to the one provided by [Sch23].

Given a quiver Q , The path algebra kQ consists of formal linear combinations of paths (including length 0) in Q , with product given by the linear extension of concatenation (with 0 resulting when paths are not compatible). We recall that an ideal $I \subseteq kQ$ is called *admissible* if it does not contain any path of length 1 or less, but contains all paths of length $\geq n$ for some n . The pair (Q, I) is called a *bound quiver*. There is a well known theorem of Gabriel [Gab72], that relates basic algebras and bound quivers. Given this theorem, we will define a related bicategory of bounded quivers. The following definitions are necessary for this construction.

Definition 2.3. Given quivers Q_A and Q_B with a quiver connection (Γ, U) , we introduce a new notation for paths. A path of type ${}_a(m, n)_b, m, n \geq 0$ consists of a path of length m in Q_A starting at vertex a followed by an edge in Γ , followed by a path of length n in Q_B ending at vertex b . A path of type ${}_a(m, -)_b$ is a path of length m in Q_A from a to b , and likewise a path of type ${}_a(-, n)_b$ is a path of length n in Q_B from a to b . Path types are written adjacent for composition as follows: a path of type ${}_a(m, -)_b$ composed with a path of type ${}_b(n, -)_c$ would be expressed as ${}_a(m, -){}_b{}_b(n, -)_c \sim {}_a(m+n, -)_c$.

Note that given a connection (U, Γ) between quivers, we can “iterate” U to define isomorphisms:

$$U_{g,h}^n : \bigoplus E_{g,g_1}^G \otimes E_{g_1,g_2}^G \dots \otimes E_{g_{n-1},g_n}^G \otimes \Gamma_{g_n,h} \rightarrow \bigoplus \Gamma_{g,h_1} \otimes E_{h_1,h_2}^H \dots \otimes E_{h_n,h}^H,$$

where on the left, the direct sum is over paths of type ${}_g(n, 0)_h$, while on the right it is over paths of type ${}_g(0, n)_h$. This is defined as follows:

$$U_{g,h}^n = (U_{g,h_{n-1}} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \dots \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes U_{g_1,h_{n-2}} \otimes \dots \otimes \text{id}) \circ \dots \circ (\text{id} \otimes \dots \otimes \text{id} \otimes U_{g_{n-1},h})$$

In order to “move” edges across a connection between bound quivers, we need a notion of compatibility between the quiver ideals, which this definition provides.

Definition 2.4. Given bound quivers (Q_A, I_A) and (Q_B, I_B) , we say a quiver connection (Γ, U) between them is *ideally connected* if for each linear combination of paths of type ${}_a(m_i, -)_b$ in I_A and each edge of type ${}_b(0, 0)_c$ we have

$$\sum_i c_i U^{m_i}({}_a(m_i, -)_b({}_b(0, 0)_c)) = \sum_i \sum_j d_{ja}({}_a(0, 0)_{b'b'}(-, m_i)_c),$$

and likewise for U^{-1} , with $\sum_i \sum_j d_{jb'}(-, m_i)_c \in I_B$.

As mentioned above, every connected basic algebra is isomorphic to a quotient of its path algebra by an admissible ideal. With this in mind, we will describe a modification of the above 2-category:

Definition 2.5. We define the 2-category BdQuivCon whose

1. Objects are pairs (Q, I) where Q is a quiver and I is an admissible ideal (these pairs are called *bound quivers*).
2. 1-morphisms are quiver connections that are ideally connected
3. 2-morphisms are the same as in QuivCon

Note that in the subcategory of bound quivers where $I = \text{rad}^n$ (the path algebras obtained from these quivers are called *truncated* path algebras), it is easy to see that every quiver connection is ideally connected.

3 A 2-category of basic algebras

Following the definition of BdQuivCon , we then construct a 2-category of basic algebras with the goal of extending the quiver theorem to an equivalence of 2-categories. Recall that a k -algebra A with a complete set $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of primitive orthogonal idempotents is **basic** if $e_i A \not\cong e_j A$ for all $i \neq j$. We refer the reader to [ASS06] for a comprehensive introduction to basic algebras, but recall some standard facts and introduce notation. Recall that the Jacobson radical $\text{rad}(A)$ is nilpotent, i.e. the chain of ideals

$$0 = \text{rad}^m(A) \subseteq \text{rad}^{m-1}(A) \subseteq \text{rad}(A) \subseteq A$$

stabilizes. We denote the quotient map

$$\pi^n : \text{rad}^{n-1}(A) \rightarrow \text{rad}^{n-1}(A)/\text{rad}^n(A).$$

Furthermore, since $\text{rad}(A)$ is an ideal, for any subalgebras $B \subseteq A$, $\text{rad}^n(A)$ is a B - B sub-bimodule of A as a B - B bimodule.

Starting from the basic algebra A , in order to pin down the pair (Q, I) up to isomorphism we need more data. First, we need to make a choice of a complete system of primitive orthogonal idempotents. This is equivalent to a splitting of the canonical short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{rad } A \longrightarrow A \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\delta^1} \\ \xrightarrow{\pi^1} \end{array} A/\text{rad}(A) \longrightarrow 0$$

Here and throughout this paper, we will denote the canonical quotient map $\text{rad}^{n-1}(A) \rightarrow \text{rad}^{n-1}(A)/\text{rad}^n(A)$ by π^n .

$$A \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\delta^1} \\ \xrightarrow{\pi^1} \end{array} A/\text{rad } A$$

Since A is basic, $A/\text{rad}(A) \cong \mathbb{k}^n$, so we have a complete system of primitive orthogonal idempotents given by $\{f_i := \delta^1(e_i)\}$, where e_i are the minimal idempotents in \mathbb{k}^n . Conversely, a choice of idempotents gives a splitting using the same formula. This data is sufficient to pin down the quiver Q_A of the algebra A (up to a natural notion of equivalence), but not the required admissible ideal. For this, note that the inclusion

$$\delta^1 : A/\text{rad}(A) \hookrightarrow A$$

naturally makes the ideals $\text{rad}(A)$ and $\text{rad}^2(A)$ into $A/\text{rad}(A)$ bimodules. The extra data we need to specify a particular admissible ideal is a splitting of the short exact sequence of $A/\text{rad}(A)$ bimodules

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{rad}^2(A) \longrightarrow \text{rad}(A) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\delta^2} \\ \xrightarrow{\pi^2} \end{array} \text{rad}(A)/\text{rad}^2(A) \longrightarrow 0$$

which we will abbreviate

$$\text{rad}(A) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\delta^2} \\ \xrightarrow{\pi^2} \end{array} \text{rad}(A)/\text{rad}^2(A)$$

Note that in both of the above situations, the π maps are canonical quotients, the δ maps are additional data, and the condition on δ^2 depends on the choice of δ^1 .

Definition 3.1. If A is a basic algebra, we define quiver data to be a choice of linear maps $\delta^1 : A/\text{rad}(A) \rightarrow A$ and $\delta^2 : \text{rad}(A)/\text{rad}^2(A) \rightarrow \text{rad}(A)$ satisfying

1. $\pi^1 \circ \delta^1 = id_{A/\text{rad} A}$ and $\pi^2 \circ \delta^2 = id_{\text{rad}(A)/\text{rad}^2(A)}$
2. For $x, y \in A/\text{rad}(A)$, $\delta^1(xy) = \delta^1(x)\delta^1(y)$
3. For $x, y \in A/\text{rad}(A)$ and $z \in \text{rad}(A)/\text{rad}^2(A)$, $\delta^2(\delta^1(x)z\delta^1(y)) = \delta^1(x)\delta^2(z)\delta^1(y)$

In the above, we are implicitly using the quotient $\delta^1(A/\text{rad}(A))$ bimodule on $\text{rad}(A)/\text{rad}^2(A)$. Given quiver datum on a basic algebra, we have the following construction of a quiver:

Definition 3.2. Let A be a basic finite dimensional k -algebra with choices δ_A^1 and δ_A^2 as above. The bound quiver of A , denoted (Q_A, I_A) , is constructed as follows:

1. The vertex set $V(Q_A)$ is the set of minimal idempotents of the commutative semisimple algebra $A/\text{rad}(A)$.
2. Given vertices $e_a, e_b \in V(Q_A)$, choose a basis $\{t_i\}$ for the k -vector space

$$\delta_A^1(e_a)(\delta_A^2(\text{rad}(A)/\text{rad}^2(A))\delta_A^1(e_b)$$

and define the edge set $E(e_a \rightarrow e_b) := \{t_i\}$.

3. The assignment of the edge t_i to the corresponding element of A defines a surjective homomorphism $\rho : kQ_A \rightarrow A$. Define $I_A := \ker(\rho)$. The pair (Q_A, I_A) is a bound quiver.

Then, even given δ^1 and δ^2 , the pair (Q_A, I_A) ostensibly depends on the choice of basis $\{t_i\}$ for $\delta_A^1(e_a)(\delta_A^2(\text{rad}(A)/\text{rad}^2(A))\delta_A^1(e_b)$. But given any other basis $\{s_i\}$, the change of basis matrix gives a linear map $S_{a,b}$ between edge sets, which induce a graded isomorphism of the path algebras taking admissible ideal to admissible ideal.

Remark 3.3. Usually in the statement and presentation of the above correspondence, a specific choice of δ_A^2 , is not given, and thus the ideal I_A is *not* canonically defined. We are usually just satisfied with the existence portion of the theorem (choose some δ_A^2). However, our goal is to extend the assignment $A \mapsto (Q_A, I_A)$ into a 2-functor for certain 2-categories, which requires us to take the data δ_A^1 and δ_A^2 into account.

Just as the semisimple quotient $A/\text{rad} A$ is used to define the vertices of Q_A , we want a similar quotient to build the edges of the quiver connection corresponding to some $A - B$ bimodule M . The following definition enables us to “semisimplify” a bimodule:

Definition 3.4. Let A, B be associative algebras and M an A - B bimodule. We say M is radically symmetric if $\text{rad} AM = M \text{rad} B$, in which case we just refer to $\text{rad} M$.

If M is radically symmetric, then $M/(\text{rad} AM) = M/(M \text{rad} B)$ is an $A/\text{rad} A - B/\text{rad} B$ bimodule. We also note that $\text{rad}^2(A)M = M \text{rad}^2(B)$, so $\text{rad}^2(M)$ is an unambiguously defined sub-bimodule. In particular we see

$$\text{rad}^n(A) \text{rad}^m(M) = \text{rad}^{n+m}(M) = \text{rad}^m(M) \text{rad}^n(B).$$

Clearly if $f : M \rightarrow N$ is morphism of radically symmetric A - B bimodules, $f(\text{rad}^n(M)) \subseteq \text{rad}^n(N)$.

We have a natural extension of the module multiplication map

$$\text{rad}^{n-1}(A)/\text{rad}^n(A) \otimes \text{rad}^{m-1}(M)/\text{rad}^m(M) \rightarrow \text{rad}^{n+m-2}(M)/\text{rad}^{n+m-1}(M)$$

given by

$$(r + \text{rad}^n(A)) \otimes (s + \text{rad}^m(M)) \mapsto rs + \text{rad}^{n+m-1}(M)$$

This is easily seen to be well-defined. A similar statement is true for the right B action.

Definition 3.5. If $(A, \delta_A^1, \delta_A^2)$ and $(B, \delta_B^1, \delta_B^2)$ are basic algebras with quiver data, quiver data for a radically symmetric A - B bimodule M consists of $\delta_M^1 : M/\text{rad}(M) \rightarrow M$ and $\delta_M^2 : \text{rad}(M)/\text{rad}^2(M) \rightarrow \text{rad}(M)$ such that

1. $\pi_M^1 \circ \delta_M^1 = id_{M/\text{rad} M}$ and $\pi_M^2 \circ \delta_M^2 = id_{\text{rad}(M)/\text{rad}^2(M)}$
2. For $x \in A/\text{rad}(A)$, $y \in B/\text{rad}(B)$, $u \in M/\text{rad}(M)$ and $w \in \text{rad}(M)/\text{rad}^2(M)$,

$$\delta_M^1(\delta_A^1(x)u\delta_B^1(y)) = \delta_A^1(x)\delta_M^1(u)\delta_B^1(y)$$

and

$$\delta_M^2(\delta_A^1(x)w\delta_B^1(y)) = \delta_A^1(x)\delta_M^2(w)\delta_B^1(y)$$

3. (compatibility) For $x \in \text{rad}(A)/\text{rad}^2(A)$, $x \in \text{rad}(B)/\text{rad}^2(B)$, and $m \in M/\text{rad}(M)$

$$\delta_A^2(x)\delta^1(m) = \delta^2(xm)$$

and

$$\delta^1(m)\delta_B^2(y) = \delta^2(my)$$

Note that we interpret $xm, my \in \text{rad} M/\text{rad}^2(M)$ as per the discussion before the definition.

Definition 3.6. We define a 2-category \mathbf{BSA}° whose

1. Objects are finite dimensional basic algebras with quiver data $(A, \delta_A^1, \delta_A^2)$.
2. 1-morphisms are bimodules with quiver data $(M, \delta_M^1, \delta_M^2)$
3. 2-morphisms $f : (M, \delta_M^1, \delta_M^2) \rightarrow (N, \delta_N^1, \delta_N^2)$ are (M, N) bimodule intertwiners satisfying

$$f \circ \delta_M^1 = \delta_N^1 \circ \tilde{f}$$

where $\tilde{f} : M/\text{rad} M \rightarrow N/\text{rad} N$ is the induced intertwiner.

We are interested in the 2-category \mathbf{BSA} , which is a restriction of \mathbf{BSA}° to only dualizable 1-morphisms. The following lemma shows that given such a dualizable 1-morphism, we can recover a projective basis for the corresponding bimodule, which we later use to build the quiver connection.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $(M, \delta_M^1, \delta_M^2)$ be an A – B 1-morphism in \mathbf{BSA} . Then any standard basis for the lifted subspace $\delta_M^1(M/\text{rad } M)$ is both a left projective basis and a right projective basis for M .*

Proof. If $(M, \delta_M^1, \delta_M^2)$ is (right) dualizable then there exists a unit 2-morphism

$$\eta : M^* \otimes_B M$$

satisfying the zigzag identities. Then η is completely defined by the element

$$\eta(1) = \sum \eta_i^* \otimes \eta_i \in M^* \otimes_A M.$$

Since the identity is contained in the subspace lifted by δ_B^1 , it follows that the η_i are in the subspace lifted by δ_M^1 . The η_i form a (right) projective basis for M , so it follows that we can choose a (right) projective basis for M that lives in the desired subspace.

Now let $\{b_j\}$ be a standard basis for $\delta_M^1(M/\text{rad } M)$, and $\{l_i\}$ be a left projective basis with dual projective basis $g_i : M_B \rightarrow B$ such that $\sum_i r_i g_i(m) = m$ for all $m \in M$. Set $l_i = \sum_j b_j L_{ji}$, $L_{ji} \in F$, and define

$$f_j(\cdot) := \sum_i L_{ji} g_i(\cdot) : M_B \rightarrow B^n.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j b_j f_j(m) &= \sum_j b_j \sum_i L_{ji} g_i(m) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} b_j L_{ji} g_i(m) \\ &= \sum_i l_i g_i(m) = m \end{aligned}$$

for all $m \in M$, so it follows that $\{b_j\}$ is a left projective basis for M .

Similarly, let $\{r_i\}$ be a right projective basis in the lifted subspace with $h_i : {}_A M \rightarrow A$ such that $\sum_i h_i(m) r_i = m$ for all $m \in M$. Then set $r_i = \sum_j R_{ji}(b_j)$, $R_{ij} \in F$, and define $k_j(\cdot) := \sum_i R_{ji} h_i : {}_A M \rightarrow A^n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j k_j(m) b_j &= \sum_j \sum_i (R_{ji} h_i(m)) b_j \\ &= \sum_{i,j} R_{ji} h_i(m) b_j \\ &= \sum_i h_i(m) r_i = m \end{aligned}$$

for all $m \in M$, so it follows that $\{b_j\}$ is a right projective basis for M . Thus $\{b_j\}$ is a 2-sided projective basis for M in the lifted subspace. \square

This result shows that given a basis $\{b_j\}$ for $\delta_M^1(M/\text{rad } M)$, any element $m \in M$ can be uniquely split into products

$$m = \sum_j b_j f_j(m) = \sum k_j(n) b_j,$$

where $f_j(m) \in B$ and $k_j(m) \in A$.

The following lemmas are necessary for defining composition of 1-morphisms in **BSA** and are almost certainly well known to experts.

Lemma 3.8. *Let M and N be radically symmetric A - B and B - C bimodules respectively. Then there exists a canonical isomorphism of A - C bimodules $f_{M,N} : M \otimes_B N/\text{rad } M \otimes_B N \cong M/\text{rad } M \otimes_B N/\text{rad } N$.*

Proof. Define $f_{M,N} : (M \otimes_B N)/(\text{rad } M \otimes_B N) \rightarrow (M/\text{rad } M) \otimes_B (N/\text{rad } N)$ by considering the map

$$f_{M,N}^{\sim} : M \times N \rightarrow (M/\text{rad } M) \otimes_B (N/\text{rad } N),$$

$$f_{M,N}^{\sim}(m, n) := (m + \text{rad } N) \otimes_B (n + \text{rad } M).$$

This is clearly B -balanced, hence uniquely extends to a bimodule map:

$$f_{M,N} : M \otimes_B N \rightarrow M/\text{rad } M \otimes_B N/\text{rad } N.$$

We claim that $\text{rad}(M \otimes_B N) \subseteq \ker(f_{M,N})$. Note that

$$\text{rad}(M \otimes_B N) = (M \otimes_B N) \text{rad } C = M \otimes_B \text{rad } N,$$

and thus is spanned by simple tensors of the form $m \otimes_B n$ with $n \in \text{rad } N$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_{M,N}(m \otimes n) &= (m + \text{rad } M) \otimes (n + \text{rad } N) \\ &= (m + \text{rad } M) \otimes 0 + \text{rad } N \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f_{M,N}$ descends to a well-defined bimodule map:

$$f_{M,N} : (M \otimes_B N)/\text{rad}(M \otimes_B N) \rightarrow M/\text{rad } M \otimes_B N/\text{rad } N.$$

Now define

$$f_{M,N}^{-1} : M/\text{rad } M \otimes_B N/\text{rad } N \rightarrow (M \otimes_B N)/\text{rad}(M \otimes_B N)$$

by considering the universal extension of the B -balanced map

$$\tilde{f}_{M,N}^{-1} : M/\text{rad } M \times N/\text{rad } N \rightarrow (M \otimes_B N)/\text{rad}(M \otimes_B N)$$

$$\tilde{f}_{M,N}^{-1}(m + \text{rad } M, n + \text{rad } N) := m \otimes_B n + \text{rad}(M \otimes_B N)$$

Note $\tilde{f}_{M,N}^{-1}$ is well-defined since if $m - m' = r \in \text{rad } M$ and $n - n' = s \in \text{rad } N$, then

$$\begin{aligned} m \otimes_B n &= (m' + r) \otimes_B (n' + s) \\ &= m' \otimes_B n' + r \otimes_B n' + m' \otimes_B s + r \otimes_B s \end{aligned}$$

But by radical compatibility, $\text{rad } M \otimes_B N = M \otimes_B \text{rad } N = \text{rad}(M \otimes_B N)$, so $r \otimes_B n' + m' \otimes_B s + r \otimes_B s \in M \otimes_B \text{rad } N = \text{rad}(M \otimes_B N)$. Therefore $\tilde{f}_{M,N}^{-1}$ extends uniquely to a linear (bimodule) map $f_{M,N}^{-1}$ as desired, which is clearly the inverse to $f_{M,N}$. \square

Lemma 3.9. *Let M and N be radically symmetric A - B and B - C bimodules respectively. Then there exists a canonical isomorphism of A - C bimodules $f_{M,N} : \text{rad}(M \otimes_B N) / \text{rad}^2(M \otimes_B N) \cong M / \text{rad } M \otimes_B \text{rad } N / \text{rad}^2 N$.*

Proof. Define $g_{M,N} : \text{rad}(M \otimes_B N) / (\text{rad}^2 M \otimes_B N) \rightarrow (M / \text{rad } M) \otimes_B (\text{rad } N / \text{rad}^2 N)$ by considering the map

$$g_{M,N}^{\sim} : M \times \text{rad } N \rightarrow (M / \text{rad } M) \otimes_B (\text{rad } N / \text{rad}^2 N),$$

$$g_{M,N}^{\sim}(m, n) := (m + \text{rad } M) \otimes_B (n + \text{rad}^2 N).$$

This is clearly B -balanced, hence uniquely extends to a bimodule map $g_{M,N} : \text{rad}(M \otimes_B N) \rightarrow M / \text{rad } M \otimes_B \text{rad } N / \text{rad}^2 N$. We claim that $\text{rad}^2(M \otimes_B N) \subseteq \ker(f_{M,N})$. Note that $\text{rad}^2(M \otimes_B N) = (M \otimes_B N) \text{rad}^2 C = M \otimes_B \text{rad}^2 N$, and thus is spanned by simple tensors of the form $m \otimes_B n$ with $n \in \text{rad}^2 N$. Then

$$g_{M,N}(m \otimes n) = (m + \text{rad } M) \otimes (n + \text{rad}^2 N) = (m + \text{rad } M) \otimes 0 + \text{rad}^2 N = 0$$

Thus $g_{M,N}$ descends to a well-defined bimodule map

$$g_{M,N} : \text{rad}(M \otimes_B N) / \text{rad}^2(M \otimes_B N) \rightarrow M / \text{rad } M \otimes_B \text{rad } N / \text{rad}^2 N.$$

Now define

$$g_{M,N}^{-1} : M / \text{rad } M \otimes_B \text{rad } N / \text{rad}^2 N \rightarrow \text{rad}(M \otimes_B N) / \text{rad}^2(M \otimes_B N)$$

by considering the universal extension of the B -balanced map

$$\tilde{g}_{M,N}^{-1} : M / \text{rad } M \times \text{rad } N / \text{rad}^2 N \rightarrow \text{rad}(M \otimes_B N) / \text{rad}^2(M \otimes_B N)$$

$$\tilde{g}_{M,N}^{-1}(m + \text{rad } M, n + \text{rad}^2 N) := m \otimes_B n + \text{rad}^2(M \otimes_B N)$$

Note $\tilde{g}_{M,N}^{-1}$ is well-defined since if $m - m' = r \in \text{rad } M$ and $n - n' = s \in \text{rad}^2 N$, then

$$\begin{aligned} m \otimes_B n &= (m' + r) \otimes_B (n' + s) \\ &= m' \otimes_B n' + r \otimes_B n' + m' \otimes_B s + r \otimes_B s \end{aligned}$$

But by radical compatibility,

$$\text{rad}^2 M \otimes_B N = M \otimes_B \text{rad}^2 N = \text{rad}^2(M \otimes_B N),$$

so

$$r \otimes_B n' + m' \otimes_B s + r \otimes_B s \in M \otimes_B \text{rad}^2 N = \text{rad}^2(M \otimes_B N).$$

Therefore $\tilde{g}_{M,N}^{-1}$ extends uniquely to a linear (bimodule) map $g_{M,N}^{-1}$ as desired, which is clearly the inverse to $g_{M,N}$. \square

Note there is a natural surjective A - C bimodule map

$$\varphi : M \otimes_{B/\text{rad} B} N \rightarrow M \otimes_B N.$$

Here, we are viewing M and N as right (left) $B/\text{rad} B$ modules via the lifting $B/\text{rad} B \rightarrow B$ given by the choice of primitive orthogonal idempotents.

Now we can define the compositions of 1 and 2 morphisms in **BSA**.

- Composition of 1-morphisms $(M, \delta_M^1, \delta_M^2) \in \mathbf{BSA}(A, B)$, and $(N, \delta_N^1, \delta_N^2) \in \mathbf{BSA}(B, C)$:

$$(M, \delta_M^1, \delta_M^2) \otimes (N, \delta_N^1, \delta_N^2) := (M \otimes_B N, \delta_M^1 \boxtimes \delta_N^1, \delta_M^2 \boxtimes \delta_N^2),$$

where $\delta_M^1 \boxtimes \delta_N^1 : (M \otimes_B N)/\text{rad}(M \otimes_B N) \rightarrow M \otimes_B N$ is defined as

$$\varphi \circ (\delta_M^1 \otimes_{B/\text{rad} B} \delta_N^1) \circ f_{M,N},$$

where $f_{M,N}$ is the isomorphism from Lemma 3.8, and $\delta_M^2 \boxtimes \delta_N^2 : (M \otimes_B N)/\text{rad}(M \otimes_B N) \rightarrow M \otimes_B N$ is defined as

$$\varphi \circ (\delta_M^1 \otimes_{B/\text{rad} B} \delta_N^2) \circ g_{M,N},$$

where $g_{M,N}$ is the isomorphism from Lemma 3.9.

- Vertical composition of 2-morphisms $g : (M, \delta_M^1, \delta_M^2) \rightarrow (N, \delta_N^1, \delta_N^2) \in \mathbf{BSA}(A, B)$ and $h : (N, \delta_N^1, \delta_N^2) \rightarrow (P, \delta_P^1, \delta_P^2)$ is vertical composition of bimodule intertwiners.
- Horizontal composition of 2-morphisms $g : (M, \delta_M^1, \delta_M^2) \rightarrow (N, \delta_N^1, \delta_N^2)$ and $h : (X, \delta_X^1, \delta_X^2) \rightarrow (Y, \delta_Y^1, \delta_Y^2)$ similarly is the horizontal composition of bimodule intertwiners.

4 An equivalence of 2-categories

In this section we establish an equivalence between 2-categories $\mathbf{BdQuivCon}$ and **BSA**.

First construct maps for a functor $\mathcal{P}' : \mathbf{QuivCon} \rightarrow \mathbf{PathAlg}$. Given a quiver Q with vertices v_i and edges e_j , define $\mathcal{P}'(Q) = (kQ, \delta_{kQ}^1, \delta_{kQ}^2)$, where δ_{kQ}^1 is defined on the basis $\{v_i + \text{rad} kQ\}$ by $(v_i + \text{rad} kQ) = v_i$ and δ_{kQ}^2 is defined on the basis $\{e_j + \text{rad}^2 kQ\}$ by $(e_j + \text{rad}^2 kQ) = e_j$. It is clear that these maps satisfy the conditions for quiver data.

Given a $Q_A - Q_B$ quiver connection (U, Γ) , define $\mathcal{P}'(U, \Gamma)$ to be the right kQ_B module $M = k(\Gamma Q_B)$ with paths of type ${}_a(0, n)_b$ forming a basis and the right action being concatenation of paths. Then the set of edges $\{\gamma_i\} \in \Gamma$ form a right projective basis, and functionals r_i , defined by

$$r_i\left(\sum_j \gamma_j b_j\right) = b_i,$$

form a right dual projective basis. Define the left action of kQ_A on M by first using the isomorphisms U^n to send paths to kQ_B , then act on the right by concatenation. We can see $\{\gamma_i\}$ is also a left projective basis, with functionals l_i as a left dual projective basis, defined as follows: let

$$\gamma b = \sum_j \gamma_j b_j$$

and

$$\sum_j ((U^n)^{-1}(\gamma_j b_j)) = \sum_j a_j \gamma_j.$$

Then $l_i(\gamma b) = a_i$. Thus $\mathcal{P}'(U, \Gamma)$ is a left and right projective module with the edges in Γ forming a two-sided projective basis. Define

$$\delta_M^1(\gamma_i + \text{rad } M) = \gamma_i$$

and

$$\delta_M^2(\gamma_i e_j + \text{rad}^2 M) = \gamma_i e_j$$

for any edge $e_j \in Q_B$. These maps clearly satisfy the conditions for bimodule quiver data. This gives a 1-morphism in **PathAlg**.

Lastly, given a 2-morphism $f = \{f_{i,j}\}$ between quiver connections (U, Γ) and (V, Δ) define $\mathcal{P}'(f)$ to be the bimodule map f° defined by

$$f^\circ\left(\sum \gamma b\right) = \sum f_{i,j}(\gamma) b.$$

This is clearly a bimodule intertwiner between $k\Gamma Q_B$ and $k\Delta Q_B$.

Now we can consider all of these maps under quotients by bound ideals and define the functor $\mathcal{P} : \mathbf{BdQuivCon} \rightarrow \mathbf{BSA}$:

1. Given a bound quiver (Q, I) , define

$$\mathcal{P}(Q, I) = (kQ/I, \delta_{kQ/I}^1, \delta_{kQ/I}^2)$$

2. Given an ideally compatible $(Q_A, I_A) - (Q_B, I_B)$ connection (U, Γ) , define

$$\mathcal{P}(U, \Gamma) = (M/MI_B, \delta_{M/MI_B}^1, \delta_{M/MI_B}^2),$$

which we will abuse notation to express as $(M/I_B, \delta_{M/I_B}^1, \delta_{M/I_B}^2)$

3. Given a 2-morphism $f = \{f_{i,j}\}$ between quiver connections (U, Γ) and (V, Δ) define $\mathcal{P}(f)$ to be the bimodule map f° defined by

$$f^\circ\left(\sum \gamma b\right) = \sum f_{i,j}(\gamma) b$$

We next construct a natural transformation $\mu_{\Gamma, \Delta} : \mathcal{P}(\Gamma \otimes \Delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Gamma) \otimes \mathcal{P}(\Delta)$ using a similar argument to lemmas 3.8 and 3.9.

Lemma 4.1. *Let (Q_A, I_A) , (Q_B, I_B) , and (Q_C, I_C) be bound quivers, and let (U, Γ) and (V, Δ) be ideally connected $(Q_A, I_A) - (Q_B, I_B)$ and $(Q_B, I_B) - (Q_C, I_C)$ quiver connections respectively. Then there exists a natural, canonical isomorphism of kQ_A/I_A - kQ_C/I_C bimodules $\mu_{\Gamma, \Delta} : k(\Gamma \otimes_{Q_B} \Delta)/I_C \cong k\Gamma/I_B \otimes_{kQ_B/I_B} k\Delta/I_C$.*

Proof. Define $\mu_{\Gamma, \Delta} : k\Gamma \otimes_{Q_B} \Delta/I_C \rightarrow k\Gamma/I_B \otimes_{kQ_B/I_B} k\Delta/I_C$ by considering the map

$$\mu_{\tilde{\Gamma}, \Delta} : k(\Gamma \times \Delta) \rightarrow k\Gamma/I_B \otimes_{kQ_B/I_B} k\Delta/I_C,$$

$$\mu_{\tilde{\Gamma}, \Delta}(\gamma, \delta) := (\gamma + I_B) \otimes_{kQ_B/I_B} (\delta + I_C).$$

This is clearly Q_B -balanced, hence uniquely extends to a bimodule map

$$\mu_{\Gamma, \Delta} : k(\Gamma \otimes_{Q_B} \Delta) \rightarrow k\Gamma/I_B \otimes_{kQ_B/I_B} k\Delta/I_C.$$

We claim that $k(\Gamma \otimes \Delta)I_C \subseteq \ker(\mu_{\Gamma, \Delta})$. Note that since the quiver connections are ideally connected, it follows that $k(\Gamma \otimes \Delta)I_C$ is spanned by simple tensors of the form $\delta \otimes \gamma$ with $\gamma \in k(\Gamma \otimes \Delta)I_C$. Then

$$\mu_{\Gamma, \Delta}(\gamma \otimes \delta) = (\gamma + I_B) \otimes (\delta + I_C) = (m + \text{rad } M) \otimes 0 + I_C = 0$$

Thus $\mu_{\Gamma, \Delta}$ descends to a well-defined bimodule map $\mu_{\Gamma, \Delta} : k\Gamma \otimes_{Q_B} \Delta/I_C \cong k\Gamma/I_B \otimes_{kQ_B/I_B} k\Delta/I_C$.

Now define

$$\mu_{\Gamma, \Delta}^{-1} : k\Gamma/I_B \otimes_{kQ_B/I_B} k\Delta/I_C \rightarrow k\Gamma \otimes_{Q_B} \Delta/I_C$$

by considering the universal extension of the kQ_B/I_B -balanced map

$$\tilde{\mu}_{\Gamma, \Delta}^{-1} : k\Gamma/I_B \times k\Delta/I_C \rightarrow k(\Gamma \otimes_{Q_B} \Delta)/I_C$$

$$\tilde{\mu}_{\Gamma, \Delta}^{-1}(\gamma + I_B, \delta + I_C) := \gamma \otimes_B \delta + k(\Gamma \otimes \Delta)I_C$$

Note $\tilde{\mu}_{\Gamma, \Delta}^{-1}$ is well-defined since if $\gamma - \gamma' = r \in I_B$ and $\delta - \delta' = I_C$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \otimes_{kQ_B/I_B} \delta &= (\gamma' + r) \otimes_{Q_B} (\delta' + s) \\ &= \gamma' \otimes_{Q_B} \delta' + r \otimes_{Q_B} \delta' + \gamma' \otimes_{Q_B} s + r \otimes_{Q_B} s \end{aligned}$$

But by ideal connectivity $r \otimes_{Q_B} \delta' + \gamma' \otimes_{Q_B} s + r \otimes_{Q_B} s \in k(\Gamma \otimes_{Q_B} \Delta)I_C$. Therefore $\tilde{\mu}_{\Gamma, \Delta}^{-1}$ extends uniquely to a linear (bimodule) map $\mu_{\Gamma, \Delta}^{-1}$ as desired, which is clearly the inverse to $\mu_{\Gamma, \Delta}$.

Now we show naturality. Let (U', Γ') and (V', Δ') be ideally connected $(Q_A, I_A) - (Q_B, I_B)$ and $(Q_B, I_B) - (Q_C, I_C)$ quiver connections respectively, let f be a 2-morphism

between (U, Γ) and (U', Γ') , and let g be a 2-morphism between (V, Δ) and (V', Δ') . We must show that

$$\mathcal{P}(f) \otimes \mathcal{P}(g) \circ \mu_{\Gamma \otimes \Delta} = \mu_{\Gamma' \otimes \Delta'} \circ \mathcal{P}(f \otimes g).$$

Note that $\mathcal{P}(\Gamma \otimes \Delta)$ is spanned by simple tensors of the form $\gamma \otimes \delta c$ where γ, δ are in the image of $\delta_{k\Gamma}^1, \delta_{k\Delta}^1$ respectively and $c \in kQ_C/I_C$. Taking such a simple tensor, we have

$$\mathcal{P}(f) \otimes \mathcal{P}(g) \circ \mu_{\Gamma \otimes \Delta}(\gamma \otimes \delta c) = \sum f_{g,h}(\gamma) \otimes \sum f_{h,k}(\delta) c$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}(f \otimes g)(\gamma \otimes \delta c) = \sum_{i,k} f \otimes g_{i,k}(\gamma \otimes \delta) c.$$

By definition this is equal to $\sum_{i,j,k} (f_{i,j}(\gamma) \otimes g_{j,k}(\delta)) c$, so it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\Gamma' \otimes \Delta'} \circ \mathcal{P}(\gamma \otimes \delta) &= \mu_{\Gamma' \otimes \Delta'} \left(\sum_{i,j,k} (f_{i,j}(\gamma) \otimes g_{j,k}(\delta)) c \right) \\ &= \sum f_{g,h}(\gamma) \otimes \sum f_{h,k}(\delta) c, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. Thus μ is natural. \square

It remains to show that \mathcal{P} is an equivalence. Essential surjectivity on objects is provided by the quiver theorem along with a choice of quiver data.

Lemma 4.2. *\mathcal{P} is essentially surjective.*

Proof. Let $(A, \delta_A^1, \delta_A^2)$ be a basic algebra with quiver data, and Q_A by the quiver associated to A . By Gabriel's quiver theorem there exists an algebra homomorphism $\varphi : kQ_A \rightarrow A$ that is surjective with kernel $I = \ker \varphi$ that is an admissible ideal of kQ_A . Furthermore, the choice of quiver data parameterizes φ . By construction, \mathcal{P} generates quiver data $(\delta_{kQ_A/I}^1, \delta_{kQ_A/I}^2)$ that is compatible with the quiver data on A . Thus \mathcal{P} is essentially surjective on equivalence classes of objects. \square

The following lemma shows that given a left projective basis, a bimodule M can be decomposed into a tensor product of the space spanned by the projective basis and the algebra that acts from the right.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $(M, \delta_M^1, \delta_M^2) \in \mathbf{BSA}$. Then we can construct a unique bimodule isomorphism $M \cong \delta_M^1(M/\text{rad } M) \otimes_{\delta_B^1(B/\text{rad } B)} B$.*

Proof. Recall that the quiver datum δ_M^1 corresponds to a standard basis $\{m_j\}$ for $\delta_M^1(M/\text{rad } M)$. By lemma 3.7, this is a left projective basis with dual projective basis n_j , so for any $m \in M$ we can uniquely write the sum $m = \sum_j m_j n_j(m)$. Since $M/\text{rad } M$ decomposes into the direct sum $\bigoplus (M/\text{rad } M) f_j$, choose a basis $\{m_j\}$ such that for all i , $m_i \in \delta_M^1((M/\text{rad } M) f_i)$. Then define $g_M : M \rightarrow \delta_M^1(M/\text{rad } M) \otimes_{\delta_B^1(B/\text{rad } B)} B$ as $g_M(m) = \sum_j m_j \otimes n_j(m)$, and define $g_M^{-1} : \delta_M^1(M/\text{rad } M) \otimes_{\delta_B^1(B/\text{rad } B)} B \rightarrow M$ by $g_M^{-1}(m \otimes b) = mb$. Note that since $m \in \delta_M^1(M/\text{rad } M) = \sum_j m_j$, it follows that $mb = \sum_j (m_j f_j) b = 0$ if and only if $\sum_j m_j \otimes_{\delta_B^1(B)} b = 0$. Thus g_M^{-1} is well-defined and injective. It is clear that g_M^{-1} is surjective, and thus that g_M is its inverse. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let $(A, \delta_A^1, \delta_A^2), (B, \delta_B^1, \delta_B^2) \in \mathbf{BSA}$ and $(M, \delta_M^1, \delta_M^2) \in \mathbf{BSA}(A, B)$. Then using the quiver data we can uniquely construct a family of linear isomorphisms*

$$U_{i,k} =: \bigoplus_j e_i(\text{rad } A/\text{rad}^2 A)e_j \otimes_{A/\text{rad } A} e_j(M/\text{rad } M)f_k \rightarrow \bigoplus_h e_i(M/\text{rad } M)f_h \otimes_{B/\text{rad } B} f_h(\text{rad } B/\text{rad}^2 B)f_k$$

compatible with the left and right actions on M ; if

$$U_{i,j}(a + \text{rad}^2 A \otimes m + \text{rad } M) = \sum m' + \text{rad } M \otimes b + \text{rad}^2 B$$

then

$$\delta_A^2(a + \text{rad } A)\delta_M^1(m + \text{rad } M) = \sum \delta_M^1(m' + \text{rad } M)\delta_B^2(b + \text{rad}^2 B).$$

Furthermore, the corresponding quiver connection is ideally connected; if

$$\sum_s \delta_A^2(a_{s_1})\delta_A^2(a_{s_2}) \dots \delta_A^2(a_{s_t})\delta_M^1(m_s) = 0$$

then

$$\sum_s U_{i_s, j_s}^{s_t}(a_{s_1} \otimes a_{s_2} \otimes \dots \otimes a_{s_t} \otimes m_s) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $a + \text{rad}^2 A \in e_i(\text{rad } A/\text{rad}^2 A)e_j$ and $m + \text{rad } M \in e_j(M/\text{rad } M)f_k$. Note that due to the radical symmetry of M we have that $\text{rad } AM = M \text{rad } B$, and by extension $(\text{rad } A)M/\text{rad}^2 M = M \text{rad } B/\text{rad}^2 M$. Since any basis for $M/\text{rad } M$ lifts to a projective basis for M , and since δ_M^1 determines a basis for $M/\text{rad } M$, there exists unique $m_h + \text{rad } M \in e_i(M/\text{rad } M)f_h$ and $b_h + \text{rad}^2 B \in f_h(\text{rad } B/\text{rad}^2 B)f_k$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_A^2(a + \text{rad}^2 A)\delta_M^1(m + \text{rad } M) &= \delta_M^2(am + \text{rad}^2 M) \\ &= \sum_h \delta_M^2(m_h b_h + \text{rad}^2 M) \\ &= \sum_h \delta_M^1(m_h + \text{rad } M)\delta_B^2(b_h + \text{rad}^2 B), \end{aligned}$$

so we define

$$U_{i,k}(a + \text{rad}^2 A \otimes m + \text{rad } M) = \sum_h (m_h + \text{rad } M) \otimes (b_h + \text{rad}^2 B).$$

Since any basis for $M/\text{rad } M$ lifts to a 2-sided projective basis for M , we can similarly define $U_{i,k}^{-1}$, and it is clear that both of these maps are well-defined.

Similar to our construction in $\mathbf{BdQuivCon}$, these isomorphisms can be iterated:

$$U_{i,j}^n =: (U_{i,j_{n-1}} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \dots \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes U_{i_1, j_{n-2}} \otimes \dots \otimes \text{id}) \circ \dots \circ (\text{id} \otimes \dots \otimes \text{id} \otimes U_{i_{n-1}, j})$$

By definition of the lifting maps, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \sum_s \delta_A^2(a_{s_1}) \delta_A^2(a_{s_2}) \dots \delta_A^2(a_{s_t}) \delta_M^1(m_s) \\
&= \sum_s \delta_A^2(a_{s_1}) \delta_A^2(a_{s_2}) \dots \delta_M^2(a_{s_t} m_s) \\
&= \sum_s \delta_A^2(a_{s_1}) \delta_A^2(a_{s_2}) \dots \delta_A^2(a_{s_{t-1}}) \sum_{s'} \delta_M^1(m_{s'}) \delta_B^2(b_{s'}) \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&= \sum_{s'} \delta_M^1(m_{s'}) \delta_B^2(b_{s_1}) \delta_B^2(b_{s_2}) \dots \delta_B^2(b_{s_t}),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_s U_{i_s, j_s}^{s_t} (a_{s_1} \otimes a_{s_2} \otimes \dots \otimes a_{s_t} \otimes m_s) = \sum_{s'} m'_s \otimes b_{s'_1} \otimes b_{s'_2} \dots \otimes b_{s'_t} = 0,$$

so the connection is ideally connected, as desired. \square

Now we use the explicit isomorphisms between Γ and $\delta_M^1(M/\text{rad } M)$ and between A and kQ_A/I to construct a 2-morphism that is an isomorphism.

Lemma 4.5. *\mathcal{P} is essentially full on 1-cells.*

Proof. Let $(A, \delta_A^1, \delta_A^2), (B, \delta_B^1, \delta_B^2) \in \mathbf{BSA}$ and $(M, \delta_M^1, \delta_M^2) \in \mathbf{BSA}(A, B)$. Construct a $(Q_A, I_A) - (Q_B, I_B)$ quiver connection (Γ, U) where $\Gamma_{i,j} \cong e_i(M/\text{rad } M)f_j$ as k -vector spaces and U is constructed as in lemma 4.4. Then

$$\mathcal{P}(\Gamma, U) = (k\Gamma Q_B/I_B, \delta_{\Gamma Q_B/I_B}^1, \delta_{\Gamma Q_B/I_B}^2).$$

It remains to show that there is a 2-morphism

$$h : (M, \delta_M^1, \delta_M^2) \rightarrow (k\Gamma Q_B/I_B, \delta_{\Gamma Q_B/I_B}^1, \delta_{\Gamma Q_B/I_B}^2)$$

which is an isomorphism. By construction, there are isomorphisms

$$\delta_{i,j} : (e_i(M/\text{rad } M)f_j) \rightarrow \Gamma_{i,j}$$

and

$$\varphi : B \rightarrow kQ_B/I_B.$$

Then using bimodule isomorphisms g_M and $g_{k\Gamma Q_B/I_B}^{-1}$, we can build the bimodule isomorphism

$$g_{k\Gamma Q_B/I_B}^{-1} \circ \left(\bigoplus (\delta_{i,j}) \otimes \Delta \right) \circ g_M : M \rightarrow k\Gamma Q_B/I_B,$$

as desired. \square

It is clear that \mathcal{P} is fully faithful on 2-cells, and thus fully faithful. Since \mathcal{P} is essentially surjective, \mathcal{P} is an equivalence of 2-categories, completing the proof of Theorem (A).

References

- [ASS06] Assem, I., Skowronski, A., & Simson, D. (2006). *Elements of the Representation Theory of Associative Algebras: Techniques of Representation Theory* (London Mathematical Society Student Texts). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511614309
- [DGGJ22] Paramita Das, Mainak Ghosh, Shamindra Ghosh, Corey Jones, *Unitary connections on Bratteli diagrams*, 2022.
- [EGNO10, Definition 7.12.2] Pavel Etingof, Shlomo Gelaki, Dmitri Nikshych, Victor Ostrik, *Tensor Categories* [arXiv:2211.03822](#)
- [Eil61] Samuel Eilenberg, *Abstract description of some basic functors*, J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.) 24, 1960, 231–234
- [EK98] D.Evans, Y.Kawahigashi, *Quantum symmetries on operator algebras*, Oxford Univ. Press, xv+829pp.
- [EKW21] Pavel Etingof, Ryan Kinser, Chelsea Walton, *Tensor Algebras in Finite Tensor Categories*, Int. Math. Res. Notices. 24, 2021, 18529–18572.
- [ENO05] Pavel Etingof, Dmitri Nikshych, Viktor Ostrik, *On fusion categories*, Ann. Math. 162, 2005, 581–642.
- [Gab72] P. Gabriel, Unzerlegbare Darstellungen I, *Manuscripta Amth*, 6, 1972, 71-103.
- [Mor58] K. Morita. *Duality for modules and its applications to the theory of rings with minimum condition*, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku Sect. A, 6, 1958, 83–142.
- [Ost03] Ostrik, V. *Module categories, weak Hopf algebras and modular invariants*. Transform. Groups. 8, 2003, 177–206.
- [Sch23] Gregor Schaumann, *Fusion Quivers*, 2023. [arXiv:2307.09229v1](#)
- [Wat60] Charles E. Watts, *Intrinsic characterizations of some additive functors*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 11, 1960, 5–8.