

HAUSDORFF DIMENSION OF SOME EXCEPTIONAL SETS IN LÜROTH EXPANSIONS

AO WANG¹, XINYUN ZHANG^{2*}

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the metrical theory of the growth rate of digits in Lüroth expansions. More precisely, for $x \in (0, 1]$, let $[d_1(x), d_2(x), \dots]$ denote the Lüroth expansion of x , we completely determine the Hausdorff dimension of the following sets

$$E_{\sup}(\psi) = \left\{ x \in (0, 1] : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log d_n(x)}{\psi(n)} = 1 \right\},$$

$$E(\psi) = \left\{ x \in (0, 1] : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log d_n(x)}{\psi(n)} = 1 \right\}$$

and

$$E_{\inf}(\psi) = \left\{ x \in (0, 1] : \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log d_n(x)}{\psi(n)} = 1 \right\},$$

where $\psi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is an arbitrary function satisfying $\psi(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1883, Lüroth [8] introduced the Lüroth expansion. The Lüroth transformation $T : (0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is defined as follows:

$$(1.1) \quad T(x) := d_1(x)(d_1(x) - 1) \left(x - \frac{1}{d_1(x)} \right),$$

where $d_1(x) = \lfloor \frac{1}{x} \rfloor + 1$ ($\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer not exceeding a real number). Through this algorithm very real number $x \in (0, 1]$ can be uniquely expanded into an infinite series as

$$(1.2) \quad x = \frac{1}{d_1(x)} + \frac{1}{d_1(x)(d_1(x) - 1)d_2(x)} + \dots \\ + \frac{1}{d_1(x)(d_1(x) - 1) \cdots d_{n-1}(x)(d_{n-1}(x) - 1)d_n(x)} + \dots,$$

where $d_n(x) = d_1(T^{n-1}(x))$ ($n \geq 2$). Such a series (1.2) is called the Lüroth expansion of x and written as

$$x = [d_1(x), d_2(x), \dots],$$

where $d_n(x)$ ($n \geq 1$) are called the digits of the Lüroth expansion of x . Lüroth [8] showed that each rational number has an infinite periodic expansion of the form (1.2) and every irrational number has a unique infinite expansion. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the n -th partial sum of (1.2)

$$\frac{p_n(x)}{q_n(x)} := \frac{1}{d_1(x)} + \frac{1}{d_1(x)(d_1(x) - 1)d_2(x)} + \dots$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11K55; Secondary 28A80.

Key words and phrases. Lüroth expansions, exceptional set, Borel-Bernstein theorem, Hausdorff dimension.

This work was supported by NSFC 12331005.

$$+ \frac{1}{d_1(x)(d_1(x)-1) \cdots d_{n-1}(x)(d_{n-1}(x)-1)d_n(x)}$$

is called the n -th convergent of the Lüroth expansion of x .

The metrical theory of Lüroth expansions is one of the major subjects in the study of Lüroth expansions. It concerns the properties of the digits for almost all $x \in (0, 1]$. For some certain sets defined in terms of the frequency of digits in Lüroth expansions, Barreira, Iommi [2] and Fan et al. [5] completely determined their Hausdorff dimension. In [13], Sun and Xu studied the asymptotic behaviour of the maximal run-length function in Lüroth expansions, and obtained its metrical property. The following Borel-Bernstein theorem is important for the study of the metrical theory of Lüroth expansions.

Theorem 1.1 (Borel-Bernstein). *Let $\phi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be an arbitrary function and*

$$F_\phi = \left\{ x \in (0, 1] : d_n(x) \geq \phi(n) \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

then, F_ϕ has Lebesgue measure zero if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\phi(n)}$ converges and has full Lebesgue measure otherwise.

The earliest research for exceptional sets of Borel-Bernstein Theorem in Lüroth expansions was considered by Šalát [9]. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, he obtained the Hausdorff dimension of the set

$$M_k = \left\{ x \in (0, 1] : d_n(x) = k, n = 1, 2, \dots \right\}.$$

In [11], Shen and Fang investigated the Hausdorff dimension of the Cantor set

$$\left\{ x \in (0, 1] : d_n(x) \geq \varphi(n) \text{ for any } n \geq 1 \right\},$$

where $\varphi(n) : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(n) = \infty$. Furthermore, Shen [10] studied the size of the set

$$\left\{ x \in (0, 1] : d_n(x) \geq \varphi(n) \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

in the sense of the Hausdorff dimension.

The Lüroth expansion has a tight connection with classical Diophantine approximation, which focus on the question of how well a real number can be approximated by rational numbers. For instance, Cao, Wu, Zhang [3] proved that the Jarník-like set

$$\mathcal{J}(\beta) := \left\{ x \in (0, 1] : \left| x - \frac{p_n(x)}{q_n(x)} \right| < \frac{1}{q_n(x)^{(\beta+1)}} \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

and the set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\beta) := \left\{ x \in (0, 1] : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log d_n(x)d_{n+1}(x)}{\log q_n(x)} \geq \beta \right\}$$

share the Hausdorff dimension when $\beta \geq 0$. That is, $\dim_{\text{H}} \mathcal{J}(\beta) = \dim_{\text{H}} \tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\beta) = \frac{1}{\beta+1}$, where \dim_{H} denotes the Hausdorff dimension. Furthermore, Tan and Zhou [14] studied the metrical properties of the sets

$$\mathcal{J}(\tau) := \left\{ x \in (0, 1] : \left| x - \frac{p_n(x)}{q_n(x)} \right| < \frac{1}{q_n(x)^{(\beta+1)}} \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ ultimately} \right\}$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{J}}'(\tau) := \left\{ x \in (0, 1] : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log d_n(x)d_{n+1}(x)}{\log q_n(x)} = \tau \right\}.$$

More precisely, they proved that $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{J}'(\tau) = \dim_{\mathbb{H}} \tilde{\mathcal{J}}'(\tau)$ when $\tau \geq 0$. And the dimension admits a dichotomy : it is either $\frac{1}{\tau+2}$ or 1 according to $\tau > 0$ or $\tau = 0$.

In this paper, we continue the study of exceptional sets of Borel-Bernstein Theorem in Lüroth expansions. Firstly, we investigate the Hausdorff dimension of the set

$$E_{\sup}(\psi) = \left\{ x \in (0, 1] : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log d_n(x)}{\psi(n)} = 1 \right\}.$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\psi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be an arbitrary function satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(n) = \infty$, we have*

- (i) *if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(n)}{n} = 0$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} E_{\sup}(\psi) = 1$.*
- (ii) *if $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(n)}{n} = \alpha$ ($0 < \alpha < \infty$), then $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} E_{\sup}(\psi) = H(\alpha)$, where*

$$H(\alpha) = \dim_{\mathbb{H}} \{x \in (0, 1] : d_n(x) \geq e^{\alpha n} \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

- (iii) *if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(n)}{n} = \infty$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} E_{\sup}(\psi) = \frac{1}{1+A}$, where A is given by*

$$\log A := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \psi(n)}{n}.$$

We also consider the Hausdorff demension of the sets

$$E(\psi) = \left\{ x \in (0, 1] : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log d_n(x)}{\psi(n)} = 1 \right\}$$

and

$$E_{\inf}(\psi) = \left\{ x \in (0, 1] : \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log d_n(x)}{\psi(n)} = 1 \right\}.$$

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\psi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be an arbitrary function satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(n) = \infty$, then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}} E(\psi) = \frac{1}{2 + \eta},$$

where η is defined as

$$\eta := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(n+1)}{\psi(1) + \dots + \psi(n)}.$$

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\psi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be an arbitrary function satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(n) = \infty$, then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}} E_{\inf}(\psi) = \frac{1}{1 + V},$$

where V is given by

$$\log V := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \psi(n)}{n}.$$

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we present some elementary properties of Lüroth expansions and some auxiliary lemmas. Section 3 is devoted to showing the proof of Theorem 1.2. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall some elementary properties of Lüroth expansions and some useful lemmas that will be used later.

Definition 2.1. A subsequence $\{d_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ of \mathbb{N} is said to be admissible if there exists $x \in (0, 1]$ such that

$$d_n(x) = d_n \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

Lemma 2.2 (See [7]). A sequence $\{d_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ of positive integers is admissible if and only if

$$d_n \geq 2 \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

For $n \geq 1$, we denote by Σ_n the collection of all admissible words of length n , i.e.,

$$\Sigma_n = \{(d_1, \dots, d_n) : d_j \geq 2 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq n\}.$$

Definition 2.3. For any $n \geq 1$ and $(d_1, \dots, d_n) \in \Sigma_n$, define

$$I(d_1, \dots, d_n) = \{x \in (0, 1] : d_1(x) = d_1, \dots, d_n(x) = d_n\}$$

and call it a n -th order cylinder of Lüroth expansion.

Proposition 2.4 (See [7]). The n -th order cylinder $I(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ is the interval with the left endpoint

$$\frac{1}{d_1} + \frac{1}{d_1(d_1-1)d_2} + \dots + \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{d_k(d_k-1)} \frac{1}{d_n}$$

and right endpoint

$$\frac{1}{d_1} + \frac{1}{d_1(d_1-1)d_2} + \dots + \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{d_k(d_k-1)} \frac{1}{d_n} + \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{d_k(d_k-1)}.$$

Furthermore, one has

$$(2.1) \quad |I(d_1, \dots, d_n)| = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{d_k(d_k-1)}.$$

In this context, we use the symbol “ $|\cdot|$ ” to denote the length of an interval.

For convenience, we write

$$Q_n(x) := \prod_{k=1}^n d_k(x) (d_k(x) - 1).$$

Lemma 2.5. For any $x \in (0, 1]$, $Q_n(x) \geq 2^n$.

Proof. Since $d_n(x) \geq 2$ for all $n \geq 1$, then

$$Q_n(x) = \prod_{k=1}^n d_k(x) (d_k(x) - 1) \geq \prod_{k=1}^n 2 \cdot (2 - 1) = 2^n.$$

□

For any integer $M \geq 2$, we set

$$E_M = \left\{x \in (0, 1] : 2 \leq d_n(x) \leq M \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}.$$

The following lemma gives the Hausdorff dimension of E_M .

Lemma 2.6 (See [12]). *For the Lüroth expansion, let $S(M)$ be the solution of the equation*

$$\sum_{k=2}^M \left(\frac{1}{k(k-1)} \right)^s = 1,$$

then $\dim_H E_M = S(M)$.

Lemma 2.7. *For $S(M)$ defined in Lemma 2.6, we have*

$$0 \leq S(M) < 1 \text{ and } \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} S(M) = 1.$$

Proof. Consider

$$\sum_{k=2}^M \frac{1}{k(k-1)} = 1 - \frac{1}{M} < 1 \text{ and } \sum_{k=2}^M \left(\frac{1}{k(k-1)} \right)^0 = M - 1 \geq 1,$$

then

$$0 \leq S(M) < 1$$

since $\left(\frac{1}{k(k-1)} \right)^s$ is decreasing with respect to $s \in [0, \infty)$.

For any $\epsilon > 0$, we set $m = \lceil 1 + \frac{1}{2^{\epsilon-1}} \rceil$, where $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ represents the smallest integer not less than a real number. Then for any $M > m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2}^M \left(\frac{1}{k(k-1)} \right)^{1-\epsilon} &= \sum_{k=2}^M \left(\frac{1}{k(k-1)} \right) (k(k-1))^\epsilon \\ &> 2^\epsilon \sum_{k=2}^M \frac{1}{k(k-1)} \\ &= 2^\epsilon \left(1 - \frac{1}{M} \right) \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $S(M) > 1 - \epsilon$. Combine this and $S(M) < 1$, we have $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} S(M) = 1$. \square

Lemma 2.8. *For $H(\alpha)$ defined in Theorem 1.2, let $G(B)$ be the unique solution of the equation*

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{Bk(k-1)} \right)^s = 1,$$

then

$$H(\alpha) = G(e^\alpha).$$

Furthermore, $H(\alpha)$ is continuous with respect to $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ and we have

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} H(\alpha) = 1, \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} H(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof. For any $B > 1$, according to Lemma 2.3 of [10], $G(B)$ is continuous with respect to $B \in (1, \infty)$ and

$$\lim_{B \rightarrow 1^+} G(B) = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{B \rightarrow \infty} G(B) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Put $B = e^\alpha > 1$, by Theorem 4.2 of [10], it follows that $H(\alpha) = G(e^\alpha)$, then $H(\alpha)$ is continuous with respect to $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$. Moreover, we have

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} H(\alpha) = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} H(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

□

The following results is useful to estimate the lower bound of Hausdorff dimension for some sets.

Lemma 2.9 (See [1]). *Let $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a subsequence of \mathbb{N} with $u_n \geq 4$ for any $n \geq 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = \infty$, then for any integer $N \geq 2$, one has*

$$\dim_H \left\{ x \in (0, 1] : u_n \leq d_n(x) \leq Nu_n - 1 \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} = u_0,$$

where

$$u_0 := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(u_1 \cdots u_n)}{2 \log(u_1 \cdots u_n) + \log u_{n+1}}.$$

Proposition 2.10 (See [4]). *Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, suppose that $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ satisfies the Hölder condition*

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq c|x - y|^\alpha \quad (x, y \in E),$$

where c is a constant. Then

$$\dim_H f(E) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \dim_H E.$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Recall that

$$E_{\sup}(\psi) = \left\{ x \in (0, 1] : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log d_n(x)}{\psi(n)} = 1 \right\}.$$

We consider the following cases:

Case I: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(n)}{n} = 0$.

In this case, the upper bound follows trivially by noting that $E_{\sup}(\psi) \subseteq (0, 1]$. We only focus on the lower bound of the Hausdorff dimension of $E_{\sup}(\psi)$. The lower bound is obtained by constructing a Cantor subset $E_M(\psi)$ of $E_{\sup}(\psi)$.

Let $\{m_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ be a subsequence of \mathbb{N} with $m_k = 2^k$ for all $k \geq 1$, and for any integer $M \geq 2$, define

$$E_M(\psi) = \left\{ x \in (0, 1] : d_{m_k}(x) = \lfloor e^{\psi(m_k)} \rfloor + 1 \text{ and } 2 \leq d_i(x) \leq M \text{ (} i \neq m_k \text{ for any } k \geq 1) \right\}.$$

It is clear that $E_M(\psi) \subseteq E_{\sup}(\psi)$.

Now, we estimate the lower bound of the Hausdorff dimension of $E_M(\psi)$. Firstly, we use the language of symbolic space to describe $E_M(\psi)$. For any integer $n \geq 1$, define

$$C_n = \left\{ (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) \in \Sigma_n : \sigma_{m_k} = \lfloor e^{\psi(m_k)} \rfloor + 1 \text{ and } 2 \leq \sigma_i \leq M, 1 \leq i \neq m_k \leq n \right\}.$$

For any integer $n \geq 1$ and $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) \in C_n$, the n -th basic interval is defined as follows:

$$(3.1) \quad J_n(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) = \bigcup_{(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}) \in C_{n+1}} I_{n+1}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}).$$

Obviously,

$$(3.2) \quad E_M(\psi) = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \bigcup_{(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) \in C_n} I_n(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \bigcup_{(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) \in C_n} J_n(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n).$$

Let $t(n) = \#\{k \in \mathbb{N} : 2^k \leq n\}$, where the symbol “ $\#$ ” denotes the cardinality of a set. It is easy to see that $t(n) \leq \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor \leq \log_2 n$, then we have

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t(n)}{n} = 0.$$

For any $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) \in C_n$, let $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)^*$ be the block obtained by eliminating the terms $\{\sigma_{m_k} : 1 \leq k \leq t(n)\}$ in $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$. Obviously,

$$(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)^* \in \{2, 3, \dots, M\}^{n-t(n)}.$$

For simplicity, we write

$$(3.4) \quad I_n^*(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) := I_{n-t(n)}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)^*.$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(n)}{n} = 0$, then

$$0 \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{t(n)} \psi(m_k) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{t(n)}} \sum_{k=1}^{t(n)} \psi(2^k) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=1}^n \psi(2^k) = 0,$$

we have

$$(3.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{t(n)} \psi(m_k) = 0.$$

Now we compare the length of $I_n(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ and the length of $I_n^*(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$.

Lemma 3.1. *For any $0 < \epsilon < 1$, there exists a positive integer N_1 such that for any $n \geq N_1$ and $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) \in C_n$, we have*

$$|I_n(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)| \geq |I_n^*(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)|^{1+\epsilon}.$$

Proof. For any $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) \in C_n$, set $Q_0 := 1$, $Q_n := \prod_{k=1}^n \sigma_k(\sigma_k - 1)$ and $Q_n(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)^* := Q_n / \prod_{i=1}^{t(n)} \sigma_{m_i}(\sigma_{m_i} - 1)$. According to Proposition 2.4, we have

$$|I_n(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)| = \frac{1}{Q_n}$$

and

$$|I_n^*(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)| = \frac{1}{Q_n(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)^*}.$$

By the definition of C_n , one has

$$e^{\psi(m_k)} < \sigma_{m_k} \leq e^{\psi(m_k)} + 1 \text{ for any } k \geq 1,$$

then

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} |I_n(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)| &= \frac{1}{Q_n} = \frac{1}{Q_n(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)^* \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{t(n)} \sigma_{m_i}(\sigma_{m_i} - 1)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{Q_n(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)^*} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{t(n)} \frac{1}{(e^{\psi(m_i)} + 1) \cdot e^{\psi(m_i)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$b_n = \frac{t(n) - 1}{n} \cdot \log 2 + \frac{2}{n} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{t(n)} \psi(m_k)$$

and

$$c_n = \frac{\log 2}{n} + \frac{t(n)}{n} \cdot \log 2,$$

then by (3.3) and (3.5), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{t(n) - 1}{n} \cdot \log 2 + \frac{2}{n} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{t(n)} \psi(m_k) \right) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\log 2}{n} + \frac{t(n)}{n} \cdot \log 2 \right) = 0.$$

Hence for any $0 < \epsilon < 1$, there exists an integer N_1 such that for any $n \geq N_1$, one has

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{t(n) - 1}{n} \cdot \log 2 + \frac{2}{n} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{t(n)} \psi(m_k) < \epsilon \cdot \log a$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{\log 2}{n} + \frac{t(n)}{n} \epsilon \cdot \log 2 < \frac{\log 2}{n} + \frac{t(n)}{n} \cdot \log 2 < \epsilon (\log 2 - \log a),$$

where a is a real number with $0 < a < 1$. This shows that

$$(3.9) \quad 2^{t(n)} \cdot e^{2 \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{t(n)} \psi(m_k)} \stackrel{\text{by (3.7)}}{<} 2a^{n\epsilon} \stackrel{\text{by (3.8)}}{<} 2^{(n-t(n))\epsilon}.$$

Note that

$$(3.10) \quad \prod_{i=1}^{t(n)} (e^{\psi(m_k)} + 1) \cdot e^{\psi(m_k)} \leq \prod_{i=1}^{t(n)} 2 \cdot e^{2\psi(m_k)} = 2^{t(n)} \cdot e^{2 \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{t(n)} \psi(m_k)},$$

then by formula (3.6), (3.9), (3.10) and Lemma 2.5, when $n \geq N_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_n(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)| &\geq \frac{1}{Q_n(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)^* \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{t(n)} (e^{\psi(m_k)} + 1) \cdot e^{\psi(m_k)}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{Q_n(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)^* \cdot 2^{(n-t(n))\epsilon}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{Q_n(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)^* \cdot (Q_n(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)^*)^\epsilon} \\ &= |I_n^*(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)|^{1+\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

□

In order to make use of Proposition 2.10 to estimate the Hausdorff dimension of $E_M(\psi)$, now we estimate $|x - y|$, where $x \neq y \in E_M(\psi)$.

Lemma 3.2. *For any $x \neq y \in E_M(\psi)$, there exists $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) \in C_n$ and $l_{n+1} \neq r_{n+1}$ satisfying $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, l_{n+1}) \in C_{n+1}$ and $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, r_{n+1}) \in C_{n+1}$ such that*

$$x \in I_{n+1}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, l_{n+1}), \quad y \in I_{n+1}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, r_{n+1})$$

and

$$|x - y| \geq \frac{1}{M^3} |I_n(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)|.$$

Proof. For any $x = [x_1, x_2, \dots] \in E_M(\psi)$, $y = [y_1, y_2, \dots] \in E_M(\psi)$ and $x \neq y$, suppose that n is the greatest integer such that $x_i = y_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, then there exists $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) \in C_n$ such that $x, y \in I_n(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$. If $n = 0$, set $I_0 := (0, 1]$, then $x, y \in I_0$. Otherwise, there exist $l_{n+1} \neq r_{n+1}$ such that $x \in I_{n+1}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, l_{n+1})$ and $y \in I_{n+1}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, r_{n+1})$, where $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, l_{n+1}) \in C_{n+1}$ and $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, r_{n+1}) \in C_{n+1}$.

Without loss of generality, we assume $x < y$. then $l_{n+1} > r_{n+1}$. Note that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $n+1 \neq m_k$, otherwise $l_{n+1} = r_{n+1} = \lfloor e^{\psi(m_k)} \rfloor + 1$. Recall that $x \in J_{n+1}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, l_{n+1}) \cap E_M(\psi)$ and $y \in J_{n+1}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, r_{n+1}) \cap E_M(\psi)$, then $|x - y|$ is not less than the gaps between $J_{n+1}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, l_{n+1})$ and $J_{n+1}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, r_{n+1})$.

We consider the following two cases:

(i) If $n+2 = m_k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

In this case, $y - x$ is greater than the distance between the left endpoint of the basic interval $J_{n+1}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, r_{n+1})$ and the right endpoint of the basic interval $J_{n+1}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, l_{n+1})$. Let $t = \lfloor e^{\psi(m_k)} \rfloor + 1 \geq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} J_{n+1}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, l_{n+1}) &= I_{n+2}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, l_{n+1}, t), \\ J_{n+1}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, r_{n+1}) &= I_{n+2}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, r_{n+1}, t). \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, we write $s_0 := 1$, $s_k = \sigma_k(\sigma_k - 1)$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$, recall that $Q_k = s_0 s_1 \dots s_{k-1} s_k$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$, then by Proposition 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |y - x| &\geq \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{Q_{k-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma_k} + \frac{1}{Q_n} \cdot \frac{1}{r_{n+1}} + \frac{1}{Q_n} \cdot \frac{1}{r_{n+1}(r_{n+1}-1)} \cdot \frac{1}{t} \right) \\ &\quad - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{Q_{k-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma_k} + \frac{1}{Q_n} \cdot \frac{1}{l_{n+1}} + \frac{1}{Q_n} \cdot \frac{1}{l_{n+1}(l_{n+1}-1)} \cdot \frac{1}{t} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{Q_n} \cdot \frac{1}{l_{n+1}(l_{n+1}-1)} \cdot \frac{1}{t(t-1)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{Q_n} \left(\frac{1}{r_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{l_{n+1}} + \frac{1}{r_{n+1}(r_{n+1}-1)} \cdot \frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{l_{n+1}(l_{n+1}-1)} \cdot \frac{1}{t-1} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{Q_n} \left(\frac{l_{n+1} - r_{n+1}}{r_{n+1} l_{n+1}} + \frac{1}{l_{n+1}(l_{n+1}-1)} \left(\frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{t-1} \right) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{Q_n} \left(\frac{1}{r_{n+1} l_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{l_{n+1}(l_{n+1}-1)} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{Q_n} \frac{1}{l_{n+1}(l_{n+1}-1)} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{Q_n} \frac{1}{2M(M-1)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{M^3} |I_n(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)|. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If $n + 2 \neq m_k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

In this case, $y - x$ is greater than the distance between the left endpoint of $J_{n+1}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, r_{n+1})$ and the right point of $J_{n+1}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, l_{n+1})$. Note that $n + 2 \neq m_k$ for any $k \geq 1$, then the left endpoint of $J_{n+1}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, r_{n+1})$ is just the left endpoint of $I_{n+2}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, r_{n+1}, M)$ and the right point of $J_{n+1}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, l_{n+1})$ is the right point of $I_{n+2}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, l_{n+1}, 2)$. Similar to case (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |y - x| &\geq \frac{1}{Q_n} \left(\frac{1}{r_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{l_{n+1}} + \frac{1}{r_{n+1}(r_{n+1}-1)} \cdot \frac{1}{M} - \frac{1}{l_{n+1}(l_{n+1}-1)} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{Q_n} \frac{1}{l_{n+1}(l_{n+1}-1)} \cdot \frac{1}{M} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{Q_n} \frac{1}{M^2(M-1)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{M^3} |I_n(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)|. \end{aligned}$$

□

Recall that

$$E_M = \left\{ x \in (0, 1] : 2 \leq d_n(x) \leq M \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

and write

$$[d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n] = \frac{1}{d_1} + \frac{1}{d_1(d_1-1)d_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{d_1(d_1-1)\dots d_{n-1}(d_{n-1}-1)d_n}.$$

Define a map $f : E_M(\psi) \rightarrow E_M$ as follows: for any $x = [x_1, x_2, \dots] \in E_M(\psi)$, let

$$f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [x_1, \dots, x_n]^*,$$

where $[x_1, \dots, x_n]^*$ is the real number obtained by eliminating the terms $\{x_{m_k} : 1 \leq k \leq t(n)\}$ in $[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. For any $x = [x_1, x_2, \dots] \in E_M(\psi)$, $y = [y_1, y_2, \dots] \in E_M(\psi)$, let m be the greatest integer such that $x_i = y_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, it is easy to see that for any $0 < \epsilon < 1$, if

$$(3.11) \quad |x - y| < \frac{1}{M^3} \min_{(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{N_1}) \in C_{N_1}} \{|I_{N_1}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{N_1})|\},$$

then $m > N_1$, where N_1 is the same as N_1 in Lemma 3.1. Otherwise, by Lemma 3.2, one has

$$|x - y| \geq \frac{1}{M^3} |I_m(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m)| \geq \frac{1}{M^3} \min_{(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{N_1}) \in C_{N_1}} \{|I_{N_1}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{N_1})|\},$$

which contradicts the hypothesis (3.11). Furthermore, according to Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$(3.12) \quad |f(x) - f(y)| \leq |I_m^*(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m)| \leq |I_m(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m)|^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}} \leq M^{\frac{3}{1+\epsilon}} \cdot |x - y|^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}}.$$

Take a sufficiently large k such that for any $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k) \in C_k$, one has

$$|I_k(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k)| < \frac{1}{M^3} \min_{(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{N_1}) \in C_{N_1}} \{|I_{N_1}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{N_1})|\}.$$

It is clear that

$$E_M(\psi) = \bigcup_{(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k) \in C_k} E_M(\psi) \cap I_k(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k).$$

For simplicity, we write $F(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k) = E_M(\psi) \cap I_k(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k)$, then by the countable stability of Hausdorff dimension, we have

$$(3.13) \quad \dim E_M(\psi) = \sup_{(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k) \in C_k} \dim_H F(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k).$$

For any $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k) \in C_k$, by Proposition 2.10 and (3.12), we have

$$\dim_H F(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k) \geq \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} \dim_H f(F(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k)).$$

Then by (3.13), one has

$$(3.14) \quad \dim_H E_M(\psi) \geq \sup_{(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k) \in C_k} \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} \dim_H f(F(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k)).$$

Note that

$$E_M = f(E_M(\psi)) = f\left(\bigsqcup_{(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k) \in C_k} F(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k)\right) \subseteq \bigsqcup_{(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k) \in C_k} f(F(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k)),$$

then

$$(3.15) \quad \dim_H E_M \leq \dim_H \bigsqcup_{(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k) \in C_k} f(F(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k)) = \sup_{(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k) \in C_k} \dim_H f(F(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k)).$$

Recall that $E_M(\psi) \subseteq E_{\sup}(\psi)$, by combining (3.14), (3.15), we have

$$\dim_H E_{\sup}(\psi) \geq \dim_H E_M(\psi) \geq \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} \dim_H E_M.$$

Let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, then

$$\dim_H E_{\sup}(\psi) \geq \dim_H E_M.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.7 and let $M \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\dim_H E_{\sup}(\psi) \geq \sup_{M \geq 2} \dim_H E_M = 1.$$

Case II: $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(n)}{n} = \alpha$ ($0 < \alpha < \infty$).

We split the proof into two parts.

Upper bound For any $x \in E_{\sup}(\psi)$, it holds that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log d_n(x)}{\psi(n)} = 1$, then for any $0 < \epsilon < \min\{\alpha, 1\}$, we have

$$d_n(x) \geq e^{\psi(n)(1-\epsilon)}$$

holds for infinitely many n . Since $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(n)}{n} = \alpha$, then there exists a positive integer \tilde{N} such that for any $n \geq \tilde{N}$, one has

$$\psi(n) > n(\alpha - \epsilon),$$

thus,

$$d_n(x) \geq e^{\psi(n)(1-\epsilon)} \geq e^{n(\alpha-\epsilon)(1-\epsilon)}$$

holds for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This shows that

$$E_{\sup}(\psi) \subseteq \left\{x \in (0, 1] : d_n(x) \geq e^{n(\alpha-\epsilon)(1-\epsilon)} \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}.$$

Recall that

$$H(\alpha) = \dim_H \left\{x \in (0, 1] : d_n(x) \geq e^{\alpha n} \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N}\right\},$$

then

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}} E_{\mathrm{sup}}(\psi) \leq H((\alpha - \epsilon)(1 - \epsilon)).$$

Let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ and by Lemma 2.8, we have

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}} E_{\mathrm{sup}}(\psi) \leq H(\alpha).$$

Lower bound Since $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(n)}{n} = \alpha$ and $0 < \alpha < \infty$, it is easy to see that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(n)}{n\alpha} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(n)}{n} = 1.$$

Let $\{n_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ be a subsequence of \mathbb{N} such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(n_k)}{n_k \alpha} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(n)}{n \alpha} = 1.$$

Choose a sufficiently sparse subsequence $\{n_{k_i}\}_{i \geq 1}$ of $\{n_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ (for simplicity, we still denote by $\{n_k\}_{k \geq 1}$) such that

$$n_1 = 1 \text{ and } n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k \leq \frac{1}{k+1} n_{k+1} \quad (k \geq 2).$$

Fix an integer $M \geq 2$ and for any $B > 1$, define

$$F_M(B) = \left\{ x \in (0, 1] : \lfloor B^{n_k} \rfloor + 2 \leq d_{n_k}(x) \leq 2 \lfloor B^{n_k} \rfloor + 1 \text{ for any } k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \right. \\ \left. 2 \leq d_j(x) \leq M, \text{ if } j \neq n_k \text{ for any } k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

and

$$F_\alpha(\psi) = \left\{ x \in (0, 1] : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log d_n(x)}{\psi(n)} \leq 1 \text{ and } \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log d_{n_k}(x)}{\psi(n_k)} = 1 \right\}.$$

For any $x \in F_M(e^\alpha)$, it is obvious that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log d_n(x)}{n\alpha} = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log d_{n_k}(x)}{n_k \alpha} = 1.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log d_n(x)}{\psi(n)} &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log d_n(x)}{\psi(n)} \cdot \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(n)}{n\alpha} \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log d_n(x)}{n\alpha} \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log d_{n_k}(x)}{\psi(n_k)} &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log d_{n_k}(x)}{\psi(n_k)} \cdot \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(n_k)}{n_k \alpha} \\ &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log d_{n_k}(x)}{n_k \alpha} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(3.16) \quad F_M(e^\alpha) \subseteq F_\alpha(\psi).$$

Let $G_M(B)$ be the unique solution of the equation

$$\sum_{k=2}^M \left(\frac{1}{Bk(k-1)} \right)^s = 1,$$

then according to the proof of Theorem 3.2 of [10], for any $B > 1$, it can be obtained that

$$(3.17) \quad \dim_{\text{H}} F_M(B) \geq G_M(B) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} G_M(B) = G(B),$$

where $G(B)$ is defined in Lemma 2.8. It is easy to see that $F_\alpha(\psi) \subseteq E_{\text{sup}}(\psi)$, then by (3.16), we have

$$\dim_{\text{H}} E_{\text{sup}}(\psi) \geq \dim_{\text{H}} F_\alpha(\psi) \geq \dim_{\text{H}} F_M(e^\alpha) \geq G_M(e^\alpha).$$

Let $M \rightarrow \infty$, it follows from (3.17) and Lemma 2.8 that

$$\dim_{\text{H}} E_{\text{sup}}(\psi) \geq \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} G_M(e^\alpha) = G(e^\alpha) = H(\alpha).$$

Case III: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(n)}{n} = \infty$.

Upper bound For any $0 < \epsilon < 1$, define

$$\hat{E}(\psi, \epsilon) = \left\{ x \in (0, 1] : d_n(x) \geq e^{\psi(n)(1-\epsilon)} \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

it is easy to see that

$$E_{\text{sup}}(\psi) \subseteq \hat{E}(\psi, \epsilon).$$

Note that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(n)}{n} = \infty$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log e^{\psi(n)(1-\epsilon)}}{n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(n)(1-\epsilon)}{n} = \infty$$

and

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log e^{\psi(n)(1-\epsilon)}}{n} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \psi(n)(1-\epsilon)}{n} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \psi(n)}{n}.$$

Recall that

$$\log A = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \psi(n)}{n},$$

then it follows from Theorem 4.2 of [10] that

$$\dim_{\text{H}} E_{\text{sup}}(\psi) \leq \dim_{\text{H}} \hat{E}(\psi, \epsilon) = \frac{1}{1+A}.$$

Lower bound We obtain the lower bound of the Hausdorff dimension of $E_{\text{sup}}(\psi)$ by constructing a suitable Cantor subset of $E_{\text{sup}}(\psi)$.

Define $\theta : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ as follows:

$$\theta(n) = \min_{k \geq n} \psi(k).$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(n) = \infty$, $\theta(n)$ is well defined. Secondly, for any $\epsilon > 0$, define a sequence $\{r_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ as follows:

$$(3.18) \quad r_1 = e^{\theta(1)} \quad \text{and} \quad r_n = \min \left\{ e^{\theta(n)}, \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} r_i^{A-1+\epsilon} \right\} \quad (n \geq 2).$$

It follows from the proof of Theorem 1.1 of [6] that

$$(3.19) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log r_n}{\psi(n)} = 1, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = \infty \text{ and } \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log r_{n+1}}{\log(r_1 \cdots r_n)} \leq A - 1 + \epsilon.$$

We use the sequence $\{r_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ to construct the Cantor subset of $E_{\sup}(\psi)$. By (3.19), there exists a positive integer M such that $M[r_n + 1] \geq 4$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define

$$E(\{r_n\}_{n \geq 1}) = \left\{ x \in (0, 1] : M[r_n + 1] \leq d_n(x) \leq 2M[r_n + 1] - 1 \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

For any $x \in E(\{r_n\}_{n \geq 1})$, by (3.19), one has

$$(3.20) \quad 1 = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M r_n}{\psi(n)} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log d_n(x)}{\psi(n)} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log 2M(r_n + 1)}{\psi(n)} = 1.$$

This shows that

$$E(\{r_n\}_{n \geq 1}) \subseteq E_{\sup}(\psi).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M[r_{n+1} + 1]}{\log M^n \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n [r_i + 1]} &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r_{n+1} + 1)}{\log M^n \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n r_i} \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M + \log(r_{n+1} + 1)}{n \log M + \log r_1 \cdots r_n} \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(r_{n+1} + 1)}{n \log M + \log r_1 \cdots r_n} \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(r_{n+1} + 1)}{\log r_1 \cdots r_n} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \frac{n \log M}{\log r_1 \cdots r_n}} \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(r_{n+1} + 1)}{\log r_1 \cdots r_n} \\ &\leq A - 1 + \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 2.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_H E(\{r_n\}_{n \geq 1}) &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M^n \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n [r_i + 1]}{2 \log M^n \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n [r_i + 1] + \log M[r_{n+1} + 1]} \\ (3.21) \quad &= \frac{1}{2 + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M[r_{n+1} + 1]}{\log M^n \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n [r_i + 1]}} \geq \frac{1}{1 + A + \epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\dim_H E_{\sup}(\psi) \geq \dim_H E(\{r_n\}_{n \geq 1}) \geq \frac{1}{1 + A + \epsilon}.$$

Let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, we have

$$\dim_H E_{\sup}(\psi) \geq \frac{1}{1 + A}.$$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3 AND THEOREM 1.4

In this section, we complete the proofs of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4.

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.3. We split the proof into two parts.

Lower bound We construct a suitable Cantor subset of $E(\psi)$ to get the lower bound of the Hausdorff dimension of $E(\psi)$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(n) = \infty$ and $\psi(n) > 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an integer M such that $M \lfloor e^{\psi(n)} + 1 \rfloor \geq 4$ holds for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define

$$W(\psi) = \left\{ x \in (0, 1] : M \lfloor e^{\psi(n)} + 1 \rfloor \leq d_n(x) \leq 2M \lfloor e^{\psi(n)} + 1 \rfloor - 1 \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Similar to (3.20), it is easy to see that

$$W(\psi) \subseteq E(\psi).$$

Therefore by Lemma 2.9, similar to (3.21), we have

$$\dim_{\text{H}} W(\psi) \geq \frac{1}{2 + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(e^{\psi(n+1)} + 1)}{\log e^{\psi(1)} + \dots + \psi(n)}} = \frac{1}{2 + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(n+1)}{\psi(1) + \dots + \psi(n)}}.$$

Recall that

$$\eta = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(n+1)}{\psi(1) + \dots + \psi(n)},$$

hence

$$\dim_{\text{H}} E(\psi) \geq \dim_{\text{H}} W(\psi) \geq \frac{1}{2 + \eta}.$$

Upper bound For any $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$E_\psi(r, \epsilon) = \left\{ x \in (0, 1] : e^{\psi(n)(1-\epsilon)} + 1 \leq d_n(x) \leq e^{\psi(n)(1+\epsilon)} + 1 \text{ for any } n \geq r \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$E(\psi) \subseteq \bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} E_\psi(r, \epsilon),$$

then we have

$$(4.1) \quad \dim_{\text{H}} E(\psi) \leq \dim_{\text{H}} \bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} E_\psi(r, \epsilon) = \sup_{r \geq 1} \dim_{\text{H}} E_\psi(r, \epsilon).$$

Now we consider the Hausdorff dimension of $E_\psi(r, \epsilon)$ for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $M \geq 1$ be an integer, and define $D_n(\epsilon, M, r)$ as the collection of sequence (d_1, \dots, d_n) satisfying

$$\begin{cases} 2 \leq d_k \leq M+1 & \text{if } 1 \leq k < r, \\ e^{\psi(k)(1-\epsilon)} + 1 \leq d_k \leq e^{\psi(k)(1+\epsilon)} + 1 & \text{if } r \leq k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

By the construction of $D_n(\epsilon, M, r)$, it is clear that

$$(4.2) \quad \#D_n(\epsilon, M, r) \leq M^{r-1} \prod_{k=r}^n 2\epsilon\psi(k) \cdot e^{(1+\epsilon)\psi(k)}.$$

For any $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) \in D_n(\epsilon, M, r)$, put

$$J_n(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) = \bigcup_{(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}) \in D_{n+1}(\epsilon, M, r)} I_{n+1}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}).$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_{\psi,r,\epsilon}(M) = \Big\{ x \in (0,1] : e^{\psi(k)(1-\epsilon)} + 1 \leq d_k(x) \leq e^{\psi(k)(1+\epsilon)} + 1 \text{ for any } k \geq r \\ \text{and } 2 \leq d_k(x) \leq M+1 \text{ for } 1 \leq k < r \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$E_{\psi}(r, \epsilon) \subseteq \bigcup_{M=1}^{\infty} \tilde{E}_{\psi,r,\epsilon}(M),$$

then

$$(4.3) \quad \dim_{\text{H}} E_{\psi}(r, \epsilon) \leq \dim_{\text{H}} \bigcup_{M=1}^{\infty} \tilde{E}_{\psi,r,\epsilon}(M) = \sup_{M \geq 1} \dim_{\text{H}} \tilde{E}_{\psi,r,\epsilon}(M).$$

For any $M \geq 1$, now we estimate the Hausdorff dimension of $\tilde{E}_{\psi,r,\epsilon}(M)$. It is clear that for any $n \geq r$, one has

$$\tilde{E}_{\psi,r,\epsilon}(M) \subseteq \bigcup_{(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) \in D_n(\epsilon, M, r)} J_n(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n).$$

By Proposition 2.4, we have

$$|I_{n+1}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1})| = \frac{1}{Q_{n+1}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1})} \leq \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} (\sigma_i - 1)^2},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} |J_n(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)| &\leq \sum_{\sigma_{n+1} \geq e^{\psi(n+1)(1-\epsilon)} + 1} |I_{n+1}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1})| \\ &\leq \sum_{\sigma_{n+1} \geq e^{\psi(n+1)(1-\epsilon)} + 1} \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{(\sigma_i - 1)^2} \\ (4.4) \quad &= \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n (\sigma_i - 1)^2} \sum_{\sigma_{n+1} \geq e^{\psi(n+1)(1-\epsilon)} + 1} \frac{1}{(\sigma_{n+1} - 1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(n) = \infty$, there exists an integer $\hat{N} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n > \hat{N}$, it holds that $e^{\psi(n)(1-\epsilon)} > 3$. Thus, for $n > \hat{N}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\sigma_{n+1} \geq e^{\psi(n+1)(1-\epsilon)} + 1} \frac{1}{(\sigma_{n+1} - 1)^2} &\leq \sum_{\sigma_{n+1} - 1 \geq e^{\psi(n+1)(1-\epsilon)}} \frac{1}{(\sigma_{n+1} - 1)(\sigma_{n+1} - 2)} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma_{n+1} - 1 \geq e^{\psi(n+1)(1-\epsilon)}} \frac{1}{(\sigma_{n+1} - 2)} - \frac{1}{(\sigma_{n+1} - 1)} \\ (4.5) \quad &\leq \frac{1}{e^{\psi(n+1)(1-\epsilon)} - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

For $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) \in D_n(\epsilon, M, r)$, one has

$$\begin{cases} 2 \leq \sigma_i \leq M & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq r, \\ \sigma_i - 1 \geq e^{\psi(i)(1-\epsilon)} & \text{if } r \leq i \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Then, by the formula (4.4) and (4.5), when $n > \hat{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |J_n(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)| &\leq \frac{1}{\prod_{i=r}^n e^{2\psi(i)(1-\epsilon)}} \cdot \sum_{\sigma_{n+1} \geq e^{\psi(n+1)(1-\epsilon)} + 1} \frac{1}{(\sigma_{n+1} - 1)^2} \\
 (4.6) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq \frac{1}{e^{\psi(n+1)(1-\epsilon)} - 1} \cdot \prod_{i=r}^n e^{-2\psi(i)(1-\epsilon)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For convenience, we write

$$|J_n(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \dots, \tilde{\sigma}_n)| := \max_{(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) \in D_n(\epsilon, M, r)} |J_n(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)|.$$

It follows from (4.6) and Proposition 4.1 of [4] that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \underline{\dim}_B \tilde{E}_{\psi, r, \epsilon}(M) &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \#D_n(\epsilon, M, r)}{-\log |J_n(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \dots, \tilde{\sigma}_n)|} \\
 &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(1+\epsilon) \sum_{k=r}^n \psi(k) + (r-1) \log M + \sum_{k=r}^n \log(2\epsilon\psi(k))}{2(1-\epsilon) \sum_{k=r}^n \psi(k) + \log(e^{\psi(n+1)(1-\epsilon)} - 1)} \\
 &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1+\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} \cdot \frac{\sum_{k=r}^n \psi(k)}{2 \sum_{k=r}^n \psi(k) + \psi(n+1)} \\
 &= \frac{1+\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} \cdot \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2 + \frac{\psi(n+1)}{\psi(r) + \dots + \psi(n)}} \\
 &= \frac{1+\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} \cdot \frac{1}{2 + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(n+1)}{\psi(r) + \dots + \psi(n)}} \\
 &\leq \frac{1+\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} \cdot \frac{1}{2 + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(n+1)}{\psi(1) + \dots + \psi(n)}} \\
 &= \frac{1+\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} \cdot \frac{1}{2+\eta},
 \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\dim_H \tilde{E}_{\psi, r, \epsilon}(M) \leq \underline{\dim}_B \tilde{E}_{\psi, r, \epsilon}(M) \leq \frac{1+\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} \cdot \frac{1}{2+\eta}.$$

By (4.1) and (4.3), we have

$$\dim_H E(\psi) \leq \frac{1+\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} \cdot \frac{1}{2+\eta}.$$

By letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, we can obtain that

$$\dim_H E(\psi) \leq \frac{1}{2+\eta}.$$

4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.4. Like before, we split the proof into two parts.

Lower bound Recall that

$$\log V = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \psi(n)}{n}.$$

If $V = \infty$, then $\dim_{\text{H}} E_{\text{inf}}(\psi) \geq \frac{1}{1+V}$ holds trivially. Now we assume $1 \leq V < \infty$, the lower bound of the Hausdorff dimension of $E_{\text{inf}}(\psi)$ is yielded by constructing a suitable Cantor subset of $E_{\text{inf}}(\psi)$.

For any $0 < \epsilon < 1$, define a sequence $\{L_n(\epsilon)\}_{n \geq 1}$ as follows:

$$L_n(\epsilon) = \sup_{j \geq n} \left\{ e^{\psi(j)(V+\epsilon)^{n-j}} \right\} \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It follows from the proof of Theorem 1.3 of [6] that

$$(4.7) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log L_n(\epsilon)}{\psi(n)} = 1 \text{ and } \log L_{n+1}(\epsilon) - \log L_1(\epsilon) \leq (V + \epsilon - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \log L_i(\epsilon).$$

Now, we use the sequence $\{L_n(\epsilon)\}_{n \geq 1}$ to construct the Cantor subset of $E_{\text{inf}}(\psi)$. Note that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $L_n(\epsilon) \geq e^{\psi(n)}$, which implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_n(\epsilon) = \infty$, then there exists a positive integer M such that $M \lfloor L_n(\epsilon) + 1 \rfloor \geq 4$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define

$$E \left(\{L_n(\epsilon)\}_{n \geq 1} \right) = \left\{ x \in (0, 1] : M \lfloor L_n(\epsilon) + 1 \rfloor \leq d_n(x) \leq 2M \lfloor L_n(\epsilon) + 1 \rfloor - 1 \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Similar to (3.20), it is easy to verify that

$$E \left(\{L_n(\epsilon)\}_{n \geq 1} \right) \subseteq E_{\text{inf}}(\psi).$$

Therefore by Lemma 2.9 and formula (4.7), similar to (3.21), we have

$$\dim_{\text{H}} E \left(\{L_n(\epsilon)\}_{n \geq 1} \right) \geq \frac{1}{2 + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(L_{n+1}(\epsilon)+1)}{\log L_1(\epsilon) + \dots + L_n(\epsilon)}} \geq \frac{1}{1 + V + \epsilon}.$$

Hence

$$\dim_{\text{H}} E_{\text{inf}}(\psi) \geq \frac{1}{1 + V + \epsilon}.$$

Let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, this shows that

$$\dim_{\text{H}} E_{\text{inf}}(\psi) \geq \frac{1}{1 + V}.$$

Upper bound For any $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$F_{\psi}(r, \epsilon) = \left\{ x \in (0, 1] : d_n(x) \geq e^{\psi(n)(1-\epsilon)} \text{ for any } n \geq r \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$E_{\text{inf}}(\psi) \subseteq \bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} F_{\psi}(r, \epsilon),$$

then

$$(4.8) \quad \dim_{\text{H}} E_{\text{inf}}(\psi) \leq \dim_{\text{H}} \bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} F_{\psi}(r, \epsilon) = \sup_{r \geq 1} \dim_{\text{H}} F_{\psi}(r, \epsilon).$$

For any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, now we estimate the Hausdorff dimension of $F_\psi(r, \epsilon)$, we consider the following cases.

(i) $V = 1$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(n) = \infty$, it is obvious that

$$F_\psi(r, \epsilon) \subseteq \left\{ x \in (0, 1] : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n(x) = \infty \right\}.$$

By Theorem 3.2 of [11], we have

$$\dim_{\text{H}} F_\psi(r, \epsilon) \leq \dim_{\text{H}} \left\{ x \in (0, 1] : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n(x) = \infty \right\} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{1+V}.$$

(ii) $1 < V < \infty$. By the definition of V , one has

$$\psi(n) \geq (V - \epsilon)^n$$

holds for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote

$$U(e^{(1-\epsilon)}, V - \epsilon) = \left\{ x \in (0, 1] : d_n(x) \geq e^{(1-\epsilon)(V-\epsilon)^n} \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

then

$$F_\psi(r, \epsilon) \subseteq U(e^{(1-\epsilon)}, V - \epsilon).$$

Supposed that $0 < \epsilon < \min\{V - 1, 1\}$, then by Theorem 3.1 of [11], we have

$$\dim_{\text{H}} F_\psi(r, \epsilon) \leq \dim_{\text{H}} U(e^{(1-\epsilon)}, V - \epsilon) = \frac{1}{1 + V - \epsilon}.$$

(iii) $V = \infty$. By the definition of V , for any $M > 1$, there exists infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\psi(n) \geq M^n,$$

then

$$F_\psi(r, \epsilon) \subseteq \left\{ x \in (0, 1] : d_n(x) \geq e^{(1-\epsilon)M^n} \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Similar to case (ii), we have

$$\dim_{\text{H}} F_\psi(r, \epsilon) \leq \frac{1}{1 + M}.$$

By letting $M \rightarrow \infty$, one has

$$\dim_{\text{H}} F_\psi(r, \epsilon) \leq \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{1 + M} = 0 = \frac{1}{1 + V}.$$

Combining (i) – (iii) and (4.8), it follows that

$$\dim_{\text{H}} E_{\text{inf}}(\psi) \leq \sup_{r \geq 1} \dim_{\text{H}} F_\psi(r, \epsilon) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1+V} & \text{if } V = 1, \\ \frac{1}{1+V-\epsilon} & \text{if } 1 < V < \infty \text{ and } 0 < \epsilon < \min\{V - 1, 1\}, \\ \frac{1}{1+V} & \text{if } V = \infty \text{ and } 0 < \epsilon < 1. \end{cases}$$

By letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, we conclude that

$$\dim_{\text{H}} E_{\text{inf}}(\psi) \leq \frac{1}{1 + V}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Arroyo and G. González Robert, ‘Hausdorff dimension of sets of numbers with large Lüroth elements’, *Integers*, 21 (2021), Paper No. A71, 20pp.
- [2] L. Barreira and G. Iommi, ‘Frequency of digits in the Lüroth expansion’, *J. Number Theory*, 129 (2009), 1479–1490.
- [3] C. Y. Cao, J. Wu, and Z. L. Zhang, ‘The efficiency of approximating real numbers by Lüroth expansion’, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 63 (2013), 497–513.
- [4] K. Falconer, *Fractal Geometry. Mathematical Foundations and Applications*, 3rd edn. Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 2014.
- [5] A. H. Fan, L. M. Liao, J. H. Ma, and B. W. Wang, ‘Dimension of Besicovitch-Eggleston sets in countable symbolic space’, *Nonlinearity*, 23 (2010), 1185–1197.
- [6] L. L. Fang, J. H. Ma, and K. K. Song, ‘Some exceptional sets of Borel-Bernstein theorem in continued fractions’, *Ramanujan J.*, 56 (2021), 891–909.
- [7] J. Galambos, *Representations of real numbers by infinite series*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 502, Springer, Berlin, 1976.
- [8] J. Lüroth, ‘Ueber eine eindeutige Entwicklung von Zahlen in eine unendliche Reihe’, *Math. Ann.*, 21 (1883), 411–423.
- [9] T. Šalát, ‘Zur metrischen Theorie der Lürothschen Entwicklungen der reellen Zahlen’, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 18 (1968), 489–522.
- [10] L. M. Shen, ‘Hausdorff dimension of the set concerning with Borel-Bernstein theory in Lüroth expansions’, *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, 54 (2017), 1301–1316.
- [11] L. M. Shen and K. Fang, ‘The fractional dimensional theory in Lüroth expansion’, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 61 (2011), 795–807.
- [12] L. M. Shen, Y. H. Liu and Y. Y. Zhou, ‘A note on a problem of J. Galambos’, *Turkish J. Math.*, 32 (2008), 103–109.
- [13] Y. Sun and J. Xu, ‘On the maximal run-length function in the Lüroth expansion’, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 68 (2018), 277–291.
- [14] B. Tan and Q. L. Zhou, ‘Dimension theory of the product of partial quotients in Lüroth expansions’, *Int. J. Number Theory*, 17 (2021), 1139–1154.

¹ SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUAZHONG UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, 430074 WUHAN, CHINA

Email address: wang_ao@hust.edu.cn

² SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUAZHONG UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, 430074 WUHAN, CHINA

Email address: xinyunzhang@hust.edu.cn